

## Errors in Published Sequences of Human Cytomegalovirus Primers and Probes: Do We Need More Quality Control?

Over the past decade, many authors have focused on PCR as a powerful technique for the evaluation of human cytomegalovirus (CMV). The key to PCR lies in the design of oligonucleotides, as the specific sequences largely affect PCR's efficacy and sensitivity. This study was designed to examine the quality of published sequences of CMV primers and probes.

PubMed was searched in the National Center for Biotechnology Information website (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>) for English peer-reviewed articles using CMV and PCR as keywords. Articles reporting on virus genotyping or species-level identification, as well as letters to editors and reviews were excluded. The full texts of 91 papers published between 1993 and 2004 were studied. Of these, 34 papers did not describe the detailed nucleotide sequence, including 17 papers using commercially available kits. The remaining 57 papers with a total of 199 CMV-specific oligonucleotides were examined. Oligonucleotides with identical sequences or with one additional nucleotide at either the 3' or 5' end of the sequence were identified as synonymous.

Using The Sequence Manipulation Suite web-based programs (written by Paul Stothard, University of Alberta, Canada), the binding sites of all 199 oligonucleotides were identified using GenBank strain AD169 genome sequence (GenBank accession no. X17403 and NC\_001347). Mismatches to all published sequences of CMV were analyzed by the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/>). Papers containing oligonucleotide errors were studied for technical reasons for employment of mutated oligonucleotides or subsequently published errata. Moreover, information on identified errors was conveyed to all corresponding authors and coauthors.

Ten oligonucleotides did not match any CMV strain, and reasons were specified neither in the respective articles nor in

authors' replies (Table 1). Primers R2, F6, and F9 were incorrectly transferred from previous publications (2, 5, 7) as indicated by the authors (V. H. Aquino and X. L. Pang, personal communication). The oligonucleotides F1, R3, and P10 were apparently also incorrectly transferred from prior publications (14). Moreover, primers F4 and R5 possessed mismatches at their 3'-end triplets, which may reduce PCR efficiency (21). Furthermore, the two degenerated probes (P7 and P8) contained Y (C/T) instead of R (A/G) in P7 and vice versa in P8. Obviously, these mismatches may drastically affect the efficacies of these probes (17).

In summary, we show that 5% of the CMV oligonucleotides included mismatches to all published sequences half of which were incorrectly reported mostly in secondary publications. Moreover, since we considered all sequences correct when they matched at least one CMV strain, even when the authors used a different strain for design or admitted an error, the number of errors is underestimated by our approach. These observations point to the possibility that the report of erroneous primers and probes is a widespread problem irritating other researchers. It might therefore be advisable for authors and reviewers alike to pay special attention to the verification of such sequences and for researchers citing or following published work.

We thank Xiaoli Pang, Victor Hugo Aquino, Julio Mendez, Kristin Lund, Jean-Pierre Allain, Ursula Meyer-König, Yi-Wei Tang, Stephen Garner, Lars Schaade, Otávia Caballero, Junko Ohyashiki, Peter Schäfer, Andrew Simpson, and Svein Nordbø for their cooperative correspondence. We are indebted to Klaus Roemer for critical review of the manuscript.

TABLE 1. Published CMV oligonucleotides that showed errors with all published CMV strains

Oligonucleotide <sup>a</sup>	Sequence (5'-3') <sup>b</sup>	Position <sup>c</sup>	Stated correctly <sup>d</sup>
F1 (11)	GAA ACG CGC <u>G<sup>C</sup>GC</u> AAT CGG	81873–81890	2, 3, 9, 12, 22
R2 (9, 12, 22)	TAC <u>GCT GCA GTT CAC/CCC</u> AG	82055–82036	2
R3 (11)	T-G <u>AAC TGG AAC GTT TGG</u> C	82174–82156	2, 8, 9, 12, 13, 22
F4 (18, 19)	CGG AAA CGA TGG TGT AGT <u>TGG</u>	82571–82591	
R5 (18, 19)	TCC AAC ACC CAC <u>T/AG</u> ACC <u>GGT</u>	82838–82818	
F6 (15)	ATA GGA GGC GCC ACG TAT <u>TC<sup>†</sup></u>	82975–82994	1, 5, 6, 20
P7 (16, 20)	ACA CCA CTT ATC <u>TYC</u> TGG GCA GC	83023–83001	
P8 (16, 20)	CGT TTC GTC GTA GCT ACG <u>CRT</u> ACA T	83050–83026	
F9 (2)	TGG TGT TTT <u>T<sup>†</sup>CAC</u> GCA GGA A	109961–109979	7
P10 (4)	CCT CCC GCT CCT <u>GAG/C-T</u>	171149–171166	10, 14

<sup>a</sup> Oligonucleotides coded (F, forward primer; R, reverse primer; P, probe); reference(s) of the erroneous oligonucleotide shown in parentheses.

<sup>b</sup> Mismatch position is bold and underlined. Y, C/T; R, G/A; –, missing nucleotide. An added nucleotide is shown as a bold superscript nucleotide. Exchanged nucleotides are shown in bold underlined type with a slash.

<sup>c</sup> Nucleotide number according to GenBank accession no. X17403.

<sup>d</sup> Paper(s) in which the oligonucleotide was stated correctly.

## REFERENCES

1. Allen, R. D., P. E. Pellett, J. A. Stewart, and M. Koopmans. 1995. Nonradioactive PCR-enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay method for detection of human cytomegalovirus DNA. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **33**:725–728.
2. Aquino, V. H., and L. T. Figueiredo. 2001. Cytomegalovirus infection in renal transplant recipients diagnosed by nested-PCR. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* **34**:93–101.
3. Aquino, V. H., and L. T. Figueiredo. 2000. High prevalence of renal transplant recipients infected with more than one cytomegalovirus glycoprotein B genotype. *J. Med. Virol.* **61**:138–142.
4. Aritaki, K., J. H. Ohyashiki, A. Suzuki, T. Ojima, K. Abe, N. Shimizu, K. Yamamoto, K. Ohyashiki, and A. Hoshika. 2001. A rapid monitoring system of human herpesviruses reactivation by LightCycler in stem cell transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* **28**:975–980.
5. Bai, X., G. Hosler, B. B. Rogers, D. B. Dawson, and R. H. Scheuermann. 1997. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction for human herpesvirus diagnosis and measurement of Epstein-Barr virus burden in posttransplant lymphoproliferative disorder. *Clin. Chem.* **43**:1843–1849.
6. Bai, X., B. B. Rogers, P. C. Harkins, J. Sommerauer, R. Squires, K. Rotondo, A. Quan, D. B. Dawson, and R. H. Scheuermann. 2000. Predictive value of quantitative PCR-based viral burden analysis for eight human herpesviruses in pediatric solid organ transplant patients. *J. Mol. Diagn.* **2**:191–201.
7. Chou, S. 1992. Molecular epidemiology of envelope glycoprotein H of human cytomegalovirus. *J. Infect. Dis.* **166**:604–607.
8. Chou, S. W., and K. M. Dennison. 1991. Analysis of interstrain variation in cytomegalovirus glycoprotein B sequences encoding neutralization-related epitopes. *J. Infect. Dis.* **163**:1229–1234.
9. Cunha Ade, A., L. J. Marin, V. H. Aquino, and L. T. Figueiredo. 2002. Diagnosis of cytomegalovirus infections by qualitative and quantitative PCR in HIV infected patients. *Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. Sao Paulo* **44**:127–132.
10. Exner, M. M., and M. A. Lewinski. 2002. Sensitivity of multiplex real-time PCR reactions, using the LightCycler and the ABI PRISM 7700 Sequence Detection System, is dependent on the concentration of the DNA polymerase. *Mol. Cell Probes* **16**:351–357.
11. Hong, K. M., H. Najjar, M. Hawley, and R. D. Press. 2004. Quantitative real-time PCR with automated sample preparation for diagnosis and monitoring of cytomegalovirus infection in bone marrow transplant patients. *Clin. Chem.* **50**:846–856.
12. Marin, L. J., A. A. Cunha, V. H. Aquino, and L. T. Figueiredo. 2004. The use of qualitative and quantitative polymerase chain reactions for diagnosis of cytomegalovirus infections in bone marrow and kidney transplant recipients. *Rev. Soc. Bras. Med. Trop.* **37**:158–164.
13. Meyer-Koenig, U., M. Weidmann, G. Kirste, and F. T. Hufert. 2004. Cytomegalovirus infection in organ-transplant recipients: diagnostic value of pp65 antigen test, qualitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and quantitative Taqman PCR. *Transplantation* **77**:1692–1698.
14. Nitsche, A., N. Steuer, C. A. Schmidt, O. Landt, and W. Siebert. 1999. Different real-time PCR formats compared for the quantitative detection of human cytomegalovirus DNA. *Clin. Chem.* **45**:1932–1937.
15. Pang, X. L., L. Chui, J. Fenton, B. LeBlanc, and J. K. Preiksaitis. 2003. Comparison of LightCycler-based PCR, COBAS AmpliCor CMV Monitor, and pp65 antigenemia assays for quantitative measurement of cytomegalovirus viral load in peripheral blood specimens from patients after solid organ transplantation. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **41**:3167–3174.
16. Schaade, L., P. Kockelkorn, K. Ritter, and M. Kleines. 2000. Detection of cytomegalovirus DNA in human specimens by LightCycler PCR. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **38**:4006–4009.
17. Schaade, L., P. Kockelkorn, K. Ritter, and M. Kleines. 2001. Detection of cytomegalovirus DNA in human specimens by LightCycler PCR: melting point analysis is mandatory to detect virus strains with point mutations in the target sequence of the hybridization probes. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **39**:3809.
18. Schäfer, P., W. Tenschert, L. Cremaschi, K. Gutensohn, and R. Laufs. 1998. Utility of major leukocyte subpopulations for monitoring secondary cytomegalovirus infections in renal-allograft recipients by PCR. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **36**:1008–1014.
19. Schäfer, P., W. Tenschert, M. Schröter, K. Gutensohn, and R. Laufs. 2000. False-positive results of plasma PCR for cytomegalovirus DNA due to delayed sample preparation. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **38**:3249–3253.
20. Sekhon, H. S., R. D. Press, W. A. Schmidt, M. Hawley, and A. Rader. 2004. Identification of cytomegalovirus in a liquid-based gynecologic sample using morphology, immunohistochemistry, and DNA real-time PCR detection. *Diagn. Cytopathol.* **30**:411–417.
21. Singh, V., and A. Kumar. 2001. PCR primer design. *Mol. Biol. Today* **2**:27–32.
22. Siqueira, R. C., A. Cunha, F. Oréfice, W. R. Campos, and L. T. Figueiredo. 2004. PCR with the aqueous humor, blood leukocytes and vitreous of patients affected by cytomegalovirus retinitis and immune recovery uveitis. *Ophthalmologica* **218**:43–48.

**Wafa Habbal**  
**Fawza Monem**  
*Faculty of Pharmacy*  
*Damascus University*  
*Damascus, Syria*

**Barbara Christine Gärtner**  
*Department of Virology*  
*University of Saarland Medical School*  
*Haus 47*  
*D-66421 Homburg/Saar, Germany*

\*Phone: 49-6841-1623950  
 Fax: 49-6841-1623980  
 E-mail: vibgae@uniklinikum-saarland.de