DEFECTIVE KERNEL MUTANTS OF MAIZE 11. MORPHOLOGICAL AND EMBRYO CULTURE STUDIES

WILLIAM F. SHERIDAN

Department of *Biology, University* **of** *North Dakota, Grand Forks, N. D. 58202*

AND

M. *G.* NEUFFER

Department **of** *Agronomy, University* **of** *Missouri, Columbia, MO. 65211*

Manuscript received July 25,1979 Revised copy received April 21,1980

ABSTRACT

This report presents the initial results of our study *of* the immature kernel stage of 150 defective kernel maize mutants. They are single gene, recessive mutants that map throughout the gemme, defective in both endosperm and embryo development and, for the most part, lethal (NEUFFER and SHERIDAN 1980). All can be distinguished **on** immature ears, and 85% of them reveal a mutant phenotype within 11 to 17 days post-pollination. Most have immature kernels that are smaller and lighter in color than their normal counterparts. Forty of the mutants suffer from their defects early in kernel development and are blocked in embryogenesis before their primordia differentiate, or, if primordia are formed, they are unable to germinate when cultured as immature embryos or tested at maturity; a few begin embryo degeneration prior to the time that mutant kernels became visually distinguishable. The others express the associated lesion later in kernel development and form at least one leaf primordium by the time kernels are distinguishable and will germinate when cultured or tested at maturity. In most cases, on a fresh weight basis, the mutants have embryos that are more severely defective than the endosperm; their embryos usually are no more than one-half to two-thirds the size, and lag behind by one or two developmental stages. **in** comparison with embryos in normal kernels from the same ear. One hundred and two mutants were examined by culturing embryos on basal and enriched media; 21 simply enlarged or completely failed to grow on any of the media tested; and 81 produced shoots and roots **on** at least one medium. Many grew equally well on basal and enriched media; 16 grew at a faster rate on basal medium and 23 displayed a superior growth on enriched medium. **Among** the latter group, 10 may be auxotrophs. One of these mutants and another mutant isolated by E. H. Coe are proline-requiring mutants, allelic to *pro-1*. Considering their diversity of expression as evidenced by their differences in morphological appearance, degree **of** defectiveness and response to embryo culturing, we believe that they represent many different gene loci.

THIS report is concerned with the further characterization of 150 defective kernel mutants previously described by **NEUFFER** and **SHERIDAN** (1980). All mutants analyzed are of the type originally described by **JONES** (1920) and

GEU& 95 i *945-960* **August, 1980.**

~NGELSDORF (1923, 1926) in which both the endosperm and the embryo are severely affected. They are single gene, recessive mutants and the majority are lethal. Furthermore, the finding that the mutants occur throughout the maize genome, located on 17 chromosome arms that are subject to testing, indicates that many gene loci are represented **(NEUFFER** and **SHERIDAN** 1980).

A major goal of this project is to search for auxotrophic mutants. To do this, we have attempted to rescue lethal mutants by culturing their immature embryos. This requires that the immature mutant kernels be distinguishable and that their embryos reach a developmental stage suitable for placing into culture. This report concerns three questions relevant to this search, as well as our interest in the number of gene loci represented in the mutant collection and the nature of the defect in each mutant: (1) At what time during kernel development does the mutant condition first become apparent? (2) What are the morphological effects of the mutant condition, especially with regard to embryo development and size? (3) What are the responses of the mutant when attempts are made to rescue them from their lethal condition by placing immature embryos on nutrient media?

By answering the first question, through a search for segregating kernels on immature ears, it has been possible to determine the time of mutant expression for 150 mutants and to carry out morphological and embryo culture studies on 106 mutants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The induction and preliminary analysis of the mutants examined here were previously described **(NEUFFER** and **SHERIDAN** 1980).

For each mutant, a sample of normal appearing kernels was removed from mature, selfpollinated ears that contained mutant kernels and planted in the field. The resulting plants were self-pollinated, and ears were harvested beginning at 11 days post-pollination. When a segregating immature ear was identified, usually a few mutant kernels were immediately cut open and examined to see if an embryo was visible to the unaided eye. If an embryo was observed, the ear was saved for embryo culturing.

Whenever possible, data were gathered for the mutant embryos and kernels *of* an early segregating ear of each mutant that was used for embryo culturing. Often, this was from an ear at the earliest segregation age, but in many cases it was from an ear one to a few days older than the earliest segregation age. Usually 10 embryos were measured, weighed and examined to determine the average length, weight and range of embryo development. A developmental stage classification system based on that of ABBE and STEIN (1954) was used to record the stage of development **of** the mutants and normal embryos. Usually *10* mutant and 10 normal kernels were weighed to determine average fresh kernel weight.

Histological techniques: The techniques employed are essentially those of SASS (1968). Kernels were removed from an immature segregating ear, fixed for 1 week or longer in formalin:acetic acid:ethanol:water (10:5:60:25 v/v) dehydrated and embedded *via* tertiary butyl alcohol (TBA) in Paraplast Plus, taking care to extend the period in absolute TBA to *3* days or longer and using at least *3* changes of Paraplast Plus with at least 1 day in each change. The kernels were stained with eosin during the dehydration procedure to facilitate the casting *of* blocks and sectioning. Sections were cut longitudinally at a 15 micron thickness, mounted on gelatin subbed slides and stained in hematoxylin and safranin.

Embryo culture media and techniques: The basal medium contained the mineral salts of MURASHIGE and SKOOG (1962), **4%** sucrose, 0.8% agar and was adjusted to pH 5.8 prior to

sterilization by autoclaving. The enriched medium consisted of the basal medium plus the following additives in mg/l: (amino acids) glutamine **87.6,** arganine-HC1 **252.8,** proline **138,** glutamate **88.2,** asparagine **79.2,** threonine **142.8,** lysine-HC1 **219.6,** isoleucine 157.2, methionine **89.4,** aspartate **79.8,** phenylalanine **99.0,** tyrosine **108.6,** tryptophan **122.4,** serine **126.0,** glycine 90.0, cysteine **72.6,** alanine **106.8,** valine **140.4,** leucine **157.2,** histidine-HC1 **114.6;** (vitamins) biotin **1,** p-amino benzoic acid **1,** calcium pantothenate **1,** niacin **1,** pyridoxine **1,** riboflavin **1,** a-tocopherol acetate 1, thiamine-HCl **1.4;** (nucleic acid bases) adenine **IO,** guanine **IO,** thymine **10,** cytosine **IO,** uracil **10** and, in addition, inositol was included at **100** mg/l. In preparing the enriched medium, a solution containing the mineral salts, sucrose, tyrosine, nucleic acid bases, agar, inositol and thiamine (0.4 **mg/l** final concentration) was autoclaved and mixed with a solution of the other ingredients (amino acids and vitamins) that was sterilized by filtration through a *0.9* micron Nalgene filter unit. Some modifications of the basal and enriched media were prepared by omitting various constituents. The media were dispensed at 8 ml/tube into **20** X **150** mm disposable glass culture tubes in plastic disposable racks (KIM-RAK, Kimble Products No. **73600-20150).** The tubes and racks were used repeatedly.

In preparation for embryo culturing, the ears were stripped of their husks and silks and moved to a sterile transfer hood. After a 5-min submersion in **70%** ethanol, the ear was placed on the work surface, and the tops of the kernels were cut off with a sterile razor blade, The embryos were dissected with a sharpened tool having a double-edged, spear-shaped blade. The embryos were temporarily placed on sterile basal medium in a Petri dish and then transferred **to** culture tubes with the same dissecting tool. Ten or **15** mutant embryos were placed on each kind of media to be tested. Initially, **10** normal embryos obtained from the same ear as the mutant embryos were also cultured on the same media. In more recent tests, sets of 10 or **15** normal embryos were cultured on all media being used, but normal embryos were not cultured from every ear that was used as a source **of** mutant embryos.

Recording of phenotypic and growth data: In general, the culture tubes were incubated at **25"** with a **16-hr** light, 8-hr dark cycle prior to harvesting. When placed into culture, normal embryos and many mutant embryos germinated precociously and grew into plants, After **21** days, presence of roots and shoots with unfolded leaves, the color **of** leaves and the relative plant height were noted. Usually the fressh weights of the roots and shoots were recorded by the use of a Sartorius electronic balance model **3705,** coupled with a Hewlett Packard **97s** 1/0 calculator.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Timing of mutant expression: Most mutants became distinguishable from normal kernels at an early stage of development. The mutant endosperm generally began to lag behind its normal counterparts on a segregating ear at 11 to 17 days post-pollination, with 127 of the 150 mutants revealing a segregation pattern on immature ears during this **period;** 18 of the mutants became apparent as defective kernels during the period of 18 to 21 days post-pollination, while five mutants had later segregation ages (Table 1). These are conservative estimates since the mutants may express their mutant phenotype earlier than shown. The majority of the mutants are surprisingly uniform with regard to the early time and stage of kernel development when their defective condition is first manifested. **A** second, small group consists of those mutants revealing their mutant condition later in kernel development. For most mutants, an appearance of a visibly mutant endosperm phenotype coincides with the onset of storage product synthesis in the endosperm of normal kernels **(NELSON** 1978; **WILSON** 1978; **TSAI** 1979), while the time of appearance of a mutant embryo phenotype is earlier than that of the endosperm. Embryos were further behind

TABLE 1

	330D				
	931A				
	932				
	936A				
	948A				
	971				
	974A				
	1005A				
	1024A				
	1045				
	1054				
	1113A				
	1121				
	1130				
	1142A				
	1147A				
	1163				
	1167A				
	1177A				
	1208A				
	1225A				
	1239				
	1253B				
	1255B				
	1283				
	1286A				
	1287				
	1296A				
	1315A	749			
744	1316A	883A			
	747B 1339A	890A			
788	1369	1007A		918A	
	874A 1383	1112		1060A	
	874B 1385	1154A		1060B	
	888A 1387A 1155A			1145A	
912	1390A 1162			1156A	
933		1392A 1202A		1157	
1058	1399A 1295		740	1172B	
1089	1404	1330		928A 1310B	
1168A 1406		1380A	991	1313	
1311C 1410			1386A 1078B 1332		
1324A 1411		1391	1104B 1341		

Earliest age of ear expression of defective kernel mutants

TABLE 1 (Continued)

	627D	923	1379A 1415A 1394 1175 1348					1009				
		660C 1022	1381	1418				1395A 1180A 1373A 1122A				E1119A
	792							1092A 1384A 1419 1421 1294 1388 1176A 1196 1308A 211C E1053				
	873											1092B 1417 1429A 1425A 1319A 1414 1191 1210 1333B 925A E901A
	1076A 1331		1423					1431 1427A 1393A 1422 1309A 1299 1401			1096A E1241	
	1303	1409	1428					1435 1436A 1413 1430 1405A 1365 1426 1420				E628A
Ear Age- Days	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	$22 - 29$
Fre- quency	6	6	19	48	20	11	17	6	4	4	4	5

Earl!est age of ear expression of defectiue kernel mutants

Enumber is **shown** for mutants observed to segregate as defective kernels on ears of indicated age. Some mutants may have an earlier age of segregation than shown.

their normal counterparts than were the endosperms; how much earlier this timing is remains to be determined.

Phenotype *of immature* mutant kernels: The large group of mutants showing the defective condition at an early developmental stage are uniform in expression of mutant kernel phenotype on immature ears. Almost without exception, the mutant kernels differ from the normal kernels on the same ear in being smaller, lighter colored and more translucent in appearance (Figure **1).** Normal kernels are plump and light yellow at this stage of development. The endosperm of mutant kernels in this group is generally soft and of a fluid consistency. These general characteristics common to almost all of the early-expressing mutants

FIGURE l.-Mature **ear** (upper) and immature, 16 **days** postpollination ear (lower) **showing** segregation pattern for the mutant E931A. Note that the mutant kernels on the immature ear are easily distinguished **because** they are smaller, lighter in color and more translucent than the normal kernels. **Ears** of similar age and appearance were used for culturing immature embryos in most **cases.**

are apparently a result of their slower development. Mutant kernels of the laterexpressing type do not differ as dramatically from normal kernels in their appearance. They are closer in size and color to the normal kernels, firmer in texture, and more difficult to identify than the first group.

Some mutant kernels enlarged considerably, remained translucent and appeared swollen; when cut, these kernels show a fluid consistency and generally lack any solid endosperm material. This was termed a collapsed mature kernel phenotype. Other mutants were observed to remain smaller than the normal kernels, but they did become filled with solid endosperm material. Some of these never developed a yellow color or synthesized anthocyanin when in the appropriate genetic background and were the mutants with a colorless mature kernel phenotype. Some of these mutants developed pigmentation during kernel development and are the mutants classified as opaque, etched, or otherwise as mature kernels **(NEUFFER** and **SHERIDAN** 1980).

Phenotype of *the immature mutant embryos:* The size of **the** embryo was reduced by the mutant condition for most of the mutants. This is evident when the mutant and normal embryo weights from the same ear are compared (Table 2). The size reduction was more severe for the embryos than for the kernels. When the weight data for the mutant embryos (Table **2)** are compared to those for the mutant kernels (data available upon request), the average embryo weight of 91 mutants was 27% that of normal, while the corresponding value for kernels of the 98 mutants that were measured was 51% . Furthermore, while over half the mutants had an embryo weight less than 20% that of normal embryos, almost half of the mutants had a kernel weight at least 50% that of normal kernels from the same ear. Since most of the kernel weight can be attributed to the endosperm, it is evident that generally the immature embryo was more severely affected than was the endosperm.

Mutant kernels could be readily located for embryo culturing, not only because the mutant kernels were generally smaller and otherwise distinctive, but also because most of the mutants had embryos about one-half to two-thirds the Iength of their normal counterparts (data available upon request). In the vast majority of cases, mutant embryos could be readily distinguished from normal embryos on the basis of **size.**

Deuelopmental stczgc of immature mutant embryos: Mutant embryos were not only considerably smaller than normal embryos, but they were retarded in their rate of embryogenesis so that, generally, they lagged behind the normal embryos on the same ear by one or two developmental stages when examined at or near the time that the mutant kernels first showed the mutant phenotype. Of the mutants listed in Table 2 for which normal embryo stage is known, only two showed the same developmental stage in the mutant kernels **as** in the normal kernels. This result is not surprising since it was already shown that embryo development was generally more severely retarded than kernel (endosperm) development. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that **86** of the 106 mutants listed in Table 2 had reached **ABBE** and **STErN's** stage 1 or later and therefore possessed one or more leaf primordia by the time that the mutant kernel phenotype had become

TABLE *2*

Mutant embryo developmental stage and weight expressed **as** *a percent of normal embryo weight*

apparent. Clearly, then, whatever might be the nature of their defect, over 80% of these mutants underwent embryogenesis at least to the point **of** differentiating one or more leaf primordia.

It appears likely that most of the mutants are capable of either developing to a characteristic embryonic stage and subsequently are blocked **or** making only very slow progress beyond that stage during the rest of kernel maturation. Both of the above types of mutants were among the 14 defective kernel mutants studied by **MANGELSDORF** (1926). with some of the mutants capable of germinating and others able, at low frequency. to grow to maturity. A slowdown *in* embryo development was reported for two maize mutants that had a disturbed kernel development but contained viable, normal embryos-the *mn* (miniature) mutant of LOWE and **NELSON** (1 946) and the *de-17* mutant of **BRINK** and **COOPER** (1947). With regard to mutants that result in embryo degeneration during kernel development, **SASS** and **SPRAGUE** (1950) reported two "germless" mutants that had an initial period *o€* normal development during the first ten days postpollination, but then the embryos began to hypertrophy and became necrotic.

The results of histological studies of immature kernels of 76 mutants (Table 2) confirmed the observations on dissected kernels of these mutants. On the basis of the combined dissection and histological observations, about 20 of the mutants are either blocked in development prior to stage 1, usually much earlier, or have begun to degenerate by the time that defective kernel segregation becomes apparent (and it is therefore possible to dissect out the mutant embryos for examination). Although segregation age is known (Table 1) for this kind of mutant, not all of them were cultured. Among this kind of mutant, a few failed to pass beyond the pro-embryo stage. **A** small group of mutants developed only to the transition stage, while another had only reached the colecptilar stage at the time of collection. **A** few mutants produced sperhical embryos that were capable **of** some growth (increase in size), but lacked the capacity for differentiating either or both leaf and root primordia.

It is, nevertheless, clear that the other 130 mutants are capable of developing at least one leaf primordium (stage 1) before being developmentally blocked. Most of the mutants included in Table 2 are of this type, and 84 of them were cultured. The capacity to reach developmental stage 1 is surprising inasmuch as a majority of these mutants are not only lethal, but will not germinate even when tested as mature kernels **(NEUFFER** and **SHERIDAN** 1980).

*Phenotypic responses of cultured immature mutant embryos: Mutant, imma*lure embryos were cultured on basal and enriched media during 1977 and 1978. During 1977, embryos were also placed on *basal* medium lacking ammonium nitrate (NH,-free basal), and during 1978 embryos were also placed on *enriched* medium lacking ammonium nitrate (NH,-free enriched). After a culture period of about three weeks, the cultures were evaluated. Normal immature embryos under these conditions germinate precociously and grow to a height exceeding *5* cm. The cultured mutant embryos were scored as to four possible responses: no germination, 0 ; plumule or radicle emerged, $+$; small plants produced less than 5 cm high, $++$; plants more than 5 cm high, $+++$.

A total of 102 different mutants were cultured and evaluated at least once during the two cultures seasons. Some mutants were cultured *two* or more times. The morphological observations of immature mutant embryos are presented in Table 2. The phenotypic growth responses of 102 mutants evaluated in 1977 and/or 1978 are shown in Table **3.**

The response of the cultured embryos varied greatly among the different mutants. A consistent lack of response (0 or $+$ score) to any medium tested was displayed by 21 of the mutants. However, many of the mutants grew well on **all** media.

Many mutants showed a marked growth improvement on one medium. This response included greater growth on basal medium compared with enriched medium by five mutants, as well as greater growth on enriched medium *versus* basal medium by 15 mutants. Two mutants had their greatest growth on the NH,-free enriched medium.

A comparisons of the response scores in 1977 and 1978 to the basal and enriched media for those 56 mutants cultured both years reveals a consistent pattern (data not shown). This is true both with regard to responses to a particular medium in different years (1977 *versus* 1978) and to responses to the different media. Few mutants exhibited more than a one-step difference in scoring between years for the same medium, and almost half had identical scores on basal medium, about the same number had identical scores on enriched medium and almost one-third had identical scores for both years on both kinds of media.

The culturing of the immature mutant embryos overcame lethal blocks usually **imposed** during *in vivo* development and permitted germination and seedling development in many cases. Furthermore, in almost all cases, the cultured plant phenotype (Table **3)** for those mutants that will germinate as mature kernels, but are lethal in the seedling stage, displayed the same seeding phenotype in culture (**NEUFFER** and **SHERIDAN** 1980).

Responses to embryo culturing-growth into plants: It is rather remarkable that about 80% of the 102 defective kernel mutants evaluated produce small or large plants with leaves and roots (a response of $++$ or $++$ in Table 3) upon embryo culture. Most mutant plants had green leaves; mutant embryos were therefore not only capable of growing into plants, but of growing into more-or-less normally appearing plants. Almost 60% of the 102 mutants grew into plants that reached the top of the culture tubes $(a + + +$ response).

A large proportion of the mutants are capable not only of forming leaf primordia, but of growing into plants, even though a large majority of the mutants are lethal under normal developmental conditions (**NEUFFER** and **SHERIDAN**

^{*} All data are from ears used as the source of mutant embryos for culture in either 1977 or 1978, or both years. The exceptions are five mutants, not cultured, but for which data were obtained (E1130, E1208A, E1210, E1296

Mutant E1330 was cultured in 1978, but is not included in this table because no data were
gathered on embryos or kernels. The weight data for the embryos are mean value of five or 10
samples. The embryo stage or stages sho

Phenotypic growth response of cultured immature mutant embryos*

TABLE 3

954 W. F. **SHERIDAN AND M.** *G.* **NEUFFER**

TABLE 3-Continued

 $g_3 = g$ reu suipei; 1–1uteis yenow seemis; industrigate shotes, including the except suitable in the second series in the photon of the symbol in the left the second second second series of the symbol in the left the secon

response in culture.

1980). **A** number of causes may underlie these results, but the loss of viability in many instances must occur during the later stages of kernel maturation.

Shoot growth responses of cultured immature mutant embryos: Fresh shoot weight of immature mutant embryos when cultured on the 1977 and 1978 media was measured. The mutants varied considerably in their growth response. However, 80 of the 102 mutants cultured produced shoots that were harvested and weighed during one or both of these years. Since a comparison of growth response on the different media, especially basal *uersus* enriched, was *of* particular interest, the results of these culture studies are expressed as a percentage. This was calculated by dividing the weight of fresh shoots obtained on basal medium into the weight of fresh shoots obtained on enriched medium, or the other media tested. The results of these tests are shown in Table **4.**

In considering the culturing of any particular mutant, the response of the immature mutant embryos to culturing varied among the 10 or **15** embryos placed on each culture medium. Often, one or more embryos would fail to germinate, while the remainder would growth into plants. Also, in some cases, one or more of the embryos would produce only roots, while the other embryos of the set would produce the entire plants. For some cultures, contamination by fungi **or** bacteria reduced the number of cultures that could be evaluated.

A major purpose of the culture experiments was to screen for nutritionally deficient mutants. In comparing the results obtained with enriched medium with those obtained with basal medium, we have arbitrarily assumed that growth on enriched must exceed 150% of that on basal to be significantly greater. On this basis, 19 mutants grew to a greater extent on enriched medium than on basal medium in 1977 and/or 1978; three mutants had their greatest growth on **NH4** free enriched medium, **16** had greater growth on basal medium than on enriched medium (a growth response on enriched of less than 67% that on basal medium), and five mutants grew to the greatest extent on NH4-free basal medium (Table **4).**

The mutants that grow on basal medium, but not on enriched medium, are presumably inhibited by one of the organic media additives. Since normal embryos grow satisfactorily on this enriched medium, the levels of organic additives present should not be toxic. The mutant embryos are apparently abnormally sensitive to growth inhibition by them. Because of the changed sensitivity to one or more of these substances in the mutants, their presence in the mutant kernels may inhibit and ultimately result in the death of the embryonic tissues.

The mutants that preferred NH4-free media may be sensitive to and inhibited by the presence of ammonium ion, not only in culture medium, but also *in uiuo,* and the normal ammonium ion concentration in the developing kernel may be excessively high for the development, growth and survival of such mutants. The positive response of such mutants to NH4-free basal medium is somewhat puzzling since the only nitrogen source in this medium is nitrate ion, which must be converted to ammonium ion before it can be utilized by the cultured embryo. Of course, the rate of conversion might be such as to maintain a sufficiently low level of ammonium ion in the embryonic cells that a toxic level would not be reached.

TABLE 4

Shoot growth of cultured immature mutant embryos expressed as
percent of growth on basal medium*

DEFECTIVE KERNEL MUTANTS II

957

d, ć $\ddot{}$ TARI P 耳点

in 1977 was about three wests, but in case we crunication of the cypresspectative process, created, in the case of slow
growing mutants, the culture period was lengthened. All of the cultures in 1978 were harvested after 2

W. F. SHERIDAN AND M. G. NEUFFER

 \overline{a}

The search for auxotrophs: A more detailed analysis of their shoot growth response indicates that 10 mutants are especially promising in our search for nutritionally deficient or auxotrophic mutants. They are those lethal mutants with superior growth on enriched media (Table **4)** that also had a mean shoot growth value in excess of **100** mg on this medium (data not shown). Growth of this extent is comparable to that of normal immature embryos *011* this medium. All of these mutants produced green shoots, and at least seven embryos were evaluated on each kind of medium for each mutant. Nine of these mutants **(E873, E1054, Ell21, El202A, E1373A, E1392A, E1405A, E1417** and **E1430)** had superior growth on the complete enriched medium and the 10th **(E1404)** grew best on the NH,-free enriched medium. **The** interest in this type of conditional mutant in flowering plants had received attention and discussion (NELSON and **BURR 1973;** REDEI **1975;** NELSON **1978;** NEUFFER **1978),** but, with one exception, the only nonleaky and well-characterized auxotrophic mutants in flowering plants are thiamine-requiring mutants.

The exception to the above statement is the proline-requiring mutant of maize *(pro-1)* reported by GAVAZZI, NAVA-RACHI and TONELLI **(1975).** This single gene, recessive mutant has an absolute requirement for proline when rescued by embryo culturing of mature embryos. Mutant kernels when planted produce a seedling that always dies in the one- or two-leaf stage (GAVAZZI, NAVA-RACHI and TONELLI **1975;** RACCHI *et al.* **1978).**

One of the EMS-induced mutants included in this study and an additional spontaneously occurring mutant have been tested for allelism. The results of these tests demonstrate that both of the mutants are proline-requiring mutants allelic to the *pro-i'* mutant. These two mutants are **E1121** and *pro-341,* isolated by **E.** H. COE. When heterozygous plants of these two mutants were crossed with pollen from heterozygous *pro-1* plants, ears were obtained bearing a 3:1 ratio of normal to mutant kernels. The same results were obtained when heterozygous plants of the two new mutants were crossed.

Immature mutant embryos of *pro-i',* **E1121** and *pro-342* that were **27-, 22** and 25-days-old, respectively, were cultured for **21** days. The mean fresh shoot weight on basal medium was **265,265** and **298** mg, respectively; on basal medium supplemented with **a** two-fold concentration of the amino acid supplement, their weights were **467, 412** and (no data) mg, respectively; and on basal medium supplemented with **3** millimoles of proline, their weights were **594, 419** and 811 mg, respectively. Furthermore, the supplementing of basal medium with **3** millimoles of ornithine, a proline precursor (MESTICHELLI, GUPTA and SPENSER **1979),** had no beneficial growth effect. These results show that the screening techniques employed will detect auxotrophic mutants.

We acknowledge the able technical assistance of ROSEANNE BATEMA, WILLIAM CAPUTO, DAVID **ENGBRECHT, MARGARET HOBAN, CYNTHIA LAWTON, JUDY MAGNUSON and MICHAEL SHERIDAN. We are particularly grateful for the outstanding technical assistance and suggestions of EVELYN BENDBOW.** This **project has been supported by grants from the National Science Foundation (PCM6-10562 and PCM78-08559 to M. G. NEUFFER and PCM 76-10563 and PCM 78-08560 to** W. **F. SHERIDAN), forwhich we are grateful.**

LITERATURE CITED

- **ABBE,** E. E. and 0. L. STEIN, 1954 The growth of the shoot apex in maize: embryogeny. Am. J. Bot. **41:** 285-293.
- BRINK, R. **A.** and D. C. COOPER, 1947 Effect of the de17 allele on development of the maize caryopsis. Genetics **32:** 350-368.
- GAVAZZI, G., M. NAVA-RACHI and C. TONELLI, 1975 **A** mutation causing proline requirement in maize. **J.** Theor. Appl. Genet. **46:** 339-346.
- JONES, D.F., 192Q Heritable characters of maize. IV. **A** lethal factor-defective seed. J. Heredity **11:** 161-167.
- LOWE, 5. and 0. **E.** NELSON, JR., 1946 Miniature seed-a study in the development of a defective caryopsis in maize. Genetics **31** : 525-533.
- MANGELSDORF, P, C., 192.3 The inheritance of defective seeds in maize. J. Heredity **14:** 119-125. The genetics and morphology of some endosperm characters in maize. Conn. 1926 **E.** J. and O. E. NELSON, JR., 1946

caryopsis in maize. Genetics **31**: 52

roelspork, P. C., 1923 The inherit

—, 1926 The genetics and mo

Agr. Exp. Sta. Bull. **279**: 509-614.
- MESTICHELLI, L. J. J., R. N. GUPTA and I. D. SPENSER, 1979 The biosynthetic route from ornithine to proline, J. Biol. Chem. **254:** 640-647.
- MURASHIGE, T. and F. SXOOG, 1962 **A** revised medium for rapid growth and biosassays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol. Plant. **15:** 473-497.
- NELSON, 0. E., 1978 Gene action and endosperm development in maize, pp. 389-403. In: *Genetics and Breeding of Maize.* Edited by D. B. WALDEN. Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- NELSON, 0. E. and B. BURR, 1973 Biochemical genetics of higher plants. **Ann.** Rev. Plant Physiol. **24:** 493-518.
- NEUFFER, M. G., 1978 Induction of genetic variability. pp. 579-600. In: *Genetics and Breeding of Maize.* Edited by D. B. WALDEN. Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- NEUFFER, M. G. and W. F. SHERIDAN, 1980 Defective kernel mutants of maize. I. Genetic and lethality studies. Genetics *95:* 929-944..
- RACCHI, J. L., G. GAVAZZI, D. MONTI and P. MANNITO, 1978 An analysis of the nutritional requirements of the *pro* mutant in *Zen mays.* Plant Science Letters, **13:** 357-364.
- REDEI, G. P., 1975 Induction of auxotrophic mutations in plants. pp. 325-350. In: *Genetic Manipulations with Plant Material.* Edited by L. LEDOUX. Plenum Press, New York.
- SASS, J. **E.,** 1968 *Botanical Microtechnique.* Iowa State College Press. Ames.
- **SASS,** J. E. and G. F. SPRAGUE, 1950 **The** embryology of "germless" maize. Iowa State College. J. Sci. **24:** 209-218.
- TSAI, C. Y., 1979 Early termination of zein accumulation in *opaque-2* maize mutants. Maydica **27:** 129-140.
- WILSON, C. M., 1978 Some biochemical indicators of genetic and developmental controls in endosperm. pp. 405-419. In: *Genetics and Breeding* of *Maize.* Edited by D. B. WALDEN. Wiley-Interscience, New York.

Corresponding editor: R. L. **PHILLLPS**