## The Proton-Driven Rotor of ATP Synthase: Ohmic Conductance (10 fS), and Absence of Voltage Gating

Boris A. Feniouk,\*<sup>†</sup> Maria A. Kozlova,\* Dmitry A. Knorre,\*<sup>†</sup> Dmitry A. Cherepanov,\*<sup>‡</sup>

Armen Y. Mulkidjanian,\* and Wolfgang Junge\*

\*Division of Biophysics, Faculty of Biology/Chemistry, University of Osnabrück, Osnabrück, Germany; <sup>†</sup>A.N. Belozersky Institute of Physico-Chemical Biology, Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia; and <sup>‡</sup>Institute of Electrochemistry,

Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

ABSTRACT The membrane portion of  $F_0F_1$ -ATP synthase,  $F_0$ , translocates protons by a rotary mechanism. Proton conduction by  $F_0$  was studied in chromatophores of the photosynthetic bacterium *Rhodobacter capsulatus*. The discharge of a light-induced voltage jump was monitored by electrochromic absorption transients to yield the unitary conductance of  $F_0$ . The current-voltage relationship of  $F_0$  was linear from 7 to 70 mV. The current was extremely proton-specific (>10<sup>7</sup>) and varied only slightly ( $\approx$ threefold) from pH 6 to 10. The maximum conductance was  $\approx$ 10 fS at pH 8, equivalent to 6240 H<sup>+</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 100-mV driving force, which is an order-of-magnitude greater than of coupled  $F_0F_1$ . There was no voltage-gating of  $F_0$  even at low voltage, and proton translocation could be driven by  $\Delta$ pH alone, without voltage. The reported voltage gating in  $F_0F_1$  is thus attributable to the interaction of  $F_0$  with  $F_1$  but not to  $F_0$  proper. We simulated proton conduction by a minimal rotary model including the rotating *c*-ring and two relay groups mediating proton exchange between the ring and the respective membrane surface. The data fit attributed pK values of  $\approx$ 6 and  $\approx$ 10 to these relays, and placed them close to the membrane/electrolyte interface.

#### INTRODUCTION

ATP synthase or F<sub>0</sub>F<sub>1</sub>-ATPase, a key enzyme of bioenergetics, is present in the photosynthetic and respiratory coupling membranes of eubacteria, mitochondria, and chloroplasts. The enzyme is bipartite. Its membrane-embedded F<sub>0</sub>-portion transports protons down the electrochemical gradient  $(\Delta \tilde{\mu}_{H^+})$  and generates torque. The peripheral F<sub>1</sub>portion synthesizes ATP at the expense of this mechanical energy. Both F<sub>0</sub> and F<sub>1</sub> are rotary motors/generators and steppers. They are mechanically coupled by a central rotary shaft and held together by a peripheral stator (for general reviews, see Senior et al., 2002; Noji and Yoshida, 2001; Leslie and Walker, 2000; Boyer, 1997; Junge et al., 1997; and for the sodium ATP synthase, see Dimroth, 2000; Dimroth et al., 1999). By its rotary mechanism  $F_0$  is distinguished from most other proton or cation transporters with the exception of the structurally unrelated ionic drive of the bacterial flagellar motor.

F<sub>0</sub> is composed of three types of subunits in a stoichiometry of  $a_1b_2c_{10-14}$ . All three types of subunits are required for proton conduction (Schneider and Altendorf, 1987; Altendorf et al., 2000). Multiple copies of the hairpin-shaped *c*-subunit form a ring in the membrane to which subunits *a* and *b* are attached from the outer side (Lightowlers et al., 1988; Angevine and Fillingame, 2003; Fillingame and Dmitriev, 2002; Jiang et al., 2001; Muller et al., 2001; Seelert et al., 2000; Stock et al., 1999). ATP hydrolysis in

© 2004 by the Biophysical Society

0006-3495/04/06/4094/16 \$2.00

 $F_0F_1$  is coupled to the rotation of the *c*-ring (Pänke et al., 2000; Sambongi et al., 1999; Tsunoda et al., 2000, 2001), and power is elastically and without slip transmitted between the drive in  $F_1$  and the ring of  $F_0$  (Cherepanov et al., 1999; Cherepanov and Junge, 2001; Pänke et al., 2001).

The currently favored mechanism of torque generation by proton flow (Junge et al., 1997; Elston et al., 1998) has been based on the following assumptions: Brownian rotary motion of the *c*-ring relative to subunit *a*, two non-co-linear access channels for protons from either side, and two electrostatic constraints, namely the acid Glu residue (Asp in Escherichia coli) in the middle of the hairpin of c being deprotonated when in contact with subunit *a* and protonated when facing the core of the membrane (Junge et al., 1997; Elston et al., 1998). Modifications to this basic scheme have been formulated to account for  $Na^+$ -translocation in  $F_0$  from Propionigenium modestum (Dimroth et al., 1999) or to account for internal librational motion in the ring (Fillingame et al., 2000). The basic principle of this rotary mechanism of proton conduction-Brownian fluctuations and two electrostatic constraints-has remained (see Junge, 1999). It is obvious that a comprehensive understanding of rotary proton conduction requires both structural and kinetic information.

Most recent studies on this enzyme have focused on the  $F_1$ -portion, whereas most studies on the mechanism of proton conduction by  $F_0$  date back by more than one decade. The assessment of the conductance of  $F_0$  has been hampered by complications:

1. The conductance is too small for the single-molecule patch-clamp approach; see below for one report to the contrary.

Submitted December 9, 2003, and accepted for publication February 11, 2004.

Address reprint requests to Wolfgang Junge, Abt. Biophysik, FB Biologie/ Chemie, Universität Osnabrück, D-49069, Osnabrück, Germany. Tel.: 49-541-969-2872; Fax: 49-541-969-2262; E-mail: junge@uos.de.

- 2. Studies with large ensembles suffer from the difficulty in determining the proportion of  $F_0$  that is actually conducting.
- 3. Studies with reconstituted  $F_0$  using glass electrodes for recording pH transients have often lacked sufficient time resolution.

Thus it is not surprising that the reported values for the conductance at neutral pH diverged by four orders of magnitude. Some studies on the conductance of  $F_0$  have yielded rather low values in the range of 0.1 fS, which is equivalent to a rate of 62  $s^{-1}$  at a driving force of 100 mV (Negrin et al., 1980; Friedl and Schairer, 1981; Schneider and Altendorf, 1982; Sone et al., 1981; Cao et al., 2001). This value would be insufficient to cope with the turnover rate of the coupled  $F_0F_1$  enzyme. For chloroplast  $CF_0$  we have found a 100-fold-higher figure, 9 fS (or 5600 s<sup>-1</sup> at 100 mV) under the assumption that all exposed  $F_0$  molecules contributed to the relaxation of the transmembrane voltage (Schönknecht et al., 1986). This conductance is compatible with the maximum proton turnover rate of the coupled enzyme,  $\approx 1000 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Later we obtained circumstantial evidence for a majority of inactivated  $F_0$ , which raised the conductance to a value of 1 pS (Lill et al., 1986; Althoff et al., 1989). Such high rates by far exceeded the diffusive proton supply to  $F_0$ , as then noted, and it has remained questionable whether the underlying assumption was correct. This work has also provided evidence for an extreme proton specificity of the conductance  $(>10^7 \text{ over other cations})$ , small pHdependence between 5.5 and 8, a H/D-kinetic isotope effect of 1.7, and an activation energy of 42 kJ/mol in H<sub>2</sub>O and 47 in D<sub>2</sub>O. The latter data were not affected by the above ambiguity over the absolute magnitude of the conductance (Althoff et al., 1989).

A conductance of similarly high magnitude was reported for  $CF_0CF_1$  in a lipid bilayer formed by the *dip-stick technique*, which is related to patch-clamp (Wagner et al., 1989). Gated single channel currents were observed. They peaked at 0.55 pA at 180 mV, implying a conductance of 0.4 pS, and channels were gated open with a sharp activation above 100 mV. The authors attributed this voltage-gated conductance to the proton (Wagner et al., 1989). Whether this attribution was correct has remained an open question, in particular because proteoliposomes, which contained the purified *c*-subunit alone, have revealed unspecific cation channels (Schönknecht et al., 1989; for the proton conduction at pH 2 of the purified *c*-subunit see Schindler and Nelson, 1982).

The lack of information on the number of active  $F_0$ "motors" per membrane area was a major obstacle for obtaining a reliable estimate of its proton conductance. Our recent studies on isolated chromatophore vesicles from the photosynthetic bacterium *Rhodobacter capsulatus* (Feniouk et al., 2001, 2002) have paved a way to overcome the ambiguity over the proportion of active and inactive  $F_0$ . The key was to prepare vesicles of such small size as to contain less than one copy of  $F_0$  on the average. Then, any rapid relaxation of the transmembrane voltage could be attributed to the subset of vesicles containing mainly a single  $F_0$  molecule.

In this work we prepared vesicles with 28-nm mean diameter as checked by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Excitation of a suspension of such vesicles with a flash of light generated a voltage step across the membrane. The magnitude of the initial voltage jump after a saturating flash of light (70 mV) was calibrated by comparison with electrochromic absorption transients that were induced by submitting vesicles from the same batch to a salt-jump. The relaxation of the voltage was monitored by electrochromic absorption transients of intrinsic carotenoids, and the proton flow by pH-indicating dyes. The relaxation was biphasic. The fast phase (25%) was mediated by  $F_0$  as evident from its sensitivity to the  $F_0$ -inhibitor oligomycin. It was mainly attributable to proton conduction in the subset of vesicles containing a single copy of  $F_0$ .

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Cell growth and preparation of stock chromatophores

Cells of Rb. capsulatus (wild-type, strain B10) were grown photoheterotrophically on malate as the carbon source at  $+30^{\circ}$ C (Lascelles, 1959) as described elsewhere (Feniouk et al., 2001). The cells were disrupted by sonication with a W-250 Branson Sonifier (Branson, Soest, The Netherlands); the relative power output was set at 35%. The material was exposed to one series of five exposures for 20 s with 1-min incubation on ice in between. Large cell fragments were removed by centrifugation (20,000  $\times$ g, 20 min, 4°C). The supernatant was collected and centrifuged again  $(180,000 \times g, 90 \text{ min}, +4^{\circ}\text{C})$ . The pellet was resuspended in 20 mM glycylglycine-KOH (pH 7.4), 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 50 mM KCl, 10% sucrose. It contained chromatophores at a bacteriochlorophyll concentration of 0.3-1.0 mM. These stock chromatophores were stored at -80°C until use. The concentration of bacteriochlorophyll in the samples was determined spectrophotometrically in acetone-methanol extract at 772 nm according to Clayton (1963). The amount of functionally active photosynthetic reaction centers (RCs) was estimated from the extent of flash-induced absorption changes at 603 nm (Mulkidjanian et al., 1991). The above protocol was used throughout the experiments, except for the data shown in Fig. 4 B and Fig. 5, where chromatophores from another stock prepared with a weaker sonifier were used. However, the F1 depletion was performed in the same way as for the other batches. The smallness of the F1-depleted vesicles (see below) resulted from the sonication during the F<sub>1</sub> depletion.

#### Preparation of F<sub>1</sub>-depleted chromatophores

Chromatophores from the stock were thawed, diluted 25-fold with 1 mM EDTA, and titrated with KOH to pH 8, in daylight (Melandri et al., 1970, 1971; Baccarini-Melandri et al., 1970). The suspension was submitted to another series of sonications (W-250 Branson, relative output 35%), five times for 20 s each with 1-min pausing on ice, and centrifuged at 180,000  $\times$  g, 90 min, +4°C. The pellet was resuspended in a medium containing 20 mM glycylglycine-KOH (pH 7.4), 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 50 mM KCl to yield a bacteriochlorophyll concentration of 0.3–1.0 mM and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until use. For measurements of the pH transients F<sub>1</sub>-depleted chromatophores were prepared without glycylglycine-KOH.

#### Chromatophore size determination by TEM

Chromatophores were suspended in a medium with 100 mM KCl, 20 mM glycylglycine, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, pH 8.0 to yield a bacteriochlorophyll concentration of 5  $\mu$ M. A drop of the suspension was applied on the carbon-coated grid, stained with 3% uranyl acetate, washed with water, dried and examined in a Zeiss 902 transmission electron microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). F<sub>1</sub>-depleted chromatophores appeared as disks with diameters of 39.7  $\pm$  1.0 nm (SD 7.9 nm; see Results; also see Discussion).

#### Flash spectrophotometry

The kinetic flash-spectrophotometer was described elsewhere (Feniouk et al., 2001). The bandwidth of the measuring beam, 8 nm, was set by interference optical filters (Schott, Mainz, Germany). Changes in transmitted light intensity ( $\Delta I$ ) were monitored by a photomultiplier (Thorn EMI, 9801B, UK) shielded from the actinic flash with two blue filters (BG 39, 4 mm, Schott). The electrical bandwidth was 3 kHz and the digital time-per-address of the averager was 200  $\mu$ s. The optical path was 1 cm, both for the exciting and for the measuring beam. The final concentration of bacteriochlorophyll in the cuvette was 8–12  $\mu$ M.

Transient signals were generated by flashing light at a repetition rate of 0.08 Hz. Eight signals at 522 nm were averaged in recordings of the electrochromism and 32 in measurements with pH indicators. During the dark time (12.5 s) between flashes the electrochromic signal decayed to <5% of its initial value after the flash of light, even if the conductance of F<sub>0</sub> was blocked by oligomycin (not documented). The actinic flashes were provided by a xenon flash lamp (full-width at half-maximum =  $10 \ \mu s$ ) with a red optical filter (RG 780, Schott); the total energy density on the cuvette (not attenuated) was 12 mJ cm<sup>-2</sup>. The peaks of the xenon emission spectrum (e.g., 825 and 875 nm) overlap with regions of low absorption of the chromatophores (their peaks are at 800 and 850 nm). We calculated an effective optical density for the excitation by convoluting the xenon emission and chromatophore absorption. With the highest concentration (12  $\mu$ M bacteriochlorophyll) in the cuvette it was 0.6 OD, one-half of the value (1.2 OD), which was calculated for the peak absorption at 850 nm. In control experiments with lower bacteriochlorophyll concentration (6  $\mu$ M), the effective optical density was 0.3, which provided a rather homogenous excitation profile over the thickness of the optical cell. Neutral density filters were used to attenuate the actinic light flash when indicated (see Fig. 5 legend).

Electrochromic carotenoid bandshifts at 522 nm were used as a molecular voltmeter to monitor  $\Delta \psi$  (Junge and Witt, 1968; Clark and Jackson, 1981; Symons et al., 1977; Jackson and Crofts, 1971).

Transients of the pH inside chromatophores ( $\Delta pH_{in}$ ) were monitored by absorption changes of the amphiphilic pH indicator Neutral red at 545 nm as in Ausländer and Junge (1975) and Mulkidjanian and Junge (1994). In these experiments 0.3% BSA was used as an impermeable buffer to quench pH changes in the suspending medium (Ausländer and Junge, 1975). pH transients in the outer medium ( $\Delta pH_{out}$ ) were monitored by absorption changes of the hydrophilic pH indicator Cresol red at 575 nm (Saphon et al., 1975) and calibrated in pH units. For each pH indicator, control traces without the indicator were recorded and subtracted.

# Calibration of the carotenoid bandshift in millivolts of transmembrane electrical potential difference

Chromatophores were suspended in a medium containing 20 mM glycylglycine (pH 8.0), 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mM NaCN, 3  $\mu$ M myxothiazol, 3  $\mu$ M oligomycin, and KCl. The KCl concentration was varied, and NaCl was added to keep the total concentration of KCl+NaCl constant at 250 mM. Valinomycin (1 mM stock solution in EtOH, final concentration in the cuvette 1.6  $\mu$ M) was added to induce a diffusion potential of K<sup>+</sup>; the

resulting absorption transients at 522 nm were recorded in the same instrument used for flash spectrophotometry.

#### RESULTS

### Vesicle diameter and transmembrane voltage of F<sub>1</sub>-depleted chromatophores

Fig. 1 *A* shows the size-distribution of  $F_1$ -depleted chromatophores as obtained by TEM. The diameter of the circular spots, 39.7  $\pm$  1.0 nm (SD 7.9 nm), was attributable to vesicles that were flattened by drying on the microscope grid. If these were swollen to spherical shape the expected diameter was 28.1  $\pm$  0.7 nm (SD 5.6 nm).

Fig. 1 *B* shows the extent of the absorption transients at a wavelength of 522 nm, the peak of the electrochromic transient, as a function of the KCl concentration in the suspending medium. These transients represent the electrochromic response of intrinsic pigments to the diffusion potential of  $K^+$ , which was generated by suspending



FIGURE 1 (*A*) Size distribution of F<sub>1</sub>-depleted chromatophores by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Because of drying on the microscope grid the originally spherical particles appeared as flat disks (see text). (*B*) Absorption transients attributable to carotenoid bandshifts of electrochromic origin as function of the K<sup>+</sup> concentration in the suspending medium. These transients were caused by a diffusion potential that was generated by submitting chromatophores to a K<sup>+</sup>-concentration jump in the presence of the K<sup>+</sup>-ionophore valinomycin. F<sub>1</sub>-depleted chromatophores (940  $\mu$ M bacteriochlorophyll) were preincubated with 45 mM KCl, 205 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 20 mM glycylglycine-NaOH, pH 7.9. The final bacteriochlorophyll concentration in the samples was 12  $\mu$ M.

F<sub>1</sub>-depleted and KCl-loaded chromatophores in a KClcontaining buffer and adding the potassium-specific ionophore valinomycin. The photometric response was linearly related to the logarithm of the KCl concentration over two decades. In the experiment documented in Fig. 1 *B* there was no electrochromic transient induced at a K<sup>+</sup> concentration of 35 mM. Apparently, 35 mM was the effective concentration of KCl in the chromatophore lumen in this particular experiment. The linear dependence on the ambient KCl concentration was perfectly reproducible, whereas the crossover point and the slope varied slightly between experiments. We took the variation of the intensity jump over one decade of KCl as representing 58 mV, as usual.

If the transmembrane voltage jump was generated by the photosynthetic reaction centers (RCs) in response to a single saturating flash of light, the electrochromic absorption transient was composed of two contributions, one being the response to the delocalized and transmembrane field and the other to the local electric field originating from the oxidized primary electron donor. In the calibration procedure, only the first component had to be considered. We eliminated the delocalized transmembrane component by adding valinomycin, 1  $\mu$ M, and estimated its magnitude (see Fig. 2). The residual valinomycin-insensitive absorption changes ( $\approx 5\%$ of the total flash-induced jump in our sample, see Fig. 2) relaxed in the range of 100 ms, following the reduction of the primary electron donor in the RC. Although the relative extent of this component was only 5% in the samples studied in this work, it can reach 15% if no redox mediator is present in the medium.

With the above correction, the calibration yielded  $70 \pm 15$  mV for the RC-generated transmembrane voltage jump after a saturating flash of light (seven calibration experiments on three different preparations of F<sub>1</sub>-depleted chromatophores).

We estimated the number of RCs in these vesicles. The estimate was based on the voltage of 70 mV, on the usual figure for the specific capacitance of biomembranes,  $\hat{C} = 1 \ \mu \text{F} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (Hille, 1984), and on the mean vesicle radius of 14 nm, as determined by TEM. The capacitor equation reads:  $n \times e_0 = A \times \hat{C} \times \Delta U$ , wherein *n* denotes the number of RCs per vesicle,  $e_0$ , the elementary charge, *A* the vesicle area, and  $\Delta U$  the transmembrane voltage. We obtained a value of n = 11 as the mean number of RCs in our preparation of F<sub>1</sub>-depleted vesicles. This number implied a surface density of  $7.3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mol m}^{-2}$ ,  $\approx 40\%$  larger than the previously determined  $5.3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mol m}^{-2}$  (Packham et al., 1978).

## Electrochromic absorption transients with and without active cytochrome *bc*<sub>1</sub> complex

Fig. 2 *A* shows flash-induced absorption transients at 522 nm in  $F_1$ -depleted chromatophores. The rapidly decaying lower traces were obtained with valinomycin. Their decay reveals the magnitude of the electrochromic response to the transmembrane and delocalized electric field. The upper



FIGURE 2 Electrochromic absorption transients at a wavelength of 522 nm in F<sub>1</sub>-depleted chromatophores as caused by a short flash of light. The upper traces were obtained without and with blocking of F<sub>0</sub> by 3  $\mu$ M oligomycin, respectively. The difference trace ( $\pm$  *oligomycin*) represents the charge flow across F<sub>0</sub>(*A*) without and (*B*) with 3  $\mu$ M myxothiazol added to deactivate the electrogenic and proton pumping activity of the cytochrome *bc*<sub>1</sub> complex. The actinic flash is indicated by an arrow. The bottom traces were obtained in the presence of 1  $\mu$ M valinomycin to enhance the ion conductance of all chromatophores in the sample. It was apparent that absorption transients not originating from electrochromism as well as any electrochromic responses to localized electric fields were negligible under our conditions. The suspending medium contained: 100 mM KCl, 5 mM magnesium acetate, 2 mM K<sub>4</sub>[Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>], 5  $\mu$ M 1,1'-dimethylferrocene (DMF), 2 mM KCN, 20 mM glycylglycine-KOH, pH 7.9; chromatophores were added to 12  $\mu$ M bacteriochlorophyll.

traces were obtained with and without added oligomycin (Linnett and Beechey, 1979) as an inhibitor of proton conduction through F<sub>0</sub>. The slowly rising lower traces give the difference between the upper two, corresponding to the cumulative charge flow through F<sub>0</sub>. The rise of absorption after the actinic flash was biphasic (see Fig. 2 A, trace with oligomycin added). The sharp (here not time-resolved) increase is attributable to the charge separation in the RC. Its magnitude is fairly independent of pH, redox potential, and temperature (Jackson, 1988). We used the extent of the fast rise to normalize transients that were obtained in different samples. In Fig. 2 A the fast onset was followed by a slowly rising phase. It was attributable to the electrogenic reaction in the cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex ( $bc_1$ ; Crofts and Wraight, 1983; Jackson, 1988). This slow phase was abolished upon the addition of the  $bc_1$ -inhibitor myxothiazol (see Fig. 2 *B*).

The decay of the electrochromic transient was rapid if  $F_0$ was conducting and slow if it was blocked. The latter proved the low leak conductance of the membrane. A clear-cut interpretation of the difference trace in Fig. 2 A was hampered by the activity of the cytochrome  $bc_1$  complex for two reasons: 1),  $bc_1$  translocates electrons and protons, and thereby generates a transmembrane pH jump in addition to a voltage jump; and 2), the turnover of  $bc_1$  is hindered by a large  $\Delta \tilde{\mu}_{H^+}$ . In other words, the turnover of  $bc_1$  is not independent of the presence of the F<sub>0</sub>-inhibitor oligomycin. These effects were eliminated by inactivating the  $bc_1$ complex with myxothiazol, which abolished the slow rise of the electrochromic transient as documented in Fig. 2 B. The RCs generated a voltage step of the same magnitude as when  $bc_1$  was active (compare Fig. 2, A and B; also see Mulkidjanian and Junge, 1994). Only in this case does the difference trace ( $\pm$  oligomycin) reflect the relaxation of the transmembrane voltage step in those vesicles that have a single or, in a minor fraction only, several F<sub>0</sub> complexes. Comparison of the relaxation times in Fig. 2, A and B, revealed the systematic error when assessing the voltage relaxation with active  $bc_1$  (the apparent relaxation time was 7-11 ms as compared with 2-4 ms in the presence of myxothiazol). In the first case, F<sub>0</sub> first transferred the protons driven by the RC-generated  $\Delta \psi$  and then "waited" for the protons that were ejected by the cytochrome  $bc_1$ -complex. The rate of proton transfer through  $F_0$  in the presence of myxothiazol was almost independent of the presence of a penetrating pH-buffer glycylglycine (see Table 1).

To avoid complications coupled with slow proton release from  $bc_1$ , all subsequent studies were carried out in the presence of the  $bc_1$ -blocker myxothiazol (conditions as in Fig. 2 *B*). The relative extent of the rapid proton transfer represented the fraction of vesicles containing at least one copy of active F<sub>0</sub>. In the preparations used in this work this fraction was 26%. According to Poisson's distribution,  $\approx$ 22% of total contained a single copy of F<sub>0</sub>, and  $\approx$ 3% two copies.

TABLE 1 Apparent relaxation time constants of transmembrane proton flow through  $F_0$  at pH 8 (average values from four different trials)

Experimental conditions	Time constant, ms
Control (no additions)	$8.6 \pm 1.4$
3 μM myxothiazol	$3.8 \pm 1.1$
20 mM glycylglycine, Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> , MES, glycine	$3.1 \pm 0.9$
each, and 3 $\mu$ M myxothiazol	

The medium contained 100 mM KCl, 2 mM KCN, 5  $\mu$ M 1,1'-dimethylferrocene (DMF), 2 mM K<sub>4</sub>[Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>].

The discharge time of the vesicles' electric capacitance by  $F_0$  was then apparent as the relaxation time of the difference trace ( $\pm$  oligomycin) as in Fig. 2 *B*. The alternative interpretation of the biphasic decay of the voltage, the discharge of the membrane's electric capacitance by a voltage-gated channel, was ruled out by the observation of the same biphasic behavior after a lower starting voltage (nonsaturating energy of the exciting flash; see Fig. 3 in Feniouk et al., 2002).

## The pH-dependence, H/D-kinetic isotope effect, and Arrhenius activation energy of proton conduction by $F_0$

Fig. 3 shows the variation of the rate constant of the electric relaxation via  $F_0$  (in the presence of myxothiazol) as function of the pH and the pD. The pD was determined as pH-meter reading plus 0.4 (Glasoe and Long, 1960). Magnesium acetate was omitted from the measuring medium because of precipitation at pH values >8.5. However, up to pH 8.5 the presence of magnesium cations had no detectable effect on the pH-dependence (data not shown). The highest rate



FIGURE 3 The rate constant of charge flow through  $F_0$  (see Fig. 2 *B*) as a function of the pH and the isotope composition of the medium (H<sub>2</sub>O:  $\bigcirc$ , D<sub>2</sub>O:  $\bigcirc$ ). Medium contained 20 mM glycylglycine, 20 mM sodium phosphate, 20 mM 4-morpholinepropanesulfonic acid (MES), 20 mM glycine, 50 mM KCl, 2 mM K<sub>4</sub>[Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>], 5  $\mu$ M DMF, 2 mM KCN, 3  $\mu$ M myxothiazol. The solid line was calculated by the kinetic model of  $F_0$  conductance as described in the text with the parameters listed in Table 2.

constant, 350 s<sup>-1</sup>, was observed at pH 8. The small variation of the rate in the wide pH range from 6 to 10 was astounding. The rate constant was decreased only threefold at both the acid and alkaline end of the pH range. The H<sub>2</sub>O/D<sub>2</sub>O-kinetic isotope effect was as large as two at acid pH and decreased to  $\approx$ 1 above pH 8 (Fig. 4 *A*). The extent of the charge flow via F<sub>0</sub> was pH-independent within the experimental error (when care was taken to avoid the aging of the preparation). The Arrhenius activation energy of the electric relaxation was 57 ± 4 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> at pH 8, and increased to 72 ± 3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> upon acidification (Fig. 4 *B*).



FIGURE 4 Effect of pH on the H/D-isotope effect and on the Arrhenius activation energy of the charge transfer through  $F_0$ . (*A*) H/D isotope effect was calculated as the ratio of the rate constants in H<sub>2</sub>O and D<sub>2</sub>O (data taken from Fig. 3). (*B*) The pH-dependence of the Arrhenius activation energy ( $E_a$ ). Measurements were done in the presence of 3  $\mu$ M myxothiazol in the temperature interval from 3°C to 40°C. A single experiment was done at pH 9; other points are the average of at least three experiments.

It was noteworthy that the changes of the conductance by 60 min exposure to acid (e.g., pH 5.5) and alkaline pH (pH 10.5) were reversible. The electric relaxation time constant changed by <10% after back-titration into the neutral pH range.

#### The Ohmic behavior of F<sub>0</sub>

The following data on the electric conductance of  $F_0$  were obtained in the presence of myxothiazol, to avoid the complications caused by the electrogenic and proton pumping activity of  $bc_1$ . We changed the initial voltage jump by varying the flash energy. With a mean number of RCs per vesicle of 11 (see above) the initial extent of the voltage could be varied over one order of magnitude.

Fig. 5 shows two difference transients ( $\pm$  oligomycin) reflecting the charge transfer through F<sub>0</sub>. These transients were obtained at 100% and 33% saturation (judging from the initial extent of the absorption jump at 522 nm), respectively. The extent of the initial step of absorption was normalized. It was obvious that the two transients perfectly superimposed. The transient at nonsaturating flash energy was only discernible by greater noise from the one obtained at saturating flash energy (*circles*). The relaxation was almost perfectly monoexponential (fit curve not shown in Fig. 5) and independent of the initial voltage jump. The same relaxation time was found when the flash intensity was attenuated to yield 10% saturation (not documented).

The original traces in Fig. 5 were obtained at a bacteriochlorophyll concentration of 12  $\mu$ M where the effective optical density of the sample in the excitation region was 0.6 (see Materials and Methods). It caused a drop of the flash energy from the entry to the exit of the cuvette (1-cm thickness) from 100% to 25%. This did not matter for the traces with 100% signal saturation, because the flash energy was far-oversaturating, but it did matter for the traces at 33%. In this respect, it was noteworthy that we obtained the same relaxation times both in samples with halved bacteriochlorophyll concentration (6  $\mu$ M, optical density 0.3, flash energy drop across the cuvette from 100% to 50%) and in samples with 10% saturation. It justified the neglect of the saturation profile in the following interpretation of our data.

The traces at the bottom of Fig. 5 show the difference between the normalized 100% and 33% transients; the deviation between them was very small indeed. Such a voltageindependent relaxation characterizes the discharge of a capacitor (area-specific capacitance =  $\hat{C}/\text{AsV}^{-1}$  m<sup>-2</sup>) by an Ohmic resistor (area-specific conductance =  $\hat{C}/\text{AV}^{-1}$  m<sup>-2</sup>). It yields a voltage-independent relaxation time,  $\tau = \hat{C}/\hat{G}$ . F<sub>0</sub> behaved as an Ohmic resistor, and it showed no voltagegating.

The rapid relaxation of the voltage (relaxation time =  $\approx 2.9$  ms at pH 8; see Fig. 3) was only observed in the small subset of vesicles containing F<sub>0</sub> (26% of total). The electric capacitance of vesicles  $\hat{C}$  was calculated by their size using



FIGURE 5 Transient charge transfer through F<sub>0</sub> in the presence of 3 µM myxothiazol as inhibitor of cytochromebc<sub>1</sub>. (A) Two transients of charge flow through F<sub>0</sub>, both electrochromic differences ( $\pm$  oligomycin) as the bottom trace in Fig. 2 B. O, the transient recorded under excitation with a flash of saturating energy. Noisy line, the transient recorded at attenuated excitation flash energy to produce 33% signal saturation. The extent after the flash was normalized to unity. The insert maps allowed (uncolored) and forbidden (hatched) area in the parameter-field of  $\alpha$ and  $\beta$  (see details in the text). (B) The noisy line represents the difference between the original transients shown in A; a histogram of the deviations is shown in the insert. The thick solid line is the same difference calculated according to the model with the parameters  $\alpha = 0.05$ ,  $\beta = 0.71$ ,  $\gamma =$ 10 (other parameters are listed in Table 2; see details in the text). It is consistent with the experimental error of 3%. The dashed curve was calculated with the same set of parameters except that  $\gamma = 1$ , and the dotted curve was calculated for another set of parameters, namely  $\alpha = 0.1$ ,  $\beta = 0.71$ , and  $\gamma = 10$ .

the usual figure for biological membranes, 1  $\mu$ F cm<sup>-2</sup> (Hille, 1984). The conductance of F<sub>0</sub>, g, was calculated according to  $g = A \times \hat{G}$  with  $\hat{G} = \hat{C}/\tau$ . Herein A denotes the vesicle area,  $\tau$  the electric relaxation time, and  $\hat{G}$  and  $\hat{C}$  are the areaspecific conductance and electric capacitance of the membrane, respectively.

The shortest relaxation time observed, 2.3 ms, gave rise to a calculated conductance of 10.5 fS. It implied the transport of 6500 protons/s at 100-mV steady driving force or of 13,000/s at 200 mV.

It was noteworthy that the proton-transporting properties of the *Rb. capsulatus*  $F_0$  were robust against the changes of the concentration of K<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, of various permeating and nonpermeating buffers, and redox mediators (not documented).

#### The proton specificity of F<sub>0</sub>

We complemented measurements of the electric relaxation with measurements of the pH transients in both phases—the suspending medium (hydrophilic indicator Cresol red, wavelength 575 nm) and the interior of chromatophores (amphiphilic indicator Neutral red, 545 nm, with BSA as a nonpermeating buffer). The results are documented in Fig. 6. The data were obtained with active  $bc_1$ , to demonstrate the proton uptake from the bulk medium by the RC and by  $bc_1$ (Fig. 5, A and B) and the proton release into the interior of chromatophores by  $bc_1$  (Fig. 5, C and D). The alkalization of the bulk and the acidification of the interior were fully apparent when  $F_0$  was blocked by oligomycin (traces 2), and both were diminished when  $F_0$  was conducting (traces *I*). The respective difference traces gave the F<sub>0</sub>-related pH-relaxation, however, with somewhat distorted kinetics because of the slow proton-pumping activity of  $bc_1$  (see above discussion in the context of Fig. 2, A and B). Ignoring the complex kinetics of these difference transients, they proved that the major ion transported by F<sub>0</sub> was the proton at an ambient pH 7.9 against a background of 100 mM KCl. Similar results were observed with NaCl and LiCl (data not shown). In other words, the proton selectivity of  $F_0$  was at least  $10^7$ .



# $\Delta$ pH as the only driving force for proton transport: further evidence for the absence of voltage-gating

Dimroth and co-workers have recently shown that the operation in the synthesis direction of both the Na<sup>+</sup>-ATP synthase of P. modestum and the H<sup>+</sup>-ATP synthase of E. coli cannot be driven by an ion gradient alone but requires a transmembrane voltage exceeding a certain threshold (Kaim and Dimroth, 1998b, 1999; Dimroth et al., 2000). This paralleled the previous observation of a voltage threshold of the (oxidized) chloroplast enzyme (Junge, 1970; Junge et al., 1970; Gräber et al., 1977; Witt et al., 1977; Schlodder and Witt, 1980), which could be replaced by chemical driving force,  $\Delta pH$  (Schlodder and Witt, 1981; Schlodder et al., 1982). The latter now seems controversial. The data in Fig. 5, B and D, clearly showed that proton-transfer through  $F_0$ driven by a pH difference persisted, even after the electric potential difference was dissipated in <1 ms by the addition of valinomycin. There was no voltage requirement and no threshold for proton conduction by F<sub>0</sub> itself. This was in line with the above observation of the same electric relaxation time observed with 100%, 33%, and 10% of the initial voltage.

It was obvious that  $F_0$  was not, by itself, voltage-gated. The observed electrical gating in the intact enzymes from *E. coli* and *P. modestum* was likely attributable to the interaction of  $F_1$  with  $F_0$ .

#### A kinetic model for proton conduction by F<sub>0</sub>

We investigated whether the magnitude, the Ohmic behavior, and the weak pH-dependence of proton conduction FIGURE 6 Proton transfer through F<sub>0</sub> as monitored by two pH indicators reporting pH transients from either side of the chromatophore membrane, (A, B) from the *n*-side (Cresol red, 90 µM, at a wavelength of 575 nm), and (C, D) from the p-side (Neutral red, 26  $\mu$ M plus 0.3% w/v BSA, at 545 nm). Traces in parts A and C were recorded in the absence, and traces B and D in the presence of the ionophore valinomycin (2  $\mu$ M). In the latter case the transmembrane voltage was collapsed by the valinomycinmediated  $K^+$  current in <3 ms (see Fig. 2). The relaxation of the pH difference was then entirely due to the entropic driving force ( $\Delta pH$ ). The proton release from  $bc_1$  was slower in the presence of valinomycin (compare to traces 2 in Figs. 6 C and 5 D). The medium contained 50 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 2 mM K4[Fe(CN)6], 2 mM KCN, 5 µM dMF; pH was 7.9. Chromatophore stock was in 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 50 mM KCl, 10% sucrose. Traces 1 without, and traces 2 with 3  $\mu$ M oligomycin added; traces 2-1 = difference trace  $\pm$  oligomycin. Actinic flashes are marked by arrows; black bars correspond to 0.002 pH units.

by  $F_0$  could be accommodated within the framework of the presently assumed rotary mechanism of proton conduction (Junge et al., 1997; Elston et al., 1998). The proton transfer through the bare chloroplast F<sub>0</sub> has been explained elsewhere by a model where two proton-conducting half-channels were connected by a (horizontally) rotating carrier (see Fig. 7 A for an illustration). The fit of experimental data yielded a low pK of 5-6 for the proton-accepting group of the input halfchannel and an alkaline pK of 8 for the output group (Cherepanov et al., 1999). The weak pH-dependence between these two pK values follows from this model. The proton-transfer rate is determined by the probability of proton binding to group A and proton release from group B. When the ambient pH inside and outside are the same, as in our experiments, the product probability is constant in this interval. This feature explained the observed weak pHdependence of proton transfer through the bare  $F_0$ . In energized membranes, on the other hand, the pK values of the relay groups tend to match the ambient pH at the p- and n-sides of the coupling membrane. This allows higher turnover numbers of F<sub>0</sub> even against backpressure (Cherepanov et al., 1999).

In an extension of the previous model (Cherepanov et al., 1999), we assumed here that the pK values of the input and output groups, located within the dielectric inside noncoaxial proton-conducting half-channels as illustrated in Fig. 7 *B*, are sensitive to the membrane voltage in proportion to their (dielectrically weighted) *z*-position in the membrane dielectric. The respective potential profile of proton transfer is schematically shown in Fig. 7 *C*.

The main elements of the model were two noncoaxial proton-conducting half-channels both considered to rapidly



FIGURE 7 Functional model of  $F_0$ . (*A*) Schematic illustration of  $F_0$  operation. (*B*) Simple model for proton transfer; see text for details. (*C*) Hypothetical energy profile for proton transfer; see text for details. (*D*) Kinetic scheme.

equilibrate with their adjacent bulk phase. In the simplest case, each half-channel contained only one proton-binding relay group (A at the acidic side of the membrane and B at the basic side). In the absence of a transmembrane voltage, the rate of proton binding to the groups A and B was assumed to depend on the pH in the respective bulk phase in accordance with the equations

$$\begin{split} k_{\rm A}^{\rm on} &= \gamma \times 10^{11} \times (10^{-\rm pH_A} + 10^{\rm pK_A-14}), \ (\rm s^{-1}) \\ k_{\rm B}^{\rm on} &= \gamma \times 10^{11} \times (10^{-\rm pH_B} + 10^{\rm pK_B-14}), \ (\rm s^{-1}). \end{split}$$

The first term in each equation denotes the proton flux owing to the diffusion of  $H_3O^+$  ions and the second term describes the hydrolysis of neutral water (see Eigen, 1963). The factor  $\gamma$  was introduced to account for the accelerated proton supply from surface buffering groups. The addition of penetrating pH buffer—glycylglycine was tested at concentration up to 0.02 M—caused no further acceleration of proton transfer through  $F_0$ ; see Table 1. This implies a rapid proton delivery along the inner chromatophore surface to  $F_0$  from many ionizable groups acting as proton antennae. (See e.g., Zhang and Unwin, 2002, for a quantitative examination of surface proton conduction.) The estimate of  $\gamma$  was obtained by considering the strictly Ohmic behavior of  $F_0$  with accuracy >3% (See Fig. 4). Because the overall rate of proton transfer through  $F_0$  after a saturating flash was  $\approx 3.5 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (see above), the linear relationship implied that at neutral pH the rate constant  $k_A^{\text{on}}$  (which was independent of  $\Delta \tilde{\mu}_{\text{H}^+}$ ) was >10<sup>5</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, which corresponds to the  $\gamma$ -factor >10 (see also the discussion below). We used the latter value of  $\gamma$  in the modeling.

The rate constants of the reverse reactions (see the kinetic scheme in Fig. 7 D) were calculated by the thermodynamic equilibria of

$$k_{\rm A}^{\rm off} = k_{\rm A}^{\rm on} \times 10^{\rm pH_{\rm A}-pK_{\rm A}}, \, k_{\rm B}^{\rm off} = k_{\rm B}^{\rm on} \times 10^{\rm pH_{\rm B}-pK_{\rm B}}, \, ({\rm s}^{-1}).$$

The rate of proton exchange between  $F_0$  and the bulk solutions was high and not limiting to the overall reaction rate. The forward and reverse rate constants of the proton transfer in the middle of membrane ( $m_+$  and  $m_-$ ) were interconnected by the thermodynamic constraint of

$$m_{+} = m_{-} \times 10^{\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{A}} - \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{B}}} \, (\mathrm{s}^{-1}).$$

Thus, there were only three independent kinetic parameters in the model: the pK values of buffer groups in each of the two half-channels, and the rate constant of the elementary rotary step (the rotation of the  $c_n$ -ring by the angle  $2\pi/n$ ), which brings one proton into and another one off the ring in contact with its respective access channel.

The potential energy of a transferred proton within  $F_0$  is schematically plotted in Fig. 7 *C*. The transmembrane electric field affects both the protonation state of the two relay groups (*A* and *B*) in the two half-channels, and the rate of proton transfer over the center of the membrane. The magnitude of the first effect depends on the (dielectrically weighted) relative depth of groups A and B in the membrane (denoted  $\alpha$  in Fig. 7 *C*, *L* is the full and *I* the relative thickness of the membrane). The second effect depends on the effective width of the barrier, denoted  $\beta$  in Fig. 7 *C*. The absolute height of the barrier is not important, if it is much greater than the thermal energy  $k_BT$ .

A step of the transmembrane electric potential, magnitude  $\varphi$  (in Volts, positive at the A-side) shifts the pK values as

$$pK'_{\rm A} = pK_{\rm A} + \alpha \varphi q/2.3 \, k_{\rm B}T,$$
  
$$pK'_{\rm B} = pK_{\rm B} - \alpha \varphi q/2.3 \, k_{\rm B}T,$$

where q is the charge of proton. The electric field also changes the proton transfer rate across the middle of the membrane, which is treated by the Nernst-Planck model according to Hladky (1974) as

$$m'_{+} = m_{+} \cdot e^{0.5 \cdot (1-2\alpha) \cdot \varphi \mathbf{q}/\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}} \cdot f(\varphi),$$
  
$$m'_{-} = m_{-} \cdot e^{-0.5 \cdot (1-2\alpha) \cdot \varphi \mathbf{q}/2\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}} \cdot f(\varphi),$$

where the function  $F(\varphi)$  depends on the shape of potential barrier, which for a rectangular profile is

$$f(\varphi) = (\beta \varphi q)/2 k_{\rm B}T) \cdot \sinh(\beta \varphi q/2 k_{\rm B}T)^{-1}$$

(see e.g., Bihler and Stark, 1997, for details). The kinetic model shown in Fig. 7 B gives rise to a system of four differential equations. Three equations describe the changes of the population of the various states of the enzyme as

$$P_{00} = -(k_{\rm A}^{\rm on} + k_{\rm B}^{\rm on}) \cdot P_{00} + k_{\rm B}^{\rm off} \cdot P_{10} + k_{\rm A}^{\rm off} \cdot P_{01}$$

$$P_{10} = k_{\rm B}^{\rm on} \cdot P_{00} - (k_{\rm A}^{\rm on} + k_{\rm B}^{\rm off} + m'_{-}) \cdot P_{10} + m'_{-} \cdot P_{01}$$

$$+ k_{\rm A}^{\rm off} \cdot P_{11}.$$

$$P_{01} = k_{\rm A}^{\rm on} \cdot P_{00} + m'_{-} \cdot P_{10} - (k_{\rm A}^{\rm off} + k_{\rm B}^{\rm on} + m'_{+}) \cdot P_{01}$$

$$+ k_{\rm B}^{\rm off} \cdot P_{11}$$

These three differential equations have to be completed by the normalizing condition

$$P_{00} + P_{10} + P_{01} + P_{11} = 1.$$

 $P_{ij}$  denotes the probability of protonation (*index 1*) and deprotonation (*index 0*) of the relay groups in contact with the left (*left index*) and the right (*right index*) access channel.

The fourth differential equation describes the membrane recharging

$$\varphi = \frac{FN_0}{C_m} [\alpha (k_A^{on}(P_{00} + P_{10}) - k_A^{off}(P_{01} + P_{11}) + k_B^{on}(P_{00} + P_{01}) - k_B^{off}(P_{10} + P_{11})) + (1 - 2\alpha)(m_+P_{01} - m_-P_{10})].$$

The solution of this system of four differential equations was obtained by numerical integration.

Herein *F* denotes the Faraday constant,  $N_0$  the surface density of  $F_0$  in the membrane (mol m<sup>-2</sup>), and  $C_m$  the electric capacitance of membrane (*F* m<sup>-2</sup>). Because the average diameter of chromatophores was  $\approx 28$  nm and they contained a mean of  $\approx 0.3$  copies of  $F_0$  per vesicle, the mean surface density of  $F_0$  in vesicles, which contained enzyme  $N_0$ , was  $\approx 6.6 \times 10^{-10}$  mol m<sup>-2</sup>. The membrane's specific capacitance was taken as  $10^{-2} F m^{-2}$ . On modeling we took a value of 70 mV for the average  $\Delta \psi$  jump in response to a single saturating flash with the blocked  $bc_1$  complex. The fit parameters  $pK_A$ ,  $pK_B$ , and  $m_+$  were determined from the pHdependence of the  $F_0$  conductance by nonlinear minimization. The final set of model parameters is summarized in Table 2. The resulting theoretical pH-dependence of the proton transfer rate in H<sub>2</sub>O is plotted in Fig. 3 by the solid line. The obtained values  $pK_A = 6.1$ ,  $pK_B = 10.0$ , and  $m_+ = 1 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$  corresponded to the overall F<sub>0</sub> turnover rate  $m_- = m_+ \times 10^{pK_A - pK_B} = 1.26 \times 10^3 s^{-1}$ .

Fig. 5 *A* shows the normalized transients of the transmembrane voltage at pH 8.0 after a saturating (100%) and an attenuated (33%) flash, the difference between them is plotted in Fig. 5 *B* by the thin noisy line. This difference, which is very small, characterizes the deviation from the Ohmic behavior. The insert to Fig. 5 *B* shows the distribution of the deviation between two traces, which did not reveal a systematic bias from zero. The calculated mean root-square dispersion of the difference was 3%.

The high signal/noise ratio of the traces allowed us to impose constraints on the possible values of the parameters a, b, and  $\gamma$ . Generally, the greater was  $\alpha$  and the smaller  $\beta$ , the faster was proton transfer at a given value of the transmembrane voltage, so the relaxation dynamics was faster after saturating and slower after nonsaturating flashes (positive nonlinearity). The effect of the parameter  $\gamma$  was opposite: because the diffusion of protons outside F<sub>0</sub> was voltage-independent, the proton diffusion at small values of  $\gamma$ slowed down the overall proton transfer (diffusional control of the reaction) and caused thereby a negative nonlinearity in the relaxation kinetics.

The dashed curve in Fig. 5 *B* shows the difference between the transmembrane voltage decay after a saturating and an attenuated flash calculated with the parameter  $\gamma = 1$ . This curve revealed a substantial deviation from the Ohmic behavior, which exceeded the experimental error. The minimal value of  $\gamma$  consistent with the experimental data was  $\approx 10$ , and this value was used in the following modeling.

The dotted curve in Fig. 5 *B* was calculated for a set of parameters  $\alpha = 0.1$ ,  $\beta = 0.8$ , and  $\gamma = 10$ . It illustrates the positive nonlinearity in the relaxation owing to the accelerating effect by the transmembrane voltage. The deviation again exceeded the experimental error, but had the opposite sign.

We calculated the membrane discharge dynamics after saturating and attenuated flashes using the kinetic model described above and determined the range of possible values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  consistent with an experimental error of <3%. Generally the relaxation rate was 10-fold more sensitive to the variation of  $\alpha$  (the buried position of groups A and B inside the membrane) than to the variation of  $\beta$  (the form of the potential barrier in the middle of the membrane). In the two-dimensional phase space ( $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  correspond to the abscissa and ordinate axes of the insert to Fig. 5 *A*, respec-

 TABLE 2
 The kinetic and geometric fit parameters to the data in Fig. 3 by the model illustrated in Fig. 7

pK <sub>A</sub>	pK <sub>B</sub>	$m_+$	α	β	γ
6.1	10.0	$1.0 \times 10^{7} \text{ s}^{-1}$	≤0.08	≥0.6	10

tively) we determined the area where the maximal deviation between the discharge curves after saturating and attenuated flashes was being <3% consistent with the experimental error. The allowed space found by this method is shown in the insert to Fig. 5 *A* by the uncolored upper-left area, and the forbidden area inconsistent with the experimental data is hatched. An example of the calculated difference between two recharging traces with the maximal deviation of 3% is shown in Fig. 5 *B* by the thick dashed line (the parameters chosen were  $\alpha = 0.04$ ,  $\beta = 0.64$ , and  $\gamma = 10$ ).

In practice, these findings implied that both protonconducting groups A and B were placed at the membrane/ water interface. The dielectrically weighted distance between them had to be >0.86 (the maximal allowed value of  $\alpha$  is 0.07). This maximal value of  $\alpha$  corresponded, however, to an infinitely sharp rectangular barrier in the middle of the membrane ( $\beta = 1$ ), physically unfeasible. A realistic value of  $\beta$  is 0.6; it corresponds to an upper limit of  $\alpha$  of  $\approx$ 0.04. It is worth noting, however, that the positive nonlinearity of the electric field inside the membrane could be partially compensated by the negative nonlinearity of the voltageindependent diffusion outside the membrane, so we used the value  $\alpha = 0.07$  as an estimate of the buried position of groups A and B inside the membrane. If the dielectric permittivity was constant over the full width of the membrane, say of 4 nm, the topological distance between A and B would be >3.4 nm. The dielectric permittivity of the waterfilled cavities in membrane proteins may, however, strongly differ from that in the membrane interior (see, e.g., a value of 30 in the ubiquinone binding pocket of bacterial reaction center versus the value of 4 in the middle of membrane; Cherepanov et al., 2000). In this case, the distance between groups can be substantially smaller, on the order of 1.8 nm (45% of the geometrical membrane thickness). It was obvious though, that if either group was embedded more deeply in the membrane dielectric, one had to expect a marked deviation from an Ohmic behavior.

Our analysis reveals that the proton transfer to the conserved carboxyl residue of subunit c, which is topologically located in the middle of the membrane, is mediated by at least two residues located close to the membrane surface. It remains open how this finding relates to the recent Cysmapping experiments of Angevine and Fillingame (2003), which led these authors to postulate two water-accessible half-channels in the  $F_0F_1$ -ATP synthase of *E. coli*. The relay groups A and B may be found among those residues lining these half-channels.

#### DISCUSSION

## The Ohmic conductance of $F_0$ , its pH-dependence, kinetic H/D-isotope effect, and the absence of voltage-gating

In  $F_0F_1$ -ATP synthase the membrane-embedded  $F_0$  portion conducts protons, and thereby it generates torque for the

synthesis of ATP by the F<sub>1</sub> portion. We studied proton conduction by  $F_0$  in chromatophores isolated from the purple bacterium Rb. capsulatus. Rapidly rising voltage and/or pH steps were generated by a flash of light. The subsequent electric relaxation and the pH relaxation mediated by F<sub>0</sub> were detected by absorption transients of intrinsic (electrochromic) pigments and of added pH-indicating dyes, respectively. The vesicle size was so small that vesicles contained less than a single conducting  $F_0$  molecule on the average, namely 0.3. This was apparent from the fact that the electrochemical relaxation was rapid only in a subset of vesicles (26%); see Fig. 2. It was straightforwardly interpreted in terms of a Poisson distribution of F<sub>0</sub> over vesicles with a mean of 0.3 and a frequency of 22% vesicles containing one and 3% containing two copies of F<sub>0</sub>. This notion was corroborated by the observation that the relative magnitude of the rapid relaxation was larger in a preparation of chromatophores with larger radius (see Feniouk et al., 2002, and the text relating to Fig. 2 A of this article). When the small contribution of vesicles containing more than one single copy of F<sub>0</sub> was neglected, we determined a unitary conductance of 10.5 fS for the bacterial F<sub>0</sub>, which was equivalent to the translocation of 6500 H<sup>+</sup>/s at 100-mV driving force.

In our related previous studies the average number of  $F_0$  per vesicle was also small but larger than in this work (Feniouk et al., 2002). Those particular preparations were, however, prepared with a sonifier of smaller output power (Branson W-15, Soest, The Netherlands). The average diameter of the chromatophores used in the previous work determined by TEM was 62.8 nm (data not shown), 1.6-fold larger than that of the F<sub>1</sub>-depleted ones used here (see Fig. 1 *A*). The larger chromatophores contained approximately one copy of  $F_0F_1$  on the average, in good correspondence with the  $F_0$  mean surface density calculated here.

We found that the electric relaxation through  $F_0$  was almost mono-exponential and that the relaxation time was practically independent of the magnitude of the initial voltage step up to 70 mV.  $F_0$  behaved as an Ohmic conductor with a linear current-voltage relationship. There was no voltage-gating of  $F_0$ . The conductance varied little (less than threefold) as function of the pH over the wide range from 6 to 10. The specificity for protons over other cationic species was very high (>10<sup>7</sup>).

There was no kinetic H/D-isotope effect at pH above 8, and an isotope effect of  $\approx 2$  was observed at pH 6 (Fig. 4 *A*). The appearance of the isotope effect could be attributed to protonation of a group with the apparent pK of 7–8. A possible candidate for this titratable group might be Glu<sup>61</sup> of the *c*-subunit. The pK of its counterpart in the *E. coli* enzyme (*c*Asp<sup>61</sup>) has the apparent pK of 8 (Valiyaveetil et al., 2002). An alternative explanation could be secondary isotope effects: the general conformation of the enzyme might be slightly altered by pH, and the H/D-isotope effect could be inherent to the acidic conformation only. In this case

a smooth pH-dependence of the H/D isotope effect like that in Fig. 4 *A* could be expected. The pH-dependence of both the isotope effect and the Arrhenius activation energy (Fig. 4 *B*) points out that the rate-limiting step of proton transport through  $F_0$  is different at pH 6 and 8. Further experiments are necessary to clarify this point.

The above properties of the bacterial  $F_0$ —the high specificity, the weak pH-dependence, and the kinetic isotope effect—were in a good agreement with those of the chloroplast counterpart, where data were obtained over a narrower pH interval, of 5.5 < pH < 8. (Althoff et al., 1989). The very high proton specificity of  $F_0$  was attributable to proton binding by acid/base group(s) as selectivity filter. It excluded models with a contiguous water-wire without such groups. The same property favored a Grotthuss-type mechanism of proton transport, and it excluded the self-diffusion of the proton in the form of  $H_3O^+$  or  $OH^-$ .

These properties of F<sub>0</sub> were simulated based on the current rotary mechanism with the multicopy c-ring carrying one essential acid residue (Glu or Asp) in the middle of the membrane and with one access channel at each side. We assumed one proton relay group in each channel. The fit to the data attributed pK values of  $\approx 6$  and  $\approx 10$ , respectively, to these relay groups because of the low dependence on the pH. The Ohmic behavior called for a location of the relays very close to their respective membrane/bulk interface. F<sub>0</sub> conducted protons irrespective of the nature of the driving force, whether of electric (transmembrane voltage) or entropic origin (pH difference): Both this property and the Ohmic behavior under an electric driving force proved the absence of voltage gating of  $F_0$ . The  $\Delta \tilde{\mu}_{H^+}$ -gating of the coupled enzyme in chloroplasts (Junge, 1970, 1987; Junge et al., 1970; Gräber et al., 1977; Witt et al., 1977; Schlodder and Witt, 1980, 1981; Schlodder et al., 1982) and the voltage-gating in E. coli and P. modestum (Kaim and Dimroth, 1998a, 1999; Dimroth et al., 2000) is attributable to the interaction of  $F_1$  with  $F_0$ , but not to  $F_0$  proper.

The straightforward method used to obtain the unitary conductance of one single copy of  $F_0$  in this work resolved the discrepancy between previous reports from our lab. In studies with spinach thylakoids we arrived at a similar magnitude for the conductance (9 fS) under the thenunproven assumption that any exposed  $F_0$  was conducting (Schönknecht et al., 1986). Circumstantial evidence for only a minority of conducting  $F_0$ , which had led us to claim a much higher conductance of up to 1 pS (Lill et al., 1986; Althoff et al., 1989), can now be rejected. It also appears conceivable that the similarly high conductance of 0.4 pS as attributed to whole  $F_0F_1$  in one study with bilayers formed by the dip-stick technique (Wagner et al., 1989) might have involved unspecific cation channels as observed with the purified subunit *c* of  $F_0$  (Schönknecht et al., 1989).

Extrapolating the data in this work on  $F_0$  to a driving force of 200 mV (nearly sufficient for the maximum rate of ATP synthesis by the coupled enzyme), one expects a transport rate by  $F_0$  of 13,000 s<sup>-1</sup>. ATP synthesis by the *E. coli* enzyme can reach a turnover number of 270 s<sup>-1</sup> (Etzold et al., 1997), with coupled ATP hydrolysis in *Rhodospirillum rubrum* at 320 s<sup>-1</sup> (Slooten and Nuyten, 1984), and ATP synthesis in *Rb. capsulatus* at 250 s<sup>-1</sup> (calculated from 900  $\mu$ mol/mg BChl·h, Casadio et al., 1978, if there was one ATPase per 1000 BChl; Packham et al., 1978; Feniouk et al., 2002, and with a proton/ATP stoichiometry of 3.3). Taking the proton/ATP stoichiometry into account, which is probably 3.3 for yeast  $F_0F_1$  (Stock et al., 1999) and 4 (van Walraven et al., 1996; Turina et al., 2003) or 4.7 (Seelert et al., 2000) for *spinacia*, these figures imply the turnover of only  $\approx$ 1000 H<sup>+</sup>/s by the coupled enzyme,  $F_0F_1$ . This is one order-of-magnitude lower than that of the exposed  $F_0$ .

### Comparison with the proton conductance of gramicidin

Gramicidin is perhaps the best studied cation channel and proton conductor. It is gated open when its two halfspanning helices join tail-to-tail to fully span the membrane. Cations are conducted along and with the internal water wire. This is well established by studies on electro-osmosis and streaming potentials. The proton, on the other hand, bypasses the single-file transport (see Finkelstein and Andersen, 1981; Levitt et al., 1978; for molecular dynamics simulation see (Skerra and Brickmann, 1987; Schumaker et al., 2000). The proton conductance of the covalently linked gramicidin A channels is proportional to the  $H^+$  concentration in the pH range from 0 to 4 (Cukierman, 2000). Extrapolation to pH 8 yields a  $H^+$  conductance of 1 fS, 10-fold smaller than the  $H^+$ conductance of  $F_0$ . In simulating the data on  $F_0$  we had to assume that the supply of protins to F<sub>0</sub> was not rate-limiting, i.e., it was by order-of-magnitude faster than expected by bulk diffusion from a half-space to the channel mouth. It implied supply from several buffering groups at the membrane surface acting as proton donors. Recently, the lateral diffusion coefficient along the lipid/water interface has been determined to be 5.8  $\times$  10<sup>-9</sup> m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Serowy et al., 2003), only twofold lower than in the bulk (9.3  $\times$  10<sup>-9</sup> m<sup>2</sup>  $s^{-1}$ ), but greater than for any other ion in the bulk phase and thus compatible with a Grotthuss-mechanism involving surface water (see Gutman and Nachliel, 1997). Proton supply in the alkaline range is not critical, because water then acts as the proton donor (see Kasianowicz et al., 1987; Gopta et al., 1999). These features have been considered in the above simulation.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The membrane portion,  $F_0$ , of the  $F_0F_1$ -ATP synthase is a ion-driven rotary engine. For the first time, its unitary

electric conductance was determined without ambiguity concerning active and inactive copies of F<sub>0</sub>. We used F1-depleted chromatophore vesicles from Rb. capsulatus that were so small as to contain only 0.3 copies of  $F_0$  on the average. The conductance was constant as function of the voltage, extremely proton-specific  $(>10^7)$ , but only mildly pH-dependent. The maximum conductance of 10.5 fS was observed at pH 8 implying the translocation of 6500  $\text{H}^+$  s<sup>-1</sup> at 100-mV electric driving force and 13,000 at 200 mV. Hence,  $F_0$ , when operating without  $F_1$ , turns over >10-fold faster than it does in the coupled enzyme,  $F_0F_1$ . In other words,  $F_0$  is not rate-limiting for the operation of  $F_0F_1$ , as technically desirable. We found that  $F_0$ , by itself, was not voltage-gated. The observed electrical gating in the intact enzymes from P. modestum and E. coli was likely attributable to the interaction of  $F_1$  with  $F_0$ . The nature of the gating charge/dipole is still unknown.

In  $F_0$  the selectivity filter for protons over other cations is highly specific,  $>10^7$ , both in the purple bacterium used in this work and in thylakoids from green plants, as previously studied. The selectivity is still present but less pronounced in organisms that operate on Na<sup>+</sup> instead of H<sup>+</sup>.

We interpreted the properties of  $F_0$  in terms of the current rotary model for proton conduction. This model is based on two proton-conducting half-channels linking the rotating ring of 10-14 copies of subunit c with the respective bulk phases. The observed Ohmic conduction implies that the relay groups for protons that are involved in rate limitation sample only a small fraction of the transmembrane voltage. We simulated such a behavior by two relay groups located close to the respective membrane/ water interfaces. The slight variation of the conductance as function of the pH implied a large difference between the pKs of these groups ( $\approx 6$  and  $\approx 10$ , respectively). This is a testable prediction for structural studies aiming at high resolution of the *c*-ring and its partners in the membrane, the *a*- and *b*-subunits. That the proton conductance of  $F_0$ was not limited by the supply of protons to the entrance channel implied supply from buffers at the membrane surface and from bulk water.

#### **APPENDIX**

### The proton buffering capacity of chromatophores

If the electrical and chemical components of  $\Delta \tilde{\mu}_{H^+}$ ,  $\Delta \psi$  and  $\Delta pH$ , were discharged via  $F_0$  in isolation of each other, we observed different relaxation times (see Fig. 5). This phenomenon has been previously observed in studies on chloroplast  $F_0$  in spinach thylakoids (Schönknecht et al., 1986) and has been interpreted as follows (Junge et al., 1992a,b). If  $\Delta \psi$  is the major driving force of proton conduction, one observes the discharge of the *electric capacitance* ( $C_{el}$ ), whereas the *chemical capacitance* ( $C_{chem}$ ) of vesicles is discharged if  $\Delta pH$  is the major driving force. Presenting both in the same physical dimensions, namely Farad  $\times m^{-2}$ ,  $C_{chem}$  is related to the spec-

ific proton buffering capacity of the vesicle,  $\beta=-\Delta[H^+_{total}]/\Delta p H$  (in mol  $\times\,m^{-2})$  as

$$C_{\rm chem} = \frac{F^2}{2.3\,{\rm RT}} imes eta,$$

wherein *F* denotes the Faraday constant, *R* the gas constant, *T* the temperature, and  $\beta$  the specific buffering capacity, as defined above.

We described the proton flux through F<sub>0</sub> by a two-step sequential kinetic mechanism:  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ . The first step was the proton release by the  $bc_1$ complex (in the presence of valinomycin, its relaxation time was  $37 \pm 1$  ms as detected by Neutral red, see Fig. 6D). The second step (occurring with the unknown time  $\tau_2$ ) was the proton entry/escape through F<sub>0</sub>. The overall rate of pH transients, as measured both by Cresol red outside and by Neutral red inside chromatophores, was characterized by the summed time  $\tau_1 + \tau_2 = 52$  $\pm$  5 ms. The proper time of  $\Delta pH$  relaxation  $\tau_2$  was estimated thereby as 15  $\pm$ 5 ms. This implied that the proton buffering capacitance of the vesicles at pH 8.0 was  $\approx$ 3.5-fold greater than the electrical one. Taking the electric capacitance of 1  $\mu$ F cm<sup>-2</sup>, we calculated the specific proton buffering capacitance of the internal surface of chromatophores  $\beta = 2.1(\pm 0.7) \times$  $10^{-8}$  mol m<sup>-2</sup>. Because the surface density of RC in chromatophores is  $\approx 5.3 \times 10^{-9}$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> (Packham et al., 1978), this value corresponds to eight buffer groups with pK = 8 per RC. Assuming that all electron equivalents, which were generated by the excited RCs after a saturating light flash were completely utilized by the  $bc_1$  complexes, we calculated a pH jump per saturating flash of 0.36 pH units. This estimate is in the range of earlier ones, as obtained with 9-aminoacridine as a pH dye for chromatophores of Rb. sphaeroides (0.32 pH unit; Saphon and Gräber, 1978) and with Neutral red for chromatophores of *Rb. capsulatus* ( $0.8 \pm 0.2$ pH unit; Mulkidjanian and Junge, 1994). The flash-induced pH jump in bacterial chromatophores is by one order-of-magnitude greater than in thylakoid membranes of green plants (0.06 units; Hong and Junge, 1983; Junge et al., 1979). The difference could be attributed to the lower density of proton pumps and larger amount of pH-buffering groups in thylakoids as compared to the situation in bacterial chromatophores.

Valuable discussions with Profs. B. J. Jackson, B.-A. Melandri, and V. P. Skulachev are appreciated.

This work has been supported in part by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Mu-1285/1, Ju-97/13, SFB 431-P15, 436-RUS-113/210); by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Volkswagen Foundation; the Leonhard-Euler Fellowship Program of Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst; and the Fonds der Chemische Industrie.

#### REFERENCES

- Altendorf, K., W. Stalz, J. Greie, and G. Deckers-Hebestreit. 2000. Structure and function of the  $F_0$  complex of the ATP synthase from *Escherichia coli*. J. Exp. Biol. 203:19–28.
- Althoff, G., H. Lill, and W. Junge. 1989. Proton channel of the chloroplast ATP synthase, CF<sub>0</sub>: its time- averaged single-channel conductance as function of pH, temperature, isotopic and ionic medium composition. *J. Membr. Biol.* 108:263–271.
- Angevine, C. M., and R. H. Fillingame. 2003. Aqueous access channels in subunit *a* of rotary ATP synthase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278:6066–6074.
- Ausländer, W., and W. Junge. 1975. Neutral red, a rapid indicator for pH changes in the inner phase of thylakoids. *FEBS Lett.* 59:310–315.
- Baccarini-Melandri, A., H. Gest, and A. S. Pietro. 1970. A coupling factor in bacterial photophosphorylation. J. Biol. Chem. 245:1224–1226.
- Bihler, H., and G. Stark. 1997. The inner membrane barrier of lipid membranes experienced by the valinomycin/Rb<sup>+</sup> complex: charge pulse experiments at high membrane voltages. *Biophys. J.* 73:746–756.

- Boyer, P. D. 1997. The ATP synthase—a splendid molecular machine. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 66:717–749.
- Cao, N. J., W. S. Brusilow, J. J. Tomashek, and D. J. Woodbury. 2001. Characterization of reconstituted  $F_0$  from wild-type *Escherichia coli* and identification of two other fluxes co-purifying with  $F_0$ . *Cell Biochem. Biophys.* 34:305–320.
- Casadio, R., A. Baccarini-Melandri, and B. A. Melandri. 1978. Limited cooperativity in the coupling between electron flow and photosynthetic ATP synthesis. *FEBS Lett.* 87:323–328.
- Cherepanov, D. A., S. I. Bibikov, M. V. Bibikova, D. A. Bloch, L. A. Drachev, O. A. Gopta, D. Oesterhelt, A. Y. Semenov, and A. Y. Mulkidjanian. 2000. Reduction and protonation of the secondary quinone acceptor of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* photosynthetic reaction center: kinetic model based on a comparison of wild-type chromatophores with mutants carrying Arg → Ile substitution at sites 207 and 217 in the L-subunit. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1459:10–34.
- Cherepanov, D. A., and W. Junge. 2001. Viscoelastic dynamics of actin filaments coupled to rotary F-ATPase: curvature as an indicator of the torque. *Biophys. J.* 81:1234–1244.
- Cherepanov, D. A., A. Mulkidjanian, and W. Junge. 1999. Transient accumulation of elastic energy in proton translocating ATP synthase. *FEBS Lett.* 449:1–6.
- Clark, A. J., and J. B. Jackson. 1981. The measurement of membrane potential during photosynthesis and during respiration in intact cells of *Rhodopseudomonas capsulata* by both electrochromism and by permeant ion redistribution. *Biochem. J.* 200:389–397.
- Clayton, R. K. 1963. Absorption spectra of photosynthetic bacteria and their chlorophylls. *In* Bacterial Photosynthesis. H. Gest, A. San Pietro, and L. P. Vernon, editors. The Antioch Press, Yellow Springs, OH. 495– 500.
- Crofts, A. R., and C. A. Wraight. 1983. The electrochemical domain of photosynthesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 726:149–185.
- Cukierman, S. 2000. Proton mobilities in water and in different stereoisomers of covalently linked gramicidin A channels. *Biophys. J.* 78:1825–1834.
- Dimroth, P. 2000. Operation of the  $F_0$  motor of the ATP synthase. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1458:374–386.
- Dimroth, P., G. Kaim, and U. Matthey. 2000. Crucial role of the membrane potential for ATP synthesis by  $F_1F_0$  ATP syntheses. J. Exp. Biol. 203:51–59.
- Dimroth, P., H. Wang, M. Grabe, and G. Oster. 1999. Energy transduction in the sodium F-ATPase of *Propionigenium modestum*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 96:4924–4928.
- Eigen, M. 1963. Protonenübertragung, säure-base-katalyse and enzymatische hydrolyse. Teil I: elementarvorgänge. Angew. Chem. 75:489–588.
- Elston, T., H. Wang, and G. Oster. 1998. Energy transduction in ATP synthase. *Nature*. 391:510–514.
- Etzold, C., G. Deckers-Hebestreit, and K. Altendorf. 1997. Turnover number of *Escherichia coli* F<sub>0</sub>F<sub>1</sub> ATP synthase for ATP synthesis in membrane vesicles. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 243:336–343.
- Feniouk, B. A., D. Cherepanov, W. Junge, and A. Mulkidjanian. 2001. Coupling of proton flow to ATP synthesis in *Rhodobacter capsulatus*: F<sub>1</sub>F<sub>0</sub>-ATP synthase is absent from about half of chromatophores. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 1506:189–203.
- Feniouk, B. A., D. Cherepanov, N. Voskoboinikova, A. Mulkidjanian, and W. Junge. 2002. Chromatophore vesicles of *Rhodobacter capsulatus* contain on average one F<sub>0</sub>F<sub>1</sub>-ATP synthase each. *Biophys. J.* 82:1115– 1122.
- Fillingame, R. H., and O. Y. Dmitriev. 2002. Structural model of the transmembrane  $F_0$  rotary sector of H<sup>+</sup>-transporting ATP synthase derived by solution NMR and intersubunit cross-linking in situ. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1565:232–245.
- Fillingame, R. H., W. Jiang, O. Y. Dmitriev, and P. C. Jones. 2000. Structural interpretations of  $F_0$  rotary function in the *Escherichia coli*  $F_1F_0$  ATP synthase. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1458:387–403.

- Finkelstein, A., and O. S. Andersen. 1981. The gramicidin A channel: a review of its permeability characteristics with special reference to the single-file aspect of transport. J. Membr. Biol. 59:155–171.
- Friedl, P., and H. U. Schairer. 1981. The isolated  $F_0$  of *Escherichia coli* ATP-synthase is reconstitutively active in H<sup>+</sup> conduction and ATP-dependent energy-transduction. *FEBS Lett.* 28:261–264.
- Glasoe, P. K., and F. A. Long. 1960. Use of glass electrodes to measure acidities in deuterium oxide. J. Phys. Chem. 64:188–190.
- Gopta, O. A., D. A. Cherepanov, W. Junge, and A. Y. Mulkidjanian. 1999. Proton transfer from the bulk to the bound ubiquinone QB of the reaction center in chromatophores of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*: retarded conveyance by neutral water. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 96:13159– 13164.
- Gräber, P., E. Schlodder, and H. T. Witt. 1977. Conformational change of the chloroplast ATPase induced by a transmembrane electric field and its correlation to phosphorylation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 461:426–440.
- Gutman, M., and E. Nachliel. 1997. Time-resolved dynamics of proton transfer in proteinaceous systems. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 48: 329–356.
- Hille, B. 1984. Ionic Channels of Excitable Membranes. Sinauer Associated, Sunderland, Mass.
- Hladky, S. B. 1974. The energy barriers to ion transport by nonactin across thin lipid membranes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 352:71–85.
- Hong, Y. Q., and W. Junge. 1983. Localized or delocalized protons in photophosphorylation? On the accessibility of the thylakoid lumen for ions and buffers. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 722:197–208.
- Jackson, J. B. 1988. Bacterial photosynthesis. In Bacterial Energy Transduction. C. Anthony, editor. Academic Press, London. 317–376.
- Jackson, J. B., and A. R. Crofts. 1971. The kinetics of the light induced carotenoid changes in *Rhodopseudomonas sphaeroides* and their relation to electrical field generation across the chromatophore membrane. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 18:120–130.
- Jiang, W., J. Hermolin, and R. H. Fillingame. 2001. The preferred stoichiometry of *c*-subunits in the rotary motor sector of *Escherichia coli* ATP synthase is 10. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 98:4966–4971.
- Junge, W. 1970. Critical electric potential difference for photophosphorylation. Its relation to the chemiosmotic hypothesis and to the triggering requirements of the ATPase system. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 14:582–592.
- Junge, W. 1987. Complete tracking of transient proton flow through active chloroplast ATP synthase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 84:7084–7088.
- Junge, W. 1999. ATP synthase and other motor proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 96:4735–4737.
- Junge, W., G. Althoff, P. Jahns, S. Engelbrecht, H. Lill, and G. Schönknecht. 1992a. Proton pumps, proton flow and proton ATP synthases in photosynthesis of green plants. *In* Electron and Proton Transfer in Chemistry and Biology. A. Müller, H. Ratajczak, W. Junge, and E. Diemann, editors. Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands. 253–72.
- Junge, W., W. Ausländer, A. J. McGeer, and T. Runge. 1979. The buffering capacity of the internal phase of thylakoids and the magnitude of the pH changes inside under flashing light. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 546: 121–141.
- Junge, W., H. Lill, and S. Engelbrecht. 1997. ATP synthase: an electrochemical transducer with rotatory mechanics. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 22:420–423.
- Junge, W., A. Polle, P. Jahns, G. Althoff, and G. Schönknecht. 1992b. The electrochemical relaxation at thylakoid membranes. *In* Electrified Interfaces in Physics, Chemistry and Biology. R. Guidelli, editor. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. 551–64.
- Junge, W., B. Rumberg, and H. Schroeder. 1970. Necessity of an electric potential difference and its use for photophosphorylation in short flash groups. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 14:575–581.
- Junge, W., and H. T. Witt. 1968. On the ion transport system of photosynthesis—investigation on a molecular level. Z. Naturforsch. 23b:244–254.

- Kaim, G., and P. Dimroth. 1998a. ATP synthesis by the  $F_1F_0$  ATP synthase of *Escherichia coli* is obligatorily dependent on the electric potential. *FEBS Lett.* 434:57–60.
- Kaim, G., and P. Dimroth. 1998b. Voltage-generated torque drives the motor of the ATP synthase. *EMBO J.* 17:5887–5895.
- Kaim, G., and P. Dimroth. 1999. ATP synthesis by F-type ATP synthase is obligatorily dependent on the transmembrane voltage. *EMBO J.* 18:4118–4127.
- Kasianowicz, J., R. Benz, and S. McLaughlin. 1987. How do protons cross the membrane-solution interface? Kinetic studies on bilayer membranes exposed to the protonophore S-13 (5-chloro-3-tert-butyl-2'-chloro-4'-nitrosalicylanilide). J. Membr. Biol. 95:73–89.
- Lascelles, J. 1959. Adaptation to form bacterichlorophyll in *Rhodopseudomonas sphaeroides*: changes in activity of enzymes concerned in pyrrole synthesis. *Biochem. J.* 72:508–518.
- Leslie, A. G., and J. E. Walker. 2000. Structural model of F1-ATPase and the implications for rotary catalysis. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 355:465–471.
- Levitt, D. G., S. R. Elias, and J. M. Hautman. 1978. Number of water molecules coupled to the transport of sodium, potassium and hydrogen ions via gramicidin, nonactin or valinomycin. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 512:436–451.
- Lightowlers, R. N., S. M. Howitt, L. Hatch, F. Gibson, and G. Cox. 1988. The proton pore in the *Escherichia coli*  $F_0F_1$  -ATPase: substitution of glutamate by glutamine at position 219 of the *a*-subunit prevents  $F_0$ -mediated proton permeability. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 933: 241–248.
- Lill, H., S. Engelbrecht, G. Schönknecht, and W. Junge. 1986. The proton channel,  $CF_0$ , in thylakoid membranes: only a low proportion of  $CF_1$ -lacking  $CF_0$  is active with a high unit conductance (169 fS). *Eur. J. Biochem.* 160:627–634.
- Linnett, P. E., and R. B. Beechey. 1979. Inhibitors of the ATP synthetase system. *Meth. Enzymol.* 55:472–518.
- Melandri, B. A., A. Baccarini-Melandri, A. San Pietro, and H. Gest. 1970. Role of phosphorylation coupling factor in light-dependent proton translocation by *Rhodopseudomonas capsulata* membrane preparations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 67:477–484.
- Melandri, B. A., A. Baccarini-Melandri, A. San Pietro, and H. Gest. 1971. Interchangeability of phosphorylation coupling factors in photosynthetic and respiratory energy conversion. *Science*. 174:514–516.
- Mulkidjanian, A. Y., and W. Junge. 1994. Calibration and time resolution of lumenal pH-transients in chromatophores of *Rhodobacter capsulatus* following a single turnover flash of light: proton release by the  $bc_1$ -complex is strongly electrogenic. *FEBS Lett.* 353:189–193.
- Mulkidjanian, A. Y., M. D. Mamedov, and L. A. Drachev. 1991. Slow electrogenic events in the cytochrome bc<sub>1</sub>-complex of *Rhodobacter* sphaeroides: the electron transfer between cytochrome b hemes can be nonelectrogenic. *FEBS Lett.* 284:227–231.
- Muller, D. J., N. A. Dencher, T. Meier, P. Dimroth, K. Suda, H. Stahlberg, A. Engel, H. Seelert, and U. Matthey. 2001. ATP synthase: constrained stoichiometry of the transmembrane rotor. *FEBS Lett.* 504:219–222.
- Negrin, R. S., D. L. Foster, and R. H. Fillingame. 1980. Energy-transducing H+-ATPase of *Escherichia coli*. Reconstitution of proton translocating activity of the intrinsic membrane sector. J. Biol. Chem. 255:5643– 5648.
- Noji, H., and M. Yoshida. 2001. The rotary machine in the cell, ATP synthase. J. Biol. Chem. 276:1665–1668.
- Packham, N. K., J. A. Berriman, and J. B. Jackson. 1978. The charging capacitance of the chromatophore membrane. *FEBS Lett.* 89:205– 210.
- Pänke, O., D. A. Cherepanov, K. Gumbiowski, S. Engelbrecht, and W. Junge. 2001. Viscoelastic dynamics of actin filaments coupled to rotary F-ATPase: torque profile of the enzyme. *Biophys. J.* 81:1220–1233.
- Pänke, O., K. Gumbiowski, W. Junge, and S. Engelbrecht. 2000. F-ATPase: specific observation of the rotating c-subunit oligomer of EF<sub>0</sub>EF<sub>1</sub>. *FEBS Lett.* 472:34–38.

Biophysical Journal 86(6) 4094-4109

- Sambongi, Y., Y. Iko, M. Tanabe, H. Omote, A. Iwamoto-Kihara, I. Ueda, T. Yanagida, Y. Wada, and M. Futai. 1999. Mechanical rotation of the *c*-subunit oligomer in ATP synthase  $(F_0F_1)$ : direct observation. *Science*. 286:1722–1724.
- Saphon, S., and P. Gräber. 1978. External proton uptake, internal proton release and internal pH changes in chromatophores from *Rps. sphaeroides* following single turnover flashes. *Z. Naturforsch.* 33C: 715–722.
- Saphon, S., J. B. Jackson, and H. T. Witt. 1975. Electrical potential changes, H<sup>+</sup> translocation and phosphorylation induced by short flash excitation in *Rhodopseudomonas sphaeroides* chromatophores. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 408:67–82.
- Schindler, H., and N. Nelson. 1982. Proteolipid of adenosinetriphosphatase from yeast mitochondria forms proton-selective channels in planar lipid bilayers. *Biochemistry*. 21:5787–5794.
- Schlodder, E., M. Rögner, and H. T. Witt. 1982. ATP synthesis in chloroplasts induced by a transmembrane electric potential difference as a function of the proton concentration. *FEBS Lett.* 138:13–18.
- Schlodder, E., and H. T. Witt. 1980. Electrochromic absorption changes of a chloroplast suspension induced by an external electric field. *FEBS Lett.* 112:105–113.
- Schlodder, E., and H. T. Witt. 1981. Relation between the initial kinetics of ATP synthesis and of conformational changes in the chloroplast ATPase studied by external field pulses. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 635:571– 584.
- Schneider, E., and K. Altendorf. 1982. ATP Synthetase  $(F_1F_0)$  of *Escherichia coli* K-12 high-yield preparation of functional  $F_0$  by hydrophobic affinity chromatography. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 126:149–153.
- Schneider, E., and K. Altendorf. 1987. Bacterial adenosine 5'-triphosphate synthase (F<sub>1</sub>F<sub>0</sub>): purification and reconstitution of F<sub>0</sub> complexes and biochemical and functional characterization of their subunits. *Microbiol. Rev.* 51:477–497.
- Schönknecht, G., G. Althoff, E. C. Apley, R. Wagner, and W. Junge. 1989. Cation channels by subunit III of the channel portion of the chloroplast H<sup>+</sup> ATPase. *FEBS Lett.* 258:190–194.
- Schönknecht, G., W. Junge, H. Lill, and S. Engelbrecht. 1986. Complete tracking of proton flow in thylakoids—the unit conductance of CF<sub>0</sub> is greater than 10 fS. *FEBS Lett.* 203:289–294.
- Schumaker, M. F., R. Pomès, and F. Roux. 2000. A combined molecular dynamics and diffusion model of single proton conduction through gramicidin. *Biophys. J.* 79:2840–2857.
- Seelert, H., A. Poetsch, N. A. Dencher, A. Engel, H. Stahlberg, and D. J. Mueller. 2000. Proton-powered turbine of a plant motor. *Nature*. 405:418–419.
- Senior, A. E., S. Nadanaciva, and J. Weber. 2002. The molecular mechanism of ATP synthesis by F<sub>1</sub>F<sub>0</sub>-ATP synthase. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 1553:188–211.
- Serowy, S., S. M. Saparov, Y. N. Antonenko, W. Kozlovsky, V. Hagen, and P. Pohl. 2003. Structural proton diffusion along lipid bilayers. *Biophys. J.* 84:1031–1037.
- Skerra, A., and J. Brickmann. 1987. Simulation of voltage-driven hydrated cation transport through narrow transmembrane channels. *Biophys. J.* 51: 977–983.
- Slooten, L., and A. Nuyten. 1984. Steady-state kinetics of ADP-arsenate and ATP-synthesis in *Rhodospirillum rubrum* chromatophores. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 766:88–97.
- Sone, N., T. Hamamoto, and Y. Kagawa. 1981. pH dependence of  $H^+$  conduction through the membrane moiety of the  $H^+$ -ATPase ( $F_0 \times F_1$ ) and effects of tyrosyl residue modification. *J. Biol. Chem.* 256:2873–2877.
- Stock, D., A. G. Leslie, and J. E. Walker. 1999. Molecular architecture of the rotary motor in ATP synthase. *Science*. 286:1700–1705.
- Symons, M., C. Swysen, and C. Sybesma. 1977. The light-induced carotenoid absorbance changes in *Rhodopseudomonas sphaeroides*: an

- Tsunoda, S. P., R. Aggeler, H. Noji, K. Kinosita, M. Yoshida, and R. A. Capaldi. 2000. Observations of rotation within the  $F_0F_1$ -ATP synthase: deciding between rotation of the  $F_0$  c-subunit ring and artifact. *FEBS Lett.* 470:244–248.
- Tsunoda, S. P., R. Aggeler, M. Yoshida, and R. A. Capaldi. 2001. Rotation of the *c*-subunit oligomer in fully functional F<sub>1</sub>F<sub>0</sub> ATP synthase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 98:898–902.
- Turina, P., D. Samoray, and P. Graber. 2003. H+/ATP ratio of proton transport-coupled ATP synthesis and hydrolysis catalysed by CF<sub>0</sub>F<sub>1</sub>liposomes. *EMBO J.* 22:418–426.
- Valiyaveetil, F., J. Hermolin, and R. H. Fillingame. 2002. pH-dependent inactivation of solubilized F<sub>1</sub>F<sub>0</sub> ATP synthase by dicyclohexylcarbodii-

mide: pK<sub>a</sub> of detergent unmasked aspartyl-61 in *Escherichia coli* subunit *c. Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1553:296–301.

- van Walraven, H. S., H. Strotmann, O. Schwarz, and B. Rumberg. 1996. The  $H^+/ATP$  coupling ratio of the ATP synthase from thiol-modulated chloroplasts and two cyanobacterial strains is four. *FEBS Lett.* 379: 309–313.
- Wagner, R., E. C. Apley, and W. Hanke. 1989. Single channel  $H^+$  currents through reconstituted chloroplast ATP synthase CF<sub>0</sub>-CF<sub>1</sub>. *EMBO J.* 8: 2827–2834.
- Witt, H. T., E. Schlodder, and P. Gräber. 1977. Conformational change, ATP generation and turnover rate of the chloroplast ATPase analyzed by energization with an external electric field. *Dev. Bioenerg. Biomembr.* 1:447–457.
- Zhang, J., and P. R. Unwin. 2002. Proton diffusion at phospholipid assemblies. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124:2379–2383.