A Genetic Linkage Map of the Mouse Using Restriction Landmark Genomic Scanning (RLGS)

Yoshihide Hayashizaki,^{*,†} Shinji Hirotsune,^{*,†} Yasushi Okazaki,^{*,†} Hideo Shibata,^{*,†} Atsuko Akasako,[†] Masami Muramatsu,^{*} Jun Kawai,^{†,‡} Tsutomu Hirasawa,^{†,‡} Sachihiko Watanabe,[‡] Toshihiko Shiroishi,[§] Kazuo Moriwaki,[§] Benjamin A. Taylor,¹ Yoichi Matsuda,[∥] Rosemary W. Elliott,^{**} Kenneth F. Manly^{**} and Verne M. Chapman^{**}

*Gene Bank, The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN), Tsukuba Science City, Ibaraki, 305 Japan, [†]Department of Bioscience, National Cardiovascular Center Research Institute, Osaka, 565 Japan, [‡]Shionogi Research Laboratories, Shionogi and Company Limited, Osaka, 553 Japan, [§]Department of Cell Genetics, National Institute of Genetics, Mishima, Shizuoka-ken, 411 Japan, ¹The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, Maine 04609, [®]Division of Genetics, National Institute of Radiological Sciences, Chiba, 263 Japan, and **Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, New York 14263

> Manuscript received January 18, 1994 Accepted for publication August 6, 1994

ABSTRACT

We have developed a multiplex method of genome analysis, restriction landmark genomic scanning (RLGS) that has been used to construct genetic maps in mice. Restriction landmarks are end-labeled restriction fragments of genomic DNA that are separated by using high resolution, two-dimensional gel electrophoresis identifying as many as two thousand landmark loci in a single gel. Variation for several hundred of these loci has been identified between laboratory strains and between these strains and *Mus spretus*. The segregation of more than 1100 RLGS loci has been analyzed in recombinant inbred (RI) strains and in two separate interspecific genetic crosses. Genetic maps have been derived that link 1045 RLGS loci to reference loci on all of the autosomes and the *X* chromosome of the mouse genome. The RLGS method can be applied to genome analysis in many different organisms to identify genomic loci because it uses end-labeling of restriction landmarks rather than probe hybridization. Different combinations of restriction enzymes yield different sets of RLGS loci providing expanded power for genetic mapping.

TOP-DOWN approach for attaining a complete 🕰 map of the mouse genome uses a high density genetic map as the basis for identifying landmarks in the physical genome. Two approaches can be considered for identifying markers to be used for constructing maps of complex genomes such as mammals. One approach is to simplify the procedure for detecting loci by establishing robotized systems for characterizing sequence tagged sites (STSs) throughout the genome. For example, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods for detecting CA-repeat or simple sequence repeat (SSR) polymorphisms have been used to identify several hundred loci in the mouse genome (DIETRICH et al. 1992; HEARNE et al. 1991). SSRs are abundant in mammalian DNA with each site present throughout the gene pool. The number of tandem repeats at a locus is often variable between common laboratory strains and between Mus species and subspecies making these highly useful markers for genome analysis. SSR genetic markers have also been used to establish high resolution genetic maps of the human genome (NIH/CEPH Collaborative Mapping Group, 1992). Overall, the SSR loci have several advantages for genome analysis that lend themselves to the integration of genetic and physical maps. However, the PCR amplification is done separately for each locus, requiring mul-

tiple analyses of each individual. In addition, the unique sequence identifiers for each site must be determined for each organism, a procedure that requires a substantial investment of time for sequence analysis before these loci can be used for genome analysis.

As a second, alternative approach to genetic analysis, we have developed restriction landmark genomic scanning (RLGS) as a method of genome analysis which is based on the concept that restriction enzyme sites can be used as landmarks (HATADA *et al.* 1991; HAYASHIZAKI *et al.* 1993). This method provides an alternative, multiplex approach to genome analysis that can be used to map a large number of loci simultaneously.

The characterization and mapping of RLGS loci that have *Not*I or similar landmark sequences that are CpGrich frequently identifies CpG islands that are associated with functional genes. Thus, there is a high likelihood that cloned probes for these landmarks will identify homologous loci in other species that can be used for comparative mapping (KALCHEVA *et al.* 1995). In addition, many of the landmark restriction enzymes are methylation-sensitive providing additional opportunities for characterizing changes in the DNA methylation of a spot that may be related to developmental regulation of a gene (KAWAI *et al.* 1993) imprinting (HAYASHIZAKI *et al.* 1994), or genomic changes in methylation that accompany malignant transformation of cells (HIROTSUNE *et al.* 1992). Thus, there is a direct opportunity to relate methods of genome analysis to studying the regulation of specific loci or broader changes in genome function during development and in disease.

In this report, we demonstrate that RLGS mapping can be used effectively to identify a large number of loci and that these loci can be efficiently, accurately and rapidly mapped in the mouse genome using analyses of either RI strain or interspecific backcrosses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

RLGS

The RLGS procedure has three primary features that are critical for identifying restriction landmarks (HATADA et al. 1991). These include: (1) blocking, the pretreatment of genomic DNA with ddNTPs to fill nicked or broken sites that would be end-labeled in addition to the landmark enzyme cleavage sites; (2) landmark cleavage, the digestion of genomic DNA with restriction enzymes with overhanging ends that facilitate end-labeling (landmark enzyme, E_1) and (3) labeling the landmark cleavage sites in the genomic DNA. The RLGS profiles for a genotype are determined by digestion with three restriction enzymes. The first enzyme (E_1) is typically a rare site restriction enzyme such as NotI that defines the labeled restriction landmark. The end-labeled landmark fragments of genomic DNA are reduced in size by a second restriction enzyme $(E_{\rm B})$ before the DNA is separated in the first dimension. The third enzyme (E_c) is used to treat the DNA that is separated in the first dimensional gel and the cleaved fragments are then separated in a second dimension in an acrylamide gel.

Labeling: Genomic DNA (10 µg) was treated with 10 units of DNA polymerase I in 1× high salt buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 10 mм MgCl₉, 100 mм NaCl, 10 mм dithiothreitol] in the presence of 0.4 µм dGTP[aS], 0.4 µм dCTP[aS], 0.4 µм dCTP[aS], 0.4 µM ddATP[aS]. In place of ddTTP[aS] and ddATP[aS], ddTTP and ddATP of the same concentration can be used, respectively. This reaction mixture was incubated at 37° for 30 min. The DNA polymerase I was inactivated by heating at 65° for 30 min. The DNA was then digested with 100 units of restriction enzyme E_L, such as NotI. The reaction mixture was incubated at 37° for 1 hr. A sample of the reaction mixture was incubated with 0.5 µg control DNA, which was then analyzed by electrophoresis to confirm complete digestion. The cleavage ends were then filled in with 20 units of Sequenase Ver. 2.0 (U.S. Biochemical Corp.) in the presence of 0.15 µм [a-32P]dGTP (3,000 Ci/mmol) and 0.33 µм $[\alpha^{-32}P]dCTP$ (6,000 Ci/mol) at 37° for 30 min. The polymerase reaction was stopped by the addition of 9 µl of stopper [3 mM ddGTP and 7 mM ddCTP] reaction mixture. Phenol extraction was not performed at this point because it has been found to cause preferential loss of the largest NotI fragments.

Restriction enzyme digestion: The second digestion was performed by restriction enzyme E_B , such as EcoRV or PvuII, in 150 µl of 1× high buffer. After phenol extraction, the labeled DNA fragments were recovered by ethanol precipitation. The precipitate was dispersed by 10 µl of TE buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 1 mM EDTA], and the DNA concentration was adjusted to 0.2 µg/µl by dye solution [0.1% bromophenol blue (BPB), 0.1% xylene cyanol, 6% glycerol]. The labeled sample, 10 µl, was loaded onto 14 mm × 1 mm × 0.8 mm slot of horizontal thin layer 0.8% agarose gel (1-mm thickness), and subjected to electrophoresis in 1× TAM [50 mM

TABLE 1

Restriction	enzyme	combinations
	CHAVILLE	compinations

Combination	EL	E _B	E _C	Crosses analyzed
A	NotI	PvuII	PstI	BSS, BXD
В	NotI	EcoRV	HinfI	HSH
C	NotI	EcoRV	MboI	BXD

Restriction enzymes used to create RLGS patterns in different analyses. The landmark enzyme (E_L) , the second enzyme (E_B) and the third enzyme (E_C) are listed for each combination and the crosses analyzed using these combinations.

Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 0.7 mm magnesium acetate] for 12 hr at 3 V/cm (when the BPB reaches the end of the gel). The DNAcontaining portion of the gel was cut out as an $8 \text{ mm} \times 400$ mm gel strip. The gel strip was clipped between two glass plates separated by 1-mm spacers. 1.5 ml of the reaction mixture of 1000 units of restriction enzyme E_c, such as Hinfl, MboI or PstI in $1 \times$ high buffer was injected into the space between one side of glass plate and the gel top. After sealing the glass strips with tape to avoid drying, the DNA fragments were digested at 37° for 2 hr. The gel strip was soaked in 1× TBE [90 mM Trisborate, 2 mM EDTA], to exchange the buffer in gel. The digestion of the samples with the first and second restriction enzyme was determined by testing an aliquot of the sample in a test digestion with plasmid. The digested plasmid was examined in agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide. The digestion of the third restriction enzyme was not directly determined but preliminary analyses were carried out using increasing amounts of enzyme and examining the twodimensional profiles of labeled spots. The minimum units of enzyme required to complete the digestion of mouse genomic DNA were determined and a 10-fold excess of enzyme was used for routine analyses.

The strip was placed onto the top edge of the 5.0% polyacrylamide gel 45 cm \times 46 cm \times 1.0 thick and bonded to it with 1.0% SeaKem GTG agarose (FMC Inc.) in 1 \times high buffer. The DNA fragments in the agarose gel strip were separated in the second dimension using horizontal polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis at approximately 6 V/cm using constant power.

Restriction enzyme combinations: Three different restriction enzyme combinations have been used to derive RLGS patterns (Table 1). Combination A uses *NotI* (GC/GGCCGC) as the landmark cleavage that is end labeled followed by the cleavage with the 6-bp endonuclease *PvuII* (CGA/TCG). These fragments were separated in the first dimensional agarose gels and cleaved in the gel with the third endonuclease *PstI* (CTGCA/G). Combination B also used *NotI* for the landmark cleavage followed by digestion with *Eco*RV (GAT/ATC). The third cleavage was done with *HinfI* (G/ANTC). Combination C consisted of *NotI*, *Eco*RV and *MboI* (/GATC).

Mouse resources: Backcross progeny were produced from C57BL/6Ros (B6) and outbred *Mus spretus* (S) using (C57BL/6 × *M. spretus*)F₁ females × *M. spretus* males (BSS). The BSS progeny (n = 72) segregated C57BL/6 (B6) alleles for each locus so that the resulting genetic maps derived from this pedigree are for the B6 genome. The BSS progeny were analyzed using enzyme combination A. A second backcross was produced from C3H/HeJ (H) and *M. spretus* (S) using (C3H × *M. spretus*)F₁ × C3H/HeJ (HSH). The HSH backcross progeny (n = 35) segregated *M. spretus* alleles for each locus so that the resulting genetic maps are for the *M. spretus* genome. The HSH backcross was analyzed using enzyme combination B. Recombinant inbred (RI) strains of the BXD series were used to analyze genetically both B-specific and D2-specific RLGS spots using two separate enzyme combinations A and C.

TABLE 2

Molecular size ranges

1st Dimension Area separation in agarose A				2nd Dimension separation in acrylamide		
A	Upper border	13286	0	Upper border	1620	
B	13286	9688	1	1620	723	
C	9688	6223	2	723	511	
D	6223	4254	3	511	389	
E	4254	3472	4	389	260	
F	3472	2690	5	260	209	
G	2690	1882	6	209	149	
H	1882	1268	7	149	88	
I	1268	651	8	88	64	
J	651	Lower border	9	64	Lower border	

Molecular size ranges in the subdivisions of the first and second dimension of the electrophoretic separation of RLGS spots.

Identification of spots in an RLGS profile: Variant spots were identified by comparing the patterns of F1 hybrids with those of their parents. In the case of backcross progeny, either B6-specific or S-specific spots were marked and numbered on the RLGS film of the B6SF₁ hybrid or the HSF₁ to make the master plate. By comparing the RLGS profiles of backcross animals with the master plate, the presence or absence of the numbered spots was scored and progeny distribution patterns (PDPs) were collected. The segregation of B6-specific and D2specific RLGS spots were identified for the BDF, hybrid in the same manner. The strain-specific spots in this hybrid were analyzed in recombinant inbred (RI) strains and the resulting strain distribution patterns (SDPs) were used to identify linkage relationships in the BXD RI strain series. The spots were arbitrarily numbered on the autoradiogram and identified formally by the restriction enzyme combination used for the analysis, the strain that carries the variant allelic form, the strain background of the other parent, and the gel area codes representing decile regions of the first and second dimension indicated by letter (A-J) and numbers (0-9) respectively. The molecular sizes in the subdivisions of the first and second di-

TABLE 3

RLGS locus distribution

	Enzimo	RLGS profile subsection						
Cross	combination	I	II	III	IV	V	Total	
B6SF ₁	А	83	61	27	97	97	365	
B6D2F1	A	89	42	95	65		291	
HSF ₁	В	59	68	72	87	71	357	
$B6D2F_1$ Total	С	48	52	16	60	20	$\begin{array}{c} 196 \\ 1209 \end{array}$	

RLGS locus distribution in two dimensional profiles separated into subregions (I, II, III, IV and V) for F_1 hybrids of B6SF₁, B6D2F₁ and HSF₁ for the enzyme combinations A, B and C.

mension separation are shown in Table 2. As an example, spot AB(S) 393 in area code D3 would be an RLGS spot in a BSF₁ profile that is produced by enzyme combination A, it would be a B6-specific spot identified in the BSF₁ hybrid and it would be from a *NotI-PvuII* fragment of 4254-6223 bp (area D) that was cleaved by *PstI* to make a *NotI-PstI* fragment between 389 and 511 bp.

Genetic analysis

BSS backcross: The PDP for each locus was initially compared with the PDPs of centromeric satellite sequences that had been analyzed using in situ analysis of the major satellite probe pMR196 on karyotypically analyzed metaphase chromosomes of each backcross progeny (MATSUDA and CHAPMAN 1991: MATSUDA et al. 1993). The initial linkage analysis was performed using a modification of the program, RI Manager (Map Manager) (MANLY and ELLIOTT 1991), that was adapted to analyze backcrosses. Our strategy involved the identification of linkages between the centromeric heterochromatin of satellite sequences identified as heterochromatin, centromeric (Hc) of specific chromosomes and RLGS spots at the 99.99% confidence level. If linkage of more than one RLGS locus was identified to the Hc locus of a specific chromosome, the order of these loci on the chromosome map was determined by haplotype analysis and minimizing multiple recombination events. In using the centromeric heterochromatin as an anchor for



FIGURE 1.—Two-dimensional RLGS profiles for (A) BSF_1 using enzyme combination A; (B) BDF_1 using enzyme combination A; and (C) HSF_1 using enzyme combination B. The direction of the first dimension separation is from right to left and the relative position of the molecular sizes of the area codes is shown at the top of each profile. The area code subdivisions in the acrylamide dimension are indicated on the right side of each profile. Each RLGS profile is also subdivided into subsections (indicated by Roman numerals) that are shown in greater detail in Figure 2.



FIGURE 2.—Localization of numbered loci in the RLGS profile subsections indicated in Figure 1 for: (A) the B6-specific loci in the BSF₁ profile using enzyme combination A; (B) the B6- and D2-specific loci of the BDF₁ profile using enzyme combination A; (C) the H-specific loci of the HSF₁ profile using enzyme combination B; and (D) the B6- and D2-specific loci of the BDF₁ profile using enzyme combination C. Each subsection identified in Figure 1 is located in the same position indicated. RLGS spots identity codes are listed on the periphery of the subsection and connected by a line to the spot in the profile. The spot identity codes indicate the enzyme combination used in the first letter, the strain of origin of the variant spot as the second letter, the non-segregating parent strain in the backcross is shown in parenthesis and the specific spot number is the last 1, 2 or 3 digits.



В

FIGURE 2.—Continued

each chromosome we assumed that the *Hc* locus would be the most proximal marker on each chromosome. Once linkages of some RLGS loci to a specific chromosome were established, PDPs of these were used to identify linkage with additional loci. The procedure was repeated until no additional linkages could be identified for a chromosome. Additional chromosome specific markers were analyzed in the backcross series if the resulting chromosome map was shorter than what was expected from available literature and to provide additional references that would relate the RLGS genetic map to other the genetic maps of the mouse genome. This procedure also demonstrated that the RLGS genetic maps reliably localize to the expected chromosome. Gene order was determined from haplotype analysis and accepting those orders that minimized mul-

tiple recombination events across the chromosome. The combined use of a high confidence interval for linkage and haplotype analysis to establish gene orders provide the basis of error checking in the backcross genetic maps. For example, the occurrence of a double recombination that involved only a single locus was considered to be unlikely unless the flanking loci were more than 20 map units apart. When single locus double recombinations occurred, the recombinant locus was re-examined in the original autoradiogram. If the score was not changed upon re-examination, the locus was identified as provisional for a chromosome and placed on a chromosome by use of LOD scores for linkage. The extra recombination events that resulted from these events were not included in the overall chromosome map distance estimate and the order of



FIGURE 2.—Continued

2 °,



FIGURE 2.—Continued

the locus in the haplotype was considered to be ambiguous.

D

HSH backcross: A similar strategy of genetic analysis was followed in this backcross except that a series of anchor loci were analyzed for each chromosome. These loci included PCRbased SSR loci and functional genes that were determined by Southern analysis.

BXD RI strain analysis: The segregation of RLGS loci were analyzed in 26 BXD strains as either B6-specific or D2-specific

spots. Within the BXD series the B6-specific spots are identified by spot numbers that are either AB(D) # or CB(D) # while D2-specific spots are given the identifying numbers AD(B) #or CD(B) # where the first letter of the locus identifying code identifies the restriction enzyme combination used, the second letter identifies the strain that carries the variant locus and the third letter in parentheses is the strain identity of the second parental strain used in the cross. In this scheme, AD(B) #



FIGURE 3.—Segregation of spot AB(S)323 (see Figure 2A, subsection IV) in the BSS backcross. The surrounding spot profiles are shown for C57BL/6 and *M. spretus* parent, the F_1 hybrid and four backcross progeny. Spot AB(S)323 is the locus D5Ncvs19 in the BSS genetic analysis. The (B/B), (S/S) and (B/S) identify the genotype for the arrowed spot in each of the subpanels shown for B6 and *M. spretus* alleles.

is an RLGS spot from the enzyme combination NotI, PvuII, PstI that is variant in D2 in the hybrid combination with B6.

Locus identification: Each spot was given an identification number as previously described (see Figure 2) and its location in the RLGS profile was defined by an area code (Table 2). Each of the variant spots that were analyzed in one of the genetic crosses was also given a locus name. The RLGS phenotypes of individual loci were identified as DNA or D numbers for specific chromosomes. For example, locus D1Ncvs1 identified spot AB(S) 393 in area code D3. Where D1 was a DNA locus on chromosome *1*, Ncvs1 represents National Cardiovascular Center-Shionogi locus 1. Initially, the loci were numbered sequentially from the proximal end of the chromosome within a cross. Loci linked to the same chromosome from different crosses are numbered sequentially. B6-specific RLGS spots that varied between both DBA/2 and *M. spretus* are given the same locus symbol in both crosses.

FIGURE 4.—Comparison of RLGS profile subsets for C57BL/6 and DBA/2 and two RI strains, BXD1 and BXD2. A D-specific spot AD(B)53 for D7Ncvs44 is shown.

RESULTS

Variation in RLGS patterns: RLGS profiles of two laboratory mouse strains, C57BL/6 (B6) and DBA/2 (D2), the separate species, *M. spretus*, and their F₁ hybrids B6D2F₁ and (B6 × *M. spretus*) F₁ were examined. Variation was identified in the F₁ profile as a strainspecific spot that showed a 50% reduction in autoradiographic signal compared to the relative spot intensity in the parental profile. The identification of RLGS variation in the F₁ profile gave a more defined position of a spot compared to the RLGS spots of the opposite parent and it was independent of variation in the location of a specific spot between different gels. The extent of variation in RLGS spots between D2 and B6 and between

RLGS patterns of Recombinant Inbred Strain (BxD)

		(/		
Spot	BxD 1	BxD 2	C57BL/6	DBA/2
C57BL/6 specific spot	- 3	3	3	-
Strain distribution pattern (SDP)	В	D		
DBA/2 specific spot				
Strain distribution pattern (SDP)	D	в		

Genetic Maps of RLGS Loci in Mice

		TABI	LE 4				
Distribution	of	RLGS	loci	in	the	BSS	cross

				Haplot	ypes					
	RI CS Total		Nonreco	H	Recombinar	nt	Linked loci.	Length	Recombination	
Chr	loci	loci	Heterozygous	Homozygous	Single	Double	Triple	no. haplotype	(cM)	events
1	17	21	9	4	38	19	2	2	114	82
2	19	22	7	15	33	16	1	3	94	68
3	9	11	10	14	42	6	0	5	75	54
4	24	25	15	9	34	14	0	4	86	62
5	16	18	19	14	28	10	1	7	71	51
6	10	14	14	14	30	14	0	2	81	58
7	22	23	14	9	40	8	1	3	82	59
8	19	21	16	9	37	10	0	2	79	57
9	19	20	12	14	36	10	0	1	78	56
10	11	12	11	13	42	6	0	2	75	54
11	21	26	16	10	34	12	0	2	81	58
12	12	14	17	21	29	5	0	4	54	39
13	14	17	20	15	36	1	0	0	53	38
14	16	17	21	21	24	6	0	2	50	36
15	8	12	21	11	37	3	0	2	60	43
16	6	9	25	17	29	1	0	7	43	31
17	9	10	20	17	35	0	0	2	49	35
18	6	8	21	19	32	0	0	2	44	32
19	8	9	25	18	29	0	0	4	40	29
X	4	7	4	24	37	6	1	0	72	52
Total	270	316	317	288	682	147	6	56	1381	994

Distribution of RLGS loci in the genome of the cross BSS showing the number of RLGS loci mapped by haplotype analysis to each chromosome (Chr), the details of the haplotypes for each chromosome, the total number of loci ordered on the chromosome and the number of RLGS loci that were linked but not ordered. The total length of the chromosome in map units is represented as centimorgans (cM) and the number of recombination events across each chromosome.

TABLE 5

Distribution of RLGS loci in the genome of the HSH cross

				Haplot	ypes					
	RLGS Total		Nonreco	mbinant	F	Recombinar	ıt	Linked loci.	Length	Recombination
Chr	loci	loci	Heterozygous	Homozygous	Single	Double	Triple	no. haplotype	cM	events
1	20	24	9	3	20	3	0	0	74	26
2	14	19	14	6	13	2	0	2	54	17
3	21	29	5	5	20	5	0	0	86	30
4	30	35	7	4	20	3	1	1	89	29
5	22	25	5	7	16	7	0	0	86	30
6	20	23	6	7	18	4	0	0	74	26
7	13	16	7	12	16	0	0	0	46	16
8	11	16	7	6	18	4	0	2	74	26
9	14	18	11	6	17	1	0	2	54	19
10	15	17	11	8	15	1	0	0	49	17
11	19	24	8	10	13	4	0	0	60	21
12	15	18	10	10	14	1	0	1	46	16
13	18	22	9	7	16	3	0	0	53	22
14	16	19	9	6	16	4	0	0	74	24
15	12	14	12	13	10	0	0	0	57	10
16	12	16	11	1	19	4	0	0	77	27
17	11	15	11	13	11	0	0	1	34	11
18	10	12	8	11	16	0	0	1	46	16
19	6	8	12	17	6	0	0	0	42	6
X	4	6	15	10	10	0	0	0	29	10
Total	303	376	187	162	304	46	1	10	1204	399

Distribution of RLGS loci in the genome of the cross HSH showing the number of RLGS loci mapped by haplotype analysis to each chromosome (Chr), the details of the haplotypes for each chromosome, the total number of loci ordered on the chromosome and the number of RLGS loci that were linked but not ordered. The total length of the chromosome in map units is represented as centimorgans (cM) and the number of recombination events across each chromosome.

	Enzyme combination A			En	zyme combinatio	Total	D · 1	
Chr	Total	In B6	In D2	Total	In B6	In D2	loci	loci
1	32	13	19	14	7	7	46	8
2	16	6	10	12	6	6	28	6
3	16	10	6	10	4	6	26	8
4	23	13	10	22	14	8	45	16
5	9	6	3	10	7	3	19	4
6	16	11	5	5	3	2	21	4
7	13	6	7	14	6	8	27	4
8	21	11	10	22	11	11	43	8
9	13	5	8	11	6	5	24	8
10	6	3	3	2	0	2	8	4
11	27	12	15	16	6	10	43	16
12	19	7	12	6	4	2	25	8
13	9	4	5	7	5	2	16	2
14	6	4	2	10	6	4	16	8
15	8	4	4	5	3	2	13	0
16	4	2	2	2	1	1	6	0
17	16	8	8	5	2	3	21	6
18	6	3	3	3	2	1	9	6
19	11	6	5	8	3	5	19	6
X	3	1	2	1	1	0	4	0
	274	135	139	185	97	88	459	122
Inknown	22	7	15	11	7	4	33	
otal	296	142	154	196	104	92	492	199

TABLE 6

Distribution of RLGS loci in the BXD RI strain

Distribution of RLGS loci in the BXD RI strain analyses for enzyme combinations A and C. The number of B6-specific and D2-specific loci is shown for each enzyme combination. The total number of loci mapped to each chromosome is shown and the number of loci pairs where a B6-specific RLGS locus SDP is coincident with the SDP of a D2-specific locus with adjacent spot numbers (paired loci).

B6 and *M. spretus* was established by selecting a sample of clearly identifiable spots in the F_1 profile of these strain combinations and determining the number of these spots that varied between strains. In B6D2F₁ hybrids, 51 of 378 spots (13.4%) examined varied between the parental B6 and D2 strains. In the B6SF₁, 188 of 369 spots (50.9%) varied between B6 and *M. spretus* parents. On average, we would expect half of the variant spots to be either B6-specific or S-specific spots and that the segregation of these spots could be followed as genetic loci in backcrosses to either parent strain.

The B6SF₁ RLGS spots produced by enzyme combination A were distributed uniformly to each of the five subsections (I–V) of the second dimensional gel (Figure 1A). The spots in the top portion of the gel at the lower molecular weights of the agarose dimension show some clustering that suggests that these were NotI-PvuII fragments that were not cleaved by PstI (see Figure 2A, section IV; Figure 2B). The distribution of variant RLGS spots in each of these profiles was determined by arbitrarily separating the overall profiles into subsections shown for each of the F₁ combinations shown in Figure 1 (Table 2). In general, the profiles observed with enzyme combination A appeared to have a distribution of RLGS loci that more uniformly covered the entire second dimensional gel than the profiles of enzyme combinations B and C. A comparison of the number of loci identified on the right (the high molecular weight agarose dimension) and left side (the low molecular size

fragments) of the profile indicates that approximately half of the RLGS loci occurred on the left side of the profile in the enzyme combination A for both the BXD (subsections IV and V) and BSS (subsections IV and V) profiles (53% and 55%, respectively). By contrast, slightly less than half of the loci (44%) are found in the lower molecular size half of the profile (subsections IV and V) presented for the HSH comparison using enzyme combination B (Table 3), The differences in RLGS profiles reflect the larger fragment size produced by EcoRV compared with PvuII as the second enzyme in the production of RLGS cleavages of genomic DNA. These results demonstrate that genetic variation for RLGS spots is detected across most of the molecular weight categories of both electrophoretic separations. The total RLGS profile was separated into subsections to facilitate the identification of strain-specific RLGS spots. The segregating RLGS spots in the overall profile were numbered sequentially and these numbers along with the other aspects of the identifying code for each spot are shown on the periphery of the profile subsection with a connecting line to its position in the gel (see Figure 2).

Area coding RLGS profiles: The use of the area codes provides information for each of the RLGS loci that will be included in locus identifying tables. The localization of RLGS loci into specific regions of the two-dimensional gels was accomplished by dividing each of the electrophoretic dimensions into 10 subdivisions (Table 2). The locations of these different areas within the overall dis-



FIGURE 5.—Composite genetic maps for each mouse chromosome. The relative length of the BSS chromosomes represents the estimated cumulative recombination frequency from the haplotype analysis of Map Manager. The BXD chromosome length is normalized to the estimated size of the BSS chromosome. The length of HSH chromosome maps is also determined by recombination frequencies within the HSH cross. Loci that are common to each of the genetic maps are identified by arrows. Loci that have not recombined with neighboring loci are localized to the same position in the genetic map. In BXD, loci that show recombination, but cannot be ordered unambiguously are localized to the same position on the map. Loci that are provisionally linked to a chromosomal location by recombination and LOD score but not by haplotype analysis are identified by (D-Ncvs–). The location of provisionally linked loci is based upon the highest LOD scores for linkage with neighboring loci. Within each map, reference loci are listed on the right side of the genetic map and the RLGS loci identified as D-Ncvs are given on the left side.

tribution is shown for each of the profiles in Figure 1 A, B and C. For example, the B6-specific spot that is demonstrated in BSS backcross progeny in Figure 3 can be localized to the gel area coded as G4 from Figure 1A, subsection IV and Figure 2A. This indicates that there is a *NotI-PvuII* cleavage fragment between 1882 and 2690 bp separated in the agarose dimension and a further cleavage by *PstI* to a *NotI-PstI* fragment size of 260–389 bp. **RLGS** locus identification: The location of B6specific spots from the $B6SF_1$ RLGS profile produced by enzyme combination A are shown in Figure 2A. The B6-specific spots, identified by a star in the figure, were given a spot reference number that was localized by code to an area in the profile as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. An identical approach was used to identify and analyze RLGS spots in the BDF₁ hybrid with enzyme combination A (Figure 2B). In this case the B6-specific



spots are indicated by the second letter in the locus code with (D) as the second parent in the hybrid while the D2-specific spots were identified with D as the second letter in the code with (B) as the second parent in the cross. The identification of loci in the HSF₁ hybrid has B for the enzyme combination used, S for *spretus* as the segregating spots in the HSH cross and (C) for the C3H strain that is the non segregating parent in the cross (Figure 2C). The loci identified in the BDF₁ hybrid using enzyme combination C are shown in Figure 2D. The spot identifications for the BXDF₁ spots are given in Table 7. The complete list of loci that are identified by this coding system is available as an electronic data file both from Roswell Park Cancer Institute by anonymous FTP and also through MOSAIC to mcbio.med.buffalo.edu.

Segregational analysis of individual RLGS loci: A subset of RLGS spots from B6 (n = 17) and *M. spretus* (n = 19) and the F₁ hybrid (n = 22) are shown in detail (Figure 3). The spot, AB(S)323, that is identified by an arrow in each panel was present in B6 but absent in *M. spretus* and segregating in a sample of four backcross progeny. In addition, the relative intensity of the B6-specific spot in the F_1 and each of backcross progeny that was positive for the indicated spot was approximately 50% of that observed for the neighboring spots that are common to both parents. The F_1 profile shown also demonstrates the additivity of the parental profiles for additional spots. Three of the 17 B spots were B6-specific and 5/19 of the *M. spretus* spots were S-specific. The segregation of these spots and the effects of a single dosage were observed in the four backcross progeny shown.

The variation between inbred strains D2 and B6 is shown for two regions of an RLGS profile that demonstrates the segregation of B6-specific and D2-specific loci in BXD1 and BXD2 RI strains (Figure 4). These results also illustrate the lower frequency of variation between the inbred strain parents with 1 of 15 variant spots in the B6-specific group and 1 of 30 in the D2-specific panels.

Genetic analysis of RLGS loci

The method of genetic analysis of each backcross and RI strains was outlined in the MATERIALS AND METHODS. The complete description of the data as either PDPs for each backcross or as SDPs for RI strain analysis are avail-





able as Map Manager files that have been deposited with The Jackson Laboratory as indicated above. The Map Manager analysis format provides an opportunity for direct inspection of crossover events for each progeny and it allows a manipulation of locus orders that minimize multiple recombination events for each haplotype. A tabular description of the Map Manager analysis for each chromosome in the BSS cross and in the HSH backcross has been prepared that summarizes the number of haplotypes that were not recombinant, and the number of single, double, and triple recombination events that occurred for each chromosome (Tables 4 and 5). The total length of the mapped chromosome is given and the number of loci mapped is detailed. Genetic maps have been prepared for each chromosome from each cross (see Figure 5). The cumulative recombination frequencies in the two backcrosses were used to derive the overall lengths of the genetic maps and the relative distances between loci on each map. The lengths of the chromosomal maps for the BXD RI strains were normalized to the lengths of the BSS genetic maps.

Genetic analysis in the BSS backcross: The PDPs of 334 RLGS loci were analyzed for 72 BSS progeny. Of

these, the PDPs of 270 loci identified linkages and defined haplotypes for each of the chromosomes. The distribution of the ordered RLGS loci in the BSS series is shown in Table 4. These loci have been placed on chromosomal genetic maps primarily by their association to the segregating, major satellite that determined the centromeric heterochromatin locus (Hc) for each chromosome using FISH and karyotype analysis. An additional 26 reference loci have been added to the maps of specific chromosomes to align and extend the genetic maps. These loci include SSR loci and some functional genes that can be identified on the chromosomal maps (Figure 5). Thus, there are a total of 316 loci in the BSS genetic map (270 RLGS + 20 Hc loci + 26 reference loci) and recombination among these loci resulted in an estimate of 1381 map units for the mouse genome. A total of 994 recombination events were identified in these analyses of which 682 or 68% were single recombinant events, 294 recombinants (147 \times 2) or 30% were in double crossovers and 18 (6 \times 3) or 2% were triple recombinations.

D3Ncvs22

An additional 56 loci (linked but not ordered; Table 4) have been assigned to chromosomes by linkage analy-



FIGURE 5.——Continued

sis and given a D-number for a specific chromosome. The location of these loci on the chromosome was determined by the highest LOD score (ranging from 4.0 to 10.0) but their order could not be established unambiguously by haplotype analysis and they are not included in the final estimation of chromosome size in the genetic map. These loci are bracketed to indicate the provisional nature of the localization on the genetic maps of chromosome (see Figure 5). Detailed analyses of these loci indicated that 38/56 (68%) have between 1 and 4 single locus double recombinations at the chromosomal location that has the lowest frequency of recombination events. Two of the loci are candidates for the most distal locus on separate chromosomes but the inclusion of these loci on the map extended the estimated length of the chromosome by more than 10%. The remaining loci have either multiple single locus double recombinants that are either all B6-specific allele types flanked by S alleles in the haplotypes, 10/56 (18%) or the converse 4/56 (7%). The segregation ratios were distorted for several of those loci that had multiple, single locus double recombinations of excess B6-specific alleles surrounded by S alleles. These results suggest that an RLGS spot with variable expression could have interfered with the analyses of some of these spots. An additional 12 RLGS loci were analyzed that did not link to any of the established chromosomes at the 99% confidence level and 26 RLGS spots have not been included in the final analyses because of insufficient data.

Genetic analysis in the HSH cross: The PDPs of 329 M. spretus (S-specific) RLGS loci were determined for 35 HSH progeny. Linkage of these loci compared to a series of 73 reference loci that were distributed on all of the chromosomes was determined using Map Manager at the 99.99% confidence level. The chromosomal distribution of 303 mapped RLGS loci across the mouse genome is described in Table 5 and illustrated in Figure 5. The genetic maps of the HSH cross cover 1204 cM of the mouse genome with the genetic maps for individual chromosomes ranging from 29 cM for the X chromosome to nearly 90 cM for chromosome 4. The recombinational distance across the genome is based upon 399 recombination events of which 76% were single recombinants, 92/399 or 23% were double recombinations and 0.2% were triple recombinations. A frequency of 1 recombinant per 3.0 cM was observed in this cross which is consistent with the expected average recombination distance of 2.9 cM for 35 backcross progeny (Table 5).









Chromosome 10





FIGURE 5.——Continued

Ten loci were identified in the HSH cross as linked but not ordered showing a LOD score for linkage of 2.9 or greater to a specific chromosomal location. However, these loci could not be unambiguously ordered using haplotype analyses. These loci have been provisionally placed on the genetic map relative to the position indicated by the highest LOD score (Figure 5). There were 31 additional loci identified in this cross that did not show linkage either to other RLGS loci or reference markers at the 99% confidence interval.

Genetic analysis in BXD RI strains: The DNA from BXD RI strains were analyzed with two enzyme combinations A and C (Table 6). Both B6- and D2-specific loci were identified in each analyses with 142 and 104 B6-specific loci in enzyme combinations A and C, respectively and 154 and 92 D2-specific loci in the same combinations. The SDPs for





these loci are presented in Table 7. The loci from these analyses were distributed to all of the chromosomes (Figure 5).

It is possible that some of the D2- and B6-specific loci with coincident SDPs are alleles of the same locus. In some instances these loci may be associated with RLGS spots that have similar physical properties as indicated by a similarity of area code locations in the second dimension of electrophoresis. For example the loci





D1Ncvs73 and -74 identify spots CB(D)564 and CD(B)563, respectively, and both of these spots localize to area code I4. Using this criterion we identified 61 pairs of loci or a total of 122 loci that showed the same

properties across the genome. Thus, 25% or more of the loci identified in the BXD analyses could be allelic decreasing the total number of independent sites that are mapped to between 75 and 90% of the 473 loci that are







Chromosome X

distributed in the BXD maps (Figure 5). An excess of isolated "double crossovers" were found involving the BXD-31 strain. These data could be the result of either unusual patterns of genomic methylation in this strain or other technical problems with the analyses of data that were not readily detectable from the general quality of the RLGS analyses. Consequently, the SDPs for the BXD-31 should be considered provisional.

We examined the frequency of unknown alleles for each of the BXD strains used in these analyses. BXD28 had nearly 300 untyped loci which was probably due to partial degradation of the DNA sample. The data from the strain is retained in the analyses because the RLGS spots that were analyzed were consistent with the data derived from other strains. Overall, there were 211 of 460 loci (43%) that had no untyped strains while the frequency of unknown scores per locus showed ranged from 1 to 5 for an additional 45% of the strains if strain 28 was removed from consideration. In general, there was no evidence that there was any group or class of loci that was more difficult to type than others.

We identified 29 B6-specific RLGS loci that were variant in both BSF_1 and BDF_1 hybrids and they were mapped in the BSS cross and the BXD RI strains. Each of these loci mapped to chromosomal regions that were the same in both analyses. These results provided an independent verification of the genetic linkage of RLGS loci on 16 of the BSS and BXD chromosomes. An additional 13 loci that were not RLGS markers were analyzed in these same crosses that mapped to the same chromosomal region. The identification and location of the loci common to both the BSS and the BXD series is shown in the composite genetic maps (Figure 5). The validation of the chromosomal assignments in the HSH cross was based upon the coincident order of genes in this cross compared to the BXD RI strain maps. In this case, 33 of the 73 reference loci were typed in both analyses and they provide common references for the RLGS genetic maps in 16 of the autosomes of the HSH cross and the BXD series (Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

Our data demonstrate that RLGS profiles of different mouse genotypes are reproducible and that variation for specific spots in these profiles can be identified readily between inbred strains and between these strains and the separate species, *M. spretus*. Variants of individual landmark spots segregate as genetic loci in either backcrosses or RI strains. Thus, it is possible to analyze these loci in concert with other loci that serve as reference points for specific chromosomes to establish genetic linkage maps for individual mouse chromosomes. The composite genetic maps produced by these analyses cover all of the autosomes and the *X* chromosome with a combined map distances 1381 cM in the BSS backcross

Genetic Maps of RLGS Loci in Mice

TABLE 7

List of RLGS loci typed in the BXD analyses

			RI strains
		Area	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3
Locus	Spot	code	1 2 5 6 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2
D1Ncvs11	AB294	D3	вврввррврввввврврррововр
D1Ncvs12	AB139	E7	8 8 0 8 8 0 U 8 0 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 0 0 U 0 U
D1Ncvs15	AB78	H5	BUDBBDDBDBDBBBBBDDDDDUDDBD
D1Ncvs35	AD40	13	D B B D D B B B B B D D D D D D B B B D D U D B B
D1Ncvs36	AB200 AD165	DZ C3	8 8 8 9 9 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 8 8 8 8 9
DINCVS37 DINCVS38	AD360	D3	B B B D D B B B B B D D B D B B B B D D D U D U
D1Ncvs39	AD100	H7	BBBDDBUBBBDBBDBBDBBDDUDDBD
D1Ncvs40	AB101	H7	вввоивввввоввоввовиолово
D1Ncvs41	AD67	J4	ввиирввврвиввирвррорвиврвр
D1Ncvs42	AB110	17	8 8 8 D D B B B D B D B B B D B D D D D
D1Ncvs43	AD64	14	U U B D D B B B D B D U B B D B D D D D
DINCVS44	AD334 AD199	C4 C9	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
DINCV845 DINCV846	AD122 AD118	18	B B D D B B D B D B D B D B D B D B D B
D1Ncvs47	AB247	Cl	BBDUBBUBDBUBBBDBBDBBDUUDBD
D1Ncvs48	AB268	F2	ввивввовиввввиввоивоиорив
D1Ncvs49	AD13	H 1	И В D U U D D B D B D U B B B B D D D D D D
D1Ncvs50	AB283	F2	ввоввоовововввевооосооблово
D1Ncvs51	AD103	G7	B B D B B D D B D B B B B B B B D D D D
D1Ncvs52	AB265	E2 E9	8 8 0 8 8 0 0 0 0 8 8 8 8 0 8 0 0 0 0 0
DINCVS53 DINCVS54	AD284 AB84	FZ C5	
DINCVS54	AD236	C1	
D1Ncvs56	AD298	A3	BBDUBDDBDBBUUUDBDDDDUUDDBD
D1Ncvs57	AD109	17	B B D B B D D B D B B B B B B D B D D D D D D D D D D B D
D1Ncvs58	AD85	H5	ввоввоововвввввоооосоово
D1Ncvs59	AD113	J7	ввриррвврривввррррвриривр
D1Ncvs60	AB34	12	BBDDDDBBDDDBBBDDDDDBDUDBBD
D1Ncvs61	AD33	12	B B D D D B B D D D B B B D D D D B B D U D B B D
DINCVS02 DINCVS63	AB27 AD95	H2 119	ספפטטעפעעעעפפעעעעפפעעעעפפ חוז א אחממממו א ארא ארא ארא ארא ארא ארא א
D1Ncvs67	CD559	112 I3	BUDDBBUBDUUUDUDUBDDBDDDDBB
D1Ncvs68	CD658	H5	BUDDUUDBDBUUBDUBUDDBUDDBU
D1Ncvs69	CD493	E2	ввоввоиоврвиоввоовоорв
D1Ncvs70	CD446	G1	вивввоововвввввооооровв
D1Ncvs71	CD665	14	B
DINCVS72	CB457 CB564		8 8 D D D D D B B D B B D D D D B B D D D D D B B D B B D B B D B B D B B D B B D B B D B B D B B D B B D B B D
DINCVS75 DINCVS74	CD563	14	B B B D B B B B B B D B D B B B B B B D D B B B B B B D B
D1Ncvs75	CD688	H7	BBDDBBDDBBBDBDBDDDDBDBDBB
D1Ncvs76	CB492	F2	B
D1Ncvs77	CB447	G1	В U D B B D B B D B B B B B B B D D D D D
D1Ncvs78	CB507	F3	вврвврврввввввррррррив
D1Ncvs79	CB449	G2	вирворововиииириироророви
D1Ncvs80 D2Ncvs7	CB013 AB145	£5 C5	8 8 0 0 0 8 8 0 0 0 8 8 8 8 0 0 0 0 8
D2Nevs31	AB250	C2	
D2Ncvs32	AD303	C3	
D2Ncvs33	AD82	H4	D
D2Ncvs34	AD117	18	D D D B U U D B D D B D U D D D U D D B B U B D D B
D2Ncvs35	AB133	C9	B D D B D B B B B B D D U U U U B D D B D U D U
D2Ncvs36	AD132	C9	B D D U D B D B B U U D U U U U B D D B D U U U B D
D2NCV\$37 D9Ncvs38	AD243 AB337	AZ A5	8 8 8 8 9 9 8 9 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
D2Ncvs39	AD339	C5	
D2Ncvs40	AB244	B2	BBBDDBDBBDDDDUUBUUBDUUUBB
D2Ncvs41	AD41	J4	BBBDDBDBBDDDDDDBDDBDUDDB
D2Ncvs42	AB59	Ĭ4	D U B D D U D D U B B D D D D D B D D D D
D2Ncvs43	AD60	I4	D
D2NCvs44 D9Ncvs45	AD137	D8 U9	
D2NCVS45 D2Ncvs46	AD177 CD670	113 14	ייייפפייטעמממממיים ייייפפייטיייים ממחממממייי
D2Ncvs47	CD693	G7	BDDBDBBBBBBDDBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
D2Ncvs48	CD479	H2	
D2Ncvs49	CD608	F4	DDBDDDBDDBUBDUDDDDBBDBBDDD

Y. Hayashizaki et al.

TABLE 7—Continued

			RI strains
	_	Area	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3
Locus	Spot	code	1 2 5 6 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2
D2Ncvs50	CB671	I4	DBDBDDBBDDBDBDBDDDDBBBDBD
D2NCVS51	CB506	F3	DBDBBDBBDDBBBDBDDBDUBBBDUD
DZINCVS52	CB633	FO	вврвврррррвррирвирвиииивв
D2Ncvs53	CB485	G2	вирврврвввррррврррррврррв
D2Ncvs54	CB490	E2	ВВВВОВВОВВИООООВООВООООВ
D2Ncvs55	CB540	G3	
D2Ncvs56	CD541	G3	D U B D D B D D B B D D D D D D D D D D
D2Ncvs57	CD624	D4	D D B B B B B D D B B B D B D D B D B B B D D B D D D D
D3Ncvs1	AB74	15	D
D3Ncvs8	AB126	G7	воввовиоововвороввовивво
D3Ncvs24	AB68	J4	
D3Ncvs25	AB245	Cl	DDBBDDDDBDBBDDBBBBDUBDBB
D3Ncvs26	AD246	CI	DDBBDDDDBDUBDDBDBBBBDUBDBB
DOINCVSZ /	AB/5	10	ввовввооввовввооввовивовв
D3NCv820 D2Ncvs90	AD200	FZ	ввовввоввревререствове
DSNCv829 DSNcv820	AD203	EZ C4	0 8 0 8 8 8 0 8 8 8 0 8 8 8 0 0 8 8 0
D3New81	AD320	C4	
D3News39	AB143	C4 C6	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
D3Nevs33	AD195	G0 G8	
D3Ncvs34	AB206	G1	
D3Ncvs35	AD200	Gl	
D3Ncvs36	AD22	H2	BDBBDBDDBBBBDDDBBBBBBB BDBBDBDDBBBBBBBB
D3Ncvs37	AB21	H2	BDBBDBDDBBBBDDDBDBDBDBDBDBDBDB
D3Ncvs38	CD662	H5	
D3Ncvs40	CD590	H4	BDBBDBDDDBBBDDDDBDBDBDBBBB
D3Ncvs41	CD647	G6	BDBDDBDDBBBDUDDBDBDBDBBBB
D3Ncvs42	CD547	H3	DDBDDBDDDDBDBBBBDDDDDD
D3Ncvs43	CB495	E2	BDBDDBDDBBDBBBDBBBDBDBDUB
D3Ncvs44	CB527	F4	врввивровврввроровврвовв
D3Ncvs45	CB508	F3	вриврврорврввррриирврввив
D3Ncvs47	CB589	H4	врвврвровввррроврврврвв
D3Ncvs48	CD686	H8	врвирврорвввророврвоврвев
D3Ncvs49	CD521	E4	воввороровверовверстверстве
D4Ncvs10	AB340	D5	D B D B B D B D B D D D D D D D D D D D
D4Ncvs13	AB102	G7	DBDBBDBDBDDDDDBDBDBDDUDDBD
D4Ncvs14	AB152	F5	DBDBBDBDBDDDDBDDDBDDUDBD
D4Ncvs19	AB287	F3	
D4Ncvs25	AB18	HI	
D4Nevs57	AD317 AB318	F5	
D4New58	AD310 AD998	F5 F1	
D4Nevs50	AD225	E1 F5	
D4Ncvs60	AD341	D5	
D4Ncvs61	AD105	H7	
D4Ncvs62	AB65	14	
D4Ncvs63	AB205	H1	DBBDDBDDDDBDBDBDBDBUBDBD
D4Ncvs64	AB153	G5	D
D4Ncvs65	AD154	G5	DUBBDBBDDDDDUBBDBDBBUBDBD
D4Ncvs66	AB66	J4	U
D4Ncvs67	AD99	H7	D В D В B B D D D D D D B B D B D B B U B D B D
D4Ncvs68	AD171	F3	D В D В D В В D D D D D D B B D B D B U B U B D B D
D4Ncvs69	AD234	C1	D В D В D В В D D D В D D В В В В В В D U В D В D
D4Ncvs70	AB48	13	DBDBDBBDDDBDDBBDBBBDUBDBD
D4Ncvs71	AB357	HI	DBDBDBBDDBBDDBBDBBBBDUBDBD
D4Ncvs72	AB241	BI	
D4NCVS/3	AD17		ייייים משמעט אמעט אעט אעט אייר אטעט אעט אייר אייר אייר אייר אייר אייר אייר איי
D4NCvs/4 D4Ncvs/5	CD459 CD459		ממעממעעמטטממממעעעעעעעעעעע פפיייייי
DANCVS75	CD470	11 14	ממעטעטטעטטעטטעסטעסעסעסעע הווהייההאאאייייטאייי
D4Neve77	CD491	F1	
D4Nevs78	CB478	HI	DBUBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
D4Ncvs79	CB460	HI	UBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
D4Ncvs81	CB410	G1	DBUUBBBBUBDBBBBDDBDBBBDBB
D4Ncvs82	CB513	F3	DBUBBDBDUBDBBDBDDUDDDBBB
D4Ncvs83	CB480	H2	D В D В B D В D В D D D D D B D D D D D
D4Ncvs84	CD481	H2	D В D В В D В D В D D D D D D D D D D D
D4Ncvs85	CB641	G5	D

Genetic Maps of RLGS Loci in Mice

TABLE 7---Continued

			RI strains
		Area	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3
Locus	Spot	code	1 2 5 6 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2
 D4Ncvs87	CB586		
D4Ncvs88	CB543	G3	
D4Ncvs89	CD627	D4	
D4Ncvs90	CD529	F4	DBDUDDBDDDDDUBDUDBBBDBDBU
D4Ncvs91	CB491	E2	D В D В D В U D D D В D D В В В В В В В
D4Ncvs92	CB669	I4	D
D4Ncvs93	CD524	D4	D В D D D B B D D D B D D B B B B B B B
D4Ncvs94	CB525	D4	D В D В В В D D D B D D В В D В В В В В
D4Ncvs95	CB651	G6	DBDBBBDDBBDBBBBBBBDBBB
D4Ncvs96	CB652	Go	D
D4INCVS97 D5Ncws3	AB197	£4 C9	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
D5News18	AB161	G4	
D5Nevs45	AB218	FI	
D5Ncvs46	AB179	G3	BBDBBDBDBDBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
D5Ncvs47	AD181	G3	BBDBBDBDBDBDBBDDDDBBUBDDD
D5Ncvs48	AB220	E1	BDDBBBDDBUBDDBBDDBBUUDBD
D5Ncvs49	AD316	F4	D D D D B D D B B U D D B B D D B D U B U B
D5Ncvs50	AB164	G3	врровровввроввроввийрир
D5Ncvs51	AD163	G3	вороворевроверствоверство в с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с
D5Ncvs52	CD660	H5	D D U U D D D B U U D U D D B U U B B D D B B D D
D5Ncvs53	CD675	16	DUDDDDDDBBDBDDBBDDBB
D5Ncvs54	CD596	G4	BDDDDDDDDBDBDDBBDDBBBDBB
D5NCVS55	CB030	F5	D D D B B D U B B B D B D B B B B B B B
D5Ncvs57	CB083	1/	
D5Nevs58	CB554 CB616	П3 Е4	
D5Ncvs59	CB569	13	עסטפטפטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטט זיפספפוזסוווס ארווס ארווס ארווס ארוו
D5Ncvs60	CB435	 G2	BUDBDBDDBBBDDBDBDBDBDBBBBBBBB
D5Ncvs61	CB674	16	
D6Ncvs3	AB55	H4	BBDDBDBDBBBBDBDDBBDDUBDBB
D6Ncvs31	AD353	D7	U B B D D D D D D D D D B B B D D D B D U U D B D
D6Ncvs32	AB354	D7	BBBDDDDDDDBDDBBBDDDBDUBDBD
D6Ncvs33	AB151	F5	ввворорорвввовворвоивово
D6Ncvs34	AD190	G2	ввворввроввровровворивовв
D6Ncvs35	AB274	F2	вввирврврвввррровврриврвв
D6Ncvs36	AB30	H2	вввоововвввовооввоивовв
D6Ncvs37	AD169	F3	UBBDDUDBDBBBUDBDDBBDDUBDUB
DOINCVS38 D6Novs20	AB170	F3	UBBDDBDBDBBBBDBDBBDDUBDBB
DOINCVS39 D6Ncvs40	AD109 AD56	62 114	B B B D B D B D B B B D D B B D D B D B
D6Ncvs41	AB77	114	
D6Ncvs42	AD237	ČI	
D6Ncvs43	AB210	GI	
D6Ncvs44	AB138	D7	DBDBBDDBDBDBBBBBBDDBUDBDD
D6Ncvs45	AB148	F5	DBDBBDDBDBDBDBBBBDDBUDBDD
D6Ncvs47	CD661	H5	вввооволовввивооввоовво
D6Ncvs48	CD605	F4	D В D В D D В В D В D В D В В В D В D В
D6Ncvs49	CB405	HI	ввривврврвивврврриврррвввв
D6Ncvs50	CB614	E5	DBBDDBDBDBBBBDBDDBDDBBBBB
DOINCVSD1 D7News	CB480	G3	DBDBBDDBUBDBBDBBDBDDDBDBBB
D7Nevs6	AB512 AB54	LJ HS	B D B D B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B
D7Ncvs37	AD310	F3	
D7Ncvs38	AD204	H1	
D7Ncvs39	AD134	C9	
D7Ncvs40	AD160	G4	BBDBBDBDBDBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
D7Ncvs41	AB61	I4	BBDBBDBDDDBBBBBBDDBUBBDD
D7Ncvs42	AD62	I4	BBDBBDBDDDBBBBBBDDBUBBDD
D7Ncvs43	AD28	H2	BBDBBDBDDDDBDBBBBBDDBUBBDD
D7Ncvs44	AD53	H3	вврвврвррррврввввррвивврр
D/NCVS45	AB326	D4	BBDBBDBDUUUBUBBBBBUUBUBBBD
D/INCVS40 D7Nevs47	AB229	DI	BBDBBDUDDDDBDUUBBUUDBUBUBD
D7Nevs48	AD10 CD509		UUUBBUBUBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB BBBBBBBBBBBBB
D7Ncvs49	CD595 CD667	п4 15	B D B B B D B D B B B B B B B B B B B B
D7Ncvs50	CD494	15 F1	
D7Ncvs51	CD466	HI	UDBBBDBUBBDBDBDBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

Y. Hayashizaki et al.

TABLE 7—Continued

			RI strains
		Area	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3
Locus	Spot	code	1 2 5 6 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2
D7Ncvs52	CD440	G2	B U D D B B B B B D U B B B B B B B B B
D7Ncvs53	CD625	D4	врврввввррвввввриввррврр
D7Ncvs55	CB433	G2	В
D7Ncvs56	CB425	F1	B D U U U B B D U D D B B B B B D U B D B B B B
D7Ncvs57	CB534	G4	8 8 0 8 8 0 8 0 8 0 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
D7Ncvs58	CD445	Gl	
D7Ncvs59	CB514	F3	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
D7Ncvs63	CB423	F1	BBDUBDUDUDBBDBBBUDDBDBBUD
D7Ncvs64	CD654	H6	D
D7Ncvs65	CB465	I4	U U D B B D B U D B D B D B D B D B D D D D
D8Ncvs5	AB257	D2	D
D8Ncvs6	AB290	D2	D
D8Ncvs8	AB114	18	D
D8Ncvs31	AB321	D4	ВВОВВВОВООВООВООВО ВО В О О О О О О О О
D8Ncvs32	AD202	HI	ввввврвоввроввровриоро
D8Ncvs33	AD203	Hl	D
D8Ncvs34	AD73	I5	D
D8Ncvs35	AB217	F1	D
D8Ncvs36	AB338	C5	D
D8Ncvs37	AD259	D2	D
D8Ncvs38	AD111	17	D
D8Ncvs39	AD127	G7	D
D8Ncvs40	AB342	D5	D
D8Ncvs41	AD11	H1	D
D8Ncvs42	AB12	H1	D
D8Ncvs43	AD112	I7	D В В D D D D B D B B D D B D B U B D B D U B D B D
D8Ncvs44	AB230	D1	D
D8Ncvs45	AD351	D6	D В В D D D D B D B B D D B D B B D B D
D8Ncvs46	AB144	G5	D
D8Ncvs47	AB45	13	D В D D D D D B B D D B B D D D B D D D U D B D D
D8Ncvs48	AD46	13	D В D D D D D B B D D B B D D D B D D D U D B D D
D8Ncvs49	CD567	13	D
D8Ncvs50	CD412	G1	D U B U D D D B D B B D D B B B B B B B
D8Ncvs51	CD611	F4	D В В D D U D В D В В D D D D В В В В В
D8Ncvs52	CD448	Gl	D В В D D D D B D B B D D B D B B D B D
D8Ncvs53	CD428	F2	D В В D D D D B D B B D D B D B B B D B B B D B B D U B
D8Ncvs54	CD469	II	D B D D D D B D B U D D U D D B U D D D D
D8Ncvs55	CD444	Gl	
D8Ncvs56	CB619	E4	вввивврвиввррввврврвврии
D8Ncvs57	CD630	Eb	вврвррврввррввврвврвррр
D8Ncvs58	CB468	HI	R R R R D D R D R R D D R B R R D B B B D D R D R
D8NCV\$59	CB500	12	סעפפפפתומפפמססעפפעקקקקעעעפעפע סוופפפפקומפפקסקקקקקקקעעעעעע
D8NCVS00	CD511 CB510	F 3 E 9	עטפפמפפטטעפפעעעפפעפעפעעעטפעפע
DONCVS01	CD510	F5 C1	טטפפמפעפעפעפעפעפעפעעטפעפע
Doincvsoz Doincvsoz	CD411 CP505	GI E2	שעפפפפפפפפפים עעעפעפעפע עעטעפע חוז פפפפפפפיי הייייייייייייייייייייייייייי
Doincvs05	CB505	C3	
D8Nove65	CD693	D4	
D8Ncvs66	CB568	13	
D8Ncvs67	CD489	J.9 F2	
D8Ncvs68	CB419	F1	
D8Ncvs69	CB475	H2	DBBDBDBBUBBUDBDDBBDDDBBBB
D8Ncvs70	CB546	H3	DBDUUDBBDUUUUUDDUUDDUBDBBU
D9Ncvs17	AB308	D3	U B B D D B B B B B B B D B D B D B B B B B B B B B B
D9Ncvs34	AB323	D4	врвооорвввоорворовирово
D9Ncvs35	AD325	D4	U
D9Ncvs36	AD201	G1	ДВДДДВДВДДДДВДДВВДВИДДВВ
D9Ncvs38	AD233	D1	D В В D D D B D B B D D D D D B B B D B U D D B B
D9Ncvs39	AB80	H4	D В В D D В В D В В В D D D D D В В В D В U U D В В
D9Ncvs40	AD81	H4	D В В D D В В D B B B D D D D B B B D B U U D U B
D9Ncvs41	AD14	H1	D В В D D В В D В В В В D В D D D В В D В U В D В D
D9Ncvs42	AB231	D1	D В В D D В В D В В U В D В D D D В В D В U В В В D
D9Ncvs43	AD309	D3	DBBDDBBBBBBBDBDBDBDBUBBBD
D9Ncvs44	AD343	E5	воввоввввввоводоввовиввор
D9Ncvs45	AB196	G2	вививвввввивввироиввивово
D9Ncvs46	AD9	I1	DDBDBBBBBBUDBBBDDDBBUBDBD
D9Ncvs47	CD488	G3	
D9Ncvs48	CD594	H4	и в в разво в в в в разво в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в

Genetic Maps of RLGS Loci in Mice

TABLE 7—Continued

			RI strains
		Area	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3
Locus	Spot	code	1 2 5 6 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2
D9Ncvs49	CD403	H1	D D D U D D B D B B U D D B B D B D B D
D9Ncvs50	CD599	G5	вововвввввоввооовввеовв
D9Ncvs51	CB404	H1	D D D B D B B B U D D D B D B D B D B B B B
D9Ncvs52	CB487	G3	DUBDDDBDBBUDDDBDDBBDBBDDBB
D9Ncvs53	CB401	H1	DBBDDBBDBBDDBBDDBBDBBBDBB
D9Ncvs54	CB653	H6	DBBDDBBDBBBDDBDDBBDBBBBB
D9Ncvs55	CB467	HI	υ υ υ ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο
D9Ncvs56	CD471		
D9NCVS57	CB000	64 115	ממטפממעעעעפמעעפפמפמפעעפעפ תפתפוופהפתפפפתמפפפימיים
D10New90	AD05 AB988	C1	
D10Nevs30	AB230	H2	B D B D B B B B B D D D D D D D D D D D
D10Nevs31	AD21	H2	BDBDBBDBBBBDDDDDBDDDBUBBDD
D10Ncvs32	AB49	13	BDBDBBDBBBBDDDDDBDDBUBBDD
D10Ncvs33	AD50	13	BDBDBBDBBBBDDDUDBUDDBUBBDD
D10Ncvs34	CD655	H6	D D B D B U D D B B B D D B B B D B D B
D10Ncvs36	CB676	I6	D D D B B B B B B B D B D B D B D B D B
D11Ncvs42	AD124	G8	вввоврввооврвовворвоирвор
D11Ncvs43	AD168	F3	вввивввродивовиввиовоиовоо
D11Ncvs44	AD344	E6	DDBDBBBDDDDDBDBDBBBBBUDBBD
D11Ncvs45	AD264	E2	DDBDBBBDDDDDBDBDBBBBBUDBDD
D11Ncvs46	AD155	G4	D D B D B B B D D D B D B D B D D B D B
DIINCVS47	AB150	64 C2	
D11NCV\$48	AD100 AB150	G3 C4	
D11Ncvs50	AB104	H9	
D11Ncvs51	AB313	E3	BDBDBBBBBDBDDUDBDBBBBBUDBBD
D11Ncvs52	AD314	E3	BDBDBBBBDBDDBDBBBBBUDBBD
D11Ncvs53	AD195	H2	ворввоворорвороввевиовво
D11Ncvs54	AB258	D2	ворввоворорворорввевиовво
D11Ncvs55	AD256	D2	U D D B B D B D B D D D B B D D D B B U B U
D11Ncvs56	AB277	G2	BDDBBDBDBDDDBDDDBBBBUDBBD
D11Ncvs57	AD276	G2	B D D B B D B D B D D D D B D D D D B B B B U D B B D
DIINCVS58	AD249	C2 C2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
D11Nevs60	AD240 AD19		ספמטטטעפפטטטעפטעפטעפטעפ מפמפוומווים פממממפמט פרט ארוו אייד אייד אייד אייד אייד אייד אייד אי
D11Ncvs61	AD130	F9	
D11Ncvs62	AD166	F3	DDBDDBDDBDDBBDDDBDDUBDBD
D11Ncvs63	AB167	F 3	U
D11Ncvs64	AD37	J3	D D B U D D B D D B U D B U D D B D D D D
D11Ncvs65	AB38	J3	D D B D D D B D D B U D B B D D D B D D D D
D11Ncvs66	AB221	El	U D B D D B D D B D D D D D D D D D D D
DIINCVS67	AB182	G3 C9	
D11Ncvs60	AD185 CD451	G3 C1	מסתמטעעעפסמטפפט מסחסחפמפפסחמפחפפפצפחפוופ
D11Nevs70	CD583	14	
D11Ncvs71	CD454	G1	BUBUUBBBUBUDBUBDBBBUBDDBBB
D11Ncvs72	CD645	F6	ворорвиировроровововирир
D11Ncvs73	CD565	I2	вввововвоовововововоово
D11Ncvs74	CB452	G1	вивовввровврвовврвороооо
D11Ncvs75	CD461	H2	В
D11Ncvs76	CB597	G5	DBBDBBBDUDBDBBBDBBBBDDBD
DIINCVS77	CB453	GI	B U B U B B B B U B U D U U B D B B B U B D D B B B B
D11Ncvs70	CD407	្យo មា	פפפטטפפפפטעעעפעטטעעפעפעעפ זווירספוופרווטא
D11Ncvs80	CD622	D4	восворарароворововороворов
D11Ncvs81	CB520	E4	BDDBBDBDBDDBDDBDBBBBBBBB
D11Ncvs82	CB406	H1	BUDUUDBDUDUDUDUUDBUBDUBU
D11Ncvs83	CD615	E4	вооорвввооовоорвввоовв
D11Ncvs84	CD518	E3	D D U D D B B D B D D D U D D D B D D B D B
D12Ncvs1	AB76	15	BDBBDDDDBBBBBBDDDDDBDUBBBD
D12NCV82 D19Nove2	AB87 AB990	H5 109	
D12INCV85 D19Neve98	AD209 AD179	D2 C3	יייפיזיפיייטאממחמא באפמעעעע פפעפ יייפיזיפיייט אממעמא באפר מראר ארא ארא איי
D12Ncvs29	AD96	I6	BDBBDDDDBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
D12Ncvs30	AD97	17	BDBBDDUDBBBBBBDDDDDBDUBBBD
D12Ncvs31	AB94	H6	BDBBDDDDBBBBBBDDDDDBDUBUBD
D12Ncvs32	AD93	H6	BDBBDDDDBBBBBBDDDDDBDUBBBD

Y. Hayashizaki et al.

TABLE 7—Continued

Locus Spot code 1 <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>RI strains</th></th<>				RI strains
Locus Spot code 1 2 5 6 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 9 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 9 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 9 1 <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>Area</th><th>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3</th></th<>			Area	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3
D12Nex34 AB47 I3 D <t< td=""><td>Locus</td><td>Spot</td><td>code</td><td>1 2 5 6 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2</td></t<>	Locus	Spot	code	1 2 5 6 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2
D12Ncx34 AB47 IS B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B D D D B B D <t< td=""><td>D12Ncvs33</td><td>AD88</td><td>H6</td><td>воввороввввввороровочввво</td></t<>	D12Ncvs33	AD88	H6	воввороввввввороровочввво
D12Ncvs35 AB356 E7 B	D12Ncvs34	AB47	13	ВDВВDUDDВВВВВВDDDDDВDUВВВD
D12Ncx36 AD286 E2 B	D12Ncvs35	AB356	E7	ВDВВDDDDВВВВВDDDDDBDUВВВD
D12Ncx83 AD352 D6 U D <	D12Ncvs36	AD286	E2	врввррррввввврррррвривввр
D12Ncx83 AD98 H7 B B B D D B B B D	D12Ncvs37	AD352	D6	UDBBDDDDBBBBBBDDDDDBDUBBBD
D12Nexs49 AD311 E3 B D	D12Ncvs38	AD98	H7	ВОВВВОООВВВВВВООООВОИВВВО
D12Nexes41 AD269 F2 D B D B D B D	D12Ncvs39	AD311	E3	ВОВИВОООВВВВВВООООВОИВВВО
D12Nex84 AD26 12 D <t< td=""><td>D12Ncvs40</td><td>AD267</td><td>F2</td><td>DBUUDDBBDBDBDUDBDDUDUUUBUD</td></t<>	D12Ncvs40	AD267	F2	DBUUDDBBDBDBDUDBDDUDUUUBUD
D12Ncvs44 AD5 D7 B B D B D B D <t< td=""><td>D12Ncvs41</td><td>AD269</td><td>F2</td><td></td></t<>	D12Ncvs41	AD269	F2	
D12Nex44 CO602 C4 C4 B B B D	D12Ncvs42	AD5	12	D B D B D D B B D B D B D D D D D D D D
D12Nevs44 CD602 Cd B B B B D D13Nex34	D12Ncvs43	AD355	D7	BBBDBDUDBBDDBBDBBDDDUBDDD
D12Nev45 CD581 14 B B B D D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D D B D	D12Ncvs44	CD602	G4	врввровввровврорововрер
D12Nex+46 CG603 C4 B B B B B D D13Nex34	D12Ncvs45	CD581	I4	вввоооввоовооввоовоио
D12News4 CB623 E4 B B B U D B B D D B B D B B D B B D D B D D B D D D D D D D D D B D D D D B D D D D B D D D D B D D D D D B D	D12Ncvs46	CB603	G4	врввроввроврорововрво
D12Nexe49 CB664 I4 B B B U D D B B D D D B D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D	D12Ncvs47	CB635	F5	вввроввоввровороровов
D12Nexe49 CB664 14 B B B D D B D	D12Ncvs48	CB523	E4	вввирввроввроввророввро
D13Nexs4 D6 D D B B D D D D D D B D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D	D12Ncvs49	CB664	I 4	BBBDDDBDBDDBDBBBDDDBBB
D13Nex34 ABS 12 B D <th< td=""><td>D13Ncvs9</td><td>AB348</td><td>D6</td><td>D</td></th<>	D13Ncvs9	AB348	D6	D
D13Nexes4 AD23 H2 B D <	D13Ncvs33	AB8	12	BDBDBDDDDBDBBDDBDDDUBDDD
D13Nex35 AB211 F1 B D <	D13Ncvs34	AD23	H2	BDBDBDDDDBDBBDDBDDDUBDDD
D13Nxcs36 AD350 D6 D D B B B C D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	D13Ncvs35	AB211	F1	B
D13Nxcs37 AD188 G2 D B B B B D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	D13Ncvs36	AD350	D6	D
D13Nxx49 AB213 F1 D B B D <	D13Ncvs37	AD188	G2	D
D13Nexs40 AD296 C2 D B D D D D D D D D D D B B B D B D D D D D D D B B B D B D D D B B U B B D D D B B U B B D D D D	D13Ncvs39	AB213	F1	D
D13Nxxs41 AD92 H6 D B D D D D D D D D B B D D B B D D D B B D D D B D D D B B U D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B D D D B D D D B D	D13Ncvs40	AD296	C2	D
D13Ncvs42 CD591 H4 D B D D B D D D D D D B U B B D B D D D D	D13Ncvs41	AD92	H6	D В D D D D D B B B D B B D B D D D B B U B B D D
D12Novs43 CD658 F5 D B D D D D B B D B B D B B D B D D D B B B D B B D B D D B B B B B B D D D B B D B B D B D B B D B D B D B D B D	D13Ncvs42	CD591	H4	D В D D B D B U B B D B U D B D D D B D D B B D D
D13Ncvs44 CB417 F1 B D U D D B D	D12Ncvs43	CD638	F5	D В D D D D D B B B D B B D B D D D B B B B B D D
D13Ncvs45 CB515 E3 B D B D D D D D D D D D D D D D B D B D	D13Ncvs44	CB417	F1	врирвриррвврввррвврровви
D13Ncvs46 CG530 C4 D D B B D D D U U U D D B B D D U U U D D B B D D D U D D B D D D D	D13Ncvs45	CB515	E3	8
D13Ncvs47 CB592 H4 D B D D D B D D B B D D B B B D D B U B D D D B D D D D	D13Ncvs46	CB530	G4	D
D13Ncvs48 CB522 E4 D B D B D D B B D D B U B D D B U B D B D	D13Ncvs47	CB592	H4	D В D D В D D В В В В D В В D В D D D В D D В В D D
D14Ncvs34 AD176 G3 B D B D U D U B B B D U D U B B B D U B U B	D13Ncvs48	CB522	E4	D В D D В B D D B U B D B B D B D D D B D D B B D D
D14Ncvs35 AD192 G2 B D B D D D D D B D D D D B D D D D B B D B D B D B D D D D B B D B B D B B D D D D D B B D B D D D D B B B B B U D B D D D D D14Ncvs36 AB198 G2 B D B D D D D D B D D D D B B D D D D B B B B B U D B D D B D D D D B B B B B B U D B D D14Ncvs37 AB198 G2 B D B D D D D D D D B B D D D D B B B B	D14Ncvs34	AD176	G3	врвририввврививврввврий й й й
D14Ncvs36 AB191 G2 B D B D D D D B D D D B D D D D B B D D D D B B B B B D D B D D D B D14Ncvs37 AB198 G2 B D B D D D D B B B D D D D B B B B B D D B D D D B B B B B U D B U D14Ncvs38 AB193 H2 B D B D D D D D D D D D D D D D B B B B	D14Ncvs35	AD192	G2	BDBDDDDBDDDDBBDBBBUDBDD
D14Ncvs37 AB198 G2 B D B D D D D D B D B D D D D D B D B D	D14Ncvs36	AB191	G2	BDBDDDDBDDDDBBDBBBUDBDD
D14Ncvs38 AB193 H2 B D B D B D D D D D D D B B B B D D D D	D14Ncvs37	AB198	G2	BDBDDDDBDBDDDBBBBBBBUDBUD
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D14Ncvs38	AB193	H2	врворововоравивская с
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D14Ncvs39	AB325		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D14NCVS40	CD430 CD576	G2 14	עטפעפפפט אוווא פסט פעעעעעעעעעע
D14Acvs42 Cb573 J4 0	D14NCV841 D14Ncvs49	CD575	J4 14	ייים מפפר מיייים יייים מפר מיייים מאורייייים מאוריייייים מאורייייים מאורייייים מאורייייים מאורייייים מאורייייים
D14Ncvs44 CB648 C66 D B D B D B D D B D D B B D D B D D B D D B D D B B D D D B B D D B B D D D B B D D B B D D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D D B B D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D B B D D D B B D D B B D D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D B B D D D D B B D D B B D D B B D D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D B B D D D D B B D D B B D D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D U U B B B D D D SNcvs18 D14Ncvs49 CD609 F4 B B B B D D D D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D D U U B B B D D D B B D D B B D D D U U B B B D D SNcvs18 AB79 H4 B D B B B B B B D B D D B B D D B B D D U U B B B D 10 U U B B D 105Ncvs20 AD293 D3 B B B B D B D B B B D D B B D D B B D U U U B D U U B B D 15Ncvs21 AB335 B4 B B B B D D B B D B D B D B D D D B D U U B D D U U B D D 15Ncvs22 AD185 G2 B D B D D B B D B D B D B D D D B D D U U B D D U U B D D 15Ncvs24 AD147 G5 B D B B D D B B D B D B D B D D D B D D U U B D D U U B D D 15Ncvs25 CD595 H4 B D D D B B D D B B D D B B D D D B D D D U B B D D B B D D D B D D D B D D D B D D D D B D D D B D D D B D D D D B D D D D B D D D D B D D D D B D D D D B D D D	D14Ncvs42	CB529]+ C8	
D14Ncvs45 CB0436 G2 B D	D14News44	CB648	05 C6	
D14Ncvs46 CD474 12 B D	D14Neve45	CB486	60 C2	
D14Ncvs47 CB473 I2 B D D D B D D B D D D B D D D B D D D B D D D B D D D D B D	D14Ncvs46	CD474	19	
D14Ncvs48 CB610 F4 B B D D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D D B B D D D B D D D B D	D14Ncvs47	CB473	12	BDUDDDBBDDDBDBBBDDDBBBB
D14Ncvs49 CD609 F4 B B D D D B B D D B D D D B D D D D B D D D D D B D	D14Ncvs48	CB610	F4	BBBDDDBBUDBBDBBBDBDBBDBB
D15Ncvs17 AD214 F1 B D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D D B D	D14Ncvs49	CD609	F4	BBBDDDDBBUDBBDBBBDBBBDBB
D15Ncvs18 AB79 H4 B D B B B B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D D B B D D U U B B B D15Ncvs19 AB359 F9 B D B B B B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D D B B D D U U B B B D15Ncvs20 AD293 D3 B B D B D D B B D D B B D D D B D D D B D D D U U B D D D15Ncvs21 AB335 B4 B B B B B D D D B B D B D B D D D B D D D U U B B D D D15Ncvs22 AD185 G2 B D B D D B B D D B D D D D D D D U U B D D D15Ncvs23 AB107 I7 B D B B D D B B D D B B D D D B D D D U B D D U B D D D15Ncvs24 AD147 G5 B D B B D D B B D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D U B D D U B D D D15Ncvs25 CD595 H4 B D U B B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B B D B D B B D B D B B D B D B B D B D B B D B D B B D B D B B D B B D B D B B D B D B B D B D D B B D D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B	D15Ncvs17	AD214	F1	BDBBBDBDBBDBDDBBDDDUBBBD
D15Ncvs19 AB359 F9 B D B B D D D B D	D15Ncvs18	AB79	H4	вовввовооввововвоосивво
D15Ncvs20 AD293 D3 B B D D B D	D15Ncvs19	AB359	F9	вовввовооввивооввооииввво
D15Ncvs21 AB335 B4 B B B D D B D D B D D B D D B D	D15Ncvs20	AD293	D3	ввовооввоововорововочвоов
D15Ncvs22 AD185 G2 B D D	D15Ncvs21	AB335	B4	ввввооввоввовииввориивиор
D15Ncvs23 AB107 I7 B D B D B D B D B D B D B D B D B D B D B D B D B D B D B D B D B D B D D D D D U B D	D15Ncvs22	AD185	G2	вовиооввововоровороиворо
D15Ncvs24 AD147 G5 B D B D B D B D B D B D B D B D B D B D B D B D	D15Ncvs23	AB107	17	воввооввововороворочворо
D15Ncvs25 CD595 H4 B D U B B D D B D D B D D B D D D B D D D B D	D15Ncvs24	AD147	G5	воввооввововороворочворо
D15Ncvs26 CD531 G4 U B B D D D B B D D B B D D B B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D D B B D D D D D B B D D D D D B B D D D D D B B D D D D D B B D D D D D B B D D D D D B B D D D D D D B D	D15Ncvs25	CD595	H4	вдивввоворввоворвроорввв
D15Ncvs27 CB598 G5 B D B B B B D D D B B D D B B D D D B B D D D B B U D15Ncvs28 CB582 I4 B D B B B B D B D D B B D B D D D B B D D D B B B D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D B B D D D D D B D D D D B D D D D B D D D D D B D D D D D B D D D D D B D D D D D B D D D D D B D D D D D B D D D D D B D D D D D B D D D D D B D D D D D B D D D D D D D D B D	D15Ncvs26	CD531	G4	U B B D D D B B D D B B D D B D D B D B
D15Ncvs28 CB582 I4 B D B B B B D B D D B B D B D D B B D D D B B D D15Ncvs29 CB631 E6 B D B B U D B B D B D U D D D B D D D D	D15Ncvs27	CB598	G5	BDBBBDBDDBBDBDDBBDDDDBBUB
D15Ncvs29 CB631 E6 B D B B U D B B D B D B D U D D B D D D D	D15Ncvs28	CB582	14	BDBBBBDBDDBBDBDDBBBBB
U16Ncvsb AB136 ע9 ВВВВДВДВВВДДВИЦЬВДДДДДВ D16Ncvs94 AD193 C8 ввваляляляляля.	D15Ncvs29	CB631	E6	R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R
	D16Ncvs6		104	в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в
	D16Ncvs24	AB136	20	
DIGNERS20 AD349 DO BBBBBBBBBBBDDBDDBBB DIGNERS20 AD347 DC DBBBBBBBBBBBBBBDDBDDBBBB	DICNI. OF	AB136 AD123	G8	B B B D B D B B B D D B D D B B D D D D
ע ערטע ערט א געדע א געדע א געדע גע גער גערע גע געדע גע געדע גע געדע גערע גער	D16Ncvs25	AB136 AD123 AB349 AD247	G8 D6 F6	8 8 8 8 0 8 0 8 8 8 0 0 8 0 0 8 8 0
	D16Ncvs25 D16Ncvs26 D16Ncvs27	AB136 AD123 AB349 AD347 CD504	G8 D6 E6 D3	В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В

Genetic Maps of RLGS Loci in Mice

TABLE 7—Continued

			RI strains
		Area	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3
Locus	Spot	code	1 2 5 6 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2
	4.000	116	
D17Ncvs7	AB89	FIO C8	
D17New98	AD128 AB190	G8	
D17Ncvs23 D17Ncvs94	AD69	14	
D17Nevs25	AB72	15	UBUUBBUDBBBBDBBBDBDBDBUBDD
D17Ncvs26	AD31	10	DBDDBDDUBBBDDBBDDBDDBUBDDD
D17Ncvs27	AB32	I2	DBDDBDDUBBBDDBBDDBUBDDD
D17Ncvs28	AB4	I2	D В D D В D D U В В В D D В В D D В D D В U В D D D
D17Ncvs29	AD3	I1	D В D D В D D U В В В D D В В D D В D D В U В D D D
D17Ncvs30	AD135	I2	D В D D В D D U В В В D D В В D D В D D В U В D D D
D17Ncvs31	AB315	I2	DBDDBDDUBBBDDBBDDBUBDDD
D17Ncvs32	AB320	12	DBDDBDDUBBBDDBBDDBUBUBUBU
D17Ncvs33	AB6	12	DBDDBDDUBBBDDBBDDBDBUBUBUDD
D17Ncvs34	AD90	12	עעעפטפעעפעעפפעעפפטעעפעעפע
D17New86	AD291 AB35	12 19	
D17Nevs37	CD689	12	
D17Nevs38	CD441	12	
D17Ncvs39	CD681	12	DBDDBDDUBBBDDBBDDBDBUBDDD
D17Ncvs40	CB682	I2	DBDDBDDUBBBDDBBDDBDBUBDDD
D17Ncvs41	CB443	I2	D
D18Ncvs17	AD345	I2	D
D18Ncvs18	AB173	I2	D
D18Ncvs19	AD174	12	D В D D В D D U В В В D D В В D D В D D В U В D D D
D18Ncvs20	AD184	12	D В D D В D D U В В В D D В В D D В D D В U В D D D
D18Ncvs21	AB288	12	DBDDBDDUBBBDDBBDDBUBDDD
D18Ncvs22	CB548	12	DBDDBDDUBBBDDBBDDBDBUBDDD
D18Ncvs23	CD621	D4	врвиирирвврврирврввввврр
D18Ncvs24	CB020	D4 E6	
D10Ncvs1	AD340 AB909	E0 D8	עפעפטפפפפעעעפעעעפעעעעעע פחחפווחפווחפפפפפספחפחפקפ
D19Nevs16	AB292 AB981	F9	
D19Ncvs17	AD282	F2	BBBDDBBBDBBBBBBBDBBDDBDUBBBD
D19Ncvs18	AB158	G4	UBUDDBBBDBUBBBDBBDDUUUBUDB
D19Ncvs19	AD157	G4	U
D19Ncvs20	AB358	J4	D
D19Ncvs21	AD51	13	D В В D D В D В D В В В D В В В D В В D U В U В
D19Ncvs22	AB262	E1	U B B D D B D B D B B B B D B B B D B B B U B B B D
D19Ncvs23	AD261	E1	DBBDDBDBDBBBBDBBBDBBBUBBBD
D19Ncvs24	AD278	G2	DBBDDBDBBBBBBBDDBDBBDUBBBD
D19Ncvs25	AB91 CD480	HO	8 8 8 8 0 8 0 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 0 0 8 0 8 8 8 0 8 8 8 0 8 8 8 9 0 8 9 0 8 8 8 9 0 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
D19INCVS20 D19INcvs97	CD450 CD510	F2 F3	
D19Nevs98	CD402	GI	
D19Ncvs29	CD472	11	
D19Ncvs30	CB431	F2	B B D B B B B B B B B B B B B D B B D B B D B
D19Ncvs31	CB628	D5	D
D19Ncvs32	CD685	18	D U D D D B D D B B D B B D D D B D B
D19Ncvs33	CB455	G1	D
DXNcvs4	AB285	F2	вввоввврооввроворориввро
DXNcvs9	AD270	F2	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 0 0 0 8 8 8 0 8 0 8 0
DXNcvs10	CB555	H2	вввововввоввоввввввввв
Unlinked Loci:			
DONcvs	AB26	H2	ворввворвроввеценте в с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с
DONCVS	AB104	H7	DBDDBBDDBDBDBBBDBBBUDBBB
DONCVS	AB142	G7 C2	8 8 8 0 8 0 0 0 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
DONCVS	AD180 AB910	GZ F1	
DONCVS	AD219 AB975	EI Fl	
DONCVS	AD275	H1	מימימוי משע ששע שע
DONcvs	AD42	13	ממממט מממסט מממממם מממממם ממממ החיי היו החה היו נו ממנו ממממ מממ מממ מממ מ
DONcvs	AD52	13	BDBBDBDBDDDDBDDBDDBUDUDB
DONcvs	AD162	G4	BUBBUBBBBBDBBBBUBBBUBBB
DONcvs	AD212	F1	D
DONcvs	AD222	E1	
DONcvs	AD224	D1	D D D D D B D D D D D D D D D D D B D U D B D
DONcvs	AD225	D1	D U D D B D B B B D U D D D B B B D D D D

TABLE 7—Continued

			RI strains
Locus	Spot	Area code	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 1 2 5 6 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2
DONcvs DONcvs DONcvs DONcvs DONcvs DONcvs DONcvs DONcvs DONcvs DONcvs	AD256 AD327 AD330 AD333 CB422 CB434 CB542 CB560 CB604 CB639 CB664	A1 D4 C4 C4 F1 G2 G3 I3 G4 F5	U D D B D B D
DONcvs DONcvs DONcvs DONcvs DONcvs DONcvs	CB656 CD456 CD494 CD509 CD545 CD549	H5 H1 E2 F3 G1 G3	B U B U B B B V D D B B B D D B B D D B D D D B D D D D B B D

List of RLGS loci typed in the BXD analyses showing the locus identification number and area code in the RLGS profile. The SDP for each of these loci in the BXD series is given.

and 1204 cM in the HSH cross. These results indicate that the RLGS loci produce genetic maps that covered nearly 90% of the genome given an estimated size of the mouse genome of 1600 cM.

RLGS mapping has several experimental features that make it useful for genetic mapping. First, it offers the possibility of identifying several hundred variant spots simultaneously in the same electrophoretic gel. Second, RLGS spots represent robust genetic markers that identify sites/sequences that are common throughout the gene pool even though these spots are analyzed as dominant loci in genetic crosses. Variation for individual NotI landmark loci is dependent upon the total number of sequences that are recognized by each of the restriction enzymes used. In the case of NotI, PvuII, PstI there are a total of 20 bp that make up the sites that are essential for producing each two-dimensional spot. In addition to the probability of being variant for the enzyme sequence restriction sites there is the additional possibility of having insertions or deletions in the sequences separating these sites in the genome. In the initial analyses, the levels of RLGS variation among inbred strains and between inbred and M. spretus were similar to those reported for restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis using cDNA probes involving multiple restriction enzymes (V. M. CHAPMAN and R. W. ELLIOTT, unpublished data) and the levels of RLGS variation are 25-50% of that observed with PCR methods of molecular genetic analysis (LEROY et al. 1992; BEIER et al. 1992; DIETRICH et al. 1992). Third, it is possible to map these loci very rapidly. Each variant spot segregates as an independent genetic locus which means that several hundred loci can be identified simultaneously in the gel analysis of a single individual and crosses with up to 100 progeny can be analyzed in one month using existing laboratory protocols. Fourth, the number of loci can be extended by re-analyzing the same crosses using different restriction enzyme sets that identify additional landmarks. Restriction enzyme sets may differ either at the primary restriction site, which is labeled, or at the secondary sites, which determine the final size of the fragments. Fifth, RLGS methods can be applied directly to the genetic analysis of virtually any species without the previous development of either DNA probes or sequence information for PCR analyses. Finally, methods have been developed that allow the efficient recovery of *NotI* boundary clones corresponding to RLGS loci (HIROTSUNE *et al.* 1993). These can be used to characterize gene function for RLGS loci and establish sequence data for STS identification of the locus (HAYASHIZAKI *et al.* 1994).

The RLGS analyses of this study used horizontal electrophoretic methods. More recently, vertical electrophoretic approaches have been developed that will greatly reduce the costs of the RLGS analyses, particularly in the amounts of restriction enzyme required for the in-gel cleavage of the agarose-separated fragments. Moreover, the overall efficiency of the analysis has been improved by using a multiple gel approach for the acrylamide separation step in which up to 16 second dimensional gels are cast and run simultaneously. Thus, it is easily possible for one technician to produce 16 twodimensional gels in one day (Y. OKAZAKI, H. OKUIZUMI, N. SASAKI, T. OHSUMI, S. HIROTSUNE, J. KUROMITSU, H. KATAOKA, M. MURAMATSU, A. IWADATE, N. HIROTA, C. PLASS, V. M. CHAPMAN and Y. HAYASHIZAKI, unpublished). Working at this level, a backcross such as the BSS used in this study could be analyzed in 1-2 weeks of laboratory time and an RI strain set such as BXD can be analyzed in less than a week. Moreover, the relative cost per locus with the newer methods has been greatly

reduced so that the RLGS data will cost the same or less than the same amount of genetic information derived from other methods.

The genetic maps that are produced in a backcross can be expanded very easily since the DNA of the same individuals can be reanalyzed for the same landmark enzyme by using additional second and third enzyme combinations. It is possible also to identify additional RLGS loci by using different landmark cleavage enzymes $(E_{\rm I})$. In this study we used *Not*I but other enzymes such as AscI (GGCGCG/CC) can be end-labeled landmarks. In this study, we were able to demonstrate the feasibility of this approach in the analysis of BXD by using the enzyme combinations A and C. In principle, the analysis of additional enzyme combinations within a cross or RI strain set will increase the number of RLGS loci in the genetic map that are ordered by haplotype analysis. It will be possible to integrate the RLGS loci into the composite maps of the mouse genome by adding reference loci from other analyses into the backcross resources used for RLGS mapping.

The advantage of mapping RLGS loci that have CpG landmarks is twofold. First, these sites are commonly associated with CpG islands so that the RLGS loci have a high probability of identifying functional genes in the genome (ANTEQUERA and BIRD 1993). Second, because these sites are associated with functional genes it is likely that there will be homology between the RLGS loci in mice and other mammals, including humans. Cloned probes containing RLGS sites such as NotI linking clones or NotI boundary clones will have a high probability of cross hybridizing between species. We have recently used RLGS methods to identify an imprinted gene, U2AF binding protein related sequence (U2afbprs), on mouse chromosome 11 (HAYASHIZAKI et al. 1994). We have used the NotI boundary clone for this locus to identify a homologous locus on human chromosome 5q31 employing a combination of somatic cell genetic analysis and FISH (I. KALCHEVA et al., 1995). These results clearly demonstrate that RLGS loci in the mouse can be associated with functional genes and that cloned mouse probes can be used to identify homologous loci in the human genome.

The efficiency of using the RLGS method for genetic mapping depends upon the fraction of RLGS spots which vary between parental genotypes and the levels of heterozygosity for individuals in the population. In our analyses, we identified 6% B6-specific spots in the BXD series and 25% of the spots as B6-specific in the BSS cross. If the parental strain variation is independent in the two crosses we would have expected that 1.5% of the variant B6-specific spots would be common to both crosses. Thus, out of 1500–2000 spots in the B6 RLGS profile we would expect 25–30 B6 loci that are common to the BXD and BSS analyses. We observed 27 common loci.

There were 56 loci in the BSS cross and 10 loci in the HSH cross that showed a likelihood of linkage to specific chromosomal locations with LOD scores of 3.0 or greater. However, it was not possible to establish an unambiguous map order because of one or more single locus double recombinations. These results could have been the consequence of errors in the RLGS analysis or it could have been due to inherent limitations in the method itself. The reliability of the RLGS method depends upon the uniformity of the methylation status of landmark sites such as NotI. Two kinds of errors are possible. First, there may be some NotI sites that are variably methylated between different mice and the location of the RLGS spot for this NotI site is coincident in the RLGS profile with a spot that is variant in a cross being analyzed. Second, a variant spot itself may be subject to variable methylation so that the segregation pattern in backcross progeny is a function of both genetic segregation and variable expression. In the BSS cross there were 10 of 56 loci that were linked to chromosomes but showed excess double recombination events involving a single locus double crossover. The crossover events in these individual loci were B6-specific alleles flanked by S alleles. It is possible that some of these were due to either M. spretus polymorphisms or that they were an error of the first kind above, that is variable methylation of a coincident RLGS spot. There were four BSS loci which had single locus double recombinants of S-specific alleles flanked by B6 alleles. These would be consistent with the possibility of the second kind of error involving variable methylation of a variant spot. The basis of these errors will be better determined when cloned resources are available to examine individual loci in specific backcross progeny.

The multiplex nature of the RLGS method makes it an ideal technique for analyzing complex traits and quantitative trait loci. The RLGS loci are distributed to all of the mouse chromosomes in the BSS cross giving a global assessment of segregation for each chromosome region within a backcross. It is also possible to pool DNA from segregating backcross and even intercross progeny that share a common phenotypic characteristic and to analyze the pooled DNA as one sample. The resulting RLGS profile should be heterozygous for all of the unlinked loci but remain homozygous for those RLGS loci that are most closely linked to genes responsible for the selected trait. A similar approach can be used for positional cloning of mutant genes. The pooled sample can be analyzed with a large number of enzyme combinations to increase the number of RLGS loci that are linked. Experimental pools of up to 30 backcross progeny that are homozygous for the Mdr locus on chromosome 5 have been analyzed in this manner (Y. HAYASHIZAKI, unpublished data). The resulting RLGS profile did not differ from the BSF, profile for most of the RLGS pattern but the B6-specific spots were absent

for those loci that mapped most closely to the *Mdr* locus and there was an increasing intensity of the RLGS spots for B6-specific loci that mapped in increasing distances from *Mdr* on chromosome 5. Thus, these approaches can identify a large number of candidate loci that map in the vicinity of a mutant gene. By coupling the RLGS identifications with the newly developed methods for recovering spot clones it should be possible to localize a mutant locus and recover genomic sequence clones for the physical region in a highly efficient manner.

Two approaches are available to identify the molecular sequences of the RLGS loci, direct spot cloning (HIROTSUNE et al. 1993) and mixing of NotI linking clones with genomic DNA (HAYASHIZAKI et al. 1992). The spot cloning method has been demonstrated for the recovery of a NotI-boundary clones that identify an imprinted locus on mouse chromosome 11 (HAYASHIZAKI et al. 1994). The direct spot cloning technique provides a highly efficient method of recovering specific RLGS-spot DNA that can be cloned and characterized with conventional methods such as Southern analysis. Once a series of RLGS related boundary clones have been recovered and characterized they can be made available through conventional clone repositories and they can be sequence analyzed and converted into STSs.

The RLGS methods described in this report have led to the identification of more than 1000 loci in the mouse genome. This number of loci could be doubled easily using the existing backcross resources and RI strains within two weeks. By coupling the RLGS information with the increasing number of SSR markers that are available for the mouse genome, it should be possible to integrate RLGS loci with the composite maps that relate SSR loci to functional genes (COPE-LAND *et al.* 1993).

We thank KEIKO MATSUI and YUKARI SHIGEMOTO for their technical assistance. This work was supported in part by a Research Grant for the Human Genome Project from the Science Technology Agency Japan, a Special Grant for Promotion of Research from The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN), the Comprehensive 10-Year Strategy for Cancer Control and a Research Grant for Aging from the Ministry of Health and Welfare, a Grant-in-Aid for the Human Genome Program, a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas and other Grants-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture Japan and a Research Grant from the Uehara Memorial Foundation to YH. This work was also supported by U.S. Public Health Service grants GM33160 and HG00170 to V.M.C., HD28623 to R.W.E., GM18684 to B.A.T.

LITERATURE CITED

- ANTEQUERA, F., and A. BIRD, 1993 Number of CpG islands and genes in human and mouse. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90: 11995-11999.
- BEIER, D. R., H. DUSHKIN and D. J. SUSSMAN, 1992 Mapping genes in the mouse using single-strand conformation polymorphism analysis of recombinant inbred strains and interspecific crosses. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89: 9102–9106.
- COPELAND, N. G., N. A. JENKINS, D. J. GILBERT, J. T. EPPIG, L. J. MALTAIS et al., 1993 A genetic linkage map of the mouse: current applications and future prospects. Science 262: 57-66.
- DIETRICH, W., H. KATZ, S. E. LINCOLN, H.-S. SHIN, J. FRIEDMAN et al., 1992 A genetic map of the mouse suitable for typing intraspecific crosses. Genetics 131: 423-447.
- HATADA, I., Y. HAYASHIZAKI, S. HIROTSUNE, H. KOMATSUBARA and T. MUKAI, 1991 A genomic scanning method for higher organisms using restriction sites as landmarks. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88: 9523-9527.
- HAYASHIZAKI, Y., S. HIROTSUNE, I. HATADA, S. TAMATSUKURI, C. MIYAMOTO et al., 1992 A new method for constructing NotI linking and boundary libraries using a restriction trapper. Genomics 14: 733-739.
- HAYASHIZAKI, Y., S. HIROTSUNE, Y. OKAZAKI, I. HATADA, H. SHIBATA et al., 1993 Restriction landmark genomic scanning method and its various applications. Electrophoresis 14: 251–258.
- HAYASHIZAKI, Y., H. SHIBATA, S. HIROTSUNE, H. SUGINO, Y. OKAZAKI et al., 1994 Identification of an imprinted U2af binding protein related sequence on mouse chromosome 11 using the RLGS method. Nat. Genet. 6: 33–39.
- HEARNE, C. M., M. A. MCALEER, J. M. LOVE, T. J. AITMAN, R. J. CORNALL et al., 1991 Additional microsatellite markers for mouse genome mapping. Mammalian Genome 1: 273–282.
- HIROTSUNE, S., I. HATADA, H. KOMATSUBARA, H. NAGAI, T. NAKAGAWARA et al., 1992 New approach for detection of amplification in cancer DNA using restriction landmark genomic scanning. Cancer Res 52: 3642–3647.
- HIROTSUNE, S., H. SHIBATA, Y. OKAZAKI, H. SUGINO, H. IMOTO et al., 1993 Molecular cloning of polymorphic markers on RLGS gel using the spot target cloning method. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 194: 1406-1412.
- KALCHEVA, I., C. PLASS, S. SAIT, R. EDDY, T. SHOWS et al., 1995 Comparative mapping of the imprinted U2afbpl gene on mouse chromosome 11 and human chromosome 5. Cytogenet. Cell Genet. 68: 19-24.
- KAWAI, J., S. HIROTSUNE, K. HIROSE, S. FUSHIKI, S. WATANABE et al., 1993 Methylation profiles of genomic DNA of mouse developmental brain detected by restriction landmark genomic scanning (RLGS) method. Nucleic Acids Res. 21: 5604–5608.
- LEROY, H., D. SIMON-CHAZOTTES, X. MONTAGUTELLI and J.-L. GUENET, 1992 A set of anonymous DNA clones as markers for mouse gene mapping. Mamm. Genome 3: 244–246.
- MANLY, K. F., and R. W. ELLIOTT, 1991 RI Manager, a microcomputer program for analysis of data from recombinant inbred strains. Mamm. Genome 1: 123–126.
- MATSUDA, Y., and V. M. CHAPMAN, 1991 In situ analysis of centromeric satellite DNA segregating in Mus species crosses. Mamm. Genome 1: 71-77.
- MATSUDA, Y., K. F. MANLY and V. M. CHAPMAN, 1993 In situ analysis of centromere segregation in C57BL/6 × Mus spretus interspecific backcrosses. Mamm. Genome 4: 475-480.
- NIH/CEPH COLLABORATIVE MAPPING GROUP, 1992 A comprehensive genetic linkage map of the human genome. Science 258: 67–86.

Communicating editor: R. E. GANSCHOW