# **C-Terminal Deletions Can Suppress Temperature-Sensitive Mutations and Change Dominance in the Phage Mu Repressor**

Jodi L. Vogel,<sup>\*,1</sup> Vincent Geuskens,<sup>†</sup> Lucie Desmet,<sup>†</sup> N. Patrick Higgins\* and Ariane Toussaint<sup>†,2</sup>

*\*Department of Biochemistry, University of Alabama, Birmingham, Alabama 35294 and tLaboratoire de Ginitique, Unité Transposition Bactérienne, Département de Biologie Moléculaire, Rhode Saint Genèse, Belgium* 

> Manuscript received July 27, 1995 Accepted for publication December 6, 1995

### ABSTRACT

Mutations in an N-terminal70-amino acid domain of bacteriophage Mu's repressor cause temperaturesensitive DNA-binding activity. Surprisingly, amber mutations can conditionally correct the heat-sensitive defect in three mutant forms of the repressor gene, *cts25* (D43-G), *cts62* (R47-Q and *cts71* (M28-I), and in the appropriate bacterial host produce a heat-stable Sts phenotype (for survival of temperature shifts). *Sts* repressor mutants are heat sensitive when in *supE* or *supF* hosts and heat resistant when in Sup" hosts. Mutants with an Sts phenotype have amber mutations at one of three codons, Q179, Q187, or Q190. The Sts phenotype relates to the repressor size: in Sup" hosts *sts* repressors are shorter by seven, 10, or 18 amino acids compared to repressors in *supE* or *supFhosts.* The truncated form of the *sts62-I*  repressor, which lacks 18 residues (Q179-V196), binds Mu operator DNA more stably at **42"** *in vitro*  compared to its full-length counterpart *(cts62* repressor). In addition to influencing temperature sensitivity, the C-terminus appears to control the susceptibility to *in vivo* Clp proteolysis by influencing the multimeric structure of repressor.

THE repressors of temperate bacteriophage are sensory proteins as well as operator-specific DNAbinding proteins. The function of the repressor **is** to integrate the biology of the virus with the biology of the host bacterium. For example, phage  $\lambda$  repressor is designed to detect DNA damage in the host (CRAIG and ROBERTS 1980; LITTLE 1984). When DNA damage leads to an increase in the abundance **of** intracellular singlestranded DNA intermediates, the activated RecA protein acts as a coprotease that stimulates repressor cleavage and eliminates the repressor's cooperativity in DNA binding. This proteolytic cleavage reaction places  $\lambda$  under the umbrella of the SOS regulatory network. Similarly, phage Mu repressor connects viral transposition to the physiology of its host. Insight into physiological control over Mu transposition comes from three lines of work. (1) Transposition patterns in growing colonies containing *Mudlac* fusion elements can be visualized using the blue X-Gal cytological stain (SHAPIRO 1984; SHAPIRO and HIGGINS 1989; HIGCINS 1992). *As* colonies grow and age, the products of transposition are revealed in colonies as blue staining rings and wedge-like sectors; these patterns demonstrate that transposition is temporally and spatially regulated within the colony.

*Corresponding author:* N. Patrick Higgins, Department **of** Biochemis**try** and Molecular Genetics, University **of** Alabama, 861-A Bevill Biomedical Research Bldg., Box 13, 845 19th **St.** South, Birmingham, *AL* 352942170. E-mail: **nphiggins@bmg.bhs.uab.edu** 

<sup>1</sup> Present address: NIH-NIAID-LVD, Bldg. 4 Room 133, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, MD 20892.

<sup>2</sup>Present address: Laboratoire de Biochimie des Micro-organismes, CERMO, Université J. Fourier, PB 53X, 38041 Grenoble cedex 9, France.

(2) Since Mu's transposition pattern is characteristic in different strains, host mutants can be identified that change the pattern. Bacterial genes known to alter the frequency or timing of Mu transposition include *hns*  (FALCONI *et al.* 1991), *jis* (FALCONI *et al.* 1991; BETER-MIER *et al.* 1993), *clpP* and *clpX* (GEUSKENS *et al.* 1992; SHAFTRO 1993; MHAMMEDI-AIAOUI *et al.* 1994), *crp* (SHA-PIRO 1994; A. MAENHAUT-MICHEL and J. A. SHAPIRO, personal communication) and DNA *PolI* (SHAPIRO 1992). Two of the proteins encoded by this group of genes, H-NS and FIS, change their abundance in response to cell physiology and consequently alter the expression of numerous operons in *Escherichia coli*  (BALL *et al.* 1992; FINKEL and JOHNSON 1992; DERSCH SCHMIDT and BREMER 1993). **(3)** In rare cases, Mu transposition activity produces chromosomal rearrangements that are selectable. For example, transposition of a Mu prophage situated between a defective *lac* op eron and a promoterless *aru* operon can generate fusions that place the *ara* operon under control of *lac*  regulatory sequences (SHAFTRO 1984). The probability of forming Mu-driven *ara-lac* fusions varies, being significantly higher in physiologically stressed cells compared to cells grown on a rich medium (SHAPIRO 1984; MITTLER and LENSKI 1990; FOSTER and CAIRNS 1994; MAENHAUT-MICHEL and SHAPIRo 1994). Whereas these observations show that physiology significantly alters Mu activity, little is known about the control circuits that modulate transposition.

Genetic and molecular studies **of** Mu repressor show that the protein has **two** domains that work together to establish and maintain repression at the Mu operator: an N-terminal segment that binds operator DNA and a central region that contains a multimerization site.

**The repressor headpiece:** The N-terminal portion of repressor (amino acids M1-L77 and hereafter referred to as the headpiece) recognizes and binds operator DNA. Evidence for this conclusion stems from three observations. (1) Several changes in the headpiece alter DNA-binding activity of the protein. For example, 12 of 13 randomly selected temperature-sensitive mutants changed a single residue at one of four positions in the N-terminal domain: S18-L in *cts45,* M28-1 in *cts71,* **G43-**  D in *cts25* and R47-Q in *cts62* (VOGEL *et al.* 1991). These mutants are temperature sensitive for lysogenic growth *in uiuo,* and the purified *cts62* repressor is temperature sensitive for DNA-binding activity *in uitro.* (2) A chimeric protein consisting of 63 amino acids of the headpiece fused to an enzymatically active  $\beta$ -galactosidase protein confers immunity *in uiuo* and binds the operator DNA *in uitro* (VOGEL *et al.* 1991). (3) The Mu repressor headpiece is similar in sequence to the N-terminal domain of the Mu transposase, which also binds operator sites to enhance transposition efficiency (HARSHEY *et al.*  1985; LEUNC *et al.* 1989; MIZUUCHI and MIZUUCHI 1989). A recent NMR-derived structure of the N-terminal domain of Mu transposase proposes a novel "winged helix-turn-helix'' DNA-binding motif for this region of the protein (CLUBS *et al.* 1994).

**The multimerization domain:** Mu repressor binds to nine sites in operator DNA through a cooperative mechanism (KRAUSE and HIGCINS 1986; VOGEL *et al.*  1991). Whereas the headpiece can function when appended to a large foreign tetramerization element like  $\beta$ -galactosidase, the repressor-lacZ chimera is much less effective at establishing repression *in uiuo* and binding DNA *in uitro* compared to the wild-type or *cts* repressor (VOGEL *et al.* 1991). A leucine-rich segment in the central portion of the protein, which spans amino acids L121-L162 and is referred to here as the central domain, has been proposed to support efficient multimerization (VOGEL *et al.* 1991). Studies **of** the *cts4* mutation (L129-V), which affects the oligomeric structure of the repressor, is consistent with this hypothesis (J. E. Laachouch, **P. ROUSSEAU,** L. DESMET, R. ALAZARD, M. CHANDLER, and A. TOUSSAINT, unpublished results).

**The operator:** Bacteriophage Mu has three operator sites:  $O_1$ ,  $O_2$ , and  $O_3$  (Figure 1) KRAUSE and HIGGINS 1986; VOGEL *et al.* 1991). Two convergent promoters within the  $O_2$  and  $O_3$  operator sequences are the control points for lytic and lysogenic transcriptional regulation: the  $P_E$  promoter starts early lytic transcription and the **P,** promoter starts transcription of the phage repressor gene  $c$  (Figure 1). A model for regulation of  $P_E$  and  $Pc$  transcription proposes that a DNA loop is formed by repressors bound to operator sites in  $O_1$  and  $O_2$ (VOGEL *et al.* 1991). The loop, which is stabilized by IHF binding and bending of the DNA at a position between  $O_1$  and  $O_2$ , blocks RNA polymerase transcription from the  $P_E$  promoter but permits transcription from the P<sub>c</sub> promoter (VOGEL *et al.* 1991; ALAZARD *et al.* 1992; GAMA *et al.* 1992). Repression of P<sub>c</sub> transcription involves a larger loop with repressors bound at three sites,  $O_1$ ,  $O_2$ , and  $O_3$  (VOGEL *et al.* 1991).

**The repressor** *tail:* The focus of this report is the G terminal segment, which includes residues 1170-V196 and is hereafter referred to as the tail. The tail influences **two** distinct repressor activities, DNA binding and protein stability. We discovered amber mutants at three glutamine codons in the repressor tail that shorten the repressors and suppress the heat sensitivity of three temperature-sensitive headpiece mutants: *cts25, cts62,* and *cts71.* This is the only case that we know of where temperature-sensitive defects are corrected by protein shortening, and it shows that the tail modulates DNAbinding activity of repressor. Second, the repressor tail determines repressor susceptibility to degradation through a proteolytic pathway catalyzed by the ATPdependent ClpP/ClpX protease. Dominance of Clp proteolysis in *uiuo* is mediated through the tail. These two behaviors suggest that the tail influences the physiological behavior of repressor and it may be part of **a**  sensory input/output element that links Mu repression to the physiology of its host.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and plasmids:** The bacterial strains, phages, and plasmids used in this work are listed in Table **1.** Plasmids used in cloning were **pUC19** (YANISCH-PERRON et *al.* 1985) and pRS551 (SIMONS et*al.* 1987). All cell growth was in Luria-Bertani medium (LB) prepared as described previously (MILLER 1972).

**Bacteriophage:** Mu *clr3* (c3213) was isolated as a spontaneous clear mutant from Mu  $c^+pAp1$ , which is a recombinant between Mu  $c^+$  (TAYLOR 1963) and Mu  $cts62pAp1$  (LEACH and SYMONDS 1979). Mu sts mutants were isolated after *mutD*  mutagenesis (in KD1079) by the method described by TOUS-SAINT et *al.* (1987). The mutants sts62-1 and sts62-2 were derived from Mu cts62pKn7701 (kil<sup>-</sup>). The mutants sts25-I and sts71-1 were derived from **Mu** cts25pApl and Mu cts7lpAp1, respectively, by direct selection for survival of lysogens at 42". All the *cts* mutations used were described previously (VOGEL *et al.* 1991). The different Mu ctspApl derivatives were constructed by recombination between a Mu *cts* phage and a cryptic prophage (Mu  $\Delta 9A pA p1$ ) that carries a deletion removing the c, *ner,* and A genes (TOUSSAINT *et al.* 1987). Lysates of Mu *cts* phage grown on a strain carrying F'pro *1ac::Mu*   $\Delta$ 9ApApl were used to infect 594 selecting for Ap<sup>R</sup> lysogens. To clone Mu repressor genes in single copy, we used the plasmid and A phage system developed by SIMONS *et al.* (1987). Repressor genes were cloned into plasmid pRS551 and subsequently recombined into  $\lambda$  RS45, which inserts in single copy efficiently at *attB.* 

**Repressor cloning, purification, and assays:** Preparation and analysis of Western blots has been described previously **(GEUSKENS** *et al.* 1991). Methods for cloning repressor mutants and for sequencing plasmid DNA were described previously (VOGEL *et al.* 1991). Purification of the short form of the sts62-1 repressor was done using extracts of N99(pJV213). Repressor synthesis was induced in cells grown in a 28L New

# Amber Suppression of *Ts* Repressors

# 663

# **TABLE 1**

#### **Strains used**



Brunswick fermentor at *37".* When the culture reached an **A,,5o** of 1, isopropyl thiogalactoside was added (1 mM), and incubation was continued for **3** hr at *37".* Purification of repressor followed the method of KRAUSE and HIGGINS (1986), and the purified protein  $(>95\%$  pure as judged by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis) was tested for contaminating nuclease activity. There was no detectable single- or doublestranded DNase activity using linear or supercoiled DNA sub strates. Repressor binding reactions were carried out as described previously (VOGEL et *al.* 1991). Plasmid pHKO9 was labeled at the EcoRI site by filling restriction enzyme-cut ends with  $\alpha^{32}$ P-dATP and the Klenow large fragment of DNA PolI. Then, 10- $\mu$ l reactions containing  $5.5 \times 10^{-9}$  M pHK09 DNA, the indicated amount of repressor, 50 ng/ml salmon sperm DNA and binding buffer were incubated for 1 hr at **30".** Five units of HindIII restriction enzyme were added to each mixture, and restriction enzyme cleavage was carried out for 1 hr by incubation at 30°, 37° or 41°. Products separated on a 7.5% polyacrylamide gel were visualized by autoradiography. The fraction of cut and uncut DNA was measured by densitometry.

# RESULTS

**Isolation of amber suppressors of** *cts* **repressors:** *An*  unexpected class of repressor mutant was isolated from Mu *cts62* lysogens using a selection designed to find amber mutations in phage transposition/replication genes. Mu derepression kills cells by two mechanisms: expression of the cytotoxic *kil* gene **(PAOLOZZI** and **SY-MONDS** 1986) and transpositional scrambling of the host genome. The selection involves **two** manipulations. First, Mu *cts kit* lysogens in a wild-type host are induced by shifting exponential cultures from **30"** to **42".** This treatment induces viral replication and results in efficient killing of induced cells by replicative transposition. Rare survivors of the heat treatment are isolated, a suppressor tRNA gene is introduced, and the heat induction is repeated to recover phage that replicate only when a suppressor is present. This two-tiered selec-





tion was previously used to find amber mutants in the Mu *A* (transposase) and *B* genes (TOUSSAINT *et al.* 1987) and also to isolate mutants in nonessential host genes required for Mu transposition (ROSS *et al.* 1986). However, one additional mutant class with wild-type host and phage replication functions had lost thermo-inducibility in Sup" strains of bacteria. Such mutants had an intriguing Sts phenotype (for surviving temperature shift); in strains with no amber suppressing t-RNA they were thermo-stable, but in amber suppressing strains they were thermo-inducible like the parental type.

In addition to allowing lysogens to survive temperature shifts, *sts* mutants had a related lysogenization phenotype. For example, the parental Mu cts62pAp1 phage lysogenizes most strains of *E. coli* >1000-fold less efficiently at 42" compared to 30". This behavior is due to the heat-sensitive DNA-binding activity of the *cls62*  repressor protein (VOGEI. *et al.* 1991). An *sts* mutant *(sts62-2)* lysogenized Sup" strains of *E. coli,* x4100 and 594, as efficiently at  $42^{\circ}$  as at  $30^{\circ}$ . Yet, on three ambersuppressing strains, XA102 *(supE),* C600 (supE44), or x4103 *(supF),* lysogenization of *sts62-2* was indistinguishable from Mu cts62. Five independent mutants *(sts62-I* to *sts62-5)* behaved identically. Data for the *sts62-2* mutant is shown in Table *2.* 

Phage production after thermal induction of Mu *sts*  lysogens also responded to the amber genotype of the host. For example, a *supE, supFE. coli* strain (BHB2600) lysogenic for the Mu *sts62-1* prophage produced a titer of  $5 \times 10^{10}$  plaque-forming units per ml (PFU) after shift from  $30^{\circ}$  to  $42^{\circ}$ , but the *E. coli* Sup° strain (594) carrying that same prophage produced a titer of only  $10^7$  PFU. This is >1000-fold lower than the amount of phage produced in a 594 (Sup") strain carrying the parental Mu cts62 prophage (Table 3). When a plasmid with an amber suppressor t-RNA (RP4SuAlp2) was introduced into the strain, thermo-inducibility of the Mu *sts62-1* was restored.

Plaque morphology followed the pattern seen in induced liquid cultures. Each *sts* mutant made turbid plaques at 30° and 42° when plated on Sup° hosts but made clear plaques at 42° on *supE* or *supF* hosts. The Sts phenotype was stable, and phage retained their phenotype after lysogenizing Sup<sup>o</sup> or *supF* hosts or after lytic growth on strains with or without suppressors. Thus, *sls*  mutants have conditional inducibility: in Sup<sup>o</sup> hosts they are pseudo-wild type and heat resistant like phage carrying a wild-type repressor, but in hosts with suppressor tRNAs they are heat inducible like *cts62.* These results suggested that *sts* phage retain the *cts* mutations.

All of the results described above were obtained with a single temperature-sensitive repressor allele, cts62, which carries an R47-Q substitution. This amino acid substitution might have exceptional properties since it accounts for half of all *cts* mutants isolated after EMS, nitrosoguanidine, or *mutD* mutagenesis (VOGEI. *a1 al.*  1991). To find if other *cts* alleles behaved in a similar way, we looked for *sts* mutants, starting with phage carrying either *cts25* or *cts71* repressor genes. Strains lysogenic for Mu cts25pAp1, which has a G43-D substitution, or cts7lpAp1, which has a M28-I substitution, were plated on LB at 42". Survivors were purified and mated with a strain carrying  $RP4SuA1p2$ . Phage were induced from these cultures by heating to 42", plaques were streaked to isolate individual phage, stocks were grown, and lysogens were isolated at 30". Like the lysogens of Mu *sts62-1* and Mu *sts62-2*, lysogens of Mu *sts25-1* and Mu *sts71-1* were stable at 42° in Sup° and heat sensitive in amber-suppressing hosts. **We** conclude from these results that amber mutations can suppress three different heat-sensitive repressor mutations and that the Sts phenotype is not a unique allele-specific effect.

**Mu** *sts* **mutants lack C-terminal amino acids:** The amber suppression of heat sensitivity was difficult to reconcile with the fact that *cts62, cts25,* and *rls71* mutations all change the primary sequence of a DNA-binding domain at the N-terminal end of the protein (Figure 1). The *rts62* repressor protein has dramatically impaired DNA-binding activity at 37° and 42° (VOGEL *et al.* 1991). How could nonsuppressed amber mutations stabilize DNA-binding activity of three *cts* mutant proteins? TO address this question, the *sls* repressor genes were cloned and sequenced. In each case, the *rts* mutations were retained and secondary amber mutations were

### Amber Suppression of Ts Repressors



**TABLE 3** 

Phage (PFU/ml) after thermoinduction of Sup° and  $\text{supE}/F$  lysogens

<sup>*a*</sup> **RHR9600** 

<sup>b</sup>XA103. ND, not determined.

found in the repressor tail (Figure 1). Five independent The DNA sequence analysis predicted that in a Sup<sup>o</sup> sts mutants derived from cts62, one sts mutant derived host, *sts* mutants would produce repressors shorter than from  $cts25$ , and one *sts* mutant derived from  $cts71$  had the  $cts62$  (or  $c^+$ ) repressor by seven, 11, and 18 amino each gained an amber mutation at one of three CAG acids, respectively. In *subE* or *subF* hosts we expect both codons, Gln179 *(sts62-1* and *sts62-3)* Gln187 *(sts62-2,* the truncated and the full size forms because amber *sts62-4, sts62-5, and sts71-1) and Gln190 (sts25-1).* suppression is usually incomplete. Proteins expressed



**Amino-acid sequence at the C-terminus of wtandvirmutants** 



FIGURE 1.-Mu operator DNA and sequence of repressor mutations. Mu operator DNA has three repressor-binding segments:  $O_1$ ,  $O_2$ , and  $O_3$  indicated by  $\Box$ . Repressor bound at  $O_3$  overlaps with the RNA polymerase  $-10$  contact for the repressor Pc promoter. Repressor bound at O<sub>2</sub> overlaps with RNA polymerase  $-10$  and  $-35$  contacts with the P<sub>F</sub> promoter. An integration host factor binding site is shown **as a dark** stippled box between *0,*  and *0,.* The complete nucleotide sequence of  $c<sup>+</sup>$  repressor is shown, and *cts* and *sts* mutations are indicated below the  $c^+$  sequence. Nucleotide insertions causing frame shifts in the *clr?*  and *vir3061* mutations are indicated by a carat at the insertion point, and the nucleotide **dele**tion of the *vir*3060 mutation is indicated by an inverted carat. The positions of termination codons caused **by** mutations are shown with \*, and amino acids related to the "winged helixturn-helix" DNA-binding motif of Mu transposase (CLUBB et al. 1994) are boxed and corre-**Iated** with the following landmarks: helix **<sup>1</sup>** (P19-M23), helix 2 (S31-Q42), the wing (K48-K54), and helix 3 (T67-L77). Coding changes associated with *cts*, *clr*, and *sts* mutations are given above the single letter code for  $c^+$  protein. **A** potential leucine-rich dimerization interface is underlined with a jagged line from L121-L162. Single letter code for the amino acid residues arc. *as* follows: **A,** Ala; **C, Cys;** D, **Asp; E, Glu;** F, Phe; *G,* **Gly;** H, His; **I, Ilc; K, Lys;** I,, **Leu;** M, Met; N, Asn; **P,** Pro: Q Gln; R, Arg; *S,* **Scl-;** T, Thr; **1'. 151: Y,** Tyr.



FIGURE 2.—Plasmid maps. (A) The pJV plasmids numbered in the 200s have Mu repressor genes inserted between HindIII and Smal sites of the pUC19 polylinker with expression controlled by  $P_{lac}$  (B) The pJV plasmids numbered in the 300s have repressor genes and Mu regulatory sequences cloned in pRS551 (SIMONS et al. 1987). Here, Mu repressor expression is controlled by P<sub>c</sub>, and  $\beta$ -galactosidase expression is controlled by P<sub>E</sub>.

from multicopy plasmids (Figure 2) carrying the wilcltype and mutant **c** genes were displayed on SDS-containing polyacrylamide gels and then examined by Western blot analysis with rabbit antibodies prepared against the purified *ds62* protein (Figure **3).** Each **s/s**  mutant made two polypeptides. One band comigrated with purified wild-type repressor, and each *sts* mutant made a shorter, more abundant, **and** faster-moving band. The sizes of the faster-moving bands correlate with the predicted mobility from the location of the *sts* amber mutations in the  $c$  gene. When plasmids were placed in **Sup"** backgrounds, only the faster-moving **bands** were found (data not shown). All repressor bands were stable for at least 90 min after addition of chloramphenicol (data not **shown).** Thus, repressors terminated at the amber codons were stable truncated proteins with sizes predicted by their DNA sequence.

**Heat-stable DNA binding of** *sts62-2* **protein:** The simplest explanation for the Sts phenotype is that truncated forms of **c/s** repressors have heat-resistant DNA-binding activity. To eliminate complications that might involve other phage-encoded proteins, **s/s** repressor genes were cloned into a system where all Mu genes except that for repressor were eliminated. We described previously the construction and use of the **pJV300** series plasmids (Figure 2) **(GELWKNS** *~t nl.* 1991; **VOGEI.** *P/ nl.* 1991). In these constructs, Mu repressor regulates its own transcription from the  $P_c$  promoter, but all other phage functions are replaced with the *Inc* operon, which is under the control of the Mu early promoter  $P_F$  (Figure 2R, Table **4).** The **pJV300** series plasmids can recombine with a  $\lambda$  derivative that integrates into the chromosome at  $attB$  as a stable lysogen.

The *lacZ* expression levels were measured with strains lysogenic for the λ clones of  $c^+$  repressor (λJV300), *cts62* repressor **(AJV304),** and *s/s62-1* repressor (AJV313). Each strain exhibited diflerent behavior. At *32",* cultures of NH711 ( $cts62)$ ) made 27 units of  $\beta$ -galactosidase, and after 20 min at **42",** expression rose 100-fold

to  $\sim$ 2500 units. In agreement with the phage production and plaque morphology results explained above, the **s/s** repression **was** efficient at low and high temperatures. At 32°, NH712 (sts62-1) produced only 4 units of  $\beta$ -galactosidase, which was tighter repression than the 27 units in NH711 *(c/s62).* After 20 min at 42", the *0*  galactosidase activity of NH712 cultures rose to only 44 units, or  $\sim$ 150% of the level of NH711 grown at 32°. By comparison, a wild-type repressor strain, NH710  $(c^+)$ , made 25 units of  $\beta$ -galactosidase at 32° or 42°. These results prove that the *sts62-1* repressor, which retains some thermo-sensitivity, confers efficient repression at 42" *in vivo.* 

The heat-stable repression found with the *sts62-1* re-



FIGURE 3.—Western blot analysis of mutant repressor proteins. Proteins from DH5 $\alpha$  (supE44) transformants harboring pUC19, pJV204, pJV213, pJV214, pJV202 and pJV218 were transferred to a nitrocellulose filter, and the bands corresponding to Mu repressor were measured by reaction with repressor-specific rabbit antibodies as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. Plasmids pJV204 (cts62) and pJV202 (cts25) made full-length repressor proteins, but in amounts that were below the detection limit of this Western blot (J. L. VOGEL, unpublished results).

666

**TABLE 4** 

|  | lacZ expression in λ lysogens |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|



(hltures **wcrc** grown **at** 32" in **1.R** to 50 Klett and then shifted to  $42^\circ$ .  $\beta$ -galactosidase assays were carried out as described (MILLER 1972).

pressor could be caused by intrinsic heat stability of the protein or by extrinsic factors in the host cell. For example, *sts* repressors might be more efficiently folded (or refolded) at elevated temperatures by host chaperones. To see whether an **s/s** repressor is intrinsically heat-stable, the *s/sh2-l* protein was purified from a **Sup"**  host. DNA-binding assays were done to compare activity of *s/sh2-1* repressor to both *c/sh2* (18 amino acids longer) and  $c^+$  repressors after shifting the temperature from *SO"* to *57"* or 41" (Figure **4).** The assay for specific DNA binding **was** protection by repressor of a HindIII site within the  $O_2$  operator from restriction enzyme cleavage (KRAUSE and HIGGINS 1984; VOGEL et al. 1991). When complexes were formed at 30° and challenged with *HindIII* at 30°, *cts62* and *sts62-1* repressor were similar;  $\sim$ 20  $\mu$ g/ml of each protein protected half of the substrate from *Hind111* digestion. Both of these repressors were needed at higher concentration than the wild-type repressor  $(2.5 \mu g/ml)$  to achieve 50% protection. However, when complexes made at **30"** were shifted to higher temperatures, significant differences were seen for *ctsh2* and *s/sh2-1* repressors. To protect *50%* of the substrate from HindIII cutting after shift to **37",** 120 pg/ml of **c/s@** repressor **was** needed, compared to 50  $\mu$ g/ml of *sts62-1* repressor (Figure 4B). When complexes were shifted to  $41^\circ$ , the differences were larger;  $50\%$  protection required  $>300 \mu g/ml$  of  $\frac{cts62}$  repressor and  $\sim 60 \mu g/ml$  of  $\frac{sts62-1}$  repressor (Figure **4C).** Wild-type repressor was not detectably affected by the temperature shift. Thus, *in vitro* the *cts62* repressor tail contributes significantly to the intrinsic heatsensitive DNA-binding activity of the protein.

**Truncated** *sfs* **repressors resist Clpmediated proteolysis:** The C-terminal tail of one repressor can modify the behavior of a different repressor in the same cell. Thc clearest example of this effect is what happens whcn a Mu lysogen is superinfected with a mutant called  $vir$  (for  $v$ *in*ulent). Superinfection with a  $vir$  phage, which has a C-terminal mutation that changes the amino acid sequence of the tail (Figure **I),** derepresses a wild-type Mu prophage. The protein causing this effect is the  $vir$ repressor, an efficient substrate for *in vivo* degradation by the ClpP/ClpX protease (GEUSKENS *et al.* 1992; MHAMMEDI-ALAOUI et al 1994). Expression of a *vir* re-



FIGURE 4.—Temperature dependence of  $c^+$ ,  $\text{cts62},$  and  $\text{sts62}$ -*<sup>I</sup>*repressor DNA binding. Plasmid pHKO9 was labeled at the EcoRI site by filling in the cut ends with  $\alpha^{32}P$ -dATP using Klenow fragment of DNA Poll. Reactions  $(20 \mu l)$  containing  $5.5 \times -11$  M DNA, and the indicated amount of repressor were incubated for **1** hr at **30".** Five units of *Hind111* restriction enzyme were added to each mixture, and incubations were continued for 1 hr at 30" **(A), 37" (R), or** 41" (C). Products separated on a **7.5%** polyacrylamide gel were visualized by autoradiography and quantitated **by** densitometry. The fraction of DNA bound to repressor (uncut at the **Hind111** site in the  $O_2$  operator) was determined for the following:  $c^+$  repressor,  $\oplus$ ; *sts62-1* repressor,  $\Box$ ; or *cts62* repressor,  $\triangle$ .

pressor is dominant because it triggers Clpdependent degradation of wild-type (or *cls)* repressor that is present in the same cell (GEUSKENS *et al.* 1992).

Because vir dominance operates through the tail, we

**TABLE 5 Phage production after superinfection with Mu** *vir* 

| Strain                     | Phage<br>burst | Phage types in<br>burst     |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| 594                        | 30             | $100\%$ vir                 |
| 594 mal:: Mu cts62Kn7701   | 16             | $40\%$ vir, $60\%$ cts $62$ |
| 594 mal:: Mu sts62-1Kn7701 | 0.1            | $100\%$ vir                 |

Phage bursts are given as phage produced per superin-**I'rctctl** bacterium. Bacteria were infected at **a MOI** of 3-5 in LB at  $30^\circ$  as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. Phage production was measured **120** min after infection by titration on C600. *vir* phages were identified as described previously **(C;EI%l:.SS** *PI crl.* **1991).** 

tested  $vir$  phage for the ability to replicate in strains harboring an **s/s** prophage. Significantly, Mu *s/s62-I* lysogens were immune to Mu  $vir$  superinfection (Table **.3).** Superinfection of a Mu *s/sh2-1* lysogen with a MOI of three to five Mu vir3061 yielded only 0.1 phage per infected bacterium. These phage were probably unabsorbed passengers rather than the products of replication because all were of the Mu vir phage type and the superinfection was, to first approximation, nonproductive. In the control experiment, Mu  $vir3061$  infection of a Mu cts62 lysogen yielded a 100-fold larger titer of 14 PFU per infected bacterium. Of the viruses obtained, half were Mu *vir* and half were Mu *cts62* phage. These results suggest that transmission of a vir repressor's instability *in vivo requires* that the targeted repressor have the normal C-terminal tail.

Previously, we showed that expression of a *vir* repressor caused  $c^+$  or  $\text{cts62}$  repressors to be degraded. To confirm the ohsenration that an *sts* repressor was stable following Mu *vir* superinfection, we used  $\lambda$  phage constructs to express different repressor combinations in the same cell **and** measured protein abundance using Western antibody blots. A lysogen carrying  $\lambda$ JV313  $(sts62-1)$  was superinfected with homo-immune  $\lambda$  phage that cxpresses different forms of Mu repressor. Unlike *c*<sup>+</sup> and *cts62* proteins (GEUSKENS *et al.* 1991, 1992), the *.s/s62-1* protein was resistant to vir-induced ClpP/ClpX degradation (Figure 5). As seen in lanes 4-6 and 10-12 of Figure 5l3, the hand representing *sls* repressor (indicated by the open arrow) remained stable even after a vir repressor (indicated by filled arrows) was expressed in the same cell. That the *vir* repressors were unstable in cells expressing the *sts62-1* repressor can be seen from their very faint expression pattern compared to the expression of a **c!s** repressor in the same experiment using the same number of superinfecting phage particles (compare filled arrows in lanes 9-14 of Figure .3A with filled arrows in lanes **4-6** and 9-12 of Figure  $5B$ ). We conclude from these results that the repressor tail, which in a mutant form makes Mu repressor sensitive **to** *in* vivo ClpP/ClpX proteolysis, **also** determines whether or not *vir* repressor instability can be transmitted to a preexisting repressor ensemble.



FIGURE 5. - Western blot analysis of the *sts62-1* protein in cells containing *cts62* and *vir* proteins. *E. coli* 594 ( $\lambda$ JV313) that carries the Mu *sts62-1* repressor gene was grown and superinfected with **a** MOI of three to **seven** at **32"** with ARS45. **AF300,** AVG321, **and** AJV320, which car? respectively no Mu repressor gene, the *cts62, vir3060*, and *vir3051* repressor genes. Samples were withdrawn from the cultures at different times after superinfection and used to prepare extracts that were displayed on 15% acrylamide gels. Repressor assays were done by Western blotting as described in the MATERIALS AND METH-**ODS** section. In **A** strain 594 (AJV313) was infected with ARS4.5 (no repressor) for 0 min in lane **1,** 5 min in **lane 2,** 10 min in lane **3,** 15 min in lane **4, 30** min in lane 5, 45 min in lane 6, and 60 min in lane 7 and with  $\lambda$ JV300 ( $cts62$ ) for 0 min in lane *8,* **5** min in lane 9, 10 rnin in lane **10,** 15 min in lane 11, **30** min in lane 12, **45** min in lane 13 and **60** min in lane 14. The black arrow points to the *cts62* repressor and the open arrow to the *st.762-1* repressor. In B the strain **was** infected with AVG321 *(vir3060)* for **0** min in lane **1,** 10 min in lane 2, 1.5 min in lane 3, 30 min in lane 4, 45 min in lane 5, and 60 min in lane 6 and with  $\lambda$ VG322 (*vir*3061) for 0 min in lane 7, 10 min in lane *8,* 15 min in lane 9, **30** min in lane 10, 4.5 min in lane 11, and 60 min in lane 12. Black arrows point to the *vir*  repressors and open arrow to the *sts62-1* repressor. Bands above and below the repressor are host proteins unrelated to the repressor that react with repressor antiserum.

Since the C-terminal residues of a *cts* repressor influence the DNA-binding activity of the protein, it seemed possible that the vir repressor, which has an altered G terminal tail, might have altered DNA-binding activity. To test this possibility, the vir3060 protein, which **was**  derived from a Mu  $c^+$  prophage and carries a wild-type N-terminal DNA-binding headpiece (Figure l), **was** purified and tested in the HindIII operator protection assay. The apparent  $k<sub>D</sub>$  of  $vir3060$  repressor (Figure 6) **was** only slightly (twofold) higher than the wild-type protein (see Figure 4R). Thus, substitutions at the **C**terminus affected only slightly the DNA-binding activity of a protein with the wild-type headpiece. This result shows that a  $vir$  C-terminal tail does not significantly destabilize the folded protein.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Amber mutations clustered near the C-terminus of Mu repressor produce the Sts phenotype, which changes **two** curious properties of the protein. One novel **Sts** trait is heat-resistant DNA binding in Sup"



FIGURE 6.-DNA-binding activity of purified *vir* repressor protein. Reaction conditions were carried out at **37",** and the *HindIII* reaction was as described in Figure 4. The  $c^+$  protein concentrations were as follows: none in lane 1, 1  $\frac{ng}{\mu}$  in lane 2, 2  $\frac{ng}{\mu}$  in lane 3, 3  $\frac{ng}{\mu}$  in lane 4, 5  $\frac{ng}{\mu}$  in lane  $5, 10$  **ng/** $\mu$ I in lane 6. For *vir* protein, the concentrations were as follows: none in lane 8, 1  $\frac{ng}{\mu}$  in lane 9, 2  $\frac{ng}{\mu}$  in lane **10, 4 ng/** $\mu$ **l in lane 11, 6 ng/** $\mu$ **l in lane 12, 8 ng/** $\mu$ **l in lane** 13, 10  $\frac{ng}{\mu}$  in lane 14. The top and bottom arrows indicate respectively the positions of DNA fragments protected from **Hind111** cleavage by bound repressor protein and **of** DNA molecules cleaved **by Hind111** restriction enzyme.

hosts and heat-sensitive DNA binding in *supE* or *supF*  hosts. Repressors missing the C-terminal seven to 17 amino acids of the protein are largely heat resistant in spite of the presence of the N-terminal headpiece mutations that initially caused temperature-sensitive DNA-binding activity. When a full-length protein is made from an *sts* mutant by *supE/supF*-mediated glutamine/tyrosine insertion at the amber codon, heat sensitivity is regained. The second novel Sts property is a change of genetic dominance. Unlike Mu  $c^+$  or *cts* lysogens, which are induced after superinfection with a MU *71ir* phage, Mu *sls* lysogens remain immune to **Mu** *vir*  superinfection. In this case, the absence of the tail makes the Mu repressor dominant and allows the protein to escape degradation by the ATP-dependent Clp protease. Together, these results demonstrate that the Mu repressor tail **has an** important, albeit dispensable, function.

**Effect of the repressor** tail **on DNA binding:** The dramatic heat sensitivity seen for DNA binding with MU *~1.~25, cts62,* and *cts71* repressors stems from two sites in each protein: the DNA-binding headpiece and the **G**  terminal tail. For example, the *cts62* repressor, which **has** the R45-Q substitution *plus* the tail, was profoundly heat sensitive. Shift of cells containing a *cts62* repressorregulated copy of *lacZ* from 30° to 42° for 20 min stimulated an increase from 25 to 2500 units of  $\beta$ -Gal activity *in vivo* (Table 4) (VOGEL *et al.* 1991). By comparison, the *sts62-1* repressor, which carries the R45-Q substitution but *lacks* the tail, showed two differences from the

*r1s62* repressor. First, the *sts62-I* protein acted **as** a superrepressor *in vivo* at 30°; the basal  $\beta$ -Gal activity dropped from 25 to 4 units. Second, the *sls* repressor showed mild heat sensitivity with a 10-fold derepression ratio from 4 to 40 units of  $\beta$ -Gal activity following shift for 20 min to 42" *in 71ivo* (Table 4). In absolute terms, *lacZ* expression at 42° in the *sts62-1* mutant was only marginally *(50%)* higher than the expression level of the wild-type strain (25 units). Therefore, the *sts62-1* protein is a superrepressor at **low** temperature, behaving as though it has lost sensitivity to a constitutive induction pathway. DNA-binding tests of the purified protein showed that the Sts phenotype is an intrinsic property of the mutant protein (Figure 4), although the superrepressor behavior was not evident *in vitro* **as**  it was *in vivo* (Figure 4 and Figure 6).

How does the tail control heat-sensitive DNA-binding activity of the headpiece? Two explanations seem plausible. The tail could destabilize internal packing interactions *so* that the folded structure is lost at high temperatures. Alternatively, the tail could change the multimeric configuration of folded subunits **so** that a DNA-binding domain is concealed or repressor cooperativity is hindered. For several reasons, we favor the latter explanation.

In T4 lysozyme, destabilization of internal packing interactions is an accepted explanation for many heatsensitive mutants. Most amino acid substitutions that cause a temperature-sensitive **loss** of function are **lo**cated in the solvent-inaccessible interior of the protein where they interfere with residues that stabilize the folded structure (ALHER *et al.* 1987; HEINZ *et al.* 1992). Similar results have been demonstrated for amino acid substitutions within the internal core of temperaturesensitive mutants of the  $\lambda$  repressor (LIN and SAUER 1991). This explanation does not account for the behavior of the temperature-sensitive Mu repressor mutants studied here. The temperature-sensitive headpiece mutations are not in a solvent inaccessible hydrophobic core, rather, they are in or near charged residues on the surface of a helix-turn-helix motif. The N-terminal domain **of** Mu transposase and repressor are similar (HARSMEY *et 01.* 1985) and both proteins bind to the same DNA sequences *(CRAIGIE et al.* 1984). The NMR structure has been determined for this region of transposase, and it represents a new **class** of helix-turn-helix protein that contains a "wing" between helices 2 and 3 (CLUBB et al. 1994). Contacts with DNA are thought to involve helix 2, which may bind in the major groove of DNA, and the wing between helix 2 and helix **3** (Figure l). The *cls71* M28-I substitution is located in a turn between helices 1 and 2, and both the *cts25* G43-D substitution and *cts62* R47- Q substitutions are near a strand of  $\beta$ -sheet that lies between helix 2 and the wing. These residues are likely to be in a solvent exposed area of the protein. Since the headpiece domain functions independently of the

rest of the molecule, the tail is not likely to be directly involved in its folding.

A more probable explanation is that the repressor tail alters the cooperativity of repressor or interferes with DNA binding by occluding the site. This explanation is attractive for two reasons. First, Mu repressor has the ability to form complexes that vary in cooperativity number from 2 to  $\sim$  10. Wild-type repressor binds operator DNA and regulates the  $P_E$  promoter with a cooperativity number of 2, but it regulates the  $P_c$  promoter with a cooperativity number of **>6** (VOGEI, *et al.* 1991). Significantly, at  $30^\circ$  the *cts62* repressor regulates the  $P_E$ promoter with a cooperativity value near 6 (VOGEL et *al.* 1991). Thus, the *cts62* repressor is cooperatively different from the wild-type protein even at a permissive temperature. Second, the only heat-sensitive mutant known that involves an amino acid substitution outside of the headpiece *(cts4)* is in the putative leucine-rich multimerization domain (L129-V). This heat-sensitive mutant shows a temperature-dependent altered dimer crosslinking pattern in vitro (J. E. LAACHOUCH and A. TOUSSAINT, unpublished results). Flexible conformational behavior may underpin the heat-sensitive response of mutants affecting the head, the tail, and the central segment of the protein.

**Effect of the repressor** tail **on dominance:** A role for the repressor tail in changing the structure of a multimeric form of repressor is also suggested by the dominance pattern. Superinfection with a Mu vir mutant causes induction of a wild-type (or *cts)* prophage (VAN VLIET et al. 1978). Early genetic evidence suggested that vir mutants make an anti-repressor, which is reminiscent of the P22 Ant protein (SUSSKIND and YOUDERIAN 1983). The prediction was prescient, but the Mu anti-repressor turned out to be a mutant form of the  $c$  repressor rather than a product of a separate gene (GEUSKENS *et al.* 1991). Repressor virulence works through a cellular protease composed of two subunits, ClpP and ClpX (GEUSKENS *et al.* 1992; MHAMMEDI-ALAOUI et al. 1994). The vir repressors have a frameshift mutation that changes the tail (Figure 1) and that makes the resulting protein hypersensitive to the Clp proteolysis. Moreover, vir repressors transfer their instability to wild-type (or *cts)* repressors present in the same cell. This transfer is thought to occur when a vir repressor invades a complex with wild-type (or *cts)* repressor molecules. The close connection between virulence and the tail is supported by two additional observations. (1) All unstable repressors do not have a virulent phenotype: the clr3 clear plaque mutant has a frameshift mutation early in the gene (Figure **1)** and makes an unstable protein that is not virulent (GEUSKENS *et al.* 1992). 2) Deletion of a virrepressor's tail at several positions eliminates virulence (J. **E.** LAACHOUCH and A. TOUSSAINT, unpublished results).

We've shown here that the dominant transmission of

instability from a virrepressor to a *cts* repressor requires the presence of a normal C-terminal tail on the *cts* repressor; truncated *sts* repressors are resistant to vir-induced proteolysis (Table 4 and Figure 5). The repressor tail might either facilitate a vir repressor's invasion of a preformed ensemble by stimulating subunit exchange, or the C-terminal tail of *cts* (or wild-type) subunits in a vir-invaded complex might attract the Clp protease. Either way, the repressor tail is crucial in transmitting virulence to cooperative preformed repressor complexes.

**Sensory transduction:** We are unaware of another case in which temperature-sensitive mutations are suppressed by protein shortening. We suggest that the Cterminal domain of Mu repressor is a sensory element that, although not required for function, connects the repressor's activity to changes in cellular physiology. According to this hypothesis, the Mu and A repressors are strikingly similar in organization, though they differ substantially in structure and mechanism. The similarity is in the general protein organization. The  $\lambda$  repressor, like Mu repressor, has an N-terminal DNA-binding domain and a C-terminal tail that controls cooperative DNA-binding behavior and induction. However, the mechanisms of induction are quite distinct. A induction is stimulated by the interactions between RecA protein and repressor. A protease encoded by the C-terminal domain of the repressor is activated by RecA to cleave (either in cis or trans) a single site that frees the Nterminal DNA-binding domain from the tail (SAUER et *al.* 1990; LITTLE 1993). Cleavage of the Mu repressor involves the ATP-dependent host Clp protease (GEU-SKENS *et al.* 1992), and degradation probably yields short polypeptides.

Another striking difference is the sensory input connected to derepression.  $\lambda$  responds exclusively to the SOS pathway. The induction frequency of  $\lambda$  lysogens drop to the mutation frequency of  $cI$  clear plaque mutants when the repressor is made nonresponsive to RecA-stimulated cleavage (MUSTER and SHAPIRO 1981; SHAPIRO personal communication). For Mu, there may be more than one induction mechanism and the strongest cellular signals for derepression appear to be complex and linked to starvation biology or stationary phase. Significantly, three of the five host genes that are known to alter the frequency of Mu derepression change in abundance or activity during the shift from exponential to stationary phase; these genes are *hns*  (FALCONI *et al.* 1991), *fis* (FALCONI *et al.* 1991; BETER-MIER *et al.* 1993), and *crp* (SHAPIRO 1994). The C-terminal tail of Mu repressor seems to modulate repressor activity by influencing the multimeric structure and cooperativity of DNA binding. Deciphering the molecular signals connected to Mu derepression could be an important step in understanding the mechanisms of gene regulation during stationary phase.

This work was funded by a grants **GM-33143** from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and **INT-8821706** from the National Science Foundation. J.V.L **was** supported by NIH training grant GM-**08111.** Work in Rhode St. Genese was sponsored by the European Economic Community Science program SCI 0143-C(GDF) and the Belgian Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique Medicale. V.G. is a fellow from the Institut pour I'Encouragement de la Recherche Scientifique dans I'Industrie et I'Agriculture. A.T. is Maitre de Recherche from the Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique (Belgium).

#### LITERATURE **CITED**

- AIAZARD, R., M. BETERMIER and M. CHANDLER, **1992** *Escherichia coli*  integration host factor stabilizes bacteriophage Mu repressor interactions with operator DNA *in vitro.* Mol. Microbiol. **6: 1707-1714.**
- ALBER, T., S. DAO-PIN, J. A. NYE, D. C. MUCHMORE and B. W. MAT-THEWS, **1987** Temperature-sensitive mutations of bacteriophage **T4** lysozyme occur at sites with low mobility and low solvent accessibility in the folded protein. Biochem. **26 3754- 3758.**
- A~PI.EYARD, R. K., **1954** Segregation of lambda lysogenicity during bacterial recombination in *Escherichia coliK12.* Genetics **39: 429- 439.**
- BAIL, C., R. OSUNA, K. FERGUSON and R. JOHNSON, **1992** Dramatic changes in Fis levels upon nutrient upshift in *Escherichia coli.* J. Bacteriol. **174: 8043-8056.**
- BETERMIER, M., **I.** POQUET, R. ALAZARD and M. CHANDLER, **1993**  Involvement of *Escherichia coli* FIS protein in maintenance of bacteriophage Mu lysogeny by the repressor: control of early transcription and inhibition of transposition. J. Bacteriol. **175: 3798-381 1.**
- CI.UBB, R. T., J. G. OMICHINSKI, H. SAVILAHTI, **K.** MIZLJUCHI, A. M. GRONENBORN *et al.,* **1994** A novel class of winged helix-turnhelix protein: the DNA-binding domain **of** Mu transposase. Structure 2: 1041-1048.
- COULONDRE, C., and J. H. MIILER, **1977** Genetic studies of the *lac*  repressor **111.** Additional correlation of mutational sites with specific amino acid residues. J. Mol. Biol. **117: 525-575.**
- CRAIG, N. L., and 1. W. ROBERTS, **1980** *E. coli recA* protein-directed cleavage of phage **A** repressor requires polynucleotide. Nature **283: 26-30.**
- CRAIGIE, R., M. MIZUUCHI and K. MIZUUCHI, **1984** Site-specific recognition of the bacteriophage Mu ends by the Mu A protein. Cell **39: 387-394.**
- DEGNEN, **G.** E., and E. C. COX, **1974** Conditional mutator gene in *Eschaichia coli:* isolation, mapping and effector studies. J. Bacteriol. **117: 477-487.**
- DERSCH, P., K. SCHMIDT and E. BREMER, **1993** Synthesis of the *Escherichia coli* **K-12** nucleoid-associated DNA-binding protein H-NS is subjected to growth-phase control and autoregulation. Mol. Microbiol. **8: 875-889.**
- FALCONI, M., V. MCGOVERN, C. GUALERZI, D. HILLYARD and N. P. HIGGINS, **1991** Mutations altering chromosomal protein H-NS induce mini-Mu transposition. New Biol. **3: 615-625.**
- FINKEI., S., and R. JOHNSON, **1992** The Fis protein: it's not just for DNA inversion anymore. Mol. Microbiol. **6: 3257-3265.**
- FOSTER, P. L., and J. CAIRNS, **1994** The occurrence of heritable Mu excisions in starving cells of *Escherichia coli.* EMBO J. **13: 5240- 5244.**
- **GAMA,** M. J., A. TOUSSAINT and N. P. HIGGINS, **1992** Stabilization of bacteriophage Mu repressor-operator complexes by the *Escherichia coli* integration host factor protein. Mol. Microbiol. **6: 1715-1722.**
- GEUSKENS, V., J. L. VOGEI., R. GRIMAUD, L. DESMET, N. P. HIGGINS *et al.*  **1991** Frameshift mutations in the bacteriophage Mu repressor gene can confer a transdominant virulent phenotype to the phage. J. Bacteriol. **173: 6578-6585.**
- GEUSKENS, **V.,** A. MHAMMEDI-ALAOUI, L. DESMET and A. TOUSSAINT, **1992** Virulence in bacteriophage Mu: a case of transdominant proteolysis by the *Escherichia coli* Clp serine protease. EMBO J. **11: 5121-5127.**
- WHEY, R. **M.,** E. D. GETZOFF, D. L. BALDWIN, J. L. MILLER and **G.**  CHACONAS, **1985** Primary structure of the phage Mu transpo-

sase: homology to Mu repressor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **82: 7676-7680.** 

- HEINZ, D., W. BAASE and B. MATTHEWS, 1992 Folding and function of a **T4** lysozyme containing **10** consecutive alanines illustrate the redundancy of information in an amino acid sequence. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **89: 3751-3755.**
- HIGGINS, N. P., **1992** Death and transfiguration among bacteria. Trends Biochem. Sci. **17: 207-211.**
- HOHN, B., **1979** In vitro packaging of **A** and cosmid DNA. Methods Enzymol. **68 299-343.**
- KRAUSE, H. M., and N. P. HIGGINS, **1984** On the Mu repressor and early DNA intermediates of transposition. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. **49: 827-834.**
- KRAUSE, H. M., and N. P. HIGGINS, **1986** Positive and negative regulation of the Mu operator by Mu repressor and *Escherichia roli*  integration host factor. J. Biol. Chem. **261: 3744-3752.**
- LEACH, D., and N. SMONDS, **1979** The isolation and characterization of a plaque-forming derivative of bacteriophage Mu carrying a fragment of Tn? conferring ampicillin resistance. Mol. Gen. Genet. **172: 172-179.**
- LEUNG, P. C., D. B. TEPIOW and R. M. HARSHEY, **1989** Interaction of distinct domains in Mu transposase with Mu DNA ends and an internal transpositional enhancer. Nature **338: 656-658.**
- LIN, W. A., and R. T. SAUER, **1991** The role of internal packing interactions in determining the structure and stability of a prctein. J. Mol. Biol. **219: 359-376.**
- LITTLE, J. **W., 1984** Autodigestion of *kxA* and phage 1 repressors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **81: 1375-1379.**
- LITTLE, J., **1993** LexA cleavage and other self-processing reactions. J. Bacteriol. **175: 4943-4950.**
- MAENHAUT-MICHEL., G., and J. A. **SHAFTRO, 1994** The roles **of** starvation and selective substrates in the emergence of *araB-1acZ*  fusion clones. EMBO J. **13: 5229-5239.**
- MHAMMEDI-AIAOUI, A., M. L. PATO, M.J. GAMA and A. TOUSSAINT, **1994** A new component of bacteriophage Mu replicative transposition machinery: the *Escherichia coli* ClpX protein. Mol. Microbiol. **11: 1109-1116.**
- MIILER, J. H., **1972** *Expm'mmts in Molecular Genetics.* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- MITTLER, J. E., and R. **E.** LENSKI, **1990** New data on excisions of Mu from *E. coli* MCS2 cast doubt on directed mutation hypothesis. Nature **344 173-175.**
- MIZUUCHI, M., and **K.** MIZUUCHI, **1989** Efficient Mu transposition requires interaction of transposase with a DNA sequence at the Mu operator: implications for regulation. Cell **58: 399- 408.**
- MUSTER, C. J., and J. A. SHAPIRO, **1981** Recombination involving transposable elements: on replicon fusion. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. **40: 239-242.**
- PAOLOZZI, L., and N. SYMONDS, **1986** The SE region, pp. **53-62** in *Bacteriophage Mu,* edited by N. SYMONDS, A.TOUSSAINT, P. VAN **DE** PLITTE and **M.** M. HOWE. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratories, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- ROSS, W., S. H. SHORE and M. M. HOWE, 1986 Mutants of *Escherichia coli* defective for replicative transposition of bacteriophage Mu. J. Bacteriol. **167: 905-919.**
- SAUER, R. T., S. JORDAN and C. 0. **PABO, 1990 A** repressor: a model system for understanding protein-DNA interactions and protein stability. Adv. Protein Chem. **40: 1-61.**
- SHAPIRO, J.A., **1984** Observations on the formation of clones containing araB-lacZ cistron fusions. Mol. Gen. Genet. 194: 79-90.
- SHAPIRO, J., **1992** Differential action and differential expression of DNA polymerase I during *Escherichia coli* colony development. J. Bacteriol. **174** 7262-7272.
- SHAPiRO, J. A., **1993** A role for the Clp protease in activating Mumediated DNA rearrangements. J. Bacteriol. **175: 2625-2631.**
- SHAPIRO, J. A., **1994** Pattern and control in bacterial colonies. Sci. Prog. **76: 399-424.**
- SHAPIRO, J. A., and N. P. HIGGINS, **1989** Differential activity of a transposable element in *Escherichia coli* colonies. J. Bacteriol. **171: 5975-5986.**
- SIMONS, R. **W.,** F. HOUMAN and N. KLECKNER, **1987** Improved single and multicopy lac-based cloning vectors for protein and operon fusions. Gene **53: 85-96.**

- SUSSKIND, M. M., and P. YOUDERIAN, <sup>1983</sup> Bacteriophage P22 antirepressor and its control, pp. 251-277 in *Lambda II*, edited by R. W. HENDRIX, J. W. ROBERTS, F. W. STAHL and R. A. **WEISHEKG. Cold** Spring Harbor Laboratories, **Cold** Spring Harbor, NY.
- TAYLOR, A. L., 1963 Bacteriophage-induced mutation in *E. coli.* Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **50:** 1043-1051.
- TOUSSAINT, A., L. DESMET, M. FAELEN, R. ALAZARD, M. CHANDLER *et rrl.* **1987** In vivo mutagenesis **of** bacteriophage **Mu** transposaae. **J.** Bacteriol. **169:**  $5700-5707$ .
- $\overrightarrow{VAN}$  VLIET, F., M. COUTURIER, I., DESMET, M. FAELEN and A. TOUS-

**SAINT, 1978** Virulent mutants of temperate phage Mu-1. Mol. (k11. Genet. **160:** 195-202.

- Voc:c1 , J. L,,.J. L. ZHL, M. **M. Howe,** A. **TOLXAINT** and N. **P. HIGGINS,**  1991 Temperature sensitive mutations in the bacteriop Mu *c* repressor locate a 63-amino-acid DNA-binding domain. J. Bacteriol. **173: 6568-6577.**
- YANISCH-PERRON, C., J. VIERA and J. MESSING, 1985 Improved M13 phage cloning vectors and host strains: nucleotide sequences of' the M12mp18 and **pUC19** vectors. Gene **33: 109-119.**

Communicating editor: N. L. CRAIG