Mutations in GSF1 and GSF2 Alter Glucose Signaling in Saccharomyces cerevisiae

Peter W. Sherwood and Marian Carlson

Departments of Genetics and Development and Microbiology, Columbia University, New York, New York 10032

Manuscript received May 16, 1997 Accepted for publication July 15, 1997

ABSTRACT

One function of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae Snf1 protein kinase is to relieve glucose repression of SUC, GAL, and other genes in response to glucose depletion. To identify genes that regulate Snf1 kinase activity, we have selected mutants that inappropriately express a SUC2promoter::HIS3 gene fusion when grown in glucose and that require Snf1 function for this phenotype. Mutations representing two new complementation groups (gsf1 and gsf2) were isolated. gsf1 mutations affect two distinct responses to glucose: the Snf1-regulated glucose repression of SUC2 and GAL10 transcription and the Snf1-independent induction by glucose of HXT1 transcription. gsf2 mutations relieve glucose repression of SUC2 and GAL10 transcription and, in combination with $snf1\Delta$, cause an extreme slow growth phenotype. The GSF2 gene was cloned by complementation of the gsf2-1 $snf1\Delta$ slow growth phenotype and encodes a previously uncharacterized 46 kD protein.

ARBON source utilization is strictly regulated in → yeast. For example, certain carbon sources such as galactose and maltose induce the expression of genes required for metabolism of these sugars as much as 1000-fold. Alternatively, glucose strongly represses the expression of genes whose products are dispensable for growth on glucose, a phenomenon referred to as glucose repression. Among the genes regulated by glucose repression (e.g., GAL, MAL, GUT, ADH2, and SUC genes) are those required for utilization of carbon sources that are metabolized less efficiently than glucose such as galactose, maltose, glycerol, ethanol, and sucrose. Consequently, cells growing in the presence of glucose plus alternate carbon sources are able to utilize the most efficiently metabolized carbon source, glucose, to the exclusion of the others. Genes required for gluconeogenesis and for respiration are also subject to glucose repression (reviewed in JOHNSTON and CARL-SON 1992).

Genetic studies have identified several factors that are required to relieve glucose repression of SUC, GAL, and other genes. Certain snf (sucrose nonfermenting) mutations, such as snf1(cat1, ccr1) and snf4(cat3), define gene products required for growth on sucrose or raffinose, for derepression of SUC2 transcription, and for other responses directly related to carbon source utilization (JOHNSTON and CARLSON 1992). Other snf mutations (e.g., snf2, snf5, and snf6) are pleiotropic, and the corresponding gene products are general transcription factors (WINSTON and CARLSON 1992). A significant advance in our understanding of the mechanism of glucose repression stemmed from the finding that the SNF1 gene encodes a protein-serine/threonine kinase whose catalytic activity is required to relieve glucose repression of SUC2 and other glucose-repressed genes (CELENZA and CARLSON 1986; SCHULLER and ENTIAN 1987; CELENZA and CARLSON 1989). Additional genetic and biochemical studies identified the SNF4 gene product as a positive effector of Snf1 kinase activity (SCHULLER and ENTIAN 1988; CELENZA and CARLSON 1989; CELENZA *et al.* 1989; ESTRUCH *et al.* 1992; JIANG and CARLSON 1996).

Genes required for glucose repression of SUC2 have been identified in two principal ways: through the isolation of ssn (suppressor of snf) mutations that allow SUC2 expression in the absence of a functional Snf1 kinase (CARLSON et al. 1984) and through the isolation of mutants defective in glucose repression, often by selecting SUC2 constitutive mutants able to use raffinose or sucrose in the presence of the repressing, nonmetabolizable glucose analogue 2-deoxyglucose (ZIMMERMANN and Scheel 1977; TRUMBLY 1986; NEIGEBORN and CARL-SON 1987). These and other studies have identified reg1, glc7, hxk2, rgr1, grr1, mig1 (ssn1), ssn6, and tup1 mutations that cause defects in glucose repression. Several lines of evidence are consistent with the view that glucose repression of SUC2 is mediated in part by the following events: generation of a high glucose signal; inhibition of Snf1 kinase activity and stimulation or targeting of Glc7 protein phosphatase activity; and repression of SUC2 transcription by the DNA-binding proteins Mig1 and Mig2 in conjunction with the general corepressor Ssn6-Tup1 (TU and CARLSON 1995; LUTFI-YYA and JOHNSTON 1996; for review see TRUMBLY 1992; JOHNSTON and CARLSON 1992; and RONNE 1995).

The nature of the signal for glucose repression and the means by which the Snfl kinase and Glc7 phosphatase activities may be regulated in response to this signal

Corresponding author: Peter W. Sherwood, Department of Genetics and Development, Columbia University, 701 W. 168th St., HHSC 922, New York, NY 10032. E-mail: pws7@columbia.edu

remain obscure. These elements of the glucose repression pathway are therefore of special interest for further study. To identify genes that regulate Snf1 kinase activity in response to changes in glucose availability, we have selected mutants that express a *SUC2*promoter::*HIS3* gene fusion constitutively under glucose repressing conditions. This selection was followed by a screen to exclude mutations in genes encoding components of the glucose repression pathway acting downstream of *SNF1*, including *TUP1*, several *SSN* genes, and *MIG1*. We deliberately avoided use of the analogue 2-deoxyglucose, which induces an unphysiological mode of glucose repression (discussed in SIERKSTRA *et al.* 1993), in the hope of recovering new classes of glucose repression-defective mutants.

In addition to several dominant glucose repressiondefective mutations, recessive alleles representing two new complementation groups [gsf1 and gsf2 (glucose signaling factor)] were isolated. gsf1 mutations affect two distinct responses to glucose: the Snf1-regulated glucose repression of SUC2 and GAL10 transcription and the Snf1-independent induction by glucose of HXT1 transcription. gsf2 mutations relieve glucose repression, and in combination with snf1 Δ alleles, cause an extreme slow growth phenotype. The GSF2 gene was cloned by complementation of the gsf2-1 snf1 Δ slow growth phenotype, and encodes a previously uncharacterized 46 kD protein. Possible functions of GSF1 and GSF2 in glucose signaling and their relationship to SNF1 are discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains, media, and genetic methods: The genotypes of strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Standard methods for yeast genetic analysis (ROSE et al. 1990) and transformation (ITO et al. 1983) were used. Rich (YP) and defined (SD, SC) media were prepared as described (ROSE et al. 1990). 3-aminotriazole (3-AT; 0.25-1 mM) was occasionally included in SD-His solid medium to suppress background His⁺ growth resulting from basal transcription of the SUC2::HIS3 fusion in strains containing pSUC2::HIS3. 5-FOA medium contained 50 μ g/ml uracil and 0.1% 5-fluoroorotic acid (BOEKE et al. 1984). Sensitivity to 2-deoxyglucose, nitrogen starvation, and Co²⁺ were tested as described previously (TANAKA et al. 1989; CONKLIN et al. 1993; TU and CARLSON 1994). Growth in lowglucose medium was examined by serial dilution of YP-2% glucose precultures into YP medium containing 2%, 0.5%, or 0.05% glucose followed by incubation at 30°. The gross morphology of strains grown at 30° to mid-log phase in YPD or SC medium was examined microscopically.

Plasmids: The plasmid pSUC2::HIS3 (pYSH in Tu and CARLSON 1994) contains the HIS3 structural gene under the control of a region of the SUC2 promoter (-900 to -384) shown previously to confer glucose-regulated transcription (SAROKIN and CARLSON 1985) and is a pRS314 derivative (CEN6/ARSH4/TRP1, SIKORSKI and HIETER 1989). The pSNF1-URA3 plasmid (pCE101 in CELENZA and CARLSON 1989) contains a 2.8-kilobase (kb) Xhol-BamHI fragment bearing the SNF1 gene and is a YCp50 derivative (CEN4/ARS1/URA3, ROSE et al. 1987). The GAL10::lacZ plasmid pRY123 (WEST et al. 1984) contains a ~600-base pair (bp) region of

the GAL1-GAL10 promoter fused to the Escherichia coli lacZ gene and is a pLG670Z derivative $(2\mu/URA3, GUARENTE)$ 1983). The HXT1::lacZ plasmid pBM2636 (ÖZCAN and JOHN-STON 1995) contains a 1.3-kb HindIII-EcoRI fragment of the HXT1 promoter fused to the E. coli lacZ gene and is a YEp357R derivative $(2\mu/URA3, MYERS et al. 1986)$. The HXT4::lacZ plasmid pBM2800 (ÖZCAN and JOHNSTON 1995) contains the +1 to -946 region of the HXT4 promoter fused to the E. coli lacZ gene and is a YEp357R derivative $(2\mu/URA3)$. The plasmids pAJ1 (CYC1UAS-lacZ) and pAJ3 (CYC1UAS + α 2-Mcm1 operator-lacZ) are described by KELEHER et al. (1992). pRM1 (see Figure 3) contains an ~6.6-kb SacI-BamHI region of chromosome XIII and is a pRS315 derivative (CEN6/ARSH4/LEU2, SIKORSKI and HIETER 1989). pDOM1 was constructed by digesting pRM1 with XbaI and religating, resulting in deletion of a 0.5-kb region of the GSF2 gene (encoding residues 148-304) and a frameshift that generates translation stop codons 3 and 33 nucleotides downstream of the Xbal junction. pDOR1 was constructed by digesting pRM1 with AvrII and religation. pOM1 contains a 1.7-kb HindIII fragment of pRM1 (containing the GSF2 open reading frame, 155 nucleotides of 5' noncoding sequence, and 368 nucleotides of 3' noncoding sequence) cloned in the HindIII site of pRS315. The plasmid $p\Delta 1$ -RM1 containing the gsf2- $\Delta 1$::TRP1 allele was constructed by replacing a 0.6-kb BstZ17I-XbaI fragment of pRM1 with a 2.4-kb Scal-Spel fragment of pRS304 (SIKORSKI and HIETER 1989) containing the TRP1 marker. pN-RM1 was constructed by creating a unique *Not* site in pRM1 immediately 3' to the first ATG codon of GSF2 in two steps. First, PCR products were generated that contained Notl sites and sequence 5' (from the NheI site at -201 to +3) or 3' (from +4 to the BspEI site at +314) of the first GSF2 ATG codon. Next, these fragments were digested with NheI or BspEI, respectively, and Not I and ligated into Nhel/BspEI-digested pRM1, resulting in the insertion of a Notl site in a reading frame suitable for the fusion of various moieties to the N terminus of Gsf2. The plasmid p Δ 2-RM1 containing the gsf2- Δ 2::TRP1 allele was constructed by replacing a 1.3-kb Notl-Spel fragment of pN-RM1 (containing the entire GSF2 open reading frame) with a 1.0-kb NotI-SpeI PCR product containing the TRP1 marker of pRS304.

Isolation of mutants: Strain PS1192 (*snf1*- Δ 10 ade2-101 his3- Δ 200 trp1- Δ 1 ura3-52) bearing plasmids pSUC2::HIS3 and pSNF1-URA3 was plated on selective (SD + Ade) medium containing 5% glucose. Two hundred sixty-three spontaneously arising His⁺ mutants from three independent cultures were colony purified. Isolates were patched on SD + Ade + His and replica plated to SD + Ade + 5-FOA medium containing 5% glucose to identify those mutants (n = 120) whose His⁺ phenotype was suppressed by loss of pSNF1-URA3.

Complementation analysis: The 120 His⁺ mutants bearing plasmids pSUC2::HIS3 and pSNF1-URA3 were crossed to the $hxk2\Delta$, $grr1\Delta$, $reg1\Delta$, glc7-T152K, $mig1\Delta$, $rgr1\Delta$ and wild-type (FY250) strains listed in Table 1. Diploids were isolated by prototrophic selection on SD + His medium, and complementation was tested by replica plating to SD medium containing 5% glucose and a range of 3-AT concentrations (0, 0.25, 0.5, or 1 mM). Lack of complementation was manifested as a His⁺ phenotype.

A dominant SUC2 constitutive phenotype was evident in 13 mutants (as judged by invertase assays of glucose-grown heterozygous diploids). 5-FOA-resistant derivatives of these diploids were isolated. Invertase assays indicated that 11 strains retained the SUC2 constitutive phenotype, while two strains reverted to wild type upon loss of pSNF1-URA3. However, constitutive invertase activity was low in these two strains, and we were unable to confirm that these two dominant GSFmutations were alleles of the plasmid-borne SNF1 gene.

Glucose Signaling in Yeast

TABLE 1

Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains

Strain ^a	Genotype	Source
MCY2119	MATa snf1-\[210 ade2-101 his3-\[200 trp1-\[21 ura3-52]	This laboratory
MCY2617	MATα glc7-T152K his3-Δ200 trp1-Δ1 ura3-52 lys2-801	This laboratory
MCY3278	MATα reg1Δ::URA3 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 trp1-Δ63 ura3-52	This laboratory
FY250	MATα his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 trp1-Δ63 ura3-52	F. WINSTON
FY251	MATa his3- $\Delta 200$ leu2- $\Delta 1$ trp1- $\Delta 63$ ura3-52	F. WINSTON
A476	MAT α rgr1- Δ 2::URA3 aro7 can1 gal2 trp1 ura3	A. SAKAI
PS38	MATa gsf1-1 snf1-\(\Delta 10 ade2-101 his3-\(\Delta 200 trp1-\(\Delta 1 ura3-52 (pSNF1-URA3, pSUC2::HIS3)))	This study
PS43	MATa gsf2-1 snf1- Δ 10 ade2-101 his3- Δ 200 trp1- Δ 1 ura3-52 (pSNF1-URA3, pSUC2::HIS3)	This study
PS1192	MATa snf1- $\Delta 10$ ade2-101 his3- $\Delta 200$ trp1- $\Delta 1$ ura3-52 (pSNF1-URA3, pSUC2::HIS3)	This study
PS3300-1C	MATα gsf2-Δ2::TRP1 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 trp1-Δ63 ura3-52	This study
PS3851-3A	MATa gsf1-1 his3- $\Delta 200$ leu2- $\Delta 1$ trp1- $\Delta 63$ ura3-52	This study
PS3851-3B	MATα gsf1-1 his3-Δ200 trp1-Δ63 ura3-52	This study
PS3851-3D	MATa his 3- $\Delta 200$ leu 2- $\Delta 1$ trp 1- $\Delta 63$ ura 3-52	This study
PS3851-4B	MATa gsf1-1 his3- $\Delta 200 trp1\Delta$ ura3-52	This study
PS4300-21B	MATα gsf2-1 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 trp1-Δ1 ura3-52	This study
PS4343-11B	MAT α gsf2-1 snf1- Δ 10 his3- Δ 200 leu2- Δ 1 trp1- Δ 1 ura3-52 (pSNF1-URA3, pSUC2::HIS3)	This study
PS4350-3A	MATa gsf2-1 his3- $\Delta 200$ trp1- $\Delta 63$ ura3-52	This study
PS5204-1A	MATα his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 trp1-Δ63 ura3-52	This study
PS5204-1C	MAT α gsf2- Δ 1::TRP1 his- Δ 200 leu2- Δ 1 trp1- Δ 63 ura3-52	This study
PS5959-6B	MATα mig1Δ::URA3 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 trp1-Δ63 ura3-52 lys2-801	This study
PS7050-1D	MATα grr1Δ::URA3 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 trp1-Δ63 ura3-52	This study
PS7851-2A	MATα hxk2Δ::URA3 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 trp1-Δ63 ura3-52	This study

"All MCY, FY, and PS strains are isogenic or congenic to S288c and are SUC2 GAL2.

Analysis of gsf2 snf1 slow growth phenotype: In initial attempts to obtain gsf2 snf1 Δ double mutants, gsf2 snf1 Δ strains bearing pSNF1-URA3 (original isolates from PS1192) were replica plated to SD + Ade + His + 5-FOA, but no 5-FOA-resistant colonies arose. The same result was obtained when heavy inocula of cells were spread on SD + Ade + His + 5-FOA plates. In each case, $snfl\Delta$ and gsfl $snfl\Delta$ strains bearing pSNF1-URA3 readily yielded 5-FOA-resistant growth. These findings were extended by diluting cultures of the 10 original gsf2 mutants isolated from PS1192 and spotting cell suspensions on SD + Ade + His control plates and SD + Ade + His + 5-FOA plates. Parallel experiments with PS1192 $(snf1\Delta 10)$ and PS38 $(gsf1-1 snf1\Delta 10)$, also bearing pSNF1-URA3, were carried out. The frequency of 5-FOA resistance in snf1 Δ (~1 × 10⁻⁴, large colonies), gsf1-1 snf1 Δ (~1 × 10⁻⁵, large colonies), and gsf2-1 snf1 Δ (1 \times 10⁻⁶, nonpropagable microcolonies) strains and the growth characteristics of the resultant colonies were estimated after 4 days of incubation at 30°.

To determine whether different carbon sources could suppress gsf2-1 $snf1\Delta 10$ slow growth, strain PS4343-11B was patched and replica plated to SC-Trp + 5-FOA medium containing 2% glucose, 8% glucose, 2% galactose, 2% raffinose, 2% glucose + 3% glycerol, 2% glucose + 3% ethanol, or 2% glucose + 2% acetate. To determine the effects of low or high temperature, cells were replica plated to SC-Trp + 5-FOA medium containing 2% glucose and growth was monitored for several days after incubation at 18°, 23°, or 37°. Suppression of the slow growth phenotype by excess amino acids or yeast nitrogen base (YNB) was tested by spotting concentrated (10×) amino acid or YNB solutions onto lawns of strain PS4343-11B plated on SC-Trp + 5-FOA medium. In each test described above, controls with an GSF2 $snf1\Delta$ strain and with media lacking 5-FOA were carried out.

To obtain gsf2-1 snf1 Δ double mutant spore clones, strain PS4300-21B was crossed to PS43 carrying pSNF1-URA3 and the plasmid was cured from the diploid. Four colony-purified diploids were presporulated at 30° in liquid YP acetate medium, shifted to liquid sporulation medium, and sporulated at 24° or 30° for 6 days. Tetrads from a culture sporulated at 24° (cultures sporulated less well at 30°) were dissected on YP-8% glucose plates containing auxotrophic and aspartic acid supplements or on YP-2% glucose plates. These plates were incubated at 24° or 30° for 7 days. Spore germination and subsequent growth were monitored microscopically.

Cloning of the GSF2 gene: The GSF2 gene was cloned by transforming PS4343-11B with a *LEU2-CEN* yeast genomic DNA library (gift of P. HIETER) and replica plating Leu⁺ transformants to SC-Leu-Trp + 5-FOA medium. 5-FOA-resistant candidates were rescreened to distinguish putative GSF2 clones from SNF1 clones by replica plating to SC-Leu-Trp-2% raffinose medium. PCR and SNF1-specific primers were used to confirm that Raf⁺ candidates contained SNF1 plasmids. In addition, the 5-FOA-resistant candidates were retransformed with pRS316 (*CEN6/ARSH4/URA3*, SIKORSKI and HIETER 1989) or pSNF1::URA3. Invertase activity in glucose-grown Ura⁺ transformants was determined, and these transformants were also spotted on SD-5% glucose plates and control SD + His-5% glucose plates to determine their His phenotype.

Two independently derived putative GSF2 clones were isolated in *E. coli* and retested for their ability to complement gsf2-1 by retransformation of PS4343-11B and replica plating Leu⁺ transformants to SC-Leu-Trp + 5-FOA and SD-5% glucose medium. A ~6.6-kb Sad-BamHI fragment from the overlapping region of these clones was subcloned into pRS315 to yield the plasmid pRM1 (see above).

Construction of gsf2- Δ 1::TRP1 and gsf2- Δ 2::TRP1 alleles: Strains containing a chromosomal gsf2- Δ 1::TRP1 allele were generated by transforming the wild-type diploid FY250 × FY251 with the 3.3-kb *PstI-SpeI* fragment from p Δ 1-RM1 and selecting Trp⁺ transformants. These diploids were sporulated, Trp⁺ mutants and Trp⁻ haploid segregants were identified, and the Gsf phenotypes of segregants from four complete tetrads were determined by invertase assays of glucose-grown cells. Strains containing a chromosomal gsf2- $\Delta 2$::*TRP1* allele were generated by transforming the same wild-type diploid with the 2.3-kb *PstI-AvrII* fragment of p Δ 2-RM1 and selecting Trp⁺ transformants. Following sporulation of these diploids, Trp⁺ and Trp⁻ segregants were identified, and invertase assays of glucose-grown segregants of four complete tetrads were carried out. The structure and heterozygosity of the gsf2- Δ 1::*TRP1* and the gsf2- Δ 2::*TRP1* alleles in diploid transformants was confirmed by PCR.

To confirm that the bona fide GSF2 gene had been cloned, PS5204-1B (gsf2- $\Delta 1$::TRP1) was crossed to PS4300-21B (gsf2-I). Invertase activity of this diploid was determined in glucosegrown cells. The diploid was sporulated, and glucose-grown segregants from four complete tetrads were shown by invertase assays to exhibit the Gsf⁻ phenotype.

Enzyme assays: Secreted invertase activity was assayed in whole cells as described previously (GOLDSTEIN and LAMPEN 1975; modified as in CELENZA and CARLSON 1984) except that 0.5 OD₆₀₀ units rather than 50 Klett units of cells were harvested. β -galactosidase activity was assayed in permeabilized cells (GUARENTE 1983). In experiments where invertase activity of gsf2-1 snf1 Δ double mutants was measured, cells were transferred from slow-growing patches on YPD plates to fresh YPD liquid medium, the OD₆₀₀ of these cell suspensions was determined, and 0.5 OD₆₀₀ units of cells were harvested. Control wild-type (FY250), gsf2-1 (PS4300-24C), and snf1 Δ (MCY2119) cells were prepared similarly. To assess Ssn6-Tup1-mediated repression, $MAT\alpha$ strains FY250, PS3851-3B, and PS4300-24C were transformed with pAJ1 or pAJ3, transformants were grown in SC-Ura-2% glucose to mid-log phase, and β -galactosidase activity was assayed in permeabilized cells.

RESULTS

Isolation of mutants: Our primary objective was to identify gene products that monitor extracellular glucose concentration, generate a glucose repression signal, or transduce a glucose repression signal by inhibiting Snf1 protein kinase activity. Figure 1 depicts the strategy we used to select for mutations in these signaling components upstream of Snf1 in the glucose repression pathway. In brief, we isolated *SUC2* constitutive mutants that depend on *SNF1* function for their constitutive phenotype.

The first step was to select mutations that relieve glucose repression of a SUC2::HIS3 gene fusion containing the HIS3 gene expressed from the SUC2 promoter (see MATERIALS AND METHODS). The wild-type strain bearing this reporter is His⁻ on glucose medium owing to glucose repression of the SUC2 promoter. Two hundred thirty-three spontaneous His⁺ mutants were selected on synthetic minimal medium containing 5% glucose. These His⁺ mutants could be defective in any step of the SUC2 glucose repression pathway.

The next step was to exclude mutations in genes encoding components of the glucose repression pathway acting downstream of *SNF1*, including several known repressors of *SUC2* transcription such as *TUP1*, several *SSN* genes, and *MIG1*. This was achieved by replica plating the 263 original His⁺ mutants to 5-FOA glucose medium lacking histidine and omitting those mutants that remained His⁺ following loss of the *SNF1* plasmid.

В Α High [glucose] Parent strain: snf1 ura3 his3 (pSNF1-URA3, pSUC2::HIS3) Phenotype: His- on alucose Snf1 kinase Select His+ Mig1-Ssn6-Tup1 on glucose 263 His+ isolates SUC2 Screen for Hison 5-FOA (loss of pSNF1-URÀ3) 120 mutants with SNF1-dependent His+ phenotype Complementation tests with known mutants 4 asf1 alleles 10 gsf2 alleles

FIGURE 1.—Isolation of gsfmutants. (A) A simplified model of the SUC2 glucose repression pathway. (B) Outline of the strategy for gsfmutant isolation. See MATERIALS AND METHODS for details.

Among the original 263 mutants, 113 remained His⁺ on 5-FOA glucose medium and were not studied further. We noted, however, that every clumpy mutant isolated (n = 30) was in this class. This result is consistent with previous observations from this laboratory that mutations in seven SSN genes are characterized by a clumpy phenotype.

The remaining 120 His⁺ mutants reverted to a His⁻ phenotype (or otherwise failed to grow) upon loss of p*SNF1-URA3* on 5-FOA glucose medium lacking histidine. These were candidates for the mutations of interest in putative upstream regulators of Snf1 function.

Complementation analysis: We tested the 120 mutants for dominance and for their ability to complement several previously isolated SUC2 constitutive mutations. Null alleles of HXK2, GRR1, REG1, and MIG1 were used in the complementation tests. Since GLC7 and RGR1 are essential genes, we tested sublethal, glucose repression-defective alleles of these genes (glc7-T152K and rgr1- $\Delta 2$: Sakai et al. 1988; TU and Carlson 1994). Among the 120 mutants, 18 were dominant (see below), 37 failed to complement $hxk2\Delta$, 30 failed to complement $grr1\Delta$, eight failed to complement $reg1\Delta$, and two failed to complement glc7-T152K. No mig1 or rgr1 alleles were recovered. Eleven mutants appeared to be very weakly constitutive for expression of the chromosomal SUC2 locus (as measured by assays of secreted invertase activity) and were not characterized further. The 14 remaining mutants were tested for their ability to complement one another. These mutants defined two complementation groups: gsf1 (four alleles) and gsf2 (10 alleles).

To construct strains carrying gsf1 and gsf2 mutations in a SNF1 background, we backcrossed two of the original mutants, PS38 and PS43, to wild type. gsf1-1 SNF1 and gsf2-1 SNF1 strains carrying pSUC2::HIS3 but not pSNF1-URA3 were identified as Ura⁻ Raf⁺ His⁺ segregants of these crosses. The SUC2 constitutivity indicated by the His⁺ phenotype of these segregants on glucose was confirmed by assays of secreted invertase activity (see below). These segregants were then crossed to wild type, and we observed 2:2 segregation of His⁺:His⁻ phenotypes in all tetrads in which all four segregants retained the pSUC2::HIS3 reporter (gsf1, n = 8; gsf2, n =12), indicating that gsf1-1 and gsf2-1 segregate as mutations in single nuclear genes.

Dominant *GSF*⁻ **alleles:** Eighteen dominant mutations were recovered in this screen. Invertase assays of glucose-grown haploid strains indicated that *SUC2* expression was constitutive in 17 of 18 mutants. While the His⁺ phenotype was dominant in all 17 strains, invertase assays of glucose-repressed heterozygous diploids indicated that a dominant or semidominant *SUC2* constitutive phenotype was evident in 13 of the *GSF* strains (activity ranging from 10 to 60 units in the mutant haploids and from 4 to 19 units in the heterozygous diploids). The *SNF1* plasmid was present in the parental strain at the outset of the mutant hunt and was therefore a potential target of dominant mutations. However, none of the *GSF* mutations were linked to the *SNF1* plasmid (see MATERIALS AND METHODS).

SUC2 expression is constitutive in gsf mutants: To determine the effect of the gsf1 and gsf2 mutations on the regulation of chromosomal SUC2 expression, we assayed secreted invertase activity in cultures of gsf1 and gsf2 mutants grown in high glucose, shifted from high glucose to low glucose, or grown in raffinose (Table 2). Invertase activity in glucose-grown cells was elevated \sim 100-fold in the gsf1-1 mutant and \sim 60-fold in the gsf2-1 mutant relative to wild-type levels. Thus, under repressing conditions, gsf1 and gsf2 mutants express constitutively both the pSUC2::HIS3 reporter and the native SUC2 locus.

To confirm linkage of the His⁺ and SUC2 constitutive phenotypes of gsf1 and gsf2 mutants, we assayed invertase activity of segregants from four complete tetrads derived from diploids heterozygous for gsf1-1 or gsf2-1. All His⁺ segregants were SUC2 constitutive, and all His⁻ segregants showed glucose repression of SUC2 (data not shown).

 $snfl\Delta$ suppresses the SUC2 constitutive phenotype of gsfl mutants: A chief criterion of the gsf mutant screen was the suppression of SUC2::HIS3 constitutive expression by $snfl\Delta$. To determine whether $snfl\Delta$ also suppresses the constitutive expression of the chromosomal SUC2 locus in gsf mutants, we first recovered gsfl-1 $snfl\Delta$ double mutants by the loss of pSNF1-URA3 from PS38. Glucose-grown gsfl-1 $snfl\Delta$ mutants expressed ~50-fold lower invertase activity than the gsfl-1 mutant and was nearly the same activity as a $snf1\Delta$ mutant (Table 2). Thus, $snf1\Delta$ suppresses the SUC2 constitutive phenotype of gsf1-1 mutants. Moreover, invertase activity in the gsf1-1 $snf1\Delta$ strain shifted to 0.05% glucose was equivalent to that in the $snf1\Delta$ strain.

Slow growth phenotype of $gsf2 snf1\Delta$ double mutants: We attempted to isolate gsf2-1 $snf1\Delta$ derivatives of PS43 that had lost pSNF1-URA3. However, the desired gsf2-1 $snf1\Delta$ double mutants could not be recovered by selecting for resistance to 5-FOA. In contrast, isogenic $snf1\Delta$ and gsf1-1 $snf1\Delta$ strains containing pSNF1-URA3 readily yielded 5-FOA-resistant colonies (see MATERIALS AND METHODS). These findings indicated that gsf2-1 $snf1\Delta$ double mutants are inviable or extremely slow growing on SD 5-FOA medium. Similar results were obtained for mutants carrying each of the 10 gsf2 alleles recovered.

None of several conditions enabled the recovery of 5-FOA-resistant derivatives of $gsf2-1 snf1\Delta$ strains carrying pSNF1-URA3, including growth at a range of temperatures from 16° to 37°, growth on raffinose or galactose, or nutritional supplements in 10-fold excess over standard concentrations (see MATERIALS AND METHODS). Two other previously isolated mutations display a slow growth or synthetic lethal phenotype in combination with $snf1\Delta$: grr1 (FLICK and JOHNSTON 1991; VALLIER *et al.* 1994) and bcy1 (THOMPSON-JAEGER *et al.* 1991). The 8% glucose was shown to enhance the growth of $bcy1::HIS3 snf1\Delta$ microcolonies, which nevertheless could not subsequently be propagated (THOMPSON-JAE-GER *et al.* 1991). We found that 8% glucose did not

TABLE 2

Secreted invertase activity in gsf mutants

Relevant	Secreted invertase ⁴		
genotype ^b	5% glu	0.05% glu	2% raf
Wild type	1.0 ± 0.1	200 ± 20	140 ± 20
gsf1-1	93 ± 5	190 ± 20	120 ± 10
gsf2-1	64 ± 3	188 ± 3	180 ± 30
$snf1\Delta$	1.2 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.1	NA
gsf1-1 snf1 Δ	2.7 ± 0.3	1.8 ± 0.5	NA

^{*a*} Micromoles glucose released/minute/100 mg (dry weight) of cells. Cultures were grown to mid-log phase in SC (wild type, gsf1-1, and gsf2-1) or YP-5% glucose medium (5% glu) ($snf1\Delta$ and gsf1-1 $snf1\Delta$) and shifted to 0.05% glucose medium for 2–3 hr (0.05% glu) or were grown in YP-2% raffinose medium to mid-log phase (2% raf). Secreted invertase was assayed as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. Values represent the average of three or more assays per strain. NA, not applicable, since snf1 and gsf1 snf1 mutants are Raf⁻.

^b The strains assayed in 5% glu and 0.05% glu were FY250, PS3851-3A, and PS4343-11B. The strains assayed in 2% raf were PS5204-1A, PS3851-4B, and PS4300-21B. Invertase activity for glucose-grown mutants of PS1192 bearing each of the four gsf1 alleles ranged from 93 to 165, and activity for mutants bearing each of nine gsf2 alleles ranged from 35 to 65 units, while a gsf2-10 strain expressed 8 units. enable the recovery of 5-FOA-resistant gsf2-1 snf1 Δ colonies having lost pSNF1-URA3.

A slow growth phenotype of gsf2-1 snf1 Δ double mutants on YPD medium was confirmed in the following way. gsf2-1 and gsf2-1 $snf1\Delta(pSNF1-URA3)$ strains were crossed, and diploids having lost pSNF1-URA3 were colony purified and sporulated (see MATERIALS AND METH-ODS). Tetrads were dissected on YPD plates containing 2% or 8% glucose and incubated at 24° or 30° for 7 days. After 24 hours, all but two spores from 40 tetrads had germinated. However, 2:2 segregation of slow:fast growth was observed in all tetrads. At 30°, slow-growing segregants gave rise to \sim 4–16 cells after 24 hr, while fast-growing segregants had formed colonies containing several hundred cells. After 7 days of growth, slow-growing segregants had formed barely visible microcolonies (Figure 2). Similar results were obtained for tetrads incubated at 24°. No differences in growth of tetrads on 2% vs. 8% glucose at either temperature were discernible. All fast-growing segregants were Suc⁺ and, by inference, carried the SNF1 allele.

Several gsf2-1 snf1 Δ double mutant segregants from this cross were patched to YPD medium in attempts to propagate them for detailed analysis. However, these strains readily acquired spontaneous suppressors of their slow growth phenotype, making propagation of pure $gsf2-1 snf1\Delta$ cultures difficult. Therefore, invertase activity was measured in gsf2-1 snf1 Δ double mutants taken directly from slow-growing patches of cells on YPD plates prior to the appearance of fast-growing revertants. These gsf2-1 snf1 Δ strains expressed 5 units of invertase activity; for comparison, $snf1\Delta$, gsf2-1, and wild-type strains prepared in the same manner expressed 2, 100, and 50 units of secreted invertase activity, respectively (see MATERIALS AND METHODS). In addition, suspensions of gsf2-1 snf1 Δ cells were spotted on YP-sucrose, YP-galactose, and YPD plates along with wild-type, gsf2-1, and $snf1\Delta$ control cells. Growth of gsf2-1 snf1 Δ strains was very slow on YPD and was undetect-



FIGURE 2.—Tetrad analysis of gsf2-1/gsf2-1 $SNF1/snf1\Delta$ diploid. PS4300-21B and PS43 were crossed, and the diploid was subjected to selection for loss of p*SNF1-URA3* on 5-FOA medium. Ura⁻ diploids were sporulated, and tetrads were dissected on YPD medium and incubated at 30° for 7 days. The growth of spore clones from 11 tetrads, demonstrating 2:2 segregation of slow:fast growth, is shown.

able on YP-sucrose and YP-galactose medium. Thus, although $gsf2-1 \ snf1\Delta$ strains grow very slowly on YPD, they appear to have a Snf⁻ phenotype with respect to SUC2 and GAL gene regulation.

The gsf mutations do not affect transcriptional repression mediated by Ssn6-Tup1: In addition to mediating glucose repression, the Ssn6(Cyc8)-Tup1 corepressor is required for transcriptional repression of a large number of diversely regulated genes (KELEHER et al. 1992 and references therein). The gsf mutant hunt was designed to eliminate mutations in genes encoding general repressors such as TUP1 and SSN6. Nevertheless, we decided to test directly whether the glucose repression defects observed in the gsf mutants could be attributed to a loss of Ssn6-Tup1 repressor activity. This was particularly important to test in the gsf2-1 mutant, since the extreme slow growth phenotype of gsf2-1 snf1 Δ mutants precluded a clear determination of the relationship of GSF2 and SNF1 function with respect to SUC2 regulation. We therefore examined the ability of Ssn6-Tup1 to repress a-specific promoters in the gsf mutants.

In α cells, repression of **a**-specific genes is mediated by the α 2-Mcm1 operator (JOHNSON and HERSKOWITZ 1985) and requires the α^2 and Mcm1 DNA-binding proteins and the Ssn6-Tup1 corepressor (KELEHER et al. 1992). Repression established by Ssn6-Tup1 at the α 2-Mcm1 operator was examined by comparing the expression of a pair of CYC1UAS-lacZ reporters, one containing an α 2-Mcm1 operator (pAJ3) and one lacking the operator (pAJ1). The expression level of the operator-containing reporter in strains of genotype agsf1-1 $(0.4 \pm 0.04 \text{ units})$ and α gsf2-1 $(0.5 \pm 0.06 \text{ units})$ was >100-fold lower than that of the reporter lacking the operator (α gsf1-1, 56 ± 2.3 units; α gsf2-1, 60 ± 6.6 units). The magnitude of operator-mediated repression in the mutants was similar to that in a wild-type strain (KELEHER et al. 1992). Repression by Ssn6-Tup1 thus appears to be intact in the gsf mutants. The glucose repression defects observed in these mutants are therefore unlikely to be caused by a loss of Ssn6-Tup1 function.

Effects of gsf mutations on expression of other glucose-regulated genes: gsf1-1 and gsf2-1 mutations abolish or greatly decrease glucose repression of SUC2 expression (Table 2). To determine whether the gsf mutations affect glucose repression of other glucoserepressed genes, the expression of a GAL10::lacZ fusion was measured in gsf mutants (Table 3). GAL10::lacZ fusion was measured in gsf mutants (Table 3). GAL10::lacZ fusion and gsf2-1 mutants grown in galactose. During growth in glucose plus galactose, however, GAL10::lacZ expression was elevated ~1,000-fold in the gsf1-1 and gsf2-1mutants relative to wild type, indicating that the gsfmutants are defective in glucose repression of GAL10

The expression of a number of glucose transporters is regulated by extracellular glucose concentration (BIS- SON et al. 1993 and references therein; Ko et al. 1993; ÖZCAN and JOHNSTON 1995; LIANG and GABER 1996). For example, transcription of the HXT1 gene is induced >200-fold by high glucose concentrations. We reasoned that defects in signaling high glucose concentration could affect glucose-induced processes as well as glucose-repressed ones. Therefore, we tested whether the gsf mutations affect glucose induction of HXT1 transcription. In glucose-grown cells, expression of an HXT1::lacZ reporter was reduced approximately fourfold in the gsf1-1 strain relative to wild type (Table 3). The magnitude of the HXT1 induction defect in gsf1-1 mutants was similar to that seen in $reg1\Delta$, $hxk2\Delta$, and $rgt1\Delta$ strains with the identical HXT1::lacZreporter (Öz-CAN and JOHNSTON 1995). The existence of a second GRR1-mediated arm of the HXT1 induction pathway probably accounts for the relatively mild effect of gsf1-1, reg1 Δ , hxk2 Δ , and rgt1 Δ mutations on HXT1 expression (ÖZCAN and JOHNSTON 1995). In contrast, no effect of the gsf2-1 mutation on the levels of HXT1::lacZ expression was observed (Table 3).

Additional phenotypes of gsf mutants: Several additional phenotypes of gsf1-1 and gsf2-1 strains were tested. First, gsf1-1 and gsf2-1 strains are sensitive to the nonmetabolizable glucose analogue 2-deoxyglucose, as is wild type, while several previously identified SUC2 constitutive mutants (e.g., grr1, reg1, glc7, hxk2, and mig1) are 2-deoxyglucose resistant. In contrast to the growth defect of grr1 mutants in YP-glucose medium (VALLIER et al. 1994), both gsf1-1 and gsf2-1 mutants are proficient for growth in YP medium containing 2%, 0.5%, or 0.05% glucose. We also tested whether these mutants display any of three additional grr1 mutant phenotypes: sensitivity to nitrogen starvation (FLICK and

TABLE 3

Effects of gsf mutations on GAL10::lacZ and HXT1::lacZ expression

	eta-galactosidase activity ^a				
	GAL10::lacZ ^b		HXT1::lacZ ^c		
Genotype ^d	glu + gal	gal	glu	gal	
Wild type gsf1-1 gsf2-1	$0.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 470 \pm 50 \\ 530 \pm 40$	$\begin{array}{r} 2800 \pm 100 \\ 1760 \pm 20 \\ 1880 \pm 40 \end{array}$	260 ± 6 73 ± 5 360 ± 10	$\begin{array}{c} 2.3 \pm 0.1 \\ 1.3 \pm 0.1 \\ 3.0 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$	

^{*a*} β -galactosidase activity is expressed in Miller units. All values are the average of three to four assays of two to four independent transformants.

^b Cultures were grown to mid-log phase in SC-Ura plus 4% glucose/3% galactose/3% glycerol (glu + gal) or SC-Ura plus 3% galactose/3% glycerol (gal). The *GAL10::lacZ* fusion plasmid was pRY123.

^c Cultures were grown to mid-log phase in SC-Ura plus 4% glucose (glu) or SC-Ura plus 3% galactose/3% glycerol (gal). The *HXT1::lacZ* fusion plasmid was pBM2636.

^d The strains used were PS3851-3D, PS3851-3A, and PS4350-3A.

JOHNSTON 1991), resistance to Co^{2+} (CONKLIN *et al.* 1993), and elongated morphology (BAILEY and WOOD-WARD 1984). Both *gsf1-1* and *gsf2-1* mutants appeared wild type with respect to these phenotypes. Finally, *gsf1-1* and *gsf2-1* mutants were neither temperature sensitive nor cold sensitive for growth on glucose or raffinose medium, and homozygous *gsf1-1* and *gsf2-1* diploids were proficient in sporulation.

Cloning of the GSF2 gene: The GSF2 gene was cloned by complementation of the gsf2-1 snf1 Δ slow growth phenotype (see MATERIALS AND METH-ODS). A gsf2-1 snf1 Δ strain carrying pSNF1-URA3 and pSUC2::HIS3 was transformed with a LEU2-CEN yeast genomic DNA library and Leu⁺ transformants were selected for resistance to 5-FOA. Only those transformants containing GSF2 or SNF1 library plasmids were expected to remain viable following loss of the pSNF1-URA3 plasmid. Thirteen candidates were identified and rescreened to distinguish putative GSF2 clones (Raf⁻) from SNF1 clones (Raf⁺). The two Raf⁺ candidates were confirmed to contain SNF1 by PCR. The 11 Raf⁻ GSF2 candidates were retransformed with pSNF1-URA3, and invertase assays of glucose-grown cells indicated that expression of SUC2 was repressed in all GSF2 candidates.

The library plasmids were isolated from two independently derived GSF2 candidates and, on retransformation of PS4343-11B, complemented both the SUC2 constitutive and the *snf1* synthetic slow growth phenotypes caused by the gsf2-1 mutation. DNA sequencing of the ends of the inserted yeast sequences in these clones revealed an \sim 8-kb overlapping region from the left arm of chromosome XIII containing two complete open reading frames (Figure 3). Subcloning of this region indicated that plasmids bearing only YML048w complement both the snfl synthetic lethal and the SUC2 constitutive phenotypes of an gsf2-1 mutant; moreover, deletion of YML048w abolished the ability of subclones to complement gsf2-1 (Figure 3). Subsequent disruption of YML048w confirmed that this gene corresponds to GSF2 (see below).

Characterization of gsf2 null mutants: To confirm that the bona fide GSF2 gene had been cloned, an gsf2- $\Delta 1$::*TRP1* allele was constructed in which codons 148– 304 of YML048w were deleted and replaced with the TRP1 marker. A diploid strain isogenic to FY250 and heterozygous for this allele was constructed by one-step gene disruption, and SUC2 constitutive and Trp⁺ phenotypes cosegregated in tetrads derived from sporulation of this diploid (see MATERIALS AND METHODS). The average invertase activity of six glucose-grown gsf2- $\Delta 1$::TRP1 segregants from three tetrads (11 ± 1.0) units) was 12-fold higher than the average activity of six wild-type segregants (0.9 ± 0.1 units). The original point mutants expressed higher activity but these mutants were isolated in a different S288c-related genetic background. An gsf2- $\Delta 1$::TRP1 segregant was then

564



FIGURE 3.—Maps of the GSF2 locus and plasmids. The map depicts yeast DNA inserts in the vector pRS315 and a corresponding region of chromosome XIII containing YML049c, GSF2, YML047c, and PRP39. \triangle , Sequences deleted from pRM1 to yield pDOM1 and pDOR1. Invertase activity of glucose-grown cells and growth on glucose medium lacking histidine or containing 5-FOA were determined as described in MA-TERIALS AND METHODS. Restriction sites: A, AvrII; B, BamHI; H. HindIII.

crossed to an gsf2-1 mutant. The SUC2 constitutive phenotype of the gsf2-1 mutation was not complemented in the diploid. Following sporulation, 4:0 segregation of Gsf⁻:Gsf⁺ phenotypes was observed in four tetrads that were assayed for invertase activity, confirming that the cloned DNA directed disruption of the *GSF2* locus.

We then constructed an gsf2- Δ 2::TRP1 allele in which the entire GSF2 coding region was deleted and replaced with the TRP1 marker. This allele was introduced into an FY250 × FY251 diploid strain (see MATERIALS AND METHODS). The diploid was sporulated, and invertase assays of glucose-grown segregants demonstrated that the gsf2- Δ 2::TRP1 allele confers a SUC2 constitutive phenotype similar to that observed for the gsf2- Δ 1::TRP1 allele (12 units invertase activity in glucosegrown cells). gsf2- Δ 2 mutants were neither temperature sensitive nor cold sensitive for growth on YPD medium, and the doubling time of an gsf2- Δ 2 mutant in SC glucose medium was indistinguishable from an isogenic wild-type strain (2.5 hr). In addition, the mutants showed normal cell morphology.

Properties of the predicted GSF2 gene product: The GSF2 gene encodes a previously uncharacterized protein of 403 residues with a predicted M_r of 46 kD. Despite the absence of a clearly defined signal peptide, Gsf2 contains a hydrophobic region (residues 177–198, LVAQWLFFVMHIFKVGIITLFL) that could serve as a transmembrane domain, dividing the protein into Nand C-terminal domains of roughly equivalent size. Gsf2 also contains a C-terminal dilysine consensus motif for retention of transmembrane proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum (KKXX; NILSSON et al. 1989; JACK-SON et al. 1990; GAYNOR et al. 1994) and four potential N-glycosylation sites (Asn89, Asn173, Asn234, and Asn235). No other significant similarity to any other proteins or defined functional motifs is evident in the Gsf2 sequence.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have isolated mutations in two genes, GSF1 and GSF2, which relieve glucose repression of

SUC2 transcription. Both gsf1 and gsf2 mutations have pleiotropic phenotypes: gsf1 mutations relieve glucose repression of GAL10 transcription and decrease glucose induction of HXT1 transcription; gsf2 mutations also relieve glucose repression of GAL10 and, in combination with $snf1\Delta$, cause an extreme slow growth phenotype.

The SUC2 constitutive phenotype of gsf1 mutants is suppressed by mutations in SNF1. This result suggests that deregulated Snf1 protein kinase activity may account for the SUC2 constitutivity observed in gsf1 mutants and that, in wild type, the GSFI gene product may directly or indirectly inhibit Snf1 kinase function in response to a high glucose signal. In contrast, glucose induction of HXT1 transcription does not require the Snfl kinase (Özcan and JOHNSTON 1995). Hence, GSF1 appears to mediate the Snf1-regulated glucose repression of SUC2 and GAL10 transcription, as well as the Snfl-independent glucose induction of HXT1 transcription. Evidence also suggests that the GSF2 gene product negatively regulates the function of the Snf1 kinase with respect to glucose repression, although interpretation of the data is complicated by the slow growth phenotype of the gsf2 snf1 double mutant.

The phenotypes of gsf1 and reg1 Δ mutants are similar in that both mutations relieve glucose repression of SUC2 and GAL10 and curtail glucose induction of HXT1 to the same degree. The gsfl and regl Δ mutations complement, however, and a 2μ -REG1 plasmid failed to complement gsf1-1 (data not shown), indicating that GSF1 and REG1 are distinct genes. Previous work has implicated Reg1 as a regulatory subunit of the Glc7 type1 protein phosphatase (TU and CARLSON 1994, 1995) and indicated that Reg1-Glc7 affects Snf1 kinase function (JIANG and CARLSON 1996). It is possible that the GSF1 gene product acts in conjunction with Reg1 to stimulate or direct the activity of the Glc7 phosphatase toward its glucose signaling pathway substrate(s) in response to a high glucose signal. Alternatively, Gsfl could be a Reg1-Glc7 phosphatase substrate whose function is stimulated by dephosphorylation in response to high glucose. Because multiple pathways mediate both SUC2 and HXT1 regulation, it is also possible that Gsf1 and Reg1 function by distinct mechanisms. This view is supported by the observation that, despite their other phenotypic similarities, $reg1\Delta$ mutants can use sucrose in the presence of the glucose analog 2-deoxyglucose while gsf1-1 mutants cannot. We have attempted to clone the GSF1 gene by complementation of the glucose repression or glucose induction phenotypes of gsf1 mutants but have thus far been unsuccessful.

Several lines of evidence suggest that multiple pathways convey high glucose signals. ÖZCAN et al. (1996) showed that a dominant mutation in RGT2 (RGT2-1) leads to induction of HXT1 transcription in the absence of glucose, indicating that this mutation constitutively activates a pathway for high glucose induction of HXT1. *RGT2* encodes a protein similar to glucose transporters, consistent with a role for Rgt2 as a receptor that generates a signal of high extracellular glucose (ÖZCAN et al. 1996). However, the RGT2-1 mutation does not affect the Snfl-mediated glucose-repression pathway (MAR-SHALL-CARLSON et al. 1991; ÖZCAN et al. 1996), indicating the existence of a distinct high glucose signal. WIL-SON et al. (1996) have proposed that glucose signaling via the Snf1 pathway is regulated by a metabolic signal of high intracellular glucose concentration (specifically, a low AMP:ATP ratio resulting from rapid fermentation) that inhibits Snf1 protein kinase activity, based on a correlation between extracellular glucose concentration, the intracellular AMP:ATP ratio, and Snf1 protein kinase activity. These and other studies (BISSON et al. 1993; GRANOT and SNYDER 1993; SIERKSTRA et al. 1993; H. JIANG and C. A. MICHELS, personal communication) are consistent with the existence of at least two distinct high glucose signals. In this study, we have found that gsf1 affects both glucose repression and glucose induction, perhaps reflecting crosstalk between different signaling pathways, whereas gsf2 affects only glucose repression.

We do not yet understand the basis for the extreme slow growth phenotype of gsf2 snf1 double mutants. However, two previously characterized mutations that also cause a slow growth or lethal phenotype in combination with $snfl\Delta$ are grrl (FLICK and JOHNSTON 1991; VALLIER et al. 1994) and bcyl (THOMPSON-JAEGER et al. 1991). This shared mutant phenotype suggests that the functions of GSF2, GRR1, and BCY1 may be related. Indeed, previous studies have established a relationship between GRR1 and BCY1 function in the regulation of G1 cyclin expression (BARONI et al. 1994; TOKIWA et al. 1994; BARRAL et al. 1995), and BARRAL et al. proposed that GRR1 acts together with the BCY1-regulated RAS/ cAMP pathway to couple cell cycle progression to the availability of nutrients. A role for the GSF2 gene product in such a process is likewise possible. The cloning of the GSF2 gene enables this and other aspects of Gsf2 function to be addressed.

We thank F. WINSTON and A. SAKAI for providing yeast strains, M. JOHNSTON and A. D. JOHNSON for providing *lacZ* fusion plasmids, M. A. OSLEY for providing a *LEU2-CEN* genomic library, H. JIANG and C. A. MICHELS for communicating results prior to publication, and members of the CARLSON laboratory for helpful discussions. This work was supported by a National Institutes of Health grant (GM-34095) to M.C. and a Damon Runyon-Walter Winchell Cancer Research Fund postdoctoral fellowship (DRG-1237) to P.S.

LITERATURE CITED

- BAILEY, R. B., and A. WOODWORD, 1984 Isolation and characterization of a pleiotropic glucose repression resistant mutant of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Gen. Genet. 193: 507-512.
- BARONI, M. D., P. MONTI and L. ALBERGHINA, 1994 Repression of growth-regulated G1 cyclin expression by cyclic AMP in budding yeast. Nature 371: 339–342.
- BARRAL, Y., S. JENTSCH and C. MANN, 1995 G1 cyclin turnover and nutrient uptake are controlled by a common pathway in yeast. Genes Dev. 9: 399–408.
- BISSON, L. F., D. M. COONS, A. L. KRUCKEBERG and D. A. LEWIS, 1993 Yeast sugar transporters. Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 28: 259– 308.
- BOEKE, J. D., F. LACROUTE and G. R. FINK, 1984 A positive selection for mutants lacking orotidine-5'-phosphate decarboxylase activity in yeast: 5-fluoro-orotic acid resistance. Mol. Gen. Genet. 197: 345-346.
- CARLSON, M., B. C. OSMOND, L. NEIGEBORN and D. BOTSTEIN, 1984 A suppressor of *snf1* mutations causes constitutive high-level invertase synthesis in yeast. Genetics 107: 19–32.
- CELENZA, J. L., and M. CARLSON, 1984a Cloning and genetic mapping of SNFI, a gene required for expression of glucose-repressible genes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4: 49–53.
- CELENZA, J. L. and M. CARLSON, 1986 A yeast gene that is essential for release from glucose repression encodes a protein kinase. Science 233: 1175-1180.
- CELENZA, J. L. and M. CARLSON, 1989 Mutational analysis of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae SNF1 protein kinase and evidence for functional interaction with the SNF4 protein. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9: 5034-5044.
- CELENZA, J. L., F. J. ENG and M. CARLSON, 1989 Molecular analysis of the SNF4 gene of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. evidence for physical association of the SNF4 protein with the SNF1 protein kinase. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9: 5045-5054.
- CONKLIN, D. S., C. KUNG and M. R. CULBERTSON, 1993 The COT2 gene is required for glucose-dependent divalent cation transport in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 2041–2049.
- ESTRUCH, F., M. A. TREITEL, X. YANG and M. CARLSON, 1992 Nterminal mutations modulate yeast SNF1 protein kinase fuction. Genetics 132: 639-650.
- FLICK, J. S., and M. JOHNSTON, 1991 GRR1 of Saccharomyces cerevisiae is required for glucose repression and encodes a protein with leucine-rich repeats. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11: 5101-5112.
- GAYNOR, E. C., S. TE HEESEN, T. R. GRAHAM, M. AEBI and S. EMR, 1994 Signal-mediated retrieval of a membrane protein from the Golgi to the ER in yeast. J. Cell Biol. 127: 653–665.
- GOLDSTEIN, Å., and J. O. LAMPEN, 1975 β-D-Fructofuranoside fructohydrolase from yeast. Methods Enzymol. 42C: 504–511.
- GRANOT, D., and M. SNYDER, 1993 Carbon source induces growth of stationary phase yeast cells, independent of carbon source metabolism. Yeast 9: 465-479.
- GUARENTE, L., 1983 Yeast promoters and *lacZ* fusions designed to study expression of cloned genes in yeast. Methods Enzymol. 101: 181-191.
- ITO, H., Y. FUKUDA, K. MURATA and A. KIMURA, 1983 Transformation of intact yeast cells treated with alkali cations. J. Bacteriol. 153: 163-168.
- JACKSON, M.R., T. NILSSON and P.A. PETERSON, 1990 Identification of a consensus motif for retention of transmembrane proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum. EMBO J. 9: 3153-3162.
- JIANG, R., and M. CARLSON, 1996 Glucose regulates protein interac-

tions within the SNF1 protein kinase complex. Genes Dev. 10: 3105-3115.

- JOHNSON, A. D., and I. HERSKOWITZ, 1985 A repressor (MATα2 product) and its operator control expression of a set of cell type specific genes in yeast. Cell 42: 237–247.
 JOHNSTON, M., and M. CARLSON, 1992 Regulation of carbon and
- JOHNSTON, M., and M. CARLSON, 1992 Regulation of carbon and phosphate utilization, pp. 193–281 in *The Molecular and Cellular Biology of the Yeast Saccharomyces: Gene Expression*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- KELEHER, C. A., M. J. REDD, J. SCHULTZ, M. CARLSON and A. D. JOHN-SON, 1992 Ssn6-Tup1 is a general repressor of transcription in yeast. Cell 68: 708–719.
- KO, C. H., H. LIANG and R. F. GABER, 1993 Roles of multiple glucose transporters in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 638– 648.
- LIANG, H., and R. F. GABER, 1996 A novel signal transduction pathway in Saccharomyces cerevisiae defined by Snf3-regulated expression of HXT6. Mol. Biol. Cell 7: 1953-1966.
- LUTFIYYA, L., and M. JOHNSTON, 1996 Two zinc-finger-containing repressors are responsible for glucose repression of SUC2 expression. Mol. Cell. Biol. 16: 4790-4797.
- MARSHALL-CARLSON, L., L. NEIGEBORN, D. COONS, L. BISSON and M. CARLSON, 1991 Dominant and recessive suppressors that restore glucose transport in a yeast *snf3* mutant. Genetics **128**: 505–512.
- MYERS, A. M., A. TZAGOLOFF, D. M. KINNEY and C. J. LUSTY, 1986 Yeast shuttle and integrative vectors with multiple cloning sites suitable for construction of *lacZ* fusions. Gene 45: 299–310.
- NEHLIN, J. O., and H. RONNE, 1990 Yeast MIG1 repressor is related to the mammalian early growth response and Wilms' tumour finger proteins. EMBO J. 9: 2891–2898.
- NEHLIN, J. O., M. CARLBERG and H. RONNE, 1991 Control of yeast GAL genes by MIG1 repressor: a transcriptional cascade in the glucose response. EMBO J. 10: 3373–3377.
- NEIGEBORN, L., and M. CARLSON, 1987 Mutations causing constitutive invertase synthesis in yeast: genetic interactions with *snf* mutations. Genetics 115: 247–253.
- NILSSON, T., M. JACKSON and P.A. PETERSON, 1989 Short cytoplasmic sequences serve as retention signals for transmembrane proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum. Cell 58: 707-718.
- ÖZCAN, S., and M. JOHNSTON, 1995 Three different regulatory mechanisms enable yeast hexose transporter (*HXT*) genes to be induced by different levels of glucose. Mol. Cell. Biol. 15: 1564– 1572.
- ÖZCAN, S., and M. JOHNSTON, 1996 Two different repressors collaborate to restrict expression of the yeast glucose transporter genes *HXT2* and *HXT4* to low levels of glucose. Mol. Cell. Biol. 16: 5536-5545.
- ÖZCAN, S., J. DOVER, A. G. ROSENWALD, S. WÖLFEL and M. JOHNSTON, 1996 Two glucose transporters in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are glucose sensors that generate a signal for induction of gene expression. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **93**: 12428-12432.
- RONNE, H., 1995 Glucose repression in fungi. Trends Genet. 11: 12-17.
- ROSE, M. D., P. NOVICK, J. H. THOMAS, D. BOTSTEIN and G. R. FINK, 1987 A Saccharomyces cerevisiae genomic plasmid bank based on a centromere-containing shuttle vector. Gene 60: 237–243.
- ROSE, M. D., F. WINSTON and P. HIETER, 1990 Methods in Yeast Genetics, A Laboratory Course Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.

SAKAI, A., Y. SHIMIZU and F. HISHINUMA, 1988 Isolation and charac-

terization of mutants which show an oversecretion phenotype in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics **119**: 499-506.

- SAROKIN, L., and M. CARLSON, 1985 Upstream region of the SUC2 gene confers regulated expression to a heterologous gene in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 5: 2521-2526.
- SCHULLER, H.-J., and K.-D. ENTIAN, 1987 Isolation and expression analysis of two yeast regulatory genes involved in the derepression of glucose-repressible enzymes. Mol. Gen. Genet. 209: 366– 373.
- SCHULLER, H.-J., and K.-D. ENTIAN, 1988 Molecular characterization of yeast regulatory gene CAT3 necessary for glucose derepression and nuclear localization of its product. Gene 67: 247–257.
- SIERKSTRA, L. N., H. H. SILLJE, J. M. VERBAKEL and C. T. VERRIPS, 1993 The glucose-6-phosphate-isomerase reaction is essential for normal glucose repression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Eur. J. Biochem. 214: 121–127.
- SIKORSKI, R. S., and P. HIETER, 1989 A system of shuttle vectors and yeast host strains designed for efficient manipulation of DNA in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 122: 19–27.
- TANAKA, K., K. MATSUMOTO and A. TOH-E, 1989 IRA1, an inhibitory regulator of the RAS-cyclic AMP pathway in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9: 757–768.
- THOMPSON-JAEGER, S., J. FRANCOIS, J. P. GAUGHRAN and K. TATCHELL, 1991 Deletion of SNF1 affects the nutrient response of yeast and resembles mutations which activate the adenylate cyclase pathway. Genetics 129: 697–706.
- TOKIWA, G., M. TYERS, T. VOLPE and B. FUTCHER, 1994 Inhibition of G1 cyclin activity by the Ras/cAMP pathway in yeast. Nature **371**: 342–345.
- TRUMBLY, R. J., 1986 Isolation of Saccharomyces cerevisiae mutants constitutive for invertase synthesis. J. Bacteriol. 166: 1123–1127.
- TRUMBLY, R. J., 1992 Glucose repression in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Microbiol. 6: 15-21.
- TU, J., and M. CARLSON, 1994 The GLC7 type 1 protein phosphatase is required for glucose repression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 14: 6789-6796.
- TU, J., and M. CARLSON, 1995 REG1 binds to protein phosphatase type 1 and regulates glucose repression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. EMBO J. 14: 5939-5946.
- VALLIER, L. G., D. COONS, L. F. BISSON and M. CARLSON, 1994 Altered regulatory responses to glucose are associated with a glucose transport defect in grr1 mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 136: 1279-1285.
- WEST, R. W. JR., R. R. YOCUM and M. PTASHNE, 1984 Saccharomyces cerevisiae GAL1-GAL10 divergent promoter region: location and function of the upstream activating sequence UAS_G. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4: 2467–2478.
- WILSON, W. A., S. A. HAWLEY and D. G. HARDIE, 1996 Glucose repression/derepression in budding yeast: SNF1 protein kinase is activated by phosphorylation under derepressing conditions, and this correlates with a high AMP:ATP ratio. Curr. Biol. 6: 1426– 1434.
- WINSTON, F., and M. CARLSON, 1992 Yeast SNF/SWI transcriptional activators and the SPT/SIN chromatin connection. Trends Genet. 8: 387-391.
- WOODS, A., M. R. MUNDAY, J. SCOTT, X. YANG, M. CARLSON et al., 1994 Yeast SNF1 is functionally related to mammalian AMP-activated protein kinase and regulates acetyl-CoA carboxylase in vivo. J. Biol. Chem. 269: 19509–19516.
- ZIMMERMANN, F. K., and I. SCHEEL, 1977 Mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae resistant to carbon catabolite repression. Mol. Gen. Genet. 154: 75-82.

Communicating editor: M. JOHNSTON

566