Structure and expression of murine *mgcRacGAP*: its developmental regulation suggests a role for the Rac/MgcRacGAP signalling pathway in neurogenesis

Chantal ARAR¹, Marie-Odile OTT¹, Aminata TOURÉ and Gérard GACON²

Institut Cochin de Génétique Moléculaire, INSERM Unité 257, 24 Rue du Faubourg Saint Jacques, 75014 Paris, France

Rho-family GTPases regulate a wide range of biological functions including cell migration, cell adhesion and cell growth. Recently, results from studies *in vivo* in *Drosophila*, mouse and humans have demonstrated the involvement of these GTPases in mechanisms controlling neuronal differentiation and the development of the central nervous system (CNS). However, the signalling pathways underlying these functions and the proteins directly regulating RhoGTPases in developing neurons are poorly defined. Here we report the structure and expression pattern of the murine orthologue of *mgcRacGAP*, a human gene encoding a RacGTPase partner expressed in male germ cells [Touré, Dorseuil, Morin, Timmons, Jegou, Reibel and Gacon (1998) J. Biol. Chem. **273**, 6019–6023]. In contrast with that from humans, murine *mgcRacGAP* encodes two distinct transcripts. Both are developmentally regulated. A 2.2 kb transcript is strongly expressed in mature testis and is up-regulated with spermatogenesis. A 3 kb RNA is predominant in the embryo and is expressed primarily in the CNS during the neurogenic phase, decreasing after birth. *In situ* hybridization analysis in embryonic-day 14.5 mouse embryos demonstrates a preferential expression of *mgcRacGAP* in the proliferative ventricular zone of the cortex. In addition to the expression of *mgcRacGAP* in male germ cells already reported in humans and suggesting an involvement in spermatogenesis, we characterize an embryonic transcript whose expression is closely correlated with neurogenesis. This result addresses the question of the role of Rac/MgcRacGAP pathway in neuronal proliferation.

Key words: embryonic cerebral cortex, neuronal proliferation, RhoGTPases, spermatogenesis.

INTRODUCTION

The Ras-related Rho family GTPases Cdc42, Rac and Rho have been shown to regulate a wide spectrum of cellular functions [1]. From experiments in vitro in cultured fibroblasts, RhoGTPases are known to be involved in actin cytoskeleton organization, transcriptional regulation and cell growth control. Recently, evidence has accumulated for a role of Rho-related GTPases in neuronal development and in the regulation of neuritogenesis [2,3]. In both neuronal cell lines and primary neurons, Rho, Rac and Cdc42 have been implicated in the regulation of growth cone behaviour [4-6] and of dendritic growth [7]. The relevance of these functions in vivo has also been demonstrated in several animal models. Studies in Drosophila have suggested different morphogenetic functions for distinct RhoGTPases: Rac has a preferential effect on axonal outgrowth and Cdc42 has a more general effect in neuronal migration as well as axon and dendrite outgrowth [2]. In Caenorhabditis elegans, activated alleles of the mig-2 gene, which encodes a new Rho family GTPase member, cause misguided axon growth [8]; the UNC-73 gene, a Racspecific activator, is required for cell and growth cone migration [9]. In mouse, the expression of a constitutively active form of Rac1 in Purkinje cells leads to a reduction of axons and abnormal dendritic spines [10].

Besides this role in neuritogenesis and neuronal morphology, recent work suggests the involvement of the Rho family GTPases in neuronal proliferation. The Drosophila *mbt* gene, which encodes a protein related to the PAK family of Rho-dependent kinase, was shown to control the generation and survival of neuroblasts in central brain structures [11]. Finally, mutations in

two genes encoding Rho-interacting proteins [PAK-3 and a Rho GTPase-activating protein (GAP), oligophrenin] have been recently found to be responsible for mental retardation in humans, suggesting a role in brain development [12,13].

Although all these results demonstrate that RhoGTPases are clearly involved in many aspects of nervous system development, the underlying signalling pathways and their regulatory mechanisms remain to be elucidated. Analysis of the expression of RhoGTPase partners during specific phases of central nervous system (CNS) development and/or in specific regions of the developing brain might help to define RhoGTPase-dependent pathways controlling neuronal cell proliferation, migration and morphogenesis.

In the present study we characterized the mouse mgcRacGAP orthologue and analysed its pattern of expression during development. MgcRacGAP was initially described in humans as a Rac/Cdc42 GAP preferentially expressed in male germ cells and belonging to the chimaerin family [14]. Proteins of this family have the ability to down-regulate Rho/GTPases through their GAP activity, and may have an additional role in signal transduction downstream of RhoGTPase, as has been demonstrated for other members of the chimaerin family [15]. We show here that the murine mgcRacGAP gene encodes two transcripts, both of which are developmentally regulated. A 2.2 kb RNA is preferentially expressed in adult testis and is up-regulated with the onset of spermatogenesis. A 3 kb transcript is predominant in the embryonic nervous system and is regulated during mouse CNS development. Our results indicate that mouse mgcRacGAP gene expression is concomitant with the timing and sites of neurogenesis.

Abbreviations used: CNS, central nervous system; EST, expressed sequence tag; GAP, GTPase-activating protein; MgcRacGAP, male-germ-cell RacGAP; UTR, untranslated region.

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

² To whom correspondence should be addressed (e-mail gacon@cochin.inserm.fr).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Identification of mouse MgcRacGAP

Database searches were performed with the cDNA sequence of human *mgcRacGAP* by using BLASTn algorithms against the mouse dbEST database at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). Overlapping segments of expressed sequence tag (EST) sequences were compiled. The longest inserts and the most 5' cDNA clones were obtained from HMGP Resource Centre (Cambridge, U.K.). All ESTs obtained were sequenced in both strands.

A homology search for functional protein domains was performed by BLAST analysis against the Prodom protein domain database at the Institut National de Recherche Agronomique (Toulouse, France) [16]. Prediction of coil-coiled regions was done with the COIL program [17].

Primer extension

Total RNA was extracted by using the RNAxel kit (Eurobio, Les Ulis, France) and treated with DNase RQ1 (Promega, Madison, WI, U.S.A.). A 1 μ g portion of each RNA sample [total RNA embryonic-day 10.5 (E10.5) embryo and mature testis] was annealed with 10 pmol of specific primers (PE1 or PE2, Figure 1) for 90 min at 55 °C and incubated for 1 h at 42 °C in a final volume of 30 μ l containing 200 units of Superscript reverse transcriptase (Life Technology, Paisley, Strathclyde, U.K.). Primers were previously end-labelled with T4 polynucleotide kinase and purified on a NAP-5 column (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden). Reverse transcription products were loaded on a 7 M urea/6 % (w/v) polyacrylamide sequencing gel and run next to a primer extension specific ladder.

Western blot analysis

Total proteins from human and mouse testis were extracted in Laemmli sample buffer, resolved by SDS/PAGE [12% (w/v) gel] and electroblotted to nitrocellulose. Filters were incubated sequentially with purified chicken anti-(human MgcRacGAP) antibodies [14] and peroxidase-labelled rabbit anti-chicken immunoglobulins (Dako Ltd., High Wycombe, Bucks., U.K.). Proteins were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL[®], Amersham International, Little Chalfont, Bucks., U.K.).

Northern blot analysis

Total RNA was extracted with an RNAxel kit (Eurobio). RNA $(25 \ \mu g)$ from each sample was resolved by electrophoresis and transferred to nylon membrane in accordance with standard techniques [18]. Mouse *mgcRacGAP* full-length cDNA was ³²P-labelled with a random priming labelling kit (Amersham International) and used to probe blots at 65 °C in Church buffer [19].

In situ hybridization

Mouse embryos were fixed overnight in 4 % (w/v) paraformaldehyde in PBS, dehydrated, embedded in wax and processed to 5–7 μ m sections on Superfrostplus slides (Erie Scientific Company, Portsmouth, Hants., U.K.). ³⁵S-UTP-labelled sense and anti-sense *mgcRacGAP* cRNA probes were generated with the Riboprobe system (Promega) from linearized pT7/T3 plasmids (Pharmacia). Two probes were used: the inserts of mouse *mgcRacGAP* cDNA were 800 bp long (bases 1240–2068) for the EST-1 probe and 520 bp long (bases 2390–2954) for EST- 6 (see Figure 3). The sense probe was transcribed with T7 RNA polymerase and the anti-sense probe with T3 RNA polymerase. Sections were hybridized overnight at 55 °C, washed as described by Ott et al. [20] and exposed to Ilford K5 emulsion for 1–5 days. Counterstaining was performed with Toluidine Blue.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mouse mgcRacGAP RNA and protein

To obtain the mouse orthologue of *mgcRacGAP*, we performed a BLAST search of the mouse EST database (dbEST) with

PE1 PE2																		
ggca	acga	Jàcad	ः <u>t</u> ववt	aqad	agea	adcco	ctect	ggg	lcdcd	ldcdd	1ccd	Jagaq	gtga	gege	egegg	lacco	Igacg	72
									M	D	Т	Т	M	v 	N	Г -	W	
aaat	tace	atata	10000	làdà	cggg	gageo	stoga	aag	ATG	GAT	ACT	ACA	ATG	GTG	AAT	TTG	TGG	134
т	L	F	Е	Q	L	v	R	R	м	Е	I	I	N	E	G	N	Е	27
ACT	CTG	TTT	GAG	CAG	CTT	GTG	CGC	CGG	ATG	GAG	ATT	ATC	AAT	GAA	GGA	AAT	GAA	188
s	I	Е	F	I	Q	v	v	к	D	F	Е	D	F	R	K	ĸ	Y	45
AGC	ATT	GAA	TTC	ATC	CAG	GTT	GTG	AAG	GAC	TTC	GAG	GAC	TTC	CGA	AAG	AAG	TAT	242
Q	R	т	N	Q	Е	L	Е	к	F	к	D	L	L	L	К	Α	E	63
CAA	AGA	ACC	AAC	CAG	GAG	CTG	GAG	AAA	TTC	AAA	GAC	CTA	TTG	TTG	AAA	GCA	GAG	296
т	G	R	s	Α	L	D	۷	к	L	к	н	A	R	N	Q	v	D	81
ACT	GGG	CGG	AGC	GCC	CTG	GAC	GTG	AAG	CTG	AAG	CAT	GCC	CGT	AAT	CAA	GTG	GAC	350
V	Ε	I	К	R	R	Q	R	Α	Е	А	E	С	Α	K	L	Ε	Q	99
GTG	GAG	ATC	AAG	CGG	AGG	CAG	CGC	GCT	GAG	GCA	GAG	TGT	GCA	AAG	ÇTG	GAA	CAA	404
Q	I	Q	L	I	R	D	I	L	м	С	D	Т	s	G	s	I	Q	117
CAG	ATT	CAG	CTG	ATT	CGA	GAC	ATA	CTC	ATG	TGT	GAC	ACA	TCT	GGC	AGT	ATT	CAG	458
L	s	E	Е	Q	К	s	Α	L	А	F	L	N	R	G	Q	A	s	135
CTG	AGC	GAG	GAA	CAA	AAA	TCA	GCT	CTC	GCT	TTC	CTC	AAC	CGA	GGC	CAA	GCA	TCC	512
s	G	Н	А	G	N	N	R	L	s	т	I	D	Ē	s	G	s	I	153
AGT	GGC	CAC	GCC	GGC	AAC	AAT	AGA	CTG	TCA	ACG	ATT	GAT	GAA	TCT	GGT	TCC	ATT	566
L	s	D	I	s	F	D	к	\mathbf{T}	D	Е	s	L	D	W	D	s	s	171
TTA	TCA	GAT	ATC	AGC	TTT	GAC	AAG	ACT	GAT	GAA	TCA	CTG	GAC	TGG	GAT	TCT	TCT	620
L	v	к	N	F	к	м	к	к	R	Έ	к	R	R	s	N	s	R	189
TTG	GTG	AAG	AAT	TTC	AAA	ATG	AAG	AAA	CGA	GAG	AAG	AGG	CGC	TCC	AAC	AGT	AGA	674
0	F	I	D	G	Р	Ρ	G	Р	v	к	к	т	С	s	I	G	S	207
CAG	TTC	ATC	GAC	GGC	CCT	CCT	GGG	CCT	GTG	AAG	AAA	ACT	TGT	TCC	ATT	GGC	TCT	728
T	v	D	0	Α	N	Е	s	Ι	v	А	к	т	т	v	Т	v	Ρ	225
ACA	GTA	GAC	CAG	GCA	AAT	GAA	TCA	ATA	GTT	GCA	AAA	ACT	ACA	GTG	ACT	GTŤ	ccc	782
S	D	G	G	P	T	E	A	v	s	т	T	E	т	L	Р	S	W	243
AGT	GAT	202	400	222	3 TT	GAA	GCT	GTG	тст	ACT	ATT	GAG	ACA	TTG	CCG	TCC	TGG	836
TO 1	D	6000	10	ccc	K	S	6	P	T.	0	p	v	N	s	D	S	A	261
100	100	200	000		220	тс».	0	CCT	ተተእ	~~~~		CTC.	220	ACT	GAC	TCC	GCT	890
T	NGG	AG1	n	000	T	P	D	501	7	D	т Т	D	N	T	6	T	P	279
L CTTC	N	200	R ACC	CCA	0.000	CNC.	CCA	101	ACT.	CAC	202	che	እስጥ	TTC	cor	202	CCT	944
CTG	AAC	AGC	AGG	CCA	CIG	GAG	CCA	AGA	ACI	GAC	NCA 17	GAC	V	. T G	17	T	K K	297
~~~~	N	1	6	6	200	к СССС	5		~~~	r mmc	CTC.		AAC	100	CTT	አምም	770	2008
CAG	AAT	ACT	GGA	660	AIG	CGC	116	CAC	GAC	110	GIC	TCA	AHO C	NCG	1011		1	315
2	E .	5		~~~	P		9		R	1		2	~~~~	N NC	- D		CTC	1052
CCT	GAA	TCT	TGT	GTT	CCG	TGT	GGA	AAG		AIC	AAG	111	GGC	AAG	CIG	TCT	010	1032
к.	C	R	0	_C	к	_L	v	5	H	P	E.		K	~~~~	R		r	335
AAG	TGT	CGA	GAC	TGT	CGT	TTG	GTC	TCC	CAT	UCA	GAA	TGT	066	GAC	CGA	TGT	uuu	1106
L	P	¢	I	Р	Р	L	V .	G	T	P	V	. K		G	E	6	M	351
CTT	CCC	TGC	ATC	CCC	ccc	CTG	GTG	GGG	ACA	ÇÇĞ	GTT	AAG	ATT	GGA	GAG	GGC	ATG	1160
L	А	D	F	v	s	Q	A	s	Р	м	I	P	A	I	v	v	s	369
CTG	GCC	GAC	TTC	GTG	TCG	CAG	GCT	TCT	CCC	ATG	ATC	CCT	GCC	ATT	GTC	GTC	AGC	1214
С	v	N	Е	I	Е	Q	R	G	L	т	Е	А	G	L	Y	R	I	387
TGT	GTC	AAT	GAG	ATC	GAG	CAG	CGA	GGC	CTG	ACT	GAG	GCA	GGC	TTG	TAC	AGG	ATC	1268
Y	G	С	D	R	т	v	к	Е	L	к	Ε	к	F	L	к	v	к	405
TAC	GGC	TGT	GAC	CGC	ACA	GTG	AAA	GAA	CTG	AAA	GAA	AAA	TTC	CTT	AAG	GTG	AAA	1322
т	v	Ρ	L	L	s	к	v	D	D	Ι	Н	v	I	С	s	L	L	423
ACT	GTG	CCC	CTC	CTC	AGC	AAA	GTG	GAC	GAT	ATC	CAC	GTC	ATC	TGC	AGC	CTC	CTG	1376
к	D	F	L	R	N	L	К	Е	Р	L	L	Т	F	W	L	s	к	441
AAG	GAC	TTC	CTG	CGC	AAC	CTC	AAA	GAG	CCC	CTC	CTG	ACC	TTC	TGG	CTG	AGC	AAA	1430
А	F	м	Е	А	А	Е	Ι	Т	D	Е	D	Ν	s	т	А	А	М	459
GCC	TTC	ATG	GAG	GCA	GCA	GAG	ATA	ACA	GAT	GAA	GAC	AAC	AGC	ACA	GCC	GCC	ATG	1484
Y	0	A	v	S	Е	L	Р	0	А	N	R	D	т	L	А	F	L	477
TAC	CAG	GCT	GTC	AGT	GAG	CTG	ccc	CAG	GCC	AAC	AGG	GAC	ACG	CTA	GCC	TTC	CTT	1538
M	т	4	L	0	D	v	5	0	5	P	D	т	к	м	D	I	А	495
ATC.	1	CNC	CTA	CAC	ACA	CTC.	тст	CAC	AGT	CCA	GAC	ACT	AAG	ATG	GAT	ATT	GCC	1592
MIG	, nic	N N	v	v	F	610	D	- m	т	v	2	н	T	v	P	N	p	513
7 7 7	CTD 1	COT	777	CPC	10 mm	000	CCTT	202	<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	CTT.	CCC.	с»т	ACT	CTC.	- 	алт 1	CCA	1646
AAI	CIA	GCI	MAM T	GIC		000	CCT	T	~ ~ ~	B	0000	T	NC 1	570	v	F	p	531
	P	v	1		r mma	a v		1		CC	a v	0.000	220	C.P.C	CTC.	~~~	ccc	1700
GAT	CCA	GTG	ACA	ATG	TTC	CAG	GAC	AIC	AAA	CGI	CAG	UIC N	MAG	GIG	010	GAG	E	540
ь - — -	_L	S	ь 	P	1	E	I	W	N	2	r mmc	n NDC		ome	C 10	~~~~	CNC	1754
CTA	CTC	TCT	CTC	ccc	TTG	GAG	TAC	TGG	AAT	CAG	TTC	ATG	ATG	GTG	GAC	CAA	GAG	1/54
N	I	D	S	Q	R	G	N	G	N	5	т	P	ĸ	T	P	0	v	1000
AAC	ATA	GAC	AGC	CAG	CGA	GGC	AAT	GGA	AAC	TCA	ACA	CCA	CGC	ACA	CCA	GAC	GTT	T808
к	v	s	L	L	G	Р	v	т	T	P	Е	F	Q	L	V	ĸ	T	585
AAA	GTG	AGC	TTA	CTG	GGG	CCT	GTG	ACC	ACT	CCT	GAA	TTC	CAG	ĊTT	GTC	AAG	ACT	1862
Ρ	L	s	s	S	Г	Ş	Q	R	L	Y	N	L	S	К	S	т	Р	603
CCT	TTA	TCA	AGT	TCC	CTG	TCA	CAG	AGG	TTG	TAC	AAC	CTC	TCC	AAG	AGC	ACA	ccc	1916
R	F	G	N	к	s	к	s	А	т	N	L	G	Q	Q	G	к	F	621
AGA	TTT	GGG	AAC	AAG	AGC	AAG	TCT	GCC	ACC	AAC	TTA	GGT	CAA	CAG	GGC	AAA	TTT	1970
F	Р	А	Р	Y	L	к	*											629
TTC	CCT	GCT	CCG	TAC	CTC	AAG	TAA	age	ctgto	gtete	gcct	gtgti	tac	gca	cgaga	acaco	cctg	2033
tete	act ci	tcad	acct	ctq	gtaa	atga	etact	ttti	agcat	ttt	ccaga	actt	aaa	<u>aa</u> a	gttga	aacgo	gtat	2105
gaga	aaaa	aaaaa	aaaaa	iaaaa	aaaa	aaaa	aaaa	aaaa	aaaaa	a				_		-		2145

#### Figure 1 Nucleotide and predicted amino acid sequences of full-length 2.2 kb cDNA

Reverse primers PE1 and PE2 used in the primer extension analysis are underlined by an arrow. The stop codon is denoted by an asterisk and the polyadenylation signal is underlined.

			10	2	0	30	40
		MDT	TMVNLWT	LFEOLVRRM	E IINEG	NESIE FIC	WVKDFED
50	60	70		80	90	100	
FRKKYQRTNQ	ELEKFKDLLL	KAETGRSALD	VKLKHAR	NQV DVEIK	RRQRA E	AECAKLEQQ	IQLIRD
110	100	120 1	40	150	1.00	170	
TIMODECOCT	120 OLCERONCAL N	I DUCORCCU	40 BONNELOU	IDECCETT	100 DICEDRE		TINNE
114/CD1 5651	OPPEROK SVIN	FLINKGQASSGR		106505165		DE310WD33	
MINCORGET	OT SEFORENT N	FINDCODCCM	ACMERICE	TOPSOSTIS	יאמצידמ	DESTUMPSS	UVETE
10	202020200000000000000000000000000000000	30	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	106333113	0	60	70
180	190	200 20	10 10	220	230	240	, 0
KMKKREKRRS	NSBOFTDGPPG	PVKKTCSTGST	VDOANEST	VAKTTVTVP	SDGGPTF	AVSTITLE	SWTRS
=========						============	
KLKKREKRRS'	TSROFVDGPPG	PVKKTRSTGSA	VDOGNEST	VAKTTVTVP	NDGGPTE	AVSTIETVE	YWTRS
80	90	100	110	12	0	130	140
250	260	270 2	80	290	300	310	
RGKSGPLOPV	NSDSALNSRPL	EPRTDTDNLGT	PONTGGMR	LHDFVSKTV	IKPES <b>C</b> V	PCGKRIKFG	KLSLK
= =-= === :		==					=====
RRKTGTLQPW	NSDSTLNSRQL	EPRTETDSVGT	PQSNGGMR	LHDFVSKTV	IKPESCV	PCGKRIKFG	KLSLK
150	160	170	180	19	0	200	210
320	330	340 3	50	360	370	380	
CRDCRLVSHP	ECRDRCPLPCT	PPLVGTPVKIG	EGMLADFV	SQASPMIPA	IVVSCVN	EIEQRGLTE	AGLYR
		= =-======					-===
CRDCRVVSHP.	ECRDRCPLPCI	PTLIGTPVKIG	EGMLADFV	SQTSPMI <u>PS</u>	IVVHCVN	EIEQRGLTE	TGLYR
220	230	240	250	26	0	270	280
390	400	410 4	20	430	440	450	
IYGCDRTVKE	LKEKFLKVKTV	PLLSKVDDIHV	ICSLLKDF	LRNLKEPLL	TFWLSKA	FMEAAEITE	EDNST
					== = -=		
ISCORTVE	LKEKFLRVKTV	PLLSKVDDIHA	ICSLLKDF	LENLKEPLL	^	FMEAAEITE	EDNSI
290	300	310	320	53	0	340	350
400	4/0	480 4	90		ULC ULC		TRDOT
AAMYQAVSEL	PQANEDTLAPL.	MINDQRVSQSP	DIMUTAN	LARVEGETT	VAMIVPN	PDPVIFFQL	TRAQL
				L AVUEODOT			TKPOD
AAMIQAVGEL	POANRDT DAP D.	200	TTEDVAN 200	LAGVEGPTI	o Anavei	410	120 A20
530	5/0	550	560	570	v 5	410	590
VUVPDLLCLD	USVANOEMMUD	OFNITES OF C	NON STD	PTDWKVST	LCDVPPT	FEOLVKUDI	SISS
		20MIDS QR 0				= ==-===	=====
VIN/PRITCIP	FVWSOFMWZE	OFNIDDIHUTE	NGNAFSTD	OTPLITEVSL		FHOLL KTPS	2,12222
430	440	450	460	47	0	480	490
600	610	620	400		0	100	
ORLYN LSKS	TPREGNKSKSA	INLGOOGKFFP	APVLK 62	8			
==- =-= :		arra en ar	-= ==	-			
ORVESTLTEN	PREGSKSKSA	INLGROGNEEA	SPMLK 52	7			
500	510	520					

# Figure 2 Amino acid sequence comparison of mouse and human MgcRacGAP proteins

The upper sequence is the mouse MgcRacGAP protein; the lower sequence is that of human MgcRacGAP. Identical amino acids are represented by equals signs; a dash indicates a conservative change. The cysteine-rich domain is delimited by brackets. Boxed sequences correspond to the three blocks conserved in RhoGAP domains.

human *mgcRacGAP* cDNA sequence. Among others, a 2.2 kb EST cDNA was obtained. It was sequenced and shown to match the complete coding sequence of human *mgcRacGAP*. Within the 2145 bp established sequence, a unique long open reading frame from base 108 to base 1994 was detected (Figure 1). The predicted amino acid sequence contains 628 residues. Alignment of human and mouse proteins (Figure 2) shows an overall 86% identity. The two proteins are almost identical in the GAP domain (90%) and the cysteine-rich region (98%), previously defined as functional regions of MgcRacGAP [14]. The similarity is slightly lower (71% identity) in the C-terminal region, suggesting that this domain might be functionally less important.

Strikingly, the mouse cDNA encodes an additional N-terminal polypeptide of 106 residues compared with the human orthologue. The presence of this additional domain is confirmed by Western blot analysis. Indeed, the mouse protein detected in testis extract with anti-MgcRacGAP antibodies shows an apparent molecular mass of 70 kDa, in good agreement with the predicted size of the protein (70144 Da). The mouse protein is approx. 10 kDa longer than human MgcRacGAP protein (58 kDa) (Figure 3A). This additional domain is predicted to be superfolded as a coiled-coil structure, which is consistent with a role in protein–protein interaction [17,21]. It has yet to be determined whether this region can engage in homodimer or heterodimer formation, and the role of this domain in mouse MgcRacGAP is as yet unknown. However, this indicates that



Figure 3 Tissue distribution of mouse mgcRacGAP mRNA and protein

(A) Immunodetection of MgcRacGAP in mouse and human testis. Equal amounts of protein extract from mouse testis (lane 1) and human testis (lane 2) were run in parallel with the recombinant GAP domain of human MgcRacGAP (residues 234–512) (lane 3). MgcRacGAP was detected by using affinity-purified chicken antibodies. Arrows indicate human and mouse MgcRacGAP proteins. The positions of molecular mass markers are indicated at the right. (B) Northern blot analysis. Mouse *mgcRacGAP* cDNA was used as a probe. Each lane contained 25  $\mu$ g of total RNA from the indicated tissues. Loading is indicated in the bottom panel by 18 S ribosomal RNA.

functional differences between the human and the mouse proteins might exist.

#### Expression and RNA characterization of murine mgcRacGAP

To investigate the expression of mgcRacGAP in mouse, we performed Northern blot analysis of several adult tissues. Figure 3(B) shows that mgcRacGAP is expressed in testis and thymus. A weak signal was also detected in brain after a longer exposure (see Figure 5B). Several transcripts of different lengths were detected in mice. A 2.2 kb transcript is highly expressed in testis, whereas a 3 kb transcript is predominant in embryos and adult organs other than testis. We also observed one other transcript form (1 kb) in thymus. These results are in contrast with mgcRacGAP expression in humans, where it is widespread and only one mRNA form has been reported [14].

The cDNA that we first characterized is 2145 bp long with a poly(A) site (nt 2087–2092) and a poly(A) tail 16 nt downstream of the poly(A) site. The size of this cDNA is in agreement with the 2.2 kb mRNA transcript that is preferentially expressed in the mature testis (male germ cells). The mouse mgcRacGAP gene encodes a second RNA species that is predominant in the embryo and adult organs. 5' primer extension experiments with PE1 and PE2 oligonucleotides (Figure 1) gave the same results whether RNA was extracted from E10.5 embryo or adult testis, showing a unique transcription initiation site approx. 10 bases upstream of the 5' end of the sequenced cDNA. Furthermore, reverse-transcriptase-mediated PCR amplification from embryonic or mature testis RNA with different sets of primers localized in the coding region gave identical PCR products (results not shown). Taken together, these results suggest that the two mgcRacGAP transcripts contain identical 5' untranslated region (UTR) and coding regions.



Figure 4 Schematic representation of the 3' end of the murine mgcRacGAP gene and mRNA species

The 3' portion of mouse mgcRacGAP gene contains two alternative poly(A) sites. Short (2.2 kb) and long (3 kb) transcripts result from the use of poly(A) sites 1 and 2 respectively. EST-1 and EST-6 are the two probes used for *in situ* hybridization experiments. An asterisk indicates the stop codon.



#### Figure 5 Developmental expression of mgcRacGAP

Northern blots of total RNA (25  $\mu$ g) were probed with mouse *mgcRacGAP* cDNA. (**A**) Total RNA species were extracted from whole embryo at stage E10.5, from head (H) or body (B) of an E14.5 embryo and from testis of mice aged between day 7 and 70 (P7, P15, P21, adult). (**B**) Total RNA species from spinal cord of E13.5 embryo and from brain of E14.5 embryo, newborn mouse and adult mouse. Loading is indicated in the bottom panels by 18 S ribosomal RNA.

In the course of genomic cloning of the mouse mgcRacGAP gene, we characterized a genomic DNA fragment that includes five exons and six introns. The last exon contains two potential poly(A) sites in tandem, separated by 775 bp (Figure 4). Analysis of the last exon with the BLAST algorithm against the mouse EST database revealed the presence of several ESTs (Figure 4) matching the genomic region located 5' and 3' to the first poly(A)site. The use of the first or second poly(A) sites could generate two mRNA species differing in their 3' UTR by 775 bp (Figure 4), which is consistent with the difference in length observed by Northern blot analysis. This suggests that the two mRNA species differ from each other by the length of their 3' UTR, as a result of the alternative use of poly(A) sites. The 3' UTR of mRNA has critical regulatory roles in various processes such as mRNA transport, localization and translation, particularly during embryonic development and differentiation [22,23]. Many genes have already been shown to use a testis-specific poly(A) site, leading to shorter mRNA species in this tissue [24]. In agreement with these previous observations, the use of the first poly(A) site for murine mgcRacGAP in male germ cells leads to a transcript with a very short 3' UTR (150 bp). However, the biological

significance of alternative polyadenylation of *mgcRacGAP* is still unknown.

#### mgcRacGAP expression during mouse testis development

It was reported previously that the closest homologue to human MgcRacGAP is the *Drosophila* protein RnRacGAP, encoded by the *rotund* locus [14]. This protein has been shown to be essential to the differentiation of male germ cells, because mutation of the rotund locus leads to sterility in male flies [25]. In situ hybridization analysis in testis has also demonstrated a similar expression of RnRacGAP and mgcRacGAP in spermatocytes in both species [14,25]. In this context, we examined mgcRacGAP expression in testis at different postnatal stages in mice, relative to the time course of spermatogenesis (Figure 5A). Transcripts are detected in testis at postnatal day 7 (P7) before the onset of meiosis in spermatogonia. The predominant mRNA expressed at this stage is the 3 kb form. At P15, when the first spermatocytes begin to appear, the 2.2 kb transcript is highly expressed. Analysis of the subsequent stages and adult testis showed that the 2.2 kb RNA level increased, whereas the 3 kb transcript decreased. On the

postnatal onset of spermatogenesis, the ratio of somatic cells to germ cells in the testis is greatly decreased and could account for the decrease in the 3 kb RNA. This observation suggests that the 3 kb transcript could be specific for somatic tissues of adult and embryo, whereas the shorter form is specific to germ cells and accumulates in spermatocytes.

Therefore, as observed in humans and *Drosophila*, the expression of murine *mgcRacGAP* is restricted to late stages of spermatogenesis (corresponding to meiosis and spermiogenesis). This has been recently confirmed by Western blot analysis of rat elutriated testis cell extracts, showing the presence of the protein in spermatocytes and spermatids (A. Touré, unpublished work). The conserved pattern of *mgcRacGAP* expression at the time of spermatogenesis among mammals and *Drosophila*, and the mutant phenotype observed in *Drosophila*, strongly suggest the importance of MgcRacGAP in the development and/or function of male germ cells.

# mgcRacGAP expression during mouse brain development

We first investigated the expression of mgcRacGAP by Northern blot analysis during mouse development. By E14.5, germ cells are mitotically blocked at the spermatogonia stage when mgcRacGAP is not expressed [14]. Surprisingly, MgcRacGAP is highly expressed in whole embryos at E10.5 and in both the head and the trunk of E14.5 embryos (Figure 5A). This means that the embryonic expression of mgcRacGAP is thus contributed by other tissues. The strong signal detected in the head and trunk of E14.5 embryos suggests an expression in a common component such as the CNS. Indeed, mgcRacGAP was found expressed in the brain and spinal cord of the embryo (Figure 5B). Northern blot analysis demonstrated that mgcRacGAP expression is very high in embryonic brain and decreases drastically after birth to a very low level in the adult (Figure 5B). This result indicates that mgcRacGAP expression is correlated with the active phase of neuronal precursor proliferation.

To define precisely the expression sites of mgcRacGAP, we undertook an analysis of embryo sections by in situ hybridization. Two different RNA probes were used: EST-1, corresponding to a fragment of the coding sequence, and EST-6, which is specific for the 3' UTR end of the long transcript (Figure 4). The two probes gave qualitatively the same results. Strikingly, hybridization on sections of E14.5 embryos reveals a strong signal restricted to the developing brain (Figures 6A and 6B). The expression is restricted to the developing cerebral cortex of the telencephalon and the medial and lateral ganglionic eminences. Figure 6(C) shows that mRNA accumulation is predominant in the subventricular and ventricular zones of the embryonic cortex at the inner surface of the telencephalon, which are known to be regions of neuronal proliferation during neurogenesis. We also observed a specific signal in the inner surface of the mesencephalon at the level of the cerebellum primordium (Figures 6A and 6B). In mouse development, most cortical neurons are generated between E12 and E16 [26]. Neurons from the neocortex are generated from proliferating cells of the telencephalic ventricular zone. The laminar structure of the adult cerebral cortex is established by the migration of neurons from the ventricular zone towards the upper layers in an inside-out manner [27]. The restricted and strong expression of mgcRacGAP in regions of neuronal proliferation by E14.5 (Figure 6C) and the decrease of expression during brain development (Figure 5B) are consistent with the site and timing of neurogenesis. Further examination will be required to establish a precise time course of mgcRacGAP expression in the mouse embryonic nervous system but our



Figure 6 Expression of mgcRacGAP mRNA species in the developing CNS

*In situ* hybridization of a parasagittal section of an E14.5 mouse embryo. (**A**) Bright-field picture of the head; (**B**) dark-field view showing hybridization to the developing cortex of the telencephalon; (**C**) a higher magnification of (**B**), showing that the signal is restricted to the subventricular and ventricular zones of the developing brain. Abbreviations: CC, cerebral cortex; CP, cortical plate; LGE, lateral ganglionic eminence; Mes, mesencephalum; T, telencephalum; SVZ, subventricular zone; VZ, ventricular zone.

observations already suggest a role for this protein in neurogenesis in addition to its putative role in spermatogenesis.

RhoGTPases are already known to be involved in neuritogenesis and axonal guidance [3] but MgcRacGAP is the first RhoGTPase-regulating protein whose expression is correlated with the neuroblast cell division process. Both neuroblast generation and migration might be regulated by Rho-dependent pathways. The involvement of RhoGTPases in the mechanism of cell migration is well documented [8,28,29] and multiple lines of evidence have demonstrated the role of RhoGTPases in regulating cell proliferation. Indeed, RhoGTPases are known to be regulators of cell cycle progression and have transforming and oncogenic potential [30–32]. Although the signalling pathways involved in these regulatory mechanisms are poorly defined, RhoGTPases, and especially Rac, seem to be key regulators of cell division. Whether MgcRacGAP is involved in cell proliferation itself or in cytokinesis and whether Rac-Cdc42/ MgcRacGAP signalling pathways might be involved in regulating mitosis in neuroblasts and/or meiosis in germ cells are questions that we are addressing currently.

We thank L. Dandolo, S. Sidhu, and O. Dorseuil for a critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale and by grants from the Ligue Nationale Contre le Cancer and the Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer. C. A. is a recipient of a fellowship from the Ligue National contre le Cancer.

# REFERENCES

- 1 Van Aelst, L. and D'Souza-Schorey, C. (1997) Genes Dev. 11, 2295-2322
- 2 Luo, L., Liao, Y. J., Jan, L. Y. and Jan, Y. N. (1994) Genes Dev. 8, 1787-1802
- 3 Gallo, G. and Letourneau, P. C. (1998) Curr. Biol. 8, R80-R82
- 4 Jalink, K., van Corven, E. J., Hengeveld, T., Morii, N., Narumiya, S. and Moolenaar, W. H. (1994) J. Cell Biol. **126**, 801–810
- 5 Jin, Z. and Strittmatter, S. M. (1997) J. Neurosci. 17, 6256-6263
- 6 Lamoureux, P., Altun-Gultekin, Z. F., Lin, C., Wagner, J. A. and Heidemann, S. R (1997) J. Cell Sci. **110**, 635–641
- 7 Threadgill, R., Bobb, K. and Ghosh, A. (1997) Neuron 19, 625-634
- 8 Zipkin, I. D., Kindt, R. M. and Kenyon, C. J. (1997) Cell 90, 883-894
- 9 Steven, R., Kubiseski, T. J., Zheng, H., Kulkarni, S., Mancillas, J., Ruiz Morales, A., Hogue, C. W., Pawson, T. and Culotti, J. (1998) Cell 92, 785–795
- 10 Luo, L., Hensch, T. K., Ackerman, L., Barbel, S., Jan, L. Y. and Jan, Y. N. (1996) Nature (London) **379**, 837–840
- Melzig, J., Rein, K. H., Schafer, U., Pfister, H., Jäckle, H., Heisenberg, M. and Raabe, T. (1998) Curr. Biol. 8, 1223–1226

Received 28 April 1999/8 July 1999; accepted 28 July 1999

- 12 D'Adamo, P., Menegon, A., Lo Nigro, C., Grasso, M., Gulisano, M., Tamanini, F., Bienvenu, T., Gedeon, A. K., Oostra, B., Wu, S. K. et al. (1998) Nat. Genet. 19, 134–139
- 13 Billuart, P., Bienvenu, T., Ronce, N., des Portes, V., Vinet, M. C., Zemni, R., Crollius, H. R., Carrie, A., Fauchereau, F., Cherry, M. et al. (1998) Nature (London) **392**, 923–926
- 14 Touré, A., Dorseuil, O., Morin, L., Timmons, P., Jegou, B., Reibel, L. and Gacon, G. (1998) J. Biol. Chem. 273, 6019–6023
- 15 Kozma, R., Ahmed, S., Best, A. and Lim, L. (1996) Mol. Cell. Biol. 16, 5069-5080
- 16 Corpet, F., Gouzy, J. and Kahn, D. (1998) Nucleic Acids Res. 26, 323-326
- 17 Lupas, A., Van Dyke, M. and Stock, J. (1991) Science 252, 1162-1164
- 18 Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F. and Maniatis, T. (1989) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, 2nd edn., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY
- Church, G. M. and Gilbert, W. (1984) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 81, 1991–1995
  Ott, M. O., Bober, E., Lyons, G., Arnold, H. and Buckingham, M. (1991) Development
- **111**, 1097–1107
- 21 Lupas, A. (1996) Trends Biochem. Sci. **21**, 375–382
- 22 Curtis, D., Lehmann, R. and Zamore, P. D. (1995) Cell 81, 171–178
- 23 Gray, N. K. and Wickens, M. (1998) Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 14, 399-458
- 24 Edwalds-Gilbert, G., Veraldi, K. L. and Milcarek, C. (1997) Nucleic Acids Res. 25, 2547–2561
- 25 Agnel, M., Roder, L., Vola, C. and Griffin-Shea, R. (1992) Mol. Cell. Biol. 12, 5111–5122
- 26 Angevine, J. B. and Sidman, R. L. (1961) Nature (London) 192, 766-768
- 27 McConnell, S. K. and Kaznowski, C. E. (1991) Science 254, 282–285
- 28 Huttenlocher, A., Sandborg, R. R. and Horwitz, A. F. (1995) Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 697–706
- 29 Sugihara, K., Nakatsuji, N., Nakamura, K., Nakao, K., Hashimoto, R., Otani, H., Sakagami, H., Kondo, H., Nozawa, S., Aiba, A. and Katsuki, M. (1998) Oncogene 17, 3427–3433
- 30 Olson, M. F., Ashworth, A. and Hall, A. (1995) Science 269, 1270-1272
- 31 Qiu, R. G., Chen, J., McCormick, F. and Symons, M. (1995) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 92, 11781–11785
- 32 Cobellis, G., Missero, C. and Di Lauro, R. (1998) Oncogene 17, 2047-2057