Isolation and Characterization of Suppressors of Two *Escherichia coli dnaG* **Mutations,** *dmG2903* **and** *parB*

Robert A. Britton*^{,†} and James R. Lupski*^{,†,‡}

**Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, tCell and Molecular Biology Program, :Department of Pediatrics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas 77030*

> Manuscript received September 11, 1996 Accepted for publication December **20,** 1996

ABSTRACT

The *dnaG* gene of *Eschen'chia coli* encodes the primase protein, which synthesizes a short pRNA that is essential for the initiation of both leading and lagging strand DNA synthesis. Two temperature-sensitive mutations in the **3'** end of the *dnaG* gene, *dnaG2903* and *pare,* cause a defect in chromosome partitioning at the nonpermissive temperature **42".** We have characterized **24** cold-sensitive suppressor mutations of these two *dnaG* alleles. By genetic mapping and complementation, five different classes of suppressors have been assigned: *sdgC, sdgD, sdgE, sdgG* and *sdgH*. The genes responsible for suppression in four of the five classes have been determined. Four of the *sdgC* suppressor alleles are complemented by the *dnaE* gene, which encodes the enzymatic subunit of DNA polymerase 111. The *sdgE* class are mutations in *era,* an essential GTPase of unknown function. The *sdgG* suppressor is likely a mutation in one of three genes: *ubiC, ubiA* or *yjbI*. The *sdgH* class affects *rpsF*, which encodes the ribosomal protein S6. Possible mechanisms of suppression by these different classes are discussed.

THE *dnaG* gene of *Escherichia coli* encodes the protein primase, which synthesizes a short primer RNA that is essential for the initiation of both leadingand lagging-strand DNA synthesis (LARK 1972; VAN DER ENDE *et al.* 1985). The enzymatic function of primase has been well established, yet the molecular interactions within the replisome that determine when and where primase synthesizes a primer RNA for the initiation of an Okazaki fragment remain largely unknown. Biochemical studies have implicated functional interactions between primase and both DnaB and DNA polymerase **I11** holoenzyme (KORNBERG and BAKER 1992; **MARIANS** 1992; ZECHNER *et al.* 1992; TOUGU *et al.* 1994), although no direct physical interactions have been demonstrated between these proteins. A model to describe the interactions between primase, helicase, and PolIII has been proposed (MARIANS 1992; ZECHNER et al. 1992).

Five conditional-lethal temperature-sensitive alleles of *dnaG* have been isolated. Three of these mutants, *dnaG3, dnaG308* and *dnaG399,* were identified in screens for temperature-sensitive mutations affecting DNA replication. All three mutations, found in the middle of the *dnaG* gene, cause substitutions near the region of the primase that is highly conserved in different bacterial species (GROMPE *et al.* 1991; VERSALOVIC and **LUPSKI** 1993; SUN *et al.* 1994; **MUSTAEV** and GODSON 1995). This region also has homology with RNA polymerases and is where the synthesis of the primer RNA is believed to occur (VERSALOVIC and **LUPSIU** 1993). The other two alleles, *dnaG2903* and *parB*, were isolated in two different screens. The *dnaG2903* mutation was found in a screen for phenethyl alcohol-resistant mutants while the *parB* mutation was isolated in a screen for chromosome partitioning defective mutations **(HI-**ROTA *et al.* 1968; WADA and **YURA** 1974). These *par* mutants are unable to segregate their nucleoids but do not convey a defect in DNA synthesis at the nonpermissive temperature (VERSALOVIC and **LUPSKI** 1997).

The mutations in *dnaG2903* and *parB* are found in the 3' end of the gene, 9 bp apart, each causing a different Glu-to-Lys substitution, which represents a significant change in charge (GROMPE *et al.* 1991). The SOS response is induced by both mutations, but filamentation and the defect in chromosome partitioning is only partially caused by the induction of SOS (VERSA-LOVIC and LUPSKI 1997). Thus it is unclear whether or not the partitioning defective phenotype **of** *dnaG2903* and *parB* reflects an active role of primase in chromosome partitioning or if this phenotype is caused by perturbed DNA replication. The latter possibility seems more likely. MARIANs and coworkers have proposed that the COOH terminus of primase plays a regulatory role for the priming activity **of** primase (TOUGU *et al.* 1994). They further suggest that a functional interaction between the carboxy-terminus of primase and DnaB is important for priming activity. The $dnaG2903$ allele may affect this regulatory region of primase and the functional interaction with DnaB (TOUGU *et al.* 1994). However, mutations affecting the genes encoding primase in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* result in chromosome segrega-

Corresponding authw: James R. Lupski, Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Room **609E,** Baylor College **of** Medicine, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, TX **77030.** E-mail: **jlupski@imgen.bcrn.tmc.edu**

tion defects (LONGHESE *et al.* 1993). It is therefore possible that primase has an undetermined role in chromosome partitioning.

Suppressors of the *dnaG2903* and *parB* mutations oc-
Strain cur at a high rate (KATAYAMA *et al.* 1989; BRITTON and LUPSKI 1995). KATAYAMA and coworkers characterized 100 suppressors of *dnaG290?* in an attempt to identify proteins that directly interact with primase (KATAYAMA *et al.* 1989). They found two classes, *sdgA* and *sdgB* (KA-TAYAMA *et al.* 1989). No other classes were found. Suppressors in the *sdgA* class were point mutations in the transcription terminator T_1 , which precedes the *dnaG* gene. The *sdgB* class were mapped to the *rpoB* gene, which encodes the enzymatic subunit of **RNA** polymerase. Both classes result in the overexpression of the mutant primase, causing suppression of the temperature-sensitive phenotype (KATAYAMA *et al.* 1989; BRIT-TON and **LUPSKI** 1995). We have previously shown that *parB* can also be suppressed by mutations in T_1 , and both *dnaG290?* and *parB* can be suppressed by a transcription termination defective *rpoB* allele (BRITTON and LUPSKI 1995). Thus *parB* and *dnaG290?* likely have a similar effect on primase function.

This paper describes an approach to identify new classes of suppressors of *dnaG2903* and *parB* in an attempt to find proteins that directly interact with primase during DNA replication, govern primase function indirectly, or are involved in the regulation of *dnaG* expression. To find novel suppressors it was important to devise a screen that would eliminate the **two** previously described classes of suppressors, *sdgA* and *sdgB.* Because all of the *sdgA* and *sdgB* suppressors grow well at 25", suppressors of *dnaG290?* and *parB* that cured the heat-sensitive defect but caused a cold-sensitive phenotype were isolated. The characterization of these cold-sensitive suppressors and the possible mechanisms of suppression are discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains: Strains are listed in Table 1. RAB2903 and RABP-ARB were constructed by making a P1 lysate of N407 (kindly provided by NAOMI FRANKLIN) and transducing KY1378 (KA-TAYAMA *et al.* 1989) and JV53 (VERSALOVIC and LUPSKI 1997) to tetracycline resistance, respectively. A collection of Hfr strains and strains containing defined markers created for genetic mapping in *E. coli* were used in this study (Table 2) (SINGER *et al.* 1989). In addition, marker *h/g2:* : Rkan was used for mapping the *sdgH* class of suppressors (kindly provided by Dr. MALCOLM WINKLER). Strains containing *dnaE* mutations that are antimutators were kindly provided by Dr. ROEL SCHAAPER (FIJALKOWSKA and SCHAAPER 1993).

Genetic techniques and reagents: Map locations were determined based on EcoMap7 (BEKYN *et al.* 1996). Hfr conjugations and P1 transductions using P1 vir were performed as described (MILLER 1972). Electroporations were done using the Gene Pulser **I1** apparatus (Bio-Rad) as per manufacturer specifications. Cells were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth (10 g tryptone, 5 g yeast extract, 10 g NaCl). Bactoagar (15 g) was added to LB broth to make plates. All media reagents were purchased from Difco. Antibiotics Timentin (Tm) (50

TABLE 1

Bacterial strains	
--------------------------	--

 μ g/ml), kanamycin (Km) (30 μ g/ml), chloramphenicol (Cm) (30 mg/ml) and tetracycline (Tc) (15 μ g/ml) were added when necessary. Restriction enzymes were purchased from New England Biolabs and Boehringer Mannheim. *Tag* polymerase was purchased from Cetus.

Isolation of cold-sensitive suppressors: Strains containing the *dnaG2903* or *parB* mutations were grown in 5 ml of LB broth at 30° until an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6-0.8. Fifty and 500 μ l of each culture were then plated on prewarmed LB plates at 42° . Plates were checked 1, 2 and 3 days after incubation at 42" for suppressors. Individual colonies were patch plated at 42" and 25" to confirm the suppression and to identify suppressors that were cold-sensitive. Once a cold-sensitive sup pressor was identified, any other suppressors isolated from the same culture were discarded to ensure that siblings were not chosen.

Plasmids: A shotgun library of the entire *E. coli* chromosome was obtained from Drs. GENSHI ZHAO and MALCOLM WINKLER. Plasmid pDS426, which contains a wild-type copy of the *dnaE* gene, was provided by Dr. ROBB **MOSES (SHEPARD** *et al,* 1984). Plasmids pBSpnBop and pBSApiB were obtained from Dr. KEN MARIANS (ZAVITZ et al. 1991). The genes carried on these latter two plasmids are $rpsFpriBrpsR-rpll$ and $rpsF$ $rpsR\text{-}rplI$, respectively. In $pBS\Delta\text{priB}$, the deletion removes the entire *priB* gene and therefore should have little or no polar effect on *rpsR* and *rpll.* The plasmids containing amber nonsense suppressors, pDS1, pGFIB-tRNA^{cys}, and pGFIB-tRNA^{phe} were provided by Dr. GEORGE WEINSTOCK.

FIGURE 1.—Growth of cold-sensitive suppressors of $dnaG2903$ and parB. W3110 is a wild-type E. coli strain. The dnaG2903 and parB alleles are temperature-sensitive mutations in the $dnaG$ gene. The sdgA5 and sdgB57 alleles are mutations in the sdgA and sdgB classes of suppressors, respectively. The SDG strains are cold-sensitive suppressors of dnaG2903 or parB.

Sequencing: The sequencing of the $rpsF$ and $prib$ genes was performed by the direct sequencing of PCR products amplified from the respective genes. Primers used to amplify and sequence the priB gene were priB1 (GTGAGCGTCGCG-ATGATTTCGC) and priB2 (ACGGCAGAACTTGCGACG-ACGG). Primers used to amplify and sequence the rpsF gene were rpsF1 (GCTGACTTTGTGTGCTCCTCCTTCC), rpsF2 (AGTGTAGCGCTCGATCATGCCC), rpsF3 (CGGCTGACC-CAGACAGGAGGCG) and rpsF4 (CGATGCTCAAGCACG-AACTGGC). PCR products were amplified from E. coli genomic DNA using either biotinylated rpsF1, rpsF4, priB1, or biontinylated priB2. Single-strand DNA preparation and sequencing was performed essentially as described (ROA et al. 1993).

Kohara hybridization: Hybridizations of probes to the Kohara filter were performed as per manufacturer specifications (Takara Biochemical Inc.)

RESULTS

Isolation of cold-sensitive suppressors of dnaG2903 and parB: Two mutations affecting dnaG, dnaG2903 and parB, convey chromosome partitioning defects and yield spontaneous suppressors at a high rate $(10^{-5} 10^{-6}$) (KATAYAMA *et al.* 1989; BRITTON and LUPSKI 1995; VERSALOVIC and LUPSKI 1997). To identify novel suppressors of *dnaG2903* and *parB*, it was necessary to eliminate the two previously described classes of suppressors, sdgA and sdgB. Because the sdgA and sdgB class of suppressors were the only two suppressor classes found previously and grow well at 25°, cold-sensitive suppressors of *dnaG2903* and *parB* were isolated. A total of 6100 suppressors were screened (2550 of dnaG2903 and 3550 of $parB$) and 35 (0.5%) were found to be cold-sensitive (22 were suppressors of $dnaG2903$ and 13 were suppressors of parB).

Figure 1 demonstrates typical results for the coldsensitive suppressor isolation screen. The reference wild-type strain W3110 grows well at both 25° and 42°, while $dnaG2903$ and $parB$ are unable to form colonies at 42° . The alleles $sdgA5$ and $sdgB57$ are representative mutations of the two previously described classes of suppressors (KATAYAMA et al. 1989). Both restore the ability of $dnaG2903$ to grow at 42° and can form colonies at 25° after 2 days. The SDG (suppressor of $dnaG$) strains are suppressors of either $dnaG2903$ or $parB$ that restore colony formation at 42° but are unable to form colonies at 25° after 2 days. It is noted that although the suppressor strains do not form colonies after 2 days at 25°, many of them are not cold-sensitive lethal mutations and do form colonies after several days.

Genetic mapping of sdg cold-sensitive suppressors: The suppressor mutations were mapped within 15-min segments of the E. coli chromosome by Hfr conjugation (SINGER et al. 1989). Suppressor strains were mated with the seven different donor strains for 35 min and then matings were disrupted by diluting the culture 10-fold and vigorous vortexing for 2-3 min. Exconjugants were plated at 25° for 2 days on LB media containing Km to select for strains receiving the Km^r marker and Tc to select against the donor strains. Exconjugants that formed colonies at 25° after 48 hr were screened for temperature-sensitivity (original dnaGphenotype) to insure replacement of the suppressor locus. Of the 35 suppressors isolated, 23 were localized to four different regions of the chromosome (Table 2).

Markers (Km^r) from these different regions of the genome were then transduced by P1 generalized transduction into the suppressor strains. Transductants that received a Km^r marker and were able to form large colonies at 25° after 2 days were tested for temperature sensitivity (the original dnaG^{ts} phenotype). Linked markers that transduced the cells to cold resistance and temperature sensitivity are listed in Table 2. As a control for the P1 mapping, a second unlinked marker was used to ensure that the recovery of the original $dn a G^{ts}$ phenotype was due to replacement of the suppressor allele near the Km^r marker and not reversion of the suppressor mutation. Based on these data, five novel classes of suppressors have been identified and mapped. They are as follows: $sdgC(4.75')$, $sdgD(20.0')$, sdgE $(58.2')$, sdgG $(91.5')$, and sdgH $(94.8')$ (Table 2).

 $sdgC$ class of suppressors: The $sdgC$ class of suppressors mapped near marker zae-3095: : Tn 10kan, which is located at the 4.75' region of the E. coli chromosome. The *dnaE* gene, which encodes the enzymatic subunit of DNA polymerase III, is located at 4.6' on the E. coli chromosome. To test if $dn a E$ is the gene responsible for suppression in the $sdgC$ class of suppressors, the plasmid pDS4-26, which contains a wild-type copy of the *dnaE* gene, was electroporated into six of the sdgC suppressors. Four of these suppressors, $sdgCl1$, $sdgCl7$, sdgC20, and sdgC27, became temperature-sensitive when the *dnaE* gene was provided *in trans* demonstrating that *dnaE* could reverse the suppression (Figure 2). In addition, the cold sensitivity of the strains was

TABLE.	
--------	--

Summary of map positions of the suppressor mutations in the SDG strains

ND, No interpretable data; NA, Not assigned to a class.

lost when the *dnaE* gene was present. Table 3 shows a summary of the complementation tests for the $sdgC$ class of suppressors. While four suppressors were reversed by the *dnaE* gene on a plasmid, two others were not. The other two suppressors are either dominant or potentially do not reside within the *dnaE* gene.

To test whether known *dnaE* mutations isolated as suppressors of *dnaG2903* and *parB* are similar to previously identified dnaE alleles, several dnaE antimutator alleles (FIJALKOWSKA and SCHAAPER 1993) were tested for suppression of *dnaG2903* and *parB*. These *dnaE* alleles are flanked by Cm^r and Tc^r markers (FIJALKOWSKA and SCHAAPER 1993) and were transduced by P1 into

sdgG suppressor: One suppressor mapped near the marker $malF3180$::Tn 10kan, that is located at the 91.5minute region of the chromosome and was designated

TABLE 3	

Effect of plasmid pDS4-26 ($dn a E⁺$) on the sdgC class of suppressors

 α Tr, temperature-resistant (grows at 42°); Ts, temperaturesensitive (no growth at 42°); Cr, cold-resistant (grows at 25° after 2 days); Cs, cold-sensitive (no growth at 25° after 2 days).

FIGURE 2.—The dnaE gene provided in trans can reverse suppression in the sdgC class of suppressors. The sdgC11 and sdgC20 alleles are cold-sensitive suppressors of dnaG2903 and parB, respectively. Plasmid pDS4-26, which contains the dnaE gene, is able to reverse the suppression in both sdgC11 and sdgC20.

FIGURE 3. $-$ (A) Hybridization of pBL510-4 to the Kohara filter. Two contiguous λ clones, 634 and 635, hybridized to the E. coli genomic DNA in pBL510-4. (The signals corresponding to 634 and 635 are located in the middle of the filter. The two signals at the bottom are from a previous hybridization. This is because we do not strip the filters so that the DNA will last longer.) (B) Location of pBL510-4 with
respect to the $91.4'$ - $91.7'$ region of the *E. coli* genome. pBL510-4, as determined by restriction mapping, likely contains the ubiC, ubiA, and o442(yjbI) genes. P, PvuII; E, EcoRI; B. BamHI.

sdgG10. Because the *dnaB* gene is located at 91.9' on the *E. coli* chromosome and **a** functional interaction between the DnaB protein (helicase) and primase has been demonstrated (Tougu et al. 1994), this suppressor **was** characterized further. However, the tests shown below demonstrate that *sdgG10* is not an allele of the *dnaB* gene.

Isolation of plasmids that complement $sdgG10$ **:** A shotgun library of the entire *E. coli* chromosome was used to isolate plasmids that reversed the suppression of *.stl,gCIO.* The plasmid library *was* elcctroporated into *sdgG10* and transformants were plated at 25°. Colonies that were visible after 2 days were tested for temperature sensitivity at 42". Four plasmids **were** isolated from transformants that were temperature-sensitive. Their DNA were hybridized to Kohara filters, containing 476 ordered and overlapping λ clones that contain 99% of the *E. coli* genome (KOHARA *et al.* 1987). Figure 3A shows the hybridization of plasmid pBL510-4 to the Kohara gene mapping filter. Two contiguous A clones containing DNA from the 91.4'-91.6' region of the chromosome, 634 (lF8) and 635 (12R4), hybridized to pRL.510-4. The other plasmids tested also hybridized to

FIGURE 4.-Plasmids that reverse suppression of $sdgH24$ and *sr/gHM.* The *sdgH24* **and** *sdgH32* **allcles** are **suppressors** of parB. The plasmids pBL624-1 and pBL632-1 were isolated from *sdgH24* and *sdgH32*, respectively.

^Aclones 634 and **635.** The plasmid pBL310-4 had the smallest insert and its DNA map is shown (Figure **3R)** in relation to genes identified in this region of the chromosome. Restriction mapping of the plasmids demonstrated that each contained three genes, *uhiA, abiC*, and *yjbI* (*o442*) (Figure 3B).

sdgH **class of suppressors:** A third class of suppressors **was** designated *sdglf* and were mapped to the 82'- 97' region of the chromosome by Hfr conjugation. The three alleles in this class, *sdgH23*, *sdgH24* and *sdgH32*, were unable to be further localized on the *E. coli* chromosome using $Tn10$ kan markers. To identify the gene affected in this class of suppressors, the shotgun library of the *E. coli* chromosome **was** electroporated into two **of** these strains in **an** attempt to isolate plasmids that reverse the suppression.

Several plasmids that reverse the suppression **of** *sdgH24* and *sdgH32* were isolated. pRL624-1, which reversed the suppression of *sdgH24,* **and** pRL632-1, which reversed the suppression in *srigH32,* are shown in Figure 4. Strains containing *sdgH24* and *sdgH32* are able to form colonies at 42" but are unable to form colonies after **2** days at 25". The plasmids pRL624-1 and pRL632- 1 restore the original *parB* temperature-sensitive phenotype in *sdgH24* and *sdgH32,* respectively. A control **plas**mid, **pBR322,** did not have any effect on either strain (data not shown). Since the plasmid pBL624-1 **was** also able to reverse the suppression caused by *sdgH32,* the *sdgH24* and *sdgH32* mutations likely affect the same gene.

Plasmids that reversed the suppression in both strains were then hybridized to the Kohara filter to determine their location on the *f:. coli* physical and genetic **map.** Figure 5 shows the hybridization of plasmid pBL624-1 to the Kohara filter. For pBL624-1 and for the other plasmids tested, overlapping clones **6.54** (IGlO) and 65.5 (7E9) hybridized. These **A** clones contain DNA from the $95.2' - 95.4'$ region of the chromosome, which is consistent with the genetic mapping data. The only identified genes in this region constitute the *rpsF* operon: *rpsF-priB-rpsR-rplI*. The *rpsF*, *rpsR* and *rplI* genes encode the ribosomal proteins **S6, S18,** and L9, respectively. The *priB* gene encodes the PriB protein, which is essential for the replication of Φ X174 phage and

FIGURE 5. $-$ (A) Hybridization of pBL624-1 to the Kohara filter. Two contiguous λ clones, 654 and 655, hybridized to the E. coli genomic DNA in pBL510-4. (B) Location of pBL624-1 with respect to the $95.2'$ – $95.4'$ region of the E. coli genome. pBL624-1, as determined by restriction mapping, contains the rpsF and priB genes. P, PvuII; E, EcoRI.

likely participates in DNA replication in E. coli (KORN-BERG and BAKER 1992; MARIANS 1992). Presently it is unknown if any of these genes are essential for E. coli viability.

All plasmids tested contained genes of the rpsF operon, suggesting that one of these genes was responsible for suppression. One plasmid, pBL624-1, contained only the rpsF and priB genes, thereby refining the candidate gene for this class to one of these two genes (Figure 5). To confirm that the mutations in sdgH23, sdgH24 and $sdgH32$ are located at the $95.3'$ region of the chromosome, marker $hfq\Omega$: kan (located at 94.8' on the chromosome) was transduced into SDG23, SDG24, and SDG32. In all three cases, the strains that became Km^r were also frequently temperature-sensitive (original $dn a G^{ts}$ phenotype). Other unlinked markers tested did not produce the same effect, confirming that the suppressor mutations in SDG23, SDG24, and SDG32 are at the 95.3' region of the chromosome.

Complementation of sdgH24 and sdgH32 by rpsF and **priB:** To determine if either rpsF or priB was responsible for suppression, complementation tests were performed to examine which gene could reverse suppression. The pBSpriBop plasmid contains all four genes of the operon, while $pBS\Delta priB$ is the same plasmid with only the *priB* gene deleted. Electroporation of these plasmids into strains SDG24 (sdgH24) and SDG32 (sdgH32) yielded conflicting results. Suppression was reversed in sdgH24 only with pBSpriBop, while in sdgH32 suppression was reversed by both plasmids (Fig-

FIGURE 6.—Complementation of suppression with plasmids containing or lacking the *priB* gene. The *sdgH24* and $sdgH32$ alleles are suppressors of $parB$. The plasmid pBS $priB$ op contains the entire rpsF operon. The plasmid $pBS\Delta\phi$ riB contains the rpsF operon with the priB gene deleted. See text for details.

 $ure 6$), therefore the gene responsible for suppression could be either rpsF or priB. However, the plasmid $pBS\Delta\phi$ riB yielded 100- to 1000-fold less transformants in sdgH24 than did pBSpriBop, suggesting that this plasmid is lethal when placed into this strain and that either a rearrangement in the plasmid or a suppressor mutation on the chromosome is necessary for $pBS\Delta\psi$ riB and sdgH24 to coexist. Restriction digestion analysis of the $pBS\Delta\phi$ riB plasmid isolated from sdgH24 transformants showed substantial rearrangements in the plasmid (data not shown).

DNA sequence analysis of rpsF and priB in sdgH24 and *sdgH32*: To determine which gene is involved in the suppression of the *parB* phenotype, the *rpsF* and *priB* gene in each suppressor strain was sequenced. No mutations were detected in the priB gene. Analysis of the rpsF gene revealed a single point mutation in each strain. The location of these mutations are shown in Figure 7. The *sdgH24* allele contains a G-T mutation at position 49 of the rpsF gene, creating a TAG nonsense codon at position 17 of the protein. This mutation results in the truncation of the S6 protein from 130 amino acids to 16 amino acids and is likely a null mutation. The *sdgH32* allele has a deletion of one of two tandem G residues found at nucleotides 141 and 142, resulting

FIGURE 7. $-$ Summary of mutations within $rpsF$ that suppress parB. (A) Locations of the sdgH24 and sdgH32 mutations in the *rpsF* gene. See text for details. (B) Truncated S6 proteins that are likely produced due to the mutations in sdgH24 and $sdgH32$. indicates the 13 new amino acids produced due to the frameshift in sdgH32.

	Ability of plasmid to reverse suppression				
Allele	$pDS1$ (tRNA $_{\text{CUA}}^{\text{ser}}$)	p GFIB-tRNA $_{\text{CUA}}^{\text{phe}}$	pGFIB-tRNA ⁹⁵	pBR322	
sdgH24	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
sdgH32	No	No	No	No	

TABLE 4

in a frameshift at this position. This mutation creates a new BbvI restriction site and the presence of this mutation in sdgH32was confirmed by restriction analysis with BbvI (data not shown). Tandem in-frame stop codons were identified at codons 61 and 62 of the $rpsF$ gene, which by conceptual translation result in a mutant S6 protein in which the first **47** amino acids are wild type and the remaining 13 are frameshifted. In both cases the $sdgH$ mutation is likely to have a polar effect on the downstream genes of the operon in addition to having an absolute defect in S6.

Reversion of suppression in *sdgH24* **by tRNA nonsense suppressors:** To demonstrate that the nonsense mutation in sdgH24 was responsible for the suppression of parB, plasmids carrying nonsense suppressors were electroporated into sdgH24. Three different plasmids, pDS1, pGFIB-tRNA^{phe}, and pGFIB-tRNA^{cys}, which contain the amber nonsense suppressors tRNA^{ser}, tRNA^{phe}, and tRNAcys, respectively, were tested. In all three cases, SDG24 (sdgH24) became temperature-sensitive (Table **4).** The plasmids did not have a similar effect on strains harboring the sdgH32 allele. These data confirm the sequencing results and demonstrate that the mutation creating the nonsense codon at codon 17 of *rpsF* is responsible for the suppression of parB.

sdgD **and** *sdgE* **class of suppressors:** Four SDG suppressors were mapped at 20.0' by the marker $zbj3111$: Tn 10 kan and define the sdgD class of suppressors. A shotgun library of the *E.* coli chromosome was transformed into two of the strains, SDG6 and SDG16, in an effort to identify plasmids that could reverse the suppression in this class. Repeated attempts to isolate such plasmids were unsuccessful. Further characterization is needed to identify the gene responsible for sup pression in this class.

The sdgE mutations mapped near marker nadB3140-: : Tn 10 kan, which is located at the 58.2 minute region of the E. coli chromosome. Further characterization of these suppressors identified the *era* gene, which encodes an essential GTPase, as being responsible for sup pression. Characterization of these two sdgE suppressors will be presented elsewhere.

DISCUSSION

Cold-sensitive suppressors of dnaG2903 and *parB* were isolated in an attempt to identify novel suppressors of these alleles. Thirty-five cold-sensitive suppressors

were isolated and of these none were of the previously described sdgA and sdgB classes, demonstrating that this new screen was effective. It is noted that in this type of screen any genes that could suppress *dnaG2903* or *parB* but cannot yield a cold-sensitive phenotype would not be found.

Of the **35** suppressors isolated, 24 have been mapped to the *E.* coli chromosome. The other suppressors were unable to be localized to a specific region **of** the chromosome. Of the 24 suppressors mapped, 19 have been assigned to five new classes. The putative genes responsible for the suppression in each class are shown in Table 5.

The sdgC class of suppressors may reside within dnaE, which is the enzymatic subunit of DNA polymerase **111.** Suppressor strains containing the $sdgC11$, $sdgC17$, sdgC20and sdgC27alleles all become temperature-sensitive when dnaE is provided in trans on a plasmid, demonstrating that these four alleles are recessive when wild-type *dnaE* is present in multi-copy. Marker rescue experiments and/or direct determination of mutations within the *dnaE* gene in the suppressor strains are necessary to prove that dnaE is responsible for suppression. Two other suppressors are not reversed by the dnaE gene, indicating that either these mutations are dominant or are not *dnaE* mutations and may be in another gene linked to dnaE. Additional mapping experiments should be able to discern between these two possibilities.

FIJALKOWSKA and SCHAAPER have characterized several *dnaE* mutations that have antimutator properties (FIJALKOWSKA and SCHAAPER 1993). These mutants are unable to suppress *dnaG2903* and *parB*, suggesting that the *dnaE* mutations isolated in this study may affect different properties of the DnaE protein. DNA polymerase **111** has been proposed to directly interact with pri-

TABLE 5

Genes identified in the suppression of *dnuG2903* **and** *parB*

mase during lagging strand DNA replication. When primase is used in a general priming reaction, it will synthesize RNA molecules ranging in size from eight to 70 nucleotides. When DNA polymerase I11 is added to this reaction, RNA molecules ranging from eight to 14 nucleotides are produced, which is the size of primer RNA molecules found *in vivo* (ZECHNER *et al.* 1992). This finding has led to the formation of a model suggesting that PoZIII regulates primer size *in vivo* via a direct interaction with primase (MARIANS 1992; ZECHNER et al. 1992). Perhaps the *dnaE* mutations isolated in this study affect this proposed interaction with primase. Alternatively, the *dnuE* mutations may slow down the replisome allowing more time for the defective primase to attach to the replisome and synthesize a primer RNA. A third possibility is that the suppressor mutation lies in another gene located in the 4-6' region of the chromosome and that overexpressing *dnaE* from a multicopy plasmid bypasses the suppressor effect.

The *sdgG* class, which is defined by a single suppressor, is either located in the *ubiA, ubiC*, or *yjbI* gene. The ORF *3jbI* is a potential coding region that shows no significant homology to any known protein and has not been proven to be a functional gene. The *ubiA* and *ubiC* genes encode the proteins 4hydroxybenzoate polyprenyltransferase and chorismate lyase, respectively. Both proteins are involved in the first two steps of the biosynthesis of ubiquinone-8, which is a component of the electron transport chain and the major ubiquinone expressed in *E. coli* during aerobic growth (MEGANATHAN 1996). Strains that are defective in ubiquinone-8 biosynthesis have **30%** growth yield when compared to wild type (MEGANATHAN 1996). Because of the role of ubiquinone-8 in the electron transport chain, the *sdgGl0* allele may be defective in generating energy potential for the cell. It is possible that these mutations could suppress *dnaG2903* by slowing down cell growth due to decreased energy in the cell, thereby allowing the mutant primase extra time to complete its function. Alternatively, overexpression of one or more of these three genes may bypass the effect of the real suppressor mutation in this strain.

The *sdgH* class of suppressors are two mutations that affect the ribosomal protein S6. Both mutations result in **two** different truncated proteins. In fact, the *sdgH24* mutation in predicted to produce only a 16-amino acid peptide of S6 and likely represents a null mutation of $rpsF$. This demonstrates that $rpsF$ is dispensable for viability in *E. coli.*

The function of S6 in *E. coli* is still largely unknown. The protein is present in one copy per ribosome and interacts with the 16s rRNA subunit of the 30s ribosome **(BARTSCH** *et al.* 1982; GREGORY *et al.* 1984). Reconstitution studies demonstrated that S6 is not required for normal ribosome functions *in nitro* (NOMURA and HELD 1974), perhaps suggesting S6 plays a regulatory rather than structural role in the ribosome.

The S6 protein is regulated at the posttranslational level by the *rimK* gene product, which adds glutamic acid residues to the carboxy terminus of the protein (NOMURA and HELD 1974). The reason for this posttranslational modification is unclear but such regulation suggests that S6 is important for ribosome function *in vivo.* S6 is also phosphorylated by the phage T7 kinase (gp0.7 PK) during T7 infection, again suggesting a regulatory role for S6 (ROBERTSON *et al.* 1994). T7 phosphorylates several *E. coli* proteins during infection, presumably to regulate their functions (ROBERTSON *et al.* 1994). It is still unclear whether or not S6 is phosphorylated under normal conditions in *E. coli.*

How do the rpsFmutations suppress *dnaG2903* mutations? One possible mechanism is that the S6 mutations slow down total cellular growth by slowing down translation. This seems unlikely since other ribosomal mutations were not found in this screen. S6 may play a regulatory role in the translating ribosome that may explain why other ribosomal mutations were not found. An alternative possibility is that S6 may have another function independent of its role in ribosome activity. Ribosomal protein S10 (NusE) has been shown to function in the antitermination of transcription terminators in both λ and *E. coli* (NODWELL and GREENBLATT 1993). The strains carrying the S6 mutations are an excellent resource for determining S6 function *in vivo* in *E. coli.*

In summary, the isolation of cold-sensitive suppressors of *dnaG2903* and parB has identified five novel classes of suppressors. These classes may affect genes involved in diverse processes such as DNA replication, translation, and possibly the electron transport chain. Further characterization is needed to determine exactly how each class is involved in suppressing the *dnaG2903* and *parB* mutations.

We appreciate the critical review of this manuscript by Dr. **DONAIL) L. COURT.**

LITERATURE CITED

- **BARTSCH, M., P. REINIIARIIT and** A. **R. SUBRAMANIAN, 1982 Copies** of *56* **protein in** *Esrhm'rhia coli* **ribosomes. J. Biol. Chem. 257: 12060-12072.**
- BERLYN, M. K. B., K. B. Low and K. E. RUDD, 1996 Linkage map of *E'rrhprirhia roli* **K-12, edition 9.** pp. **1715-1902 in** *E.scha'rhiu roli und Salmonella: Cellular and Mokcular Biology,* **edited by** F. *C.* NEIDHARDT. **ASM Press, Washington DC.**
- **BRITTON,** R. **A., and J. R.** LUPSKI, **1995 Functional analysis** of **muta**tions in the transcription terminator T_1 that suppress two $dn aG$ **alleles in** *E.dmichia roli.* Mol. **Gen. Genet. 246 729-733.**
- **FIJAI.KOWSKA, I. J., and R. M. SCHAAPER, 1993 Antimutator mutations in the alpha subunit** of *Escherichia coli* DNA **polymerase 111: identification of the responsible mutations and alignment with** the other DNA polymerases. Genetics 134: 1039-1044.
- **GU.C;OKY,** R. J., **M. L. ZF.I.I.ER,** D. **L. THURI.OW,** R. **I.. GOURSE, M.J. STARK** *et al.,* **1984 Interaction** of **ribosomal proteins S6,** S8, **S15** and S18 with the central domain of 16S ribosomal RNA from *Eschm'chia coli.* ,J. **Mol. Biol. 178: 287-302.**
- GROMPE, M., J. VERSALOVIC, T. KOEUTH and J. R. LUPSKI, 1991 Muta**tions in the** *Esrhprirhia coli dnaG* **gene suggest coupling between**

DNA replication and chromosome partitioning. J. Bacteriol. **173: 1268-1278.**

- HIROTA, Y., A. RYTER and F. JACOB, 1968 Thermosensitive mutants of *E. coli* affected in the processes of DNA synthesis and cellular division. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. **33: 677-693.**
- KATAYAMA, T., Y. MURAKAMI, C. WADA, H. OHMORI, T. YURA *et al.,* **1989** Genetic suppression of a dnaGmutation in *Escherichia coli.* J. Bacteriol. **171: 1485-1491.**
- KOHARA, Y., K. AKIYAMA and K. ISONO, **1987** The physical map of the whole *Escherichia coli* chromosome: application of a new strategy for rapid analysis and sorting of a large genomic library. Cell **50: 495-508.**
- KORNBERG, A,, and T. A. BAKER, **1992** *DNA Replication,* Ed. **2.** W. H. Freeman and Company, New York.
- LARK, K. G., 1972 Genetic control over the initiation of the synthesis of the short deoxynucleotide chains in *E. coli.* Nature New Biol. **240: 237-240.**
- LONGHESE, M. P., L. JOVINE, P. PLEVANI and G. LUCCHINI, 1993 Conditional mutations in the yeast DNA primase genes afect different aspects of DNA metabolism and interactions in the DNA polymerase a-primase complex. Genetics **133: 183-191.**
- MARIANS, K. J., **1992** Prokaryotic DNA replication. Annu. Rev. Biochem. **61: 673-719.**
- MEGANATHAN, R., **1996** Biosynthesis of the isoprenoid quiniones Menaquinone (Vitamin K2) and Ubiquinone (Coenzyme Q), pp. **642-656** in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella: Cellular* and *Molecular Biology,* edited by **F.** C. NEIDHARDT. ASM Press, Washington DC.
- MII.I.ER, J. H., **1972** *Experiments in Molecular Genetics.* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, *NY.*
- Musrmv, **A. A,,** and **G.** N. GODSON, **1995** Studies of the functional topography of the catalytic center of *Escherichia coli* primase. J. Biol. Chem. **270: 1571 1** - **15718.**
- NODWELL, J. R., and J. GREENBLATT, 1993 Recognition of *boxA* antiterminator RNA by the *E. coli* antitermination factors NusB and ribosomal protein **S10.** Cell **72: 261-268.**
- NOMURA, M., and W. A. HELD, **1974** Reconstitution of ribosomes: studies of ribosome structure, function, and assembly, pp. **193- 223** in *Ribosomes,* edited by M. NOMURA, A. TISSIERES and P. LENGYEL. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, *NY.*
- ROA, B., C. A. GARCIA, U. SUTER, D. A. KUI.PA, C. A. WISE *et al.,* **1993**

Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type1A: association with a spontaneous point mutation in the *PW22* gene. New Engl. J. Med. **329: 96-101.**

- ROBERTSON, E.S., L. A. AGGISON and A. W. NICHOLSON, **1994** Phosphorylation of elongation factor G and ribosomal protein **S6** in bacteriophage T7-infected *Eschm'chia coli.* Mol. Microbiol. **11: 1045-1057.**
- SHEPARD, D., R. W. OBERFELDER, M. M. WELCH and C. S. MCHENRY, 1984 Determination of the precise location of the *Escherichia coli dnaE* gene. J. Bacteriol. 158: 455-459.
- SINGER, M., T. A. BAKER, G. SCHNITZLER, S. M. DEISCHEI., M. GOEI. *rt al.,* **1989** A collection of strains containing antibiotic reseistance elements for genetic mapping of *Escherichia coli.* Microbiol. Rev. **53: 1-24.**
- SUN, W., J. TORMO, T. A. STEITZ and G. N. GODSON, **1994** Domains of *Eschm'chia coli* primase: functional activity of a **47-kDa** N-terminal proteolytic fragment. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **91: 1146'2- 11466.**
- TOUGU, **K.,** H. PENG and K. J. MARIANS, **1994** Identification of a domain of *Escherichia coli* primase required for functional intercation with the DnaB helicase at the replication fork. J. Biol. Chem. **269 4675-4682.**
- VAN DER ENDE, A,, T. A. BAKER T. OGAWA and A. KORNBERG, **1985** Initiation of enzymatic replication at the origin of the *Escherichia* Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **82: 3954-3958.** *coli* chromosome: primase as the sole priming enzyme. Proc.
- VERSALOVIC, J., and J. R. LUPSKI, 1993 The *Haemophilus influenzae* dnaG sequence and conserved bacterial primase motifs. Gene **136: 281-286.**
- VERSALOVIC, J. and J. R. LUPSKI, **1997** Missense mutations in the **3'** end of the *Escherichia coli dnaG* gene confer the chromosome segregation-defective phenotype. Microbiology 143: 585-594.
- WADA, C., and T. YURA, 1974 Phenethylalcohol resistance in *Escherichia coli.* **111.** A temperature-sensitive mutation *(dnaP)* affecting DNA replication. Genetics **77: 199-220.**
- ZAVITZ, K. H., R. J. DIGATE and K. J. MARIANS, 1991 The PriB and PriC replication proteins of *Escherichia coli.* J. Biol. Chem. 266: **13988-13995.**
- ZECHNER, E. L., C. A. WU and K. J. MARIANS, 1992 Coordinated leadfork. J. Biol. Chem. **267: 4054-4063.** ing- and lagging-strand synthesis at the *Escherichia coli* replication

Communicating editor: R. MAIJRER