

Simulation of NMR Data from Oriented Membrane Proteins: Practical Information for Experimental Design

Charles R. Sanders, II and James P. Schwonek

Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, Ohio 44106 USA

ABSTRACT Several hundred solid state NMR dipolar couplings and chemical shift anisotropies were simulated for the polytopic membrane protein, bacteriorhodopsin, and for an idealized transmembrane peptide conforming to several different secondary structures (α - and 3_{10} -helices and parallel and antiparallel β -sheets), each at several tilt angles with respect to the bilayer normal. The use of macroscopically oriented samples was assumed. The results of these simulations suggest:

(i) Because of the r^{-3} dependence of dipolar coupling, it is likely to prove difficult to successfully execute *uniform* isotopic enrichment strategies to generate large numbers of quantitatively interpretable structural measurements in oriented sample NMR studies of membrane proteins.

(ii) There are a number of readily implementable *specific* isotopic labeling schemes which can yield data patterns sufficient to identify local secondary structure for transmembrane segments of idealized proteins which are tilted by $<10^\circ$ with respect to the bilayer normal.

(iii) The measurement of dipolar coupling constants between ^{13}C -, ^{19}F -, and/or ^3H -labeled side chains of proximal residues may prove effective as routes to long range tertiary structural data constraints.

INTRODUCTION

The determination of the three-dimensional structure of a membrane protein by *any* method generally remains a formidable problem. In principle, solid state nuclear magnetic resonance (SSNMR) should be capable of such a task (Opella and Stewart, 1989; Brenneman and Cross, 1990; Smith and Peersen, 1992). However, this area has traditionally been limited by the poor spectral resolution of powder patterns arising from disoriented membrane samples.¹ This problem has been dealt with by the development of rapid sample spinning and sample orientation methods which eliminate powder patterns and yield high resolution spectra from anisotropic membrane phases (e.g., Smith and Peersen, 1992; Moll and Cross, 1990; Cornell et al., 1988; Sanders and Prestegard, 1990). As a result of the increased accessibility of structurally useful data afforded by such "high resolution" SSNMR methods, the determination of three-dimensional structures of moderately sized membrane proteins from SSNMR data is now feasible.

The success of solution NMR as a route to protein structure determination is based upon the ability of multidimensional NMR to provide large numbers of structural constraints for the protein of interest (Wuthrich, 1986). Like solution NMR, "magic angle" sample spinning NMR techniques such as REDOR (Gullion and Schaefer, 1989) and rotational resonance (Levitt et al., 1990) can also provide

quantitative internuclear distance measurements. However, such techniques have yet to be developed to the point where more than a few measurements can be made in a single, technically demanding set of experiments.² At the present, oriented sample NMR (OSNMR) techniques may offer a more facile route to higher numbers of structurally relevant measurements. For example, in studies of lipids it has been demonstrated that by using magnetically oriented model membrane systems, it is possible to readily acquire 10–20 structural constraints using NMR instrumentation and methods which are quite crude by rapid sample spinning technology standards (Sanders, 1993; Sanders and Prestegard, 1991). However, the structural information encoded in OSNMR measurements is not as directly interpretable as in the case of REDOR/rotational resonance data. Nevertheless, the direct use of OSNMR data parameters such as chemical shift anisotropy (CSA), dipolar and quadrupolar couplings in structural studies is now possible through the use of "angle geometry" (Brenneman and Cross, 1990), data-constrained energy minimizations (Ram et al., 1989), and other techniques (Chiu et al., 1991; Hare et al., 1993; Sanders and Schwonek, 1993) which allow tensor orientation-dependent measurements to be translated into conformational, orientational, and dynamic structural information.

The use of OSNMR has now led to the complete elucidation of the backbone structure of membrane-associated gramicidin A, a small ion channel (Mai et al., 1993; Teng et al., 1991; Ketchum et al., 1993). Despite advances made in the course of this impressive work and other stud-

Received for publication 8 February 1993 and in final form 21 July 1993.

¹ Of course, powder patterns have their own unique utility in structural studies including a distinctive ability to provide information on molecular dynamics (e.g., Dufourq et al., 1992).

© 1993 by the Biophysical Society
0006-3495/93/10/1460/10 \$2.00

² There is reason to hope this limitation is being overcome. See Bennett et al., 1992.

TABLE 1 Summaries of predicted numbers and ranges of dipolar couplings for uniformly labeled proteins

Identity of target nucleus and the uniform labeling	Total number of coupling predicted	Breakdown of coupling constant ranges (Hz)
$^1\text{H}_\alpha$ of Val-14 of idealized α -helix (0° tilt): ^1H - ^1H coupling to all other protons on the 26-residue helix.	190	0–10: 3% 10–50: 16% 51–500: 65% >500: 17%
Thr-89- $^1\text{H}_\alpha$ of helix 2 of BR: ^1H - ^1H coupling to all other protons on a juxtaposed helix (helix 3 of BR)	151	0–20: 32% 20–100: 30% >100: 38%
$^{13}\text{C}=\text{O}_{\text{amide}}$ of Thr-89 of BR: ^{13}C - ^{13}C couplings to all other carbons on adjacent (± 4) residues of the same helix.	58	2–10: 35% 11–70: 45% >70: 19%
Met-20 $^{13}\text{C}=\text{O}_{\text{amide}}$ and $^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$ of helix 1 of BR: couplings to all $^{13}\text{C}=\text{O}_{\text{amide}}$ and $^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$ of the nearest 12 residues on the adjacent helix (helix 2).	48	<5: 27% 5–15: 50% >15: 23%
$^{13}\text{C}=\text{O}_{\text{amide}}$ of Val-14 of idealized α -helix (0° tilt): ^{13}C - ^{13}C coupling to all other backbone $^{13}\text{C}=\text{O}$ on same helix.	25	1–10: 48% 10–30: 24% >30: 29%
$^{13}\text{C}=\text{O}_{\text{amide}}$ of Val-14 of idealized parallel β -sheet (0° tilt): ^{13}C - ^{13}C coupling to all other backbone $^{13}\text{C}=\text{O}$ on same strand.	25	<1: 44% 1–10: 32% >10: 24%
^{15}N of Val-14 of idealized α -helix: coupling to all other backbone ^{15}N on helix.	25	<1: 36% 1–5: 36% >5: 28%

The results of this table can be roughly applied to other possible isotopic combinations by 1) estimating the (total H):(total C), (backbone C):(backbone N), and (total C):(backbone N) ratios in proteins to be roughly 2:1, 2:1, and 7:1, respectively, and 2) bearing in mind that for identical internuclear distances and orientations relative ^1H - ^1H , ^{13}C - ^1H , ^{15}N - ^1H , ^{15}N - ^{13}C , ^{13}C - ^{13}C , and ^{15}N - ^{15}N dipolar couplings will be 100, 25, -10, -2.5, 6.3, and 1.0, respectively.

ies, many possible experimental strategies for studying membrane protein structure using oriented sample NMR remain unexplored. In this paper, some of these strategies are preassessed by *simulating* OSNMR data for both real and idealized transmembrane (TM) proteins. These simulations were designed to provide some insight into the following issues: (i) Can uniform labeling strategies be employed in oriented sample SSNMR to provide large data sets analogous to those generated in solution NMR studies of protein structure? (ii) What *specific* labeling schemes are likely to prove most effective in providing structural data? (iii) In cases where TM segments of proteins are likely to exist as stretches of regular secondary structure, can *data pattern recognition* be used to identify the local structure? (iv) Assuming the conformations and membrane orientations of *individual* TM segments can be determined from SSNMR data, can the intersegmental contacts present in polytopic TM proteins be ascertained from OSNMR data?

While the above issues can only be *definitively* addressed by actual experiments, the results of these simulations may prove useful in rational experimental design, a utility which is perhaps best appreciated when one considers the cost of producing an isotopically labeled polypeptide.

METHODS

Proteins for which simulations were made

Data were simulated for bacteriorhodopsin (BR) based on its experimentally derived moderate resolution structure (Henderson et al., 1990) and for a set of idealized transmembrane (TM) helices and sheets. Bacteriorhodopsin contains seven transmembrane α -helices.

The same sequence for an "idealized" TM segment protein was used for all four secondary structures studied. The arbitrarily chosen sequence was the carboxyl terminus of *Escherichia coli* diacylglycerol kinase (which is probably exists as a TM α -helix in its native state, see Loomis et al. (1985)). The sequence of this peptide is as follows.

H_2N -Met-Gly-Ser-Ala-Ala₅-Val-Leu-Ile-Ala-

Ile₁₀-Ile-Val-Ala-Val-Ile₁₅-Thr-Trp-Cys-Ile-Leu₂₀-

Leu-Trp-Ser-His-Phe₂₅-Gly-COOH

The peptide was forced into four different secondary structures (α -helix, 3_{10} -helix, antiparallel β -sheet, and parallel β -sheet) using an interactive modeling program, BIOGRAF (Molecular Simulations, Waltham, MA). The four structures were minimized using molecular mechanics (Dreiding II force field (Mayo et al., 1990)) in order to eliminate any energetically unrealistic interactions present in the unrelaxed idealized structures. Each of the four secondary structures was set at five different orientations with respect to the bilayer normal (defined as the z axis): 0° , 2° , 5° , 15° , and 30° . In the case of the β -sheet structures, the tilts were made (arbitrarily) about a bisector lying in the plane of the sheet "ribbon" and

TABLE 2 Predicted of CSA (PPM) and dipolar couplings (Hz) for backbone nuclei of residues 12–14 of the idealized transmembrane peptides (untilted)

Nucleus on residues 12–14 and 2° structure	¹ H, directly bonded (dipolar)*	CSA (PPM)	¹⁵ N+0 dipolar†	¹⁵ N+1 dipolar	¹⁵ N+2 dipolar	¹⁵ N+3 dipolar	¹⁵ N+4 dipolar	¹³ C _α +0 dipolar
¹⁵ N _{amide} α-Helix	22672 to 25926 L+ [§]	86 to 105 L+	NA [¶]	–6 to 11 s	–11 to –5 s	–20 to –14 s	–11 to –3 s	91 to 474 L+
3 ₁₀ -Helix	20778 to 20912 L+	87 to 97 L+	NA	–23 to –34 s	–23 to –18 s	–11 to –11 s	–4 to –4 s	483 to 692 L+
Parallel β-sheet	–12126 to –11694 L–	–51 to –37 L–	NA	–61 to –59 s	–9 to –9 s	–3 to –3 s	–1 to –1 s	995 to 1101 L+
Antiparallel β-sheet	–12957 to –12325 L–	–52 to –51 L–	NA	–58 to –57 s	–9 to –8 s	–2 to –2 s	–1 to –1 s	1012 to 1166 L+
¹³ C _{amide} α-Helix	NA	14.8 to 16.1 s+	182 to 257 L+	–1080 to –867 L–	–64 to –29 s	3 to 41 s	74 to 83 M+	–1353 to –518 L–
3 ₁₀ -Helix	NA	11.5 to 16.0 s+	275 to 303 L+	–1131 to –1188 L–	0 to 25 s	78 to 91 M+	24 to 26 s	–1773 to –1271 L–
Parallel β-sheet	NA	14.3 to 24.4 s+	464 to 468 L+	260 to 595 L+	78 to 88 M+	13 to 15 s	5 to 5 s	–2023 to –1840 L–
Antiparallel β-sheet	NA	21.0 to 35.6 s+	444 to 454 L+	481 to 874 L+	69 to 79 M+	12 to 13 s	4 to 5 s	–2127 to –1913 L–
¹³ C _α α-Helix	4602 to 11984 L+	NE	91 to 474 L+	–169 to –133 M–	–15 to 1 s	17 to 38 s	38 to 42 s	NA
3 ₁₀ -Helix	12015 to 15453 L+	NE	483 to 692 L+	–127 to –95 M–	20 to 33 s	43 to 47 s	12 to 13 s	NA
Parallel β-sheet	21904 to 22202 L+	NE	995 to 1101 L+	217 to 262 L+	36 to 40 s	9 to 9 s	4 to 4 s	NA
Antiparallel β-sheet	21754 to 22655 L+	NE	1012 to 1166 L+	237 to 295 L+	32 to 37 s	8 to 9 s	3 to 3 s	NA

Peptides are aligned with the bilayer normal. Each range gives the range of CSA or dipolar couplings defined by the largest and smallest of the three measurements made in each case. For example, the range of 86 to 105 PPM reported for the α-helical ¹⁵N CSAs arose from the following three predicted values: Val-12, 105 PPM; Ala-13, 86 PPM; Val-14, 87 PPM.

*The predicted ¹³C_α-¹H_α and ¹⁵N_{amide}-¹H_{amide} dipolar couplings can be converted into ¹³C_α-²H_α and ¹⁵N_{amide}-²H_{amide} dipolar couplings by dividing the couplings listed by 6.5. These can also be approximately converted into ²H quadrupolar couplings by multiplying the listed couplings by –5.5 (¹³C_α-¹H_α) or by 14 (¹⁵N_{amide}-¹H_{amide}).

†The +0, +1, +2, +3, and +4 represent the sequence location of the specified isotope relative to residue 12, 13, or 14 (on the amino-terminal side of the

perpendicular to the long axis of the sheet. In these studies, the z computational axis was always assigned to lie in the direction of the bilayer normal.

Data simulations

A C program “BANDMODS” (Back-calculation of Anisotropic NMR Data from MOlecular Dynamics Simulations) was written to calculate CSA, quadrupolar, and dipolar couplings from either single static structures or ensembles of interconverting structures (such as might generated by molecular dynamics calculations). For the simulations of this study, the bilayer normal was assumed to be aligned with the magnetic field of the spectrometer. No attempt was made to account for local mobility or whole-body motions in the simulations, although these factors are considered at the end of Results. CSAs were predicted using the expression:

$$CSA = \Delta\delta_{an} = \cos^2\theta_1 \cdot \sigma_1 + \cos^2\theta_2 \cdot \sigma_2 + \cos^2\theta_3 \cdot \sigma_3 - \frac{1}{3}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3), \quad (1)$$

where σ_n represent the static CSA tensor eigenvalues and θ_n are the angles made by the nth static tensor eigenvector with respect to the magnetic field, assuming molecular rigidity.

Peptide amide ¹⁵N static tensor eigenvalues and eigenvectors (in the molecular frame) used in the calculations were chosen based upon a rough average of available solutions (Teng and Cross, 1989; Mai et al., 1993; Hartzell et al., 1987). Specifically: σ_1 , 212 parts per million (PPM) (in peptide plane, 15° away from N-H toward the amide bond); σ_2 , 70 PPM (orthogonal to peptide plane); and σ_3 , 47 PPM (in peptide plane). This same model tensor was used in all simulations. The peptide carbonyl ¹³C tensor was estimated as an approximate average of known values (Oas et al., 1987; Teng et al., 1992; Stark et al., 1983; Asakawa et al., 1992; Veeman, 1984) to be σ_3 , 95 PPM (orthogonal to peptide plane); σ_2 , 180 PPM (aligned with carbonyl double bond); and σ_1 , 240 PPM (in the peptide plane). For real molecules, both ¹⁵N and ¹³C (below) static tensors for peptides vary considerably as a function of local sequence, conformation, and environment (cf. Mai et al. (1993), Teng et al. (1992), and Asakawa et al. (1992)). Thus, even neglecting the many other assumptions inherent in the simulations of this study, the predicted CSA must be considered approximate.

TABLE 2 (Continued)

¹³ C _{α+1} dipolar	¹³ C _{α+2} dipolar	¹³ C _{α+3} dipolar	¹³ C _{α+4} dipolar	¹³ C _{amide+0} dipolar	¹³ C _{amide+1} dipolar	¹³ C _{amide+2} dipolar	¹³ C _{amide+3} dipolar	¹³ C _{amide+4} dipolar
-5 to 6 s	8 to 12 s	28 to 34 s	14 to 16 s	182 to 257 L+	15 to 24 s	20 to 22 s	21 to 24 s	9 to 10 s
19 to 26 s	26 to 27 s	16 to 17 s	6 to 6 s	275 to 303 L+	29 to 34 s	22 to 24 s	11 to 11 s	5 to 5 s
53 to 55 s	13 to 13 s	4 to 5 s	2 to 2 s	464 to 468 L+	32 to 32 s	9 to 9 s	3 to 3 s	2 to 2 s
50 to 54 s	10 to 12 s	4 to 4 s	2 to 2 s	444 to 454 L+	27 to 31 s	7 to 8 s	3 to 3 s	1 to 1 s
363 to 446 L+	19 to 39 s	-60 to -43 s	-123 to -111 M-	NA	-33 to 87 s	-48 to 0 s	-117 to -95 M-	-63 to -56 s
343 to 298 L+	-43 to -27 s	-119 to -116 M-	-34 to -33 s	NA	-120 to -51 M-	-113 to -87 M-	-70 to -67 M-	-23 to -22 s
-543 to -473 L-	-93 to -91 M-	-23 to -21 s	-9 to -9 s	NA	-306 to -289 L-	-57 to -55 s	-16 to -15 s	-7 to -7 s
-910 to -538 L-	-85 to -65 M-	-20 to -18 s	-8 to -7 s	NA	-298 to -275 L-	-53 to -42 s	-14 to -13 s	-6 to -5 s
43 to 80 s	-14 to 30 s	-53 to -85 s	-60 to -50 s	-1353 to -518 L-	-29 to 5 s	-44 to -14 s	-79 to -67 M-	-34 to -29 s
9 to 39 s	-58 to -37 s	-69 to -67 s	-18 to -16 s	-1773 to -1271 L-	-59 to -33 s	-74 to -58 s	-39 to -38 s	-14 to -14 s
-167 to -146 M-	-58 to -52 s	-15 to -14 s	-7 to -7 s	-2023 to -1840 L-	-133 to -129 M-	-34 to -31 s	-11 to -11 s	-5 to -5 s
-194 to -156 L-	-53 to -48 s	-14 to -13 s	-6 to -6 s	-2127 to -1913 L-	-141 to -124 M-	-32 to -28 s	-11 to -10 s	-5 to -5 s

latter). For example in the column "¹⁵N+1" corresponding to the row "¹³C_{amide} (α helix)" is reported the range spanning the lowest and highest of the following three dipolar couplings: ¹⁵N(Val-12)-¹³C_{amide}(Ala-13), ¹⁵N(Ala-13)-¹³C_{amide}(Val-14), ¹⁵N(Val-14)-¹³C_{amide}(Ile-15).

[§]L, M, and s classify the ranges according to their magnitudes. For dipolar coupling: s, < |±70| Hz; M, |70-150|; L, > |150|. For CSA:s; <|40| PPM; L >|40|.

[†]NA, not applicable.

[‡]NE, not estimated.

Dipolar couplings were predicted for pairs of immobilized spin 1/2 nuclei using the following relationship.

$$D_{ij} = \frac{\gamma_i \gamma_j \hbar}{4\pi^2 r^3} (3 \cos^2 \theta - 1), \quad (2)$$

where the γ terms represent the magnetogyric ratios of the interacting nuclei, r is the distance (in Å) between the coupled nuclei, and θ is the angle between the bilayer normal and the magnetic field. In cases of covalently proximal spins, the possible contribution of scalar coupling was neglected.

RESULTS

Simulations of dipolar couplings from membrane proteins under conditions of uniform isotopic labeling

Table 1 lists the numbers and ranges of couplings experienced by single nuclear spins placed near the midpoints of transmembrane domains in the presence of various *uniform*

labeling patterns. This table can be approximately extended to the treatment of other possible patterns as described in its footnote.

Simulations of peptide backbone dipolar couplings and CSAs from isolated transmembrane segments

Simulations most relevant to the NMR elucidation of peptide backbone conformation using specific labeling focussed upon all possible combinations of dipolar couplings between the α -¹³C, amide-¹³C, and amide-¹⁵N of a "target" residue located near the middle of each structure and these same atoms in the four residues each target. While α -helices, ₃₁₀-helices, and parallel and antiparallel β -sheets by no means exhaust the possible secondary structures and conformational motifs of membrane proteins, both sheets and α -helices are known to be present in TM domains (Henderson et al., 1990; Deisenhofer and

TABLE 3 Predicted CSA (PPM) and dipolar couplings (Hz) from the idealized transmembrane peptides (15° tilted)

Nucleus on residues 12-14 and 2° structure	¹ H, directly bonded, dipolar	CSA	¹⁵ N+0 dipolar	¹⁵ N+1 dipolar	¹⁵ N+2 dipolar	¹⁵ N+3 dipolar	¹⁵ N+4 dipolar	¹³ C _α +0 dipolar
N_{amide}								
α-Helix	15271 to 26375	35 to 114	NA	-8 to 35	-16 to -1	-19 to -9	-9 to -8	-380 to 1221
3 ₁₀ -Helix	12423 to 26035	2 to 66	NA	-65 to 5	-25 to -18	-10 to -10	-4 to -3	-248 to 1064
Parallel β-Sheet	-13107 to -6032	-44 to -37	NA	-62 to -45	-8 to -8	-2 to -2	-1 to 1	414 to 1405
Antiparallel β-Sheet	-12470 to -6838	-41 to -24	NA	-55 to -44	-8 to -7	-2 to -2	-1 to -1	351 to 1582
¹³C_{amide}								
α-Helix	NA	8 to 16	16 to 279	-1211 to -459	-76 to -10	-3 to 55	72 to 81	-2273 to 661
3 ₁₀ -Helix	NA	6 to 21	161 to 387	-1218 to -750	-37 to 91	64 to 87	17 to 36	-2729 to 67
Parallel β-Sheet	NA	13 to 23	383 to 452	-327 to 845	67 to 79	11 to 13	4 to 5	-3267 to -385
Antiparallel β-Sheet	NA	17 to 37	372 to 436	-115 to 982	60 to 70	11 to 12	4 to 4	-3543 to -3135
¹³C_α								
α-Helix	-7419 to 17009	NE	-380 to 1221	-198 to -62	-26 to 15	6 to 40	32 to 42	NA
3 ₁₀ -Helix	3688 to 21287	NE	-248 to 1064	-184 to -40	-2 to 51	37 to 46	8 to 13	NA
Parallel β-Sheet	13437 to 21611	NE	414 to 1405	185 to 238	31 to 38	8 to 8	3 to 3	NA
Antiparallel β-Sheet	14355 to 22047	NE	352 to 1582	185 to 290	28 to 36	7 to 8	3 to 3	NA

Peptides are tilted 15 degrees from the bilayer normal. See footnotes of Table 2 for additional explanation.

Michel, 1991; Weiss and Schultz, 1991), and it has been suggested that 3₁₀-helices also may be represented (Singer, 1990). It is hoped that simulations for these four structures may provide some insight into the conformation-dependent "dynamic range" of the various spectral parameters.

Because of the uniaxial molecular symmetry and the conformational periodicity within each of the four types of secondary structure examined, it might be tempting to assume that the tensor/vector orientations associated with each class of interaction from *residue to residue* would be equal (e.g., all ¹⁵N_{amide}-¹H_{amide} dipolar couplings should be similar for all residues of an α-helix). However, even for a conformationally ideal peptide, this is only the case when the axis of molecular symmetry is aligned with the experimental director; in other words, when helices and sheets are not tilted with respect to the bilayer normal.^{3,4} Furthermore, even for untilted helices, internuclear vector/CSA tensor

orientations will vary somewhat from residue to residue as a result of local sequence-induced perturbations of idealized molecular geometry. In order to probe the impact of these effects upon dipolar coupling and CSA data, all measurements were repeated for *three* consecutive "target" residues: Val-12, Ala-13, and Val-14 of the TM peptide.

These simulations were carried out for each type of secondary structure at tilt angles of 0°, 2°, 5°, 15°, and 30°. Results for 0° are reported in Table 2. The data are listed as ranges between the lowest and highest of the values predicted for the three residues. It can be observed that the three residues usually yield fairly similar values for each class of data, with the greatest variation occurring for dipolar couplings involving highly proximal spins.⁵

Results from the 2°- and 5°-tilted structures (not shown) are very similar to those of the untilted structure. The results for 15° tilt are presented in Table 3. While results from the 0° structure remain mirrored in many cases (particularly those involving dipolar coupling between relatively distant residues⁶), the three residues sometimes yield widely varying

³ Technically, the lab frame is defined by the direction of the magnetic field. However, in OSNMR studies involving bilayers, the effective lab frame or "director" axis can usually be defined to be the bilayer normal (see section of Results on dynamics).

⁴ This is fairly easy to visualize by considering the carbon-nitrogen dipolar couplings for two directly bound ¹³C_α-¹⁵N_{amide} pairs on residues *N* and *N*+1 on an α-helix. In the untilted peptide the bond vectors (which in this case define the dipolar tensors) will have nearly identical orientations with respect to the bilayer normal (which is the principal axis of the effective lab frame). Now, if the peptide is tilted using the amide carbon-nitrogen bond of residue *N* as the rotation axis, the orientation of the ¹³C_α-¹⁵N internuclear vector of residue *N* with respect to the bilayer normal will not change, unlike that of the corresponding vector in *N*+1.

⁵ This latter observation is not surprising. Consider a dipolar coupled spin aligned with the magnetic field. If they separated by 2 Å, a horizontal displacement of one atom by 0.5 Å (due to a minor conformational variation) will result in a 14° change in vector orientation. On the other hand, a 2-Å displacement of one atom of a pair separated by 10 Å, will result in a smaller variation in vector orientation away from 0° (11°), even though the causative conformational or whole-body orientational change may be quite dramatic.

⁶ Davis (1988) reported ²H spectra of very high resolution from gramicidin reconstituted into magnetically oriented bilayer-like assemblies composed

TABLE 3 (Continued)

$^{13}\text{C}_{\alpha+1}$ dipolar	$^{13}\text{C}_{\alpha+2}$ dipolar	$^{13}\text{C}_{\alpha+3}$ dipolar	$^{13}\text{C}_{\alpha+4}$ dipolar	$^{13}\text{C}_{\text{amide}+0}$ dipolar	$^{13}\text{C}_{\text{amide}+1}$ dipolar	$^{13}\text{C}_{\text{amide}+2}$ dipolar	$^{13}\text{C}_{\text{amide}+3}$ dipolar	$^{13}\text{C}_{\text{amide}+4}$ dipolar
-24 to 30	-1 to 23	23 to 38	9 to 17	16 to 179	-1 to 45	9 to 27	18 to 22	7 to 12
-9 to 47	16 to 35	13 to 17	4 to 7	161 to 387	11 to 39	18 to 25	8 to 11	3 to 5
48 to 49	11 to 13	4 to 4	2 to 2	383 to 452	25 to 32	7 to 8	3 to 3	1 to 2
44 to 49	9 to 10	3 to 4	1 to 2	373 to 436	25 to 29	6 to 8	2 to 3	1 to 1
78 to 499	-15 to 70	-127 to -13	-112 to -85	NA	-110 to 187	-67 to 23	-127 to -86	-64 to -48
149 to 490	-111 to 18	-126 to -86	-37 to -20	NA	-230 to 94	-133 to -55	-65 to -58	-25 to -15
-512 to -402	-93 to -72	-21 to -20	-8 to -8	NA	-329 to -195	-51 to -47	-15 to -13	-6 to -6
-809 to -461	-65 to -54	-18 to -16	-7 to -6	NA	-302 to -203	-47 to -43	-13 to -12	-6 to -5
-16 to 118	-28 to 29	-103 to -37	-57 to -40	-2273 to 661	-59 to 43	-55 to 5	-79 to -53	-35 to -23
-32 to 96	-78 to -20	-65 to -59	-19 to -10	-2729 to 67	-80 to 18	-80 to -49	-40 to -29	-16 to -9
-177 to -103	-51 to -46	-14 to -12	-6 to -6	-3267 to -385	-119 to -111	-32 to -27	-10 to -10	-5 to -4
-215 to -100	-47 to -43	-13 to -11	-6 to -5	-3543 to -3135	-127 to -115	-30 to -24	-10 to -9	-5 to -4

values for a given data type (e.g., $^{13}\text{C}_{\alpha}\text{-}^1\text{H}$ dipolar coupling for α -helices).

Simulation of intersegmental dipolar couplings from a polytopic TM protein

Bacteriorhodopsin (Henderson et al., 1990) was the model employed in these simulations. Dipolar couplings were estimated between the *backbone* carbons and nitrogens of six residues located on helix 1 of BR (Thr-17, Met-20, Gly-23, Thr-24, Phe-27, Gly-31) and all backbone carbons and nitrogens located on the juxtaposed helix 2. Residues on helix 1 were chosen based on the fact that they face helix 2. Over 200 $^{13}\text{C}\text{-}^{13}\text{C}$ and $^{13}\text{C}\text{-}^{15}\text{N}$ dipolar couplings were estimated (not listed) with the largest observed coupling being 51 Hz. This is rather small, suggesting measurement of dipolar couplings between backbone residues on adjacent TM segments will be very difficult to measure using OSNMR (see Discussion). A search was therefore made for a class of coupling likely to give rise to larger values.

Using interactive graphics, several proximal amino acid pairs were chosen representing juxtaposed helices. For these

pairs of residues, the nearest interhelical carbon-carbon distance was recorded, and all possible intraresidue side-chain $^{13}\text{C}\text{-}^{13}\text{C}$ dipolar couplings were calculated. These results are summarized in Table 4.

Dipolar coupling between backbone carbons of juxtaposed strands of β -sheets was also considered. The nearest carbon-carbon distances between two hydrogen-bonded sheets will be in the 3.5–5 Å range. For parallel sheets, C_{α} on one strand will be closest to $^{13}\text{C}=\text{O}$ on another, while for antiparallel sheets the most proximal pair will be $\text{C}_{\alpha}/\text{C}_{\alpha}$. While no calculations were explicitly made, it can be estimated that for ideal geometries (sheet orientations which would align the internuclear vector with the bilayer normal), the maximum $^{13}\text{C}\text{-}^{13}\text{C}$ intrastrand coupling would fall in the range of -250 ± 100 Hz.

Motional considerations in the interpretation of the results

Rapid whole body or local motions in membrane proteins which result in time-dependent reorientation of the CSA or dipolar tensors will lead to averaging of the θ -dependent parts of Eqs. 1 and 2 over all orientations sampled. In the case of dipolar coupling, rapid variations in r also lead to averaging. "Rapid" motions are those which are fast relative to the frequency ranges spanned by the static dipolar and CSA tensors: typically $>10^4\text{-}10^5$ s $^{-1}$.

Most membrane proteins execute rapid axial rotation about the bilayer normal (Gennis, 1989; Cherry, 1992) in

unpublished results) that some TM proteins can be successfully be reconstituted into the available magnetically orientable bilayer systems, while other proteins cannot. In these preliminary studies, unlabeled peptides were used and it was not possible to ascertain resonance linewidths from those peptides which were successfully reconstituted.

TABLE 4 Estimated ^{13}C - ^{13}C dipolar couplings between residue side chains on juxtaposed TM helices of BR and strands of ideal sheets

Juxtaposed residue pairs	Nearest interresidue ^{13}C - ^{13}C distance	Number of couplings	Range of interresidue coupling constants*
	Å		Hz
Thr-17-Leu-58	3.5	8	29 to 92
Phe-42-Leu-100	3.6	28	-24 to 141
Phe-42-Leu-99	3.9	28	-35 to 85
Leu-13-Met-60	3.4	12	24 to 133
Met-56-Ala-84	4.1	3	28 to 112
Leu-48-Leu-92	3.2	16	-59 to 156
Val-49-Leu-93	3.4	12	30 to 124
Ile-45-Leu-95	4.4	16	14 to 84
Parallel β -sheet: nearest $^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$ / $^{13}\text{C}=\text{O}$ from juxtaposed strands [‡]	3.5-5 Å	1	-250 \pm 150
Antiparallel β -sheet: nearest C_α / C_α from juxtaposed strands [‡]	3.5-5 Å	1	-250 \pm 150

* These ranges may also be used to estimate couplings between other possible isotopic pairs located on adjacent side chains (see Eq. 2). For examples, these ranges can be converted into ^{15}N - ^{15}N , ^{15}N - ^{13}C , ^{15}N - ^{19}F , ^{19}F - ^{13}C , and ^{19}F - ^{19}F couplings by multiplying by 0.16, -0.40, -1.5, 3.7, and 14.

[‡] Estimate is made for sheet orientations which would align the relevant internuclear vector with the bilayer normal (see Results).

L_α -phase lamellae, but not in the gel phase. In the former case, the splittings predicted by Eqs. 1 and 2 must be scaled down by a factor of $(3 \cos^2\alpha - 1)/2$, where α is the angle between the bilayer normal and the magnetic field. This factor is 1.0 for bilayers oriented with normals aligned with the field and -0.5 for orthogonally oriented assemblies. Rapid axial rotation also dictates that Eqs. 1 and 2 must also be redefined so that θ becomes the angles between the tensor elements and the motional director (the bilayer normal) rather than the magnetic field.

Whole molecule wobbling and local flexibility will also lead to averaging of anisotropic NMR parameters from TM protein domains. For nuclei located on peptide backbones deep within bilayers, available data (Hemminga et al., 1992; Killian et al. 1992; Pauls et al., 1985; Nicholson et al., 1991; Lewis et al., 1985; Herzfeld et al., 1987; Leo et al., 1987) suggests such motions may generally be modest, leading to reductions of anisotropic parameters by only 0-20%. In general, local motions should tend to become more of a factor in regions of the proteins near and in the aqueous phase where water-amide hydrogen bonding can transiently compete with intramolecular hydrogen bonding (see Sami and Dempsey (1988)). However, the available data is rather sparse. The preponderance of Gly and Pro in many TM domains (Williams and Deber, 1991; Li and Deber, 1992) and the general importance of both global and local dynamics in the functions of proteins suggest the backbone dynamics of each protein must be considered individually.

In the case of interresidue side chain ^{13}C - ^{13}C couplings (Table 4), side chain dynamics (e.g., Smith and Oldfield,

1984; Leo et al., 1987) will also result in reductions of coupling constants due to additional averaging of the angular term of Eq. 2. In such instances, the degree of reduction will be highly dependent upon the nature of the specific motions executed.

DISCUSSION

Limits of spectral resolution

Practical interpretation of the simulated data set is highly dependent upon the NMR spectral resolution to be anticipated from TM proteins in oriented samples. Currently available data is limited to spectra from proteins in *mechanically* oriented bilayers, where linewidths on the order of 100 Hz have been observed (Teng et al., 1991; Nicholson et al., 1991). While the use of magnetically oriented bilayers may permit spectra of higher resolution to be obtained⁷ due to the superior apparent orientational homogeneity of such samples (see Sanders (1993)), this discussion shall conservatively assume the minimum line width from a peptide *backbone* resonance to be 100 Hz. For doublets composed of components 100 Hz wide, interpretive methods based on numerical analysis, spectral simulations, or resolution-enhancement may allow couplings on the order of 50 Hz to be measured with confidence. Based upon these considerations, this discussion conservatively assumes that simulated couplings greater than 70 Hz should be experimentally determinable by OSNMR. It should be noted that rapid sample spinning techniques may afford access to couplings much smaller than this (Smith and Peersen, 1992).

The question of optimizing spectral resolution is relevant to a choice between mechanically or magnetically based methods for orienting bilayers. Certain magnetically oriented bilayer systems have been demonstrated to provide a significantly higher level of spectral resolution than mechanically (glass-plate) oriented bilayers (Sanders, 1993). However, this improvement in resolution is offset by the fact that most magnetically orientable bilayer systems orient with bilayer normals *orthogonal* to the magnetic field. As outlined in the last section of Results, this results in a uniform decrease in the magnitudes of CSAs and dipolar couplings by a factor of two, relative to what would be observed in a 0° -oriented sample (obtainable using the glass-plate method). A spectroscopically ideal situation might arise either from the further improvement of mechanical orientation methods (to reduce the residual line broadening which results from incomplete orientational homogeneity) or from the development of methods for magnetically orienting bilayers such that the bilayer normals are aligned with the field. The feasibility of this latter approach has been demonstrated (Sanders et al., 1993), but

⁷ With the advent of homogeneous 17.5 Tesla magnets in NMR spectroscopy, there is hope that these predictions may eventually be proved incorrect, due to the superior resolution and sensitivity which spectrometers equipped with such magnets may afford.

has yet to be optimized in terms of orientational homogeneity and biochemical acceptability.

What challenges face uniform labeling strategies?

All uniform labeling strategies suffer from the fact that spectral assignments are much more difficult to make in oriented sample NMR than in corresponding solution NMR studies. This is the result of the "through-space" nature of dipolar coupling which dictates that there is no formal relationship between chemical bonding/connectivity and the observation of dipolar coupling between any given pair of spins. However, the assignment problem is probably not insurmountable: even at this early stage, general spectral assignment strategies for oriented sample NMR have been proposed (Sanders, 1993).

A more serious difficulty associated with uniform labeling is exemplified for a fully protonated protein in Table 1. A target proton on an isolated TM helix exhibits significant dipolar coupling to more than 150 other protons. The presence of a second, juxtaposed helix is seen to introduce another 100 significant couplings. While perdeuteration at a very high level (>90%) of enrichment may allow considerable spectral simplification (McDermott et al., 1992; Power and Wasylishen, 1991), the populations of specific multispin systems will become very low, such that sensitivity problems may become severe if measurement of dipolar couplings is sought. Uniform carbon labeling schemes appear to suffer from the same basic complications as ^1H (Table 1). While the number of coupled nuclei to be reckoned with is reduced, this improvement may be negated by the reduced NMR sensitivity of ^{13}C , making strategies based on lowering the level of isotopic enrichment difficult.⁷

An additional note should be made at this point regarding the measurement of X - ^1H dipolar couplings between heteronuclei and *directly bonded* protons. Because of the r^{-3} dependency of dipolar coupling (Eq. 2), such couplings are usually much larger than all other X - ^1H dipolar couplings. As a result, even in the presence of considerable broadening due to unresolved long range X - ^1H couplings, the components of the "1-bond" ^1H - X dipolar couplings may often be resolved in the spectrum of the X nucleus (e.g., Sanders and Prestegard, 1991). This is why such couplings were simulated (Tables 2 and 3).

Specific labeling and pattern recognition

Anticipated difficulties summarized above for uniformly labeled samples can be overcome if *specific labeling* is employed, where emphasis is placed upon the measurement of couplings between a *limited* number of spins. By this route, the number of couplings can be managed and spectral assignments can more easily be made.

An important consideration in choosing a particular labeling strategy is the need to optimize the yield of structural information from a limited number of measurements. Given

that the TM domains of most membrane proteins are likely to be dominated by helices or (more rarely) β -sheets (Henderson, 1990; Deisenhofer and Michel, 1991; Weiss and Schulz, 1992), a conceptually attractive labeling strategy would lead to the identification of a particular type of local secondary structure from *patterns* observed in a limited set of data. Pattern recognition plays an important role in solution NMR studies of proteins (Wuthrich, 1986).

The classification of the simulated CSAs and dipolar couplings of Table 2 into various classes based on magnitudes (see Table 2) suggests that patterns do exist which may, in a limited number of cases, be used to provide a preliminary identification of the secondary structure of labeled TM segments based on a few measurements made for that segment. Of course, use of Table 2 in interpreting data from an actual protein of unknown structure would have to be very cautious, taking the possibilities of dynamics and conformations not represented in Table 2 into account.⁸ A more realistic use of the "patterns" of Table 2 would be to assist experimental design by identifying specific labeling schemes having a relative high *probability* of providing measurable and structurally useful couplings and CSAs.

Data simulated for structures at various tilt angles (e.g., Table 3) suggest that the classification of parameters according to magnitudes shown in Table 2 no longer generally pertains when the TM segment is tilted by more than about 10° from the bilayer normal. This results from the fact that, while conformation (as defined by a set of dihedral angles) remains fairly constant and essentially *periodic* within a segment of uniform secondary structure, the orientations of nuclear tensors and internuclear vectors with respect to the effective lab frame axis (i.e., usually the bilayer normal) vary *aperiodically* with helix or sheet tilt away from 0° .⁹ Tilts of $>10^\circ$ are frequently encountered in the structures of polytopic membrane proteins (Henderson et al., 1990; Weiss and Schulz, 1992; Deisenhofer and Michel, 1991).

Long-range data constraints for membrane proteins

The possibility of measuring dipolar couplings between nuclei located on residues of adjacent TM segments appears to offer a means of defining the spatial dispositions of adjacent segments, an important step in the determination of tertiary structure. Labeling schemes which would permit measurement *and* assignment of specific ^1H - ^1H or ^1H - ^{13}C dipolar couplings may prove difficult to implement (previous discussion). The simulations suggested that *backbone-backbone* ^{13}C - ^{13}C couplings may be very difficult to resolve by OSNMR, even with very specific labeling (see Results).

⁸ For example, pattern recognition may be used as a tool in formulating structural models which are subsequently tested or refined using the more quantitative analytical methods available (see Introduction).

⁹ Of course, if the tilted TM segment is undergoing rapid axial rotation about its long molecular axis, periodicity of the tensor orientations with respect to the effective lab frame will be regained.

As illustrated in Table 4, a promising class of intersegment SSNMR data are ^{13}C - ^{13}C dipolar couplings between carbons of adjacent side chains. Based upon the use of specific labeling involving amino acids which are currently commercially available (e.g., *S*-[methyl- ^{13}C]methionine), it is possible to envision schemes which would lead both to unambiguous resonance assignments and to coupling constant measurements. However, as noted at the end of the Results, Table 4 does not take into account the possibility of motional flexibility which would tend to reduce the magnitudes of such couplings (potentially to magnitudes so small that they would be unresolvable). Of course, it is possible that the reduction in couplings resulting from side chain dynamics may also be mirrored and *offset* by reduced linewidths (resolution enhancing) as a result of motion-induced increases in the transverse relaxation times.

The simulations reported in Table 4 suggest an additional set of routes to long range structural constraints. Because ^3H and ^{19}F have magnetogyric ratios roughly four times as large as ^{13}C , measurement of ^{19}F - ^{13}C or ^3H - ^{13}C dipolar couplings should be possible over considerably longer distances than for ^{13}C - ^{13}C interactions. This distance limit would be even further relaxed if experiments could be designed with the goal of measuring ^{19}F - ^{19}F or ^3H - ^3H couplings. The main drawbacks to these routes are the potential artifacts that could conceivably result from introducing an ^{19}F probe (see Ho et al., 1985) into a protein and the difficulty of producing NMR-sufficient quantities of tritium-labeled proteins.

While the simulations of this paper suggest that "long-range" structural constraints for polytopic membrane proteins may indeed be gleaned from oriented sample NMR studies, it should not be overlooked that this is an area in which sample spinning techniques such as REDOR or rotational resonance may prove especially powerful (cf. Smith and Peersen (1992)). Along these same lines, the potential of interfacing solution and solid state NMR technologies in studies of membrane protein structure should not be overlooked (e.g., Shon et al. (1991)).

We gratefully acknowledge the United States taxpayers for their financial support (Public Health Service, GM 47485) and thank Brian Hare for his critical reading of an early version of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Asakawa, N., S. Kuroki, H. Kurosu, I. Ando, A. Shoji, and T. Osaki. 1992. Hydrogen-bonding effect on ^{13}C NMR chemical shifts of L-alanine residue carbonyl carbons of peptides in the solid state. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 114:3261-3265.
- Bennett, A. E., J. H. Ok, R. G. Griffin, and S. Vega. 1992. Chemical shift correlation spectroscopy in rotating solids: radio frequency-driven dipolar recoupling and longitudinal exchange. *J. Chem. Phys.* 96:8624-8627.
- Brenneman, M. T., and T. A. Cross. 1990. A method for the analytic determination of polypeptide structure using solid state NMR: the "metric method." *J. Chem. Phys.* 92:1483-1494.
- Cherry, R. J. 1992. Membrane protein dynamics: rotational dynamics. In *The Structure of Biological Membranes*. P. Yeagle, editor. CRC Press, Ann Arbor, MI. 507-537.
- Chiu, S.-W., L. K. Nicholson, M. T. Brenneman, S. Subramaniam, Q. Teng, J. A. McCammon, T. A. Cross, and E. Jakobsson. 1991. Molecular dynamics computations and solid state NMR of the gramicidin cation channel. *Biophys. J.* 60:974-978.
- Chiu, S. W., L. K. Nicholson, M. T. Brenneman, Q. Teng, J. A. McCammon, T. A. Cross, and E. Jakobsson. 1991. Molecular dynamics computations and solid state nuclear magnetic resonance of the gramicidin cation channel. *Biophys. J.* 60:974-978.
- Cornell, B. A., F. Separovic, A. J. Baldassi, and R. Smith. 1988. Conformation and orientation of gramicidin A in oriented phospholipid bilayers measured by solid-state ^{13}C NMR. *Biophys. J.* 53:67-76.
- Davis, J. H. 1988. ^2H NMR of exchange-labeled gramicidin in an oriented lyotropic nematic phase. *Biochemistry.* 27:428-436.
- Deisenhofer, J., and H. Michel. 1991. High resolution structures of photosynthetic reaction centers. *Ann. Rev. Biophys. Biophys. Chem.* 20:247-266.
- Dufourq, E. J., C. Mayer, J. Stohrer, G. Althoff, and G. Kothe. 1992. Dynamics of phosphate head groups in biomembranes. *Biophys. J.* 61:42-57.
- Gennis, R. B. 1989. *Biomembranes*, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Gullion, T., and J. Schaefer. 1989. Detection of weak heteronuclear coupling by rotational echo double resonance NMR. *Adv. Mag. Res.* 13:57-83.
- Hare, B. J., K. P. Howard, and J. H. Prestegard. 1993. Torsion angle analysis of glycolipid order at membrane surfaces. *Biophys. J.* 64:393-398.
- Hartzell, C. J., M. Whitfield, T. G. Oas, and G. P. Drobny. 1987. Determination of the ^{15}N and ^{13}C chemical shift tensors of L-[^{13}C]alanine-L-[^{15}N]alanine from the dipole-coupled powder patterns. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 109:5966-5969.
- Hemminga, M. A., J. C. Sanders, and R. B. Spruijt. 1992. Spectroscopy of lipid-protein interactions: structural aspects of two different forms of the coat proteins of bacteriophage M13 incorporated in model bilayers. *Prog. Lip. Res.* 31:301-333.
- Henderson, R., J. M. Baldwin, T. W. Ceska, F. Zemlin, E. Beckmann, and K. H. Downing. 1990. Model for the structure of bacteriorhodopsin based on high resolution electron cryo-microscopy. *J. Mol. Biol.* 213:899-929.
- Herzfeld, J., C. M. Mulliken, D. J. Siminovich, and R. G. Griffin. 1987. Contrasting molecular dynamics in red and purple membrane fractions of the *Halobacterium halobium*. *Biophys. J.* 42:855-858.
- Ketchum, R. R., W. Hu, and T. A. Cross. 1993. High resolution protein structure determination by solid state NMR. *Biophys. J.* 64, A299.
- Killian, J. A., M. J. Taylor, and R. E. Koeppe. 1992. Orientation of valine-1 side chain of the gramicidin transmembrane channel and implications for channel functioning. A ^2H NMR study. *Biochemistry.* 31:11283-11290.
- Leo, G. C., L. A. Colnago, K. G. Valentine, and S. J. Opella. 1987. Dynamics of fd coat protein in lipid bilayers. *Biochemistry.* 26:854-862.
- Levitt, M. H., D. P. Raleigh, F. Creuzet, and R. G. Griffin. 1990. Theory and simulations of homonuclear spin pair systems in rotating solids. *J. Chem. Phys.* 92:6347-6364.
- Lewis, B. A., G. S. Harbison, J. Herzfeld, and R. G. Griffin. 1985. NMR Structural analysis of a membrane protein: bacteriorhodopsin peptide backbone orientation and motion. *Biochemistry.* 24:4671-4679.
- Li, S.-C., and C. M. Deber. 1992. Influence of glycine residues on peptide conformation in membrane environments. *Int. J. Pept. Prot. Res.* 40: 243-248.
- Loomis, C. R., J. P. Walsh, and R. M. Bell. 1985. Diacylglycerol kinase of *E. coli*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 260:4091-4097.
- Mai, W., W. Hu, C. Wang, and T. A. Cross. 1993. Orientational constraints from chemical shift anisotropy: the polypeptide backbone of gramicidin A in a lipid bilayer. *Protein Sci.* 2:532-542.
- McDermott, A. E., F. J. Creuzet, A. C. Kolbert, and R. G. Griffin. 1992. High-resolution magic-angle-spinning NMR spectra of protons in deuterated solids. *J. Mag. Res.* 98:408-413.
- Mayo, S. L., B. D. Olafson, and W. A. Goddard, III. 1990. Dreiding: a generic force field for molecular simulations. *J. Phys. Chem.* 94:8897-8909.
- Moll, F., and T. A. Cross. 1990. Optimizing and characterizing alignment of oriented lipid bilayers containing gramicidin D. *Biophys. J.* 57:351-362.
- Nicholson, L. K., Q. Teng, and T. A. Cross. 1991. Solid-state NMR derived model for dynamics in the polypeptide backbone of the gramicidin A channel. *J. Mol. Biol.* 218:621-637.
- Oas, T. G., C. J. Hartzell, T. J. McMahon, G. P. Drobny, and F. W. Dalquist. 1987. The carbonyl ^{13}C chemical shift tensors of five peptides determined

- from ^{15}N dipole-coupled chemical shift powder patterns. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 109:5956–5962.
- Opella, S. J., and P. L. Stewart. 1989. Solid-state NMR structural studies of proteins. 1989. *Methods Enzymol.* 176:242–275.
- Power, W. P., and R. E. Wasylshen. 1991. NMR studies of isolated spin pairs in the solid state. *Annu. Rep. NMR Spectr.* 23:1–84.
- Ram, P., L. Mazzola, and J. H. Prestegard. 1989. Orientational analysis of micelle-associated trehalose using an NMR-pseudoenergy approach. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 111:3176–3182.
- Sami, M., and C. Dempsey. 1988. Hydrogen exchange from transbilayer hydrophobic peptide of glycophorin reconstituted in lipid bilayers. *FEBS Lett.* 240:211–215.
- Sanders, C. R. 1993. Solid State ^{13}C NMR of unlabeled phosphatidylcholine bilayers: spectral assignments and measurement of carbon-phosphorus dipolar couplings and ^{13}C chemical shift anisotropies. *Biophys. J.* 64:171–181.
- Sanders, C. R., and J. H. Prestegard. 1991. Orientation and dynamics of β -dodecyl glucopyranoside by oriented sample NMR and order matrix analysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 113:1987–1996.
- Sanders, C. R., and J. H. Prestegard. 1992. Headgroup orientations of alkyl glycosides at a bilayer interface. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 114:7096–7107.
- Sanders, C. R., and J. P. Schwonek. 1992. Characterization of magnetically orientable bilayers in mixtures of DHPC and DMPC by solid state NMR. *Biochemistry.* 31:8898–8905.
- Sanders, C. R., and J. P. Schwonek. 1993. An approximate model and empirical energy function for solute interactions with a water-phosphatidylcholine interface. *Biophys. J.* 1207–1218.
- Sanders, C. R., J. E. Schaff, and J. H. Prestegard. 1993. Orientational behavior of phosphatidylcholine bilayers in the presence of aromatic amphiphiles and a magnetic field. *Biophys. J.* 64:1069–1080.
- Shon, K.-J., Y. Kim, L. A. Colnago, and S. J. Opella. 1991. NMR studies of the structure and dynamics of membrane-bound bacteriophage Pf1 coat protein. *Science (Wash. DC).* 252:1303–1308.
- Singer, S. J. 1990. The structure and insertion of integral proteins in membranes. *Annu. Rev. Cell Biol.* 6:257–296.
- Smith, R. L., and E. Oldfield. 1984. Dynamic structure of membranes by deuterium NMR. *Science (Wash. DC).* 225:280–288.
- Smith, S. O., and O. B. Peersen. 1992. Solid-state NMR approaches for studying membrane protein structure. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* 21:25–47.
- Stark, R. E., L. W. Jelinski, D. J. Ruben, D. A. Torchia, and R. T. Griffin. 1983. ^{13}C chemical shift and ^{13}C - ^{15}N dipolar tensors for the peptide bond: $[1\text{-}^{13}\text{C}]\text{glycyl}[^{15}\text{N}]\text{glycine-HCl-H}_2\text{O}$. *J. Mag. Res.* 55:266–273.
- Teng, Q., and T. A. Cross. 1989. The in situ determination of the ^{15}N chemical shift tensor orientation in a polypeptide. *J. Mag. Res.* 85:439–447.
- Teng, Q., L. K. Nicholson, and T. A. Cross. 1991. Experimental determination of torsion angles in the polypeptide backbone of the Gramicidin A channel by solid state NMR. *J. Mol. Biol.* 218:607–619.
- Teng, Q., M. Iqbal, and T. A. Cross. 1992. Determination of the ^{13}C chemical shift and ^{14}N electric field gradient tensor orientations with respect to the molecular frame in a polypeptide. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 114:5312–5321.
- Veeman, W. S. 1984. Carbon-13 chemical shift anisotropy. *Prog. NMR Spectr.* 16:193–235.
- Weiss, M. S., and G. E. Schulz. 1992. Structure of Porin refined at 1.8 Å resolution. *J. Mol. Biol.* 227:493–509.
- Williams, K. A., and C. M. Deber. 1991. Proline in transmembrane helices: structural or dynamics role? *Biochemistry.* 30:8919–8923.
- Wuthrich, K. 1986. *NMR of Proteins and Nucleic Acids*. Wiley and Sons, New York.