LXXXVIII. DISMUTATION OF PYRUVIC ACID IN GONOCOCCUS AND STAPHYLOCOCCUS

BY HANS ADOLF KREBS

From the Department of Pharmacology, University of Sheffield

(Received 1 March 1937)

THE literature on bacterial metabolism contains references which suggest that the anaerobic dismutation of pyruvic acid which we have shown to occur in animal tissues (see the preceding paper) may also take place in certain bacteria. Barron et al. [1932; 1933; 1934; 1936], for instance, described experiments concerning the oxidation of pyruvic acid in Gonococcus and showed that there is a specific mechanism by which pyruvic acid is oxidized to form acetic acid and carbon dioxide. He assumed that this oxidation is directly effected by molecular oxygen and he named the enzymic system concerned "a-ketonoxidase". His data, however, also allow the assumption that the oxidation of pyruvic acid is brought about by the same type of dismutation which occurs in animal tissues:

2 pyruvic $\text{acid} + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{lactic acid} + \text{acetic acid} + \text{CO}_2,$ (1)

and the experiments reported in this paper demonstrate that this view is correct. Pyruvic acid is oxidized in *Gonococcus* by the anaerobic reaction (1), and the oxygen uptake which ensues after addition of pyruvic acid is due to a secondary oxidation of the lactic acid formed by reaction (1). Some other cocci react in the same way, Staph. aureus and albus, and Streptococcus faecalis. For convenience Staph. aureus was used for the majority of the experiments and only the salient points were studied in Gonococcus and the other organisms.

I. Methods

1. Bacteria. The organisms used in this work were obtained partly from the National Type Culture Collection, partly from the Department of Bacteriology of this University. Cultures were grown in Roux flasks for 18-24 hours; blood agar was used as medium for Gonococcus, broth agar for the other organisms. The bacteria were washed off with 0.9% NaCl, the washings were filtered through glass wool, and the organism was subsequently washed on the centrifuge. The final sediment was suspended in 0.9% NaCl and its concentration was determined by measuring the dry weight (drying at 100°). The stock suspension contained usually 5-10 mg. dry bacteria per ml.; it was kept in a refrigerator. Suspensions of Staphylococcus or Streptococcus showed no significant decrease of activity within a few days.

The stock suspension was diluted with saline for each experiment. Bicarbonate was added to adjust pH . The solution was equilibrated with gas mixtures containing N_2 and CO_2 , the concentration of the latter usually being 5% . Yellow phosphorus was employed for the removal of oxygen. The temperature was 40° .

2. Chemical methods. The chemical and manometric methods were those described in the preceding paper.

II. Anaerobic decomposition of pyruvic acid by Gonococcus and Staphylococcus

Barron has shown that Gonococcus, in the presence of air, oxidizes pyruvic acid according to the scheme:

pyruvic $\text{acid} + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow \text{acetic acid} + CO_2$.

The following experiments show that $CO₂$ and acetic acid are also formed in the absence of air, but only 50% of the theoretical yield of $CO₂$ and acetic acid is obtained (Exp. 1).

Exp. 1. Decomposition of pyruvic acid by Gonococcus

(Gonococcus in saline containing $M/50$ NaHCO₃. 3 ml. suspension in each cup. 5% CO₂ in N₂.

 \ddotsc

Exp. 2. Anaerobic production of $CO₂$ from pyruvic acid by Staph. aureus

Exp. 3 shows that the $CO₂$ is not derived from the bicarbonate of the saline, as the concentration of bicarbonate remains constant while $CO₂$ is evolved. The $CO₂$ arises therefore from decarboxylation of pyruvic acid. The constancy of the bicarbonate concentration shows furthermore, as explained in the preceding paper, that the substance remaining after decarboxylation of pyruvic acid is a fixed acid which binds the alkali set free by the decomposition of the pyruvate.

The fixed acid formed from pyruvic acid is steam-volatile and gives a positive lanthanum-iodine test [Krüger & Tschirsch, 1929; 1930]; it is thus acetic acid.

In Exp. $2c \cdot 498 \mu l$. lactic acid were found in the solution after the evolution of $CO₂$ was finished. This shows that the second 50% of pyruvic acid for which we have to account is converted into lactic acid. We have isolated the lactic acid as the zinc salt in a large-scale experiment following the directions of Embden & Kraus [1912] and of Warburg [1925] and identified it as the laevorotatory form which is the same optical isomeride that occurs in animal tissues. It should be mentioned that Kendall et al. [1930] and Sevag & Neuenschwander-Lemmer [1936] observed previously, in qualitative experiments, that pyruvic acid may undergo reduction to lactic acid in Staph. aureus.

BACTERIAL METABOLISM OF PYRUVIC ACID 663

Exp. 3. Anaerobic production of $CO₂$ and fixed acid from pyruvic acid by Staph. aureus

(Manometric experiment. Cups with 2 side-arms were used. 2 ml. bacterial suspension (8 mg. cocci) per cup. Side-arm 1 of each cup contained 0.2 ml. 5% H_2SO_4 .)

Fig. 1. Anaerobic CO₂ production and aerobic oxygen consumption in the presence of pyruvic acid. (Staph. aureus.)

Exp. 4. Aerobic and anaerobic decomposition of pyruvic acid by Staph. aureus

(pH 6-8; 3 ml. bacterial suspension containing 11 mg. cocci per cup. 0-1 ml. pyruvate solution $(=503 \,\mu$ l.) was added at the time 0 from a side-arm after equilibration. The figures have been corrected for some (small) blanks. Buffer in (1) $CO₂$ -NaHCO₃; in (2) phosphate.)

H. A. KREBS

The experiments reported so far show that 50% of the pyruvic acid is decomposed into $CO₂$ and acetic acid, whilst the second 50 $\%$ is reduced to lactic acid. The evidence for the reaction (1) is therefore complete. If this reaction is a normal stage in the oxidative breakdown of pyruvic acid it has to be shown that the rate is at least that of the oxidative breakdown in the presence of molecular oxygen. Exp. 4 and Fig. 1 show that the rate of reaction $(\bar{1})$ as measured by the anaerobic $CO₂$ production is in fact higher than that of the oxidation of pyruvic acid by oxygen.

The manometric determination of the $CO₂$ formed was used to study the effect of pH and of oxidation-reduction indicators on the rate of dismutation of pyruvic acid. In Exp. 5 the bicarbonate concentration was varied so that a pH range from 5.6 to 8.5 was obtained. The optimum is near pH 7.0.

 $Exp. 5.$ Effect of pH on the dismutation of pyruvic acid in Staph. aureus

(Bicarbonate-CO ₂ buffer; 5% CO ₂ in N ₂ ; 1 mg. cocci per cup.)							
Concentration of bicarbonate (in μ). per ml. solution)	5600	1865	622	207	69	23	8
pH	8.48	$8 - 01$	$7 - 53$	7-05	6.57	$6 - 09$	5.61
μ l. CO ₂ formed in 40 min.	9	81	130	133	146	102	96

The effect of indicators was investigated, as it seemed probable from the work of Green et al. [1934] that carriers of the type of oxido-reduction indicators might play a role in the dismutation of pyruvic acid. However, the only effect of indicators was an inhibition (Exp. 6).

Exp. 6. Inhibition of the dismutation of pyruvic acid by indicators in Staph. aureus

Indicator added	Cone. M	$\%$ inhibition
γ : γ' -Dipyridyl	10^{-2}	80
Benzylviologen	10^{-2}	94
,,	10^{-3}	52
,,	10^{-4}	12
Pyocyanin	$0.8.10^{-3}$	90
,,	$0.2.10^{-3}$	94
,,	$0.5.10^{-4}$	53
,,	$1.25.10^{-5}$	22
Lactoflavin	$10 - 5$	18

III. Activator in yeast

Peters [1936] has shown that the oxidation of pyruvic acid in pigeon brain requires the presence of vitamin B_1 . Since it appears to be the same reaction which brings about the oxidation of pyruvic acid in brain tissue and in Staphylococcus, and since it is known that vitamin B_1 plays a role in the metabolism of certain micro-organisms [Schopfer, 1935; Tatum et al. 1936], we decided to examine the effect of vitamin B_1 on the dismutation of pyruvic acid in Staphylococcus. A large acceleration of reaction (1) was found when commercial preparations of vitamin B_1 (Hoffmann-La Roche; Glaxo) were added. Crystalline vitamin, however, had no effect.

Extracts from brewer's or baker's yeast which had been heated to 90-95° for 10 min. also contained a very potent activator. The activation increases with time and may amount to ¹⁰⁰⁰ % (Exp. 7, Fig. 2).

Exp. 7. Effect of yeast extract on the dismutation of pyruvic acid

(Bacterial suspension containing 0.6 mg. Staph. aureus per 3 ml. in saline, $M/50$ NaHCO₂; 5% CO₂ in N₂. $M/50$ pyruvate. Yeast extract: Lebedev extract made from brewer's top yeast heated 10 min. in boiling water-bath, filtered. ¹ ml. contained 47 mg. dry matter. The measurement of C02 production began 20 min. after the addition of yeast extract. Yeast extract alone had no effect on the CO_2 production. Increase beyond 0.1 ml. original extract had no further effect.)

Fig. 2. Effect of yeast extract on the dismutation of pyruvic acid in Staph. aureus.

The ampoules of vitamin B_1 which we obtained from Messrs Hoffmann-La Roche and from Messrs Glaxo had an effect of the same order of magnitude as the extract used above. 0.1 ml. of the tenfold dilute preparation (=5 units vitamin B_1) added to 3 ml. suspension about doubled the rate of reaction. The effect seemed to increase with prolonged washing of the organism.

It is not only the anaerobic dismutation of pyruvic acid which is affected by yeast extracts. The oxygen uptake in the presence of glucose and other substrates is also increased, though less than the pyruvic acid dismutation (Exp. 8).

Exp. 8. Effect of yeast extract on oxidations in Staph. aureus

(Each cup contained 0-87 mg. cocci (dry weight) in ³ ml. phosphate saline; pH 7-1. Yeast extract: Lebedev extract heated at 100° (10 min.), filtered, 10 times diluted; 0·1 ml. added to 3 ml. suspension.)

It should be mentioned that the activating effect, or at least the bulk of it, is not due to growth which may occur in the presence of yeast extract. The volume of the cells and the dry weight of the bacteria increase relatively little (not more than 50%), while the rate of dismutation may increase 1000%.

The nature of the "activator" in yeast is not clear. It is possible that it is a substance related to vitamin B_1 (phosphorylated vitamin (?), see Lohmann [1937]) or to the "growth factors" described by Hirsch & Muller [1933] and by Knight [1936], but it seems more probable that the effect of yeast extract is due to the combined actions of several substances. We have examined several bodies known to occur in yeast and we have found some compounds which increase the rate of pyruvic acid dismutation, but none of these substances had quantitatively the same effect as the crude yeast extract. Substances which "activate" the pyruvic acid dismutation are fumaric acid, α -ketoglutaric acid, lactic acid, Warburg's yellow enzyme and Warburg's coenzymes (pyridinediphosphonucleotide and pyridinetriphosphonucleotide). Exp. 9 shows the effects of these substances. The yellow enzyme used was impure and contained 4×10^{-7} mol. per g., the pyridinetrinucleotide was pure, the dinucleotide was about 80 $\%$ pure.

Exp. 9. Effects of lactate, fumarate, α -ketoglutarate, yellow enzyme and the pyridinenucleotides on the dismutation of pyruvic acid in Staph. aureus

(Each cup contained 3 ml. bacterial suspension (3 mg. cocci); $M/150$ bicarbonate; 5% CO₂ in N₂. Pyruvate (904 μ l.) in 0.2 ml. was added from a side-arm after equilibration in cups 2-11).

 $M/300$ α -ketoglutarate increases the rate of reaction about 300%, $M/150$ fumarate about 250% . The effects of the yellow enzyme and of the pyridinenucleotides are small if these compounds are added singly, but a very marked effect is obtained if the yellow enzyme is added simultaneously with the nucleotides (100 $\%$ increase). Both nucleotides are about equally active and a larger effect is obtained if the two nucleotides are added together. A preparation of "cozymase" kindly supplied by Prof. Myrbiack had the same effect as the pyridinenucleotides.

The results obtained with the yellow enzyme and with the nucleotides suggest that these substances take part as catalysts in the dismutation, but it remains to be shown that the pure substances act in the same way, before the evidence can be considered conclusive. Further work is also necessary before the effects of lactic acid, fumaric acid and α -ketoglutaric acid can be explained. The last two substances increase not only the rate, but also to a small extent the yield of $CO₂$. They act in quantities which are small compared with the amount of pyruvic acid that they may cause to react; their action appears thus to be catalytic. No appreciable evolution of $CO₂$ is observed if fumarate or α -ketoglutarate is added to Staphylococcus in the absence of pyruvate.

IV. Oxidations in Staph. aureus

Since there are only a few data on record concerning the oxidative metabolism of Staphylococci [Warburg & Meyerhof, 1912; Meyerhof, 1917; Fujita & Kodama, 1934; Hirsch & Muller, 1933], some experiments are worth mentioning in which the rates of oxidation of several substrates and the total O₂ uptake were measured. In Exp. 10 the oxidations of glucose, $l(-)$ lactic acid, pyruvic acid and glycerol were followed quantitatively. These were the only substrates which we found to be readily oxidizable.

Exp. 10. Rates of oxidation of various substrates by Staph. aureus

(Phosphate saline; pH 6-8; ³ mg. cocci in ³ ml. Substrates neutralized before addition. Air. Inner cup: 0.2 ml. $2N$ NaOH.) μ l. O₂ absorbed

The oxidation of the substrates is not complete. In the presence of glucose about 2.5 mol. O_2 are rapidly taken up per mol. of glucose. Thereafter a very slow $O₂$ uptake continues. In the case of $l(-)$ lactate about one third of the theoretical amount of O_2 is absorbed rapidly and in the case of pyruvate, about one sixth.

Lactic acid is more slowly oxidized than glucose. It is therefore not admissible to assume that the primary step in glucose oxidation is a lactic acid fermentation. Glycerol is the only 3-carbon substance which is oxidized more rapidly than glucose.

Biochem. 1937 xxxi 42

The oxidations of glucose, $l(-)$ lactate and glycerol begin at almost full rate as soon as the substrate is added, whilst there is a lag period if pyruvic acid is the substrate. This delay is expected to occur, since the primary dismutation of pyruvic acid shows an induction period. Barron observed a similar lag with gonococci which can be explained in the same way. This phenomenon lends support to the view that pyruvic acid is not oxidized as such but only after reduction to lactic acid.

In Exp. 11 data are given showing the rates of oxidation of a number of other substrates which are oxidized, though slowly, in Staphylococcus.

Dismutation of pyruvic acid in other micro-organisms

The following organisms were examined with the manometric technique to see if they form CO₂ from pyruvic acid under anaerobic conditions.

Streptococcus faecalis.

Staph. albus.

Sarcina lutea.

Pneumococcus Type I.

Bact. coli commune.

Bact. acidi-propioni 36 (No. 4759, National Collection).

Acetobacter pasteurianum (No. 613, National Collection).

Phycomyces nitens (Department of Botany, Sheffield University).

Phycomyces blakesleanus (National Collection).

The cocci and bacteria were grown on broth agar except Acetobacter which was grown on alcohol-malt agar; Phycomyces was grown on malt extract.

No evolution of gas was observed in the cases of Sarcina lutea, Pneumococcus, Bact. acidi-propioni, Acetobacter and Phycomyces. Streptococcus faecalis and Staph. albus showed the same reaction as Staph. aureus (Exps. 12 and 13). Moreover the same activating effect of yeast extract was observed in Streptococcus faecalis (Exp. 12). $Exp. 12$. Dismutation of pyruvic acid in Staph. albus

(Each cup contained 4 ml. bacterial suspension (27 mg. dry cocci); $M/300$ bicarbonate. 5% $CO₂$ in N₂. The quantities of pyruvate used, lactate and $CO₂$ formed agree approximately with reaction (1).) $\qquad \qquad$ 1

668

Exp. 13. Dismutation of pyruvic acid in Streptococcus faecalis

(Each manometric cup contained 3 ml. bacterial suspension in saline $(7.5 \text{ mg.} \text{ dry cocc}; M/80)$ bicarbonate). 5% CO₂ in N₂; yeast extract as in Exp. 8. The experiment shows that the quantities of pyruvic acid used, $CO₂$ and lactic acid formed agree approximately with reaction (1) and that yeast extract accelerates reaction (1).) 0.1 ml. yeast

In Bact. $coli$, $CO₂$ is formed anaerobically as is known from the work of previous investigators [Neuberg, 1914; Mazza & Cimmino, 1934]. The mechanism is, however, different from reaction (1) in that formic acid is first produced:

Pyruvic acid + water -+ acetic acid + formic acid, (2)

and $CO₂$ and hydrogen are formed secondarily under the influence of "hydrogenlyase": $\text{Formic acid} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2.$ (3)

In bicarbonate medium the rate of (3) is very slow as compared with the rate of (2) if Bact. coli is grown aerobically [Stephenson, 1937], and in the manometric experiment 1 mol. of $CO₂$ is liberated from the bicarbonate of the medium for each mol. of pyruvic acid fermented (whilst reaction (1) yields $1 \text{ mol. of } CO₂$ from 2 mol. of pyruvic acid).

It should be borne in mind that although in reaction (2) the elements of water are taken up it is not a hydrolysis, any more than reaction (1) is a hydrolysis. Reaction (2) is an intramolecular oxido-reduction and is physiologically equivalent to reaction (1) which is an intermolecular oxido-reduction. Both (1) and (2) are "fermentations" of pyruvic acid, i.e. energy-yielding reactions.

V. Side reactions

Reaction (1) is the main, but not the only reaction which occurs in Staphylococcus if pyruvic acid is available. This is indicated by the fact that the yields of $CO₂$ and lactic acid are not always exactly theoretical, and furthermore by the formation of other products such as succinic acid and acetoin. Succinic acid is regularly formed if large quantities of pyruvic acid are fermented anaerobically by Staphylococcus. For instance $76 \mu l$. of succinic acid (118 mg. = 22400 μ l.) were formed in an experiment in which $5600 \mu l$. of pyruvic acid were fermented. The formation of traces of acetoin was qualitatively indicated by the positive Voges-Proskauer test (carried out according to the very sensitive modification of Barritt [1936].

VI. Barron's "a-ketonoxidase"

Barron [1936] showed that the oxidation of pyruvate by molecular oxygen in gonococci is inhibited by narcotics and fluoride, while the oxidation of lactate is not or is less affected. From this and similar work he concluded that two

 $42 - 2$

different enzymes are concerned with the oxidations of the two substrates. The conclusion is certainly correct, but it does not follow from the evidence that the two enzymes are an " α -hydroxyoxidase" and an " α -ketonoxidase". The present paper demonstrates that the second enzyme is a "dismutase" and the specific inhibitions of pyruvic acid oxidation are due to the inhibition of the dismutation. If the view is correct, and if the O_2 uptake in the presence of pyruvate is due to an oxidation of lactate, it should be impossible to inhibit the $O₂$ uptake in the presence of pyruvate without inhibiting the oxidation of lactate. Barron was of opinion that there was evidence against this conclusion; he believed that HCN, H₂S and CO inhibit lactate oxidation more than pyruvate oxidation. In our view, however, his evidence is not valid, since (1) in the case of $H₂S$ the CO₂ production instead of the O₂ uptake was taken as measure for the oxidation; (2) the concentration of HCN acid is decreased in the presence of pyruvate owing to reactions between pyruvic and hydrocyanic acids. The inhibition of cell respiration by HCN is generally diminished in the presence of pyruvate (see e.g. van Heyningen [1935]); (3) the differences in the effects of CO described by Barron are not sufficiently significant to allow any conclusion. A slightly smaller inhibition of pyruvate oxidation may be explained by Warburg's "saturation" hypothesis [1927], according to which the inhibition by CO must be less at low concentrations of the substrate. The actual concentration of the substrate for the oxidation (lactate) is necessarily low, if pyruvate is the added metabolite.

SUMMARY

1. Pyruvic acid reacts anaerobically in gonococcus, Staph. aureus, Staph. albus and Streptococcus faecalis according to the equation: 2 pyruvic acid + water = lactic acid + acetic acid + $CO₂$. The data indicate that this "dismutation" is the preferential reaction by which pyruvate is broken down in these organisms. There is no direct oxidation of pyruvic acid by molecular oxygen. The oxygen consumption occurring in the presence of pyruvic acid is due to the oxidation of lactic acid formed by the dismutation.

2. The rate of the dismutation in Staph. aureus and Streptococcus faecalis is increased (up to 1000%) if boiled yeast extract is added. The nature of the "activator" in yeast is discussed.

3. As by-product of the anaerobic metabolism of pyruvic acid small quantities of succinic acid, equivalent to $1-2\%$ of the pyruvic acid metabolized, are formed.

4. Data concerning the rate and degree of the oxidation of various substrates by Staph. aureus are given. The oxidations are also activated by yeast extracts.

This work was made possible by grants from the Rockefeller Foundation and the Medical Research Council.

^I am greatly indebted to Prof.J. W. Edington and Dr J. McA. Croll for their constant help in the bacterial part of this work. I also wish to express gratitude to Prof. 0. Warburg for a gift of the yellow enzyme and the pyridine nucleotides, to Prof. Myrback for kindly supplying me with a preparation of " cozymase " and to Prof. Windaus for a gift of crystalline vitamin B_1 .

REFERENCES

 \bullet

Barritt (1936). J. Path. Bact. 42, 441.

Barron (1936). J. biol. Chem. 113, 695.

& Hastings (1933). J. biol. Chem. 100, 155.

 $\frac{1}{1934}$. J. biol. Chem. 107, 594.

& Miller (1932). J. biol. Chem. 97, 691.

Embden & Kraus (1912). Biochem. Z. 45, 1.

Fujita & Kodama (1934). Biochem. Z. 269, 367.

Green, Stickland & Tarr (1934). Biochem. J. 28, 1812.

van Heyningen (1935). Biochem. J. 29, 2036.

Hirsch & Müller (1933). Z. Hyg. InfektKr. 115, 443.

Kendall, Friedemann & Ishakawa (1930). J. infect. Dis. 47, 223.

Knight (1936). Bacterial Nutrition. Sp. Rep. Ser. Med. Res. Coun., Lond., No. 210.

Krüger & Tschirsch (1929; 1930). Ber. dtsch. chem. Ges. 62, 2776; 63, 826.

Lohmann (1937). Naturwissenschaften, 25, 26.

Mazza & Cimmino (1934). Arch. Sci. biol. Napoli, 20, 486.

Meyerhof (1917). Pflüg. Arch. ges. Physiol. 169, 87.

Neuberg (1914). Biochem. Z. 67, 90.

Peters (1936). Lancet, i, 1161; Biochem. J. 30, 2206.

Schopfer (1935). Arch. Mikrobiol. 6, 510.

Sevag & Neuenschwander-Lemmer (1936). Biochem. Z. 286, 7.

Stephenson (1937). Ergebn. Enzymforsch. 6, 139.

Tatum, Wood & Peterson (1936). Biochem. J. 30, 1898.

Warburg (1925). Biochem. Z. 160, 307.

(1927). Biochem. Z. 189, 354.

- & Meyerhof (1912). Pflüg. Arch. ges. Physiol. 148, 295.

671