# Requirement for RGR1 and SIN4 in RME1-Dependent Repression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae

Peter A. Covitz,\*,1 Wenjie Song<sup>†</sup> and Aaron P. Mitchell\*,<sup>‡</sup>

\*Department of Microbiology, <sup>†</sup>Department of Genetics and Development and <sup>‡</sup>Institute of Cancer Research, Columbia University, New York, New York 10032

> Manuscript received May 27, 1994 Accepted for publication July 9, 1994

#### ABSTRACT

RME1 is a zinc-finger protein homolog that functions as a repressor of the meiotic activator *IME1*. RME1 is unusual among yeast repressors in two respects: it acts over a considerable distance (2 kbp) and it can activate transcription from a binding site separated from its natural flanking region. To identify genes required for RME1 to exert repression, we have selected mutants with improved RME1-dependent activation. One rare mutant was defective in RME1-dependent repression of an artificial reporter gene as well as the native *IME1* gene. The mutation permits sporulation of a/a diploids, which express *RME1* from its natural promoter, and of  $a/\alpha$  diploids constructed to express *RME1* from the *GAL1* promoter. The mutation also causes temperature-sensitive growth and a methionine or cysteine requirement. Analysis of a complementing genomic clone indicates that the mutation lies in a known essential gene, *RGR1*. Prior studies have indicated a functional relationship between *RGR1* and *SIN4* (also called *TSF3*); we have found that a *sin4* null mutation also causes a defect in RME1-dependent repression and a methionine or cysteine requirement. The *rgr1* and *sin4* mutations do not cause a reduction of RME1 polypeptide levels. The defect in RME1-dependent repression may result from effects of *sin4* and, presumably, *rgr1* on chromatin structure.

HE yeast RME1 gene specifies an inhibitor of meiosis and spore formation (KASSIR and SIMCHEN 1976; MITCHELL and HERSKOWITZ 1986; reviewed in HONIGBERG et al. 1993; MITCHELL 1994). RME1 is expressed in a and  $\alpha$  cells, which are unable to enter meiosis, and is repressed in  $\mathbf{a}/\alpha$  cells, which enter meiosis in response to starvation (MITCHELL and HERSKOWITZ 1986). RME1 blocks meiosis by preventing expression of IME1 (KASSIR et al. 1988), a positive regulator of many or all genes that are expressed primarily during meiosis (ENGEBRECHT and ROEDER 1990; SMITH and MITCHELL 1989; SMITH et al. 1990). In vegetative cells, IME1 is expressed at low levels in the presence or absence of RME1; in starved cells, IME1 is expressed at much higher levels only in the absence of RME1 (COVITZ et al. 1991; KASSIR et al. 1988; SMITH and MITCHELL 1989).

RME1, a zinc-finger protein homolog (COVTTz et al. 1991), acts directly as a repressor of *IME1*. RME1 binds to a site that lies 2 kbp upstream of the *IME1* gene (see Figure 1A; COVTTZ and MITCHELL 1993). Deletion of the binding site partially relieves RME1-dependent repression of *IME1*, so the site is required for full repression. The binding site is contained within a 404-bp DNA segment, called the repression cassette, that confers RME1dependent repression of the heterologous *CYC1* promoter when inserted adjacent to the *CYC1* upstream activation sequences (Figure 1B; COVTTZ and MITCHELL 1993). Binding of RME1 to DNA is necessary but not sufficient for repression, as indicated by three observations. First, a 233-bp segment of the repression cassette adjacent to the RME1 binding site is essential for repression; we refer to this segment as the modulation region. Second, a small DNA segment that includes the RME1 binding site activates transcription. Activation by this segment (which we call the RME1 response element) depends on overexpression of RME1. Third, repression by the repression cassette is exerted in only one orientation (COVITZ 1993). These observations indicate that repression by RME1 is more complex than predicted by a simple repressor-operator interaction. We have suggested that repression and activation by RME1 reflect alternative protein complexes at the repression cassette, or that repression may depend on precise spacing between RME1 and the target promoter (COVITZ and MITCHELL 1993).

The fact that binding of RME1 to DNA can have opposite effects, depending on the context of the binding site, implies that additional gene products may be required for RME1-dependent processes. Thus far, the only known mutations that impair RME1-dependent repression or activation lie in *RME1* or the RME1 binding site. In this study, we have made use of the properties of the repression cassette to select a mutant defective in repression. Our results implicate two global regulatory genes, *RGR1* and *SIN4*, in RME1-dependent repression.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains and genetic methods: Yeast strains are listed in Table 1. Strains JSH $\alpha$  and JSH125 $\alpha$  were provided by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Present address: Department of Biological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305.

M. CLANCY (KAO *et al.* 1990); strain 1446-2C was derived from JSH $\alpha$  by *HO*-initiated mating type interconversion (HERSKOW-ITZ and JENSEN 1991). Strains 150 and 251, which were used to score *RES1-1* (see below), resulted from crosses between mapping strains (SHERMAN and WAKEM 1991). All other strains were constructed through standard genetic manipulations (Rose *et al.* 1990) of rapidly sporulating SK-1 strains (ALANI *et al.* 1987; KANE and ROTH 1974).

Auxotrophic markers have been described (ALANI et al. 1987; SMITH et al. 1990). The sin4::TRP1 mutation was introduced by transformation, as described by JIANG and STILLMAN (1992). RME1 alleles have been described in detail (COVITZ et al. 1991); their relevant properties are summarized here.  $rme1\Delta 5::LEU2$  is a complete deletion of the RME1 coding region. rme1::P<sub>GAL1</sub>-S53-RME1::TRP1 replaces the RME1 promoter with the GAL1 promoter and modifies the N terminus of RME1 to include the S53 epitope. This allele, which we refer to in the text as  $P_{GALI}$ -RME1, is functional.  $rme1::P_{GAL1}$ -S53-rme1-213::TRP1 is a missense allele of  $P_{GAL1}$ -RME1 causing a substitution in the the second RME1 zinc finger motif. This allele, which we refer to as  $P_{GALI}$ -rme1-213, abolishes RME1 site-specific DNA binding (COVITZ and MITCHELL 1993) but not polypeptide accumulation (COVITZ et al. 1991).

Our SK-1 strains fail to ferment galactose. We have introduced a gal80 mutation in many strains to permit expression of genes from the GAL1 promoter (MITCHELL et al. 1990; SMITH et al. 1990). In gal80 mutants, the GAL1 promoter is expressed in the absence of glucose, such as in media with galactose or acetate as sole carbon source (JOHNSTON 1987; TORCHIA et al. 1984).

Most  $\mathbf{a}/\mathbf{a}$  diploids were constructed from  $\mathbf{a}/\alpha$  diploids after mild UV irradiation (90% survival) by screening for mating ability with tester lawns. To construct strain 1398, the  $\alpha$  parent was first transformed with an integrating URA3 MAT $\alpha$  plasmid (YIp5-MAT $\alpha$ ). After mating, purified  $\mathbf{a}/\alpha$  diploids were plated on 5-fluoro-orotic acid medium to select for Ura<sup>-</sup> segregants (Rose *et al.* 1990). Two of four independent Ura<sup>-</sup> segregants displayed ability to mate with  $\alpha$  strains and were assumed to be  $\mathbf{a}/\mathbf{a}$  diploids.

The rgr1-100 mutant was isolated as follows. A washed, saturated YPD culture of strain 1343 was spread on galactose medium without histidine. Approximately  $2 \times 10^8$  cells were plated on each of 20 15-cm Petri dishes. The plates were UVirradiated to yield 20% survival and incubated at 30°. His<sup>+</sup> papillae arose after 4-5 days. They were purified on galactose medium lacking histidine before further characterization. The rgr1-100 mutation causes several phenotypes. First, there are defects in RME1-dependent repression detailed in the Results section. Second, there is a temperature-sensitive growth defect. On synthetic glucose medium, rgr1-100 strains form small colonies at 30° and pinpoint colonies at 37°. On YPD medium, rgr1-100 strains form small colonies at 30° and 37°, and become inviable after a temperature shift during exponential growth from 30° to 37°. The cells arrest with unseparated daughter cells that are removed by zymolyase treatment, consistent with incomplete septation (SAKAI et al. 1990). Third, there is a requirement for either methionine or cysteine (20 mg/liter) at 30° in synthetic glucose medium. Fourth, there is slight clumpiness, which is most apparent from the settling of cells in liquid culture. However, all SK-1-derived strains are relatively clumpy, so this mutant phenotype is subtle.

The dominant RES1-1 mutation was followed in crosses through its stimulation of sporulation in the presence of a multi-copy RME1 plasmid (KAO et al. 1990). Each spore colony from a RES1-1/RES1 diploid was mated to tester strains 150 and 251 (both RES1) carrying multi-copy RME1 plasmids pAM232 (MITCHELL and HERSKOWITZ 1986) or pAM226R-2µ (SMITH and MITCHELL 1989), which carry URA3 and LEU2 genes, respectively. Diploids from matings to the testers were selected on SC-Ura-His or SC-Leu-His and then incubated on Spo plates for 5 days at room temperature. The Spo plates were then replica-plated to synthetic medium to select Ura<sup>+</sup> His<sup>+</sup> or Leu<sup>+</sup> His<sup>+</sup> progeny resistant to both canavanine and cycloheximide. Diploids able to sporulate (*RES1-1/RES1*) when carrying the *RME1* plasmid yielded numerous drug-resistant papillae, whereas diploids unable to sporulate (*RES1/RES1*) did not.

**Growth media:** Standard growth media included YPD (2% glucose, 2% Bacto-peptone, 1% Difco yeast extract), YPAc (2% potassium acetate, 2% Bacto-peptone, 1% Difco yeast extract), and synthetic media containing either 2% glucose or 2% galactose as carbon source (Rose *et al.* 1990). Media were solidified with 2% agar when necessary. Galactose fermentation ability was determined on galactose indicator plates (Rose *et al.* 1990) or by following the Leu<sup>+</sup> phenotype conferred by the *gal80::LEU2* disruption (TORCHIA *et al.* 1984). Sporulation ability was tested after incubation on Spo plates (SMITH and MITCHELL 1989). The level of resistance of strains to 3-aminotriazole was determined by their ability to form single colonies on galactose medium lacking histidine and containing 0 mM, 5 mM, 10 mM, 20 mM, 40 mM, 60 mM, or 100 mM 3-aminotriazole.

HIS3 and CYC1-lacZ hybrid genes: The HIS3 reporter genes diagrammed in Figure 1C are derived from plasmid pD1509 (provided by M. GWADZ and D. SHORE), which contains the HIS3 coding region and 5' sequences up to -53 from the transcription start site (STRUHL 1986) in the vector pRS314 (SIKORSKI and HIETER 1989). These 5' sequences include the TATA region but lack activation sequences (STRUHL 1986), so this gene is designated  $\Delta UAS$ -HIS3. IME1 regulatory sequences were inserted as ApaI-EcoRI fragments (from inserts within a pBluescript II polylinker) between the ApaI and EcoRI sites of pD1509 to create episomal RC-HIS3, RRE-HIS3, MR-HIS3 and RRE-MR-HIS3 genes (Figure 1C). The RC-HIS3 hybrid gene was transferred as a Xhol-BamHI fragment into the XhoI and BamHI sites in the integrating vector pRS306 (SIKORSKI and HIETER 1989) to form plasmid pWS6-4. Integration of pWS6-4 was directed to the chromosomal ura3 gene by digestion with Stul. We note that strains carrying the plasmidborne RC-HIS3 gene displayed weak His<sup>+</sup> growth, whereas strains carrying the integrated RC-HIS3 gene were His. We assume that this difference arises from increased gene dosage of the plasmid-borne gene.

The CYC1-lacZ reporter gene is carried in plasmid pKB112 (BOWDISH and MITCHELL 1993). Insertion of the repression cassette into pKB112 yielded the RC-CYC1-lacZ reporter gene (see Figure 1D) in plasmid pAC153-4 (COVITZ and MITCHELL 1993). The  $\Delta UAS$ -CYC1-lacZ reporter gene is carried in plasmid pLG $\Delta$ 312S $\Delta$ SS (GUARENTE and MASON 1983). Insertion of the RME1 reponse element into pLG $\Delta$ 312S $\Delta$ SS yielded the RRE-CYC1-lacZ reporter gene (Figure 1D) in plasmid pAC110-6 (COVITZ and MITCHELL 1993).

**Construction of RGR1 plasmids:** The RGR1 plasmid pS7-2 was isolated from a yeast genomic library in plasmid pRS314 (SU and MITCHELL 1993b). Subclones of pS7-2 were constructed by standard methods of restriction enzyme digestion, fragment isolation, and ligation. pAC303, pAC306 and pAC307 are inserts in plasmid pRS314 (SIKORSKI and HIETER 1989). Several smaller subclones could not be constructed in pRS314, suggesting that RGR1 sequences might be toxic in one orientation. Thus pAC313, pAC320, pAC320 $\Delta$ BG and pRS414-RGR were constructed in pRS414, in which the polylinker sequences are reversed. pAC313 was constructed by

## Repression by RME1, RGR1 and SIN4

#### TABLE 1

#### Yeast strains

Strain	Genotype <sup>a</sup>	
SK-1 derivatives:		
107	<b>a</b> <i>GAL80</i>	
714	a rme1 $\Delta$ 5::LEU2 his3 met4	
931	a/a his4-G/his4-N arg6/ARG6 ade3/ADE3	
1040	$\alpha \ rme1\Delta5::LEU2$	
1122	α rme1::P <sub>GAL1</sub> -\$53-RME1::TRP1	
1124	$\alpha$ rme1::P <sub>GAL1</sub> -S53-rme1-213::TRP1	
1323	α rme1::PGAL1-S53-RME1::TRP1 rgr1-100 his3	
1342	a ura3::RC-HIS3::URA3 GAL80 his3	
1343	a rme1::P <sub>GAL1</sub> -S53-RME1::TRP1 ura3::RC-HIS3::URA his3	
1343r1	a rme1::P <sub>GAL1</sub> -S53-RME1::TRP1 ura3::RC-HIS3::URA3 his3 rgr1–100	
1350	a ura3::RC-HIS3::URA3 rgr1-100 GAL80 his3	
1357	a rgr1-100 TRP1 LEU2 GAL80	
1360	a/a ura3/ura3::RC-HIS3::URA3 rgr1-100/rgr1-100 GAL80/GAL80 his3/his3 trp1/TRP1 leu2/LEU2	
1362	a/a rme1\D5::LEU2/rme1\D5::LEU2 gal80::LEU2/GAL80 his3/HIS3 trp1/TRP1	
1363	a rme1::P <sub>GAL1</sub> -S53-RME1::TRP1 ura3::RC-HIS3::URA3 GAL80 his3	
1365	a ura3::RC-HIS3::URA3 GAL80 his3	
1366	<b>a</b> ura3::RC-HIS3::URA3 his3	
1368	<b>a</b> ura3::RC-HIS3::URA3 rgr1-100 his3	
1369	<b>a</b> rme1::P <sub>GAL1</sub> -S53-RME1::TRP1 rgr1-100 his3	
1370	α rme1::P <sub>GAL1</sub> -S53-rme1-213::TRP1 rgr1-100	
1377	a rgr1-100 his3	
1379	<b>a</b> rgr1-100 his3	
1380	$\alpha \ rme1\Delta5::LEU2 \ his 3$	
1396	α rme1::P <sub>GALI</sub> -S53-RME1::TRP1 sin4::TRP1 arg6	
1397	α rme1::P <sub>GAL1</sub> -S53-rme1-213::TRP1 sin4::TRP1 arg6	
1398	a/a rgr1-100/rgr1-100 his3/HIS3	
1399	a rme1::P <sub>GAL1</sub> -553-RME1::TRP1 sin4::TRP1 his3	
Derivatives of other genetic	c backgrounds:	
JSHα	α ura3 leu2 trp1 lys2 his3 ho::HIS3 spr3-lacZ	
JSH125α	α ura3 leu2 trp1 lys2 his3 ho::HIS3 spr3-lacZ RES1-1	
1446-2C	a ura3 leu2 trp1 lys2 his3 ho::HIS3 spr3-lacZ	
150	a ura3 trp1 leu2 his4 can1 cyh2	
251	a ura3 trp1 leu2 his7 tyr1 can1 cyh2	

<sup>a</sup> All SK-1 derivatives carried the mutations leu2::hisG trp1::hisG lys2 ura3 ho::LYS2 gal80::LEU2, except as indicated.

insertion of the 4.7-kbp EcoRI-BgIII fragment containing RGR1 into the EcoRI and BamHI sites of pRS414. Oligonucleotide mutagenesis of pAC320 was used to introduce the sequence GATCTA (a Bg/II site) between RGR1 codons 1081 and 1082, yielding plasmid pAC320. pAC320ABG was constructed by deletion between BamHI and Bg/II sites in pAC320, leaving six codons of RGR1 and one codon specified by the BgIII half-site. pRS414-RGR was constructed by insertion of the EcoRI-Bg/II fragment from pAC320 into the EcoRI and BamHI sites of pRS414; it results in fusion of RGR1 at codon 1081 to the plasmid-encoded  $lacZ \alpha$ -fragment. The integrating plasmid pAC300 was made by transferring the 3.1-kbp BglII fragment from plasmid pS7-2 into the BamHI site of plasmid YIp5 (ROSE et al. 1990). Integration of a URA3 marker at the RGR1 locus was targeted by transformation of strain 107 with XhoIdigested pAC300 DNA.

Immunoblots: Cells from a 50-ml exponential culture in synthetic galactose medium were extracted in 0.1 multiple Tris (pH 7.4), 0.2 mm phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 0.7  $\mu$ g/ml pepstatin A, 0.5  $\mu$ g/ml leupeptin, 1  $\mu$ g/ml aprotinin by vortexing with 0.45-mm glass beads. Debris was pelleted in a microcentrifuge, and 100  $\mu$ g of extract protein (estimated with a Bio-Rad protein assay kit) were fractionated on a 12.5% polyacrylamide sodium dodecyl sulfate gel. Proteins were electrophoretically transferred to Immobilon P paper and visualized with rabbit anti-S53 immunoglobulin G and peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibodies, as described previously (Covtrz *et al.* 1991).

Miscellaneous methods:  $\beta$ -Galactosidase assays were conducted as described elsewhere (COVITZ and MITCHELL 1993) with at least three independent cultures of each strain. Procedures for preparation of RNA, electrophoresis and Northern blot analysis have been described previously (SMITH and MITCHELL 1989). Probes for *IME1* (MITCHELL *et al.* 1990) and a control transcript, carried on plasmid pC4/2 (LAW and SEGALL 1988; SU and MITCHELL 1993a), were labeled with a random-primed synthesis labeling kit (Boehringer).

### RESULTS

Properties of HIS3 reporter genes containing IME1 regulatory sequences: Previous studies of the IME1 promoter had identified a region, called the repression cassette, that could confer RME1-dependent repression on the heterologous CYC1 promoter. The repression cassette comprises two functional units: the RME1-response element and the modulation region (Figure 1B). Neither of these segments alone can confer RME1dependent repression on the CYC1 promoter. The RME1-response element, which includes an RME1 binding site, permits RME1-dependent activation of an adjacent promoter which lacks upstream activation sequences of its own. The modulation region, when adjacent to the RME1-response element, both weakens



FIGURE 1.---Relevant segments of the IME1 regulatory region and their properties. (A) The IME1 gene. The deduced IME1 open reading frame begins at base pair +1; RNA start sites lie between -210 and -280 (SHERMAN et al. 1993; Smith et al. 1990). The black rectangle represents the one known binding site for the repressor RME1, centered at -2034 (Covitz and MITCHELL 1993); other binding sites are thought to exist (COVITZ 1993; COVITZ and MITCHELL 1993; GRANOT et al. 1989). (B) Properties of regions required for RME1-dependent repression (based on Covitz and MITCHELL 1993). Repression is assayed through a downstream CYC1-lacZ reporter gene that includes its own UAS. Activation is assayed through a downstream CYC1-lacZ reporter gene that has no UAS. RME1dependent activation has only been observed in cells that overexpress RME1 from a  $P_{GAL1}$ -RME1 hybrid gene. (C) Properties of HIS3 reporter genes. The HIS3 segment (dashed line) includes the entire coding region,  $RN\bar{A}$  start sites, and TATA region (STRUHL 1986). This segment is fused to vector sequences ( $\Delta UAS$ -HIS3) or to IME1 upstream sequences. Numbers at the right indicate the minimum millimolar concentration of 3-aminotriazole that blocks growth of strains 714 (*rme1* $\Delta$ 5) and 1052 (*P*<sub>GAL1</sub>-*RME1*), carrying the respective

RME1-dependent activation and is required for RME1dependent repression (COVITZ and MITCHELL 1993). These aspects of RME1-dependent activation were reflected by expression of hybrid HIS3 genes (Figure 1C). Segments of the IME1 regulatory region were placed 5' to a derivative of the HIS3 gene that lacks other upstream activation sequences. Expression of these hybrid genes was compared in a strain lacking RME1 ( $rme1\Delta5$ ) and in one that expresses RME1 from the GAL1 promoter (P<sub>GALI</sub>-RME1). HIS3 expression was determined semiquantitatively by the level of resistance to 3-aminotriazole, which inhibits activity of the HIS3 product (WOLFNER et al. 1975). The HIS3 reporter gene alone ( $\Delta UAS$ -HIS3) conferred resistance to 10 mm 3-aminotriazole; its expression presumably depended on vector sequences. Insertion of either the repression cassette or the modulation region reduced resistance to 3-aminotriazole (RC-HIS3 and MR-HIS3), perhaps by increasing the distance between vector sequences and HIS3. Insertion of the RME1-response element also reduced resistance in the  $rme1\Delta$  strain but increased resistance in the P<sub>GALI</sub>-RME1 strain (RRE-HIS3). RME1dependent activation was blocked by inclusion of the modulation region (RRE-MR-HIS3). These results indicate that RME1 can activate expression of HIS3 through the RME1-response element; presence of the modulation region reduces or abolishes RME1dependent activation.

Isolation of a repression-defective mutant: Our attempt to identify mutations that relieve RME1dependent repression was based on the idea that repression and activation by RME1 might represent alternative states or activities. Thus loss of ability of RME1 to repress might permit RME1 to activate through the repression cassette. A His<sup>-</sup> strain carrying an integrated RC-HIS3 hybrid gene and P<sub>GALI</sub>-RME1 (strain 1343) was plated on galactose medium without histidine. Among 32 Hisisolates, 22 were His<sup>+</sup> on glucose medium, in which the  $P_{GALI}$ -RME1 gene is not expressed. The mutations apparently relieved the need for RME1 to activate RC-HIS3 and were discarded. Another five isolates grew too poorly to permit characterization. The remaining five isolates were tested for defects in RME1-dependent repression by comparing expression of CYC1-lacZ reporter plasmids that differed by presence of the repression cassette (Figure 1D). Four of the isolates, like the parent strain, expressed RC-CYC1-lacZ at 10-25-fold lower levels than CYC1-lacZ. One isolate, 1343r1, expressed RC-CYC1-lacZ at only 2-fold lower levels than CYC1-lacZ. These results suggested that mutant 1343r1

HIS3 plasmids, in galactose medium without histidine. (D) CYC1-lacZ reporter genes. CYC1-lacZ sequences (dashed line) include the CYC1 UAS region and a CYC1-lacZ fusion, as indicated. IME1 sequences include the repression cassette (RC-CYC1-lacZ) or the RME1 response element (RRE-CYC1-lacZ).



was defective in repression through the repression cassette.

Meiotic analysis indicated that a single mutation in strain 1343r1 permitted RC-HIS3 expression. 44 tetrads were analyzed from a cross of the mutant to strain 1363 (*RC-HIS3* P<sub>GALI</sub>-*RME1* GAL80). The GAL80 allele prevented  $P_{GALI}$ -RME1 expression and thus allowed the diploid to sporulate. Among 88 gal80 segregants, 46 were His<sup>+</sup> and 42 were His<sup>-</sup> on galactose medium. These results match the predicted 1:1 His+:His- ratio for a single gene trait. All gal80 segregants were His<sup>-</sup> on glucose medium, in which  $P_{GALI}$ -RME1 is not expressed. 16 tetrads were analyzed from a cross of 1343r1 to strain 1342 (*RC-HIS3 RME1 GAL80*). Among 12 *P*<sub>GAL1</sub>-*RME1* gal80 segregants, six were His<sup>+</sup> and six were His<sup>-</sup> on galactose medium. These results confirm that a single mutation permits RC-HIS3 expression. In addition, recovery of P<sub>GAL1</sub>-RME1 gal80 segregants that are phenotypically His- indicates that the mutation is not linked to the  $P_{GAL1}$ -RME1 gene.

**Cloning of RGR1:** The mutant 1343r1 had two unanticipated phenotypes: temperature-sensitive growth (Ts<sup>-</sup> phenotype) and a methionine or cysteine requirement (Met<sup>-</sup> phenotype). These two phenotypes segregated 2+:2- and cosegregated with one another in crosses of the mutant to wild-type strains. In the cross of the mutant to strain 1363 (*RC-HIS3*  $P_{GALI}$ -*RME1 GAL80*), the Ts<sup>-</sup> and Met<sup>-</sup> phenotypes cosegregated with the  $P_{GALI}$ -*RME1*-dependent His<sup>+</sup> phenotype in 28 half-tetrads analyzed. (Only the gal80 segregants could be analyzed for a  $P_{GALI}$ -*RME1*-dependent phenotype.) Both the Ts<sup>-</sup> and Met<sup>-</sup> phenotypes were recessive, so we used these phenotypes to clone the corresponding gene

FIGURE 2.—RGR1 plasmids and functional activity. The RGR1 insert in plasmid pS7-2 and relevant sublcones are diagrammed. Lines represent segments present in each plasmid. The shaded box represents the RGR1 coding region, which is oriented with its 5' end to the left. All inserts are carried in the low copy vectors pRS314 or pRS414. PlaspAC320, pAC320ABG and mids pRS414-RGR include a BglII site introduced by oligonucleotide mutagenesis at the 3' end of RGR1. Plasmid complementation of the Ts<sup>-</sup> and Met<sup>-</sup> phenotypes of strain 1350 (a rgr1-100) are summarized in the 37° and SC-Met columns, respectively. Levels of sporulation observed in transformants of strain 1398 (a/a rgr1-100/rgr1-100) after incubation for 5 days on Spo plates are summarized in the Spo column. Numbers are the mean and standard deviation for at least four independent transformants with each plasmid.

by complementation. A meiotic segregant carrying the  $Ts^-/Met^-$  mutation, strain 1350, was transformed with a low copy vector-based genomic library and plated on selective medium at 37°. Three transformants acquired a plasmid-dependent  $Ts^+$  phenotype, and plasmid DNA retrieved from each could confer  $Ts^+$  and  $Met^+$  phenotypes after re-transformation into strain 1350. Restriction enzyme digestions indicated that the three plasmids were identical, and one (designated pS7-2) was analyzed further.

We used linkage analysis to determine whether the pS7-2 plasmid insert included DNA from the locus of the original mutation. The non-replicating plasmid pAC300 was constructed from a segment of the pS7-2 insert and the *URA3*-bearing plasmid YIp5. Transformation of strain 107 (Met<sup>+</sup> Ura<sup>-</sup>) with pAC300 resulted in integration of the *URA3* marker at the chromosomal locus of the cloned DNA, as confirmed by a Southern blot. A Ura<sup>+</sup> transformant (Met<sup>+</sup> Ura<sup>+</sup>) was crossed to strain 1357 (Met<sup>-</sup> Ura<sup>-</sup>) and Met and Ura phenotypes were followed in 36 tetrads. Thirty-four tetrads were parental ditypes and two tetrads were tetratypes. Thus the site of integration is tightly linked to the Ts<sup>-</sup>/Met<sup>-</sup> mutation. We conclude that the pS7-2 insert contains DNA from the locus of the Ts<sup>-</sup>/Met<sup>-</sup> mutation.

Plasmid complementation of the Ts<sup>-</sup> and Met<sup>-</sup> phenotypes of strain 1350 delimited a 4-kbp functional region of the pS7-2 insert, carried in plasmid pRS414-RGR (Figure 2). This low copy plasmid could fully complement the Ts<sup>-</sup> phenotype and partially complement the Met<sup>-</sup> phenotype. The sequence of three DNA segments within this interval, totalling 400 bp, was identical to portions of the *S. cerevisiae* gene *RGR1* (SAKAI *et al.* 

 TABLE 2
 Effect of P<sub>GALI</sub>-RME1 and rgr1-100 on sporulation

Strain	P <sub>GAL1</sub> -RME1 expression <sup>a</sup>	RGR1 alleles	Sporulation (%) <sup>b</sup>
1122/1366	+	+/+	<0.5
1122/1365	_	+/+	88
1323/1368	+	rgr1-100/rgr1-100	70
1323/1350	-	rgr1-100/rgr1-100	69
1122/1368	+	+/rgr1-100	< 0.5
1122/1350		+/rgr1-100	86

<sup>a</sup> P<sub>GALI</sub>-RME1 was expressed in gal80/gal80 diploids and repressed in GAL80/gal80 diploids.

<sup>b</sup> Sporulation ability was determined by microscopic examination after incubation for 2 days on Spo plates. Values are the mean of three determinations; standard deviations were less than 10% of the mean.

1990). The insert in plasmid pRS414-RGR includes only the RGR1 coding region (fused at codon 1081 to plasmid *lacZ* sequences) and 291 bp of *RGR1* 5' sequences. We conclude that the Ts<sup>-</sup>/Met<sup>-</sup> mutation is a defect in the *RGR1* gene. We designate the mutation rgr1-100.

Disruption of RME1-dependent repression by rgr1-100: We first used assays of sporulation ability to determine whether rgr1-100 caused a defect in RME1dependent repression. Sporulation was measured for  $a/\alpha$  diploid strains of genotype RGR1/RGR1, RGR1/ rgr1-100, or rgr1-100/rgr1-100 that differed in expression of RME1 from the GAL1 promoter (Table 2). Expression of P<sub>GAL1</sub>-RME1 inhibited sporulation over 100fold in both RGR1/RGR1 and RGR1/rgr1-100 diploids. In contrast, expression of P<sub>GAL1</sub>-RME1 had no effect on sporulation in rgr1-100/rgr1-100 diploids. These observations indicate that rgr1-100 is a recessive mutation that relieves inhibition of sporulation by the P<sub>GAL1</sub>-RME1 gene.

Characterization of rgr1-100 had thus far relied upon properties of the  $P_{GALI}$ -RME1 hybrid gene. We also examined the effects of the rgr1-100 mutation in  $\mathbf{a/a}$  diploids, which are normally unable to sporulate because they express RME1 from its natural promoter (Table 3). The control  $\mathbf{a/a}$  diploid (RME1/RME1 RGR1/RGR1) was unable to sporulate, as expected. An  $\mathbf{a/a}$  diploid that lacked RME1 ( $rme1\Delta5/rme1\Delta5$  RGR1/RGR1) yielded 84% sporulation. An  $\mathbf{a/a}$  diploid homozygous for rgr1-100 (RME1/RME1 rgr1-100/rgr1-100) yielded 13% sporulation. Therefore, the rgr1-100 mutation permits sporulation when RME1 is expressed from the natural RME1 promoter. We conclude that the rgr1-100 phenotype does not depend on artifactual expression of RME1 from the GAL1 promoter.

RME1 blocks sporulation in **a** and  $\alpha$  cells by preventing *IME1* transcript accumulation in response to starvation. Thus *RME1* strains fail to accumulate *IME1* RNA; *rme1* mutants are able to accumulate *IME1* RNA after starvation. If *rgr1-100* prevents RME1-dependent repression at the native *IME1* gene, then an *rgr1-100* mutant should also accumulate *IME1* RNA during star-

TABLE 3

Sporulation of a/a diploids

Strain	Relevant genotype	Sporulation (%) <sup>a</sup>
931	a/a RGR1/RGR1 RME1/RME1	<0.1
1362	$a/a RGR1/RGR1 rme1\Delta5/rme1\Delta5$	84
1360	a/a rgr1-100/rgr1-100 RME1/RME1	13

 $^a$  Sporulation ability was determined by microscopic examination after incubation for 5 days on Spo plates. Values are the mean of three determinations; standard deviations were less than 20% of the mean.

vation. We tested this prediction through Northern blot analysis (Figure 3). Haploid **a** or  $\alpha$  strains were constructed that had either RME1 or  $rme1\Delta5$  and either RGR1 or rgr1-100 alleles. RNA was prepared from these strains during vegetative growth (lanes 1, 6, 11 and 16) or at various times after transfer to sporulation medium. IME1 RNA levels were undetectable in the RME1 RGR1 strain and increased after starvation of the  $rme1\Delta5$ RGR1 strain, as expected. IME1 RNA levels also increased after starvation of both the RME1 rgr1-100 and  $rme1\Delta 5$  rgr1-100 strains. Both rgr1-100 strains had lower IME1 RNA levels than the RGR1  $rme1\Delta5$  strain, but higher levels than the RGR1 RME1 strain. These observations indicate that rgr1-100 permits IME1 RNA accumulation in the presence of a wild-type RME1 allele. Further, they show that rgr1-100 does not relieve the need for starvation to stimulate IME1 expression.

To confirm that rgr1-100 relieves repression at the repression cassette, we compared expression of the RC-CYC1-lacZ reporter gene in strains expressing functional or nonfunctional RME1 products ( $P_{GAL1}$ -RME1 and  $P_{GAL1}$ -rme1-213, respectively; Table 4). In an RGR1 background, RME1 repressed RC-CYC1-lacZ expression 15-fold (compare strains 1122 and 1124). In an rgr1-100 background, RME1 repressed RC-CYC1-lacZ expression less than 2-fold (compare strains 1369 and 1370). We obtained similar results in assays of an integrated RC-CYC1-lacZ reporter gene (data not shown). We conclude that rgr1-100 relieves repression through an effect on the repression cassette.

One trivial explanation for failure of RME1 to repress in rgr1-100 strains is that the mutation causes a defect in RME1 protein accumulation. We used an immunoblot to visualize RME1 protein levels, as detected through an appended S53 epitope (Covirz *et al.* 1991). RME1 was identified as a  $M_r$  36,000 protein present in an extract of a  $P_{GAL1}$ -RME1 strain (Figure 4, lane 2) and not an  $rme1\Delta$  strain (lane 1). The amount of  $M_r$  36,000 RME1 was unaffected by the rgr1-100 mutation (lane 3). A  $M_r$  43,000 cross-reactive protein was more prominent in the rgr1-100 extract than in the RGR1 extract; this protein was also found in an extract of an rgr1-100 strain expressing wild-type RME1 (lacking the S53 epitope) and is thus not related to S53-RME1 expression (data



Effects of rgr1-100 on activity of the repression cassette

Strain	Relevant genotype	β-galactosidase activity <sup>a</sup>
1122	P <sub>CALI</sub> -RME1	130
1124	$P_{CAL1}$ -rme1-213	2040
1369	rgr1-100 P <sub>CAL1</sub> -RME1	860
1370	rgr1-100 P <sub>CAL1</sub> -rme1-213	1580
1396	sin4::TRP1 P <sub>CAL1</sub> -RME1	830
1397	sin4::TRP1 P <sub>GAL1</sub> -rme1-213	1370

<sup>*a*</sup> Strains carrying the *RC-CYC1-lacZ* plasmid were grown to midlog phase in synthetic galactose medium for  $\beta$ -galactosidase assays.  $\beta$ -Galactosidase activity, in Miller units, is the mean for four independent transformants. Standard deviations were less than 20% of the mean.

not shown). These results indicate that *rgr1-100* strains are not defective in accumulation of RME1.

The rgr1-100 mutation might prevent repression by preventing binding of RME1 to DNA. The ability of RME1 to activate expression of the RC-HIS3 reporter gene argues against this idea. To quantitate RME1dependent activation, we compared expression of CYC1-lacZ reporter genes with no UAS ( $\Delta UAS$ -CYC1lacZ) and with the RME1-reponse element in place of the CYC1 UAS (RRE-CYC1-lacZ; Table 5).  $\Delta UAS$ -CYC1-lacZ expression was slightly greater in rgr1-100 strains than in RGR1 strains, but it was unaffected by presence of a functional  $P_{GALI}$ -RME1 allele. Similarly, *RRE-CYC1-lacZ* expression in the absence of *RME1* function was greater in rgr1-100 strain (1370) than in the RGR1 strain (1124). RRE-CYC1-lacZ expression was stimulated by a functional  $P_{GALI}$ -RME1 allele in both rgr1-100 and RGR1 strains (1369 and 1122, respectively). Because the rgr1-100 mutation causes elevated expression of reporters with weak UAS activity, we cannot draw a quantitative conclusion concerning RME1-RRE binding in vivo in the rgr1-100 background. However, these results confirm that rgr1-100 does not block RME1 accumulation.

Anomalous complementation behavior of *RGR1* plasmids: In the course of cloning *RGR1*, we sought to determine whether *RGR1* plasmids would complement *rgr1-100* for sporulation ability. Sporulation ability of an **a**/**a** *rgr1-100/rgr1-100* diploid carrying various *RGR1*  FIGURE 3.—Accumulation of *IME1* RNA in sporulation medium. Northern filters were prepared from cells grown in YPAc (lanes 1, 6, 11 and 16) or after a shift to sporulation medium for 2 hr (lanes 2, 7, 12 and 17), 4 hr (lanes 3, 8, 13 and 18), 6 hr (lanes 4, 9, 14 and 19), or 8 hr (lanes 5, 10, 15 and 20). Filters were probed for *IME1* RNA, stripped and reprobed with control plasmid pC4/2. Strains were 107 (*RME1 RGR1*; lanes 1–5), 1380 (*rme1* $\Delta$ 5 *RGR1*; lanes 6–10), 1377 (*RME1 rgr1-100*; lanes 11–15), and 1379 (*rme1* $\Delta$ 5 *rgr1-100*; lanes 16–20).



FIGURE 4.—Immunoblot analysis of RME1 polypeptide accumulation. RME1 was visualized in extracts of galactosegrown cells with anti-S53 anti-peptide antiserum through an S53 epitope specified by the  $P_{GALI}$ -RME1 gene. Mobilities of molecular weight markers are indicated on the left; the  $M_r$ 36,000 S53-RME1 product is indicated on the right. Lane 1: strain 1040 ( $rme1\Delta5$ ); lane 2: strain 1122 ( $P_{GALI}$ -RME1); lane 3: strain 1369 ( $P_{GALI}$ -RME1 rgr1-100); lane 4: strain 1396 ( $P_{GALI}$ -RME1 sin4::TRP1).

subclones was assessed microscopically (Figure 2). Presence of the vector alone or RGR1 flanking regions (pAC320 $\Delta$ BG) permitted the expected low level of sporulation (4–6%). Presence of a functional RGR1 plasmid (pAC313, pAC320 or pRS414-RGR) stimulated sporulation two- or threefold. We detected no significant sporulation of **a**/**a** RGR1/RGR1 diploids carrying these plasmids (<0.2%), so increased dosage of the wild-type RGR1 gene alone does not relieve cell-type control of sporulation. There may be an interaction between the RGR1 and rgr1-100 products or a physiological basis for this phenomenon (see DISCUSSION).

Similarity between rgr1-100 and a sin4 null mutation: Studies on repression of the HO promoter indicate a functional relationship between RGR1 and SIN4(STILLMAN *et al.* 1994). Therefore, we determined whether a sin4 null mutation affected repression by RME1. Expression of an RC-CYC1-lacZ plasmid was measured in sin4::TRP1 strains that contained either functional  $P_{GAL1}$ -RME1 or nonfunctional  $P_{GAL1}$ -rme1-213 alleles (Table 4). In the null sin4::TRP1 background, RME1 repressed RC-CYC1-lacZ expression less than 2-fold (compare strains 1396 and 1397). We conclude that SIN4 is required for RME1-dependent repression.

To determine if the sin4 mutation blocked repression by preventing RME1 protein accumulation, we visualized RME1 polypeptide levels on an immunoblot (Figure 4). The sin4 mutant (lane 4) and wild-type strain

TABLE	5
-------	---

Effects of rgr1-100 on RME1-dependent activation

Strain	Relevant genotype	$\beta$ -Galactosidase activity <sup>a</sup>	
		$\Delta UAS-CYC1-lacZ$	RRE-CYC1-lacZ
1122	P <sub>CAU</sub> -RME1	<0.5	22
1124	P <sub>CALL</sub> -rme1-213	<0.5	1.6
1369	rgr1-100 P <sub>CAL1</sub> -RME1	1.7	226
1370	rgr1-100 P <sub>GAL1</sub> -rme1-213	2.3	45

<sup>a</sup> Strains carrying the  $\Delta UAS$ -CYC1-lacZ or RRE-CYC1-lacZ plasmids were grown to mid-log phase in synthetic galactose medium for  $\beta$ -galactosidase assays.  $\beta$ -Galactosidase activity, in Miller units, is the mean for three independent transformants. Values over 20 units had standard deviations of less than 20% of the mean; other values had standard deviations of 30%.

(lane 2) expressed comparable levels of  $M_r$  36,000 RME1. (The *sin4* mutant also expressed the  $M_r$  43,000 cross-reactive protein.) We conclude that SIN4 is not required for accumulation of the RME1 polypeptide.

It seemed possible that the *sin4::TRP1* mutation, like *rgr1-100*, would cause a methionine or cysteine requirement. Our original *sin4::TRP1* transformant displayed an auxotrophy for either methionine or cysteine. Among 16 tetrads from a cross between *sin4::TRP1* and *SIN4* strains, the requirement for either methionine or cysteine cosegregated with *sin4::TRP1*. We conclude that SIN4 is required for synthesis of methionine and cysteine.

Given the similar phenotypes of rgr1-100 and sin4::TRP1 strains, we were surprised that the selection for RC-HIS3 expression did not yield sin4 mutants. To see whether sin4::TRP1 would permit RME1-dependent activation of RC-HIS3, we analyzed 16 tetrads from a cross between strains 1343 (RC-HIS3 SIN4  $P_{GAL1}$ -RME1 gal80) and 1399 ( $sin4::TRP1 P_{GAL1}$ -RME1 GAL80). None of eight RC-HIS3  $sin4::TRP1 P_{GAL1}$ -RME1 gal80 segregants grew on galactose medium without histidine; all grew in the presence of histidine. Therefore, a sin4 null mutation does not permit RME1 to activate RC-HIS3 expression. Our initial assumption that mutants defective in RME1-dependent repression would display improved RME1-dependent activation through the repression cassette may have been in error.

Lack of linkage of RGR1 or SIN4 to RES1-1: The RES1-1 mutation was identified as a dominant mutation that relieves repression by RME1 (KAO et al. 1990). The RES1 gene has not been mapped or cloned, so we considered the possibility that RES1-1 might be an RGR1 or SIN4 allele. We tested linkage between RGR1 and *RES1-1* by integrating plasmid pAC300 (*RGR1::URA3*) into an a RES1 ura3 strain (1446-2C), crossing the integrant to an  $\alpha$  RES1-1 ura3 strain (JSH125 $\alpha$ ), and scoring meiotic tetrads for segregation of RGR1::URA3 and RES1-1. We found 6 PD, 1 NPD and 9 T tetrads among 16 tetrads with 4 viable spores. These results indicate that RGR1 and RES1-1 may be loosely linked ( $\sim 50$  cM), but are not allelic. We also tested linkage between sin4::TRP1 and RES1-1. Spore viability was poor in this cross (<30%). We found 1 PD and 5 T tetrads among 6 tetrads with 4 viable spores. In addition, we found 20 recombinant spores and 22 parental spores among 19 other tetrads. These results indicate that SIN4 and RES1-1 are not allelic.

#### DISCUSSION

Our previous studies had suggested that binding of RME1 to DNA is necessary but not sufficient to exert repression (COVITZ and MITCHELL 1993). With these observations in mind, we looked for genes whose products may determine whether RME1 activity is positive or negative. We have found that two previously characterized genes, *RGR1* and *SIN4*, are required for RME1dependent repression. Our results strengthen the proposal that RGR1 and SIN4 act in a single pathway and have implications with regard to the mechanism by which RME1 represses *IME1*.

Nature of the rgr1-100 allele: It seems likely that rgr1-100 causes a partial loss of RGR1 function. A null rgr1 mutation causes inviability (SAKAI et al. 1990), so the rgr1-100 product must have some activity. The idea that rgr1-100 causes decreased activity, rather than altered activity, is based on two observations. First, the phenotypes associated with rgr1-100 (Ts<sup>-</sup>, Met<sup>-</sup>, and RME1 repression defects) are recessive in heterozygous diploids. Second, the phenotypes we have found associated with rgr1-100 are similar, though less severe, than those associated with a C-terminal deletion allele,  $rgr1\Delta 2$  (SAKAI et al. 1990). Both alleles cause temperature-sensitive growth, incomplete cell separation (SAKAI et al. 1990), and a methionine or cysteine auxotrophy (A. MITCHELL, unpublished results). However,  $rgr1\Delta 2$  causes very slow growth even at permissive temperatures, whereas rgr1-100 does not. (We have not assessed RME1-dependent repression in  $rgr1\Delta 2$  strains because of their slow growth.) The interpretation that rgr1-100 is a mildly defective allele accounts for these observations.

Two of our observations cast some doubt on this interpretation. First, the rgr1-100 mutation appears to be a rare kind of allele: it arose in a population of  $10^9$  mutagenized cells. We have since been unable to isolate a similar mutation in another  $5 \times 10^9$  mutagenized cells (A. MITCHELL, unpublished results). We had expected impaired-function mutations to be more common. An allele such as rgr1-100 may be rare because the phenotypes require a precise reduction of RGR1 activity. Second, the rgr1-100 allele was dominant in tests of RGR1 plasmid complementation of a/a rgr1-100/rgr1-100 sporulation ability. Dominance is often considered an indication of elevated or altered activity. In this case, dominance arises through combined expression of RGR1 and rgr1-100, rather than independent functioning of the rgr1-100 product, because sporulation is actually improved by presence of an RGR1 plasmid. One explanation is that the wild-type and mutant products form a mixed oligomer with unique properties, in particular, when gene dosage is elevated. A second explanation is that sporulation may occur only in cells that have lost the RGR1 plasmid; sporulation might be improved by the more vigorous growth in generations preceding loss of the plasmid and RGR1 product. Although we are unaware of a precisely analogous observation in any other system, we note that both increases and decreases in histone H2A or H2B levels result in expression from defective promoters (CLARK-ADAMS et al. 1988). Thus the hypothesis that rgr1-100 causes reduced RGR1 activity can accommodate these observations, but the hypothesis should be considered tentative.

 $rgr1\Delta 2$  and rgr1-100 apparently differ in their effects on sporulation:  $rgr1\Delta 2$  prevents sporulation, whereas rgr1-100 permits sporulation in non- $a/\alpha$  cells. Why should mild and severe rgr1 alleles have opposite effects on sporulation? The sporulation defect of  $rgr1\Delta 2$  strains may arise from poor growth or some other pleiotropic effect of the mutation, not from an effect on RME1dependent repression. For example, SAKAI et al. (1990) reported that elevated cyclic AMP levels are found in  $rgr1\Delta 2$  strains; it has been shown that multiple defects in sporulation result from elevated cyclic AMPdependent protein kinase activity (MATSUURA et al. 1990). If rgr1-100 were to cause a slight increase in cyclic AMP synthesis, the phosphodiesterase-dependent feedback system would presumably compensate to maintain low cyclic AMP pools (NIKAWA et al. 1987).

 $rgr1\Delta 2$  causes several phenotypes we have not examined in rgr1-100 strains, including partial relief of glucose repression, reduced storage carbohydrate levels, and expression of HO in the absence of its activator SWI5 (JIANG and STILLMAN 1992; SAKAI *et al.* 1990). We infer that rgr1-100 does not fully relieve glucose repression because RC-HIS3  $P_{GALI}$ -RME1 gal80 rgr1-100strains were His<sup>+</sup> in galactose medium, not glucose medium. Understanding the relationship between RGR1 activity and the many mutant phenotypes will clearly require analysis of more rgr1 alleles.

**Relationship between RGR1 and SIN4:** The proposal that RGR1 and SIN4 have related functions is based on their common target genes and double mutant interactions (STILLMAN *et al.* 1994). Our observations support this idea. We found that *rgr1-100* and *sin4::TRP1* mutations cause defects in RME1-dependent repression

and cause a methionine or cysteine auxotrophy, which presumably results from a defect in sulfate uptake or reduction (JONES and FINK 1982). [DAVID STILLMAN (personal communication) has observed that sin4::TRP1mutants are Met<sup>+</sup> in strains unrelated to ours.] We observed that rgr1-100 permitted some expression of  $\Delta UAS$ -CYC1-lacZ, and it has been shown that a  $sin4\Delta$ mutation permits expression of genes lacking UAS regions (JIANG and STILLMAN 1992). Past studies have indicated that  $rgr1\Delta 2$  defects are more severe than sin4defects (STILLMAN et al. 1994), and suggest that RGR1 has a more central role than SIN4. Our finding that rgr1-100, and not sin4::TRP1, permits RME1dependent activation of the RC-HIS3 gene may be another example of the functional hierarchy.

The biochemical roles of RGR1 and SIN4 are unclear at present. Both deduced gene products are large (RGR1: 1082 residues; SIN4: 974 residues) and have no extensive homology to other known proteins (CHEN et al. 1993; Jiang and STILLMAN 1992; SAKAI et al. 1990). We have noticed that RGR1 has a possible leucine zipper (residues 812-833), which may serve as a region of protein-protein interaction. SIN4 has a possible zinc finger and a segment homologous to one nuclear targeting signal in the  $\alpha 2$  protein (CHEN et al. 1993). SIN4 probably does not cause repression by binding to a specific DNA site, though, because a lexA-SIN4 fusion protein activates transcription from a lexA binding site (JIANG and STILLMAN 1992). Two observations suggest a more general role for SIN4 in chromatin structure (JIANG and STILLMAN 1992). First, a sin4 $\Delta$  mutant expresses genes lacking UAS regions, as do strains depleted for histone H4 (HAN and GRUNSTEIN 1988). Second, a  $sin4\Delta$  mutant has decreased plasmid superhelicity. Although chromatin in rgr1 mutants has not been characterized, we found elevated expression of the CYC1-lacZ gene lacking its UAS region. Thus it seems reasonable that SIN4 and RGR1 might have a common role in chromatin structure. SIN4 and RGR1 may act together, or one protein may be required only for expression or activity of the other.

Models for repression by RME1: It possible that disruption of chromatin structure in sin4 and (presumably) rgr1-100 mutants causes a defect in RME1dependent repression. One model is that wild-type chromatin structure may be required for RME1 to bind to DNA. We consider this particular explanation unlikely, though, because rgr1-100 does not prevent RME1dependent activation. A second model is that RME1 exerts repression through a local change in chromatin structure; SIN4 and RGR1 would be required to maintain or transmit this structural alteration. We consider this idea appealing because a chromatin structural alteration may permit repression to be exerted over a considerable distance, as is the case at the IME1 gene. This model may be more rigorously tested if an RME1dependent change in chromatin can be identified.

We are grateful to members of the MITCHELL laboratory and to DAVID STILLMAN for helpful discussions. We thank DAVID STILLMAN, AKIRA SAKAI and MARY CLANCY for providing strains and plasmids. This work was supported by U.S. Public Health Service grant GM 39531 and by an American Cancer Society Faculty Research Award (to A.P.M.).

#### LITERATURE CITED

- ALANI, E., L. CAO and N. KLECKNER, 1987 A method for gene disruption that allows repeated use of URA3 selection in the construction of multiply disrupted yeast strains. Genetics 116: 541–545.
- BOWDISH, K. S., and A. P. MITCHELL, 1993 Bipartite structure of an early meiotic upstream activation sequence from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 2172–2181.
- CHEN, S., R. W. WEST, JR., S. J. JOHNSON, H. GANS, B. KRUGER et al., 1993 TSF3, a global regulatory protein that silences transcription of the yeast GAL genes, also mediates repression by α2 repressor and is identical to SIN4. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 831-840.
- CLARK-ADAMS, C. D., D. NORRIS, M. A. OSLEY, J. S. FASSLER and F. WINSTON, 1993 Changes in histone gene dosage alter transcription in yeast. Genes Dev. 2: 150–159.
- COVITZ, P. A., 1993 Regulation of meiosis by the *RME1* gene in *Sac-charomyces cerevisiae*. Ph.D. Thesis, Columbia University.
- Covitz, P. A., and A. P. MITCHELL, 1993 Repression by the yeast meiotic inhibitor RME1. Genes Dev. 7: 1598-1608.
- COVITZ, P. A., I. HERSKOWITZ and A. P. MITCHELL, 1991 The yeast RME1 gene encodes a putative zinc finger protein that is directly repressed by  $a1-\alpha 2$ . Genes Dev. 5: 1982–1989.
- ENGEBRECHT, J., and G. S. ROEDER, 1990 MER1, a yeast gene required for chromosome pairing and genetic recombination, is induced in meiosis. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 2379–2389.
- GRANOT, D., J. P. MARGOLSKEE and G. SIMCHEN, 1989 A long upstream region of the *IME*1 gene regulates meiosis in yeast. Mol. Gen. Genet. 218: 308-314.
- GUARENTE, L., and T. MASON, 1983 Heme regulates transcription of the CYCl gene of Saccharomyces cerevisiae via an upstream activation site. Cell **32:** 1279–1286.
- HAN, M., and M. GRUNSTEIN, 1988 Nucleosome loss activates yeast downstream promoters in vivo. Cell 55: 1137–1145.
- HERSKOWITZ, I., and R. E. JENSEN, 1991 Putting the HO gene to work: practical uses for mating-type switching. Methods Enzymol. 194: 132-146.
- HONIGBERG, S. M., R. M. MCCARROLL and R. E. ESPOSITO, 1993 Regulatory mechanisms in meiosis. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 5: 219-225.
   JIANG, Y. W., and D. J. STILLMAN, 1992 Involvement of the SIN4 global
- JIANG, Y. W., and D. J. STILLMAN, 1992 Involvement of the SIN4 global transcriptional regulator in the chromatin structure of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 12: 4503–4514.
- JOHNSTON, M., 1987 A model fungal gene regulatory mechanism: the GAL genes of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol. Rev. 51: 458-476.
- JONES, E. W., and G. R. FINK, 1982 Regulation of amino acid and nucleotide biosynthesis in yeast, pp. 181–299 in *The Molecular Biology of the Yeast Saccharomyces: Metabolism and Gene Expression*, edited by J. N. STRATHERN, E. W. JONES and J. R. BROACH. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- KANE, S., and R. ROTH, 1974 Carbohydrate metabolism during ascospore development in yeast. J. Bacteriol. 118: 8–14.
- KAO, G., J. SHAH and M. J. CLANCY, 1990 An RME1-independent pathway for sporulation control in Saccharomyces cerevisiae acts through IME1 transcript accumulation. Genetics 126: 823-835. KASSIR, Y., and G. SIMCHEN, 1976 Regulation of mating and meiosis in

yeast by the mating type locus. Genetics 82: 187–206.

- KASSIR, Y., D. GRANOT and G. SIMCHEN, 1988 IME1, a positive regulator gene of meiosis in S. cerevisiae. Cell 52: 853-862.
- LAW, D. T., and J. SEGALL, 1988 The SPS100 gene of Saccharomyces cerevisiae is activated late in the sporulation process and contributes to spore wall maturation. Mol. Cell. Biol. 8: 912–922.
- MATSUURA, A., M. TREININ, H. MITSUZAWA, Y. KASSIR, I. UNO et al., 1990 The adenylate cyclase/protein kinase cascade regulates entry into meiosis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae through the gene IME1. EMBO [ 9: 3225–3232.
- MITCHELL, A. P., 1994 Control of meiotic gene expression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol. Rev. 58: 56-70.
- MITCHELL, A. P., and I. HERSKOWITZ, 1986 Activation of meiosis and sporulation by repression of the *RME1* product in yeast. Nature 319: 738–742.
- MITCHELL, A. P., S. E. DRISCOLL and H. E. SMITH, 1990 Positive control of sporulation-specific genes by the *IME1* and *IME2* products in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 2104–2110.
- NIKAWA, J., S. CAMERON, T. TODA, K. M. FERGUSON and M. WIGLER, 1987 Rigorous feedback control of cAMP levels in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genes Dev. 1: 931-937.
- ROSE, M. D., F. WINSTON and P. HIETER, 1990 Methods in Yeast Genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- SAKAI, A., Y. SHIMIZU, Š. KONDOU, T. CHIBAZAKURA and F. HISHINUMA, 1990 Structure and molecular analysis of RGR1, a gene required for glucose repression of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 4130-4138.
- SHERMAN, A., M. SHEFER, S. SAGEE and Y. KASSIR, 1993 Posttranscriptional regulation of *IME1* determines initiation of meiosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 237: 375–384.
- SHERMAN, F., and P. WAKEM, 1991 Mapping yeast genes. Methods Enzymol. 194: 38-57.
- SIKORSKI, R. S., and P. HIETER, 1989 A system of shuttle vectors and yeast host strains designed for efficient manipulation of DNA in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 122: 19–27.
- SMITH, H. E., and A. P. MITCHELL, 1989 A transcriptional cascade governs entry into meiosis in yeast. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9: 2142–2152.
- SMITH, H. E., S. S. Y. SU, L. NEIGEBORN, S. E. DRISCOLL and A. P. MITCHELL, 1990 Role of *IME1* expression in regulation of meiosis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 6103-6113.
- STILLMAN, D. J., S. DORLAND and Y. YU, 1994 Epistasis analysis of suppressor mutations that allow HO expression in the absence of the yeast SWI5 transcriptional activator. Genetics 136: 781-788.
- STRUHL, K., 1986 Constitutive and inducible Saccharomyces cerevisiae promoters: evidence for two distinct molecular mechanisms. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6: 3847–3853.
- SU, S. S. Y., and A. P. MITCHELL, 1993a Identification of functionally related genes that stimulate early meiotic gene expression in yeast. Genetics 133: 67-77.
- SU, S. S. Y., and A. P. MITCHELL, 1993b Molecular analysis of the yeast meiotic regulatory gene RIM1. Nucleic Acids Res. 21: 3789–3797.
- TORCHIA, T. E., R. W. HAMILTON, C. L. CANO and J. E. HOPPER, 1984 Disruption of regulatory gene GAL80 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: effects on carbon-controlled regulation of the galactose/ melibiose pathway genes. Mol. Cell. Biol. **4**: 1521–1527.
- WOLFNER, M., D. YEP, F. MESSENGUY and G. R. FINK, 1975 Integration of amino acid biosynthesis into the cell cycle of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Mol. Biol. 96: 273–290.

Communicating editor: F. WINSTON