Medical Progress

The Effects of Diuretics and Adrenergic-Blocking Agents on Plasma Lipids

JAMES J. ROHLFING, MD, and JOHN D. BRUNZELL, MD, Seattle

Antihypertensive medications cause the following changes in plasma lipids and lipoproteins: Thiazide and loop diuretics increase triglyceride, total cholesterol and low-density-lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol levels with no change in high-density-lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol. β -Adrenergic antagonists increase triglyceride and decrease HDL cholesterol levels. A rise in very-low-density-lipoprotein (VLDL) cholesterol balances the decrease in HDL cholesterol, so that there is no significant change in total cholesterol. α -Adrenergic antagonists decrease triglyceride, increase HDL cholesterol and may decrease VLDL and LDL cholesterol. Labetalol, with both α - and β -adrenergic antagonistic activity, does not affect plasma lipids in a small number of studies. The calcium channel blockers nifedipine and verapamil also have no consistent effect on lipoprotein levels in a small number of studies.

(Rohlfing JJ, Brunzell JD: The effects of diuretics and adrenergic-blocking agents on plasma lipids. West J Med 1986 Aug; 145:210-218)

The metabolic consequences of antihypertensive treatment L using diuretics and adrenergic blocking agents are of increasing concern as hypertension is being diagnosed in more young and middle-aged persons and they are placed on lifelong therapy. While this practice is associated with a significant decrease in morbidity and mortality from renal failure, cardiac failure and cerebrovascular accidents,1 several large prospective studies have failed to show a similar reduction in coronary heart disease in such persons.²⁻⁴ The reason may be multifactorial; there is evidence of increased mortality in diuretic-treated persons with baseline electrocardiographic abnormalities, suggesting an etiologic role for electrolyte abnormalities.⁵ In addition, it is becoming increasingly clear that many antihypertensive agents have an adverse effect on another coronary heart disease risk factor-plasma lipids.

Plasma lipids are composed of a number of subfractions, several of which have epidemiologic associations with coronary heart disease. The total cholesterol in a fasting person is the sum of very-low-density-lipoprotein (VLDL) cholesterol, low-density-lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol and high-densitylipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol. The risk of coronary heart disease is directly proportional to the blood concentrations of LDL cholesterol and inversely proportional to the level of HDL cholesterol.⁶ Although plasma triglyceride concentrations may not be directly related to a risk for coronary heart disease, they may serve as a marker for the presence of other risk factors such as other lipoprotein abnormalities, obesity or diabetes.

A substantial number of studies have expored the effects of antihypertensive agents on plasma lipid and lipoprotein levels. Many of these report rather large changes in certain plasma lipids, but because of a small number of subjects the findings have often not been statistically significant. Despite many differences in drug dosage, duration of treatment and laboratory methods, the observations for individual drugs have been surprisingly consistent. In this paper we review and summarize these studies (Figure 1).

The antihypertensive agents that have been best studied fall into four groups: thiazide and loop diuretics, β -adrenergic antagonists, α -adrenergic antagonists and calcium channel blockers. Two drugs do not fit well into these groups: labetalol hydrochloride, which is both a β - and an α -antagonist, and spironolactone, a potassium-sparing diuretic with properties distinct from the thiazide and loop diuretics. These agents are considered separately (Table 4). Because of inconsistent results from study to study, the actual percent changes in each variable were included in the tables even when not

From the Department of Medicine, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle. Dr Rohlfing was a fourth-year medical student at the University of Washington when this study was done.

This study was supported in part by National Institutes of Health grants AM 02456 and HL 30086. Portions of these studies were done at the Clinical Research Center of the University Hospital, Seattle.

Reprint requests to John D. Brunzell, MD, Division of Metabolism, RG-26, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195.



Figure 1.—Summary of data from studies in this review. Each person in each drug group in each manuscript is included (n > 2,500). Values accompanying bars represent the number of persons in the stated drug group for which the specified lipoprotein was measured. Because the percent change could not be calculated for data from Lasser et al.⁵ data from this study were not included. HDL = high-density-lipoprotein, ISA = intrinsic sympathomimetic activity, LDL = low-density-lipoprotein

statistically significant. This allows readers to make their own conclusions regarding trends of changes in plasma lipid and lipoprotein levels due to individual drugs.

Diuretics

Diuretics include the benzodiathiazide diuretics, the "loop" diuretics and the potassium-sparing diuretics. Benzodiathiazide and related diuretics, more commonly referred to as the thiazide diuretics, are currently the most frequently prescribed antihypertensives.²⁵ Alterations in lipid metabolism with these agents were first reported by Ames and Hill in 1976, when they found a 25% increase in plasma triglyceride levels in patients taking thiazides and a smaller but significant increase in cholesterol with the use of chlorthalidone.⁷ Since this initial report, several authors have confirmed these findings (Table 1). The statistically significant changes include increases in triglyceride and cholesterol values. In addition, those studies reporting statistically insignificant changes reported, with few exceptions, rises in triglyceride and cholesterol levels.

Investigation into the alterations in plasma lipoprotein subfractions has shown that the increase in total cholesterol is associated with an increase in LDL-cholesterol levels. This finding was consistent and reached statistical significance in most studies. Changes in HDL cholesterol have been mixed,

ТАВ	LE 1. <i>—E</i>	ffects of	of Thiazid	e and Lo	oop Diuretic	Therapy on I	Plasma	Lipid and Lip	oprotein Vall	ues*		
Reference	Drug	Dose, mg/d	Duration, wk	Patients, Number	Triglycerides	Total Cholesterol	VLDL-C	LDL-C	HDL-C	VLDL-C Plus LDL-C	LDL Determination Method†	Study Design‡
Ames and Hill, 19767	Chlor	Var	Var	32	125.7§	↑ 5.2§						Α
Glück et al, 1978 ⁸	Chlor Chlor	100 100	4 6	16 13	10.0 10.0	↑ 4.0 ↑ 8.0	130.0 111.0	↑ 8.0§ ↑14.0§	↓ 5.0 ↓12.0§	···· ···	D D	B A
Glück et al, 1978 ⁸	Furo Mefru	80 50	4 4	16 16	116.0 114.0	↑ 6.0§ ↑ 5.0	1 6.0 120.0	115.0§ 110.0§	↓ 4.0 ↑ 2.0		D D	B B
Ames and Hill, 1978 ⁹	HCTZ	Var	24	36	↑ 5.6	↑ 6.0§	1.1.				9 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Α
Helgeland et al, 1978 ¹⁰	HCTZ	Var	208	26	↓13.0	↓ 2.4		··· >	↑ 1.4		gran dels	Α
Rosenthal et al, 1979 ¹¹	Chlor	100	12	21	116.0§	↑ 7.8§	1 9.0	↑ 9.3§	↓12.0		D	Α
Van Brummelen et al, 1979 ¹²	HCTZ	100	36	10	1 0.6	↑ 6.5§			↑ 7.5			Α
Goldman et al, 1980 ¹³	Chlor	Var	52	508	↑ 7.6§	↑ 5.1§		↑10.2§	1 0.2	Zar .	1	С
Glück et al, 1980 ¹⁴	Chlor Chlor	100 100	6 6	27 10	↑ 1.8 ↑ 7.7	↑ 4.3 ↑ 0.9		120.0§ ↑ 4.0	↓ 3.4 110.0	····	D D	A A
Mordasini et al, 1980 ¹⁵	Chlor	100	6	12	t11.0	110.6	↓ 5.0	115.0§	↓ 5.8		D	Α
Crisp et al, 1980 ¹⁶	Cyclo	0.5	8	13	↑14.0	1 3.5		112.0	↓ 6.7		I	В
Leren et al, 1981 ¹⁷	HCTZ	50	10	10	1 6.1	1 2.6	6.1.1,2	1.24 57262	1 2.4	12.6	Sugar	В
Grimm et al, 1981 ¹⁸	HCTZ Chlor	100 100	6 6	41 41	117.0§ 115.0§	↑ 6.5§ ↑ 8.3§	112.5 ↑ 6.8	↑ 5.6 ↑ 9.5§	1 6.3 1 5.5	···· ···	D D	B B
Weidmann et al, 1981 ¹⁹	Indap	2.5	6	18	↓ 8.8	↓ 0.5		↓ 1.0	0		D	Α
Boehringer et al, 1982 ²⁰	Chlor Chlor	100 100	6 6	22 18	↑ 4.4 ↓ 6.8	↑ 1.4 ↑13.0§	135.0 ↓20.0	↑ 3.0 ↑21.0§	1 2.1 120.0		D D	A A
VA Study, 1982 ²¹	HCTZ	Var	52	167	↓ 1.8	↓ 1.3		S. See Stage	t Colorado		and shares	Α
Johnson et al, 198422	Poly	1	4	20	114.0§	1 4.2§	1 8.3	↑ 2.7	↑ 7.5		D	А
Lasser et al, 1984 ⁵	Chlor or HCTZ	100	312	1,021	135.0 mg/dl	↑ 4.0 mg/dl		↓ 0.7 mg/dl	↓ 0.8 mg/dl	2 4 1 4 1 2	1	С
	or HCTZ	100	312	785	137.0 mg/dl	1 6.6 mg/dl		↑ 1.7 mg/dl	↓ 1.4 mg/dl	19. 18 36	1	С
Meyer-Sabellek et al, 198423	Indap	2.5	24	12	119.0	1 5.8	131.0	1 0.6	↑ 7.5	n	D	А
Bloomgarden et al, 1984 ²⁴	HCTZ Furo	Var Var		89 57	10.0 120.0	111.0§ 1 2.0		118.0§ ↑ 3.0	0 ↓ 6.5		1	E
Chlor = chlorthalidone Cyclo = cyclon	enthiazide Fi	iro = furo	semide HCT	7 = hydroch	lorothiazide HDL-	c = high-density-li	nonrotein cl	holesterol Indan =	indapamide I DI -	C = low-de	ensity-lipoprotein cl	holesterol.

Chior = chiormaliaone, Uyclo = cyclopenthiazide, Furo = turosemide, HClZ = hydrochioromazide, HDL-C = nigh-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, indap = indapanide, LDL-C = iow-density-lipoprot Mefru = mefruside, Poly = polythiazide, Var = variable, VA Study = Veterans Administration Cooperative Study Group, VLDL-C = very-low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, † = increase, ↓ = decrease

*All lipid values are in percent change unless other units are given.

†D = direct measurement, 1 = indirect estimation.

A = single drug, uncontrolled; B = crossover; C = with a control group; E = cross-sectional <math>P < .05.

indicating that HDL-cholesterol levels are probably unaffected by the thiazide diuretics. As would be expected, changes in total plasma triglyceride are reflected in VLDLcholesterol levels.

Because the duration of treatment in most studies was less than 12 weeks, the long-term effects of the thiazide diuretics on plasma lipids and lipoproteins are less clear. Helgeland and co-workers in 1978 reported an absence of significant change in plasma lipids after four years of hydrochlorothiazide therapy in 26 subjects as part of the Oslo study.¹⁰ A trial by the European Working Party on High Blood Pressure in the Elderly again found no changes in plasma lipids after three years in patients treated with hydrochlorothiazide plus triamterene compared with a control group.26 The Veterans Administration Cooperative Study Group reported a lack of change in plasma triglyceride or cholesterol levels after one year in 167 persons treated with hydrochlorothiazide. In contrast, other long-term studies document persistent significant increases in plasma triglyceride, cholesterol and LDL cholesterol values. The Veterans Administration-National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute cooperative trial reported a 7.6% increase in triglycerides, a 5.1% increase in cholesterol and a 10.2% increase in LDL-cholesterol levels in 508 patients treated with chlorthalidone for one year, all statistically significant changes.13 Data from the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial showed a persistent increase in triglyceride and cholesterol levels in both a series of men undergoing usual care and a group of men having special interventions after six years of thiazide treatment; also, there was a small, persistent increase in the LDL-cholesterol value in the men under usual care.5

The fact that the results of these long-term clinical trials have been inconclusive may relate to several variables. Simultaneous modifications of diet, exercise and body fat over the course of a study could diminish alterations in plasma lipids caused by the administered drug, making persistent changes in lipid concentrations difficult to show. Several observations support this hypothesis. Two groups of investigators have shown that a lipid-lowering diet can negate the elevation in cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol levels associated with the thiazide diuretics.^{5,18} Trends in the American diet towards less saturated fat and cholesterol could have a similar effect. In addition, there is evidence that serum lipid levels tend to fall in normal, older persons as a part of the aging process.²⁶

Interestingly, there is some evidence that drug-induced elevations in plasma lipids in women may be limited to the postmenopausal period. Boehringer and associates studied plasma lipid levels in thiazide-treated women and found a statistically significant increase in cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol concentrations only in the postmenopausal group.²⁰

Glück and colleagues reported that the loop diuretics furosemide and mefruside cause the same alterations in plasma lipids as the thiazides. A significant increase in plasma LDLcholesterol concentrations was found with both agents, in the absence of changes in triglyceride and HDL-cholesterol levels.⁸

Effects of the diuretic indapamide on plasma lipids were evaluated in two studies. Neither found significant effects on plasma lipid and lipoprotein values after six weeks of treatment with 2.5 mg per day.^{19,23}

A few studies investigating the plasma lipid effects of

spironolactone have yielded conflicting results (Table 4). In two, large increases in triglyceride levels were noted with no change in cholesterol values.^{9,73} In a third recent study, significant increases in cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol levels occurred with only a small, insignificant increase in triglycerides.²⁷

β-Adrenergic Antagonists

The term β -blocker encompasses many drugs that can be subdivided into nonselective agents antagonistic at both the β_1 - and β_2 -receptor and cardioselective agents specifically antagonistic for the β_1 -receptor. A further subdivision of the nonselective group has been made because of the suggestion by Leren that those agents with intrinsic sympathomimetic activity (ISA) may behave differently from those without.¹⁷ Several studies of nonselective and cardioselective β -blockers have shown a significant increase in plasma triglyceride levels (Table 2). In fact, several investigators have found triglyceride increases in excess of 50% of baseline.33,36,45 Long-term studies indicate that this elevation in triglyceride levels persists beyond the early treatment period. The Veterans Administration Cooperative Study Group found a 25% increase in triglyceride values in 118 patients treated with propranolol hydrochloride for one year.²¹ Lehtonen and Viikari reported a 66% increase in triglyceride values after one year of sotalol hydrochloride treatment in 12 patients.³⁶ Several studies show these changes to last six to eight months during treatment with the cardioselective agents as well.^{34,57,60}

While plasma total cholesterol does not appear to be affected by β -adrenergic blockade, changes in the concentrations of individual plasma lipoprotein cholesterols do occur. The most important of these in relation to cardiovascular risk is an impressive reduction in plasma HDL-cholesterol levels found with both nonselective and cardioselective agents. All of the observed statistically significant changes in HDL-cholesterol concentrations have been reductions, and the trend has been a reduction for most of the insignificant changes as well. Lehtonen and co-workers found this reduction in HDLcholesterol values to persist for at least six months with atenolol60 and one year with sotalol treatment.36 Several investigators have found that the decrease in plasma HDL-cholesterol levels with β -adrenergic blockade is balanced by an increase in VLDL-cholesterol levels^{34,57,59} and that the combined changes result in a lack of net change in total cholesterol.

Plasma LDL-cholesterol levels have decreased with β blockade in many studies that have quantified this value, but the change has been statistically significant in only two.^{40,44} It is important to note that both studies calculated LDL cholesterol indirectly. Calculated changes in LDL-cholesterol levels may be misleading, as this method assumed VLDL cholesterol to be equivalent to the triglyceride value divided by 5, a relationship that may change with altered lipoprotein metabolism. At this point one might conclude that changes in the LDL-cholesterol value are insignificant.

Dujovne and associates compared the effects of propranolol treatment in patients with normal lipid levels with effects in persons with type II-A and type II-B hyperlipoproteinemia. They found an increase in triglyceride levels in the persons with normal lipid levels, whereas the persons with hyperlipidemia also showed small but statistically significant in-

TABLE	2.— <i>Effe</i>	ects of	β-Adrene	ergic Ant	agonists on	Plasma L	ipid and	Lipoprot	ein Value	s*		
Deference	0===	Dose,	Duration,	Patients,	Trickersides	Total		101.0	1101 0	VLDL-C Plus	LDL Determination	Study
Reierence	Drug	mg/a	WK	Number	Irigiycerides	Cholesterol	VLDL-C	LDL-C	HDL-C	LDL-C	<i>Wethod</i> T	Design‡
Nonselective β -Blocker Without ISA												
Lloyd-Mostyn et al, 1971 ²⁸	Propran	40	2	12	130.0	↓ 3.6						В
lanaka et al, 1976 ²⁹	Propran	60	8	10	↑ 9.7	↓ 8.6	••••		• • •		D	A
Brunzell et al, 19/7 ³⁰	Propran	Var	••••	14	1/2.0§	116.0	• • •			•••		C
Shaw et al, 1978 ³¹	Propran	Var	4	17	↑37.0§	1 3.6						В
Streja and Mymin, 1978 ³²	Propran	Var	2	16	↓ 0.4	↓ 5.8		↓ 2.6	↓12.0§			A
Day et al, 19/9 ³³	Propran	Var	24	16	165.0§	↑ 6.0						A
Bielmann and Leduc, 19/9 ³⁴	Propran	160	8	6	198.0		164.0§	↓17.9	↓22.0§		D	В
Wright et al, $19/9^{35}$	Propran	160	4	20	117.0	↓ 1.5						В
Lentonen and VIIkari, 1979 ³⁰	Sotalol	Var	52	12	100.09	116.09			+26.09	132.09	1.5	A
Leren et al, 1981 ³⁷	Propran	160	8	23	T 23.09	↓ 1.0			+13.09	1 2.1		В
Porte et al. 1983 ³⁶	Propran	100	10	11	T 25.09	T 9.19			↓ 1.0			B
Day et al. 1982 ⁵⁵	Propran	100	12	53	\$0.1C+	¥ 1.4		+ 0.1	+17.09		U	B
Bimbaum et al, 1982 ⁴⁰	Propran	Var	8	20	125.09	↓ 5.69	• • •	+ 9.09	↓ 7.0		1	В
VA Study, 1982	Propran	Var	52	118	125.09	T 3.99			1.0.0			A ·
G010, 1984 ⁴¹ 109.442	Propran	Var	12	20	T17.0	T 1.0			+ 2.0	T 2.0		A
Lowenstein and Neusy, 1984 ⁴²	Propran	Var	8	29	T U.8	↓ 0.8	20.004	T U.5	+ 1.3		I	B+D
Duiouopo et al. 109443	Propran	Var	12	20	122.19	11.3	130.09	0	+ 9.09		D	
	Propran	Var	12	o q	137.09	1 1.0	144.0	+ 5.4 + 2.38	+ 4.5		D	B
Murphy et al 198444	Propran	320	12	9	175	1 6.38	1 8 58	1 8 58	121		Ĩ	A
	riopian	OLU		Ŭ	1 1.0	. 0.03	. 0.03	. 0.03				
WITH ISA	Disidatat	Ver		17	100.00							
England et al. 1978 ⁴³	Pindolol	Var	4	1/	128.09	T 5.4		• • • •				B+D
	Pindolol	Var	10	10	+ 9.0	¥ 4.0			T 3.9	+ 0.0		A
Pasolil el al, 1962 ¹⁰	Pindolol	Var	12	10	1 1.0	T U.3		+ 3.0	120.09		1	B
Karmakoaki at al. 109248	Pindolol	Var	16	20	1 0.9	+ 4.39			1 3.5			A
Murphy et al. 109444	Pindolol	Var	10	13	+17.0	T 1.5	• • •	110.0	T12.0		U	0
Houd Mostup et al. 107128	Overen	20	12	0	* 0.0	* 0.9		¥10.0	♦ 4.0	• • • •	1	A D
Sommers et al. 109149	Oxpren	40	2	10	1 3.3	1 0.3						D
Ballantyne et al. 198150	Oxpren	160	16	00	1 0.0	*12.79	1 2 0		* 1 /			D A
Kieldson at al 108251	Overon	160	10	10	113.0	1 7.0	* 3.0	111.0	1 1.4	* / 1	U	A A
D_{2V} et al. 1982 ³⁹	Ovpron	160	10	53	120.0	1 1.2		1 3 0	+11.49	1 4.1	 D	R
Simons et al 108252	Ovpren	Var	32	12	120.08	↓ 0.5 ↑ 7 0	*50 O	* 3.9	*11.59		D	Δ
	Oxpren	vai	52	12	155.08	1 7.0	130.0	1 7.5	111.5		U	A
β ₁ -Blockers		000		10								-
Lloyd-Mostyn et al, 19/1 ²⁰	Pract	200	2	12	1 7.5	↓ 2.0						В
Waal-Manning, 1976 ³³	Metop		12	14	134.0§	↓ 2.5						A
Nilsson et al, 1977 ⁵⁴	Metop	Var	12	9	↓10.0							A
England et al, 197845	Atenolol	100	4	1/	162.08	1 2.1						B+D
Day et al 107033	Atenolol	Var	24	1/	102.08	1 2.0				•••		D+U ^
Bielmann and Leduc 107034	Meton	200	24	6	124.09	1 1.0	1 1 8	1.86	+ 6 1		 D	R
Wright et al 197035	Meton	200	1	20	140.0	100	* 4.0	* 0.0	1 0.1		U	B
Beinart et al. 1979 ⁵⁵	Meton	200	12	12	0	1 0.5						Δ
England et al. 1980 ⁵⁶	Meton	Var	12	34	10.08	1 0.0			113 08			R
	Atenolol	100	12	34	1 6.08	↓ 0.8			↓10.0			B
Eliasson et al. 1981 ⁵⁷	Atenolol	100	32	15	126.0§	1 7.4	129.08	1 3.7	↓ 1.6		D	A
Thulin et al, 1981 ⁵⁸	Atenolol	Var	6	33	127.0§	↓ 1.7						В
Kjeldsen et al, 1982 ⁵¹	Atenolol	100	18	9	↑17.9§	↓ 1.6			↓16.5	1 2.6	· · · · ·	А
Day et al, 1982 ³⁹	Atenolol	100	12	53	124.0§	1 3.1		↓ 5.2	↓ 7.0§		D	В
	Metop	200	12	53	114.0§	↓ 1.4		↓ 4.4	↓13.0§		Ď	В
Birnbaum et al, 1982 ⁴⁰	Acebut	Var	8	17	↓ 2.1	↓ 7.4		↓12.0§	↓ 2.4		1	В
Pasotti et al, 1982 ⁴⁶	Metop	Var	12	16	↑ 0.5	1 3.1		↑ 4.7	↑ 0.7		1	В
Rössner and Weiner, 1983 ⁵⁹	Atenolol	50	12	20	1 6.4	↓ 2.8	1 4.0	↓ 1.5	+ 2.1		D	В
	Wetop	200	12	20	+ 4.0	1 2.5	129.08	+ 3.2	+ 1.78		D	В
Lentonen and Marniemi, 198460 .	Atenolol	100	24	18	137.0§	8.0§			↓11.8§			A

Acebut = acebutolol, HDL-C = high-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, ISA = intrinsic sympathomimetic activity, LDL-C = low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, Metop = metoprolol, Oxpren = oxprenolol hydrochloride, Pract = practolol, Propran = propranolol hydrochloride, Var = variable, VA Study = Veterans Administration Cooperative Study Group, VLDL-C = very-low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, † = increase, ↓ =

*All lipid values are in percent change unless other units are given. D = direct measurement, I = indirect estimation. A = single drug, uncontrolled; B = crossover; C = with a control group; D = studied drug added as a second drug, first drug listed in footnote.<math>P < .05.

creases in total cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol levels with no change in triglycerides.⁴³

Leren and colleagues reported in 1981 that pindolol, a nonselective β -blocker, did not appear to affect triglyceride or lipoprotein levels and speculated that this might be due to the high intrinsic sympathomimetic activity of the drug.¹⁷ Unlike other β -blockers, pindolol may cause the HDL-cholesterol level to rise, with increases as high as 20% reported.⁴⁶ England and co-workers found a 28% increase in triglycerides when pindolol was added to a regimen with chlorothiazide,⁴⁵ but either no change or statistically nonsignificant decreases in triglyceride levels have been reported in other studies.

Oxprenolol hydrochloride is another nonselective β blocker that possesses ISA. Two studies have found statistically significant decreases in plasma HDL-cholesterol values of about 11%.^{39,51} Day and colleagues found triglyceride levels to be elevated significantly with the use of oxprenolol³⁹; other studies showed a trend for triglyceride levels to increase. Thus, the effects on plasma lipid and lipoprotein values associated with oxprenolol treatment are more similar to those noted with β -blockers without ISA than to those occurring with the use of pindolol. This is probably related to the relative ISA potency of the two drugs, with pindolol having greater partial β -agonist activity than oxprenolol.⁶²

α-Adrenergic Antagonist

Prazosin hydrochloride, an α_1 -antagonist, has stirred considerable interest since Leren and associates reported in 1981 that this drug may have a beneficial effect on plasma lipids and lipoprotein levels. In a crossover trial of propranolol in seven subjects, Leren and co-workers found prazosin therapy to significantly reduce triglycerides, total cholesterol and the sum of VLDL cholesterol plus LDL cholesterol, with no change in HDL-cholesterol levels.³⁷ Since this report, a number of studies have been conducted investigating plasma lipid and lipoprotein changes with prazosin therapy (Table 3). In many studies designed with prazosin added to a previous drug regimen, the results have been quite consistent and include a reduction in triglyceride and an increase in HDL-cholesterol levels. There has been a trend of a decrease in cholesterol, but the change has not been large. A few studies investigating changes in VLDL- and LDL-cholesterol levels have found decreases in both of these lipoproteins, with two groups reporting statistically significant reductions. Rouffy and Jaillard reported that the mean LDL-cholesterol level decreased 13% and VLDL cholesterol 18%.⁷¹ Lowenstein and Neusy reported a 9.4% reduction in LDL-cholesterol concentrations with prazosin therapy.⁴² In conclusion, prazosin tends to lower triglyceride, raise HDL cholesterol and may also lower LDL- and VLDL-cholesterol concentrations.

Two studies have focused on the plasma lipid changes with prazosin therapy given for one year. Lithell and associates failed to observe significant changes in plasma lipids after one year,⁶⁸ whereas Takabatake and associates reported a persistent increase in HDL-cholesterol levels after the same period.⁷²

α - and β -Adrenergic Antagonists

Labetalol is a nonselective β -blocker that also has α -antagonistic activity. This drug recently was released for use in the United States. Five studies have evaluated changes in triglyceride levels with labetalol hydrochloride therapy, with four reporting nonsignificant increases (Table 4).^{39,49,58,74} Changes in plasma HDL-cholesterol values were assessed in only two studies, with one reporting no change and the other a nonsignificant decrease.^{39,49} Sommers and colleagues reported no change in HDL-cholesterol levels but found a statistically significant decrease in total cholesterol of 14%.⁴⁹ No studies have investigated changes in LDL- or VLDL-cholesterol values with the use of labetalol.

Calcium Channel Blockers

Calcium channel blockers are relatively new agents that are rapidly becoming popular in the treatment of angina and hypertension. Nifedipine and verapamil, two members of this

Reference	Dose, mg/d	Duration, wk	Patients, Number	Triglycerides	Total Cholesterol	VLDL-C	LDL-C	HDL-C	VLDL-C Plus LDL-C	LDL Determination Method†	Study Design‡
Kirkendall et al, 1978 ⁶³	Var	8	13		↓ 5.3§						А
Leren et al, 1980 ³⁷	4	8	7	↓16.5§	↓ 9.0§			↓ 4.0	↓10.0§		В
Leichter and Baumgardner, 198164	Var	Var	7	↑ 4.0	↓ 4.3			↑ 6.0			A+D
Velasco et al, 1982 ⁶⁵	Var	12	19	↓27.0	↑ 7.5	↓22.0	↓ 2.2	↑19.6		D	A+D
Kokubu et al, 1982 ⁶⁶	Var	12	14	1 0.9	↓ 0.7			↑12.5	↓ 4.5		А
Havard et al, 1982 ⁶⁷	Var	8	17	↓14.0	↓ 0.9			↑ 7.7			A
Lithell et al, 1982 ⁶⁸	Var	52	8	↓ 0.7	↓ 3.2	↓15.0	↓ 6.5	0		D	А
Goto, 1984 ⁴¹	Var	12	17	↓21.0§	↓ 1.1			↓ 4.0	↓ 4.2		A+D
Lowenstein and Neusy, 1984 ⁴²	Var	8	29	↓20.0§	↓ 7.3§		↓ 9.4§	↑ 6.0§			A+D
Kather and Sauberlich, 198469	Var	12	15	1 6.8	1 2.8			↑ 8.5§			А
Mauersberger, 1984 ⁷⁰	Var	8	15	↓ 3.2	↓ 2.3			↑10.9§			A+D
Rouffy and Jaillard, 1984 ⁷¹	Var	13	24	↓ 9.8§	↓ 7.9§	↓19.0§	↓13.0§	↑13.0§		D	А
Johnson et al, 1984 ²²	Var	12	20	↓ 5.7	↑ 2.3	↓12.0	↑ 4.7	1 3.9		D	B+D
Takabatake et al, 1984 ⁷²	Var	52	15	↓ 7.3	↑ 2.0			↑17.0§			А
HDL-C = high-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C = low-d	ensity-lipo	protein chole	sterol, Var =	variable, VLDL-C	= very-low-der	nsity-lipopro	tein cholester	ol, † = increa	se,↓= decre	ase	

A = single drug, uncontrolled; B = crossover; D = studied drug added as a second d <math>P < .05.

class, have been investigated for their effects on plasma lipids (Table 4). Vessby and co-workers reported in 1983 that nifedipine failed to significantly alter plasma lipid and lipoprotein values in 11 subjects.⁷⁹ In a study of verapamil in 12 patients, 10 with angina, Walldius found statistically significant decreases in both total and LDL-cholesterol concentrations.⁷⁶ Two other investigators failed to verify this, although they did find insignificant decreases in LDL-cholesterol levels.^{77,78}

Diabetes Mellitus and Antihypertensive Therapy

In several studies persons with diabetes mellitus had alterations in plasma lipids similar to those without diabetes when treated with various antihypertensive drugs. Bloomgarden and associates found a significantly higher level of LDL cholesterol in a cross-sectional study of patients with diabetes treated with thiazides compared with diabetic patients not receiving drugs.²⁴ Other studies of patients with diabetes found nonsignificant elevations in triglyceride levels when treated with propranolol or metoprolol³⁵ and a nonsignificant elevation in HDL-cholesterol concentrations when treated with prazosin.⁶⁴

Pronounced hypertriglyceridemia (a triglyceride level of greater than 2,000 mg per dl as chylomicrons and VLDL) has been reported in persons with diabetes treated with β -adrenergic blocking agents or diuretics.⁸⁰ These patients are unique in that they have inherited both a familial form of hypertrigly-ceridemia and the propensity for diabetes mellitus. The simultaneous inheritance of these two independent disorders appears to make them particularly sensitive to these agents.⁸¹

HDL-Cholesterol Subfractions

There is evidence that the HDL-cholesterol subfraction HDL₂ may be a better predictor of coronary artery disease than HDL cholesterol.⁸³ In several recent studies the effects of antihypertensive drugs on HDL-cholesterol subfractions

were investigated (Table 5). Lehtonen and Marniemi and Meltzer and associates found that the decreases in HDL-cholesterol levels seen with the use of β -blockers were primarily due to decreases in HDL₂, without a significant change in the HDL₃ subfraction.^{60.82} Rouffy and Jaillard also found a decrease in HDL-cholesterol levels with atenolol therapy to be associated with a decrease in HDL₂.⁷¹ In single studies, the use of the drugs prazosin and verapamil have been associated with increases in the HDL₃ subfraction.^{76.82}

Apoproteins

In addition to the individual lipoproteins discussed previously, apoproteins have a predictive value for coronary artery disease. Specifically, persons with coronary artery disease have had elevated levels of apoprotein B compared with controls matched for total cholesterol and triglyceride levels.⁸⁴ The ratio of apoprotein A-I to apoprotein A-II, both of which are found in HDL cholesterol, can be used as a rough guide to changes in HDL₂ levels. An increase in this ratio is associated with an elevation in HDL₂.⁸⁵ Changes in specific apoprotein levels with antihypertensive medications have been evaluated by several investigators (Table 6).

The thiazide diuretics significantly altered apoprotein levels in only one study. Boehringer and colleagues found a 16% increase in apoprotein B levels in postmenopausal women treated with chlorthalidone.²⁰ Other studies show a trend towards an increase in apoprotein B that parallels the increase in LDL-cholesterol values. Changes in apoproteins A-I and A-II have been nonsignificant.

 β -Blockade has been found to decrease apoprotein A-I in a study by Rouffy and Jaillard and to decrease apoprotein A-I plus A-II levels in a study by England and co-workers.^{56.71} This result is in agreement with the previously mentioned decreases in HDL cholesterol. Although Rouffy and Jaillard have found a significant increase in apoprotein B values with

Reference	Dose, mg/d	Duration, wk	Patients, Number	Triglycerides	Total Cholesterol	VLDL-C	LDL-C	HDL-C	VLDL-C Plus LDL-C	LDL Determination Method†	Study Design‡
Spironolactone		S.M.	and a star				1. Sector		a farmer	and and the	
Ames and Hill, 1978 ⁹	Var	24	20	119.0§	1 2.2						А
Schersten et al, 1980 ⁷³	200	8	45	↑13.0§	1.6						А
Hunninghake et al, 1984 ²⁷	100	6		1 5.8	↑ 3.6§		↑ 5.3§	↓ 1.5			В
Labetalol											
Pagnun et al, 1979 ⁷⁴	Var	16	8	t27.0	↓ 1.6						А
McGonigle et al, 1981 ⁷⁵		52	33	↓12.0	↓ 4.0						А
Sommers et al, 198149	300	3	80	↑ 2.0	↓14.0§			0			В
Thulin et al, 1981 ⁵⁸	Var	6	33	↑ 6.7	1 3.3						В
Day et al, 1982 ³⁹	400	6	11	1.2	1 6.6			↓ 7.4			В
Calcium Channel Blockers—Verapamil											
Walldius, 1982 ⁷⁶	Var	24	12	↓ 8.1	↓ 7.6§	↓15.0	↓ 8.5§	↓ 0.7		D	А
Faergeman et al, 1982 ⁷⁷	360	24	13	↑ 9.0	↓ 5.5	†66.0	↓12.0	↓ 8.0		D	С
Strunge et al, 1982 ⁷⁸		24	21	↓ 1.3	↑ 6.7		↓ 1.7	↓ 1.0		1	С
Nifedipine											
Vessby et al, 1983 ⁷⁹	80	12	11	t13.0		↓11.0	↓ 0.2	↑ 9.5		D	А
HDL-C = high-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C = low-de	nsity-lipo	protein chole	sterol, Var =	variable, VLDL-C	= very-low-de	nsity-lipopro	tein choleste	rol, † = increa	ase,↓= decre	ase	

Reference	Drug	HDL-C	HDL ₂	HDL ₃
Murphy et al, 1984 ⁴⁴	Propranolol hydrochloride Pindolol	↓ 2.1 ↓ 4.6	↓16.0 ↓ 6.1	↑ 5.9 ↓ 3.8
Lehtonen and Marniemi, 198460	Atenolol	↓12.0†	↓28.0†	↓ 3.8
Rouffy and Jaillard, 1984 ⁷¹	Atenolol	↓10.0†	↓ 6.1†	
Waldius, 1982 ⁷⁶	Verapamil	↓ 0.7	↓ 8.7	↑12.0†
Meltzer et al, 1984 ⁸²	Propranolol Prazosin hydrochloride	↓21.0† ↑ 9.9	↓23.0† ↓ 6.7	↓15.0 ↑26.0†
↑ = increase, ↓ = decrease				
*Values represent percent change. $†P \leq .05$.				

		Apoproteins					
Reference	Drug	В	A-I	A-11			
Glück et al, 1980 ¹⁴	Chlorthalidone Chlorthalidone	↑ 2.8 ↑ 5.3	0 ↓ 0.8	↓2.6 11.9			
Mordasini et al, 1980 ¹⁵	Chlorthalidone	↑ 9.8	↓ 1.7	↓7.5			
Weidmann et al, 1981 ¹⁹	Indapamide	↓ 2.7					
Boehringer et al, 1982 ²⁰	Chlorthalidone Chlorthalidone	0 ↑16.0†	↓ 4.8 ↓ 4.7	↓2.3 ↓7.8			
England et al, 1980 ⁵⁶	Metoprolol Atenolol	↓ 2.3 ↓13.0	↓ 9.1† (A-I plus A- ↓ 9.8† (A-I plus A-	·) ·)			
Lithell et al, 198268	Prazosin hydrochloride	↓ 2.5	↑ 1.9	↓3.1			
Rouffy and Jaillard, 1984 ⁷¹	Atenolol Prazosin	↑ 2.9† ↓ 7.3†	↓ 6.4† 111.0†	· · · · · · ·			
t = increase, ↓ = decrease							

atenolol therapy,⁷¹ significant changes in this apoprotein have not been found by other authors. α -Blockade with the use of prazosin was found by Rouffy and Jaillard to cause a decrease in apoprotein B and an increase in apoprotein A-I levels.⁷¹ Lithell and associates found no significant changes in the apoproteins with prazosin, however.⁶⁸

Discussion

From the above observations, it is possible to make some general mechanistic speculations as to how the described drugs cause their characteristic changes in lipid and lipoprotein concentrations. β -Blockade appears to increase plasma triglyceride and decrease HDL-cholesterol levels, whereas α -blockade seems to cause a decrease in triglyceride and an increase in HDL-cholesterol levels. These findings support a theory first described by Day and colleagues that unopposed α -stimulation may be responsible for the decrease in HDL cholesterol and an increase in triglyceride levels seen with β -blocker treatment.³⁹ Day and co-workers proposed that unopposed α -stimulation causes these changes through the inhibition of lipoprotein lipase.³⁹ There is no direct evidence that this occurs, however. Attempts to infer that a decreased Intralipid (an emulsion of soybean triglyceride and phospholipid) clearance³⁹ associated with β -blocker therapy represents adrenergic inhibition of lipoprotein lipase⁸⁶ may be misleading. The lipoprotein lipase triglyceride removal system is a saturable enzyme system in humans.⁸⁷ The delayed clearance of Intralipid may reflect an increase in serum triglyceride levels due to an increased input of very-low-density lipoprotein; a relative defect in clearance may be due to the increase in input.

Hooper and associates in 1981 reported a 10% increase in plasma HDL cholesterol with the use of terbutaline, an adrenergic agonist relatively selective for the β_2 -receptor.⁸⁸ This evidence, plus reports of antagonistic effects of α - and β -activity on human adipocyte activity,^{69,89} led to the proposal that changes in triglyceride and HDL-cholesterol levels are related to the ratio of total α - and β -activity, with an increase in the ratio (as with β -blockade) leading to increased triglyceride and decreased HDL-cholesterol levels; a decrease in the ratio (as with α -blockade) leads to decreased triglyceride and increased HDL-cholesterol values. Labetalol, with both α and β -adrenergic antagonistic activity, would not be expected to greatly alter this adrenergic ratio. Similarly, pindolol, a partial agonist of the β -receptor, acts as both a β -adrenergic agonist and antagonist and would not greatly alter this adrenergic ratio.

It is possible to relate the thiazide- and loop diuretic-induced elevations in triglyceride levels to the above scheme. These agents, through a depletion of intravascular volume, cause a reactive elevation in plasma catecholamine levels with an increase in α -adrenergic activity. There does not appear to be a concomitant decrease in HDL-cholesterol concentrations, however, as would be predicted by the above hypothesis. Further, the mechanism for the increase in LDL cholesterol seen with these agents is obscure.

REFERENCES

1. Veterans Administration Cooperative Study Group on Antihypertensive Agents: Effects of treatment on morbidity and hypertension—II. Results in patients with diastolic blood pressure averaging 90 through 114 mm Hg. JAMA 1970; 213:1143-1152

^{2.} Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program Cooperative Group: Five year findings of the hypertension detection and follow-up program-I. Reduction in mor-

tality of persons with high blood pressure, including mild hypertension. JAMA 1979; 242:2562-2571

3. Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial Research Group: Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial: Risk factor changes and mortality results. JAMA 1982; 248:1465-1477

4. Helgeland A: Treatment of mild hypertension: A five year controlled drug trial-The Oslo Study. Am J Med 1980; 69:725-732

5. Lasser NL, Grandits G, Caggiula AW, et al: Effects of antihypertensive therapy on plasma lipids and lipoproteins in the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. Am J Med 1984; 76:52-66

6. Miller GJ, Miller NE: Plasma-high-density-lipoprotein concentration and development of ischaemic heart-disease. Lancet 1975; 1:16-19

7. Ames RP, Hill P: Increase in serum-lipids during treatment of hypertension with chlorthalidone. Lancet 1976; 1:721-723

8. Glück Z, Baumgartner G, Weidmann P, et al: Increased ratio between serum β and α -lipoproteins during diuretic therapy: An adverse effect? Clin Sci 1978; 55:325s-328s

9. Ames RP, Hill P: Raised serum lipid concentrations during diuretic treatment of hypertension: A study of predictive indexes. Clin Sci 1978; 55:311s-314s

10. Helgeland A, Hjermann I, Leren P, et al: High-density lipoprotein cholesterol and antihypertensive drugs: The Oslo Study. Br Med J 1978; 2:403

11. Rosenthal T, Holtzman E, Segal P: The effect of chlorthalidone on serum lipids and lipoproteins. Atherosclerosis 1980; 36:111-115

12. Van Brummelen P, Gevers Leuven JA, van Gent CM: Influence of hydrochlorothiazide on the plasma levels of triglycerides, total cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol in patients with essential hypertension. Curr Med Res Opin 1979; 6:24-29

13. Goldman AI, Steele BW, Schnaper HW, et al: Serum lipoprotein levels during chlorthalidone therapy—A Veterans Administration-National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute cooperative study on antihypertensive therapy: Mild hypertension. JAMA 1980; 244:1691-1695

14. Glück Z, Weidmann P, Mordasini R, et al: Increased serum low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in men treated short-term with the diuretic chlorthalidone. Metabolism 1980; 29:240-245

15. Mordasini R, Glück Z, Weidmann P, et al: Zur Pathogenese der Diuretika-induzierten Hyperlipoproteinämie. Klin Wochenschr 1980; 58:359-363

16. Crisp AJ, Kennedy PG, Hoffbrand BI, et al: Lipids and lipoprotein fractions after cyclopenthiazide and oxprenolol: A double-blind crossover study. Curr Med Res Opin 1980; 7:101-103

17. Leren P, Foss OP, Helgeland A, et al: Effects of pindolol and hydrochlorothiazide on blood lipids—The Oslo Study. Clin Trials J 1981; 18:254-260

 Grimm RH Jr, Leon AS, Hunninghake DB, et al: Effects of thiazide diuretics on plasma lipids and lipoproteins in mildly hypertensive patients. Ann Intern Med 1981; 94:7-11

19. Weidmann P, Meier A, Mordasini R, et al: Diuretic treatment and serum lipoproteins: Effects of tienilic acid and indapamide. Klin Wochenschr 1981; 59:343-346

20. Boehringer K, Weidmann P, Mordasini R, et al: Menopause-dependent plasma lipoprotein alterations in diuretic-treated women. Ann Intern Med 1982; 97:206-209

21. Veterans Administration Cooperative Study Group: Comparison of propranolol and hydrochlorothiazide for the initial treatment of hypertension—II. Results of long-term therapy. JAMA 1982; 248:2004-2011

22. Johnson BF, Romero L, Johnson J, et al: Comparative effects of propranolol and prazosin upon serum lipids in thiazide-treated hypertensive patients. Am J Med 1984; 76:109-112

23. Meyer-Sabellek W, Heitz J Jr, Arntz JR, et al: The influence of indapamide on serum lipoproteins in essential hypertension. Methods Find Exp Clin Pharmacol 1984; 6:471-474

24. Bloomgarden ZT, Ginsberg-Fellner F, Rayfield EJ, et al: Elevated hemoglobin $A_{\rm lc}$ and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in thiazide-treated diabetic patients. Am J Med 1984; 77:823-827

25. Cypress BK: Medication Therapy in Office Visits for Hypertension: National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, 1982, US Dept Health and Human Services publication No. (PHS) 82-12. Hyattsville, Md, National Center for Health Statistics, 1982 (advance data from Vital and Health Statistics No. 80)

26. Amery A, Birkenhäger W, Bolpitt C, et al: Influence of anti-hypertensive therapy on serum cholesterol in elderly hypertensive patients. Acta Cardiol 1982; 37:235-244

27. Hunninghake DB, Hibbard DM, Grim RH, et al: Effects of spironolactone and hydrochlorothiazide, singly and in combination, on plasma lipids and lipoproteins (Abstr). Circulation 1984; 70:II-128

28. Lloyd-Mostyn RH, Lefevre D, Lord PS, et al: The effect of β -adrenergic blocking agents on serum lipids. Atherosclerosis 1971; 14:283-286

29. Tanaka N, Sakaguchi S, Oshige K, et al: Effect of chronic administration of propranolol on lipoprotein composition. Metabolism 1976; 25:1071-1074

 $30. \ Brunzell JD, Albers JJ, Haas LB, et al: Prevalence of serum lipid abnormalities in chronic hemodialysis. Metabolism 1977; 26:903-910$

31. Shaw J, England JD, Hua AS: Beta-blockers and plasma triglycerides (Letter). Br Med J 1978; 1:986

32. Streja D, Mymin D: Effect of propranolol on HDL cholesterol concentrations (Letter). Br Med J 1978; 2:1495

33. Day JL, Simpson N, Metcalfe J, et al: Metabolic consequences of atenolol and propranolol in treatment of essential hypertension. Br Med J 1979; 1:77-80

34. Bielmann P, Leduc G: Effects of metoprolol and propranolol on lipid metabolism. Int J Clin Pharmacol Biopharm 1979; 17:378-382

35. Wright AD, Barber SG, Kendall MJ, et al: β -Adrenoreceptor-blocking drugs and blood sugar control in diabetes mellitus. Br Med J 1979; 1:159-161

36. Lehtonen A, Viikari J: Long-term effect of sotalol on plasma lipids. Clin Sci 1979; 57(Suppl):405s-407s

37. Leren P, Foss PO, Helgeland A, et al: Effect of propranolol and prazosin on blood lipids—The Oslo Study. Lancet 1980; 2:4-6

38. Ponti GB, Carnovali M, Banderali G, et al: Effects of labetalol on the lipid metabolism in hypertensive patients. Curr Ther Res 1983; 33:466-471

39. Day JL, Metcalfe J, Simpson CN: Adrenergic mechanisms in control of plasma lipid concentrations. Br Med J (Clin Res) 1982; 284:1145-1148

40. Birnbaum J, Di Brunco R, Becker KL, et al: Glucose and lipid metabolism during arebutolol and propranolol therapy of angina in nondiabetic patients. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1983; 333:294-300

41. Goto Y: Effects of α - and β -blocker antihypertensive therapy on blood lipids: A multicenter trial. Am J Med 1984; 76:72-78

42. Lowenstein J, Neusy AJ: Effects of prazosin and propranolol on serum lipids in patients with essential hypertension. Am J Med 1984; $76{:}79{\cdot}84$

43. Dujovne CA, DeCoursey S, Krehbiel P, et al: Serum lipids in normo- and hyperlipidemics and after methyldopa and propranolol. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1984: 36:157-162

44. Murphy MB, Sugrue D. Trayner I, et al: Effects of short term beta adrenoreceptor blockade on serum lipids and lipoproteins in patients with hypertension or coronary artery disease. Br Heart J 1984; 51:589-594

45. England JD, Hua AS, Shaw J: β -Adrenoreceptor-blocking agents and lipid metabolism. Clin Sci 1978; 55:323s-324s

46. Pasotti C, Capra A, Fiorella G, et al: Effects of pindolol and metoprolol on plasma lipids and lipoproteins. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1982; 13:435s-439s

47. Lehtonen A, Hietanen E, Marniemi J, et al: Effect of pindolol on serum lipids and lipid metabolizing enzymes. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1982; 13:445s-447s

48. Karmakoski J, Viikari J, Rönnema T: Effect of pindolol on serum lipoproteins in patients with coronary heart disease. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther Toxicol 1983; 21:189-191

49. Sommers DK, De Villiers LS, Van Wyk M, et al: The effects of labetolol and oxprenolol on blood lipids. S Afr Med J 1981; 60:379-380

50. Ballantyne D, Ballantyne FC, McMurdo: Effect of slow oxprenolol and a combination of slow oxprenolol and cyclopenthiazide on plasma lipoproteins. Atherosclerosis 1981; 39:301-306

51. Kjeldsen SE, Eide I, Leren P, et al: The effect on HDL cholesterol of oxprenolol and atenolol. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 1982; 42:449-453

52. Simons LA, England JDF, Balasubramaniam S, et al: Long-term treatment with slow release oxprenolol alone or in combination with other drugs: Effects on blood pressure, lipoproteins and exercise performance. Aust NZ J Med 1982; 12:612-616

53. Waal-Manning HJ: Metabolic effects of β -adrenoreceptor blockers. Drugs 1976; (Suppl): 121-129

54. Nilsson A, Hansson BG, Hökfelt B: Beta blockers and lipid metabolism. Br Med J 1977; 2:126

55. Beinart IW, Crump DG, Pearson RM, et al: The effect of metoprolol on plasma lipids. Postgrad Med J 1979; 55:709-711

56. England JD, Simons LA, Gibson JC, et al: The effect of metoprolol and atenolol on plasma high density lipoprotein levels in man. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 1980; 7:329-333

57. Eliasson K, Lins LE, Rössner S: Serum lipoprotein changes during atenolol treatment of essential hypertension. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1981; 20:335-338

 Thulin T, Henningsen NC, Karlberg BE, et al: Clinical and metabolic effects of labetalol compared with atenolol in primary hypertension. Curr Ther Res 1981; 30:194-204

59. Rössner S, Weiner L: Atenolol and metoprolol: Comparison of effects on blood pressure and serum lipoproteins, and side effects. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1983; 23:573-577

60. Lehtonen A, Marniemi J: Effect of atenolol on plasma HDL cholesterol subfractions. Atherosclerosis 1984; 51:335-338

61. Friedwalt WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS: Estimation of the concentration of low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative centrifuge. Clin Chem 1972; 18:499-502

62. Kaumann AJ, Blinks JR: β -Adrenoceptor blocking agents as partial agonists in isolated heart muscle: Dissociation of stimulation and blockade. Naunyn-Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol 1980; 311:237-248

63. Kirkendall WM, Hammond JJ, Thomas JC, et al: Prazosin and clonidine for moderately severe hypertension. JAMA 1978; 240:2553-2556

 $64. \ Leichter \ SB, \ Baumgardner \ B: \ Effects \ of \ chronic \ prazosin \ therapy \ on intermediary \ metabolism \ in \ diabetic \ patients. \ J \ Cardiovasc \ Med \ 1981; \ 55:38-42$

65. Velasco M, Silva H, Morillo J, et al: Effect of prazosin on blood lipids and on thyroid function in hypertensive patients. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 1982; 4(Suppl):225-227

66. Kokubu T, Itoh I, Kurita H, et al: Effect of prazosin on serum lipids. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 1982; 4(Suppl):228-232

67. Havard CW, Khokhar AM, Flax JS: Open assessment of the effect of prazosin on plasma lipids. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 1982; 4(Suppl):238-241

68. Lithell H, Waern U, Vessby B: Effect of prazosin on lipoprotein metabolism in premenopausal hypertensive women. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 1982; 4(Suppl):242-247

69. Kather H, Sauberlich P: Comparison of in vitro and in vivo effects of prazosin on lipid metabolism. Am J Med 1984; 76:89-93

70. Mauersberger H: Effect of prazosin on blood pressure and plasma lipids in patients receiving a β -blocker and diuretic regimen. Am J Med 1984; 76:101-104

71. Rouffy J, Jaillard J: Comparative effects of prazosin and atenolol on plasma lipids in hypertensive patients. Am J Med 1984; 76:105-108

72. Takabatake T, Ohta H, Maekawa M, et al: Effects of long-term prazosin therapy on lipoprotein metabolism in hypertensive patients. Am J Med 1984; 76:113-116

 Schersten B, Thulin T, Kuylenstierna J, et al: Clinical and biochemical effects of spironolactone administered once daily in primary hypertension. Hypertension 1980; 2:672-679

74. Pagnun A, Pessina AC, Hlede M, et al: Effects of labetalol on lipid and carbohydrate metabolism. Pharmacol Res Commun 1979; 113:227-236

75. McGonigle RJ, Williams L, Murphy MJ, et al: Labetalol and lipids (Letter). Lancet 1981; 1:163

76. Walldius G: Effect of verapamil on serum lipoproteins in patients with angina pectoris. Acta Med Scand 1982; 681(Suppl):43-48

77. Faergeman O, Meinertz H, Hansen JF: Serum lipoproteins after treatment with verapamil for 6 months. Acta Med Scand 1982; 681(Suppl):49-51

78. Strunge P, Engby B, Schmidt E, et al: Variation of serum lipoproteins in postmyocardial infarction patients treated with verapamil or placebo. Acta Med Scand 1982; 681(Suppl):53-57

79. Vessby B, Abelin J, Finnson M, et al: Effects of nifedipine treatment on carbohydrate and lipoprotein metabolism. Curr Ther Res 1983; 33:1075-1081

80. Biesbroeck RC, Brunzell JD: Development of Marked Hypertriglyceridemia With Antihypertensive Medications: The Chylomicronemia Syndrome. Programs and abstracts of the Sixth International Symposium on Atherosclerosis, Berlin, Jun 14-18, 1982

 Brunzell JD, Bierman EL: Chylomicronemia syndrome: Interaction of genetic and acquired hypertriglyceridemia. Med Clin North Am 1982; 66:455-468 82. Meltzer VN, Goldberg AP, Tindira CA, et al: Effects of prazosin and propranolol on blood pressure and plasma lipids in patients undergoing chronic hemodialysis. Am J Cardiol 1984; 53:40A-45A

 Miller NE, Hammett F, Saltissi S: Relation of angiographically defined coronary artery disease to plasma lipoprotein subfractions and apoproteins. Br Med J 1981; 282:1741-1744

84. Avogaro P, Cazzolato G, Bittolo Bon G, et al: Levels and chemical composition of HDL_2 , HDL_3 , and other lipoprotein classes in survivors of myocardial infarction. Artery 1979; 5:495-508

85. Cheung MC, Albers JJ: Distribution of cholesterol and apolipoprotein A-I and A-II in human high density lipoprotein subfractions separated by CcC1 equilibrium gradient centrifugation: Evidence for HDL subpopulations with differing A-I/A-II molar ratios. J Lipid Res 1979; 20:200-207

86. Ashby P. Bennett DP, Spencer IM, et al: Post-translational regulation of lipoprotein lipase activity in adipose tissue. Biochem J 1978; 176:865-872

87. Brunzell JD, Hazzard WR, Porte D Jr, et al: Evidence for a common saturable triglyceride removal mechanism for chylomicrons and very low density lipoproteins in man. J Clin Invest 1973; 52:1578-1585

88. Hooper PL, Woo W, Visconti L, et al: Terbutaline raises high-density-lipoprotein-cholesterol levels. N Engl J Med 1981; 305:1455-1457

89. Wright EE, Simpson ER: Inhibition of the lipolytic action of β -adrenergic agonists in human adipocytes by α -adrenergic agonists. J Lipid Res 1981; 22:1265-1270