

departments to consider how to improve the disciplinary procedures for senior hospital doctors (21 March, p 787).

One idea for improving accountability is that consultants, as well as junior staff (and general managers), could be employed only on short term contracts. This may be attractive to administrators, but it does not answer the problem of how the consultant's performance is to be assessed. In today's competitive labour market such a system would be open to abuse by health authorities, who for political and economic reasons might feel tempted to replace vocal and articulate senior consultants with less expensive young ones. Nevertheless, if proposals like this are to be resisted we need a better system than the various complaints procedures we have at the present.

A more sensitive system of accountability would mean reviewing consultants' work regularly rather than waiting for complaints. As Kennedy has persuasively argued, such a system could identify problems early and allow action to be taken if someone is failing to cope.² Whether consultants would welcome regular appraisal is uncertain, but it might be acceptable if it led to overburdened ones being given extra help or remuneration—for example, by being linked with the "distinction awards" system. Consultants found to be shirking NHS duties could be warned—first privately and then if necessary publicly—before facing the ultimate sanction of suspension from duty. The composition of the reviewing panel is a delicate matter, but no more so than the composition of the committees that already distribute distinction awards or of tribunals that investigate a consultant's fitness to practise. The panel would have to include respected doctors if it were to appraise consultants' clinical performance as well as their devotion to duty, and its task would be made easier if consultants also participated voluntarily in assessment systems such as the one being developed by the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists.

The principle of clinical freedom is important, and excessive management or political interference in clinical practice is dangerous.¹⁹ Closer control of consultants could stifle individuality and thereby prevent progress. Nevertheless, it is unrealistic to expect the public to pay a consultant's salary for almost 30 years without checking on whether or not he is giving value for money. Provided the risks are recognised and safeguards adopted, I believe that consultants should cooperate in developing a more sensitive system of accountability than the one we have at present.

JAMES OWEN DRIFE

Honorary Consultant and Senior Lecturer in
Obstetrics and Gynaecology,
Leicester Royal Infirmary,
Leicester LE2 7LY

- 1 Department of Health and Social Security. *Hospital medical staff—England and Wales: national tables*. London: DHSS, 1984.
- 2 Kennedy P. Are consultants accountable? *Br Med J* 1986;293:1566.
- 3 Martin CRA. *Law relating to medical practice*. 2nd ed. Tunbridge Wells: Pitman, 1979.
- 4 Taylor KG. Who cares about "juniors"? *Br Med J* 1982;285:218.
- 5 Gruneberg A. Are consultants accountable to general managers? *Br Med J* 1986;293:1175.
- 6 Department of Health and Social Security. *On the state of the public health*. London: HMSO, 1986.
- 7 Fry J, Brooks D, McColl I. *NHS Data Book*. Lancaster: MTP Press, 1984.
- 8 Klein R. *Complaints against doctors: a study in professional accountability*. London: Knight, 1973.
- 9 Anonymous. GMC president talks on patients' complaints. *Br Med J* 1987;294:322.
- 10 Health Service Commissioner. *Annual Report for 1985-86*. London: HMSO, 1986.
- 11 Dyer C. Called to account. *Br Med J* 1987;294:663.
- 12 Anonymous. JCC's complaints procedure. *Br Med J* 1987;294:322.
- 13 Harvey IM, Roberts CJ. Clinical guidelines, medical litigation, and the current medical defence system. *Lancet* 1987;i:145-7.
- 14 Department of Health and Social Security. *Health Circular HC(82)13: Prevention of harm to patients resulting from physical or mental disability of hospital or community medical or dental staff*. London: DHSS, 1982.
- 15 Rawnsley K. Helping the sick doctor: a new service. *Br Med J* 1985;291:922.
- 16 Anonymous. Professional review machinery. *Br Med J* 1986;293:1041.
- 17 Ministry of Health. *National Health Service Circular HM(61)112: Disciplinary proceedings in cases relating to hospital medical and dental staff*. London: Ministry of Health, 1961.
- 18 Savage W. *A savage enquiry: who controls childbirth?* London: Virago, 1986.
- 19 Low-Beer GA. The debasing of medicine in the Soviet Union. *Br Med J* 1987;294:373.

Abdominal aortic aneurysms

Hospital admissions and necropsy studies show that the number of cases of atherosclerotic abdominal aortic aneurysms is increasing. In England an abdominal aneurysm is found in 3% of those over 50 and causes death in 1.5% of cases.¹ The annual incidence of ruptured aneurysm has increased to 17 for every 100 000 people²; for men aged 60-64 in England and Wales it is 22 for every 100 000, and it is 177 for every 100 000 of those aged 80-84.³ A parallel rise has been seen in Sweden,⁴ and in the United States the diagnosis of aneurysms increased from 12.2 to 36.2 per 100 000 person years between 1950 and 1980 (using modern scanning techniques increased the diagnosis of small aneurysms tenfold⁵). In Australia the incidence rose by more than half between 1971 and 1981.⁶ In patients with other manifestations of arteriosclerosis 9.5% have an abdominal aneurysm.⁷ These increases are explained partly by the aging population and partly by increased detection rates, but they are not the full explanation.

Clinical examination detects large aneurysms but may miss a third.⁸ Other diagnostic techniques include conventional radiology, arteriography, digital subtraction angiography, ultrasonography, computed tomography, and nuclear magnetic resonance. Ultrasonography and computed tomography provide accurate diagnosis⁹ but are less effective in defining the proximal extent of the aneurysm and showing whether the renal artery is affected.¹⁰ Scanning techniques provide information about the thickness of the vessel wall and whether there is intraluminal thrombus, whereas arteriography and digital subtraction angiography are better for assessing the condition of renal and visceral arteries. Nuclear magnetic resonance will probably be very valuable, combining these advantages while remaining non-invasive.¹¹⁻¹³

Attempts to stop aneurysms rupturing were confined to inducing thrombosis before Dubost in 1951 performed the first resection using aortic homograft.¹⁴ Dacron became commercially available in 1957 and still provides excellent patency with few long term complications.¹⁵ Early analysis of patients with untreated aneurysms showed that half were dead within two years and that 60-80% of those with symptoms lived only one year.¹⁶ An operation seemed to improve this poor outlook,¹⁷ and mortality from non-emergency operations ranges from 2 to 10%.^{2 18-31} Results are worse for urgent operations even when the aneurysm has not ruptured,^{23 27-32} and when it has the results are poor—hospital mortality ranges from 21 to 70%.^{2 14 21-24 26-38} Patients who have a ruptured aneurysm yet arrive at hospital have already, however, shown a capacity for survival. Only 38-64% reach hospital at all.^{1 2 6 39-41}

Patient selection in these series is often weighted in favour of those who have elective resections, but the benefit of an operation is still evident.⁴² Old age adversely affects results²³ but should not be a contraindication to surgery.^{32 43 44} The size of an aneurysm influences the probability of rupture, but aneurysms grow about 4-5 mm a year^{20 44 45} and small aneurysms do rupture.^{28 46} The balance between risk and benefit is delicate in those for whom an operation will carry a high risk and who have a small asymptomatic aneurysm—further surveillance with serial imaging may be a better option. Elective surgery with careful preoperative evaluation is, however, safe, and the prognosis for patients leaving hospital compares well with that of matched populations after both elective and emergency surgery,^{29 47-49} although

these figures are favourably influenced by the deaths of high risk patients.

If a patient ruptures his aneurysm and reaches hospital alive early diagnosis and an immediate operation are vital. With a non-operative mortality of 100% there is little need for debate or delay. The patient should be anaesthetised on the operating table after all preparations for the operation are complete. The relaxation of the abdominal muscles may result in the rupture extending and dramatic hypotension. Early proximal control of the aneurysm is important, and catheters have been used before the patient is anaesthetised.⁵⁰ Interventional radiology may have an increasingly important place if it does not delay the operation.

Many deaths occur in patients who are moribund when they present, and medical ingenuity cannot help. The opportunity for improving results must lie in diagnosis before rupture, and in an ideal world a screening programme could be employed using ultrasonography or, better still, nuclear magnetic resonance. Necropsy studies suggest that three elective resections would be needed to avert one rupture.^{1,46} Such a programme would need 12 000 operations in England and Wales⁵¹ and cost £9000 for each life saved.⁵² In the United States the cost might be \$10 billion.²² More realistically, doctors must become more aware of abdominal aneurysms and palpate the abdomen of men over 50, just as they would take their blood pressure. Ultrasonography should then be used in doubtful cases and those with other cardiovascular symptoms.

N F GOWLAND HOPKINS

Senior Registrar,
Department of Surgery,
Royal Postgraduate Medical School,
London W12 0HS

- 1 Turk KAD. The postmortem incidence of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Medicine* 1965;58:869-70.
- 2 Ingoldby CJH, Wijanto W, Mitchell JE. Impact of vascular surgery on community mortality from ruptured aortic aneurysms. *Br J Surg* 1986;73:551-3.
- 3 Registrar General. *Mortality statistics. Cause 1984. Series DH2*. London: HMSO, 1986.
- 4 Johansson G, Swedenborg J. Ruptured aortic aneurysms. *Br J Surg* 1986;73:596.
- 5 Melton LJ, Bickerstaff LK, Hollier LH, et al. Changing incidence of abdominal aortic aneurysms—a population based study. *Am J Epidemiol* 1984;120:379-86.
- 6 Castleden WM, Mercer JC. The members of the West Australia Vascular Service. Abdominal aortic aneurysms in Western Australia; descriptive epidemiology and patterns of rupture. *Br J Surg* 1985;72:109-12.
- 7 Cabellon S, Moncrief CL, Pierre DR, Cavanaugh DG. Incidence of abdominal aortic aneurysms in patients with atherosclerotic arterial disease. *Am J Surg* 1983;146:575-6.
- 8 Robicsek F. The diagnosis of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Surgery* 1981;89:275-6.
- 9 Graeve AH, Carpenter CM, Wicks JD, Edwards WS. Discordance in the sizing of abdominal aortic aneurysms and its significance. *Am J Surg* 1982;144:627-34.
- 10 Papanicolaou N, Wittenberg J, Ferrucci JT, et al. Preoperative evaluation of abdominal aortic aneurysms by computed tomography. *AJR* 1986;146:711-5.
- 11 Lee JKT, Ling D, Heiken JP, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *AJR* 1984;143:1197-202.
- 12 Amparo EG, Giggins CB, Hoddick WK, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of aortic disease; preliminary results. *AJR* 1984;143:1203-9.
- 13 Amparo EG, Hoddick WK, Hricak H, et al. Comparison of magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasonography in the evaluation of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Radiology* 1985;154:451-6.
- 14 Dubost C, Allary M, Oeconomos W. Resection of aneurysm of the abdominal aorta; re-establishment of the continuity by a preserved human arterial graft, with results after five months. *Arch Surg* 1952;64:405-8.
- 15 Plate G, Hollier LA, O'Brien P, Pailorero PC, Cherry KJ, Kazmier FJ. Recurrent aneurysms and late vascular complications following repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Arch Surg* 1985;120:590-4.
- 16 Estes JL. Abdominal aortic aneurysm; a study of 102 cases. *Circulation* 1950;2:258-63.
- 17 Szilagyi DE, Smith RF, DeRusso FJ, Elliott JP, Sherrin FU. Contribution of abdominal aortic aneurysmectomy to prolongation of life. *Ann Surg* 1966;164:678-99.
- 18 Yeager RA, Weigel RM, Murphy ES, McConnell DB, Sasaki TM, Vetto RM. Application of clinically valid cardiac risk factors to aortic aneurysm surgery. *Arch Surg* 1986;121:278-81.
- 19 Diehl JT, Cali RF, Hertzner NR, Beven EG. Complications of abdominal aortic reconstruction. *Ann Surg* 1983;197:49-56.
- 20 Bernstein EF, Chan EL. Abdominal aortic aneurysm in high risk patients. *Ann Surg* 1984;200:255-63.
- 21 Pasch AR, Ricotta JJ, May AG, Green RM, Dewese JE. Abdominal aortic aneurysm: the case for elective resection. *Circulation* 1984;70(suppl 1):11-4.
- 22 Cooley DA. Abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Circulation* 1984;70(suppl 1):15-6.
- 23 McCabe CJ, Coleman WS, Brewster DC. The advantage of early operation for abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Arch Surg* 1981;116:1025-9.
- 24 Crawford ES. Symposium: prevention of complications of abdominal aortic reconstruction. *Surgery* 1983;93:91-6.
- 25 Nash T, Gold J. Surgery for abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Med J Aust* 1983;ii:434-6.
- 26 Makin GS. Changing fashions in the surgery of aortic aneurysms. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 1983;65:308-10.

- 27 Fielding JWL, Black J, Ashton F, Slaney G, Campbell DJ. Diagnosis and management of 528 abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Br Med J* 1981;283:355-9.
- 28 Flanagan DP, Quinn T, Kraft RO. Selective management of high risk patients with an abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1980;150:171-6.
- 29 Soreide O, Lillestol J, Christensen O, et al. Abdominal aortic aneurysms: survival analysis of 434 patients. *Surgery* 1982;91:188-93.
- 30 Rantakokko U, Havia T, Luberg MV, Vantinen E. Abdominal aortic aneurysms; a clinical and autopsy study of 408 patients. *Acta Chir Scand* 1983;149:151-5.
- 31 Campbell WB, Collin J, Morris PJ. The mortality of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 1986;68:275-8.
- 32 Harris KA, Ameli FM, Lally M, Provan JL, Johnston KW, Goldberg MR. Abdominal aortic aneurysm resection in patients more than 80 years old. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1986;162:536-8.
- 33 Fielding JWL, Black J, Ashton F, Slaney G. Ruptured aortic aneurysms; post operative complications and their aetiology. *Br J Surg* 1984;71:487-91.
- 34 Jenkins A McL, Ruckley CV, Nolan B. Ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Br J Surg* 1986;73:395-8.
- 35 Wakefield TW, Whitehouse WM, Shu-Chen Wu, et al. Abdominal aortic aneurysm rupture: statistical analysis of factors affecting outcome of surgical treatment. *Surgery* 1982;91:586-95.
- 36 Fitzgerald JF, Stillman RM, Powers JC. A suggested classification and re-appraisal of mortality statistics for ruptured atherosclerotic infrarenal aortic aneurysms. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1978;146:344-6.
- 37 Hiatt JCG, Barker WF, Machleder HI, Baker JD, Busuttill RW, Moore WS. Determinants of failure in treatment of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Arch Surg* 1984;119:1264-8.
- 38 Abernathy CM, Baumgartner R, Butler HG, et al. The management of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms in rural Colorado. *JAMA* 1986;256:597-600.
- 39 Talbot S, Langman MJS. Epidemiological features of ruptured, dissecting and saccular aortic aneurysms. *Postgrad Med J* 1972;48:414-6.
- 40 Armour RH. Survivors of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms: the iceberg's tip. *Br Med J* 1977;iii:1055-7.
- 41 Johansson G, Swedenborg J. Ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms: a study of incidence and mortality. *Br J Surg* 1986;73:101-3.
- 42 Bowers D, Cave WS. Aneurysms of the abdominal aorta: a 20 year study. *J R Soc Med* 1985;78:812-9.
- 43 Treiman RL, Levine KA, Cohen JL, Crossman DV, Foran RF, Levin PM. Aneurysmectomy in the octogenarian. *Am J Surg* 1982;144:194-7.
- 44 Sterpeti AV, Schultz RD, Feldhaus RJ, Peetz DJ, Frasciano AJ, McGill JE. Abdominal aortic aneurysm in elderly patients. *Am J Surg* 1985;150:772-6.
- 45 Delin A, Ohlisen H, Swedenborg J. Growth rate of abdominal aortic aneurysms as measured by computed tomography. *Br J Surg* 1985;72:530-2.
- 46 Darling RC. Ruptured arteriosclerotic abdominal aortic aneurysms. A pathologic and clinical study. *Am J Surg* 1970;179:397-401.
- 47 Appleberg M, Coupland GAE, Reeve TS. Ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm: long term survival after operation. *Aust NZ J Surg* 1980;50:28-32.
- 48 Bardram L, Buchardt Hansen HJ, Hansen ABD. Abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Acta Chir Scand* 1980;502:85-93.
- 49 Gravgard E, Juul S, Albrechtsen O, Mauritzen C. Prognosis and treatment of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1980;151:777-80.
- 50 Sensenig DM. Rapid control in ruptured abdominal aneurysms. *Arch Surg* 1981;116:1034-6.
- 51 Collin S. The incidence of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Br J Surg* 1985;72:499.
- 52 Collin J. Screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Br J Surg* 1985;72:851-2.

Nursing manpower

Is there a crisis in nursing manpower? The National Health Service's chief executive, Len Peach, has warned of future difficulties in recruitment, and many local voices are complaining of shortages. Old troubles with the quality of training and the excessive work contribution of student nurses have regained prominence through the Project 2000 report.¹ But after four years in which the same number of nurses has faced up to a 13% rise in workload the atmosphere remains surprisingly calm.²

Management action is now an alternative to complaint. Techniques of measuring the need for nurses are now available: the monitor project at Newcastle Polytechnic and work in Brighton and north Lincolnshire have developed the earlier work at Aberdeen.³ Many districts may complain of shortages, but only those that can prove their shortages with the new measures will command respect. The Department of Health and Social Security's work on the lack of fit between nursing resources and needs has also had an impact on opinion, most recently through the report on the mix of nursing skills.⁴

The nursing force is now much better educated and flexibly trained than it was. The development of postbasic training has been a success, and, although wastage among student nurses throughout their course and through exam failure is at least 30%,¹ the turnover among trained staff is probably lower than in the 1960s: more people are working as