after 12 months only one had developed neurological signs.<sup>33</sup> Pueschel screened 236 patients with Down's syndrome radiologically and found the atlanto-odontoid interval equal to or greater than 5 mm in 17%; in 2.6% of his patients (seven cases), only one of whom was over 18, atlantoaxial instability was associated with abnormal neurological signs (18% of those in whom atlantoaxial instability had been shown radiologically).<sup>34</sup> <sup>35</sup> Six of his seven patients with neurological abnormalities had no history of trauma. The male to female ratio of those patients affected by atlantoaxial instability was 1:2.3. Peuschel found that if the atlanto-odontoid interval was 4.5-6.0 mm the patients remained free of neurological signs, but if the distance exceeded 7.00 mm all patients had neurological signs. Peuschel believes that the intrinsic defect is one of connective tissue since atlantoaxial instability was correlated with hyperextensibility of other joints, such as fingers and elbows.<sup>33</sup> <sup>36</sup>

The prevalence of atlantoaxial instability in patients with Down's syndrome thus seems to be between 12% and 22%, with a higher prevalence among girls and women. Atlantoaxial instability is associated with (and probably causes) damage to the cervical cord in some 2-3% of all patients with Down's syndrome who survive infancy. Most patients in whom cord damage has been recognised have undergone surgical procedures to stabilise the cervical spine, and the results have been generally good.<sup>21 34</sup> The fact that most cases of neurological damage seem to have occurred spontaneously or after trivial trauma is, however, worrying as avoiding strenuous sporting activity alone may thus not be enough to protect the cervical cord.

Atlantoaxial instability in Down's syndrome fulfils many of the criteria for introducing screening<sup>37</sup>: the association of atlantoaxial instability with cord damage is common and often disastrous; the condition is treatable and easily diagnosed using acceptable and readily available methods; and there is a latent period before cord damage occurs. What is missing is an agreed policy on screening and treatment. The screening of all patients with Down's syndrome for atlantoaxial instability seems to be logical. Down's syndrome is common, and half of all the patients who survive infancy now live until 60.<sup>3840</sup> It is imperative to ensure their quality of life, but the workload and resource implications of so doing are enormous.

## **RICHARD A COLLACOTT**

Lecturer in Psychiatry (Mental Handicap), Clinical Sciences Building, Leicester Royal Infirmary, Leicester LE2 7LX

- 1 Cooke RE. Atlanto-axial instability in individuals with Down's syndrome. Ment Retard 1984;22:193-4.
- 2 Committee on Sports medicine. Atlanto-axial instability in Down's syndrome. Pediatrics 1984;74:152-4. 3 Acheson ED. Atlanto-axial instability in people with Down's syndrome. London: DHSS. 1986.
- (Circular letter CMO(86)8.)
- 4 Alvarez N, Rubin L. Atlanto-axial instability in adults with Down's syndrome: a clinical and radiological survey. Appl Res Ment Retard 1986;7:67-78.
- Bell C. The nervous system of the human body. London: Longman, 1830.
  Spitzer R, Rabinowitch JY, Wybar KC. A study of the abnormalities of the skull, teeth and lenses in mongolism. Can Med Assoc J 1961;84:567-72.
  Benda CE. Mongolism and cretinism. New York: Grune and Stratton, 1946:201-9.
- Caffey J, Ross S. Pelvic bones in infantile mongoloidism. A7R 1958;80:458-67.
- 9 Hefke HW. Roentgenologic study of anomalies of the hands in one hundred cases of mongolism. Am J Dis Child 1940;60:1319-23.
- In J Dis Critical 1940;60:1517-25.
  In Currarino G, Swanson GE. A developmental variant of ossification of the manubrium sterni in mongolism. Radiology 1964;82:916.
  Horns JW, O'Loughlin BJ. Multiple manubrial ossification centres in mongolism. AJR 1965;93:395-8.
- 12 Mautner H. (1950). Abnormal findings on the spine in mongoloids. Am J Ment Defic 1950;55:
- 105.7 13 Rabinowitz JG, Moseley JE. The lateral lumbar spine in Down's syndrome: a new roentgen
- feature. Radiology 1964;83:74-9. 14 Diamond LS, Lynn D, Sigman B. Orthopaedic disorders in patients with Down's syndrome. Orthop Clin North Am 1981;12:57-71.

- 15 Aung MH. Atlanto-axial dislocation in Down's syndrome. Bulletin of the Los Angeles Neurological Society 1973;38:197-201
- 16 Dzentis AJ. Spontaneous atlanto-axial dislocation in a mongoloid child with spinal cord compression. J Neurosurg 1966;25:458-60. 17 Kleinman PK. Case report. Skeletal Radiol 1983;10:192-5
- 18 Grobovschek M, Strohecker J. Congenital atlanto-axial subluxation in Down's syndrome.
- Neuroradiology 1985;27:186-7. 19 Dawson EG, Smith L. Atlanto-axial subluxation in children due to vertebral anomalies. J Bone
- Joint Surg (Am) 1979;61:582-7. 20 Sherk HH, Nicholson IT, Rotatory atlanto-axial dislocation associated with ossiculum terminale
- and mongolism. J Bone Joint Surg (Am) 1969;51:957-64. 21 Hungerford GD, Akkaraju V, Rawe SE, Young GF. Atlanto-occipital and atlanto-axial dislocations with spinal cord compression in Down's syndrome. Br J Radiol 1981;54:785-61.
- 22 Andrews LG. Myelopathy due to atlanto-axial dislocation in a patient with Down's syndrome and rheumatoid arthritis. Der Med Child Neurol 1981;23:356-60. 23 Whaley WJ, Gray WD. Atlanto-axial dislocation with Down's syndrome. Can Med Assoc J
- 1980:123:35-7 24 Shield LK, Dickens DRV, Jensen F, Atlanto-axial dislocation with spinal cord compression in
- Down's syndrome. Aust Paediatr J 1981;17:114-6 25 Giblin PE, Micheli I.I. (1979). The management of atlanto-axial subluxation with neurological
- involvement in Down's syndrome. Clin Orthop 1979;140:66-71. 26 Herring JA. Cervical instability in Down's syndrome and juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. J Paediatr
- Orthop 1982;2:205-7 27 Finerman GA, Sakai D, Weingarten S. Atlanto-axial dislocation with spinal cord compression in a
- mongoloid child. J Bone Joint Surg (Am) 1976;58:408-9. Hreidarsson S, Magram G, Singer H. Symptomatic atlanto-axial dislocation in Down's syndrome. *Pediatrics* 1981;69:568-71.
   Martel W, Vyham R, Stimson CW. Subluxation of the atlas causing spinal cord compression in a
- case of Down's syndrome. Radiology 1969;93:839-40.
- 30 Wang A-M, Fischer EG, Ofori-Kwakye SK, Rumbaugh CL, Lewis ML. Posterior fossa ependymal cyst and atlanto-axial subluxation in a patient with Down's syndrome: CT findings. J Comput Assist Tomogr 1984;8:783-7. Tishler J, Martel W. Dislocation of the atlas in mongolism. Radiology 1965;84:904-0

- Martel W, Tishler J. Observations on the spine in mongoloidism. AJR 1966;97:630-8.
  Semine AA, Ertel AN, Goldberg MJ, Bull MJ. Cervical spine instability in children with Down's syndrome. J Bone Joint Surg (Am) 1978;60:649-52.
  Peuschel SM, Herndon JH, Gelch MM, Senft KE, Scola FH, Goldberg MJ. Symptomatic atlanto-
- axial subluxation in persons with Down's syndrome. J Pediatr Orthop 1984;4:682-8. 35 Peuschel SM. Atlanto-axial subluxation in Down's syndrome. Lancet 1983;i:980.
- 36 Peuschel SM, Scola FH, Perry CD, Pezzullo JC. Atlanto-axial instability in children with Down's syndrome. *Pediatr Radiol* 1981;10:129-32.
- 37 Wilson JMG. Some principles of early diagnosis and detection. In: Teeling-Smith G, ed. Surveillance and early diagnosis in general practice. London: Office of Health Economics, 1966: 5-10
- 38 Duport A, Vaeth M, Videbech P, et al. Mortality and life expectancy of Down's syndrome in Denmark. J Menu Defic Res 1986;36:111-20. 39 Fryers T. Survival in Down's syndrome. J Menu Defic Res 1986;30:101-10.
- 40 Oster J, Mikkelsen M, Nielsen A, et al. Mortality and life table in Down's syndrome. Acta Paediatr Scand 1975:64:322-6.

## Measles and the government

Some time soon children in Britain will be offered a triple vaccine against measles, mumps, and rubella at the age of 15 months. An announcement has been expected for several months, and on 7 April Baroness Trumpington, Parliamentary Secretary for Health, in a curious choice of venue, told Princess Diana and others present at a lunch organised by the National Rubella Council that "we shall take every step to introduce this vaccine generally as soon as practicable."

The BM7 has for years been calling for a more effective campaign of measles vaccination,<sup>2</sup> and we have also published evidence on the safety and efficacy of the measles/ mumps/rubella vaccine as used in Sweden and elsewhere.<sup>3</sup> Introduction of the new triple vaccine could and should give community physicians, health educators, family doctors, health visitors, and others an opportunity to revitalise the measles campaign and raise the uptake above the present disappointing 60%. The World Health Organisation's target -elimination of measles from Europe by 1995-depends on a 95% uptake of measles vaccination by 1990, so there is not a lot of time.<sup>4</sup>

The lack of any date in the government's announcement is disappointing. It does, however, encourage us to urge the Department of Health to do the job properly this time round. Firstly, mumps and rubella should be made notifiable in order to provide background data. Secondly, once a launch date is decided for the new vaccine its introduction must be accompanied by an effective publicity campaign. The measles/mumps/rubella vaccine is effective and safe; there are none of the doubts associated with the pertussis vaccine, but many parents still seem unaware of the dangers to which their unvaccinated children are exposed.<sup>5</sup> The United States, Canada, Sweden, Finland, Czechoslovakia, and Albania are all well on the way to eliminating measles, which still kills around 20 children a year in Britain and leaves others with permanent disability. If preventable, why not prevented?

Deputy editor, BM7

TONY SMITH

Anonymous. Vaccine plan unveiled. Yorkshire Post 1987 April 4:3 (col 4).
 Noah ND. What can we do about measles? Br Med J 1984;289:1476.

3 Rabo E, Taranger J. Scandinavian model for eliminating measles, mumps, and rubella. Br Med 3

1984;289:1402-4 Begg NT, Noah ND. Immunisation targets in Europe and Britain. Br Med J 1985;291:1370-1.
 Anonymous. Pertussis vaccine [Editorial]. Br Med J 1981;282:1563-4.

## **European contrasts in obstetrics**

Europe revels in its cultural differences so it is not surprising to find that obstetric practices in its 33 member states differ. After all, they vary enough in Britain, even within the same hospital, as recent events have shown. What is surprising, however, is the extent to which they vary, given that the maternal and perinatal mortality rates in the industrialised countries in Europe are so similar.

Take home deliveries, for example: in the Netherlands a third of births take place at home, while in Germany and Britain the figure is closer to 1% despite the strongly voiced demand. Caesarean section rates vary from 4% to 12% and operative vaginal deliveries (forceps and vacuum extraction) from 1% to 13% of all births. Scotland, with a total operative interventional rate approaching 24%, has one of the highest rates in Europe.1 Maternal choice on birth method, birth position, type of analgesia, use of fetal monitors, people in attendance, and episiotomies varies even more. At best women with uncomplicated deliveries get virtually a free hand in determining how they give birth. At worst draconian regimens range from compulsory enemas with two litres of soapy water, still a favourite in some English maternity units, to compulsory 10 day sojourns in the postnatal ward. Access to the baby is limited in some eastern European hospitals to a four hourly visit, when infants are wheeled round for mothers to bottle feed. Maternity benefits are no less disparate, with the length of paid maternity leave ranging from two weeks before and after birth to a generous month or so before and 10 months after.

These observations were among the most striking of those unearthed by an original if necessarily patchy survey of the perinatal services in Europe carried out by a working party set up by the World Health Organisation. Its report, based largely on data collected in 1981 and 1982, was published in 1985 but has not been widely available and received its first major airing in Britain only last week at a meeting of the forum on maternity and the newborn at the Royal Society of Medicine.<sup>2</sup> Here it was greeted with much enthusiasm, if a measure of criticism.

Professor Alec Turnbull from Oxford pointed out that the data, obtained from questionnaires from the 23 countries who returned them, were incomplete for they were based on national and hospital statistics and did not take the alternative services into account. The attempt to look at these alternative services in eight of the countries had been unsatisfactory because insufficient data had been obtained. He criticised the anticonventional hospital stance of the report and attributed it to the working party including "too many removed from the sharp end of medicine." His main criticism, however, was that individual countries were not named. This obviously was the major drawback of the report.

Dr Marsden Wagner, chairman of the WHO working party, defended the decision not to name individual countries, saying it had been impossible for political reasons. The information was available, however, in a book Perinatal Health Services in Europe.3 Dr Wagner pointed out the main findings of the report and their implications for obstetric care in Britain. Firstly, perinatal surveillance of individuals was good in most of Europe, especially Britain, but there was no adequate surveillance of large groups of patients and no good methods of evaluating systems of care. Feedback on practice to providers was poor, and feedback to the users of the service almost non-existent. Hospitals could easily give their patients information about their rates of caesarean section, epidural anaesthetics, and episiotomy and should do so. Secondly, the balance of power between obstetricians and midwives must be right. In most countries, Britain included, midwives lacked power, which might be a factor behind the current high rates of caesarean section and operative vaginal deliveries. Thirdly, perinatal technology was out of control, and neither its efficacy nor its safety had been evaluated adequately. Fourthly, despite the widespread, almost religious, belief in antenatal care, there were few data to show that it appreciably affected maternal and perinatal mortality rates and no data to show which element of the care was important. Women should also have much more say in defining the structure and nature of the maternity services.

His main message to Britain was to stop concentrating on the technical aspects of perinatal care and improve the social aspects. Our main cause of perinatal mortality was low birth weight babies associated with low maternal socioeconomic status, smoking, and alcohol abuse. More money should be spent on improving the social status of women, ensuring that their housing, diet, and general level of support before, during, and after birth were adequate. This observation came on the very day that the government abolished the £25 maternity grant and reduced the number of women eligible to claim maternity pay.

Assistant editor, BMJ

**TESSA RICHARDS** 

<sup>1</sup> Bergsjø P, Schmidt E, Pusch D. Differences in the reported frequencies of some obstetrical Bergster I, Schnidt E, Yusar D. Disterences in the reported requeries of some obsterical interventions in Europe. Br J Obster Gynaccol 1983;90:628-32.
 World Health Organisation. Having a baby in Europe. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe. London: HMSO, 1985. (Public Health in Europe No 26.)

<sup>3</sup> Phaff JML, ed. Perinatal health services in Europe. Beckenham, Kent: Croom Helm, 1986.