Isolation of *Y* **Chromosome-Specific Sequences From** *Silene latifolia* **and Mapping of Male Sex-Determining Genes Using Representational Difference Analysis**

Iain S. Domison,*" Jiri Siroky? Boris Vyskot? Heinz Saedler" and Sarah R. Grant*

**Max-Planck Institute for Plant Breeding, 0-50829 Cologne, Germany and +Znstitute for Biophysics, Czech Academy of Sciences, 61265 Brno, Czech Republic*

> Manuscript received May 16, 1996 Accepted for publication September **3,** 1996

ABSTRACT

The genomic subtraction method representational difference analysis (RDA) was used to identify malespecific restriction fragments in the dioecious plant *Silene latifolia.* Male-specific restriction fragments are linked to the male sex chromosome (the *Y* chromosome). Four RDAderived male-specific restriction fragments were used to identify polymorphisms in a collection of X-ray-generated mutant plants with either hermaphroditic or asexual flowers. Some of the mutants have cytologically detectable deletions in the *Y* chromosome that were correlated with loss of male-specific restriction fragments. One RDAderived probe detected a restriction fragment present in all mutants, indicating that each has retained *Y* chrome soma1 DNA. The other three probes detected genomic fragments that were linked in a region deleted in some hermaphroditic and some asexual mutants. Based on the mutant phenotypes and the correlation of cytologically visible deletions with loss of male-specific restriction fragments, these markers were assigned to positions on the *Y* chromosome close to the carpel suppression locus. This RDA mapping also revealed a Y-linked locus, not previously described, which is responsible for early stamen development.

T **HE** majority of flowering plants are hermaphroditic and capable of self-fertilization. There has, however, been a tendency in plants for evolution of species that are sexually dimorphic. The dioecious plants bear flowers of only one sex (either male or female) on each plant (reviewed in DELLAPORTA and CALDERON-URREA 1993; GRANT *et al.* 1994a). Dioecious species are scattered among many genera and families such that most of their closest relatives are hermaphroditic (YAMPOL **SKY** and **YAMPOLSKY** 1922). For example, the genus *Silene* includes \sim 30 species indigenous to central Europe. Four species are dioecious, and the others are either true hermaphrodites or gynodioecious (plants produce either female or hermaphroditic flowers) (CHATER and WALTERS 1964; HEGI 1979). This genus is therefore an excellent model for studying the genetic changes leading to evolution of a dioecious species from a hermaphroditic progenitor. Comparison of the function of genes that regulate the decision to become male or female (sex determining genes) from dioecious plants to homologous genes in related hermaphroditic species would reveal how the change from hermaphrodite to sexually dimorphic has occurred. We are presently concerned with the first step toward this comparison, identification of the genes of sex determination in a sexually dimorphic species and investigation of their mode of action.

The sex of the dioecious species *Silene latifolia* (also known as *S. alba* or *Melandrium album)* is determined by loci located on morphologically distinct chromosomes. Male plants have 22 autosomes and a pair of dimorphic sex chromosomes, Xand *Y.* Females have 22 autosomes and two *X* chromosomes (WARMKE and BLAKESLEE 1939; WARMKE 1946; WESTERGAARD 1940, 1948). The genetics of sex determination in *S. latifolia* has been well characterized by classical cytogeneticists (WARMKE and BLAKESLEE 1939; WARMKE 1946; WESTERGAARD 1946, 1958). Studies based on a collection of sterile and hermaphroditic mutant plants led WESTERGAARD to propose a model in which the Ychromosome comprises three distinct regions and two sex determining functions: a region responsible for anther maturation (WESTERGAARD 1958), a region which acts as a gynoecium suppressor, and a region with homology to the *X* chromosome where pairing occurs between X and *Y* chromosomes in meiosis. Similar mutant analysis has more recently confirmed WESTERGAARD'S identification of male determining genes on the Y chromosome (VAN NIGTEVECHT 1966).

The mutants on which WESTERGAARD and**VAN** NIG TEVECHT based their conclusions are no longer available. We therefore generated a new collection of phenotypically similar mutants by X-irradiation of pollen. The selection scheme used favored the isolation of mutations in maledetermining genes on the *Y* chromosome. In order to physically locate the mutations, we identified male-specific DNA sequences to use as markers for physical mapping. Male-specific markers for mapping have been obtained previously by screening of male and fe-

Cmesponding author: Sarah **R.** Grant, Department **of** Biology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, **NC 27599-3280.** E-mail: sgrant@email.unc.edu

search, Plas Gogerddan, Aberystwyth, *SY23* 3EB, United Kingdom. ' *Present address:* Institute of Grassland and Environmental Re-

male DNA for the ability to produce specific DNA fragments on **PCR** amplification with short oligonucleotides using a technique called random amplified polymorphic DNA or RAPD (MULCAHY *et al.* 1992; **VEUSKENS** 1993). Although they were male-specific, we found that RAPD polymorphisms were often difficult to reproduce due to the large genome of *S. latifolia.* In addition, they tended to amplify members of highly repetitive multigene families that were not useful for isolation of flanking malespecific DNA sequences (T. HULLAR and S. R. GRANT, unpublished data). Here, we have applied a recently described genomic subtraction protocol, representational difference analysis (RDA) to identify male-specific markers. These reveal restriction enzyme polymorphisms between male and female genomes. We found them to be highly reproducible. We used the identified markers to analyze the DNA of plants with Ylinked mutations affecting sex determination in order to establish the relative positions of the markers and genes for male sex determination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials: Wild-type **S.** *latfolia* plants were derived from an inbred line propagated by seven generations of brother-sister mating (from a gift of J. VAN BREDERODE, State University, Utrecht, The Netherlands). Hermaphroditic and sterile mutant plants were obtained by pollinating wild-type female plants with X-ray mutagenized pollen from the same genotype (GRANT *et al.* 1994a). Mutants were propagated vegetatively by cuttings.

Isolation of genomic DNA for subtraction: Genomic DNA was isolated from leaf material collected from male and female plants. Leaves from ≥ 10 plants were pooled from each sex. DNA was extracted in a solution containing 1% 2-mercap thoethanol, 2% CTAB, 100 mM Tris-HC1 (pH 8.0), 20 mM EDTA, 1.4 M NaCl at 50" according to the protocol of (SHURE *et al.* 1983).

Subtraction: The procedure was a modification of RDA **(LISITSYN** *et al.* 1993). Four subtraction experiments were made, each with a different restriction endonuclease (either BamHI, *BglII, EcoRI,* or HindIII). Male and female DNAs were cut with the chosen restriction enzyme and adapters composed of unphosphorylated complementary oligonucleotides were ligated to the fragments. Oligonucleotide sequences were based on those described in LISITSYN *et al.* (1993), designed **so** that they would recreate the original restriction site at the border of the genomic DNA. After amplification of the restriction-digested male and female DNAs, adapters were removed by restriction enzyme digestion and new unphosphorylated oligonucleotide adapters were ligated to the tester (male) DNA only. Because the adapter oligonucleotides did not have 5' phosphates, the oligonucleotide was only able to be ligated to the 5' end of the genomic DNA fragment. Thus, each male sequence had one oligonucleotide attached to the 5' end as illustrated in LISITSYN *et al.* (1993). The male fragments were denatured and annealed to an excess of denatured amplified female DNA. After hybridization, male-male hybrids had single stranded identical oligonucleotide sequences extending from the 5' ends of both strands. Malefemale hybrids had an extension on only one end and femalefemale hybrids had none. The *5'* extensions were duplicated using TAQ polymerase. This generated a sequence complementary to the original oligonucleotide adapter on the 3' end of each male-male hybrid. The resulting fragments were PCR amplified using a primer identical in sequence to the original oligonucleotide. PCR amplification was carried out using a buffer of 50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 1.5 mM $MgCl₂$, and 5% DMSO. Cycling conditions were **as** follows: 1 min at 95", 1 min at *60°,* and 2 min at 72" in all experiments. Only male-male hybrids could be exponentially amplified leading to a significant enrichment of male-specific sequences. In each experiment, three rounds of hybridization of tester to an increasing excess of driver were made: round $1, 20-100\times;$ round 2, $800 \times$; round 3, $400,000 \times$ (or $100,000 \times$ for HindIII).

Cloning of RDA products: The final subtraction product was digested with the appropriate restriction enzyme to remove the adaptor sequences. The products were ligated to pBluescriptKS+ (Stratagene) linearized at the multiple cloning site by digestion with $BamHI$ (for $BamHI$ or $BgHI$ digested genomic DNAs), *EcoRI* or *HindIII* as appropriate. *Escherichia coli* strain XLlBlue (Stratagene) was transformed with the ligations. DNA was prepared from 40 randomly picked isolated colonies by alkaline lysis mini-prep (SAMBROOK *et al.* 1989) and digested to release the cloned insert from the Bluescript vector. DNA fragments were separated by gel electrophoresis and capillary blotted to nylon membranes. The blots were hybridized to a probe derived from the control subtraction labeled by replication with klenow polymerase in the presence of nucleotides conjugated to digoxygen (Boehringer Mannheim). Colonies to which the inserts hybridized were discarded and the remaining colonies were characterized for size of cloned inserts and cross-hybridization.

Preparation of Southern blots: Genomic DNA was isolated from leaf material collected from individual male, female and mutant plants in addition to pooled male and female samples (over 10 plants for each sex). DNA was extracted using a modification of the protocol of with an extraction buffer containing **42%** urea, **313** mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HC1 (pH *8.0),* 20 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), and 1% N laryl sarcosine. Genomic DNA was digested for 4 hr at *37"* with 2-3 units of enzyme per microgram of DNA. Digests were extracted with phenol/ chloroform, ethanol precipitated and redigested with the same enzyme at the same concentration for an additional 4-6 hr. A sample of each digest was then checked on an ethidium stained agarose gel for completion of digestion. After digestion, DNA was phenol/chloroform extracted and ethanol precipitated before loading 15 μ g DNA/lane on 0.9% agarose gels. DNA was blotted onto Hybond N+ nitrocellulose filters (Amersham), alkali fixed and hybridized in 0.1% SDS, $5\times$ Denhardts and 5× SSPE with ³²P labeled probe at 67°. Probes were prepared using random six nucleotide primers (Pharmacia) and Klenow DNA polymerase. Exposure to X-ray film was for 6-14 days.

Northern blots: Ten micrograms of polyA+ RNA from either isolated anthers, male flower buds <5 mm long, male leaves, isolated carpels, female flowers <5 mm long, female leaves, roots of mixed male and female plants or entire seedlings of both sexes was separated by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gels. Nucleic acids were transferred to nylon membranes (Hybond N, Amersham) by capillary blotting. Hybridization of probes and washing of filters was performed as for Southern blots.

DNA sequencing: Both strands of each clone were sequenced by the dideoxy chain termination method using a T7 polymerase kit from Amersham. One clone of every representative insert class was sequenced using T3 and T7 primers.

Karyotype analysis: Root tip metaphase cells were prepared and stained for photography of chromosomes as described in (GRANT *et al.* 1994a).

RESULTS

Experimental rationale: RDA uses PCR to amplify DNA sequences that are polymorphic between two genomes. The technique is ideal for the study of complex genomes such as human or **S.** *Idijiilin.* Only a subset of fragments derived from each genome by restriction endonuclease digestion, those which are small enough to be amplified by PCR, are compared. The diploid S. *latifolia* genome is $\sim 9 \times 10^9$ bp making it essential to reduce the complexity of sequences involved in hybridization in order to achieve complete annealing in a reasonable period of time. Using different restriction enzymes for the initial DNA fragment preparation allowed **us** to sample different parts of the genome in separate experiments.

Selection of subtracted clones: The genomes of a pool of 10 male and 10 female plants were cut with BamHI, BgIII, HindIII or *EcoRI*. After ligation to sexspecific adapters, male and female DNAs were amplified. The resulting amplicons were hybridized and amplified according to the RDA protocol as described in Methods. Sequences that were unique to the male amplicon were enriched. After three or four rounds of enrichment, a small number of discrete PCR bands were visible on ethidium stained agarose gels (data not shown). This material was cloned into plasmid replicons and 40 antibiotic resistant bacterial transformants from each treatment were randomly chosen for DNA isolation. An important control to identifv nonspecific artifacts of RDA **was** performed by a second ''reverse" hybridization. Female DNA cut with the appropriate restriction enzyme and amplified with the male-specific adapters **was** hybridized to an excess of male DNA and subjected to RDA. Reverse hybridization PCR products were used to probe the RDA-derived cloned DNAs, blotted onto nylon membranes. Clones that hybridized to the probe were considered unlikely to he male-specific restriction fragments and were discarded. One clone from the BamHI experiment and 36 from the BglII experiment were identified as potentially male-specific. All of the clones generated after digestion of genomic DNA with HindIII or EcoRI were homologous to the reverse hybridization probe. The discarded clones from the BamHI digested DNA were shown to be highly repetitive sequences by hybridizing to radiolabeled total male or female DNAs in separate experiments (data not shown). Their repetitive nature may make it difficult to remove these clones by hybridization.

Initial DNA digestion with rarely cutting restriction enzymes appears to be more useful for RDA using *S. Iatifolia.* Digestion of genomic DNA with BamHI, *Hin*dIII and *Eco*RI lead to products of a broad size range. In contrast, *BgIII-digested DNA* was composed mostly of large restriction fragments, too large to be in the amplicon for subtraction. This leads to lower sequence complexity in the amplicon and more of the RDA clones derived from BglII-digested DNA did not hybridize to the reverse hybridization probe. The single BamHI clone that did not hybridize to the reverse hybridization probe and 18 of the **36** nonhyhridizing BglII clones were analyzed further and yielded 10 indepen-

FIGURE 1.—Male-specific DNA fragments identified using RDA. Male and fcmale genomic **DNAs cut** with **BgllI** (top two panels) or *BamHI* (bottom panel) probed with RDA-derived sequences Bgl7, Bgl10 or Bam37 as indicated. Arrows indicate male-specific polymorphisms. Size markers are indicated in kilobases on the right. Genomic DNA pooled from over IO male siblings **(PM),** gcnomic DNA **poolcd** from over IO female siblings (PF), DNA from individual male siblings of the inbred population (M), DNA from individual female siblings (F), I-kb ladder (GIBCO-RRI,) that cross hybridizes **to** some probes (L) were probed in each panel. "In the top panel probed with Bgl7, lane F has no DNA.

dent sequences. Four of these were further characterized **as** described below.

Southern blots of male and female individuals confirms that RDA-derived restriction fragments are uniquely **found in males:** A male-specific restriction fragment of the same size as the probe was detected when the malespecific RDA-derived clones were labeled and used to probe DNA gel **blots** of genomic DNA digested with the same restriction enzyme used to produce the original amplicon. DNA **was** tested from at least six individual sibling plants of each sex. Gel blots probed with the **450** bp BamHI clone, Bam37, the 471-bp BgIII clone, BgI7, or the **331-bp** BglII clone, BgllO are shown in Figure 1. Notably, a fragment identical in size to the male-specific

DNA probe (indicated by an arrow at the left of the gel in Figure 1) **\vas** detected in the genomic DNA of all male plants tested and **was** lacking in all female plants tested. The probability that these male-specific polymorphisms are not linked to the sex of the plant is $4.8 \times$ 10^{-4} according to the formula used for bulk segregant analysis of cosegregation of dominant markers, $2(1 [1/4]$ *n*) $(1/4)$ *n* where $n = 6$, the number of plants of each sex (MICHELMORE *et al.* 1991). None of the probes detecting male-specific fragments were single copy sequences. Instead they were all members of repetitive sequence families. In all cases, the additional homologous sequences were common between both male and female plants although some polymorphisms, not related to the sex of the plant, could be seen in some individuals. The number of hybridizing bands varied from <10 in clone BgllO (and Bgl16, data not shown) to many in clones Bam37 and Bg17 (Figure 1). The latter **two** clones appear to contain moderately repetitive DNA sequences. The majority of hybridization with all tested RDA probes is to large fragments. In Bg/II-digested DNA, the majority of restriction fragments \isuaIized by ethidium staining sites are in this size range. However BamHI cut DNA leads to a broad range of restriction fragment lengths. We attempted to ensure that DNA digests were complete **(MA-TERIALS AND METHODS),** but we cannot rule out that some hybridization bands are to undigested DNAs. Only the fragments identical in size to the RDA-derived probes are unique to male genomes and likely to be linked to the *Y* chromosome.

Detection of larger male-specific DNA restriction fragments with RDA generated probes: When the male-specific probes were hybridized to genomic DNA digested with other restriction enzymes, malespecific polymorphic bands were detected with each digest. In Figure 2A, a 4.1-kb DNA fragment homologous to the 292-bp 8g/II generated probe Bg116 is found only in pooled male DNA (indicated by longest arrow on left) and not in female DNA. A Gkb hybridizing fragment is only found in male DNA cut with *Eco*RI (indicated by medium length arrow on left) and a fragment of \sim 11 kb (indicated by the shortest arrow) is found only in male DNA digested with BomHI. The 450-bp Bam37 probe **also** detects DNA fragments only present in male DNA cut with the same three restriction enzymes (indicated by arrows in Figure 2B). The 450-bp male-specific BamHI fragment seen in Figure 1 is indicated by the shortest arrow on the left of the blot. The ability of the probes to detect male-specific polymorphisms with a variety of restriction enzymes proves that the DNA fragments digested with the same enzymes used to produce the RDA probes **as** in Figure 1, are not artifacts of differential methylation of *Bam*HI or **&/I1** restriction sites in male and female genomes. The higher molecular weight polymorphic DNA fragments potentially contain some less abundant sequences flanking the Bg116 or Bam37 cloned RDA sequences that could be used to isolate Winked sequences from **a** male genomic DNA bank.

FIGURE 2.-RDA probes hybridize to male-specific fragments in DNA digested with various enzymes. Enzyme-specific male polymorphisms homologous to A, Bgl16; B, Bam37 seen with pooled male **(M)** or pooled female (F) genomic DNA cut with *HindIII* (H), EcoRI (E) and BamHI (B) restriction enzymes. Arrows indicate male-specific hybridization signals. Arrow lengths correlate to male-specific **bands** in lanes from left to right. Longest arrows refer to left lanes and shortest arrows refer to right lanes. DNA sizes in kilobases are indicated on the right.

The RDA sequences are unlikely to represent expressed genes: Sequencing of the four clones revealed that nonc of them (including Bgl7 and Bgil6) shared significant sequence homology (GenBank database accession Nos.: Bgl7, X99865; Bgl10, X99866; Bgl16, X99867; and Bam37, X99864). No significant homology to known genes in the National Center for Biotechnol-

FIGURE 3.-Flowers of hermaphroditic and asexual mutants with sepals and petals removed to reveal reproductive organs. (A and B) Two different hermaphroditic mutants. Gynoecium *(g)* including styles (sty) antl stamens (st) **are** indicated. (C-E) Three different asexual mutants. An outer bract (b) is showing in C at the left. Rudimentary gynoecium and stamens are shown. Size bar is equal to 2 mm.

o~ Information (NCRI) database **was** detected using RIAST and FASTA searches. However, some homologies to repetitive **DNAs** were seen in short stretches of Bgl16 (72% identity to a retrotransposon from *Arabidopsis thaliana* over 67 bases) and Bam37 (89% identity to a human Alu repeat sequence over 27 bases). All four sequences have multiple stop codons in all reading frames and no long open reading frames suggesting none encode expressed genes. All four cloned sequences were radiolabeled and used together to probe an RNA gel blot of 10 μ g of polyA+ RNA from various Silene latifolia tissues including flowers, leaves roots and seedlings. No transcripts were detected (data not shown).

Generation of mutants with altered sex: We used Xray mutagenesis of pollen to generate lesions in the male Y chromosome leading to production of flowers expressing altered gender. In two rounds of mutagenesis, we irradiated pollen from males **of** an inbred line (7 generations brother \times sister mated) and crossed it to sibling females to generate seed. X-ray dosages varied from 600 to 7000 r as described previously (GRANT et *ell.* 1994a). Plants were selected in the first generation

TABLE 1

Sex of progeny of hermaphroditic mutants backcrossed to normal females

when recessive mutations would only be visible if they were Ylinked. Five asexual and 22 hermaphroditic mutants were isolated from 472 plants generated from pollen irradiated at 7600 r and eight hermaphroditic and 30 asexual mutants were found from \sim 5000 plants generated form pollen irradiated at **4500** or **.3000** r. **M'c** also found some mutations affecting leaf shape but most of the mutations affected flower development.

Examples of hermaphroditic and asexual mutant flowers are shown in Figure **3.** The mutants varied considerably in flower morphology suggesting that several different loci were affected in various mutants in the collection. Four of the 22 hermaphrodites derived from the 7600-r treated pollen were andromonoecious, having a mixture of male and hermaphroditic flowers (not shown). Andromonoecious mutants had variable numbers of carpels in hermaphroditic flowers (two to five). Nineteen of the 30 hermaphroditic mutants had five carpels as in a mature wild-type female and 10 stamens as in a normal male flower. Seventeen of these were self-fertile. **A** typical flower of such a mutant, H8, is shown in Figure 3A with its sepals and petals removed to reveal the reproductive organs. Five hermaphroditic mutants had unusually short stamen filaments with pollen bearing anthers like mutant H20 shown in Figure 3R. Other hermaphroditic mutants had a variable number of carpels ranging from two to five carpels per flower. The number of carpels even differed between flowers on the same plant (not shown).

Flowers of asexual mutants have **a** small rod of cells in the center which is the rudimentary gynoecium typical of wild-type male flowers (Figure 3, C-E) (GRANT *et al.* 1994b), and their stamens are arrested in development before maturity. The stamen filament length and the degree of development of anthers before premature arrest occurred varied between different mutants. However, the stage of stamen arrest was fairly uniform on different flowers of each asexual mutant. Six asexual mutants resembled mutant SI2 shown in Figure SC, which expresses early arrest of stamen development at a stage similar to stamen arrest in wild-type females

FIGURE 4. - Karyotypic analysis reveals Y chromosome deletions in some hermaphroditic and asexual mutants. Root tip metaphase chromosomes from the mutants indicated were stained with acetocarmine. *Y* chromosomes (long arrow) are indicated along with the X chromosome (arrow head) where visible. The size bar is equal to 5 μ m.

(GRANT et al. 1994b). The majority of asexual mutants have stamens that develop further before their arrest, as shown in Figure 3, D and E.

Heritability of hermaphroditic mutations: To assess the heritability of mutations, hermaphrodites were crossed as male parents to normal females. We have examined the offspring of nine hermaphrodites derived from the 7600 r irradiated pollen (Table 1). The hermaphrodite trait was transmitted to the next generation in only one family. All offspring of this mutant were female except for one hermaphrodite. Upon self fertilization of this sole backcross-derived hermaphrodite plant, a ratio of 2:1 female to hermaphrodite plants were observed in the next generation (50 flowering plants examined). This non-Mendelian segregation ratio reflects two things. First, the Ychromosome is potentially not inherited through the egg of a hermaphroditic plant. Studies of embryo regeneration from pollen cells demonstrated that only X bearing pollen grains could go through embryogenesis (YE et al. 1990), so it is possible that Y bearing ovules are not viable. This would lead to a 1:1 ratio of hermaphrodite to female in selfed progeny of a Y-linked hermaphrodite. The second prob-

TABLE 2

Presence of RDA markers in hermaphroditic mutant Silence latifolia plants

Mutant	Bgl7	Bgl10	Bgl16	Bam37	Karyotype
H1	$^{+}$		$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
H ₂				$^{+}$	LVD
H3	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
H ₄				$^{+}$	NVD
H ₅	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
H ₆	$^{+}$	$^+$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
H7	$^{+}$		$^+$	$^{+}$	NVD
H ₈				$^{+}$	LVD
H ₉	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
H10	$^{+}$		$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
H11		$^{+}$		$^{+}$	ND
H12	$^{+}$	$^+$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
H13		$^{+}$		$^{+}$	ND
H14	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
H ₁₅				$^{+}$	LVD
H16	$^{+}$		$^{+}$	$^{+}$	LVD
H17	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	NVD
H18	$^+$			$^+$	ND

-, Male-specific restriction fragment is absent; +, male-specific restriction fragment is present; LVD, large visible deletion in Y chromosome removing most of one arm; NVD, no visible deletion in Y chromosome; SVD, small visible deletion of part of one arm of Y chromosome; ND, karyotype not analyzed.

lem in segregation is due to the tendency of this line to produce more female than male offspring. Unbalanced sex ratios are commonly observed in S. latifolia populations and are thought to be caused by a difference in transmission of X and Y chromosomes through pollen (TAYLOR 1994). In the other eight families, all offspring were female. This indicates that the Ychromosomes of these plants were not transmitted through the pollen, a common feature of damaged chromosomes (STADLER and ROMAN 1948). No male progeny were found as expected if the lesion is linked to the Y chromosome.

Some sex mutants have cytologically detectable Y chromosome deletions: We have examined the chromosomes in root tip metaphase cells of seven hermaphroditic and one asexual mutant. An example of metaphase chromosomes from a root tip cell of each mutant is shown in Figure 4 and the results are summarized as part of Table 2. The wild-type Y chromosome is the largest and it is completely metacentric (MATSUNAGA et al. 1994). The hermaphrodite mutants, H4, H7 and H17 had Y chromosomes that resembled wild type in their morphology and size. In contrast, the asexual mutant, S2 and four of the hermaphrodites, H2, H8, H15, and H16 had visible deletions in the Y chromosome (Figure 4).

Presence of male-specific RDA-derived restriction fragments in sex mutants: In order to determine if any of the mutant phenotypes could be correlated with loss of male-specific restriction fragments, we probed Southern blots of total genomic DNA isolated from 18 fully

FIGURE 5.-Genomic DNAs from individual mutants probed with RDA markers reveal DNA rearrangements. *BglII* (top three panels) or *BamHI* (bottom panel) digested DNA pooled from over 10 normal male siblings (PM). DNA pooled from over 10 normal female siblings (PF) or DNA from individual hermaphroditic mutants (H3, H6, H12, H8) or asexual mutants (S3, S12, S23, S25, S26) probed with RDA-derived clone Bgli, **Bg110, Rg116** or Ramsf as indicated. Male-specific fragments are indicated by an arrow. Size markers in kilobases are indicated **on** the right.

hermaphroditic and 25 asexual mutant plants with the four RDA-derived male-specific fragments described above. In each case, the genomic DNA was cut with the restriction enzyme that would produce a homologous fragment of the same size as the probe. **Loss** of the band corresponding to the cloned fragment could occur due to mutation of one of the flanking BamHI or BgIII restriction sites, deletion of part or all **of** the sequence or a DNA rearrangement involving that sequence. *An* example of Southern blots with DNA from pooled male and female plants and four hermaphrodite (HS, H6, H8 and H12) and five asexual mutants **(S3,** S12, S23, S25 and S26) probed with each clone is shown in Figure *5.* Male-specific bands are indicated by an arrow to the left of each panel. **As** expected, all four sequences are present in pooled male DNA and missing in pooled female DNA. The presence or absence of the malespecific restriction fragments in each mutant is summarized in Tables 2 and **3** and conclusions regarding the position of the markers is discussed below.

DISCUSSION

Our results demonstrate the utility of RDA for the identification of DNA markers polymorphic between male and female S. *latifolia* plants. We isolated sequences that were male specific in siblings from an inbred population. Since autosomal genes are randomly segregating with respect to loci on the sex chromosomes, our RDA-derived clones are most likely to be

TABLE 3

Presence of RDA markers in asexual mutant *Silene latifolia* **plants**

Mutant	Bgl7	Bgl10	Bgl16	Bam37	Karyotype
S ₁		$^{+}$		$^{+}$	ND
S ₂		$^{+}$		$^{+}$	SVD
S ₃	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
S ₄	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$\rm ND$
S ₅	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
S ₆	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
S7	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	N _D
S9	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
S10	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
S11	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
S12	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
S13	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
S14	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$\rm ND$
S15		$^{+}$		$^{+}$	$\rm ND$
S16	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
S17	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	N _D
S18	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
S19	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	N _D
S ₂₀	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
S ₂₁	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
S ₂₂	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
S ₂₃	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
S ₂₄		$^{+}$		$^{+}$	N _D
S ₂₅	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	ND
S ₂₆	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^+$	$^{+}$	ND

Symbols as in Tahlc 2.

Y chromosome-specific sequences. Using this technique, we have identified **four** independent male-specific restriction fragments. However, all probes hybridized to additional DNA in common between males and females. Thus, at least a part of each sequence must have homology to moderately repetitive sequences that are not sex chromosome linked. They will, however, make useful probes for mapping Y-linked sex determining genes and for the isolation of larger genomic DNA fragments that may carry transcribed sequences or single copy sequences that are unique to males.

Loss **of male-specific RDA markers in sex mutants identifies sex determining regions of Ychromosome:** Of the four RDA clones tested, one detected a Y chromosome-specific sequence present in all tested mutants, indicating that all mutants carry Ychromosome DNA. The other three sequences were lost in some hermaphroditic and some asexual mutants (Tables 2 and **3).** Two of the three clones, Bg17 and Bg116, shared exactly the same pattern of presence or absence in mutant DNAs, and the third (BgllO) shared the same pattern as a cDNA clone isolated independently from a male-specific cDNA subtraction experiment (D. YE, H. KOUTNIKOVA, H. SAEDLER and S. GRANT, unpublished results). Thus, it would appear that there may be islands of difference on the Y chromosome detected with three **of** our RDAderived clones. The ability to use RDA to quickly identify. limited regions of the Ychromosome carrying sex-linked

FIGURE 6.—Hypothetical location of RDA markers on the *Y* chromosome of wild-type males and chosen mutants. Each image represents the *Y* chromsome of the mutant designated below it. The relative predicted position of the RDA-derived markers and sex determining genes (gynoecium suppressor, stamen initiation, anther maturation) are based on **WESTERGAARD** (1958) and the markers missing in the mutants described in this paper. Barn37 is marked in brackets because we cannot predict its map position based on our data. Indent in chromosome arms indicates the centromere. Hatched bars across chromosome arms indicate location of internal deletions.

deletions will be very important to overcome the disadvantages for mapping of the large size and repetitive nature of the Y chromosome.

Assignment of marker positions on the *Y* **chromosome:** Comparison of male-specific restriction fragments missing in mutants and karyotypic evidence of deletions in some *Y* chromosomes allowed us to make a first assignment of marker position in relation to genes for sex determination as illustrated in Figure 6. The markers Bg17, Bg110 and Bg116 are missing in mutants H2, H8 and H15 which have large deletions in one Y chromosome arm. However, all three are also missing from hermaphroditic mutant H4 with no visible deletion of the Y chromosome. This indicates that the three markers are linked in a relatively small Ychromosome region. BgllO alone is missing in mutant H16 with a large Y-linked deletion. Therefore we assigned it to the position closest to the end of the chromosome, assuming that this large deletion is not internal. The Bgl10 marker appears to be close to a female suppressor locus because it is the marker most frequently absent in hermaphroditic mutant plants. It is also the only marker lost in hermaphroditic mutant H7 which has no visible alterations of the Y chromosome (Figure 6). Finally, BgllO is lost only in hermaphroditic mutants and retained in all asexual mutants. The cytogenetic work of Westergaard (WESTERGAARD 1946, 1958) defined a region of the *Y* chromosome bearing genes involved in suppression of carpel development on the opposite arm from the region of homology to the *X* chromosome. Following WESTERGAARD'S observations, we assigned the BgllO probe to the arm distal to the *X* homology. Bg17 and Bg116 must also be linked on that arm.

Identification of a locus involved in early stamen development: Since two clones, Bg17 and Bg116, can be deleted in both hermaphroditic and sterile mutants, we predict that a carpel suppressor gene and a stamen **pro**moter gene must be linked on one arm of the Ychrome some. Therefore, we assigned an early stamen develop ment locus to same arm of the chromosome as the gynoecium suppressor close to Bg17 and Bg116. This locus was not identified in earlier genetic studies. WEST-EKCAARD originally proposed that genes to promote the early stages of stamen development could be located on the same arm **as** the carpel suppressor (WESTERGAARD 1958), but he did not analyze asexual mutants with rearrangements leading to very early arrest of stamen development (WESTERGAARD 1946). The asexuals, which lost Bg17 and Bg116 marker sequences (mutants S1, **S2,** S15 and S24), all arrest anther development at a stage comparable with mutant S12 shown in Figure **3C.** (If S12 has a mutation in the same gene, it has occurred without affecting the DNA homologous to Bgl 7 and Bg116). WEST-ERGAARD analyzed asexual mutants in which stamen development was aborted after anther differentiation and some filament elongation had occurred, similar to the mutant in Figure 3E. These had a deletion in the Yarm with the *X* chromosome homology which he proposed contained a region for anther maturation **as** illustrated in Figure 6 (WESTERGAARD 1946, 1958).

Since Bam37 is present in all mutants, we assume it is on another part of the Y chromosome not included in any of the deletions. Therefore we have assigned it to the opposite chromosome arm from the other markers. However, it may be on the same arm closer to the centromere.

Using RDA markers and deletion mutants of *S. latifolia* **to locate sex determining genes of the** *Y* **chromosome:** RDA offers us the potential to efficiently identify a large number of male-specific markers to characterize the nature of DNA rearrangements in our collection of X-ray generated mutants. Ionizing radiation such as Xrays generates deletion mutations and translocations at a higher rate than chemical mutagens. Our karyotypic analysis of our mutant collection indicates that deletions in the male sex chromosome are common. The markers we have already identified by RDA allowed us to begin to characterize the rearrangements of the Y chromosome in some mutants and to deduce the order of the markers and their relation to sex determining genes. We can now use these markers to identify mutations linked to small deletions of the Y chromosome. Mutant H1 with its heritable mutation and H7, which has an apparently full-sized *Y* chromosome, may have suitable mutations since both have lost the Bgl10 marker. Mutants with small deletions can be used to generate further linked markers by using RDA to identify restriction fragments present in related wild-type males and missing in the mutants. As more mutants are examined with linked markers, it will be possible to identify regions of the *Y* chromosome containing sex determining genes and ultimately to clone those genes based on their proximity to DNA markers.

We thank N. LISITSYN for providing **us** with a detailed protocol and advice for the **RDA** procedure. We gratefully acknowledge the technical assistance of B. HUNKIRCHEN, R. SCHMIDT and T. GUERTLER. We also thank S. WHITFIELD for assistance with the illustrations and

J. **L.** DANGL, S. HARDENACK and Anonymous Reviewer 2 for helpful suggestions on the manuscript. I.S.D. was supported by a British Royal Society Fellowship and a Max Planck Society Fellowship. **S.R.G.** was supported by a grant from the Bundesministerium fiir Forschung und Technologie no. 0316101A.

LITERATURE **CITED**

- CHATER, **A. O.,** and S. M. WALTERS, 1964 Silene **L.,** pp. 158-181 in *Nma Europa,* edited by T. **G.** TUTIN, V. H. HEYWOOD, N. A. BURGES,, D. H. VALENTINE, S.**M.** WALTERS et al. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- DELLAPORTA, S. **L.,** and A. CALDERONE-URREA, 1993 Sex determination in flowering plants. Plant Cell **5:** 1241-1251.
- **GRANT, S.,** A. HOUBEN, B. VYSKOT, J. **SIROW,** W.-H. PAN *et aL,* 1994a Genetics of sex determination in flowering plants. Dev. Genet. **15:** 214-230.
- GRANT, **S.** R., B. HUNKIRCHEN and H. SAEDLER, 1994b Developmental differences between male and female flowers in the dioecious plant *Silene latifolia.* Plant J. **6:** 471-480.
- HEGI, **G.,** 1979 *Illustierte Flma von Mittel Europa.* Verlag Paul Parey, Berlin.
- LISITSYN, **N., N.** LISITSYN and M. WIGLER, 1993 Cloning the difference between two complex genomes. Science **259:** 946-951.
- MATSUNAGA, S., M. HIZUME, S. KAWANO and T. KUROIWA, 1994 Cytological analysis in *Melandrium album* genome size, chromosome size and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization. Cytologia **59** 135-141.
- MICHELMORE, R. W., I. PARAN and R. **V.** KESSELI, 1991 Identification of markers linked to disease-resistance genes by bulked segregant analysis: A rapid method to detect markers in specific genomic regions by using segregating populations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **88:** 9828-9832.
- MULCAHY, D. L., N. F. WEEDEN, R. KESSELI and **S.** B. CARROI.I., 1992 DNA probes for the *Y*-chromosome of *Silene latifolia*, a dioecious angiosperm. Sex. Plant Reprod. **5:** 86-88.
- SAMBROOK, J., E. F. FRITSCH and T. MANIATIS, 1989 Molecular Clon*ing,* **A** *Labmatmy Manual.* Ed. 2. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- SHURE, **M.,** S. WESSLER and N. FEDOROFF, 1983 Molecular identification and isolation of the *waxy* locus in maize. Cell **35:** 225- 933.
- STADLER, L.J., and H. ROMAN, 1948 The effect of X-rays upon mutation of the gene **A** in maize. Genetics **33:** 273-303
- TAYLOR, D. R., 1994 The genetic basis of sex ratio in *Silene alba* (= **S.** *latifolia).* Genetics **136:** 641-651.
- VAN NIGTEVECHT, *G.,* 1966 Genetic studies in dioecious *Melundrium album.* **I.** Sex-linked and sex-influenced inheritance in *Melandrium album* and *Melandnum dioicum.* Genetica **37:** 281-306.
- VEUSKENS, J.1993 Sex determination in the dioecius *Melundrium album:* first steps towards the molecular analysis of the Y sex chromosome. Ph.D Thesis, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels.
- WARMKE, H. **E.,** 1946 Sex determination and sex balance in *Melandrium.* Am. J. Bot. **33** 648-660.
- WARMKE, H. **E.,** and A. F. BLAKESLEE, 1939 Sex mechanism in polyploids of *Melandrium.* Science **89:** 391-392.
- WESTERGAARD, M., 1940 Studies on cytology and sex determination in polyploid forms of *Melandnum album.* Dan. Bot. Ark. **5:** 1- 131.
- WESTERGAARD, M.1946 Aberrant *Y* chromosomes and sex expression in *Melandrium album.* Hereditas **32:** 419-443.
- WESTERGAARD, M., 1948 The relation between chromosome constitution and sex in the offspring of triploid *Melandrium.* Hereditas **34:** 255-279.
- WESTERGAARD, M.1958 The mechanism of sex determination in dioecious flowering plants. Adv. Genet. **9:** 217-281.
- YAMPOLSKY, C., and H. YAMPOLSKY, 1922 Distribution of the sex forms in the phanerogamic flora. Bibl. Genet. 3: 1-62.
- YE, D., P. INSTALLE, D. CIUPERCESCU, J. VEUSKENS, Y. Wu *et al.,* 1990 Sex determination in the dioecious *Melandrium* 1. First lessons from androgenic haploids. Sex Plant Reprod. **3:** 179-186.

Communicating editor: D. CHARLESWORTH