# **Orientation Dependence in Homologous Recombination**

Kenji Yamamoto,\* Noriko Takahashi,<sup>†</sup> Youhei Fujitani,<sup>†</sup> Hiroshi Yoshikura<sup>†</sup> and Ichizo Kobayashi<sup>†</sup>

*\*Laboratoly of Clinical Microbiology and Immunology, Bun'in Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, tDepartment of Bacteriology, Faculty of Medicine, and 'Department of Molecular Biology, Institute of Medical Science, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan* 

> Manuscript received July 26, 1995 Accepted for publication February 13, 1996

# ABSTRACT

Homologous recombination was investigated in *Escha'chia coli* with two plasmids, each carrying the homologous region (two defective *ne0* genes, one with an aminoend deletion and the other with a carboxylend deletion) in either direct or inverted orientation. Recombination efficiency **was** measured in *recBC sbcBC* and *recBC sbcA* strains in three ways. First, we measured the frequency of cells carrying *neo+* recombinant plasmids in stationary phase. Recombination between direct repeats was much more frequent than between inverted repeats in the *recBC sbcBC* strain but was equally frequent in the two substrates in the *recBC sbcA* strain. Second, the fluctuation test **was** used to exclude bias by a rate difference between the recombinant and parental plasmids and led to the same conclusion. Third, direct selection for recombinants just after transformation with or without substrate double-strand breaks yielded essentially the same results. Double-strand breaks elevated recombination in both the strains and in both substrates. These results are consistant with our previous findings that the major route of recombination in *recBC sbcBC* strains generates only one recombinant DNA from two DNAs and in *recBC sbcA* strains generates two recombinant DNAs from two DNAs.

THE difference of the recombination frequency between directly repeated sequences and between inversely repeated sequences (orientation-dependence) has been obsemed in various recombination systems. In site-specific recombination, the resolvases of the Tn3 family of transposons specifically recombine directly repeated recombination sites (GRINDLEY and REED 1985), and the Gin system of bacteriophage Mu is specific for recombination of inverted recombination sites **(PLAS**  TERK *et al.* 1983). Such orientation-dependence in several site-specific recombination systems can be explained by juxtaposition of two recombination sites and the recombination machinery under topological constraints **(MI-ZUUCHI** and CRAIGIE 1986).

Similar orientation-dependence in homologous recombination has been reported for bacterial chromosomes (MAHAN and ROTH 1988-1990), mammalian chromosomes (LISKAY and STACHELEK 1986) and mammalian extrachromosomal elements (SEIDMAN 1987; KITAMURA **et**  *al.* 1990). The model for the orientation-dependence in the site-specific recombination systems does not appear suitable for that in the homologous recombination *sys*tems because the size and the sequence of the recombining DNAs are variable. One possible explanation is that the recombination is nonreciprocal (or nonconservative, making one intact duplex DNA out of **two** duplex DNAs; see Figure 1A for definitions) *so* that it produces linear, inviable recombinant from inverted repeats on a circular substrate (see Figure 5. below).

*Correspcmdingauthor:* Kenji Yamamoto, Laboratory **of** Clinical Microbiology and Immunology, Bun'in Hospital, Faculty **of** Medicine, The University of Tokyo, **3-38-6,** Mejirodai, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan. E-mail: **backen@camille.is.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp** 

It has been controversial whether RecBCD-mediated recombination in bacteria **is** reciprocal (or conservative, making two intact duplex DNAs out of two duplex DNAs) or nonreciprocal. Earlier works with chromosomes and bacteriophage lambda suggested reciprocality (MAHAN and ROTH 1988; KOBAYASHI et al. 1984; for example). Further analysis, however, revealed that this view is too simple (MAHAN and ROTH 1990; STAHL *et al.*  1995). The reactions catalyzed by RecBCD enzyme are also against the hypothesis of simple reciprocality (KO-WALCZYKOWSKI and ECGLESTON 1994).

We have demonstrated that the elementary recombination process is nonreciprocal or nonconservative in an *Escherichia coli recBC sbcBC* strain (TAKAHASHI *et al.*  1992). YOKOCHI *et al.* (1995) have shown that the elementary recombination process is often conservative in an *E. coli recBC sbcA* strain, at least, in the presence of a double-strand break. Homologous recombination appears often conservative in this "RecE pathway active" strain (TAKAHASHI *et al.* 1992).

This explains why apparent gene conversion is very rarely accompanied by crossing-over of the flanking sequences in this "RecF pathway" active strain. **(YAMA-**MOT0 *et al.* 1992).

In the present study, we report orientation-dependence in RecF pathway *(RecBC sbcBC)* and orientationindependence in RecE pathway *(recBC sbcA)* , exactly as predicted by the nonreciprocality in the RecF pathway and the reciprocality in the RecE pathway.

# MATERIALS AND METHOD

**Bacterial strains:** The isogenic strains JC7623 *(recB21 recC22sbcB15 sbcC.201)* **(KUSHNER** *et al.* 1971; **LLOYD** and **BUCK-** 



FIGURE 1.-Definitions and substrate. (A) Definitions about conservative and nonconservative recombinations. Homologous recombination generating two duplex DNA segments out of two duplex DNA segments is defined as conservative **(or** reciprocal) recombination. Homologous recombination generating one duplex DNA segments out of two duplex DNA segments is defined **as** nonconservative **(or** nonreciprocal) recombination. These definitions do not depend on the content of the progeny DNA segments. We define gene conversion as conservative recombination copying a sequence from one parental segment to the other parental segment (ii, iii). Halfcrossing over is an example of nonconservative recombination. It is defined **as** recombination producing one recombinant progeny segment with recombination flanking sequences and leaves one **or** two ends (v). Nonconservative recombination might result in one recombinant molecule with parental flanking sequences (a molecule with two close recombination joints; iv). (B) Substrate plasmid pIK43 (inverted repeats). One of the two homologous duplex segments has a 283-bp deletion (deletion *a*) between Nael sites, which removes one (C-terminal encoding) end of the *neo* gene. The Nael site is inactivated by insertion of 8-bp long Xhol linker sequence. The bottom segment has a 248-bp deletion  $\overline{(\text{deletion } b)}$ , which removes the other (N terminal) end of *neo* gene. The two deletions are separated by 506bp homology. The restriction enzymes are RI, *EcoRI; XhoI, XhoI; B, BgII; N, NaeI; Ba, BamHI. (C) Substrate plasmid pDK8 (direct repeats). BamHI-EcoRI fragment of* pIK43 was flipped through the BamHI linker, which contains the *EcoRI* site. *As* a result, pDK8 contains three *EcoRI* sites.

MAN 1985) and JC8679 *(recB2I recC22 sbcA23)* (GILLEN *et al.*  1981) are from **4.** J. CLARK. A *reCAI* strain, DHl (HANAHAN 1983), is from B. HOHN.

**Plasmid construction:** Construction of pIK43 (Figure

### **TABLE 1**

#### **Recombinant frequency in stationary phase without selection**



Each of 10 ampicillin-resistant  $(Amp<sup>R</sup>)$  colonies obtained by transformation with the parental plasmids (pDK8 **or**  pIK43) was grown in L-broth with ampicillin selection to the stationary phase. The culture was diluted and plated on kanamycin agar plate and on ampicillin agar plate for overnight incubation. The recombinant frequency is shown together with the standard deviation among 10 clones examined. For all strains,  $n = 10$ .<br><sup>*a*</sup> Orientation of repeats are indicated in parentheses.

<sup>b</sup> Kan<sup>R</sup> colony former/Amp<sup>R</sup> colony former  $\times$  10,000.

1A) was described earlier (YAMAMOTO *et al.* 1988a). Its repeated sequence comes from pSV2neo (SOUTHERN and BERG 1982), which is derived from Tn5. The right unique part is from pMLPd, a derivative of pBR322. The left unique part comes from SV40 replication origin and from bovine papilloma virus type 1. pDK8 is the same as pIK43 except that the orientation of the top *neo* segment is different and that the plasmid has three *EcoRI* site though pIK43 has two sites (Figure 1B). **BamHI-EcoRI** fragment containing XhoI site of PIK43 was inserted into the BamHI site of the pIK39 (SAKAGAMI *et al.* 1994) with the BamHI linker which contains *EcoRI* site sequence.

**Detection of recombination and calculation of the recombinant frequency:** Each of the ampicillin-resistant (Amp<sup>R</sup>) colonies recovered after 13-hr incubation after transformation with the substrate plasmid (pIK43 **or** pDK8), was suspended and grown with ampicillin selection (100  $\mu$ g/ml) for 5 hr in *5* ml of Lbroth with aeration. The aliquots of the culture were spread on kanamycin (Kan) agar (50  $\mu$ g/ml) plate and ampicillin (Amp) agar (100  $\mu$ g/ml) plate. Recombinant frequencies were calculated **as** described (YAMAMOTO *et al.*   $1988a,b$ ).

Fluctuation test: Each of the Amp<sup>R</sup> colonies obtained by transformation was grown to the exponential phase with ampicillin selection (100  $\mu$ g/ml) in 10 ml. The culture was aliquoted into small sample tubes (500  $\mu$ l) and stored at  $-80^\circ$ with glycerol (final concentration: 15%). Content of one tube **of** the glycerol stock samples **was** diluted and cultured with



FIGURE 2.-Predicted recombination plasmids. (A) Gene conversion type products from pIK43. These two types of neo<sup>+</sup> plasmids have a structure that could be formed by intramolecular gene conversion at site a. Top right, without flanking crossing-over; bottom right, with flanking crossing-over. (B) Crossing-over type product from pDK8. This type of neo<sup>+</sup> plasmid has a structure that could be formed by intramolecular crossing-over or half crossing-over between site  $a$  and site  $b$  of two homologous sequences of pDK8.

ampicillin selection (100  $\mu$ g/ml) in a plastic microtiter plate with 96 wells (12 row  $\times$  eight column) so that each well receives 1–10 cells in 150  $\mu$ I L-broth. At time  $t = 0$ , half (75)  $\mu$ ]) of the content of each well of the first row of the 96-well plate was spread on ampicillin agar plates (100  $\mu$ g/ml) to estimate the number of the plasmid-carrying cells in the well. Then, 75  $\mu$ l of kanamycin-containing L-broth (100  $\mu$ g/ml) was added to each of the wells of the first row. At time  $t = t_1$ (30 or 60 min), half (75  $\mu$ l) of the content of each well of the second row was treated as before. At time  $t = t_n (30 \times n)$ 





Kan<sup>R</sup> clones generated during growth of cells carrying the parental plasmid (pDK8 or pIK43) were isolated as colonies. Plasmid DNA (monomer closed circles) from each of them was analyzed with restriction enzymes, Nael EcoR IBg/I IXhoI and classified (YAMAMOTO et al. 1988a).

**K.** Yamamoto *et al.* 



FIGURE 3.—Restriction enzyme analysis of the recombination products. Plasmid DNA was isolated from Kan<sup>R</sup> colonies obtained by streaking of an AmpR transformant of JC8679 with pIK43 **or** pDK8. Closed circle monomer DNA was purified by agarose gel electrophoresis. It was cut with restriction enzymes and electrophoresed through 0.7% agarose. With the pIK43 products, *XhoI*  can detect apparent gene conversion at site *a* and *EcoRI* can detect apparent crossing-over of the flanking sequences (Figures 1 and 2). The numbers in the left indicate fragment lengths in kilobases. Ori, origin.  $\text{Kan}^R\text{-}1$ : gene conversion type at deletion *a* without flanking crossing-over (Figure 2A, top). Fragments (8 and 7 kb) can be found with *EcoRI* digestion (A, lane 1) and 10- and 5kb fragments with NaeI digestion **(B,** lane 1). KanR-2: gene conversion type at deletion *a* with flanking crossing-over (Figure 2A, bottom). Fragments (10 and 5 kb) can be found with *EcoRI* digestion (A, lane 2) and 10- and 3kb fragments with NaeI digestion (B, lane 2). Kan<sup>R</sup>-3: crossing-over type product from pDK8 (Figure 2B); 5.5-kb fragments can be found with *Eco*RI digestion (A, lane 2) and 4-kb fragments with NaeI digestion (B, lane 3). By *EcoRI* digestion, we can find *8-* and 7-kb fragments for the parent plasmid pIK43 and **7-,** *6* and 2-kb fragments for the parent plasmid pDK8 (A, **two** leftmost lanes; see Figure 2). By NaeI digestion, we can find 13kb fragments for both pIK43 and pDK8 (B, **two** leftmost lanes; see Figure 2).

### **TABLE 3**



### **Fluctuation analysis with a direct-repeat plasmid in the recBC sbcA strain**

An *Escherichia coli recBC sbcA* strain (JC8679) carrying pDK8 was cultured with ampicillin selection (100  $\mu$ g/ml) in 96-well micrototer plate so that a well has  $1-10$  cell(s), on the avarage, in 150  $\mu$ l L-broth. At time  $t = 0$ , 75  $\mu$ l out of each well of the first row of the 96-well plate was spread on ampicillin agar (100  $\mu$ g/ml) for estimation of the number of cells in the wells. Then, 75  $\mu$ l of kanamycin-containing L-broth (100  $\mu$ g/ml) was added to each of the wells of the first row. At time  $t = t_1$  (30 or 60 min), 75  $\mu$  out of each well of the second row was treated in the same way as above. At time  $t = t_n$ , 75  $\mu$  of each well of the  $n+1$ -th row was treated. After overnight incubation, a clear well was judged to have contained no kanamycin-resistant (Kan<sup>R</sup>) cell  $(-)$  and a turbid well to have contained at least one Kan<sup>R</sup> cell  $(+)$ , as indicated after the number of Kan<sup>R</sup> colonies.

<sup>a</sup> Values are average of each respective row.



# Orientation Dependence



TABLE 4 Fluctuation analysis with an inverted-repeat plasmid in the recBC sbcA strain

An Escherichia coli recBC sbcA strain (JC8679) carrying pIK43 was cultured with ampicillin selection (100 µg/ml) in 96-well plate. The procedure of each experiment is the same as that in Table 3. After overnight incubation, a clear well was judged to have contained no kanamycin-resistant (Kan<sup>R</sup>) cell (-) and a turbid well to have contained at least one Kan<sup>R</sup> cell (+), as indicated after the number of Kan<sup>R</sup> colonies.

### TABLE 5

Fluctuation analysis with a direct-repeat plasmid in the recBC sbcBC strain



An Escherichia coli recBC sbcBC strain (JC7623) carrying pDK8. The procedure is the same as that in Table 3.

### K. Yamamoto et al.



'ABL	
------	--

Fluctuation analysis with an inverted-repeat plasmid in the recBC sbcBC strain



An Escherichia coli recBC sbcBC strain (JC7623) carrying pIK43. The procedure of each experiment is the same as that in Table 3.

# **RESULTS**

min or  $60 \times n$  min), 75 µl out of each well of the n+1th row was treated. After overnight incubation, a clear well was judged to have contained no kanamycin-resistant (Kan<sup>R</sup>) cell at the time of addition of kanamycin and a turbid well to have contained at least one Kan<sup>R</sup> cell. The calculating method for the estimated recombination frequency is described in the APPENDIX.

Transformation: Transformation by a calcium method is the same as described (YAMAMOTO et al. 1988a,b) except that the final cell concentration of the competent cells, for the recBC sbcBC strain, was  $10^{10}$  in 200  $\mu$ l to achieve a high efficiency.

Other methods: Substrate plasmid preparation, plasmid preparation by a boiling method, restriction enzyme analysis, electrophoresis and the other procedures were described (YA-MAMOTO et al.  $1988a,b$ ).

Experimental design: Our substrate plasmid (Figure 1, B and C) carries two homologous neo segments in either inverted orientation (pIK43) or in direct orientation (pDK8). The upper segment has a deletion (deletion  $a$ ) removing one end (C terminus) of the *neo* gene, and the lower segment has a deletion (deletion  $b$ ) removing the other end (N terminus) (Figure 1, B and C).

These substrate plasmids were introduced into an E. coli recBC sbcA strain and a recBC sbcBC strain. The efficiency of recombination generating neo<sup>+</sup> plasmid (Kan<sup>R</sup> cell) was measured by three different methods: measurements of Kan<sup>R</sup> colony formation in a liquid

Recompliance requestly from nuclearion tests					
<b>Bacterial</b> strain	Plasmid		Recombination frequency $(\times 10,000)$	95% confidence interval	
recBC sbcA	pIK43 (inverted)	Exp.1	58	29, 110	
		Exp. 2	21	16, 44	
recBC sbcA	pDK8 (direct)	Exp. 1	81	32, 160	
		Exp. 2	120	58, 250	
$recBC$ sbc $BC$	pIK43 (inverted)	Exp. 1	(< 20)	$20$	
		Exp. 2		0.7, 6.2	
$recBC$ sbc $BC$	pDK8 (direct)	Exp. 1	210	120, 350	
		Exp. 2	200	120, 340	

**TABLE 7 Decombination frequency from fluctuation tests** 

The recombination frequencies were calculated as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. < 20, less than 20 with 95% confidence.



culture of an Amp<sup>R</sup> transformant, fluctuation tests and direct selection of  $Kan^R$  transformants after transformation.

**Recombinant frequency:** Each of the substrate plasmids (pIK43 and pDK8) was introduced into an *E. coli*  strain with *recB recCsbcA* mutations (JC8679) or *recB recC sbcB sbcBC* mutations (JC7623) by ampicillin selection. Each of the resulting colonies was assayed for Kan<sup>R</sup> colony formations and AmpR colony formations. **A** large difference **(two** orders of magnitude) in the recombinant frequency was found between the two substrates with the *recBC* sbcBCstrain, while such a large difference was not found with the *recBC sbcA* strain (Table 1).

**Product analysis:** The molecular forms of the recombinant plasmids in these  $Kan^R$  clones were analyzed with restriction enzymes, *XhoI, EcoRI, NaeI* and *BgIII* (Figures 1 and **2).** No heterogeneity in the plasmid structure was found within each of  $Kan^R$  clones unlike the case in a *rec"* strain (YAMAMOTO *et al.* 1988a). The plasmids were classified into expected types (Table 2) (YAMAMOTO et

FIGURE 4.-Direct selection of recombination after transformation.  $\Delta$ , uncut Amp<sup>R</sup>;  $\odot$ , cut Amp<sup>R</sup>; ●, cut Kan<sup>R</sup>; ▲, uncut Kan<sup>R</sup>. The plasmids were propagated in **DHl,** purified in an ultracentrifuge with CsCl and cut with or without *XhoI.*  Aliquots of the transformation mixture were spread on ampicillin (100  $\mu$ g/ml) agar plates and kanamycin  $(50 \ \mu g/ml)$  agar plates, which were then incubated overnight. The numbers of ampicillin (Amp<sup>R</sup>)- and kanamycin (Kan<sup>R</sup>)-resistant transformants per transformation reaction are plotted in the vertical axis. "1 contains a double point of uncut KanR; **\*2** contains a double point of cut  $Kan^R$ , a duplicate point of uncut  $\text{Kan}^R$  and a single point of cut  $\text{Amp}^R$ ; \*3 contains a duplicate point of cut  $Kan^R$  and double point of uncut KanR.

*al.* 1988b, 1992). In the case of the *recBC sbcA* strain, each of the clones contained the monomer-sized plasmid and its head-to-tail multimers. The *recBC sbcBC* cells contained the monomer form and its linear multimer forms. Figure 3 shows *Nael* or *EcoRI* restriction patterns of each of three representative types of the *neo"* plasmids. The results were summarized in Table 2. With pIK43, we found both "with flanking crossing-over" type (5/19) and "without flanking crossing-over" type (14/19) in the *recBC sbcA* strain. We, however, found strong bias toward "without flanking crossing-over" type (0/18 *us.*  18/18) in *recBC sbcBC* strain. This contrast was found in the previous works (YAMAMOTO *et al.* 1988b, 1992). with pDK8, all the *neo"* recombinants belonged to the type that would be obtained from crossing-over or half crossing-over between the direct repeats both in the *recBC sbcA* strain and in the *recBC sbcBC* strain.

**Estimation of the recombination frequency by fluctuation tests:** There **was** a possibility that the recombination frequency estimated above might have some bias caused



FIGURE 5.—A mechanism for the orientationdependence model. (A) In RecE-pathway-active strain (recBC sbcA), conservative recombination between two duplexes leaves two DNA duplexes. Thus recombinant plasmid from pIK43 can replicate. (B) In recF-pathway-active strain (recBC sbcBC), half crossing-over (nonconservative) recombination between two duplex leaves only one DNA duplex. Thus recombinant plasmid from pIK43 cannot replicate. (C) In RecE-pathway-active strain (recBC sbcA), conservative recombination leaves two DNA duplexes. Thus recombinant plasmid from pDK8 can replicate. (D) In RecF-pathway-active strain (recBC sbcBC), nonconservative recombination leaves only one DNA duplex. The recombinant plasmid from pDK8 can replicate.

by different multiplication rates between the parental plasmid and the recombinants and among the various types of recombinants. Since some types of the neo+ recombinant plasmids are smaller than the parental plasmid, the cells carrying them may grow faster than those carrying the parent. Such advantage would lead to an estimation of the recombinant frequency larger than that expected from the recombination frequency. We tried a fluctuation test to estimate the recombination frequency to avoid such a bias. The overview of this experiment is as follows. First, we prepare small aliquots of bacterial culture that are likely to contain only recombinant-free cells. After some growth, we add kanamycin to them for the selection of cells containing a neo<sup>+</sup> plasmid. After their further incubation, the aliquots with at least one recombinant cell should become turbid because of the cell growth and those without any recombinant should remain clear because of the absence of cell growth. Recombination frequency can be estimated from the distribution of the turbid aliquots and the clear aliquots after various incubation times as explained in MATERIALS AND METHODS.

The primary data in these experiments are presented in Tables 3-6, and the estimated recombination frequencies are listed in Table 7. In the case of the recBC sbcA strain (JC8679), the estimated frequency between two substrates (direct vs. inverted) was not very different (Tables 3, 4 and 7). In the case of the recBC sbcBC strain ( $\vert$ C7623), on the other hand, there was a large difference in the recombination frequency (Tables 5, 6 and 7). Such large orientation-dependence is consistent with our results in Table 1.

Direct selection and the effect of the double-strand breaks: In the above experiments, we found significant orientation-dependence in recombination taking place during cell growth in RecF pathway but not in RecE pathway. To examine the recombination just after DNA transfer and possible effects of DNA double-strand breaks, we introduced the substrates with or without XhoI cut (Figure 1) into the cells and directly spread them on ampicillin plate and kanamycin plate (Figure 4). Though no strong orientation-dependence was found in the case of the *recBC sbcA* strain (JC8679), we found the recombination rate of the direct repeats was higher than that of inverted repeats in the *recBC sbcBC*  strain (JC7623). The recombination frequency was elevated by the double-strand break with both the directrepeat and the inverted-repeat substrates in the *recBC sbcBC* strain (Figure 4, A and B). The recombination frequency was elevated by the break for the direct-repeat substrate (Figure 4C) but was not for the invertedrepeat plasmid (Figure 4D) in the *recBC sbcBC* strain.

# DISCUSSION

We have shown here strong orientation-dependence in homologous recombination in *E. coli recBC sbcBC*  strain (RecF pathway active) but not in *recBC sbcA* strain (RecE pathway active) with three different protocols: measuring the recombinant frequencies in the stationary-phase culture, fluctuation tests, and direct selection after transformation. Double-strand breakage of the substrates, increased the recombination in both the *recBC sbcBC* strain and the *recBC sbcA* strain.

We previously reported apparent gene conversion (nonreciprocal transfer of sequence information between homologous DNA sequences) in the *recBC sbcBC*  strain (RecF pathway) (YAMAMOTO et al. 1992). This apparent gene conversion was rarely accompanied by apparent crossing-over. This feature was explained by the "successive half-crossing-over model". This model proposed that the elementary recombinational process is "half crossing-over" in the sense that it generates only one progeny DNA with flanking recombination out of two parental DNAs. We obtained direct evidence for such half crossing-over with a "double origin" plasmids that allow recovery of both progeny of recombination in the *recBC sbcBC* strain (TAKAHASHI *et al.* 1992).

In the *recBC sbcA* strain (RecE pathway), on the other hand, we have reported apparent gene conversion often accompanied by apparent crossing-over of the flanking sequences (YAMAMOTO et al. 1988b). Conservative recombination (two recombinant duplex DNA are generated from two parental duplex DNA) by double-strand break repair has been demonstrated (KOBAYASHI and TAKAHASHI 1988; **YOKOCHI** *et al.* 1995).

The orientation-dependence we found as above can be explained by these mechanisms **as** illustrated in Figure 5. That is, in RecE pathway, the elementary recombination is conservative by double-strand break repair **sys**tem, which makes no difference **for** the recombination frequencies between the two substrates, pIK43 and pDK8 (Figure 5, **A** and B). While in RecF pathway, half crossing-over makes a viable recombinant with pDK8 but not with pIK43 (Figure 5, **C** and **D).** It is not necessary to invoke recognition of the orientation of the homologous sequences by the recombinational machinery.

**ITAMURA** *et al.* (1990) found similar orientation-de-

pendence of homologous recombination with bovine papiloma vector, a mammalian plasmid, in mouse cells. The half crossing-over model can again explain this orientation-dependence. The nonconservative nature of homologous recombination in the mammalian cells has been suggested earlier (SEIDMAN 1987). If the relationship between half crossing-over and the orientationdependence in *E. coli* RecF pathway is in parallel with that in the mammalian cells, it might have some meaning in their evolution.

We thank A. J. CLARK and B. HOHN for the bacterial strains. We are grateful to A. J. CLARK, J. R. ROTH and NAT STERNBERG for discussion. Our work was supported by grants from the Ministry of Education (K.Y., H.Y. and I.K.), the Ministry of Health and Welfare (H.Y. and I.K.), Nissan Science Foundation (I.K.) and SoYou Foundation, MT (K.Y.).

### LITERATURE CITED

- CHAKRABARTI, **S.,** and M. **M.** SEIDMAN, **1986** Intramolecular recombination between transfected repeated sequences in mammalian cells is nonconservative. Mol. Cell. Biol. **6 2520-2526.**
- GILLEN, J. R., D. K. WILLIS and A. J. CLARK, **1981** Genetic analysis of the RecE pathway of genetic recombination in *Escherichia coli*  **K-12.** J. Bacteriol. **145: 521-532.**
- GRINDLEY, N. D. F., and R. R. REED, **1985** Transpositional recombination in prokaryotes. Annu. Rev. Biochem. *54:* **863-896.**
- HANAHAN, D., **1983** Studies on transformation of *Escha'chia* cdiwith plasmids. J. Mol. Biol. **166 557-580.**
- KANAAR, R., A. KLIPPEL, E. SHEKHTMAN, J. **M.** DUNGAN, R. KAHMANN *et al.,* **1990** Processive recombination by the phage Mu Gin system: implications for the mechanism of DNA strand exchange, DNA site alignment, and enhancer action. Cell **62: 353-366.**
- KITAMURA, Y., H. YOSHIKURA and **I.** KOBAYASHI, **1990** Homologous recombination in a mammalian plasmid. Mol. Gen. Genet. **222 185-191.**
- KOBAYASHI, I., and N. TAKAHASHI, **1988** Double-stranded gap repair of DNA by gene conversion in *Escherichia coli.* Genetics **119: <sup>751</sup>**- **757.**
- KOBAYASHI, **I.,** M. M. STAHL, F. R. FAIRFIELD and F. W. STAHL, **1984**  Coupling with packaging explains apparent non-reciprocality of chi-stimulated recombination **of** bacteriophage lambda by RecA and RecBC functions. Genetics **108: 773-794.**
- KOWALCZYKOWSKI, S. C., and A. K. EGGLESTON, 1994 Homologous pairing and DNA strand exchange proteins. Annu. Rev. Biochem. **63: 991-1043,**
- KUSHNER, **S.** R., H. NAGAISHI, A. TEMPLIN and A. J. CLARK, **1971**  Genetic recombination in *Escherichia coli*. The role of exonuclease **I.** Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **68: 824-827.**
- LISKAY, R. M., and J. L. STACHELEK, **1986** Information transfer between duplicated chromosomal sequences in mammalian cells involves contiguous regions of DNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **83 1802-1806.**
- LLOYD, R. G., and C. BUCKMAN, **1985** Identification and genetic analysis **of** *sbcC* mutations in commonly used *recBC sbcB* strains of *Escherichia coli* **K-12.** J. Bacteriol. **164: 836-844.**
- IMAHAN, M. M., and J. R. ROTH, **1988** Reciprocality of recombination events that rearrange the chromosome. Genetics **120: 23-35.**
- MAHAN, **M.** M., and J. R. ROTH, **1989** Role of recBC function in formation of chromosomal rearrangements: a two-step model for recombination. Genetics **121: 433-443.**
- MAHAN, **M.** M., and J. R. ROTH, **1990** Rearrangement of the bacterial chromosome: forbidden inversions, Science **241: 314-1318.**
- MIZUUCHI, K., and R. CRAIGIE, 1986 Mechanism of bacteriophage Mu transposition. Annu. Rev. Genet. **20: 385-429.**
- PLASTERK, R. H. A., T. A. M. ILMER and P. VAN DE PUTTE, 1983 Site specific recombination by Gin of bacteriophage Mu: inversions and deletions. Virology **127: 24-36.**
- **SAKAGAMI,** K., Y. TOKINAGA, H. YOSHIKURA and **I.** KOBAYASHI, **1994**  Homology-associated nonhomologous recombination in mammalian gene targeting. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **91: 8527-8531.**
- SEIDMAN, **M.** M., **1987** Intramolecular recombination between

servative. **Mol.** Cell. Biol. **7:** 3561-3565. transfected sequences in mammalian cells is primarily noncon-

- SOUTHERN, P. J., and P. BERG, 1982 Transformation of mammalian cells to antibiotic resistance with bacterial gene under control **of** SV40 early region promoter. J. Mol. Appl. Genet. **I:** 327-341.
- STAHL, F. W., C. E. SHURVINTON, L. C. THOMASON, S. HILL and M. **M.**  STAHL, 1995 On the clustered exchange **of** the RecBCD pathway operating on phage **A.** Genetics **139:** 1107-1121.
- TAKAHASHI, N., K. YAMAMOTO, K. KITAMURA, S.-Q. LUO, H. YOSHIKURA *et al.,* 1992 Nonconservative recombination in *Eschaichia coli.*  Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **89:** 5912-5916.
- YAMAMOTO, **IC,** H. YOSHIKURA, N. TAKAHASHI and I. KOBAYASHI, 1988a Apparent gene conversion in an *Escherichia coli rec<sup>+</sup>* strain is explained by multiple rounds of reciprocal crossing-over. Mol. Gen. Genet. **212:** 393-404.
- YAMAMOTO, K., H. YOSHIKURA, N. TAKAHASHI and I. KOBAYASHI, 1988b Homologous recombination involving a large heterology in *Escherichia coli.* Genetics **119:** 759-769.
- YAMAMOTO, K., K. **KUSANO,** N. TAKAHASHI, H. YOSHIKURA and I. Ko-BAYASHI, 1992 Gene conversion in the *Escherichia coli* RecF pathway: a successive half crossing-over model. **Mol.** Gen. Genet. **234:**  1-13.
- YOKOCHI, T., K. KUSANO and I. KOBAYASHI, 1995 Evidence for conservative (two-progeny) DNA double-strand break repair. Genetics **139:** 5-17.

Communicating editor: D. BOTSTEIN

### APPENDIX

Suppose that an experiment gives *k* samples (wells) and that these samples can be divided into *m* groups. Here, the *i*th group  $(i = 1 \cdots m)$  has samples (wells) with the same numbers  $(n_i)$  of cells. We have  $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i n_i$ cells in total and  $k = \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i$ . Here,  $k_i$  is the number of samples in the ith group. For simplicity, we assume that any cell in the *i*th group has experienced the same times  $(g_i)$  of cell division. The probability that we observe  $l_i$ samples without  $Kan^R$  cells in the *i*th group is given by  $_{k_i}C_{l_i}$   $p^{l_i}$   $(1 - p^{l_i})^{(k_i - l_i)}$ , where  $p = 1 - q$  and *q* is recombination frequency per division. We determined the most likely *q* value by setting  $g_i = 2n_i - 2$ . Assuming that the result  $\{k_1, \ldots, k_m\}$ ,  $\{l_1, \ldots, l_m\}$  and  ${n_1, \ldots, n_m}$  is observed in an experiment, we estimate the most likelihood recombination frequency value *q,*  which maximizes

$$
F({l_i}, {n_i}, {k_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^m {}_{k_i}C_{l_i}p^{g_i l_i} (1-p^{g_i})^{(k_i-l_i)}
$$

In case  $m = 1$  and  $k_1 \geq 1$  with  $k_1 l_1 q$  value fixed, *F* is reduced to the Poisson distribution.

We have to avoid the preexistence of the *neo+* recombinants completely. Under this condition, the  $k_i$  value is not large enough in our experimental system, which leads us to use the calculation method described as above instead of the Poisson distribution. We assumed that no *neo+* recombinants was newly generated after the kanamycin selection.