VARIATION OF SPONTANEOUS OCCURRENCE RATES OF CHROMOSOMAL ABERRATIONS IN THE SECOND CHROMOSOMES OF DROSOPHILA MELANOGASTER¹

OSAMU YAMAGUCHI² AND TERUMI MUKAI

Department of Genetics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27607

Manuscript received March 21, 1974

ABSTRACT

After accumulating mutations by the aid of marked inversions, spontaneous occurrence rates of chromosome aberrations were estimated for 1148 chromosome lines that originated from five stem line second chromosomes of Drosophila melanogaster. In chromosome lines originating from three stem chromosomes (CH, PQ, and RT), mutations were accumulated for 7550, 7252, and 7256 chromosome generations, respectively, but no structural change was detected. For the chromosome lines that originated from the other two stem chromosomes, the situation was different: Twenty aberrations (19 paracentric inversions and 1 translocation between the second and the third chromosomes) during 45990 chromosome generations took place in the 500 chromosome lines derived from stem line chromosome (AW), and 92 aberrations (83 paracentric inversions, 6 pericentric inversions, 2 translocations between the second and the third chromosomes and 1 transposition) arose during 45006 chromosome generations in the 500 chromosome lines derived from stem line chromosome (JH). For the AW group the occurrence rate becomes 0.00043 per chromosome per generation for all aberrations and 0.00041 for inversions. For the JH group the corresponding rates are 0.00204 and 0.00198, respectively.—A non-random distribution of the breakpoints on the salivary gland chromosome was observed and the breakpoints were concentrated in the regions 26, 29, 33, and 34.-The cytoplasms and the chromosomes (other than the second chromosomes) were made approximately uniform throughout the experiments. Thus, this remarkable variability in the occurrence rate is most probably due to the differences in one or more chromosomal elements on the original five stem chromosomes. The mutable chromosomes (AW and JH) appear to carry a kind of mutator factor such as hi (Ives 1950).

 M^{ORE} than two decades have passed since HINTON, IVES and EVANS (1952) reported that the mutator factor *hi* (IVES 1950) induced chromosomal aberrations, especially inversions, in *D. melanogaster*. Recently, CHIGUSA, METTLER and MUKAI (1969) have predicted the existence of a kind of mutator in the Raleigh, North Carolina population, which may not be geographically isolated to a great extent from the Florida population where the *hi* gene was originally

¹ Paper number 4297 of the Journal Series of the North Carolina State University Agricultural Experiment Station, Raleigh, North Carolina. This investigation was supported by NIH research grant number GM11546 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences.

² Present address: Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Setagaya-Ku, Tokyo, Japan.

discovered (Ives 1950). The bases of the above prediction were as follows: (1) the magnitudes of the lethal and detrimental loads are, respectively, greater than those in the northern population (e.g., Madison, Wisconsin; cf. GREENBERG and CROW 1960), and (2) an appreciable frequency of inversions including "unique" ones were found (METTLER, CHIGUSA and MUKAI 1974). In fact, unique inversions were observed in the 1968, 1969, and 1970 populations (MUKAI and YAMAGUCHI 1974). WOODWARD (1971) detected several unique inversions in the second chromosome lines, which were established and used by CHIGUSA, METTLER and MUKAI (1969). These lines had been inversion-free and were maintained in the stock room for more than one year.

Thus, two questions were raised: (1) Were these newly arisen "unique" inversions induced by a kind of mutator or is the spontaneous occurrence rate of inversions generally high? Indeed, IVES (1950) concluded that mutator genes are probably the major cause of spontaneous gene mutations and chromosomal rearrangements in natural populations. (2) If these new inversions were due to the mutator gene, would the mutators exist only in a restricted geographical area or over a wide area? Also what is the mechanism of the maintenance of mutator factors of this type which cause deleterious mutations including chromosome aberrations? Fortunately, we had appropriate materials for examining some of the above questions: 1148 second chromosome lines which originated from five stem chromosomes and were maintained at a minimum pressure of natural selection for more than 90 generations. The salivary gland chromosomes of these chromosome lines were examined after making crosses to a line with the standard gene arrangement. The results are reported in this short article.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of five stem second chromosomes (CH, PQ, RT, AW, and JH in abbreviations) of Drosophila melanogaster were utilized in the present experiment. The origin of three of these stem chromosomes (CH, PQ, and RT) and the procedure for accumulating mutations were described in detail by MUKAI et al. (1972) but will be described briefly here.

CH chromosome: This was extracted from a Madison, Wisconsin population in 1965 by Dr. R. G. TEMIN. A single $C_{\gamma}/+$ male from the cross between C-160 $[In(2LR)SM1(C_{\gamma})/$ $In(2LR)bw^{v_1}(Pm)$; the genetic background is isogenic] female and an isogenic wild-type male (CH chromosome) which was near normal in viability was chosen, and mated to several C_{γ}/Pm females (C-160). Fifty Pm/+ (or if necessary $C\gamma/+$) males of the offspring were individually mated to 3 C_{γ}/Pm females to establish 25 chromosome lines numbered C-1, C2, ..., C-25 (low density subgroup). The remaining 25 males were individually mated to 10 Cy/Pm females, and 25 more lines were established: H-1, H-2, . . . , H-25 (high density subgroup). In each C line a single Pm/+ male was chosen in each generation and mated to 3 $C\gamma/Pm$ females. This process was repeated for 150 generations. The wild-type second chromosome in every line was descended from that of the original $C_{\gamma}/+$ male: therefore, there was no opportunity for crossing over since this chromosome was always kept heterozygous with a Pm chromosome in males. Since a single male was used in each generation and the chromosome was never allowed to become homozygous, there was minimum opportunity for selection. In each H line, 10 Pm/+males (if necessary, some $C\gamma$ + males) were mated to 10 $C\gamma$ / Pm females per vial in each of the ensuing generations. The gene arrangement of this original chromosome was determined later to have been the standard type (BRIDGES 1935).

PQ and RT chromosomes: These were derived from the same population as CH, and allowed to accumulate mutations in the same way as described above for about 150 generations. P and Rlines (each subgroup consisted of 25 chromosome lines) were maintained in the same manner as C. Q and T were maintained in the same manner as H. The original PQ chromosome had a polymorphic inversion [In(2R)NS] (cf. LINDSLEY and GRELL 1967) and the RT had a standard gene arrangement.

The genetic background (X, third and fourth chromosomes) of C-160 was originally isogenic (cf. MUKAI 1964) and originated from an Erie, Pennsylvania population. The cytoplasm was from stock H-41 of Dr. A. B. BURDICK (cf. MUKAI and BURDICK 1959). Thus, the genetic background of C, H, P, Q, R, and T lines, with the advances in generation numbers, essentially became that of C-160, an almost completely homozygous one.

AW chromosome: This was derived in 1967 from a cage population (W-1) which was initiated with stock W-1 of Dr. BURDICK (this stock was maintained in a half-pint milk bottle after being collected from an Erie, Pennsylvania population in 1954). A lethal-carrying chromosome was extracted from the cage population with a marked inversion technique using C-160. This chromosome is denoted as l(AW). A single male, which was a heterozygote for SM1(Cy) and l(AW), was mated to a single C-160 female. To establish the chromosome lines from the offspring, $C\gamma/l$ males and females were collected and many single-pair matings were made between them. This generation was counted as generation 1. In the offspring only $C\gamma/l$ heterozygotes can survive since C_{γ}/C_{γ} and l/l are lethal. Using these flies again, a single-pair mating was made in each line for maintaining it. The remainder were used for making as many additional singlepair matings as possible and the number of lines was increased. By generation 3, 500 lines were established (AW-1, AW-2, ..., AW-500), and each line was maintained by a single-pair mating and a five-pair mating. Whenever the single-pair mating was successful, its offspring were used to make a single-pair mating and a five-pair mating to produce the next generation. When the single-pair cross was not successful, the five-pair mating was used as a substitute source of flies to produce the next generation. These mating schemes are presented in Figure 1. It is noteworthy that using this method it is possible to find any contamination since, if it occurs, phenotypically wild-type flies appear. However, no contamination was seen, at least before the examination of the salivary gland chromosomes was initiated. The gene arrangement of the original l(AW)chromosome was the standard sequence. At generation 0 when this chromosome was extracted from the cage population, the genetic background was on an average 25% heterozygous for the chromosome set of C-160 (Erie, Pa. origin) and the original chromosome set including the l(AW)second chromosome, and the remaining 75% was homozygous for the genetic background of C-160. However, it is expected to approximate 100% homozygosity with the increase in generation number. An average of 12.5% of the genetic background was from the original flies sampled from the cage, but there is a variation between the chromosome lines.



FIGURE 1.—Mating scheme for the accumulation of mutations (AW).

1212

JH chromosome: This was derived at the same time as the AW chromosome from a cage population (W-1). This chromosome also carried a recessive lethal which was not allelic to l(AW) and was identified as l(JH). Five hundred lines $[C\gamma/l(JH) \times C\gamma/l(JH)]$ were established lished and maintained as in the case of AW and the lines were numbered from JH-1 to JH-500. The nature of the genetic backgrounds of these lines is the same as that of the AW lines. It should be noted that the cytoplasms of all the lines of C, H, P, Q, R, T, AW, and JH were the same, i.e., that of C-160. The genetic backgrounds of C, H, P, Q, R, and T are the same, i.e., that of C-160, and on the average 87.5% of the genetic backgrounds of AW and JH lines were the same as those of CH, PQ, and RT.

Throughout the experiment the lines were raised at 25° in 2×10 cm vials on commeal molasses-yeast culture media with two drops of heavy suspension of live yeast. For the salivary gland chromosome analysis, a single male was picked out from each line and mated to W-507 females (a stock carrying the standard sequence in all chromosomes). The l(AW) and l(JH)chromosomes carried the standard gene-arrangement.

Salivary gland preparations were made by dissecting the third instar larvae of the crosses and by staining with 1% acetic-lactic orcein.

RESULTS

Occurrence rates of chromosome aberrations: The types of chromosome aberrations and their frequencies for each original stem chromosome are presented in Table 1. As the table shows, no chromosome aberration was detected in the chromosomes which were extracted from the Madison. Wisconsin population. In this case, the total number of chromosome generations is 22058. On the contrary, appreciable frequencies of chromosome aberrations were detected in the AW and JH chromosome lines. In the AW lines, a total of 19 paracentric inversions and 1 translocation between the second and third chromosomes were discovered. The total number of chromosome generations is 45990. In the JH lines, a total of 89 inversions (83 paracentrics and 6 pericentrics), 2 translocations between the second and third chromosomes and 1 transposition were detected. The total number of chromosome generations is 45006. The rates of all types of aberrations are 0.00043 per second chromosome per generation for the AW lines and 0.00204per second chromosome per generation for the JH lines. These rates are significantly larger than those for the CH, PQ, and RT lines. The rates of inversions are 0.00041 for the former and 0.00198 for the latter.

Chromosomes:	CH	PQ	RT	AW	JH
No. of lines	50	49	49	500	500
No. of chromosome generations	7550	7252	7256	45990	45006
No. of inversions	0	0	0	19(0)	89(6)
No. of translocations	0	0	0	1	2
No. of transpositions	0	0	0	0	1
Rate of inversions*	0	0	0	0.00041	0.00198
Rate of aberrations*	0	0	0	0.00043	0.00204

TABLE 1

Occurrence rates of spontaneous chromosome aberrations in the five stem second chromosomes

The figures in parentheses indicate the numbers of pericentric inversions, and are included in the numbers outside the parentheses. * Per second chromosome per generation.

TABLE 2

List of newly arisen chromosomal aberrations

Designation	Breakpoints	Designation	Breakpoints	Designation	Breakpoints		
Paracentric inversion							
In(2L)AWI1	22D;30B	In(2L)JHI21	26A;31D	In(2L)JH160	31C;36D		
In(2L)AW12	22E,24C	In(2L)JH122	26A;32A	In(2L)JHI61	32B;40D		
In(2L)AWI3	23B;29F	In(2L)JH123	26A,32D	In(2L)JH162	32C;36C		
In(2L)AWI4	23E;26B	In(2L)JHI24	26A;33B	In(2L)JH163	32D;34A		
In(2L)AW15	25C;32C	In(2L)JH125	26A;34A	ln(2L)JH164§	32D;34A		
In(2L)AWI6	26A;30D	In(2L)JH126	26A;34A	In(2L)JH165	32D;36A		
In(2L)AWI7*	26A;31B	In(2L)JHI27	26A;35A	In(2L)JH166	33E;38D		
In(2L)AWI8	26A;33B	In(2L)JH128+	26A;35F	In(2L)JH167¶	34A;35B		
In(2L)AWI9	27D,29F	In(2L)JH129	26A;38D	In(2L)JH168	34A;39A		
In(2L)AWI10	29F;33B	In(2L)JH130	26B;28D	In(2L)JH169	34D;38F		
In(2L)AWI11	33A;37B	In(2L)JHI31	26B;29C	In(2L)JHI70	34E;38E		
In(2L)TWI12	33B;35F	In(2L)JHI32	26E;29B	In(2L)JHI71	35A;40D		
In(2L)AWI13	34A;36A	In(2L)JH133	26F;32D	In(2L)JHI72	35F;39E		
In(2L)AWI14	35B;39E	In(2L)JHI34	27B;27C	In(2R)JH11	42C;47F		
In(2R)AWI1	42F;50C	In(2L)JH135	27B;29C	In(2R)JH12	46C;48F		
In(2R)AWI2	42F;50E	In(2L)JH136	27C;34C	In(2R)JHI3	50C;57C		
In(2R)AWI3	43A;45D	In(2L)JH137‡	27D;28F	In(2R)JHI4	51B;59A		
In(2R)AWI4	50A;60B	In(2L)JHI38	27D;29D	In(2R)JH15	51E;52C		
In(2R)AWI5	57C;59D	In(2L)JHI39	27D;34C	In(2R)JH16	52A;56C		
In(2L)JHI1	21C;26F	In(2L)JHI40	28B;30A	In(2R)JH17	54D;59C		
In(2L)JH12	22C;26A	In(2L)JHI41	28B;34D	In(2R)JH18	54E;59D		
In(2L)JHI3	22F;26A	In(2L)JHI42	28C;31E	In(2R)JH19	56A;57B		
In(2L)JHI4	22F;26A	In(2L)JHI43	28D;30B	In(2R)JHI10	57B;59D		
In(2L)JH15	24A;29F	In(2L)JHI44	29B;34D	In(2R)JH111	57 F ;59D		
In(2L)JH16	24D;26B	In(2L)JHI45	29C;34A	Device state in the			
In(2L)JHI7	25E;26B	In(2L)JH146	29D;34C	Pericentric inver	sion		
In(2L)JHI8	26A;27C	In(2L)JHI47	29E;30F	In(2LR)JHI1	22F;60A		
In(2L)JHI9	26A;27D	In(2L)JHI48	29E;35C	In(2LR)JH12	24D;56F		
In(2L)JHI10	26A;27E	In(2L)JH149	29E;35F	In(2LR)JHI3	26A;56D		
In(2L)JHI11	26A;28B	In(2L)JH150	29F;34A	In(2LR)JHI4	26A;59D		
In(2L)JHI12	26A;28F	In(2L)JHI52	30A;36B	In(2LR)JH15	29B;50B		
In(2L)JHI13	26A;29B	In(2L)JH152	30B;34A	In(2LR)JHI6	33B;60B		
In(2L)JHI14	26A;29C	In(2L)JH153	30B;38A	Translocation			
In(2L)JHI15	26A;29D	In(2L)JHI54	30B;39E	$\overline{T(2\cdot3)AWT1}$	29F:94B		
In(2L)JH116	26A;29E	In(2L)JHI55	30B;39F	T(2:3)IHT1	34C:96F		
In(2L)JHI17	26A;29E	In(2L)JHI56	30C;36D	T(2:3) <i>IHT</i> 2	56F:97B		
In(2L)JHI18	26A;29F	In(2L)JHI57	31B;34A	- 1 29 7 8 2 2 2	001,011		
In(2L)JHI19	26A,30D	In(2L)JHI58	31B;34D	Transposition			
In(2L)JH120	26A;31B	In(2L)JHI59	31B;38E	Tp(2L)JHTP1	26A;29B;34D		

* Overlapped † Overlapped ‡ Overlapped § Overlapped ¶ Overlapped In(2L)AWI8. In(2L)JH162. In(2L)JH138. In(2L)JH126.

In(2L)JH164.

Under the assumptions that (1) chromosome or chromatid breaks occur on the chromosomes according to a Poisson distribution and (2) inversions (or transpositions) occur whenever more than 1 (or 2) breaks occur in the same generation, the average frequency of breaks per chromosome per generation (μ) can be obtained from the following relationship:

$$1 - e^{-\mu} (1 + \mu) = p$$

where p is the occurrence rate of inversions and transpositions per chromosome per generation. Since, in assumption (2), the ratio of p to μ is assumed to be much larger than the actual value, the estimate μ is a minimum value. From Table 1, p values become 0.00041 for the AW group and 0.00200 for the JH group, respectively. Accordingly, μ values become 0.029 for the AW and 0.064 for the JH group, respectively.

From the above results, it can be seen that there is a large amount of variability between stem chromosomes with respect to the rates of chromosome aberrations. Thus, it appears meaningless to calculate an average inversion occurrence rate in a population using a few original chromosomes.

Characteristics of new chromosome aberrations: Newly arisen chromosome aberrations are tabulated in Table 2 in terms suggested by BRIDGES and BREHME (cf. LINDSLEY and GRELL 1967). Included were 102 paracentric inversions, 6 pericentric inversions, 3 reciprocal translocations between the second and third chromosomes, and 1 transposition. A transposition can be considered to have been produced by three breakpoints. Tp(2L)JHTP1 had breakages at 26A, 29B, and 34D on the salivary gland chromosomes. The segment between 26A and 29B is inserted into the breakage at 34D. Some of the typical chromosomal aberrations are presented in Figure 2. The characteristics of the newly arisen chromosome aberrations can be summarized as follows: (1) None are identical to any previously reported chromosomal aberrations. (2) These aberrations are transmissible. Indeed, at the time of final karyotype analyses, at least 5 larvae were examined for the salivary gland chromosomes in each line, which descended from a single male parent $(C\gamma/l)$. The same chromosome aberrations were observed in the preparations from all larvae examined. (3) The distributions of the breakpoints are not random on the second chromosome: There were 39 breakpoints in the AW group and 183 in the JH group. Their distribution patterns on the salivary gland chromosome map are shown in Figure 3. The locations of the breakpoints were made on numbered segments of the salivary gland chromosome (left arm: 21-40, and right arm: 41-60). Although each segment is further subdivided by letters, this was not considered in the present work. It is obvious, especially in the JH chromosome, that the distribution of the breakpoints is not at random on the chromosome. The numbers of the breakpoints in the left and right arms are shown in Table 3. A clear difference can be seen between the two arms $(AW:\chi^2_{df=1} = 9.26, \ 0.001 < P < 0.005; \ JH:\chi^2_{df=1} = 85.38, \ P \ll 0.001)$ in each case. The difference in distribution patterns of the breakpoints between the AW and JH chromosomes was tested by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov non-parametric tests, although there was a great difference in the total frequencies of



FIGURE 2.—Salivary gland chromosomes of individuals heterozygous for standard sequence and:

In(2L)AWI5 (A) In(2R)AWI4 (B) In(2LR)JHI1 (C) and T(2;3)JHT2 (D)

breakpoints between the two stem chromosomes. However, a significant difference was not detected.

In connection with a hypothesis proposed by NOVITSKI (1946) concerning the induction of "mimic" inversions by pre-existing inversions, it should be noted here that the chromosomal regions with higher frequencies of breaks (regions 26, 29, 33, and 34) do not always overlap the regions where breaks occurred in the

TABLE 3

The numbers of breakpoints in the left and right arms in the AW and JH chromosomes

Chromosome	Left arm	Right arm	
 AW	29(1)	10	
JH	154(1)	29(1)	

The figures within parentheses are due to translocation.

The figures outside the parentheses include the numbers within the parentheses.



FIGURE 3.—Distribution of the breakpoints on the AW and JH chromosomes.

formation of $In(2LR)SM1(C\gamma)$ (22A, 22D, 35F, 42A, 58A, and 60B which are shown using arrows in Figure 3). A detailed consideration will be made in the DISCUSSION.

DISCUSSION

Characteristics of the mutator factor: Since there is much variation in occurrence rates of chromosomal aberrations among the five stem chromosomes studied (in fact, no aberration occurred in the CH, PQ, and RT chromosome groups), it is reasonable to assume mutator factors in the AW and JH lines. At present, it is not known whether the mutators in the AW and JH lines are the same or not. However, we tentatively consider that they are the same, since the distribution patterns of the breakpoints in the chromosomes are not significantly different from each other even though both of them showed deviations from random distributions. Their absolute frequencies of breakages were different. This might be due to different modifiers of the mutator factor (cf. MINAMORI and SUGIMOTO 1973). This mutator factor is not a cytoplasm-specific substance since some stem chromosomes had high frequencies of chromosome aberrations but the others did not have any, in spite of the fact that their cytoplasms were originally the same.

There is one alternative hypothesis to the above explanation for the variability in the occurrence rate of chromosome aberrations: The hypothesis states that, in general, chromosome or chromatid breaks occur at a much higher frequency in females than in males, and that this is the cause for the variability of occurrence observed in the present experiment. This hypothesis might seem tenable because, in the AW and JH chromosome lines, the second chromosomes tested were transferred to the next generation through males or females, while, in the CH, PQ, and RT lines, all the second chromosomes tested were transferred to the next generation only through males. This hypothesis will, however, be rejected later.

The mutator factor is most probably located in the second chromosome as mu-F (DEMEREC 1937) and hi (IVES 1950). In fact, CARDELLINO and MUKAI (1975) found a mutator factor located in the second chromosome in materials extracted from a Raleigh, North Carolina population. However, there is an extremely small chance that it is located in the third chromosome, since, as indicated above, on an average 12.5% of the third chromosomes of the AW and JH chromosome lines were different from those of C-160 or the genetic background of the CH, PQ, and RT chromosome lines. This portion of the third chromosomes might have had a mutator such as found by GREEN (1970) and by KIDWELL, KIDWELL and NEI (1973), but this probability is negligible.

From the present results, it was difficult to determine whether these chromosome aberrations occurred in males, in females, or in both. Recently, HIRAIZUMI (1971) reported male recombination due to a factor located in the second chromosome. In fact, male recombinations were first reported by MORIWAKI (1937) and KIKKAWA (1937) in D. ananassae. In order to examine whether or not inversions occur in males and in order to test the similarity of the present mutator to the male recombination factor of HIRAIZUMI (1971), a small scale experiment was carried out. Male recombination in 6 inversion-free lines of the 500 JH lines was tested. A single male $\left\lceil C\gamma/l(JH) \right\rceil$ was sampled from each of the six JH lines and individually mated to homozygous cn bw females (supplied by Dr. Y. HIRAIZUMI). In each line, a single F_1 male [cn bw/l(JH)]progeny was backcrossed to homozygous *cn bw* females, and an average of ten replications (9-11) was made. Male recombination was detected in only one out of the 60 lines. In this cross, the following segregation took place: phenotypically white $(cn \ bw)$: 164; phenotypically wild: 138; and phenotypically brown: 13. Three females out of these 13 brown individuals were individually mated to homozygous *cn bw* males and phenotypically cinnabar flies and brown flies segregated in the offspring in each cross. Thus, the genotypes of parental flies were proved to be $cn \ bw/+ \ bw$, and male recombination was demonstrated. The three brown males carrying possible recombinant chromosomes were all sterile. From the vial, in which male recombination was demonstrated, 10 cn bw/++male flies were taken and individually crossed to homozygous *cn bw* females. In one cross there appeared a single mosaic fly whose eye color was brown in one eye and was red (or wild-type) in the other. This may indicate that chromatid breakage and somatic (mitotic) crossing over occurred in the process of development after fertilization, although the possibility of somatic mutation cannot be ruled out. These experimental results can be summarized as follows: (1) Male recombination occurred at a very low frequency. This can be understood in light of the fact that the chromosome aberration breaks occurred at a much higher frequency in the left arm than in the right arm and *cn* and *bw* are located in the

right arm. (2) Unequal crossing over might have occurred (male recombinants were sterile). (3) Complementary gametes (cn +) either were not produced or were not viable. (4) Male recombination can occur at least at a mitotic stage (since one mosaic appeared) or its occurrence is possible at a premeiotic stage. (2) and (3) strongly suggest that breakages and reunions of the chromatids occurred, and (2), (3), and (4) show that the mechanism of male recombination is different from that of ordinary female recombination. These results are similar, but not identical, to those reported by HIRAIZUMI et al. (1973) and clearly show that, at least in males, chromatid breakages occur which can cause inversions (at this moment, we do not know whether or not breakages occur in females). Therefore, the above 'hypothesis' that the difference in the occurrence of inversions between the group of CH, PQ, and RT, and the group of AW and JH is due to the fact that the chromosome or chromatid breaks occur only in females can be rejected. The above experimental results also suggest a similarity of the present mutator to HIRAIZUMI's (1971) male recombination factor. From the finding that a chromatid break occurred at a stage of mitosis (i.e., a mosaic appeared), it may be tentatively concluded that inversions occurred at a premeiotic stage, but the present experimental results cannot exclude the possibility of the occurrence at a stage of meiosis.

Locations of breakpoints: HINTON, IVES and EVANS (1952) reported that the breakpoints induced in the X chromosomes by the gene hi were more frequently located in the proximal heterochromatic region (region 20), and this phenomenon coincided with the breakpoints induced by X-rays (KAUFMAN 1939). In the present experiment, the breaks occurred most frequently in the regions 26, 29, and 34 in the JH group and in regions 26, 29, and 33 in the AW group; breaks did not occur frequently in the proximal heterochromatic region. We do not know whether regions 26, 29, 33, and 34 contain much heterochromatin.

On the basis of the location of breakpoints of inversions in D. pseudoobscura and D. athabasca, Novitski (1946) proposed a hypothesis that an original inversion is able to induce "mimic" inversions in the homologous chromosome with the standard gene arrangement owing to their structural heterozygosity. That is to say, in the meiotic division the stretched asynaptic portions of the homologous chromosomes are subject to mechanical stress and tend to break easily. If breaks occur in two points in the same strand of the stretched region of the normal chromosome, and their reunions take place in the new combination between the break ends, a new inversion will be formed. The probability of this type of reunion is high because of the close proximity of the two breaks in the loop configuration. As presented in Figure 3, the breakpoints in $In(2LR)SM1(C\gamma)$ are not always located in the regions where the breaks occurred most frequently in the AW and JH groups. Thus, the mechanisms of the chromosome or chromatid break due to the present mutator factor is different from that suggested by NOVIT-SKI (1946). Incidentally, a hypothesis similar to the above was proposed by THOMPSON (1960) with respect to the induction of recessive lethals. However, WATANABE and OSHIMA'S (1966) data for newly arisen recessive lethals (cf.

MUKAI 1964) does not support this hypothesis. (See also KIDWELL, KIDWELL and NEI 1973).

Comparison with other mutators: In the present experiment, we investigated chromosomal aberrations and male recombination. Insofar as chromosome breaks occur at a high rate, it is naturally expected that recessive lethal mutations are also induced by the present mutator factor.

Recently, factors similar to the present mutator factor were detected in natural populations (MINAMORI and SUGIMOTO 1973 and earlier; HIRAIZUMI 1971; CARDELLINO and MUKAI 1975; VOELKER 1974) and in laboratory stocks of D. melanogaster (GREEN 1970; KIDWELL, KIDWELL and NEI 1973). MINAMORI and Ito (1971) hypothesized that extrachromosomal elements (like delta detected by them) transcribed by a chromosomal gene (or genes) or by an integrated agent cause mutations, and in such a case chromosomal elements may be considered as the mutators. They considered that high mutabilities observed by DEMEREC (1937), by NEEL (1942), and by Ives (1950) appear to be due to such extrachromosomal elements as described above. KIDWELL, KIDWELL and NEI (1973) hypothesized a controlling element such as that described by McClintock (1951) in corn and by GREEN (1967) in D. melanogaster. They have assumed transposability of the controlling element in order to explain that lethal mutations occurred at a restricted number of loci. There is some possibility that mutations or chromosome breaks were caused by virus infections (cf. MAMPELL 1946).

It appears that our mutator factor may be different from those of MINAMORI and ITO (1971), of HIRAIZUMI (1971) and of VOELKER (1974) in that the distribution of breakpoints in the present experiment is different from that of recessive lethals (MINAMORI and ITO 1971) and of the breakpoints in male recombination (HIRAIZUMI et al. 1973 and VOELKER 1974). But this speculation is not valid if the distributions of breakpoints in the chromosomes change following the transposition of the mutator factors (assuming that transposition occurs). The mutator factor of GREEN (1970) is definitely different from the present one in that it is located in the third chromosomes and does not cause chromosome breaks in males. At present, we do not know at all about the mechanism of the induction of chromosome breaks of our mutator.

Mutators in natural populations: From the present experimental results, it is clearly speculated that some of the chromosomes in natural populations carry mutator factors. The unique inversions detected in the Raleigh population (METTLER, CHIGUSA and MUKAI (1974); MUKAI and YAMAGUCHI 1974) were most probably induced by mutator factors. This is the answer to the first question raised in the introduction.

Recently, mutator factors or male recombination factors have been discovered several natural populations: In Pennsylvania (present experiment), Florida (VOELKER 1974), North Carolina (CARDELLINO and MUKAI 1975), Texas (HIRAZUMI 1971; SLATKO and HIRAZUMI 1973), and Japan (MINAMORI *et al.* 1970, although they later pointed it out as a mutator; MINAMORI and ITO 1971).

HIRAIZUMI (1971) reported that at least 20% of the second chromosomes in the Harlingen, Texas population carry male recombination factors.

These mutators or male recombination factors are harmful to the populations, because they increase the genetic load. In spite of this fact, they may have been maintained for a long time, at least since their detection in Florida populations by DEMEREC (1937) and IVES (1950). It is important to find the frequency of mutator-carrying chromosomes and the mechanisms of their maintenance over a wide area of populations in order to understand the population structure.

LITERATURE CITED

- BRIDGES, C. B., 1935 Salivary chromosome map with a key to the banding of the chromosomes of *D. melanogaster*. J. Heredity **26**: 60–64.
- CARDELLINO, R. A. and T. MUKAI, 1975 Mutator factors and genetic variance components for viability in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics (in press).
- CHIGUSA, S. I., L. E. METTLER and T. MUKAI, 1969 Characteristics of a southern population of Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 61: s10.
- DEMEREC, M., 1937 Frequency of spontaneous mutations in certain stocks of Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 22: 469–478.
- GREEN, M. M., 1967 The genetics of a mutable gene at the white locus of Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 56: 467-482. —, 1970 The genetics of a mutator gene in Drosophila melanogaster. Mutation Res. 10: 353-363.
- GREENBERG, R. and J. F. CROW, 1960 A comparison of the effect of lethal and detrimental chromosomes from Drosophila populations. Genetics 45: 1154-1168.
- HINTON, T., P. T. Ives and A. T. Evans, 1952 Changing the gene order and numbers in natural populations. Evolution 6: 19–28.
- HIRAIZUMI, Y., 1971 Spontaneous recombination in *Drosophila melanogaster* males. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S. **68**: 268–270.
- HIRAIZUMI, Y., B. SLATKO, C. LANGLEY and A. NILL, 1973 Recombination in *Drosophila melano*gaster male. Genetics **73**: 439–444.
- Ives, P. T., 1950 The importance of mutation rate genes in evolution. Evolution 4: 236-252.
- KAUFMAN, B. P., 1939 Induced chromosome rearrangements in *Drosophila melanogaster*. J. Heredity **30**: 179–190.
- KIDWELL, M. G., J. F. KIDWELL and M. NEI, 1973 A case of high rate of spontaneous mutations affecting viability in Drosophila. Genetics **75**: 133–153.
- KIKKAWA, H., 1937 Spontaneous crossing-over in the male of *Drosophila ananassae*. Zool. Mag. **49:** 159–160.
- LINDSLEY, D. L. and E. H. GRELL, 1967 Genetic variations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Carnegie Inst. Washington Publ. **627**.
- MAMPELL, K., 1946 Genic and non-genic transmission of mutator activity. Genetics **31**: 589–597.
- MCCLINTOCK, B., 1951 Chromosome organization and genetic expression. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 16: 13-47.
- METTLER, L. E., S. I. CHIGUSA and T. MUKAI, 1974 Chromosome variation in a local population of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Manuscript in preparation.

1220

- MINAMORI, S. and K. ITO, 1971 Extrachromosomal element delta in Drosophila melanogaster. VI. Induction of recurrent lethal mutations in definite regions of second chromosomes. Mutation Res. 13: 361–369.
- MINAMORI, S. and K. SUGIMOTO, 1973 Extrachromosomal element delta in Drosophila melanogaster. IX. Induction of delta-retaining chromosome lines by mutation and gene mapping. Genetics 74: 477-487.
- MINAMORI, S., N. FUJIOKA, K. ITO and M. IKEBUCHI, 1970 Extrachromosomal element delta in Drosophila melanogaster. IV. Variation and persistence of delta-associating second chromosomes in a natural population. Evolution 24: 735-744.
- MORIWARI, D., 1937 A high ratio of crossing over in *Drosophila ananassae*. Ztschr. indukt. Abstammungs- u. Vererbungslehre **74**: 17-23.
- MUKAI, T., 1964 The genetic structure of natural populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. I. Spontaneous mutation rate of polygenes controlling viability. Genetics **50**: 1–19.
- MUKAI, T. and A. B. BURDICK, 1959 Single gene heterosis associated with a second chromosome recessive lethal in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics **44**: 211–232.
- MUKAI, T. and O. YAMAGUCHI, 1974 The genetic structure of natural populations of *Drosophila* melanogaster. XI. Genetic variability in a local population. Genetics **76**: 339–366.
- MUKAI, T., S. I. CHIGUSA, L. E. METTLER and J. F. CROW, 1972 Mutation rate and dominance of genes affecting viability in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics **72**: 335–355.
- NEEL, J. V., 1942 'A study of a case of high mutation rate in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics **27**: 519–536.
- NOVITSKI, E., 1946 Chromosome variation in Drosophila athabasca. Genetics 31: 508-524.
- SLATKO, B. E. and Y. HIRAIZUMI, 1973 Mutation induction in the male recombination strains of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics **75**: 643–649.
- THOMPSON, P. E., 1960 Effects of some autosomal inversions on lethal mutation in *Drosophila* melanogaster. Genetics **45**: 1567–1580.
- VOELKER, R. A., 1974 The genetics and cytology of a mutator factor in *Drosophila melano*gaster. Mutation Res. 22: 265-276.
- WATANABE, T. K. and C. OSHIMA, 1966 Distribution of natural lethal genes on the second chromosome of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Japan, J. Genet. **41**: 367–378.
- WOODARD, D. L., 1971 The nature of unique and polymorphic inversions in experimental populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Unpublished M. S. Thesis, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina.

Corresponding editor: B. H. JUDD