Evidence for involvement of 3«*-untranslated region in determining angiotensin II receptor coupling specificity to G-protein*

Thomas J. THEKKUMKARA*1 and Stuart L. LINAS†

*Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, Amarillo, TX 79106, U.S.A., and †Department of Medicine, University of Colorado Health Sciences Center, Denver, CO 80262, U.S.A.

The mRNA $3'$ -untranslated region $(3'-UTR)$ of many genes has been identified as an important regulator of the mRNA transcript itself as well as the translated product. Previously, we demonstrated that Chinese-hamster ovary-K1 cells stably expressing angiotensin receptor subtypes (AT_{1A}) with and without 3'-UTR differed in AT_{1A} mRNA content and its coupling with intracellular signalling pathways. Moreover, RNA mobility-shift assay and UV cross-linking studies using the AT_{1A} 3'-UTR probe identified a major mRNA-binding protein complex of 55 kDa in Chinese-hamster ovary-K1 cells. In the present study, we have determined the functional significance of the native AT_{14} receptor 3«-UTR in rat liver epithelial (WB) cell lines by co-expressing the AT_{14} 3'-UTR sequence 'decoy' to compete with the native receptor 3'-UTR for its mRNA-binding proteins. PCR analysis using specific primers for the AT_{1A} receptor and $[1^{25}]$ angiotensin II (AngII)-binding studies demonstrated the expression of the native AT_{1A} receptors in WB ($B_{\text{max}} = 2.7$ pmol/mg of protein, $K_d = 0.56$ nM). Northern-blot analysis showed a significant increase in native receptor mRNA expression in 3«-UTR decoyexpressing cells, confirming the role of 3'-UTR in mRNA destabilization. Compared with vehicle control, AngII induced DNA and protein synthesis in wild-type WB as measured by [\$H]thymidine and [\$H]leucine incorporation respectively. Activation of [³H]thymidine and [³H]leucine correlated with a sig-

INTRODUCTION

The peptide hormone angiotensin II (AngII) mediates the physiological actions of the renin–angiotensin system, which includes blood pressure regulation, water and salt balance, neuromodulation and cellular growth [1]. AngII actions are mediated by two major types of receptors, AT_1 and AT_2 , which are members of the seven transmembrane G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) superfamily [2]. cDNA cloning for the AT_1 in rodents has identified two isoforms called AT_{1A} and AT_{1B} [3]. AT_{14} appears predominant with respect to tissue distribution and biological function. AT_{1A} receptors are expressed in a broad range of tissues, although at different levels. Stimulation of AT_{1A} receptors results in developmental and tissue-specific activation of a number of signal-transduction pathways, including the stimulation of intracellular calcium, activation of multiple serine, threonine and tyrosine kinases and phosphatases [4]. AT_{1A} receptors have also been suggested in AngII-mediated hyperplastic responses of cultured adrenocortical cells [5], liver epithelial cells [6] and cardiac fibroblasts [7] and in the hypertrophic responses of vascular smooth-muscle cells (VSMCs) [8] and proximal tubule cells [9]. Moreover, studies in several species nificant increase in cell number (cellular hyperplasia). In these cells, AngII stimulated GTPase activity by AT_1 receptor coupling with G-protein αi. We also delineated that functional coupling of AT_{1A} receptor with G-protein αi is an essential mechanism for AngII-mediated cellular hyperplasia in WB by specifically blocking G-protein αi activation. In contrast with wild-type cells, stable expression of the 3'-UTR 'decoy' produced AngIIstimulated protein synthesis and cellular hypertrophy as demonstrated by a significant increase in [\$H]leucine incorporation and no increase in [\$H]thymidine incorporation and cell number. Furthermore, $[1^{25}I]$ AngII cross-linking and immunoprecipitation studies using specific G-protein α antibodies showed that in wildtype cells, the AT_{1A} receptor coupled with G-protein αi , whereas in cells expressing the 3'-UTR 'decoy', the AT_{1A} receptor coupled with G-protein αq . These findings indicate that the 3'-UTRmediated changes in receptor function may be mediated in part by a switch from G-protein αi to G-protein αq coupling of the receptor. Our results suggest that the 3'-UTR-mediated posttranscriptional modification of the AT_{1A} receptor is critical for regulating tissue-specific receptor functions.

Key words: angiotensin II, decoy, hyperplasia, hypertrophy, 3'untranslated region.

have shown developmental and tissue-specific expression of this receptor [1]. The molecular and biochemical mechanisms underlying these developmental and tissue-specific expression and coupling processes are not well understood.

Recent studies have identified a major role for the 3'untranslated region (3'-UTR) of a number of mRNAs such as early response genes, structural and growth regulatory genes and germ-cell determinant genes in regulating complex cellular functions, presumably through recognition by specific nuclear and cytoplasmic mRNA-binding proteins $[10]$. The role of the 3'-UTR in altering mRNA stability is well established and for certain proteins (growth factors, hormone receptors, cytokines, transcription factors) is the primary regulator of their expression and function $[11,12]$. The mRNA 3'-UTR has been shown to regulate other cell functions as well. For example, a repressor element in the 3'-UTR of serine protease inhibitor 2.3 gene has been shown to regulate basal transcription as well as activation during inflammation [13]. Moreover, a 288 bp sequence in the 3[']-UTR of α 2C adrenergic receptor influences translational efficiency of the receptor and associated signalling events [14]. Similar translational control has been demonstrated for ornithine decarboxylase [15], phospholipase A2 [16], lipoprotein lipase [17]

Abbreviations used: AngII, angiotensin II; AT_{1A} and AT_{1B}, angiotensin receptor subtypes; GPCR, G-protein-coupled receptor; MEM, minimal essential medium; PTX, pertussis toxin; RAMP, receptor activity-modifying protein; RT, reverse transcriptase; SLO, streptolysin 0; 3'-UTR, 3'-untranslated region;
VSMC, vascular smooth-muscle cell; WB, rat liver epithelial cells.

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed (e-mail thomas.thekkumkara@ttuhsc.edu).

and 15-lipoxygenase [18]. mRNA localization facilitates cells to target proteins to specific intracellular compartments for appropriate protein folding and positioning for distinct functions. Several lines of evidence suggest that 3'-UTR-mediated mRNA transport is an important mode of localizing proteins within the cell and that it serves as a mechanism for establishing cellular asymmetry [19,20]. In addition, for troponin I, tropomyosin and α -cardiac actin, the 3'-UTR has also been shown to control cell growth and differentiation. Probably, the mechanism of the 3'-UTR for such regulation is by an initial disruption of the cell cycle, leading to muscle-specific gene expression [21]. Alternatively, these 3'-UTRs can function as antisense messages and/or can regulate the transcription by competing with repressors or enhancers of other genes. Taken together, these results indicate that mRNA 3'-UTR is important in determining specific cellular functions by controlling the efficiency of mRNA transcription and protein translation, intracellular mRNA sorting and localization, and cell growth and differentiation.

We have investigated the role of AT_{1A} receptor 3'-UTR in mRNA expression and receptor function. The AT_{1A} receptor protein is regulated by agonist-induced 3'-UTR-mediated mRNA destabilization in VSMCs [22]. Studies in our laboratory showed that the function of the 3'-UTR might extend beyond the control of mRNA destabilization. Compared with cells stably expressing the recombinant AT_{1A} with 3'-UTR, AT_{1A} without 3'-UTR differed in coupling with intracellular signalling pathways [23]. We also showed that a 55 kDa mRNA-binding protein specifically binds to the 3'-UTR of the AT_{1A} receptor. To our knowledge, no other study has reported on *trans*-acting factors that interact with the 3«-UTR of seven transmembrane receptor mRNAs in determining the specificity for receptor function. In the present study, we have expressed the AT_{1A} 3'-UTR as a decoy in rat liver epithelial (WB) cells to compete with the native receptor 3'-UTR for mRNA-binding protein(s). We performed a series of experiments to determine the functional role of 3'-UTR in altering the specificity for receptor G-protein coupling and receptor function. Our results show that in WB, AT_{1A} couples with G-protein α and causes hyperplasia, whereas in the presence of 3'-UTR 'decoy', AT_{1A} couples with G-protein αq and causes hypertrophy. This observation suggests a role for the 3'-UTR in determining receptor G-protein specificity and alterations in cellular responses. The possible role of $3'$ -UTR binding protein(s) in the regulation of receptor G-protein coupling is also discussed.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

WB were kindly provided by Dr H. Shelton Earp (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, U.S.A.). EXP3174 was provided by Merck Sharp & Dohme Research Laboratories (Rahway, NJ, U.S.A.). pRc}cytomegalovirus (CMV) vector was from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, U.S.A.). Richter's improved minimal essential medium (MEM) was obtained from Irvine Scientific Co. (Santa Ana, CA, U.S.A.). Foetal bovine serum (FBS) was from Gemini Bio-Products, Inc. (Calabasas, CA, U.S.A.). LIPOFECTAMINETM was from Life Technologies (Gaithersburg, MD, U.S.A.). AngII was from Sigma. *Thermus aquaticus* (*Taq*) polymerase and PCR reagents were from PerkinElmer (Norwalk, CT, U.S.A.). Restriction and DNAmodifying enzymes were from Promega (Madison, WI, U.S.A.). Oligonucleotide primers were obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc (Coralville, IA, U.S.A.). Antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, U.S.A.). $[^{125}I]$ AngII, $[\gamma^{-32}P]GTP$ and $[\alpha^{-35}S]ATP$ were from DuPont–New England Nuclear (Boston, MA, U.S.A.). [3H]-

Cell culture and transfections

We have reported previously [24] the cloning and isolation of the rat AT_{14} receptor gene. To construct an expression vector with AT_{1A} receptor 3'-UTR, a 848 bp fragment containing the 3'-UTR was PCR-amplified from the clone using a sense primer $(5'$ -CAGGTTCAAAGCACACTGGC-3[']) and an antisense primer (5'-TACATTACAATAAA ATTACTTTATTTAGAGG-3'). The amplified DNA was subcloned into the pRc/CMV vector. The authenticity and orientation of the construct was confirmed by sequencing with the sequenase[®] as described previously [25]. WB were cultured in Richter's improved MEM containing 0.01 μ M insulin, 50 μ g/ml gentamicin and 10% FBS. To isolate 3«-UTR 'decoy'-expressing stable cell lines, WB were grown (60% confluent) in 100 mm plate and transfected with 2μ g DNA using the LIPOFECTAMINETM method as described by the manufacturer. After 24 h, cells were exposed to a medium containing 600 μ g/ml G418 for 16 days to select 3'-UTR 'decoy'expressing stable cells. To eliminate individual colony artifacts, colonies of cells resistant to G418 were pooled together for propagation. Cells were maintained under a selection pressure of 200 μ g/ml G418.

Reverse transcriptase (RT)–PCR analysis

Expression of the native AT_{1A} and 3'-UTR 'decoy' transcripts in WB was determined by RT–PCR. Total RNA was isolated from wild-type and 3'-UTR 'decoy'-transfected WB by the acid guanidinium thiocyanate–phenol–chloroform method, as described previously [25]. Total RNA (2 μ g) was annealed to 10 pmol of random hexamer oligonucleotide, and first-strand cDNA was synthesized using 20 units of SuperScript® RT (Life Technologies). The cDNA of 546 bp was then amplified using a sense primer 5'-GCCTTACCAAGAATATTCTGG-3' corresponding to the coding region and an antisense primer 5'-GGCTGCCCTGGCTTCTGTCAG-3' specific for the 3'-UTR of the AT_{1A} receptor. The cDNA of 992 bp corresponding to the 3«-UTR 'decoy' or 144 bp corresponding to the polylinker region of the expression vector without the 3'-UTR was amplified using primers flanking the polylinker sequence of pRc}CMV expression vector. PCR was performed in a 50 μ l solution containing 2.5 units of *Taq* polymerase and subjected to 24 cycles of repeated denaturing (30 s at 95° C) \rightarrow annealing (30 s at 55 °C) \rightarrow extension (60 s at 72 °C) in a DNA thermal cycler (Perkin-Elmer GeneAmp PCR system 9600). The amplified DNA fragments were separated and visualized on a 1% ethidium bromide agarose gel.

Northern-blot analysis

Total RNA (10 μ g) from each cell line was separated by 1.2% agarose-gel electrophoresis in the presence of 6.5% (v/v) formaldehyde [23]. RNA was transferred to nitrocellulose membrane, UV cross-linked and prehybridized at 60 °C for 2 h in a buffer containing 0.5 M sodium phosphate (pH 7.5), 1 mM EDTA, 1% (w/v) BSA and 7% (w/v) SDS. A ³²P-labelled random primed probe corresponding to the 848 bp 3'-UTR fragment of the AT_{14} receptor was used to probe the membrane at 60 °C for 18 h. The membrane was washed twice with $2 \times SSC$ (0.15 M NaCl/0.015 mM sodium citrate)/0.1% (w/v) SDS at room temperature (23 °C) and twice with $1 \times SSC$ at 60 °C. After

the wash, the blot was air-dried, the radioactivity was visualized by autoradiography and its intensity of density was quantified by image analysis.

Receptor binding studies

AngII binding studies were performed in triplicate on WB (with and without expressing the 3'-UTR 'decoy') in 12-well plates as described previously [24]. Briefly, cells were rinsed twice with PBS and incubated at 22 °C for 30 min with 0.05 nM $[^{125}I]$ AngII in binding buffer [50 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.4), 120 mM NaCl, 4 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 10 μ g/ml of bacitracin, 0.25% BSA and 2 mg/ml of dextrose]. Non-specifically bound AngII was removed by washing three times with the binding buffer (without BSA). Finally, cells were dissolved in 0.2 M NaOH, transferred to counting vials and radioactivity was determined using a Packard[®] auto-γ-scintillation spectrometer. Specific $[$ ¹²⁵I]AngII binding was defined as that portion of the total binding displaced by 10 μ M unlabelled AngII. At equilibrium, specific binding was more than 95% of the total binding. Competition binding studies were performed in the presence of 0.05 nM $[^{125}I]$ AngII and increasing concentrations (1 pM–10 μ M) of unlabelled AngII or EXP3174 (AT_1 receptor antagonist) or PD 123177 $(AT₂ receptor antagonist).$

3 H incorporation and growth assay

To determine AngII-mediated growth response, DNA and protein synthesis were measured in WB. Measurement of [\$H] thymidine and [\$H]leucine incorporation was performed on WB with and without 3'-UTR 'decoy' as described previously [23]. Briefly, 'decoy' transfected and non-transfected cells were cultured for 24 h in 12-well plates in Richter's improved MEM containing 10% FBS. The cells were serum-depleted for 24 h and incubated with AngII (100 nM) and other agents for 18 h. $[{}^3H]$ Thymidine or $[{}^3H]$ leucine was added during the final 2 h of incubation. Cells were washed three times with PBS and fixed with 10% trichloroacetic acid. After washing with 95% ethanol, insoluble material was solubilized with 0.2 M NaOH. Incorporation of the radioactivity was determined as trichloroacetic acid-precipitable material using a liquid-scintillation counter. To block functional coupling of AT_{1A} receptor with G-protein α subunit, permeabilized cells were pretreated with specific Gprotein α antibodies (1:50 dilution) for 20 min. In these studies, we used the following antibodies: G-protein αi (epitope corresponding to amino acids 325–344 near the C-terminus of rat Gprotein αi, which is also within the highly conserved region of all members of G-protein αi family), G-protein αq (epitope corresponding to amino acids 341–359 mapping within the common C-terminal domain of G-protein αq and G-protein $\alpha 11$), and Gprotein αs (epitope corresponding to amino acids 377–394 mapping within the C-terminal domain of rat G-protein αs). Specificity of each antibody was established by a blocking peptide corresponding to each epitope. To ensure reliable internalization of G-protein antibody, reversible cellular membrane permeabilization was performed by exposing cells to 0.2 unit/ml streptolysin 0 (SLO) for 20 min (time and dose had no detectable variation in cell viability and proliferation after treatment) [26,27]. The suitable SLO concentration was determined based on cell viability (over 95% viable cells after treatment) and normal cell growth. Viability of cells and variations in cell proliferation were determined by Trypan Blue exclusion assay [28] and [³H]thymidine incorporation assay respectively. Cells were exposed to AngII (100 nM) and [3H]thymidine incorporation, measured as described above. For cell proliferation assay, WB with and without 'decoy' expression were grown as monolayer and serum-starved for 24 h. This was considered day 0. Serum-depleted cells were exposed to AngII $(1 \mu M)$, detached from the dish at 24 and 48 h after treatment and counted using a haemocytometer.

GTPase activity analysis

Measurement of the GTPase activity of signal-transducing Gprotein was performed in membranes prepared from WB [24]. Confluent cultures were washed twice with PBS, scraped into icecold buffer containing 50 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.6), 1 mM EDTA and 1 mM PMSF. They were homogenized and centrifuged at 4000 *g* for 10 min. The supernatant was centrifuged at 48 000 *g* for 20 min, and the membrane pellet was washed and resuspended in membrane storage buffer $[10 \text{ mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.4)}/1 \text{ mM}$ EDTA/2 mM benzamidine/50 μ M chlorpromazine/50 μ M leupeptin/1 TIU (trypsin inhibitor unit)/ml soya bean trypsin inhibitor/0.25 TIU/ml aprotinin/10 μ M PMSF]. Assay for GTPase activity was performed in triplicate [29] in a 100 μ l reaction mixture containing 25 μ of membrane suspension (25 μ g of protein), 50 μ l of GTPase assay buffer [40 mM Tris/HCl] $(pH 7.4)/200$ mM NaCl/4 mM EDTA/2 mM creatine/2 mM ouabain/10 mM $MgCl₂/1 \mu M GTP/50$ units/ml creatine kinase] ouabain/ το final MgCl₂/1 μM GTP/50 units/fin creating kinase
and 50000 c.p.m. [γ -³²P]GTP and incubated at 37 °C for 10 min. The reaction was terminated by transferring all the tubes to ice and agitating the tubes for 1 min. Then 900 μ l of ice-cold 2% activated charcoal suspension was added and centrifuged at 1500 *g* for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was counted in a liquid-scintillation counter for ${}^{32}P$ content.

Receptor labelling and immunoprecipitation studies and Westernblot analysis

To determine the association of AT_{1A} receptor and its signaltransducing G-protein, we performed ligand-mediated activation of the receptor, which was cross-linked [30] and immunoprecipitated with specific anti-G-protein antiserum [31,32]. [¹²⁵I]AngII cross-linking studies were performed due to the unavailability of AT_{1A} receptor-specific antibodies. Confluent cultures of WB with and without the 3'-UTR 'decoy' were washed twice with PBS and membranes prepared as described above. Equal amounts of membrane suspensions $(200 \mu g)$ of protein) were incubated with 0.05–0.1 nM $[^{125}I]$ AngII in a buffer containing 50 mM Hepes (pH 7.4), 125 mM NaCl, 6.5 mM $MgCl₂$, 1 mM EDTA, 10 μ g/ml bacitracin and 2 mg/ml dextrose for 30 min at room temperature (23 °C). AngII was cross-linked with 500 μ M disuccinimidyl suberate for 30 min at room temperature (23 °C) [30]. The reaction was stopped by adding 50 mM Tris/HCl (pH 8.0) and incubated for an additional 15 min. Immunoprecipitation was performed by incubating with specific G-protein α antiserum (1:100 dilution) and protein A/G agarose overnight at 4 °C. Precipitates were washed three times with lysis buffer without Nonidet P40 and the radioactivity was counted in a γ -counter. To detect the expression of different G-protein α subunits in WB, Western-blot analysis was performed. Cell membranes were solubilized in lysis buffer containing 0.1% Tween 20, separated by electrophoresis on 8% SDS– polyacrylamide gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Blots were probed with specific G-protein α antibodies with or without blocking peptides and enhanced chemiluminescence $(ECL[®])$ was used for secondary detection.

Data analysis

Results are presented as means \pm S.E.M. and the value of *P* < 0.05 was considered significant. Values were normalized to

the amount of protein determined using Bio-Rad DC protein assay. Data were analysed using the software GraphPad Prism, and the binding constants were determined as described previously [24].

RESULTS

AT1A receptor and 3«*-UTR 'decoy' expression in WB*

To determine a functional role for the 3'-UTR of the native AT_{IA} receptor, we used a continuously passaged WB line [33]. Competition binding studies using AngII, EXP3174 (an AT_1 receptor antagonist) and PD123177 (an AT_2 receptor antagonist) were performed in the presence of 0.05 nM $[125]$ AngII. The affinity of AngII ($K_a = 0.56$ nM) and EXP3174 ($K_d = 2.8$ nM) are within the range reported for angiotensin plasma membrane receptors (Figure 1A). No displacement was observed with AT_2 receptor antagonist PD123177. RT–PCR analysis using specific primers for the rat AT_{1A} receptor amplified a single cDNA fragment of 546 bp, confirming the presence of AT_{1A} mRNA transcript in WB (Figure 1B). In addition, $[125]$ AngII binding studies were performed to determine the level of native receptor protein expression before and after expressing the 3'-UTR 'decoy'. Compared with wild-type WB, 'decoy'-expressing and vectoralone-expressing cells showed no significant change in cell surface receptor expression as demonstrated by [¹²⁵I]AngII-binding

(A) Competition curves showing ligand specificity for $[^{125}$]AngII binding to WB. Competition curves were generated for AngII (\blacksquare), EXP3174 (\blacktriangle) and PD123177 (\blacktriangledown). Each point is the means \pm S.E.M. of triplicate values. (B) RT–PCR analysis of mRNA isolated from WB using specific primers for the rat AT_{1A} receptor. Arrow indicates the 546 bp cDNA band specific to the rat AT_{1A} receptor. (C) Specific binding of [¹²⁵l]AngII to wild-type and 3'-UTR 'decoy'- and vector-expressing WB. Data are expressed as percentage of total binding. (D) RT–PCR analysis using primers flanking the polylinker sequence of pRc/CMV vector to demonstrate the expression of the construct in WB. Arrows indicate the band for the 3'-UTR (992 bp) and the vector without the 3'-UTR (144 bp). mRNA-specific amplification was determined by the presence or absence of RT in the reaction.

Figure 2 Northern-blot analysis of wild-type and 3«*-UTR 'decoy'-expressing WB*

Total RNA (10 μ g) from each cell line was separated by gel electrophoresis, blotted and probed, as described in the Experimental section. Lane 1, RNA from wild-type cells ; lane 2, RNA from 3«-UTR ' decoy '-transfected cells. (*A*) Ethidium bromide-stained gel, (*B*) hybridization blot showing native receptor and 3'-UTR 'decoy' mRNA expression.

Figure 3 AngII-mediated changes in [3 H]thymidine and [3 H]leucine incorporation in wild-type and 3«*-UTR 'decoy'-expressing WB*

Wild-type and 3'-UTR 'decoy' and vector (pRc/CMV) stably expressing WB were exposed to Angll (100 nM) for 18 h. $[^3H]$ Thymidine or $[^3H]$ leucine was added to the medium during the last 2 h of incubation. $[{}^{3}H]$ incorporation was determined as described in the Experimental section. Epidermal growth factor (EGF; 200 ng) was used as a positive control for [³H]thymidine incorporation in $3'$ -UTR 'decoy'-expressing cells. Data are expressed as the means \pm S.E.M. of triplicate values. $P < 0.001$ versus basal.

studies (Figure 1C). We also performed RT–PCR using primers corresponding to the polylinker of the expression vector $pRC/$ CMV (in the presence or absence of RT) to confirm the expression of 3«-UTR 'decoy' transcripts in WB. In Figure 1(D), lane 4 shows the expression of the 3'-UTR 'decoy' and lane 6 shows the expression of the expression vector without $3'$ -UTR 'decoy', confirming the expression of both constructs in WB. Northernblot analysis (Figure 2B) revealed that expression of the native AT_{1A} receptor is significantly increased (2.7-fold) in 3'-UTR decoy-expressing cells compared with wild-type WB. This observation is consistent with our previous studies and studies from other laboratories showing the role of AT_{1A} 3'-UTR in mRNA degradation $[22,23]$. The level of 3'-UTR decoy mRNA shows 1.78-fold higher expression than the native receptor in transfected cells and 3.7-fold higher expression than the native receptor in non-transfected cells.

Figure 4 Effect of AngII on cell proliferation in wild-type and 3'-UTR *'decoy'-expressing WB*

Wild-type and 3'-UTR 'decoy'-expressing WB were serum-depleted for 24 h, exposed to AngII (1 μ M) or AngII + EXP3174 (10 μ M) for 24 or 48 h. Cell number was determined as described in the Experimental section. Data are expressed as the means \pm S.E.M. of triplicate values and are representative of three experiments performed in triplicate. $*P < 0.01$ versus control.

Agonist-mediated changes in the growth of WB with or without 3«*-UTR 'decoy' expression*

AngII has been shown to be a hyperplastic agent in some cells and a hypertrophic agent in others, suggesting tissue-specific regulation of AngII receptor function. Therefore we performed $[{}^3H]$ thymidine (as an indicator of DNA synthesis) and $[{}^3H]$ leucine (as an indicator of protein synthesis) incorporation in WB with or without 3'-UTR 'decoy' expression. As shown in Figure 3, AngII (100 nM) stimulated [3 H]thymidine and [3 H]leucine incorporation in WB, whereas no significant stimulation of [\$H] thymidine was observed in 3«-UTR 'decoy'-expressing WB. However, 3'-UTR 'decoy' had no effect on the AngII-mediated stimulation of [³H]leucine incorporation. In cells transfected with expression vector alone, AngII stimulated both [3H]thymidine and [\$H]leucine incorporation. As a positive control (for DNA synthesis), epidermal growth factor (200 ng/ml) stimulated $[^{3}H]$ thymidine incorporation in 3«-UTR 'decoy'-expressing WB, confirming the specificity for the AT_{1A} 3'-UTR 'decoy' on homologous receptor. Furthermore, a direct analysis of AngIImediated cell division was performed by counting the cell number of wild-type and 3«-UTR 'decoy'-expressing WB at 24 and 48 h after AngII exposure. Figure 4 shows that in WB, AngII $(1 \mu M)$ stimulated cell division with a doubling time of 46 h. This was blocked by EXP3174, the receptor antagonist. Compared with wild-type WB (in serum-free medium), 3'-UTR 'decoy'-expressing cells showed no significant increase in cell number at 24 or 48 h after AngII exposure.

Expression of different G-protein α subunits and AngII-mediated GTPase activity in WB

To determine the expression of different G-protein α subunits in WB, immunoblot analysis was performed using specific anti-

Figure 5 AngII-mediated increase in GTPase activity in WB

WB were grown to 90% confluency and membranes were isolated as described in the Experimental section. (*A*) Western-blot analysis using cell membranes. Membranes were solubilized in lysis buffer containing 0.1% Tween 20, separated by electrophoresis on 8% SDS–polyacrylamide gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Blots were probed with specific anti-G-protein α antibodies without (lanes 1, 3 and 5) or with (lanes 2, 4 and 6) blocking peptides, and enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL®) was used for secondary detection. **(B)** Isolated membranes (25 μ g) were incubated in the presence of [γ -³²P]GTP with or without AngII (100 nM), AngII + EXP3174 (1 μ M), AngII + GTP guanosine-5'-0-(3-thiotriphosphate) (10 μ M), AngII + G-protein α i antibody (1:100 dilution), AngII + G-protein α q antibody (1: 100 dilution) and AngII + G-protein α s antibody (1:100 dilution). ³²P content was determined in a liquid-scintillation counter. Data are expressed as the means \pm S.E.M. of triplicate values and are representative of three experiments performed in triplicate. $*P < 0.001$ versus basal.

bodies raised against G-protein αi, G-protein αq and G-protein α s subunits (Figure 5A). The G-protein α i antibody recognized a 42 kDa protein (lane 1), the G-protein αq antibody recognized a 42 kDa protein (lane 5) and the G-protein α s antibody recognized a 47 kDa protein (lane 3) in isolated membranes of WB. To determine the possible involvement of G-protein(s) in AT_{1A} receptor signal transduction, GTPase activity was measured in membranes of WB (Figure 5B). Non-specific hydrolysis was determined by incubating the membranes in the presence of a non-hydrolysable analogue of GTP guanosine-5'-0-(3thiotriphosphate). AngII (100 nM) stimulated the GTPase activity in WB. Pretreatment of membranes with AT_1 receptor antagonist EXP3174 completely inhibited AngII-induced GTPase activity. AngII-induced activation of GTPase activity was reduced to basal level when the membranes were coincubated with G-protein α specific antibody, whereas no change in GTPase activity was observed in the presence of G-protein αq or G-protein αs antibody, suggesting that in WB AT_{14} receptor couples through G-protein αi subunit to signal-transduction pathways.

Figure 6 AngII-mediated [³H]thymidine incorporation in WB in the presence *of G-protein α antibodies*

WB were serum-depleted for 24 h and exposed to AngII (100 nM) with or without specific Gprotein antibodies (G-protein αi , αq and αs) in the presence or absence of the blocking (immunizing) peptide (BP). Reversible cellular membrane permeabilization was achieved by exposing cells to 0.2 unit/ml SLO for 20 min to introduce antibodies into cells. [³H]Thymidine incorporation was measured as described in the Experimental section. Data are expressed as the means \pm S.E.M. of triplicate values and are representative of three experiments performed in triplicate.

Determination of G-protein α subunit specificity for AngIImediated growth in WB

We hypothesized that AngII-mediated increase in cellular hyperplasia in WB was due to functional coupling of the AT_{1A} receptor to G-protein α subunit. We therefore pre-exposed cells with G-protein αi antibody to impede receptor G-protein αi coupling and AngII (100 nM)-mediated [H]thymidine incorporation was measured (Figure 6). Antibody was introduced into cells by reversible permeabilization with SLO. In WB unexposed to G-protein αi antibody, AngII stimulated a 3-fold increase in ^{[3}H]thymidine incorporation and this effect was blocked by EXP3174. No change in AngII-induced $[3H]$ thymidine incorporation was observed in cells before or after SLO permeabilization, suggesting that permeabilization did not alter the normal growth properties of the WB (cf. lanes 1–3 with lanes 4–6). AngII-mediated increase in [\$H]thymidine incorporation was completely inhibited in WB pretreated with G-protein αi antibody (1: 50 dilution) for 30 min, and it was reversed when the antibody was preincubated with the immunizing (blocking) peptide. In these cells, preincubation with G-protein αq or Gprotein αs antibody did not inhibit AngII-mediated increase in [\$H]thymidine incorporation. These results demonstrate that AngII-induced DNA synthesis (mitogenesis) in WB is through activation of G-protein αi subunit.

3«*-UTR 'decoy'-mediated alterations in receptor G-protein coupling in WB*

Since AT_{1A} receptor induces DNA synthesis through G-protein αi coupling, we questioned whether the observed changes in cell

Figure 7 Effect of 3«*-UTR 'decoy' on AngII-induced receptor G-protein coupling in WB*

Wild-type and 3'-UTR 'decoy'-expressing stable WB were grown to semiconfluency in 100 mm plates. Membranes were isolated and $[1^{25}]$]AngII binding, cross-linking and immunoprecipitation using specific G-protein α antibodies were performed as described in the Experimental section. Immunoprecipitates were washed three times with cell lysis buffer and the radioactivity counted in a γ -counter. Data are expressed as the means $+$ S.E.M. of triplicate values and are representative of three experiments performed in triplicate.

growth (hyperplasia versus hypertrophy) in 3«-UTR 'decoy' expressing WB were mediated by alterations in receptor Gprotein coupling. To test this hypothesis, we determined the role of 3′-UTR in AngII-mediated physical coupling of the AT_{1A} receptor with a specific G-protein α subunit in WB. We crosslinked the receptor in wild-type and 3'-UTR 'decoy'-expressing WB after agonist $[1^{25}I]$ AngII or $[1^{25}I]$ AngII plus EXP3174 exposure, and immunoprecipitated with specific G-protein α subunit antibodies. As shown in Figure 7, AngII stimulated physical coupling of AT_{1A} receptor to G-protein αi in wild-type WB, as demonstrated by immunoprecipitation of [125I]AngII cross-linked receptor by G-protein αi antibody. This physical coupling was blocked by co-incubation of cells with EXP3174. No significant incorporation of ^{125}I label in the immunoprecipitate by Gprotein αq or G-protein αs antibody was observed. However, when the cells were expressed with 3′-UTR 'decoy', the AT_{1A} receptor coupling switched from G-protein α i to G-protein α q and the receptor antagonist EXP3174 blocked the G-protein α q coupling. These results suggest that the 3'-UTR may play a role in determining the specificity of AT_{1A} receptor G-protein coupling and thereby function in WB.

DISCUSSION

In our previous study [23], we demonstrated that Chinesehamster ovary-K1 cells stably expressing AT_{1A} receptor with and without its 3'-UTR couple differentially to intracellular signalling pathways. We have also shown by mobility-shift assays and UV cross-linking studies that at least one specific mRNA-binding protein of 55 kDa interacts with the receptor 3'-UTR. Our results suggested that the $3'-\text{UTR}$ of the AT_{1A} receptor could control specific AngII-mediated cellular responses, perhaps through selective recognition of its 3'-UTR by specific mRNA-

binding protein(s). These findings raised two important questions: (1) What is the relevance of these observations to native AT_{1A} , since in every tissue, native receptor expresses mRNA transcripts with the $3'-UTR$, and (2) what are the physiological manifestations of these observations ? The present study was designed to address these intriguing questions. We chose a continuously passaged WB line [33], which expresses chose a commutusly passaged wb line [55], which expresses
native AT_{AA} , as evidenced by [¹²⁵]]AngII-binding and RT–PCR analysis. Tissue distribution studies for the mRNA-binding protein(s) showed that rat liver tissue as well as the WB (results not shown) express the 55 kDa mRNA-binding protein, which specifically binds to the $3'-\text{UTR}$ of AT_{1A} receptor. To investigate the functional significance of the $3'-\text{UTR}$ of AT_{1A} , we evaluated the effects of expression of the 3'-UTR 'decoy' with native AT_{1A} receptor by competing with the native receptor 3«-UTR for its mRNA-binding protein. Recent studies have demonstrated that co-expression of the regulatory region as minigenes with its native homologous transcripts resulted in specific antagonism or alteration of its function [34].

We performed initial studies to determine the normal response of the native AT_{1A} in WB and showed that the native receptor stimulates cell proliferation by coupling specifically to the Gprotein α subunit. AT_{1A} is a member of the seven transmembrane GPCR superfamily. Binding of ligand to receptor (with seven transmembrane spanning domains) initiates cellular signalling first by direct coupling with a specific G-protein to form a ligand–receptor–G-protein complex, resulting in the exchange of GTP for GDP on the G-protein α subunit. Although similar G-protein α subunits express in most cells/tissues, AT_{1A} receptor G-protein coupling is cell/tissue-specific [1,4]. For example, in liver, AngII couples with a pertussis toxin (PTX) sensitive G-protein, whereas in VSMCs it does not. Previous studies utilizing indirect methods such as PTX sensitivity have suggested G-protein αi coupling in AT_{1A} -mediated signalling, but to our knowledge there is no direct evidence of specific G-protein α involvement in AngII-mediated cell growth. There is evidence that antibodies raised against the C-terminal part of the G-protein α subunit can effectively block G-protein-mediated receptor functions [29]. We used three different G-protein α antibodies raised against the C-terminal region to identify the specific G-protein α subunit activated by the AT_{1A} receptor and determine AngII-mediated cell growth as an end point in WB. These antibodies specifically recognized three major classes of G-protein α subunits: G-protein αi , G-protein αq and G-protein αs. Our results show that in WB, AngII stimulated DNA and protein synthesis and increased cell number (hyperplasia) by activating AT_{1A} receptors. The fact that G-protein α i-specific antibody blocked AngII-mediated DNA synthesis in WB indicates that AT_{1A} receptor coupling with G-protein αi is an essential step in AngII-induced cellular hyperplasia. Similar results were also observed with PTX treatment (results not shown). Because we used G-protein αi antibody, which recognizes multiple subtypes of Gαi proteins, it is necessary to determine which Gprotein α subtype specifically interacts with the AT_{1A} receptor.

AngII has been shown to couple with growth by stimulating hyperplastic and/or hypertrophic response in a tissue-specific manner. In cardiomyocytes [35], VSMCs [8] and proximal tubule epithelial cells [9], activation of AT_{1A} receptors induces hypertrophic responses, whereas in cardiac fibroblasts [7], adrenal [4] and liver cells [1], AT_{1A} receptors induce hyperplastic responses. The signalling mechanism(s) by which GPCRs stimulate hypertrophic or hyperplastic responses is not fully understood. This is particularly true for AngII receptors, which couple positively or negatively with DNA synthesis in a tissue-specific manner. We showed that in WB, AngII-mediated hyperplastic responses were altered to hypertrophic responses when the cells expressed 3'-UTR 'decoy' to block mRNA-binding protein interaction with the native AT_{1A} 3'-UTR. In 3'-UTR 'decoy'expressing cells, the receptor coupling with G-protein α subunit also changed from G-protein αi to G-protein αq . Our results suggest that the 3'-UTR of the AT_{1A} receptor has a role in cell growth (hyperplastic/hypertrophic) by allowing the receptor to couple with a specific G-protein α subunit. In wild-type WB, AT_{14} coupling with G-protein α subunit (alternatively known as inhibitory G-protein) results in increases in DNA synthesis possibly by inhibiting cAMP. This result compliments our previous observation in which we showed that Chinese-hamster ovary-K1 cells expressing the AT_{1A} receptor without its 3'-UTR stimulated cAMP and inhibited DNA synthesis [36]. Furthermore, it has been reported that in VSMCs, stable expression of a constitutively active Gαl6 (G-protein αq family protein) mimicked the hypertrophic effects of vasoconstrictors, suggesting that G-protein αq coupling is an important step for vasoconstrictor-mediated hypertrophic response [37]. Taken together, the results suggest that the $3'$ -UTR of the AT_{14} receptor [by interacting with specific mRNA-binding protein(s)] play an important role in determining receptor G-protein coupling specificity and thereby altering cellular growth responses. Genetic complementation studies revealed specific regulatory roles for 3'-UTR of troponin I, tropomyosin and α -cardiac actin in growth and differentiation [21]. Studies have shown functional correlation between 3«-UTR of many mRNAs and RNA-binding proteins. One possible explanation for these results is that tissues in which the mRNA-binding protein is present may allow the receptor into a conformation specific for G-protein αi coupling. Alternatively, in the absence of mRNA-binding protein the same receptors may be in an alternate conformation, specific for Gprotein αq coupling. The mechanism(s) by which specific confirmation of the receptor determines G-protein coupling is unknown. Burns et al. [38] demonstrated that mRNA editing of the serotonin-2C receptor resulted in G-protein-specific alterations in serotonergic signal transduction and suggested that post-transcriptional modification may be critical for regulating different cellular functions mediated by other GPCRs by altering the efficacy of the interaction between the receptor and their Gproteins. It has been shown that protein kinase A-mediated conformational change in β2-adrenergic receptor alters receptor coupling from G-protein αs to G-protein αi and can activate different signalling events [39]. This kind of alteration in Gprotein α subunit coupling is relevant to the interpretation of the tissue-specific G-protein coupling of native AT_{1A} receptors.

Although we showed that the 3'-UTR is essential for determining AT_{1A} receptor G-protein coupling specificity, how it promotes such regulation at the mRNA level is not known. One of the key roles for the 3«-UTR is intracellular localization and retention of specific mRNAs for precise post-translational modifications. In *Drosophila* eggs, correct localization of many mRNAs and their proteins are necessary to establish the polarity and subsequent segmentation of the embryo [40]. Two wellstudied examples are oskar mRNA localization at the posterior end and bicoid mRNA at the anterior end of the embryo. The 3'-UTR of each of these mRNAs is sufficient for correct mRNA localization. Specific mRNA localization is not a characteristic of germline cells alone. Actin, an important structural component of all eukaryotic cells, uses its mRNA 3'-UTR for directing its transcripts to appropriate cell compartments for proper translation [10,20]. The proposed model for mRNA localization is that these RNAs contain *cis*-acting signal sequences recognized by RNA-binding proteins that function either in the transport of mRNAs from their site of synthesis to appropriate subcellular

locations or by anchoring the mRNA at translational sites. Localization by 3'-UTR may be necessary for correct chaperoning and functioning of the translated protein. McLatchie et al. [41] reported the identification of a new family of single-transmembrane domain proteins called receptor activity-modifying proteins (RAMPs). There are at least three proteins that have been cloned. These RAMPs are expressed in a cell/tissue-specific manner and control the transport and glycosylation of GPCRs such as calcitonin-receptor-like receptors. They play the role of chaperons for folding (specific conformation) of the receptor and translocation to the plasma membrane. Different RAMPs glycosylate the receptor differentially, allowing the GPCR to change its responsiveness, suggesting that RAMP mediates alterations in G-protein coupling and function. Although the function is not known, studies (Westernblot analysis) have demonstrated that the AT_{1A} receptor is glycosylated, resulting in a significant increase in the molecular mass of the receptor protein [4]. We propose that in the presence of mRNA-binding protein, 3«-UTR may mediate targeting of the AT_{14} mRNA to a specific intracellular compartment for translation and subsequent specific RAMP-mediated translocation to the plasma membrane. However, in the absence of mRNAbinding protein, alternatively localized mRNA translation may allow for a different RAMP-mediated receptor translocation and, as a consequence, the receptor will couple with a different G-protein. Studies have demonstrated subcellular localization of transmembrane receptors, G-proteins and effectors within individual cells as potential mechanism for determining the specificity of receptor G-protein coupling [42]. The 3'-UTR of the AT_{1A} receptor contains mRNA localization motifs similar to what has been described for actin mRNA [43]. The sequence has 100% identity with the two flanking regions (required) with an overall homology of 76% . The functional importance of this site in the AT_{1A} 3'-UTR needs to be elucidated.

In summary, AngII induces cell proliferation in WB, mediated through specific G-protein αi coupling. 3«-UTR-mediated alterations in G-protein α subunit coupling of the AT_{1A} receptor results in functional switching of hyperplastic responses to hypertrophic responses, suggesting that the $3'-UTR$ of the AT_{1A} receptor is important in determining the specificity of G-protein coupling and receptor-mediated cellular functions. However, it is not clear how the 3'-UTR of the mRNA alters G-protein specificity of its receptor protein. Further experiments will be needed to define the mechanism of such interaction. Isolation and functional characterization of the 55 kDa mRNA-binding protein will be necessary to determine the mechanisms by which 3«-UTR regulates receptor G-protein coupling in a tissue-specific manner.

This study was supported in part by research grants from the U.S. National Institutes of Health (HL61356) and the American Diabetes Association.

REFERENCES

- 1 Raizada, M. K., Phillips, M. I. and Sumners, C. (eds.) (1996) Recent Advances in Angiotensin II Receptors, 1st edn, pp. 59–69, Plenum Press, New York
- 2 Chiu, A. T., Herblin, W. F., McDall, D. E., Ardecky, R. J., Carini, D. J., Duncia, J. V., Pease, L. J., Wong, P. C., Wexler, R. R., Johnson, A. L. and Timmermans, P. B. M. W. M. (1989) Identification of angiotensin II receptor subtypes. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. *165*, 196–203
- 3 Sandberg, K. (1994) Structural analysis and regulation of angiotensin II receptors. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. *5*, 28–35
- 4 Catt, K. J., Sanderg, K. and Balla, T. (1993) Angiotensin II receptors and signal transduction mechanisms. In Cellular and Molecular Biology of the Renin–Angiotensin System (Raizada, M. K., Phillips, M. I. and Summers, C., eds.), pp. 307–356, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL
- 5 Natarajan, R., Gonzales, N., Hornsby, P. J. and Nadler, J. (1992) Mechanism of angiotensin II-induced proliferation in bovine adrenocortical cells. Endocrinology *131*, 1174–1180
- 6 Jard, S., Cantan, B. and Jakobs, K. H. (1981) Angiotensin II and α -adrenergic agonists inhibit rat liver adenylyl cyclase. J. Biol. Chem. *256*, 2603–2606
- Schorb, W., Booz, G. W., Dostal, D. E., Conrad, K. M., Chang, K. C. and Baker, K. M. (1993) Angiotensin II is mitogenic in neonatal rat cardiac fibroblasts. Circ. Res. *72*, 1245–1254
- 8 Gibbons, G. H., Pratt, R. E. and Dzau, V. J. (1992) Vascular smooth muscle cell hypertrophy vs. hyperplasia. Autocrine transforming growth factor-β1 expression determines growth response to angiotensin II. J. Clin. Invest. *90*, 456–461
- 9 Wolf, G., Killen, P. D. and Neilson, E. G. (1991) Reintracellular signaling of transcription and secretion of type IV collagen after angiotensin II-induced cellular hypertrophy in cultured proximal tubular cells. Cell Regul. *2*, 219–227
- 10 Jackson, R. J. (1993) Cytoplasmic regulation of mRNA function : the importance of the 3' untranslated region. Cell (Cambridge, Mass.) 74, 9-14
- 11 Sachs, A. B. (1993) Messenger RNA degradation in eukaryotes. Cell (Cambridge, Mass.) *74*, 413–421
- 12 Balmer, L. A., Beveridge, D. J., Jazayeri, J. A., Thomson, A. M., Walker, C. E. and Leedman, P. J. (2001) Identification of a novel AU-rich element in the 3' untranslated region of epidermal growth factor receptor mRNA that is the target for regulated RNA-binding proteins. Mol. Cell. Biol. *21*, 2070–2084
- 13 Le Cam, A. and Legraverend, C. (1995) Transcriptional repression, a novel function for 3« untranslated regions. Eur. J. Biochem. *231*, 620–627
- Yang, Q., McDermott, P. J., Duzic, E., Pleij, C. W., Sherlock, J. D. and Lanier, S. M. (1997) The 3' untranslated region of the α 2C-adrenergic receptor mRNA impedes translation of the receptor message. J. Biol. Chem. *272*, 15466–15473
- 15 Lovkvist Wallstrom, E., Takao, K., Wendt, A., Vargiu, C., Yin, H. and Persson, L. (2001) Importance of the 3' untranslated region of ornithine decarboxylase mRNA in the translational regulation of the enzyme. Biochem. J. *356*, 627–634
- 16 Tay, A., Maxwell, P., Li, Z. G., Goldberg, H. and Skorecki, K. (1994) Cytosolic phospholipase A2 gene expression in rat mesangial cells is regulated posttranscriptionally. Biochem. J. *304*, 417–422
- 17 Ranganathan, G., Li, C. and Kern, P. A. (2000) The translational regulation of lipoprotein lipase in diabetic rats involves the 3'-untranslated region of the lipoprotein lipase mRNA. J. Biol. Chem. *275*, 40986–40991
- 18 Ostareck-Lederer, A., Ostareck, D. H., Standart, N. and Thiele, B. J. (1994) Translation of 15-lipoxygenase mRNA is inhibited by a protein that bind to a repeated sequence in the 3« untranslated region. EMBO J. *13*, 1476–1481
- 19 Macdonald, P. M. (1992) The means to the ends : localization of maternal messenger RNAs. Semin. Dev. Biol. *3*, 413–424
- 20 Gu, W., Pan, F., Zhang, H., Bassell, G. J. and Singer, R. H. (2002) A predominantly nuclear protein affecting cytoplasmic localization of $β$ -actin mRNA in fibroblasts and neurons. J. Cell Biol. *156*, 41–51
- 21 Rastinejad, F. and Blau, H. M. (1993) Genetic complementation reveals a novel regulatory role for 3' untranslated regions in growth and differentiation. Cell (Cambridge, Mass.) *72*, 903–917
- 22 Nickenig, G. and Murphy, T. J. (1996) Enhanced angiotensin receptor type 1 mRNA degradation and induction of polyribosomal mRNA binding proteins by angiotensin II in vascular smooth muscle cells. Mol. Pharmacol. *50*, 743–751
- Thekkumkara, T. J., Thomas, W. G., Motel, T. J. and Baker, K. M. (1998) Functional role for the angiotensin II (AT1A) receptor 3' untranslated region in determining cellular responses to agonist: evidence for recognition by RNA binding proteins. Biochem. J. *329*, 255–264
- Thekkumkara, T. J., Du, J., Dostal, D. E., Motel, T. J., Thomas, W. G. and Baker, K. M. (1995) Stable expression of a functional rat angiotensin II (AT1A) receptor in CHO-K1 cells : rapid desensitization by angiotensin II. Mol. Cell. Biochem. *146*, 79–89

Received 22 June 2002/4 November 2002 ; accepted 13 November 2002 Published as BJ Immediate Publication 13 November 2002, DOI 10.1042/BJ20020960

- 25 Thomas, W. G., Thekkumkara, T. J., Motel, T. J. and Baker, K. M. (1995) Stable expression of a truncated AT1A receptor in CHO-K1 cells : the carboxyl-terminal region directs agonist induced internalization, but not receptor signaling or desensitization. J. Biol. Chem. *270*, 207–213
- 26 Paillasson, S., Van De Corput, M., Dirks, R. W., Tanke, H. J., Robert-Nicoud, M. and Ronot, X. (1997) *In situ* hybridization in living cells : detection of RNA molecules. Exp. Cell Res. *231*, 226–233
- 27 Kveberg, L., Bryceson, Y., Inngjerdingen, M., Rolstad, B. and Maghazachi, A. A. (2002) Sphingosine 1 phosphate induces the chemotaxis of human natural killer cells. Role for heterotrimeric G proteins and phosphoinositide 3 kinases. Eur. J. Immunol. *32*, 1856–1864
- 28 Freshney, R. I. (2000) Culture of Animal Cells, 4th edn, John Wiley & Sons, New York
- 29 Oppermann, M., Freedman, N. J., Alexander, R. W. and Lefkowitz, R. J. (1996) Phosphorylation of the type 1A angiotensin II receptor by G protein-coupled receptor kinases and protein kinase C. J. Biol. Chem. *271*, 13266–13272
- 30 Sweeney, M. (1995) Measurement of the GTPase activity of signal-transducing Gproteins in neuronal membranes. In Methods in Molecular Biology, vol. 41, Signal Transduction Protocols (Kendall, D. A. and Hill, S. J., eds.), Humana Press, Clifton, NJ, U.S.A.
- 31 Simonds, W. F., Goldsmith, P. K., Codina, J., Unson, C. G. and Spiegel, A. M. (1989) Giα mediated α 2-adrenergic inhibition of adenylyl cyclase in platelet membranes : *in situ* identification with G α C-terminal antibodies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. *86*, 7809–7813
- 32 Georgoussi, Z., Mulligan, G. and Zioudrou, C. (1995) Immunoprecipitation of opioid receptor–Go protein complexes using specific GTP-binding-protein antisera. Biochem. J. *306*, 71–75
- 33 Earp, S. H., Huckle, W. R., Dawson, T. L., Li, X., Graves, L. M. and Dy, R. (1995) Angiotensin II activates at least two tyrosine kinases in rat liver epithelial cells. J. Biol. Chem. *270*, 28440–28447
- Mazumder, B. and Fox, P. L. [Investigator: Fox, PL.] (1999) Delayed translational silencing of ceruloplasmin transcript in γ interferon-activated U937 monocytic cells: role of the 3' untranslated region. Mol. Cell. Biol. 19, 6898-6905
- Sadoshima, J. and Izumo, S. (1993) Signal transduction pathways of angiotensin IIinduced c-*fos* gene expression in cardiac myocytes *in vitro*. Circ. Res. *73*, 424–438
- Thekkumkara, T. J., Du, J., Zwaagstra, J. C., Krupinski, J. and Baker, K. M. (1995) A role for cAMP in angiotensin II mediated inhibition of cell growth in AT1A receptor-transfected CHO-K1 cells. Mol. Cell. Biochem. *152*, 77–86
- 37 Higashita, R., Li, L., Van Putten, V., Yamamura, Y., Zarinetchi, F., Heasley, L. and Nemenoff, R. A. (1997) Gα16 mimics vasoconstrictor action to induce smooth muscle α -actin in vascular smooth muscle cells through a Jun-NH₂ terminal kinasedependent pathway. J. Biol. Chem. *272*, 25845–25850
- Burns, C. M., Chu, H., Rueter, S. M., Hutchinson, L. K., Canton, H., Sanders-Bush, E. and Emeson, R. B. (1997) Regulation of serotonin-2C receptor G-protein coupling by RNA editing. Nature (London) *387*, 303–308
- Daaka, Y., Luttrell, L. M. and Lefkowitz, R. J. (1997) Switching of the coupling of the β 2-adrenergic receptor to different G proteins by protein kinase A. Nature (London) *390*, 88–91
- 40 Gavis, E. R. and Lehmann, R. (1994) Translational regulation of nanos by RNA localization. Nature (London) *369*, 315–318
- McLatchie, L. M., Fraser, N. J., Main, M. J., Wise, A., Brown, J., Thompson, N., Solari, R., Lee, M. G. and Foord, S. M. (1998) RAMPs regulate the transport and ligand specificity of the calcitonin-receptor-like receptor. Nature (London) *393*, 333–339
- Raymond, J. R. (1995) Multiple mechanisms of receptor-G protein signaling specificity. Am. J. Physiol. *269*, F141–F158
- 43 Ross, A. F., Oleynikov, Y., Kislauskis, E. H., Taneja, K. L. and Singer, R. H. (1997) Characterization of a β-actin mRNA zipcode-binding protein. Mol. Cell. Biol. *17*, 2158–2165