Theory of Optical Spectra of Photosystem II Reaction Centers: Location of the Triplet State and the Identity of the Primary Electron Donor

Grzegorz Raszewski, Wolfram Saenger, and Thomas Renger Institut für Chemie (Kristallographie), Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany

ABSTRACT Based on the structural analysis of photosystem II of *Thermosynechococcus elongatus*, a detailed calculation of optical properties of reaction-center (D1–D2) complexes is presented applying a theory developed previously. The calculations of absorption, linear dichroism, circular dichroism, fluorescence spectra, all at 6 K, and the temperature-dependence of the absorption spectrum are used to extract the local optical transition energies of the reaction-center pigments, the so-called site energies, from experimental data. The site energies are verified by calculations and comparison with seven additional independent experiments. Exciton relaxation and primary electron transfer in the reaction center are studied using the site energies. The calculations are used to interpret transient optical data. Evidence is provided for the accessory chlorophyll of the D1-branch as being the primary electron donor and the location of the triplet state at low temperatures.

INTRODUCTION

It is a challenging task to understand how excitation energy and electron transfer reactions are triggered in photosystem II (PS-II). The recent progress in structural analysis of PS-II at 3.5 Å resolution (Ferreira et al., 2004) and at 3.2 Å resolution (Biesiadka et al., 2004) revealed the orientations of optical transition dipole moments of the reaction-center (RC) pigments that are bound in the D1–D2 subunits. The orientations and the number of chlorophylls in the antenna subunits CP43 and CP47 are less well defined. Critical parameters for the modeling of excitation energy transfer are the optical transition energies of the pigments in their local protein environments. Those so-called site energies of the pigments can be obtained from the fit of optical spectra. We present results for the D1-D2 reaction-center complex that contains six chlorophylls and two pheophytins as shown in Fig. 1. The six pigments in the center, i.e., the two specialpair pigments P_{D1} and P_{D2}, the two accessory chlorophylls Acc_{D1} and Acc_{D2} , and the two pheophytins $Pheo_{D1}$ and Pheo_{D2}, will be denoted as core pigments in the following.

Another difference of the new structural data (Ferreira et al., 2004; Biesiadka et al., 2004) with respect to the earlier data with lower resolution (Zouni et al., 2001) is that the distance between the central special-pair chlorophylls P_{D1} and P_{D2} is smaller than originally found (Zouni et al., 2001) and this smaller distance leads to a coupling that is larger by a factor of 2–3 than the coupling between any other two pigments in the RC, whereas the previous data gave similar couplings (Renger and Marcus, 2002b). As a result, the

Submitted July 22, 2004, and accepted for publication November 15, 2004.

© 2005 by the Biophysical Society 0006-3495/05/02/986/13 \$2.00

multimer model (Durrant et al., 1995; Renger and Marcus, 2002b; Barter et al., 2003) that assumes equal site energies for the pigments has to be reevaluated, and different site energies have to be assumed to describe the spectra. In particular, the assignment of optical transition dipoles in the new data (Ferreira et al., 2004; Biesiadka et al., 2004) allows for a more quantitative description of optical experiments.

As in the bacterial reaction center (bRC), electron transfer in PS-II is known (Shkuropatov et al., 1997, 1999) to proceed only along one of the two branches, which are related by a pseudo-twofold rotation symmetry. However, an important difference between these two types of RCs is that only the PS-II RC can create a high enough redox potential for the splitting of water and the evolution of oxygen that forms the basis for life. Neither the reasons nor the consequences of the high redox potential of PS-II RC are well understood. One reason for the higher redox potential is likely to be the somewhat smaller overlap of the two specialpair chlorophyll wave-functions that leads to a more localized cation state in PS-II than in bRC.

A consequence of the high redox potential is that the PS-II RC cannot use carotenoids for photoprotection, i.e., the quenching of chlorophyll triplet states, because the carotenoids would be oxidized if they were in close-enough proximity to the RC chlorophylls for Dexter-type triplet transfer. An alternative quenching mechanism for the triplet states concerns the quenching by the singly reduced quinone Q_A (van Mieghem et al., 1995; Noguchi, 2002). This quenching is more efficient in PS-II than in bRC, because in the latter the triplet state is localized at the special-pair, whereas in the former it is likely to be localized at the accessory chlorophyll of the D1-branch (van Mieghem et al., 1991), Acc_{D1} , which is closer to Q_A . The calculations presented here confirm the localization of the triplet state at Acc_{D1} .

Due to the large excitonic coupling between the two special-pair bacteriochlorophylls in bRC, the excitation

Address reprint requests to Dr. Thomas Renger, Freie Universität Berlin, Institut für Chemie (Kristallographie), Berlin D-14195, Germany. Tel.: 00-49-030-838-54907; E-mail: rth@chemie.fu-berlin.de.

Abbreviations used: Acc_{D1(D2)} accessory chlorophyll of D1(D2)-branch; Chlz_{D1(D2)}, peripheral chlorophyll of D1(D2)-branch; Pheo_{D1(D2)} pheophytin of D1(D2)-branch.



FIGURE 1 Arrangement of pigments in the PS-II RC. Numbers are the wavelengths in nanometers corresponding to the site energies of the pigments, determined as described in the text. The lower section of the figure contains an additional view on the six core pigments, obtained after a 90° rotation.

energy relaxes to the low-energy special-pair band and electron transfer starts from the special-pair. In addition, it was found (van Brederode et al., 1997, 1999b) that direct excitation of the accessory bacteriochlorophyll can lead to primary charge separation from there. The latter observation triggered a discussion on the primary donor in PS-II (van Brederode et al., 1999a; Dekker and van Grondelle, 2000; Prokhorenko and Holzwarth, 2000; Diner et al., 2001; Diner and Rappaport, 2002; Barter et al., 2003), since in PS-II the excitonic coupling in the special-pair is much weaker than in the bRC and, therefore, the lowest excited state in the PS-II RC is not necessarily localized at the special-pair. In fact, fluorescence line-narrowing spectra at 5 K (Peterman et al., 1998) contain signatures of a carbonyl stretch vibration at 1669 cm⁻¹ which was assigned by static and time-resolved infrared spectroscopy to Acc_{D1} (Noguchi et al., 1993, 1998, 2001). In the light of the latter finding and the structural similarity between the two types of RCs, it may be expected that the detour of electron transfer starting at the accessory bacteriochlorophyll in bRC becomes the main primary electron transfer event in PS-II. The present calculations support this idea.

An interesting prediction of a previous multimer model (Merry et al., 1996) is the existence of two low energy exciton states, which are localized on the two different branches of the RC. This model was used to explain the relatively slow relaxation times that were measured in transient anisotropy experiments (Merry et al., 1996) at room temperature. Consistent with this idea, the decrease of the quantum yield of fluorescence between 4 K and 70 K (Groot et al., 1994) was explained by assuming that trap states localized on the electron transfer inactive branch are responsible for fluorescence and that those states are thermally activated and quenched by charge transfer upon raising the temperature (Groot et al., 1994). The present calculations do not support the latter idea. Instead, they are consistent with the suggestion (Peterman et al., 1998) that a certain fraction of the RCs is unable to perform charge separation, and fluoresces. With respect to the anisotropy decay (Merry et al., 1996), the calculations suggest that it is due to excitation energy transfer between Pheo_{D2} and Acc_{D1}.

METHODS

Theory of optical spectra

A theory of linear optical spectra is used that was recently developed by one of us (Renger and Marcus, 2002a). The theory takes into account an exciton relaxation-induced lifetime broadening and vibrational sidebands that are due to the coupling of optical transitions to vibrational degrees of freedom described by a spectral density. A representation in terms of partly delocalized excited states (exciton states) of the complex is used. The exciton coefficients $c_{\rm m}^{({\rm M})}$ and energies $\varepsilon_{\rm M}$ are obtained by a diagonalization procedure from the excitonic (Coulomb) couplings between localized excited states and the site energies of the pigments, as usual (e.g., Renger et al., 2001). The excitonic couplings obtained from the structural data (Biesiadka et al., 2004) within the transition monopole method (Chang, 1977) are shown in Table 1. We note that applying a simple point-dipole approximation results in a 50-cm⁻¹ larger special-pair coupling, whereas for the remaining couplings the point-dipole and transition monopole approximations give very similar values. Linear optical spectra are characterized by the lineshape function $D_{\rm M}(\omega)$ of the transition between the ground state and the M^{th} exciton state,

$$D_{\rm M}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{\mathrm{i}(\omega - \tilde{\omega}_{\rm M})t} e^{\mathrm{G}_{\rm M}(t) - \mathrm{G}_{\rm M}(0)} e^{-|t|/\tau_{\rm M}} \qquad (1)$$

(Renger and Marcus, 2002a), where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{M}$ is the transition frequency that takes into account reorganization effects due to the exciton-vibrational coupling

TABLE 1Calculated couplings in transition monopoleapproximation, obtained using the transition monopoles ofChang (1977), in units of cm⁻¹ and the 3.2 Å resolution structuraldata of Biesiadka et al. (2004) (file 1W5C.pdb in the BrookhavenProtein Data Bank)

	P_{D2}	Acc_{D1}	Acc_{D2}	Pheo _{D1}	Pheo _{D2}	$Chlz_{D1}$	Chlz _{D2}
P _{D1}	158	-29	-58	-3	11	1	1
P _{D2}		-59	-27	14	-5	1	1
Acc_{D1}			8	55	-3	3	0
Acc_{D2}				3	66	0	2
Pheo _{D1}					2	-3	0
Pheo _{D2}						0	-4
Chlz _{D1}							0

The following effective dipole strengths have been assumed: 4.0 D for Chls and 3.1 for Pheos.

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\mathrm{M}} = \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathrm{M}} - \gamma_{\mathrm{MM}} E_{\lambda} / \hbar + \sum_{\mathrm{K}} (1 - \delta_{\mathrm{MK}}) \gamma_{\mathrm{MK}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}^{(\mathrm{Im})}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathrm{MK}}).$$
(2)

Here, $\omega_M = \varepsilon_M/\hbar$ is the vertical transition energy at the minimum position of the ground state potential energy surface, E_λ is the reorganization energy,

$$E_{\lambda}/\hbar = \int_0^{\infty} \omega \, \omega J(\omega), \qquad (3)$$

and $\tilde{C}^{(\mathrm{Im})}(\omega_{\mathrm{MK}})$ is the imaginary part of $\tilde{C}(\omega)$,

$$C(\omega) = \pi \omega^{2} \{ (1 + n(\omega))J(\omega) + n(-\omega)J(-\omega) \} + i\frac{1}{\pi} \wp \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\bar{\omega} \frac{\tilde{C}^{(\text{Re})}(\bar{\omega})}{\omega - \bar{\omega}}$$
(4)

(Renger and May, 2000), where $\omega = \omega_{\rm MK} = (\varepsilon_{\rm M} - \varepsilon_{\rm K})/\hbar$ and $\tilde{C}^{\rm (Re)}(\bar{\omega})$ is the first part on the right-hand side of Eq. 4 with $\omega = \bar{\omega}$. The $\gamma_{\rm MK}$ in Eq. 2 is an exciton-vibrational coupling constant that depends on the eigencoefficients $c_{\rm m}^{\rm (M)}$ of exciton states and on the correlation radius of protein vibrations $R_{\rm c}$ (Renger et al., 2001),

$$\gamma_{\rm MK} = \sum_{\rm m,n} e^{-R_{\rm mn}/R_{\rm c}} c_{\rm m}^{\rm (M)} c_{\rm m}^{\rm (K)} c_{\rm n}^{\rm (M)} c_{\rm n}^{\rm (K)}.$$
(5)

A value of $R_c = 5$ Å is used in the present calculations that was determined from time-resolved pump-probe spectra (Renger and Marcus, 2002b). (The frequency domain spectra studied here do not depend critically on the value of R_c .) The time-dependent function $G_M(t)$ in Eq. 1 is

$$G_{\rm M}(t) = \gamma_{\rm MM} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-i\omega t} \{ (1+n(\omega))J(\omega) + n(-\omega)J(-\omega) \}$$
(6)

(Renger and Marcus, 2002a), and the reciprocal of the dephasing time $\tau_{\rm M}$ in Eq. 1 is

$$\tau_{\rm M}^{-1} = \sum_{\rm K} \gamma_{\rm MK} \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}^{(\rm Re)}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm MK}). \tag{7}$$

The temperature-dependence of the optical lineshape function $D_{\rm M}(\omega)$ is given by the mean number of vibrational quanta excited at a given temperature $n(\omega)$ $= (e^{\hbar\omega/kT} - 1)^{-1}$ entering Eq. 1 via Eqs. 4 and 6. The spectral density $J(\omega)$ in Eqs. 4 and 6 was extracted (Renger and Marcus, 2002a) from the 1.6-K fluorescence line-narrowing spectra of B777-complexes, measured by Creemers et al. (1999), as

$$J(\omega) = \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{s_i}{7! 2\omega_i^4} \omega^3 e^{-(\omega/\omega_i)^{1/2}}.$$
 (8)

The numerical coefficient in Eq. 8 was chosen so that $\int_0^{\infty} d\omega J(\omega)$ equals the Huang-Rhys factor, $s_1 + s_2$. The extracted parameters are $s_1 = 0.8$, $s_2 = 0.5$, $\hbar\omega_1 = 0.069$ meV, and $\hbar\omega_2 = 0.24$ meV. The maxima of the two contributions in Eq. 8 occur at frequencies 36 ω_i , i.e., at 20 and 70 cm⁻¹. In the present calculation for PSII complexes we used the same functional form as in Eq. 8 but, as determined from the temperature-dependence of absorption spectra discussed below, rescaled the Huang-Rhys factor $S = s_1 + s_2$ by a factor of 0.5; i.e., we set $s_1 = 0.4$, $s_2 = 0.25$.

The lineshape function $D_{\rm M}(\omega)$ enters the expression for circular dichroism $CD(\omega) \propto \left\langle \sum_{\rm M} r_{\rm M} D_{\rm M}(\omega) \right\rangle_{\rm dis}$, linear absorption $\alpha(\omega) \propto \left\langle \sum_{\rm M} |\mu_{\rm M}|^2 D_{\rm M}(\omega) \right\rangle_{\rm dis}$, and linear dichroism $LD(\omega) \propto \left\langle \sum_{\rm M} (|\mu_{\rm M}|^2/2)(1 - 3\cos(\theta_{\rm M})) D_{\rm M}(\omega) \right\rangle_{\rm dis}$. The above expressions use the rotational strength $r_{\rm M}$, the square of the transition dipole moment of the *M*th exciton state $\vec{\mu}_{\rm M}$, and the angle $\theta_{\rm M}$ of $\vec{\mu}_{\rm M}$ with the normal of the membrane. The $\langle \dots \rangle_{\rm dis}$ is an average over static disorder in site energies. A Gaussian distribution function of width (full width at half-maximum), $\Delta_{\rm dis}$, is assumed for the site energies, and the disorder average is performed numerically by a Monte Carlo method. The fluorescence signal is obtained as described in detail elsewhere (Renger and Marcus, 2002a).

In the calculation of triplet-minus-singlet (T-S) spectra, the triplet absorption spectrum of the complex is calculated by neglecting the oscillator strength and excitonic couplings of the pigment, where the triplet state is localized. For the absorption spectra that involve a reduced (oxidized) pigment, in addition to neglecting the oscillator strength and excitonic couplings of that pigment, electrochromic shifts in site energies of the remaining pigments are taken into account in the following way. For the reduced (oxidized) pigment a negative (positive) elementary charge is evenly distributed over the atoms of the π -system of this pigment and the electrochromic transition energy shift ΔE of another pigment in the ground state is calculated as the interaction energy of the atomic partial charges δq_i of the reduced (oxidized) pigment and the vector $\Delta \vec{\mu}$ of the pigment in the ground state. The vector $\Delta \vec{\mu} = \vec{\mu}_e - \vec{\mu}_g$ denotes the change in permanent dipole moments of the excited and ground states, which is known (Krawczyk, 1991) for chlorophylls to be oriented approximately in the direction of $N_{\rm B} \rightarrow N_{\rm D}$ and to have a magnitude of ~1 D. The electrochromic shift is given as $\Delta E = (1/4\pi\epsilon_{\text{eff}})\sum_i \delta q_i (\vec{r}_i/r_i^3) \Delta \vec{\mu}$, where ϵ_{eff} is an effective dielectric constant and \vec{r}_i is a vector between the centers of the pigment in the ground state and the reduced (oxidized) pigment.

Extraction of site energies

A genetic algorithm is used to obtain the site energies of the six chlorophylls (Chls) and two pheophytins (Pheos) in D1–D2 complexes. The genetic algorithm works as follows:

- 1. A random set of site energies is generated N_s times.
- 2. From a simultaneous calculation of absorption, linear dichroism, circular dichroism and fluorescence spectra, and comparison with experimental data, a ranking of the N_s sets of site energies is obtained.
- 3. Two different types of genetic operations are performed to optimize the site energies, point mutations, and crossing over. For point mutation, one or two randomly chosen site energies of randomly selected pigments are varied in a random way within a certain limit. In the crossing-over operation, two sets of site energies with intermediate ranking are selected. A pigment number i_p is randomly chosen and serves as a border for crossing-over of site energies: The site energies of all pigments *i* with $i < i_p$ of the first set are exchanged with the respective site energies of the second set. The number of crossing-over operations is ~10% of N_{s} .
- 4. From the modified sets of site energies new spectra are calculated and a new ranking is obtained. The two best sets from the previous ranking are included in the present one.
- 5. Points 3–4 are repeated until the genetic operations no longer lead to changes in the first place of the ranking for a certain number (\approx 100) of cycles.

Theory of excitation energy transfer

For the description of excitation energy transfer among the six core pigments an harmonic oscillator version (Renger and Marcus, 2003) of the modified Redfield theory is used, which was originally derived for Brownian oscillators (Zhang et al., 1998a). The rate constant for exciton relaxation between delocalized exciton states M and N, which includes the diagonal part of the exciton-vibrational coupling nonperturbatively, reads

$$k_{\mathrm{M}\to\mathrm{N}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \, e^{i\omega_{\mathrm{MN}}\tau} e^{\phi_{\mathrm{MN}}(\tau) - \phi_{\mathrm{MN}}(0)} \\ \times \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{\mathrm{MN}}}{\hbar} + G_{\mathrm{MN}}(\tau) \right)^2 + F_{\mathrm{MN}}(\tau) \right], \qquad (9)$$

(Renger and Marcus, 2003), where the λ_{MN} and the time-dependent functions $\phi_{MN}(\tau)$, $G_{MN}(\tau)$, and $F_{MN}(\tau)$ are related to the exciton coefficients and the spectral density as shown in the Appendix.

For the description of exciton transfer between the localized excited states of the two peripheral chlorophylls (Chlz) and the six core pigments a modified Förster theory is used (Fetisova et al., 1996; Sumi, 1999). The rate constant $k_{n\to M}$ for transfer between the localized excited state *n* of a Chlz and a delocalized state *M* of the six core pigments is then calculated from

$$k_{\mathrm{n}\to\mathrm{M}} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar^2} |V_{\mathrm{n}\mathrm{M}}|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega D_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{n})}(\omega) D_{\mathrm{M}}(\omega), \qquad (10)$$

where the lineshape function $D_{\rm M}(\omega)$ of exciton state absorption is given in Eq. 1 and the fluorescence lineshape function of the localized donor state $D_{\rm I}^{(n)}(\omega)$ is

$$D_{\rm I}^{\rm (n)}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{-i(\omega - \tilde{\omega}_{\rm n})t} e^{{\rm G}(t) - {\rm G}(0)}$$
(11)

(Lax, 1952; May and Kühn, 2000), with $G(t) = G_{\rm M}(t)/\gamma_{\rm MM}$, using Eq. 6, and the $0 \rightarrow 0$ transition energy $\hbar \tilde{\omega}_n = \hbar \omega_n - E_{\lambda}$ that follows from the site energy $\hbar \omega_n$ of pigment *n* and the reorganization energy E_{λ} in Eq. 3. The excitonic coupling between local state *n* and extended state *M*, $V_{\rm nM}$, in Eq. 10 is expressed in terms of local couplings $V_{\rm nm}$ and exciton coefficients $c_{\rm m}^{({\rm M})}$ as $V_{\rm nM} = \sum_{\rm m} V_{\rm nm} c_{\rm m}^{({\rm M})}$ (Fetisova et al., 1996).

Disorder-averaged lifetimes and pigment contributions

The probability $P_{\omega}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ that an exciton state with energy $\hbar \omega$ has a lifetime τ that obeys $\tau_1 < \tau < \tau_2$ is given as

$$P_{\omega}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) = \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} d\tau \frac{\left\langle \sum_{M} \delta(\tau - t_{M}) \delta(\omega - \omega_{M}) \right\rangle_{dis}}{\left\langle \sum_{M} \delta(\omega - \omega_{M}) \right\rangle_{dis}} \quad (12)$$

(Renger and Marcus, 2002b), where $\langle ... \rangle_{dis}$ denotes an average over disorder in site energies and t_M is the lifetime of exciton state M, obtained from the inverse of $t_M^{-1} = \sum_N k_{M \to N}$, using Eq. 9 for the 6 core pigments. For the two Chlz localized excited states are assumed and the sale constant in Eq. 10 is used, instead.

The density of exciton state M is calculated from

$$d_{\rm M}(\omega) = \left\langle \delta(\omega - \omega_{\rm M}) \right\rangle_{\rm dis}.$$
 (13)

To learn about the contributions of a pigment, say m, to the different exciton states we define the exciton states pigment distribution as

$$d_{\rm m}(\omega) = \left\langle \sum_{\rm M} |c_{\rm m}^{(\rm M)}|^2 \,\delta(\omega - \omega_{\rm M}) \right\rangle_{\rm dis}.$$
 (14)

Calculation of primary electron transfer

In the calculation of electron transfer it is assumed that at an initial time zero the overall population of exciton states of the six core pigments is unity and that there is a thermal population of exciton states before electron transfer. The decay rate constant k_{et} due to electron transfer then is

$$k_{\rm et} = \sum_{\rm M} \frac{e^{-\varepsilon_{\rm M}/\rm kT}}{\sum_{\rm N} e^{-\varepsilon_{\rm N}/\rm kT}} e^{-\varepsilon_{\rm N}/\rm kT} |c_{\rm donor}^{\rm (M)}|^2 k_{\rm intr},$$
(15)

where ε_M is the energy of exciton state M, $|c_{donor}^{(M)}|^2$ is the probability of the primary electron donor pigment to be excited in exciton state M, and k_{intr} is the intrinsic rate constant for electron transfer between the primary donor and its neighbor. The decay of exciton population is then obtained as

 $\langle e^{-k_{et}} \rangle_{dis}$, where $\langle ... \rangle_{dis}$ denotes an average over disorder in site energies. The intrinsic rate constant k_{intr} is assumed to be independent on disorder.

RESULTS

Extraction of the parameters

The site energies and the inhomogeneous width were determined from a simultaneous fit of the linear absorption, circular dichroism, linear dichroism, and fluorescence spectra, all at 6 K, measured by Germano et al. (2000, 2001). Fig. 2 compares the experimental data with calculated spectra which were obtained using the mean site energies shown in Fig. 1, and an inhomogeneous width (full width at half-maximum) of 180 cm^{-1} for all site energies. A Huang-Rhys factor S = 0.65was determined from the fit of the spectra in Fig. 2 and in addition from the fit of the temperature-dependence of linear absorption, measured by Germano et al. (2001) at 6 K and by Konermann and Holzwarth (1996) at 10 K, 77 K, 150 K, and 277 K, shown in Fig. 3. The quality of the fit could be somewhat improved by assuming a temperature-dependence of the site energy of Acc_{D1}, shifting from 678 nm at 6 K to 675 nm at 277 K. This result is supported by calculations of T-S spectra, discussed below.

Calculation of independent spectra

In the following the parameters extracted from the fit of optical spectra in Figs. 2 and 3 are used to calculate independent spectra and to compare them with experiments.

In Fig. 4 the 10 K T-S spectra obtained when the triplet is assumed to be located either on P_{D1} or on Acc_{D1} are compared with experimental data (Germano et al., 2001). It is clearly seen that locating the triplet at Acc_{D1} gives excellent agreement with experimental data, whereas a triplet location at P_{D1} cannot explain the experiment.

Recently, Germano et al. (2001, 2000) replaced the pheophytin of the D2-branch (Pheo_{D2}) by a chemically modified pheophytin and measured linear absorption, circular, and linear dichroism of the modified D1–D2 complexes at 6 K. The modified pheophytin absorbs in solution 13 nm to the blue of the native pheophytin. Since this shift can be expected to be different in a protein environment it was treated as a parameter in the calculation of the spectra. All of the spectra of the modified D1-D2 complexes can be well described within the present model, assuming a 23-nm blueshift of the site energy of the modified pheophytin. In Fig. 5 A the absorption difference between modified and native D1-D2 complexes is compared with experimental data (Germano et al., 2001). Excellent agreement is obtained for the main bleaching occurring in theory and experiment at 679 nm.

In Fig. 5 B, the absorption difference calculated for the case that both pheophytins were exchanged is compared to experimental data by Germano et al. (2001, 2000).



FIGURE 2 Absorption (OD), circular dichroism (CD), and fluorescence and linear dichroism (LD) spectra of D1–D2 complexes at 6 K. Solid lines show calculations obtained for the optimized site energies given in Fig. 1. Circles are the experimental data (Germano et al., 2001, 2000).

In Fig. 5 *C*, the absorption difference for reduced pheophytin of the D1-branch (Pheo_{D1}) is compared with experimental data by Vacha et al. (2002). Without including electrochromic shifts of site energies, the dashed line in Fig. 5 *C* is obtained, which can describe the experimental main bleaching at \sim 680 nm but does not contain the positive band at 675 nm in the experiment. Inclusion of electrochromic shifts of site energies, using an effective dielectric constant



FIGURE 3 Temperature-dependence of absorption spectra of D1–D2 complexes. Asterisks correspond to the experimental data by Germano et al. (2001) at 6 K, circles show the experiments by Konermann and Holzwarth (1996) at 10 K, 77 K, 150 K, and 277 K, solid lines are the spectra calculated taking into account a temperature-dependence of the site energy of Acc_{D1} (678 nm at 6K/10K, 677.5 nm at 77 K, 677 nm at 150 K, and 675 nm at 277 K), and dashed lines are calculations with constant site energy of Acc_{D1} (678 nm). The spectra at different temperatures have been shifted vertically by adding a constant for better visibility.

 $\epsilon_{\rm eff} = 1.5$, gives agreement between calculated and experimental spectra.

In Fig. 5 *D* the absorption difference for reduced Pheo_{D1} and oxidized P_{D1} at 10 K (assuming $\epsilon_{eff} = 1.5$ as before) is compared with experimental data by van Kan et al. (1990). The theory predicts a main bleaching ~680 nm, as observed in the experiments. The high energy shoulder ~670 nm in the experimental bleaching appears as a separate peak in the theory.

In Fig. 5 *E*, calculated T-S spectra at 277 K are compared with experimental data by Durrant et al. (1990). The agreement between theory and experiment can be improved by assuming a thermal distribution of the triplet state between Acc_{D1} and P_{D1} according to an energy difference of 10 meV between the two triplet states, Acc_{D1}^3 and P_{D1}^3 . The



FIGURE 4 10-K triplet-minus-singlet (T-S) spectrum. Circles are experimental values by Germano et al. (2001), solid line is calculation assuming triplet localized at Acc_{D1} , and dashed line assuming triplet localization at P_{D1} .



FIGURE 5 (A) 5-K absorption difference spectrum with modified Pheo_{D2}. Solid line is calculation; circles are experimental values (Germano et al., 2001). (B) 5-K absorption difference spectrum with modified Pheo_{D1} and Pheo_{D2}. Solid line is calculation; circles are experimental values (Germano et al., 2001). (C) 77-K absorption difference spectrum with reduced Pheo_{D1}. Solid line is calculation, circles are experimental values (Vacha et al., 2002), and dashed line shows calculation without electrochromic shifts. (D) Calculation (solid line) of 10-K absorption difference spectrum with reduced Pheo_{D1} and oxidized P_{D1}, comparison with experimental data (van Kan et al., 1990). (E) 277-K T-S spectrum, circles are experimental values (Durrant et al., 1990), dotted line shows calculation for triplet localized at Acc_{D1}, dashed line for triplet localized at PD1, and solid line for a mixture of both according to a thermal population of triplet states (for details, see text). (F)77-K absorption and difference spectra of RC-6 and RC-5 preparations (for explanation, see text). Solid (RC-6) and dotted (RC-5) lines are calculations, triangles, and circles are experimental values (Vacha et al., 1995); dashed line and squares are theoretical and experimental difference spectra, RC-5-RC-6.

temperature-dependence of the site energy of Acc_{D1} determined in Fig. 3 was taken into account and was crucial for the agreement between theory and experiment. (Neglecting this temperature-dependent shift results in a 2-nm red-shift of the main bleaching.)

In Fig. 5 *F*, calculated absorption spectra of complexes that lack one of the Chlz (RC-5) are compared with data measured by Vacha et al. (1995). In both cases, assuming that either Chlz_{D1} or Chlz_{D2} is absent, good agreement is obtained between experiment and theory. In the experimental absorption difference spectrum between RC-5 and the complex with six chlorophylls (RC-6, Fig. 1), in addition to the main bleaching at 667 nm, a small additional bleaching is observed between 685 and 690 nm that is not obtained in the calculations.

Comparison of different theories

The present theory of linear optical spectra (Renger and Marcus, 2002a) contains other theories (Ohta et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 1998b) as limiting cases. If the reorganization effects due to the off-diagonal part of the exciton-vibrational coupling that shift the exciton energy in Eq. 2 (the last term in this equation) are neglected, an earlier theory by Ohta et al. (2001) is obtained. If, in addition, the dephasing due to exciton relaxation is neglected, by setting $\tau_{\rm M}^{-1} = 0$ in Eq. 1 an earlier lineshape function of Zhang et al. (1998b) is recovered. All these theories contain the diagonal part of the exciton-vibrational coupling nonperturbatively and are capable of describing vibrational sidebands in the spectra.

The different theories are compared in Fig. 6 (*left*), where the 6-K absorption spectra calculated, using the parameters determined above, are shown together with experimental data (Germano et al., 2001). For the present system the neglect of reorganization effects due to the off-diagonal part of the exciton-vibrational coupling leads to a shift and change of intensity of the two main bands, whereas the exciton relaxation-induced dephasing only gives minor corrections.



FIGURE 6 Comparison of different theories of linear absorption (explanation is given in the text).

If a Markov approximation is applied, the lineshape function becomes $D_{\rm M}(\omega) = \tau_{\rm M}^{-1}/((\omega - \tilde{\omega}_{\rm M})^2 + \tau_{\rm M}^{-2})$ (e.g., Renger and Marcus, 2002a) and the solid line in Fig. 6 (*right*) is obtained. The neglect of vibrational sidebands leads to the discrepancy between theory and experiment on the highenergy side of the spectrum. If, in addition, the reorganization effects are neglected by setting $\tilde{\omega}_{\rm M} = \omega_{\rm M}$, an overall blue-shift of the spectrum results and the relative intensities of the two main bands change (Fig. 6, *right, dashed line*).

Delocalization of excited states and excitation energy transfer

The densities of exciton states $d_{\rm M}(\omega)$, in Eq. 13, of the six core pigments are compared in Fig. 7 with the exciton states distribution of the six core pigments $d_{\rm m}(\omega)$, Eq. 14. The two lowest exciton states M = 1 and 2 are dominated by contributions from Acc_{D1} and Pheo_{D2}, respectively, as seen in Fig. 7 (*top*). The special-pair pigments P_{D1} and P_{D2} contribute in equal parts to exciton states M = 3 and M = 6. The fourth and fifth exciton states are dominated by Pheo_{D1} and Acc_{D2}, respectively.



FIGURE 7 Density of exciton states $d_{\rm M}(\omega)$ and exciton states pigment distributions $d_{\rm m}(\omega)$ of the six core pigments.



FIGURE 8 Calculation of disorder averaged exciton lifetimes at 6 K (*top*) and at room temperature (*bottom*). (*Left*) For the six core pigments; (*right*) including also the two peripheral chlorophylls Chlz_{D1}, Chlz_{D2}. Inset (*top left*) shows subpicosecond lifetimes: $\tau < 100$ fs (*dotted line*), 100 fs $< \tau < 500$ fs (*solid line*), and 500 fs $< \tau < 1$ ps (*dashed line*).

In Fig. 8 (top left), the exciton lifetimes for the six core pigments calculated at 6 K are shown. Except for the red part of the spectrum, where lifetimes between 1 and 10 ps are found, ultrafast subpicosecond exciton-state lifetimes are obtained. The inset shows the distribution of subpicosecond lifetimes. For wavelengths shorter than 670 nm, sub-100-fs lifetimes dominate (dotted line); between 670 and 680 nm, there are strong 100-500 fs components (besides the sub-100-fs lifetimes), and between 680 and 685 nm, the subpicosecond lifetimes are in the range of 500 fs to 1 ps. At low temperatures, shown for the core pigments in Fig. 8 (bottom *left*), subpicosecond relaxation times dominate in the blue part of the spectrum and picosecond lifetimes are obtained between 675 and 685 nm. In Fig. 8 (right), the lifetimes are shown that are obtained including Chlz_{D1} and Chlz_{D2}. In this case, at both temperatures, additional lifetimes in the range between 10 ps and 100 ps are found \sim 670 nm, where Chlz_{D1} and Chlz_{D2} absorb. Electron transfer was not included for simplicity but will be investigated below.

Calculation of primary electron transfer

In Fig. 9 the decay of exciton population due to electron transfer is calculated for different temperatures, and assuming that the primary electron donor is either P_{D1} (*top*) or Acc_{D1} (*bottom*). The decay rates obtained at room temperature are in the same order of magnitude for the two cases considered. When the temperature is lowered to 7 K the decay rate increases if Acc_{D1} is assumed to be the primary donor and the rate decreases drastically when P_{D1} is the primary donor. At low temperature the decay becomes mul-



FIGURE 9 Calculation of exciton population decay at 7 K (*solid lines*) and at room temperature (*dashed lines*) due to primary electron transfer. (*Top*) Assuming primary donor is P_{D1}; (*bottom*) assuming primary donor is cc_{D1}.

tiexponential. In the calculations a temperature-independent intrinsic charge separation rate constant $k_{intr} = (2 \text{ ps})^{-1}$ was assumed.

DISCUSSION

Character of excited states

The largest site energies are obtained for P_{D1} and P_{D2} and the lowest one for Acc_{D1}. As a consequence of this difference in site energies that is larger than the coupling in the specialpair, the lowest exciton state is not dominated by the specialpair as in bRC but by Acc_{D1} (Fig. 7, *top*). This result is in agreement with fluorescence line-narrowing (Peterman et al., 1998) and infrared spectroscopic studies (Noguchi et al., 2001), discussed in the Introduction. Similarly, because of its second lowest site energy, Pheo_{D2} dominates the second lowest exciton state.

The strong coupling between P_{D1} and P_{D2} gives rise to two exciton states at 675 and 660 nm which are delocalized over the two pigments, as seen in Fig. 7 (*center*). In this respect the P_{D1}/P_{D2} dimer is still a special-pair as its counterpart in bRC. The high-energy exciton state of the special-pair carries only very little oscillator strength, as can be judged from the absorption spectrum in Fig. 2 (*top left*).

Although the remaining exciton states are dominated by single pigments, there is still delocalization as it becomes obvious in Fig. 7 by the additional peaks in the exciton states pigment distributions $d_{\rm m}(\omega)$. For example from the $d_{\text{Pheo}_{D1}}(\omega)$ in Fig. 7 (bottom), it is seen that Pheo_{D1} contributes mainly to an exciton state at 672 nm (M = 4) but that it also participates in the lowest exciton state (M = 1). Overall, the delocalization of excited states of the core pigments in the present model is lower than in the previous multimer model of equal site energies (Durrant et al., 1995; Renger and Marcus, 2002b) and larger than in a model that assumes delocalization only in the special-pair (Konermann and Holzwarth, 1996). We note that the new quantity, the exciton states pigment distribution $d_{\rm m}(\omega)$ in Eq. 14 is a simple and intuitive way to illustrate the delocalization of excited states in the presence of disorder.

The excited states of the peripheral chlorophylls are localized and carry the oscillator strength of monomeric chlorophyll. The stronger coupling between the six core pigments redistributes their oscillator strength to the red side of the spectrum, as found earlier (Durrant et al., 1995; Renger and Marcus, 2002b). Therefore, there is a large contribution from Chlz in the blue part of the absorption spectrum of D1–D2 RC-complexes.

The temperature-dependence of the site energy of Acc_{D1} inferred from the calculation of the temperature-dependence of absorption and T-S spectra may be due to a partial charge transfer character of the excited state of Acc_{D1} . In bacterial reaction centers a 30-nm blue-shift of the low-energy absorption band is observed (Huber et al., 1998) between 15 K and room temperature. This shift was explained recently (Renger, 2004) by a dynamic localization of a mixed excited/charge transfer state.

From unconventional Stark spectra measured by Frese et al. (2003), such a charge transfer character of a state in the red side of the spectrum was suggested. Further support for this view is obtained from calculation of absorption difference spectra of PS-II core complexes (data will be presented in a forthcoming publication). In those calculations the same site energies as in D1-D2 complexes can be assumed except for Acc_{D1} which has a 3-nm red-shifted site energy in PS-II core complexes. Since charge transfer interactions depend on wavefunction overlaps of different pigments, small conformational changes of the protein, as they may occur in different preparations, can have a visible effect on the optical spectra. The small negative bleaching between 685 nm and 690 nm in the experimental difference spectrum of the preparations with five and six chlorophylls (Fig. 5 F) might have the same origin. Alternatively, it was suggested by Konermann and Holzwarth (1996) that an additional non-stoichiometrically bound chlorophyll absorbs at this wavelength.

Besides the site energies, an important parameter determined from the temperature-dependence of the absorption spectrum in Fig. 3 is the Huang-Rhys factor S = 0.65. This value is in agreement with the S = 0.7 determined from holeburning studies (Groot et al., 1996) for the lowest state and the S = 0.7 determined for Pheo_{D1} (Tang et al., 1990) and the S < 1 determined for higher energy exciton states (Jankowiak et al., 1989). Larger S-values (S = 1.6-1.9) for a low-energy exciton state have been obtained from fluorescence line-narrowing (Peterman et al., 1998) and site-selective T-S absorption spectra (Jankowiak et al., 1989; Kwa et al., 1994).

In our calculations, for simplicity, the same *S*-factor was assumed for all pigments. A more detailed description should include the mixing with charge transfer states and will lead to a larger *S*-factor of the states with partial charge transfer character (Renger, 2004).

Verification of site energies

The difference spectra in Figs. 4 and 5 *A*, *B*, and *E*, that involve the two low-energy pigments, Acc_{D1} and $Pheo_{D2}$, show a negative bleaching at ~680 nm. The difference between the site energies of these pigments and the position of the main bleaching at 680 nm is due to the excitonic coupling between the core pigments. The latter is also responsible for the positive peak occurring between 670 nm and 678 nm in the 10 K T-S spectrum and in the difference spectra with modified pheophytins.

In the case of reduced Pheo_{D1} in Fig. 5, C and D, there is a mixture of excitonic and electrochromic effects that explains why a bleaching at 681 nm is found, although the site energy of $Pheo_{D1}$ is at 672 nm. By reducing $Pheo_{D1}$ the change in excitonic coupling to Acc_{D1} leads to a blue-shift of the low-energy exciton band, which is dominated by Acc_{D1} , causing the main bleaching at 681 nm in the difference spectrum. We note that the contribution of $Pheo_{D1}$ in the lowest exciton state (and hence its excitonic coupling with Acc_{D1}) is seen also in Fig. 7 (bottom). The bleaching of an exciton state at 671 nm, which is dominated by Pheo_{D1}, is compensated by electrochromic effects in the difference spectrum with reduced Pheo_{D1} in Fig. 5 C. In the spectrum with reduced $Pheo_{D1}$ and oxidized P_{D1} in Fig. 5 D this bleaching appears in the theoretical spectrum as a separate peak and in the experimental spectrum as a shoulder. The value of $\epsilon_{\rm eff} = 1.5$ used in the calculation of electrochromic shifts in site energies is smaller than the $\epsilon_{\rm eff} = 4.5 - 4.7$ for the electron transfer active L-branch of bRC but similar to the $\epsilon_{\rm eff} = 1.5 - 1.6$ for the electron transfer-inactive M-branch of bRC reported by Steffen et al. (1994).

The localization of the triplet at Acc_{D1} at low temperatures in Fig. 4 agrees with an earlier prediction of van Mieghem et al. (1991), who inferred from EPR measurements that the triplet is localized on a pigment with a chlorin plane that is tilted by 30° with respect to the membrane. The verification and specification of this earlier proposal (van Mieghem et al., 1991) by calculations that are based on parameters extracted from independent experiments is a main result of the present article. The calculations in Fig. 4 prove both the site energy of Acc_{D1} and the localization of the triplet state at this pigment, at low temperatures.

The thermally activated hopping of the triplet between Acc_{D1} and P_{D1} at higher temperatures in Fig. 5 F is in agreement with FTIR measurements (Noguchi et al., 1993), EPR measurements (Kamlowski et al., 1996), and T-S spectra on mutants (Diner et al., 2001). For the energy difference of the two triplet states, Acc_{D1}^3 and P_{D1}^3 , values of 8 meV (Noguchi et al., 1993) and 13 meV (Kamlowski et al., 1996) were inferred from the temperature-dependence of the spectra. The present value of 10 meV is in between those two earlier values. The contribution due to the triplet state at P_{D1} , provides evidence for the site energy of the special-pair pigments P_{D1} and P_{D2} , which give rise to an exciton state \sim 675 nm (compare to Fig. 7) that is bleached upon triplet formation at P_{D1} and leads to the high energy shoulder in the spectrum in Fig. 5 E. Additional support for this assignment is obtained from difference spectra of PS-II core complexes with oxidized P_{D1} (data will be presented in a future publication).

The experimental and calculated difference spectra, RC-5–RC-6 in Fig. 5 F, show a main bleaching ~667 nm, which agrees with the site energies assigned for the two Chlz. Since the excited states of the Chlz are localized, the bleaching occurs at the position of their site energy. There is support for the present assignment of nearly equal site energies for the two Chlz from time-dependent measurements as will be discussed in detail later.

Finally, we note that there is one experiment (Jankowiak et al., 1999) where Pheo_{D2} was reported to be reduced and a main bleaching in the difference spectrum was measured at 668 nm, which cannot be described by the present set of parameters. The interpretation (Jankowiak et al., 1999) of the 668-nm bleach as site energy of Pheo_{D2} is in contrast with the present assignment of 675 nm, which can explain the exchange experiments by Germano et al. (2001, 2000), which show a main bleaching at 680 nm as shown in Fig. 5 A and discussed above. Based on their interpretation of the 668-nm bleach, it was suggested (Jankowiak et al., 1999) that Pheo_{D2} is effectively decoupled from the other reactioncenter pigments. On the basis of the recent structural data (Biesiadka et al., 2004; Ferreira et al., 2004), neither the excitonic couplings nor the present determination of site energies, support this so-called pentamer model (Jankowiak et al., 1999).

Functional implications

Using the parameters determined and verified from optical spectra, excitation energy transfer was calculated in Fig. 8.

Fig. 8 (*left*) shows that exciton relaxation among the six core pigments occurs on a subpicosecond timescale in agreement with pump-probe experiments (Durrant et al., 1992). The increase of lifetimes with decreasing energy (increasing wavelength) is due to a decrease in the number of downhill relaxation channels. This effect is stronger at low temperatures, because the contribution of uphill channels is less at low temperatures than at room temperature, due to the detailed balance for up- and downhill rate constants.

In Fig. 8 (*right*), it is seen that $Chlz_{D1}$ and $Chlz_{D2}$ transfer their excitation energy on a 10–100 ps timescale to the core pigments. The latter result is in agreement with pump-probe experiments by Vacha et al. (1995), who find a 50% decrease of a slow component ~670 nm when they compare the kinetics in RC-5 and RC-6 preparations after 665-nm excitation. It is likely that the remaining 50% of the slow component in RC-5 preparations is due to the second Chlz and, therefore, that both Chlz absorb ~670 nm, in agreement with the present and our earlier (Renger and Marcus, 2002b) calculations.

The lifetimes in the 100-fs to 1-ps range obtained at room temperature at low energies ~680 nm (Fig. 8, *top left, inset*) agree with relaxation times found in transient anisotropy measurements (Merry et al., 1996) at room temperature. From the anisotropy studies (Merry et al., 1996) an angle of $70 \pm 10^{\circ}$ was obtained for the two low energy exciton states that are responsible for the decay of the anisotropy. As shown in Fig. 7 (*top*), the two lowest exciton states in the present model are dominated by Acc_{D1} and Pheo_{D2}. The angle between their optical transition dipole moments is 68°. We conclude that the experimental anisotropy decay reflects excitation energy transfer between Acc_{D1} and Pheo_{D2}. The calculations in Fig. 8 (*bottom left*) predict that the anisotropy decay should slow down at low temperatures.

In the calculation of primary electron transfer in Fig. 9 assuming a constant intrinsic rate constant k_{intr} , a very different temperature-dependence of the primary electron transfer is found when the primary donor is assigned either to Acc_{D1} or to P_{D1} . The first case is associated with an acceleration of electron transfer, because at low temperatures only the lowest exciton state is populated and this state is dominated by Acc_{D1} . Because of the minor contribution of P_{D1} in the lowest exciton state (as seen in Fig. 7, *middle*), electron transfer slows down considerably with decreasing temperature if P_{D1} is assumed to be the primary donor. There are contrasting experimental evidences concerning either an increase (Groot et al., 1997) or a decrease (Greenfield et al., 1997, 1999) of the primary electron transfer rate with temperature.

A rather direct way of detecting primary charge transfer was chosen by Greenfield et al. (1997, 1999), who detected the time-dependent rise of the pheophytin anion band and simultaneously the bleach of the Q_x band of pheophytin. In their studies (Greenfield et al., 1997, 1999) the fast phase of electron transfer was found to increase between (8 ps)⁻¹ at 277 K and $(5 \text{ ps})^{-1}$ at 7 K. This increase of the rate is in agreement with the present calculations, if the primary electron donor is identified as Acc_{D1}. If P_{D1} is the donor the calculated decrease of the rate with decreasing temperature is much more dramatic than in the alternative set of experimental data (Groot et al., 1997). Based on the above results, we infer that Acc_{D1} is likely to be the primary donor in PS-II.

We note that the multiexponential decay that is calculated for low temperatures is due to the disorder that can give rise to a lowest energy exciton state that is localized on a pigment different from the primary donor, an effect discussed earlier by Prokhorenko and Holzwarth (2000). However, this effect disappears at higher temperatures when more states than the lowest exciton state contribute, although multiexponential decay is also measured at room temperature (Greenfield et al., 1997). We, therefore, conclude that additional sources for dispersive kinetics are present in the experiment, as, for example, a distribution of intrinsic charge transfer rate constants.

This point is related to the question: Which is the fluorescent state in D1–D2-complexes at low temperatures? In the calculation of fluorescence in Fig. 2 we implicitly assumed that there exists a certain fraction of complexes which are not capable of performing charge transfer and fluoresce but have an identical excitonic structure to those complexes that transfer electrons. In the following, the internal consistency of this assumption is discussed.

In electron transfer-active complexes, the quantum yield of the fluorescence is limited by the competition of fluorescence and charge transfer, both occurring from an equilibrated excited state manifold. The relative yield $\langle k_{\rm flu} /$ $(k_{\rm flu} + k_{\rm cs})\rangle_{\rm dis}$, calculated from the present parameters, the $k_{\rm et}$ in Eq. 15 and a $k_{\text{flu}} = (4 \text{ ns})^{-1}$ (Groot et al., 1996), varies between 0.02 at 4 K and 0.008 at 60 K. These values have to be compared with the ratio η_{D1D2}/η_{Ch1} of fluorescence quantum yields of D1-D2-complexes and of chlorophyll a in solution, that varies between 0.07/0.3 = 0.23 at 4 K and 0.06/0.3 = 0.20 at 60 K (Groot et al., 1994). The one-orderof-magnitude difference between the calculated and measured yields shows that, in the present model, the trap fluorescence is too weak to explain the experiment. However, part of the decrease of the experimental yield with increasing temperature might be due to decreasing trap fluorescence.

The spectrum, obtained for the trap fluorescence by weighting the homogeneous fluorescence of every complex by the factor $k_{\rm flu}/(k_{\rm flu} + k_{\rm cs})$ and averaging over disorder, is similar in shape to the fluorescence spectrum calculated in Fig. 2 but red-shifted by 2–3 nm. In addition, in the present model, the trap fluorescence is dominated by Pheo_{D2}. However, site selection experiments show that the fluorescence at low temperatures is mainly due to chlorophyll and not due to pheophytin (Kwa et al., 1994; Peterman et al., 1998; Konermann et al., 1997). In summary, the present model is consistent with a fluorescence occurring from an

exciton state with the main contributions from Acc_{D1} and disagrees with a model that assumes major contributions to the fluorescence from trap states. It is inferred, therefore, that Acc_{D1} plays a double role; it acts as the primary electron donor in one fraction and fluoresces in another fraction of complexes in the sample.

What could be the functional relevance of Acc_{D1} be to the location of the triplet state and the primary electron donor? As discussed in the Introduction, it was suggested (van Mieghem et al., 1995; Noguchi, 2002) that triplets at Acc_{D1} can be efficiently quenched by singly reduced Q_A . Since these triplet states that are formed by charge recombination can react with triplet oxygen to form the physiologically dangerous singlet oxygen, the proposed quenching mechanism (Noguchi, 2002), and thereby the location of the triplet state, are of importance for protecting the photosystem under light stress.

If Acc_{D1} is the primary electron donor, electron transfer is favored energetically only along one branch for the following reasons: The neighboring P_{D1} has the lowest oxidation potential since the cation is known to be stabilized there, and so in a simple picture it has the highest HOMO level of the core pigments. Therefore, it is likely that the highest LUMO level also belongs to P_{D1} and, hence, P_{D1} could transfer an excited electron energetically downhill along both branches. For the same reasons, the Acc_{D1} can transfer the electron downhill only to the Pheo_{D1}. The unidirectionality of electron transfer in PS-II might have the advantage to limit the photophysical damage to one branch.

CONCLUSIONS

In this work a description of 11 independent experiments of D1–D2 reaction-center complexes is obtained using a consistent set of parameters. The site energies, obtained from a genetic algorithm, are almost symmetric in the two branches, except for that of Acc_{D1} , the latter being lower by ~ 30 meV than the one of its D2-counterpart Acc_{D2} . Moreover, Acc_{D1} has the lowest site energy of all reaction-center pigments and dominates the lowest exciton state in PS-II RC.

From the calculations of T-S spectra at different temperatures strong evidence is obtained for the stabilization of the triplet state at Acc_{D1} at low temperatures and a thermal distribution between P_{D1} and Acc_{D1} at higher temperatures. An energy difference of 10 meV is obtained for the two triplet states, P_{D1}^3 and Acc_{D1}^3 .

Using a simple model for primary electron transfer a measured temperature-dependence of the fast phase can only be explained if Acc_{D1} is assumed to be the primary electron donor in PSII. An intrinsic electron transfer rate constant of (2 ps)⁻¹ is inferred from the calculations.

Exciton relaxation was studied using the parameters (site energies, width of inhomogeneous distribution function for the site energies, and the spectral density) determined and verified from the spectra. Subpicosecond relaxation kinetics is found for the six core pigments. The two Chlz transfer their excitation energy on a 10–100-ps timescale to the core pigments.

Besides being the location of the triplet and the primary electron donor, Acc_{D1} shows other unique properties: Its site energy depends on temperature and varies in different preparations. An interpretation of these unusual properties is suggested that is based on a partial charge transfer character of the excited state of Acc_{D1} .

APPENDIX: HARMONIC OSCILLATOR-MODIFIED REDFIELD THEORY FUNCTIONS

The functions in Eq. 9 are

$$\phi_{\rm MN}(t) = a_{\rm MN} \,\phi_0(t),\tag{16}$$

$$G_{\rm MN}(t) = b_{\rm MN} \,\phi_1(t),\tag{17}$$

$$F_{\rm MN}(t) = c_{\rm MN} \, \boldsymbol{\phi}_2(t) \tag{18}$$

(Renger and Marcus, 2003), and are related to the spectral density in Eq. 8 via the function $\phi_n(t)$,

$$\phi_{n}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-i\omega t} (1 + n(\omega)) \omega^{n} (J(\omega) - J(-\omega))).$$
(19)

The time-independent part in the integrand in Eq. 9, λ_{MN} , is

$$\lambda_{\rm MN} = d_{\rm MN} E_{\lambda}, \qquad (20)$$

using the reorganization energy E_{λ} in Eq. 3. The coefficients a_{MN} , b_{MN} , c_{MN} , and d_{MN} in the above equations are given by the exciton coefficients and the correlation radius of protein vibrations as

$$a_{\rm MN} = \sum_{\rm ij} ((c_{\rm i}^{\rm (M)})^2 (c_{\rm j}^{\rm (M)})^2 + (c_{\rm i}^{\rm (N)})^2 (c_{\rm j}^{\rm (N)})^2 - 2 (c_{\rm i}^{\rm (M)})^2 (c_{\rm j}^{\rm (N)})^2) e^{-R_{\rm ij}/R_{\rm c}}$$
(21)

$$b_{\rm MN} = \sum_{\rm ij} ((c_{\rm i}^{\rm (M)})^2 - (c_{\rm i}^{\rm (N)})^2) c_{\rm j}^{\rm (M)} c_{\rm j}^{\rm (N)}$$
(22)

$$c_{\rm MN} = \sum_{ij} c_i^{(\rm M)} c_i^{(\rm N)} c_j^{(\rm M)} c_j^{(\rm N)} e^{-R_{ij}/R_{\rm c}}$$
(23)

$$d_{\rm MN} = \sum_{\rm ij} ((c_{\rm i}^{\rm (M)})^2 + (c_{\rm i}^{\rm (N)})^2) c_{\rm j}^{\rm (M)} c_{\rm j}^{\rm (N)} e^{-R_{\rm ij}/R_{\rm c}}.$$
 (24)

In the limit of localized vibrations $(R_c \rightarrow 0)$ our earlier result (Renger and Marcus, 2003) is recovered, i.e.,

$$a_{\rm MN} = \sum_{\rm i} ((c_{\rm i}^{\rm (M)})^2 - (c_{\rm i}^{\rm (N)})^2)^2$$
(25)

$$b_{\rm MN} = \sum_{\rm i} \left((c_{\rm i}^{\rm (M)})^3 c_{\rm i}^{\rm (N)} - (c_{\rm i}^{\rm (N)})^3 c_{\rm i}^{\rm (M)} \right)$$
(26)

$$c_{\rm MN} = \sum_{\rm i} (c_{\rm i}^{\rm (M)})^2 (c_{\rm i}^{\rm (N)})^2$$
(27)

$$d_{\rm MN} = \sum_{\rm i} ((c_{\rm i}^{\rm (M)})^3 c_{\rm i}^{\rm (N)} + (c_{\rm i}^{\rm (N)})^3 c_{\rm i}^{\rm (M)}).$$
(28)

We thank E. Schlodder for insightful comments and stimulating discussions, and we are grateful to J. Biesiadka, B. Loll, A. Zouni, K. D. Irrgang, and J. Kern for communicating their structural data of PS-II before public release.

We acknowledge support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through Emmy-Noether research grant No. RE-1610 and through the Sonderforschungsbereich 498, TP A4 and A7, and Fonds der Chemischen Industrie.

REFERENCES

- Barter, L. M. C., J. R. Durrant, and D. R. Klug. 2003. A quantitative structure-function relationship for the photosystem II reaction center: supermolecular behavior in natural photosynthesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA. 100:946–951.
- Biesiadka, J., B. Loll, J. Kern, K. D. Irrgang, and A. Zouni. 2004. Crystal structure of cyanobacterial photosystem II at 3.2 Å resolution: a closer look at the Mn-cluster. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 6:4733–4736.
- Chang, J. C. 1977. Monopole effects on electronic excitation interactions between large molecules. I. Application to energy transfer in chlorophylls. J. Chem. Phys. 67:3901–3904.
- Creemers, T. M. H., C. A. De Caro, R. W. Visschers, R. van Grondelle, and S. Völker. 1999. Spectral hole burning and fluorescence line narrowing in subunits of the light-harvesting complex LH1 of purple bacteria. *J. Phys. Chem. B.* 103:9770–9776.
- Dekker, J. P., and R. van Grondelle. 2000. Primary charge separation in photosystem II. *Photosynth. Res.* 63:195–208.
- Diner, B. A., and F. Rappaport. 2002. Structure, dynamics, and energetics of the primary photochemistry of photosystem II of oxygenic photosynthesis. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 53:55–58.
- Diner, B. A., E. Schlodder, P. J. Nixon, W. J. Coleman, F. Rappaport, J. Laverge, W. F. J. Vermaas, and D. A. Chisholm. 2001. Site-directed mutations at D1-His¹⁹⁸ and D2-His¹⁹⁷ of photosystem II in *Synechococcus* PCC 6803: sites of primary charge separation and cation and triplet stabilization. *Biochemistry*. 40:9265–9281.
- Durrant, J. R., L. B. Giorgi, J. Barber, D. R. Klug, and G. Porter. 1990. Characterization of triplet states in isolated photosystem II reaction centres: oxygen quenching as a mechanism for photodamage. *Biochemistry*. 42:9205–9213.
- Durrant, J. R., G. Hastings, D. M. Joseph, J. Barber, G. Porter, and D. R. Klug. 1992. Subpicosecond equilibration of excitation energy in isolated photosystem II reaction centers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 89:11632– 11636.
- Durrant, J. R., D. R. Klug, S. L. S. Kwa, R. van Grondelle, G. Porter, and J. P. Dekker. 1995. A multimer model for P680, the primary electron donor of photosystem II. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 92:4798–4802.
- Ferreira, K. N., T. M. Iverson, K. Maghlaoui, J. Barber, and S. Iwata. 2004. Architecture of photosynthetic oxygen-evolving center. *Science*. 303: 1831–1838.
- Fetisova, Z., A. Freiberg, K. Mauring, V. Novoderezhkin, A. Taisova, and K. Timpmann. 1996. Excitation energy transfer in chlorosomes of green bacteria: theoretical and experimental studies. *Biophys. J.* 71:995–1010.
- Frese, R. N., M. Germano, F. L. de Weerd, I. H. M. van Stokkum, A. Y. Shuropatov, V. A. Shuvalov, H. J. van Gorkom, R. van Grondelle, and J. P. Dekker. 2003. Electric field effects on the chlorophylls, pheophytins, and β -carotenes in the reaction center of photosystem II. *Biochemistry*. 42:9205–9213.
- Germano, M., A. Y. Shkuropatov, H. Permentier, R. A. Khatypov, V. A. Shuvalov, A. J. Hoff, and H. J. van Gorkom. 2000. Selective replacement of the active and inactive pheophytin in reaction centers of photosystem II by 131-deoxo-131-hydroxy-pheophytin *a* and comparison of their 6 K absorption spectra. *Photosyn. Res.* 64:189–198.
- Germano, M., A. Y. Shkuropatov, H. Permentier, R. Wijn de, A. J. Hoff, V. A. Shuvalov, and H. J. van Gorkom. 2001. Pigment organization and their interactions in reaction centers of photosystem II: optical

spectroscopy at 6K of reaction centers with modified pheophytin composition. *Biochemistry*. 40:11472–11482.

- Greenfield, S. R., M. Seibert, Govindjee, and M. R. Wasielewski. 1997. Direct measurements of the effective rate constant for primary charge separation in isolated photosystem II reaction centers. J. Phys. Chem. B. 101:2251–2255.
- Greenfield, S. R., M. Seibert, Govindjee, and M. R. Wasielewski. 1999. Time-resolved absorption changes of the pheophytin Q_x band in isolated photosystem II reaction centers at 7 K: energy transfer and charge separation. J. Phys. Chem. B. 103:8364–8374.
- Groot, M. L., J. P. Dekker, R. van Grondelle, F. T. H. Den Hartog, and S. Völker. 1996. Energy transfer and trapping in isolated photosystem II reaction centers of green plants at low temperature. A study by spectral hole burning. J. Phys. Chem. 100:11488–11495.
- Groot, M. L., E. J. G. Peterman, P. J. M. van Kan, I. H. M. van Stokkum, J. P. Dekker, and R. van Grondelle. 1994. Temperature-dependent triplet and fluorescence quantum yields of the photosystem II reaction center described in a thermodynamic model. *Biophys. J.* 67:318–330.
- Groot, M., F. van Mourik, C. Eijckelhoff, I. H. M. van Stokkum, J. P. Dekker, and R. van Grondelle. 1997. Charge separation in the reaction center of photosystem II studied as a function of temperature. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 94:4389–4394.
- Huber, H., M. Meyer, H. Scheer, W. Zinth, and J. Wachtveitl. 1998. Temperature-dependence of the primary electron transfer reaction in pigment-modified bacterial reaction centers. *Photosyn. Res.* 55:153–162.
- Jankowiak, R., M. Ratsep, M. Picorel, M. Seibert, and G. J. Small. 1999. Excited states of the 5-chlorophyll photosystem II reaction center. *J. Phys. Chem. B.* 103:9759–9769.
- Jankowiak, R., D. Tang, G. J. Small, and M. Seibert. 1989. Transient and persistent hole-burning of the reaction center of photosystem-II. J. Phys. Chem. 93:1649–1654.
- Kamlowski, A., L. Frankemoller, A. van der Est, D. Stehlik, and A. R. Holzwarth. 1996. Evidence for delocalization of the triplet state 3P680 in the D₁-D₂cytb₅₅₉-complex of photosystem II. *Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.* 100:2045–2051.
- Konermann, L., and A. R. Holzwarth. 1996. Analysis of the absorption spectrum of photosystem II reaction centers: temperature-dependence, pigment assignment and inhomogeneous broadening. *Biochemistry*. 35:829–842.
- Konermann, L., I. Yruela, and A. R. Holzwarth. 1997. Pigment assignment in the absorption spectrum of the photosystem II reaction center by siteselection fluorescence spectroscopy. *Biochemistry*. 36:7498–7502.
- Krawczyk, S. 1991. Electrochromism of chlorophyll-a monomer and special-pair dimer. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 1056:64–70.
- Kwa, S. L. S., C. Eijckelhoff, v. Grondelle, and J. P. Dekker. 1994. Siteselection spectroscopy of the reaction center complex of photosystem II. 1. Triplet-minus-singlet absorption difference: search for a second exciton band of P-680y. J. Phys. Chem. 98:7702–7711.
- Lax, M. 1952. The Franck-Condon principle and its application to crystals. *J. Chem. Phys.* 20:1752–1760.
- May, V., and O. Kühn. 2000. Charge and Energy Transfer Dynamics in Molecular Systems: A Theoretical Introduction. Wiley-VCH, Berlin, Germany. 103–113,137, 203, 233.
- Merry, S. A. P., S. Kumazaki, Y. Tachibana, D. M. Joseph, G. Porter, K. Yoshihara, J. Barber, J. P. Durrant, and D. R. Klug. 1996. Subpicosecond equilibration of excitation energy in isolated photosystem II reaction centers revisited: time-dependent anisotropy. J. Phys. Chem. 100:10469– 10478.
- Noguchi, T. 2002. Dual role of triplet localization on the accessory chlorophyll in the photosystem II reaction center: photoprotection and photodamage of the D1 protein. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 43:1112–1116.
- Noguchi, T., Y. Inoue, and K. Satoh. 1993. FT-IR studies on the triplet state of P680 in the photosystem II reaction center: triplet equilibrium within a chlorophyll dimer. *Biochemistry*. 32:7186–7195.
- Noguchi, T., T. Tomo, and Y. Inoue. 1998. Fourier transform infrared study of the cation radical of P_{680} in the photosystem II reaction center:

evidence for charge delocalization on the chlorophyll dimer. *Bio-chemistry*. 37:13614–13625.

- Noguchi, T., T. Tomo, and C. Kato. 2001. Triplet formation on a monomeric chlorophyll in the photosystem II reaction center as studied by time-resolved infrared spectroscopy. *Biochemistry*. 40:2176–2185.
- Ohta, K., M. Yang, and G. R. Fleming. 2001. Ultrafast exciton dynamics of J-aggregates in room temperature solution studied by third-order nonlinear optical spectroscopy and numerical simulation based on exciton theory. J. Chem. Phys. 115:7609–7621.
- Peterman, E. J. G., H. van Amerongen, R. van Grondelle, and J. P. Dekker. 1998. The nature of the excited state of the reaction center of photosystem II of green plants: a high resolution fluorescence spectroscopy study. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 95:6128–6133.
- Prokhorenko, V., and A. R. Holzwarth. 2000. Primary processes and structure of the photosystem II reaction center: a photon echo study. *J. Phys. Chem. B.* 104:11563–11578.
- Renger, T. 2004. Theory of optical spectra involving charge transfer states: dynamic localization predicts a temperature-dependent optical band shift. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93:188101.
- Renger, T., and R. A. Marcus. 2002a. On the relation of protein dynamics and exciton relaxation in pigment-protein complexes: an estimation of the spectral density and a theory for the calculation of optical spectra. *J. Chem. Phys.* 116:9997–10019.
- Renger, T., and R. A. Marcus. 2002b. Photophysical properties of PS-2 reaction centers and a discrepancy in exciton relaxation times. J. Phys. Chem. B. 106:1809–1819.
- Renger, T., and R. A. Marcus. 2003. Variable-range hopping electron transfer through disordered bridge states: application to DNA. J. Phys. Chem. A. 107:8404–8419.
- Renger, T., and V. May. 2000. Simulations of frequency-domain spectra: structure-function relationships in photosynthetic pigment-protein complexes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84:5228–5231.
- Renger T., V. May, and O. Kühn. 2001. Ultrafast excitation energy transfer dynamics in photosynthetic pigment protein complexes. *Phys. Rep.* 343:137–254.
- Shkuropatov, A. Y., R. A. Khatypov, V. A. Shkuropatova, M. G. Zvereva, T. G. Owens, and V. A. Shuvalov. 1999. Reaction centers of photosystem II with a chemically modified pigment composition: exchange of pheophytins with 131-deoxo-131-hydroxy-pheophytin a. *FEBS Lett.* 450:163–167.
- Shkuropatov, A. Y., R. A. Khatypov, T. S. Volshchukova, V. A. Shkuropatova, T. G. Owens, and V. A. Shuvalov. 1997. Spectral and photochemical properties of borohydride-treated D1–D2-cytochrome b-559 complex of photosystem II. *FEBS Lett.* 420:171–174.
- Steffen, M. A., K. Lao, and S. G. Boxer. 1994. Dielectric asymmetry in the photosynthetic reaction center. *Science*. 264:810–816.

- Sumi, H. 1999. Theory on rates of excitation-energy transfer between molecular aggregates through distributed transition dipoles with application to the antenna system in bacterial photosynthesis. J. Phys. Chem. B. 103:252–260.
- Tang, D., R. Jankowiak, M. Seibert, C. F. Yocum, and G. J. Small. 1990. Excited-state structure and energy-transfer dynamics of two different preparations of the reaction center of photosystem II: a hole-burning study. J. Phys. Chem. 94:6519–6522.
- Vacha, F., M. Durchan, and P. Siffel. 2002. Excitonic interactions in the reaction centre of photosystem II studied by using circular dichroism. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 1554:147–152.
- Vacha, F., D. M. Joseph, J. R. Durrant, A. Telfer, D. R. Klug, G. Porter, and J. Barber. 1995. Photochemistry and spectroscopy of a five-chlorophyll reaction center of photosystem II isolated by using a Cu affinity column. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 92:2929–2933.
- van Brederode, M. E., M. R. Jones, F. van Mourik, I. H. M. van Stokkum, and R. van Grondelle. 1997. A new pathway for transmembrane electron transfer in photosynthetic reaction centers of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* not involving the excited special-pair. *Biochemistry*. 36:6855–6861.
- van Brederode, M. E., M. E. van Brederode, and R. van Grondelle. 1999a. New and unexpected routes for ultrafast electron transfer in photosynthetic reaction centers. *FEBS Lett.* 455:1–7.
- van Brederode, M. E., F. van Mourik, I. H. M. van Stokkum, M. R. Jones, and R. van Grondelle. 1999b. Multiple pathways for ultrafast transduction of light energy in the photosynthetic reaction center of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 96:2054–2059.
- van Kan, P. J. M., S. C. M. Otte, F. A. M. Kleinherenbrink, M. C. Nieveen, T. J. Aartsma, and H. J. van Gorkom. 1990. Time-resolved spectroscopy at 10 K of the photosystem II reaction center; deconvolution of the red absorption band. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 1020:146–152.
- van Mieghem, F., K. Brettel, B. Hillmann, A. Kamlowski, A. W. Rutherford, and E. Schlodder. 1995. Charge recombination reactions in photosystem II. 1. Yields, recombination pathways, and kinetics of the primary pair. *Biochemistry*. 34:4789–4813.
- van Mieghem, F. J. E., K. Satoh, and A. W. Rutherford. 1991. A chlorophyll tilted 30° relative to the membrane in the photosystem II reaction center. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1058:379–385.
- Zhang, W. M., T. Meier, V. Chernyak, and S. Mukamel. 1998a. Excitonmigration and three-pulse femtosecond optical spectroscopies of photosynthetic antenna complexes. J. Chem. Phys. 108:763–774.
- Zhang, W. M., T. Meier, V. Chernyak, and S. Mukamel. 1998b. Simulation of three-pulse-echo and fluorescence depolarization in photosynthetic aggregates. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London. Ser. A.* 356:405–419.
- Zouni, A., H. T. Witt, J. Kern, P. Fromme, N. Krauss, W. Saenger, and P. Orth. 2001. Crystal structure of photosystem II from *Synechococcus elongatus* at 3.8 Å resolution. *Nature*. 409:739–743.