The Short-Lived Matα2 Transcriptional Repressor Is Protected from Degradation In Vivo by Interactions with Its Corepressors Tup1 and Ssn6

Jeffrey D. Laney,¹ Erika F. Mobley,¹ and Mark Hochstrasser^{2*}

Department of Molecular Biology, Cell Biology, and Biochemistry, Brown University, Box G-J2, Providence, Rhode Island 02912,¹ and Department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry, Yale University, 266 Whitney Avenue, P.O. Box 208114, New Haven, Connecticut 06520²

Received 9 May 2005/Returned for modification 18 July 2005/Accepted 13 October 2005

The Mat $\alpha 2$ ($\alpha 2$) protein is a transcriptional repressor necessary for the proper expression of cell typespecific genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Like many transcription factors, $\alpha 2$ is rapidly degraded in vivo by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. At least two different ubiquitin-dependent pathways target $\alpha 2$ for destruction, one of which recognizes the well-characterized *Deg1* degradation determinant near the N terminus of the protein. Here we report that the $\alpha 2$ corepressors Tup1 and Ssn6 modify the in vivo degradation rate of $\alpha 2$. Tup1 modulates the metabolic stability of $\alpha 2$ by directly binding to the *Deg1*-containing region of the protein. *TUP1* overexpression specifically stabilizes Deg1-containing proteins but not other substrates of the same ubiquitination enzymes that recognize *Deg1*. Point mutations in both $\alpha 2$ and Tup1 that compromise the $\alpha 2$ -Tup1 binding interaction disrupt the ability of Tup1 to stabilize *Deg1* proteins. The physical association between Tup1 and $\alpha 2$ competes with the ubiquitination machinery for access to the *Deg1* signal. Finally, we observe that overproduction of both Tup1 and Ssn6, but not either alone, strongly stabilizes the endogenous $\alpha 2$ protein. From these results, we propose that the fraction of $\alpha 2$ found in active regulatory complexes with Tup1 and Ssn6 is spared from rapid proteolytic destruction and is stabilized relative to the uncomplexed pool of the protein.

The determination of different cell types in Saccharomyces cerevisiae provides a simple model for understanding the transcriptional regulatory mechanisms that specify distinct cellular identities (10, 11, 17). Haploid yeast cells exist as either of two types, **a** or α , that can conjugate with each other to produce a third kind of cell, the a/α diploid. These three cell types are phenotypically distinct because of the expression of cell typespecific genes: cells of the α type exclusively activate α -specific genes, while the a-specific genes are transcribed only in a cells. In addition, a set of haploid-specific genes are expressed in both **a** and α cells but are repressed in \mathbf{a}/α diploids. These unique patterns of gene expression are regulated by a small number of transcription factors that function in various combinations to create this complex transcriptional circuit (7). For example, the a-specific genes are activated in a cells by the DNA-binding protein Mcm1 but are strongly repressed in α and \mathbf{a}/α cells through the combinatorial action of Mcm1 and the homeodomain protein Mat α 2 (α 2). The binding of these two proteins to sequences in the upstream region of a-specific genes tethers the Tup1-Ssn6 general repression complex in the vicinity of **a**-specific gene promoters (19, 20), where it potently represses transcription by a variety of mechanisms (35). This repression complex is recruited to target promoters by a2 through several distinct protein-protein interactions: Tup1 binds to the N-terminal domain of $\alpha 2$, while Ssn6 directly

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry, Yale University, 266 Whitney Avenue, P.O. Box 208114, New Haven, CT 06520. Phone: (203) 432-5101. Fax: (203) 432-5175. E-mail: mark.hochstrasser@yale.edu. contacts the homeodomain in the C terminus of the protein (22, 34, 36).

Although $\alpha 2$ directs the extremely robust and stable repression of **a**-specific genes in α haploid cells, the α 2 protein itself is very short lived in vivo (half-life, <5 min) (16). This rapid degradation is carried out by the ubiquitin-proteasome system (4, 14), which plays an essential role in a wide array of diverse cellular processes (9, 13, 43). In addition to degrading naturally short-lived regulators like $\alpha 2$, the ubiquitin system is also responsible for recognizing aberrant, nonnative proteins and tagging them for destruction. For efficient degradation by the 26S proteasome, nearly all substrates are modified by polymers of the small protein ubiquitin (9, 30). The conjugation of ubiquitin to target proteins requires a series of enzymes that include the E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme, E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, and E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase. Although some E3 proteins directly participate in the catalytic transfer of ubiquitin to substrates by forming a ubiquitin-E3 thiolester intermediate, most E3s facilitate E2-dependent protein ubiquitination through direct interactions with both the E2 and the substrate that bring them in close proximity and activate ubiquitin transfer (29, 43).

For the α^2 protein, normal rates of degradation in α haploid cells depend on at least two different ubiquitination pathways that each require distinct E2 and E3 enzymes (5, 24, 38). One of these pathways recognizes an undefined degradation signal and utilizes the closely related E2 enzymes Ubc4 and Ubc5. The other uses a ubiquitination complex composed of a RING-CH domain E3 called Doa10 and the E2s Ubc6 and Ubc7 to recognize the *Deg1* degradation signal found in the N

TABLE 1.	Yeast	strains
----------	-------	---------

Strain	Genotype	Reference or source
YPH499	MATa ura3-52 lys2-801 ade2-101 trp1- Δ 63 his3- Δ 200 leu2- Δ 1	33
YPH500	MAT α ura3-52 lys2-801 ade2-101 trp1- Δ 63 his3- Δ 200 leu2- Δ 1	33
JY100	MAT α ura3-52 lys2-801 ADE2 trp1- Δ 63 his3- Δ 200 leu2- Δ 1::LEU2-Deg1-lacZ	This study
JY102	MAT α ura3-52 lys2-801 ADE2 trp1- Δ 63 his3- Δ 200 leu2- Δ 1	This study
JY103	$MATa$ ura3-52 lys2-801 ADE2 trp1- Δ 63 his3- Δ 200 leu2- Δ 1	This study
JY104	MATα ura3-52 lys2-801::LYS2-Deg1-URA3(α1) ADE2 trp1-Δ63 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1::LEU2-Deg1-lacZ	This study
JY112	MATα ura3-52 lys2-801::LYS2-Deg1-URA3 ADE2 trp1-Δ63 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1::LEU2-Deg1-lacZ	This study
JY115	MAT α ura3-52 lys2-801::LYS2-Deg1-URA3 ADE2 trp1- Δ 63 his3- Δ 200 leu2- Δ 1	This study
JY172	MATα ura3-52 lys2-801::LYS2-Deg1-URA3 ADE2 trp1-Δ63 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 ubc4Δ::HIS3 ubc6Δ::LEU2	This study
JY187	MAT α ura3-52 lys2-801 ADE2 trp1- Δ 63 his3- Δ 200 leu2- Δ 1 ubc6 Δ ::HIS3	This study
JY204	MAT α ura3-52 lys2-801 ADE2 trp1- Δ 63 his3- Δ 200 leu2- Δ 1 prc1-1	This study
JY253	mat@2Δ::HIS3MX ura3-52 lys2-801 ADE2 trp1-Δ63 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1	This study
JY352	mato2Δ::kanMX ura3-52 lys2-801::LYS2-Deg1-URA3 ADE2 trp1-Δ63 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 ubc4Δ::HIS3 ubc6Δ::leu2::TRP1	This study
JY381	MATa ura3-52 lys2-801 ADE2 trp1- Δ 63 his3- Δ 200 leu2- Δ 1 ubc4 Δ ::HIS3 ubc6 Δ ::LEU2	This study
JY383	mat∞2Δ::kanMX ura3-52 lys2-801 ADE2 trp1-Δ63 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 ubc4Δ::HIS3 ubc6Δ::leu2::TRP1	This study
YTX140	MATa ura3-52 lys2-801 trp1-1 his3- $\Delta 200$ leu2-3,112 prc1-1	3

terminus of $\alpha 2$ (5, 38). Mutagenesis experiments have implicated the hydrophobic face of a predicted amphipathic helix as the critical determinant of the *Deg1* signal, suggesting that this surface serves as the primary element that is discriminated by the Ubc6/Ubc7/Doa10 complex (18).

Interestingly, both of these degradation signals in $\alpha 2$ are concealed by the formation of a heterodimer between $\alpha 2$ and its partner protein Mata1 (a1). In a/α diploid cells where both proteins are normally expressed, the exposed hydrophobic surface of the N-terminal amphipathic helix in $\alpha 2$ is buried within the interface of a coiled-coil interaction with a1, effectively masking *Deg1* from the ubiquitin system and stabilizing the $\alpha 2$ repressor (18). In addition to a1, other proteins interact directly with $\alpha 2$ and potentially modify the degradation of this protein. These include the corepressors Ssn6 and Tup1, the latter of which binds to the N-terminal domain of $\alpha 2$ that contains the Deg1 signal. In the studies presented here, we identify Tup1 as an inhibitor of Deg1-dependent proteolysis, which upon binding to the N terminus of $\alpha 2$ blocks the ubiquitination machinery from accessing the Deg1 degradation signal. Moreover, we show that Tup1, in conjunction with Ssn6, can strongly stabilize the $\alpha 2$ protein, suggesting that the transcriptionally active form of a 2 bound by its corepressors represents a distinct pool of $\alpha 2$ with increased metabolic stability. These observations imply a mechanism by which a constitutively unstable transcription factor is able to exert stringent transcriptional control and highlight the important role played by functionally relevant protein-protein interactions in modulating the stability of regulatory proteins.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast methods and strains. Standard methods were used for the growth and genetic analysis of yeast. Experiments involving galactose-dependent regulation used media containing 3% raffinose and 3% galactose. Quantitative assays of β -galactosidase (β -gal) activity were performed on cells grown in liquid media using *o*-nitrophenyl- β -D-galactopyranoside (ONPG) as a substrate essentially as described previously (26).

Table 1 lists the strains utilized in this study. JY100 is an Ade⁺ revertant of YPH500 (33) in which *Deg1-lacZ* was integrated into the *LEU2* locus (using YIp33-*Deg1-lacZ* [16]). JY102 and JY103 were derived from a cross of JY100 and YPH499. Strain JY104 was derived from JY100 by integrating YDp-K/*Deg1*-URA3 (α 1) into the *LYS2* locus and JY112 from JY100 after integration of YDp-K/Deg1-URA3 (note that the *Deg1-URA3* fragment integrated in JY104 also contained other *MAT* α sequences, including the *mat* α *I* α *I*] gene, while the fragment integrated in JY112 did not contain α *I*). JY115 was derived from a

cross of JY112 and JY103. JY172 was generated from JY112 in several steps by disrupting *UBC4* and *UBC6* with restriction fragments containing *ubc4*Δ::*HIS3* and *ubc6*Δ::*LEU2*, respectively. JY187 was constructed by disrupting *UBC6* in JY115 with a *ubc6*Δ::*HIS3* PCR fragment and crossing the resulting strain to JY103. To generate JY204, the *prc1-1* allele from YTX140 was introduced into the JY102 background by repeated (four times) backcrossing. Strain JY253 was derived from JY112 by disrupting the *MAT* α 2 (α 2) gene with an α 2Δ::*HIS3MX* PCR fragment. The strain JY383 was generated from a cross of JY381 (a *hys*⁻ mutant derivative of JY172) and JY352, which was produced from JY172 in a series of steps by disrupting *MAT* α 2 with an α 2Δ::*kanMX* PCR fragment and swapping the *LEU2* marker with a *TRP1* marker. Proper integration of all gene disruptions or integration was verified by segregation analysis.

Isolation of cDNAs that inhibit Deg1-mediated degradation. The pTRP plasmid expression library used in this study was generated by cre-mediated recombination from lambdaTRP (ATCC number 87277). In this library, the yeast cDNAs are cloned between the GAL1 promoter and the CYC1 terminator in the pTRP vector, which contains a TRP1 marker and the 2µm origin of replication. Two different approaches were utilized to isolate pTRP transformants in which Deg1-dependent turnover was disrupted. Approximately 60,000 Trp+ transformants of JY100 were screened for increased blue color development on 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (X-gal) plates, while ~350,000 Trp+ transformants of JY104 were plated on media lacking uracil to select for Ura+ colonies. Transformant colonies that turned blue on X-gal media or were Ura+ were rescreened by plating again onto the same media. Potential positives were then subjected to quantitative β -galactosidase activity assays using ONPG as a substrate. To identify plasmids that increased β-gal activity in a galactosedependent manner, β -galactosidase assays were performed on cultures grown in raffinose or raffinose plus galactose. Plasmid DNA was isolated from these transformants and reintroduced into JY100 to confirm that the increase in Deg1-\beta-gal activity was plasmid dependent. The ends of the isolated cDNAs were sequenced using primers that hybridize to the GAL1 promoter or CYC1 terminator.

Plasmids. To construct an integrating vector containing *Deg1*-URA3, the 5.2-kb HindIII fragment from YCp-*Deg1*-URA3 (5) was subcloned into YDp-K (2), generating YDp-K/Deg1-Ura3 (α 1). This vector also contains other *MAT* α sequences, including the α 1 gene. A *Deg1*-URA3 integrating vector that lacks α 1 (YDp-K/Deg1-Ura3) was constructed by subcloning a 3-kb EcoRV fragment from YCp-Deg1-URA3 into the SmaI site in YDp-K.

The plasmid YCplac111-Deg1-URA3 (L10S) was constructed from YCp-Deg1-URA3 by replacing the NdeI-BamHI fragment containing the wild-type *Deg1* sequence with that carrying a L10S mutant version of *Deg1*, which was amplified from pKK99 (22) by PCR.

The L10S and R173A changes in $\alpha 2$ were constructed by the PCR-based QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis method (Stratagene) in pAV115, a *CEN LEU2 MAT* α plasmid. The construction of plasmids pAV115 and pAV115/H3-3A have been described previously (41).

The pTRP-tup1-C348R and pTRP-tup1-S448P plasmids are derived from pTRP-TUP1 (3.2.2), which was isolated from the pTRP library as described above. These mutants were constructed by PCR in two steps. Segments of *TUP1* from Val codon 228 to the site of the mutation were amplified, with the downstream primers introducing the Arg-348 or Pro-448 mutations. A second set of

primer pairs was used to amplify other segments of *TUP1* from the site of the mutation to Tyr codon 541, with the upstream primers introducing the Arg-348 or Pro-448 mutation. The two *TUP1* segments for each mutation were combined by a second PCR step, and the resulting fragments were digested with BamHI and BstEII and ligated to similarly digested pTRP-TUP1 (3.2.2). The resulting plasmids were sequenced to confirm that no mutations other than the one desired were introduced.

A plasmid to express *SSN6* from the *GAL1* promoter was constructed by isolating the *SSN6* coding sequence from pLN113-3 (32) on a SpeI/XbaI fragment and ligating it into SpeI-digested p426GAL1 (27).

Expression plasmids for Leu– β -gal and Ub-Pro– β -gal were constructed by amplifying the respective coding sequences and subcloning the resulting PCR fragments in p415GPD (28). A plasmid that expresses β -gal–SL17 has been described previously (8).

Pulse-chase analysis and ubiquitination assays. Pulse-chase experiments were performed as described previously (5). Degradation rates were determined after quantitation on a PhosphorImager. Proteins containing β -galactosidase were immunoprecipitated with anti- β -gal antibodies (ICN), while *Deg1*-containing proteins and α 2 were immunoprecipitated with antibodies raised against α 2 (16).

The ubiquitination of *Deg1*- β -gal was monitored as described previously (23). Proteins were precipitated from cell lysates with anti- β -gal and immunoblotted with an anti-ubiquitin monoclonal antibody (a gift of Dan Gottschling, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A genetic selection for negative regulators of $\alpha 2$ degradation. To identify genes that affect the turnover of the shortlived α^2 protein, we constructed S. cerevisiae strains in which the degradation of unstable reporter proteins can be monitored readily. The well-characterized Deg1 degradation signal from $\alpha 2$ was fused to the normally stable yeast Ura3 and bacterial β-galactosidase enzymes to create short-lived versions of these proteins. Since the steady-state level of these proteins is a function of their intracellular half-life, the activity of the fusion proteins in cells reflects their degradation rate. Rapid turnover of *Deg1*-Ura3 strongly impairs the growth of cells on media lacking uracil when the fusion protein is the only source of Ura3 activity (5, 38). Similarly, yeast cells expressing a $Deg1-\beta$ -galactosidase ($Deg1-\beta$ -gal) fusion have low levels of β-galactosidase activity and produce very pale blue colonies on plates containing the chromogenic substrate X-gal (5, 16). Variants that fail to rapidly degrade these Deg1-containing substrates can be identified by their increased β -gal activity and enhanced growth on medium that does not contain uracil.

Strains expressing these short-lived reporter constructs were transformed with a high-expression yeast cDNA library to identify genes or gene fragments whose overexpression inhibited *Deg1*-mediated protein degradation (Fig. 1A). The cDNAs in this library were expressed from the *GAL1* promoter, which is strongly induced in the presence of galactose (6, 31). We obtained over 400,000 library transformants and isolated a total of 54 clones that exhibited a plasmid- and galactose-dependent increase in the activity of the *Deg1*-containing proteins. DNA sequencing of the cDNA inserts identified 15 different genes, 8 of which were represented by full-length clones inserted in the correct orientation (Table 2).

Interestingly, seven of the isolated plasmids contained fragments from the 5' or 3' ends of genes or were full-length cDNAs inserted in the reverse (antisense) orientation (Table 2). Among this group were cDNAs that, when overexpressed, produced some of the largest increases in Deg1- β -gal protein activity. To determine if expression of the nonphysiological products of these cDNAs affected the turnover of Deg1-con-



FIG. 1. Genetic selection for negative regulators of $\alpha 2$ proteolysis. (A) Selection scheme. The screen exploits the portability of the wellcharacterized *Deg1* degradation signal of $\alpha 2$ (16). Yeast cells, whose only functional copy of *URA3* is the *Deg1-URA3* construct, require uracil for growth, since the *Deg1-Ura3* protein is rapidly degraded. Overexpressed cDNAs that stabilize *Deg1-Ura3* and *Deg1*– β -gal were isolated by their ability to allow cell growth in the absence of uracil and by an increase in β -gal activity. (B). Overexpression of *TUP1* confers uracil prototrophy. Yeast cells expressing the *Deg1-URA3* construct (JY104) were transformed with the empty *GAL1* expression vector or with *TUP1* expressed from this vector and grown on minimal complete media or minimal media lacking uracil.

taining proteins specifically or instead led to general defects in ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis, representatives of this class ("*MNR2*," "*SIS2*," and "*STE12*;" quotation marks are used to emphasize that these DNAs are not the named full-length genes) were tested with other short-lived substrates of the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway (Leu– β -gal, Ub-Pro– β -gal, and β -gal–SL17). Although all of these proteins are targeted to the 26S proteasome for destruction, each is recognized by a different ubiquitination pathway (8, 40).

Expression of the "SIS2" cDNA from the GAL1 promoter increased Leu– β -gal and Ub-Pro– β -gal activity approximately fourfold, similar to its effects on *Deg1*– β -gal (Fig. 2) and, based on pulse-chase analysis, also slowed the degradation rate of Ub-Pro– β -gal (data not shown). These results imply that the product of this cDNA insert, which is predicted to be an ex-

374 LANEY ET AL.

Gene	No. of isolated clones/no. of different cDNAs	Increase in Deg1–β-gal activity (fold)	Comment(s)
Full-length cDNAs			
GLC7	1/1	2	
SKP1	1/1	2	
SMI1	1/1	2	
SRL1	1/1	2	
TUP1	2/2	7	
UBC4	1/1	2	
UBC6	20/6	2-4	
YDR132c	1/1	2	
cDNA fragments or			
inverted cDNAs			
<i>"EFB1"</i>	2/1	2	cDNA in inverted orientation
<i>"HEX3"</i>	1/1	2	Fragment from 5' end of gene; predicted to encode the first 269 amino acids of the 619-residue wild-type protein
"MNR2"	7/1	3	Fragment from 3' end of gene; 5' end of cDNA starts at +2258; predicted to encode a 22-amino-acid peptide from the +1 reading frame
"SAS3"	3/1	2	cDNA in inverted orientation
<i>"SIS2"</i>	2/1	3	cDNA in inverted orientation; predicted to encode an 118-amino-acid polypeptide
<i>"STE12"</i>	8/1	6	Fragment from 3' end of gene; 5' end of cDNA starts at +825; predicted to encode a 63-amino-acid polypeptide from the +1 reading frame
<i>"YHR054c"</i>	3/1	3	Fragment from 3' end of gene; 5' end of cDNA starts at +467

TABLE 2. Overexpressed cDNA clones impair deg1-mediated degradation

tremely hydrophobic polypeptide of 118 amino acids (residues 11 to 70 are exclusively leucine, valine, isoleucine, or phenylalanine), impairs a common step in the ubiquitin pathway utilized by each of these protein substrates, such as binding to the 26S proteasome.

In contrast to the effects of the cDNA from the "SIS2" gene, overexpression of the other two cDNAs (fragments of "MNR2" and "STE12") did not alter the degradation kinetics of Ub-Pro-β-gal or increase Leu-β-gal or Ub-Pro-β-gal activity. Instead, increased expression of the "MNR2" or "STE12" gene fragments selectively enhanced Deg1-\beta-gal and β-gal-SL17 activity (Fig. 2). Both of these proteins are degraded in a DOA10/ UBC6/UBC7-dependent manner (38; R. Swanson and M. Hochstrasser, unpublished observations), suggesting that the overexpression of these cDNA products inhibited an early step in the degradation of $Deg1-\beta$ -gal and β -gal-SL17, perhaps when the proteins are recognized by the Ubc6/Ubc7/Doa10 enzymes. The products of the "MNR2" and "STE12" gene fragments may inhibit one or more of these ubiquitination enzymes noncompetitively or could be substrates that, when present in excessive amounts, titrate limiting quantities of Ubc6, Ubc7, and/or Doa10. In support of this latter hypothesis, we have found that the 63-amino-acid missense polypeptide presumably expressed from the "STE12" cDNA fragment has a predicted α -helical region that conforms to a 3,4-hydrophobic heptad repeat, consistent with it folding into an amphipathic helix. Since the hydrophobic face of an amphipathic helix is the critical determinant of the *Deg1* signal recognized by the Ubc6/Ubc7/Doa10 ubiquitination complex, this finding suggests that the product of the "STE12" cDNA fragment mimics the Deg1 degradation signal and interferes with Deg1mediated degradation in a competitive manner.

Of the properly oriented full-length genes identified by our genetic methods, *UBC4* and *UBC6* were known previously to be involved in $\alpha 2$ degradation (5). Although Ubc4 functions in



FIG. 2. Overexpression of specific protein fragments can impair the degradation of various substrates at different steps along the ubiquitinproteasome pathway. Turnover of the short-lived β -gal fusion proteins was monitored by assaying β -galactosidase activity in JY104 cells expressing *Deg1*– β -gal or in JY102 cells transformed with expression plasmids for Leu- β -gal, Ub-Pro- β -gal, or β -gal-SL17; these cells also contained the empty *GAL1* expression vector or this vector expressing the indicated cDNAs. The bars represent the averages of β -galactosidase activity measurements (in Miller units), and the error bars indicate the standard deviations.

another α^2 ubiquitination pathway that does not utilize the Deg1 degradation signal (15), overexpression of this protein was known to stabilize both α 2- and *Deg1*-containing substrates (M. Hochstrasser, unpublished observations). In fact, the overexpression of UBC4 was used as a positive control during our screen. UBC6 was the gene identified most frequently in our screen (Table 2); overexpression of this gene has been observed previously to inhibit the turnover of Ubc6-dependent proteolytic substrates (25, 37). Consistent with this, the overexpression of UBC6 only increased the activity of substrates (Deg1- β -gal and β -gal-SL17) that are known to be degraded in a UBC6-dependent manner and did not alter the levels of Ub-Pro-\beta-gal or Leu-\beta-gal, proteins that are destroyed by UBC6-independent pathways (data not shown; see also reference 25). Since Ubc6 itself is a relatively short-lived proteasomal substrate that requires its own catalytic activity for turnover (42), excess amounts of this unstable protein may outcompete other UBC6-dependent proteolytic substrates, like Deg1-containing proteins, for ubiquitination and degradation. Alternatively, an overabundance of Ubc6 may compete with Ubc7 for binding to the Doa10 ubiquitin ligase, which requires both of these E2s for activity.

To recognize the *Deg1* degradation signal, Ubc6 functions with Ubc7 and the Ubc7 cofactor Cue1 (3, 5). Since all three of these proteins work together in *Deg1*-mediated proteolysis and only *UBC6* was isolated in our screens, we determined if *UBC7* or *CUE1* overexpression had a similar effect on the steady-state level of *Deg1*– β -gal. Expression of *CUE1* from the *GAL1* promoter increased *Deg1*– β -gal activity to a degree comparable to that observed with *UBC6* overexpression, whereas *GAL1*driven expression of *UBC7* did not (data not shown). Levels of Cue1, which is required for Ubc7 function, might be limiting in the latter case. It is unclear why *CUE1* was not identified in the overexpression screen, but one likely explanation is that the screen was not saturating.

Five of the identified genes (*GLC7*, *SKP1*, *SM11*, *SRL1*, and *YDR132c*) were each isolated only once, and their overexpression only weakly increased *Deg1*– β -gal activity (Table 2). Pulse-chase analyses of *Deg1*– β -gal degradation were performed to confirm that this enhanced β -gal activity was caused by the stabilization of the *Deg1*– β -gal protein. In wild-type cells, *Deg1*– β -gal has a 15-min half-life, and its stability was increased ~1.5-fold (*GLC7*) to ~2-fold (*SKP1*, *SMI1*, and *YDR132c*) in cells overexpressing the indicated cDNAs (*SRL1* was not assayed). The degradation of *Deg1*-containing substrates was also determined in strains carrying loss-of-function mutant alleles of these genes. Pulse-chase experiments indicated little, if any, alteration in protein stability (data not shown). Therefore, the analysis of these genes in *Deg1*-mediated turnover was not pursued further.

The final full-length gene identified was *TUP1*, which encodes a corepressor protein recruited to α 2-target genes by direct contact with the N terminus of α 2 (20, 22, 35). *TUP1* overexpression confers strong uracil-independent growth on *ura3* cells carrying the *Deg1-URA3* fusion (Fig. 1B) and increased *Deg1*– β -gal activity sevenfold (Table 2). Because of these strong effects on the activity of *Deg1*-containing proteins and the fact that Tup1 is involved in the transcriptional repression activity of the α 2 protein, we concentrated on characterizing its role in the degradation of α 2.



FIG. 3. Overexpression of *TUP1* specifically stabilizes *Deg1*-containing proteins. (A) Pulse-chase analysis of *Deg1*-β-gal and *Deg1*-Ura3 degradation in JY104 cells transformed with the empty *GAL1* expression vector (vector) or with *TUP1* expressed from this vector (\uparrow *TUP1*). *Deg1*-containing proteins were immunoprecipitated from lysates with antibodies to α 2 and quantitated by PhosphorImager analysis. (B) The degradation kinetics of β-gal–SL17 in vector-containing JY102 cells and in cells overexpressing *TUP1*. Radiolabeled β-gal– SL17 was immunoprecipitated from lysates with antibodies to β-gal and quantitated with a PhosphorImager.

Tup1 stabilizes *Deg1*-containing proteins through a direct physical interaction. To confirm that the enhanced β -gal activity and increased growth on media lacking uracil observed in cells overexpressing *TUP1* was due to the stabilization of *Deg1*- β -gal and *Deg1*-Ura3, we determined the degradation rate of these proteins by pulse-chase experiments (Fig. 3A). In cells carrying the empty *GAL1* expression vector, the half-lives of *Deg1*- β -gal and *Deg1*-Ura3 were ~20 min and ~10 min, respectively. In contrast, in cells overexpressing *TUP1*, very little degradation of these proteins was apparent after the 60-min chase. Cells expressing increased amounts of *TUP1* therefore have strong defects in *Deg1*-mediated proteolysis.

We envisioned two different models to explain how the increased expression of *TUP1* could alter the stability of *Deg1*containing proteins. Since the Tup1 protein is targeted to a wide variety of genes and potently represses transcription (35), *TUP1* overexpression could indirectly regulate the turnover of *Deg1* substrates by repressing the expression of a positive regulator of *Deg1*-mediated proteolysis. Alternatively, Tup1 may directly interfere with the ability of the degradation machinery to recognize and/or degrade *Deg1*-containing proteins, e.g., by competing with the Doa10 E3 ligase for binding to the *Deg1*-encoded region of $\alpha 2$.

To discriminate between these two hypotheses, we monitored the specificity of the TUP1 overexpression effect by assessing the degradation of a number of other ubiquitin-proteasome pathway substrates. As measured with pulse-chase assays, extra TUP1 did not alter the degradation kinetics of Leu- β -gal or Ub-Pro- β -gal proteins (data not shown). It also did not change the degradation rate of CPY* (data not shown). CPY* is a misfolded endoplasmic reticulum protein that is degraded by a Ubc7- and Cue1-dependent mechanism but requires the Hrd1/Der3 ubiquitin ligase rather than Doa10 (1, 12). Most tellingly, overexpression of TUP1 did not stabilize β -gal-SL17 (Fig. 3B), a substrate whose degradation requires precisely the same set of E2 and E3 enzymes implicated in the destruction of Deg1-containing proteins (8, 38, and R. Swanson and M. Hochstrasser, unpublished observations). This clear specificity for α 2-derived *Deg1*-encoded proteins suggests that Tup1 directly modulates the metabolic stability of these substrates rather than indirectly repressing a positive regulator of Deg1-mediated turnover.

If Tup1 binding at or near Deg1 sterically occludes this degradation signal from the ubiquitination machinery, as suggested above, then mutations that impair Tup1- α 2 binding should relieve the inhibitory effect of overexpressed TUP1 on the degradation of Deg1-containing substrates. Amino acid substitutions in the WD repeats of Tup1 (C348R and S448P) had been isolated previously and shown to disrupt the direct interaction between Tup1 and $\alpha 2$ (21). These *tup1* mutant alleles were expressed from the GAL1 promoter, and the degradation of $Deg1-\beta$ -gal was examined by pulse-chase analyses. While the overexpression of wild-type TUP1 inhibited Deg1β-gal turnover, neither of the mutant proteins affected the stability of this substrate (Fig. 4A and B, compare TUP1 to vector). A trivial explanation for this result is that the mutant Tup1 proteins accumulate to lower levels than the wild-type protein. However, immunoblotting experiments using Tup1specific antibodies performed in parallel demonstrated that all three Tup1 proteins are overproduced to a similar extent (data not shown). Thus, point mutations in Tup1 that impair its binding to the *Deg1* portion of $\alpha 2$ abrogate *TUP1*-mediated stabilization of $Deg1-\beta$ -gal.

In complementary experiments, the stability of a *Deg1* mutant protein defective for interaction with Tup1 was examined. An L10S mutation in $\alpha 2$, which was previously shown to severely compromise binding to Tup1 (22), was constructed in the context of the *Deg1*-Ura3 fusion protein, and the degradation of this protein was assayed by pulse-chase experiments. In contrast to the impaired turnover of wild-type *Deg1*-Ura3 in cells expressing increased amounts of *TUP1*, the degradation kinetics of the *Deg1*(L10S)-Ura3 protein were identical in cells carrying the empty *GAL1* expression vector and in cells overexpressing *TUP1* (Fig. 4C and D, compare *TUP1* to vector). Although the *Deg1*(L10S)-Ura3 protein is moderately stabilized relative to wild-type *Deg1*-Ura3 (probably because the L10S mutation slightly impairs *Deg1*-mediated degradation), Tup1 overproduction did not further stabilize this protein.



FIG. 4. Point mutations that disrupt $\alpha 2/\text{Tup1}$ binding prevent *TUP1*-mediated stabilization. (A) Pulse-chase analysis of *Deg1*- β -gal degradation in JY112 cells transformed with the empty *GAL1* expression vector, the *GAL1-TUP1* plasmid, or the same vector expressing the *tup1^{C348R}* and *tup1^{S448P}* mutant alleles. Radiolabeled *Deg1*- β -gal and analyzed as described in the legend to Fig. 3. (B) Quantitation of the pulse-chase data shown in panel A. (C) Pulse-chase analysis of *Deg1*-Ura3 or *Deg1*(L10S)-Ura3 degradation in JY102 cells transformed with the empty *GAL1* expression vector (vector) or with *TUP1* expressed from this vector (\uparrow *TUP1*). The *Deg1*-Ura3 proteins were expressed from YCplac111-*Deg1*-URA3. Radiolabeled Deg1-Ura3 was immunoprecipitated from lysates with antibodies to $\alpha 2$ and analyzed as described in the legend to Fig. 3. (D) Quantitation of the pulse-chase data shown in panel C. WT, wild type.

Importantly, Deg1(L10S)-Ura3 was strongly stabilized in $ubc6\Delta$ cells (data not shown). This UBC6-dependent turnover demonstrates that the same degradation pathway that recognizes wild-type Deg1-containing substrates also targets this mutant Deg1-containing protein. Taken together with the analysis of interaction-defective tup1 alleles, these mutational studies demonstrate that direct binding of Tup1 to Deg1 blocks the degradation of Deg1-containing substrates.

Binding of Tup1 to the *Deg1* region of $\alpha 2$ blocks *Deg1*mediated ubiquitination. One mechanism by which the direct interaction of Tup1 with *Deg1*-containing proteins could in-



FIG. 5. Overproduction of Tup1 inhibits *Deg1*-mediated ubiquitination. (Top) The level of polyubiquitin-*Deg1*- β -gal conjugates in lysates of JY102 cells carrying YEplac195-Deg1-lacZ or the empty vector. The cells also contained plasmids for overexpressing *TUP1*, the *tup1* mutants, or the empty vector. Proteins were immunoprecipitated with anti- β -gal antibodies and then analyzed by antiubiquitin immunoblotting. (Bottom) The immunoblot was reprobed with anti- β -gal antibodies as a control for loading. IP, immunoprecipitation; IB, immunoblot; Ub, ubiquitin.

hibit their degradation is that the ubiquitin-conjugating machinery does not recognize the Deg1 degradation signal in the presence of bound Tup1. To test this, the ubiquitination state of Deg1-\beta-gal was analyzed. Deg1-\beta-gal was immunoprecipitated from cell lysates, and its ubiquitinated forms were visualized by anti-ubiquitin immunoblotting. Ubiquitin conjugates of $Deg1-\beta$ -gal were observed in cells expressing this protein but were not detected in cells that lack $Deg1-\beta$ -gal (Fig. 5, compare lanes 1 and 5) or in cells that express a mutant $Deg1-\beta$ -gal with an amino acid substitution that inactivates the Deg1 degradation signal (23). In cells overproducing wild-type Tup1, the levels of ubiquitinated Deg1-β-gal were dramatically reduced (Fig. 5, lane 2). Moreover, in agreement with the pulse-chase experiments described above, overproduction of the Tup1 mutants defective in binding to $\alpha 2$ did not inhibit Deg1-mediated ubiquitination (Fig. 5, lanes 3 and 4). These results indicate that the binding of Tup1 to the N terminus of α 2 blocks the ability of the ubiquitin-conjugating machinery to utilize the Deg1 degradation signal.

Increasing the expression of both TUP1 and SSN6 strongly stabilizes $\alpha 2$. Since the overexpression of TUP1 impaired *Deg1*-dependent turnover, we also examined whether overproduction of Tup1 could stabilize the endogenous $\alpha 2$ protein. In addition to the *Deg1*-mediated pathway, a second ubiquitination pathway is required for normal rates of $\alpha 2$ proteolysis. Blocking either the Ubc4/Ubc5 or the Ubc6/Ubc7 pathway results in only a modest increase (~2-fold) in the half-life of



FIG. 6. Co-overexpression of *TUP1* and *SSN6* strongly stabilizes $\alpha 2$. (A) The degradation kinetics of $\alpha 2$ in JY102 cells carrying the empty *GAL1* expression vectors (vector) or plasmids for overexpressing *TUP1* alone (\uparrow *TUP1*), overexpressing *SSN6* alone (\uparrow *SSN6*), or overexpressing both *TUP1* and *SSN6* simultaneously (\uparrow *TUP1*+ \uparrow *SSN6*). (B) The degradation kinetics of $\alpha 2$ in wild-type cells and *ubc4*\Delta *ubc6*\Delta mutants. For comparison, the pulse-chase data of vector-containing cells and *TUP1* plus *SSN6*-overexpressing *cells* from panel A are added. (C) The kinetics of $\alpha 2$ turnover in JY253 cells carrying the *MAT* α -containing plasmid pAV115 and the empty *GAL1* expression vectors (vector) or plasmids for overexpressing *tup1*^{C348R} and *SSN6* (\uparrow *tup1*^{C348R}+ \uparrow *SSN6*). (D) The degradation of $\alpha 2^{L10S/R173A}$ was monitored in JY253 cells containing pAV115-L10S/R173A and the empty *GAL1* expression vectors (vector) or plasmids for overexpressing *tup1*^{C348R} and *SSN6* (\uparrow *tup1*^{C348R} and *SSN6* (\uparrow *tup1*^{C348R} and *SSN6*). In all of these pulse-chase experiments, radiolabeled $\alpha 2$ was immunoprecipitated from lysates with antibodies to $\alpha 2$ and analyzed as described in the legend to Fig. 3. WT, wild type.

α2. However, when these ubiquitination pathways are disrupted simultaneously, the degradation of α2 is strongly impaired (5, 24, 38). Pulse-chase experiments with cells expressing extra *TUP1* demonstrated that the degradation of α2 was weakly inhibited, consistent with the isolation of Tup1 as an inhibitor of the *deg1*-dependent pathway (Fig. 6A, ↑ *TUP1*). Interestingly, *TUP1* overexpression appears to impair more than just the Ubc6/Ubc7 pathway, since overproduction of Tup1 in *ubc*6Δ mutant cells stabilized α2 by an additional twofold (data not shown).

Tup1 functions as a transcriptional regulator as part of a complex with the corepressor Ssn6. In α 2-mediated repression of **a**-specific genes, both Tup1 and Ssn6 make independent physical contacts with the α 2 protein (22, 35, 36). Because of these observations, we tested whether overexpression of *SSN6*, like that of *TUP1*, also affected α 2 turnover. Similar to the

results observed with *TUP1*, expressing *SSN6* from the *GAL1* promoter modestly increased the stability of $\alpha 2$ (Fig. 6A, \uparrow *SSN6*). In striking contrast to the weak effects of increasing the expression of either *TUP1* or *SSN6* alone, the simultaneous overexpression of both these genes strongly impaired the degradation of $\alpha 2$ (Fig. 6A, \uparrow *TUP1*+ \uparrow *SSN6*). The degree of $\alpha 2$ stabilization in cells overexpressing both *TUP1* and *SSN6* is identical to that seen in a $ubc4\Delta$ $ubc6\Delta$ double mutant (Fig. 6B), which has the most severe $\alpha 2$ degradation defect known (5, 38). This result highlights the potency of overproducing Tup1 and Ssn6 on $\alpha 2$ stability.

The most straightforward interpretation of these Tup1 and Ssn6 overexpression results is that the physical association of these corepressors with $\alpha 2$ precludes the rapid ubiquitin-dependent turnover of $\alpha 2$. This conclusion is based on (i) the demonstration that the binding of Tup1 to the N terminus of $\alpha 2$ is required for Tup1 to block the ubiquitination and turnover of proteins containing the *Deg1* signal from $\alpha 2$ (Fig. 4 and 5), (ii) the finding that Tup1 and Ssn6 are associated together in a protein complex (39, 44), and (iii) the observation, noted above, that Ssn6 binds directly to the homeodomain in the C-terminal domain of $\alpha 2$ (34, 36). Furthermore, we have observed that the stabilization of the α^2 protein by TUP1 and SSN6 overexpression is abolished by mutations that impair α2-corepressor binding (Fig. 6C and D). These experiments utilized a mutant form of a2 containing the L10S and R173A amino acid substitutions; these single mutations have been isolated previously and shown to disrupt the α 2-Tup1 and α 2-Ssn6 interactions, respectively (22, 34). The α 2^{L10S/R173A} double mutant was expressed in cells, and the degradation of this protein was examined by pulse-chase assays. Overexpression of wild-type TUP1 and SSN6 stabilized this doubly mutant form of $\alpha 2$ (data not shown), suggesting that any deficit in affinity of the $\alpha 2$ mutant for the corepressors could be overcome by the overproduction of Tup1 and Ssn6. In contrast, the co-overexpression of SSN6 and the $tup1^{C348R}$ mutant, which is expected to further compromise the interaction between $\alpha 2$ and its corepressors, did not strongly impair the degradation of the $\alpha 2^{L10S/\hat{R}173A}$ protein (Fig. 6D). The stability of wild-type α 2, however, was increased by the overexpression of *tup1*^{C348R} and SSN6 (Fig. 6C).

To verify that the same ubiquitination pathway that operates on wild-type $\alpha 2$ also targets the $\alpha 2^{L10S/R173A}$ protein, we determined the degradation kinetics of $\alpha 2^{L10S/R173A}$ in wild-type and $ubc4\Delta ubc6\Delta$ cells. This mutant protein, like wild-type $\alpha 2$, was degraded in a *UBC4/UBC6*-dependent manner (data not shown). Thus, the degradation of endogenous $\alpha 2$ is markedly influenced by protein-protein interactions between $\alpha 2$ and its corepressors.

Interestingly, the stabilization of $\alpha 2$ by overproduced Tup1-Ssn6 does not require complex formation at $\alpha 2$ DNA-binding sites. The turnover of the $\alpha 2^{H3-3A}$ mutant, which is severely compromised in its ability to bind DNA-target sites because of three substitutions of amino acid residues that make direct contacts with DNA (41), was strongly impaired in the presence of increased *TUP1* and *SSN6* (Fig. 7). This finding suggests that under conditions where Tup1-Ssn6 is expressed in excess, Tup1-Ssn6 can interact with $\alpha 2$ in solution and thereby inhibit the rapid turnover of $\alpha 2$. Such a mechanism for stabilizing $\alpha 2$ recalls previous data demonstrating that the physical associa-



FIG. 7. Mutations in $\alpha 2$ that disrupt DNA binding do not alter its stabilization by Tup1-Ssn6. (A) Pulse-chase analysis of $\alpha 2^{H_3-3A}$ degradation in JY253 cells carrying pAV115/H3-3A and the empty *GAL1* expression vectors (vector) or plasmids for overexpressing *TUP1* and *SSN6* ($\uparrow TUP1 + \uparrow SSN6$). Radiolabeled $\alpha 2^{H_3-3A}$ was immunoprecipitated from lysates with antibodies to $\alpha 2$ and analyzed as described in the legend to Fig. 3. (B) Quantitation of the pulse-chase data shown in panel A.

tion of **a**1 with α 2, which normally occurs in **a**/ α diploid cells, blocks the ubiquitination and degradation of full-length α 2 as well as a truncated form consisting of the N-terminal globular domain of α 2 that does not bind DNA (18). An important distinction from this earlier study is that α 2 is being stabilized in cells that must at some point degrade the entire active pool of the repressor because efficient cell type switching demands its elimination. These results emphasize the importance of physiologically relevant, alternative protein complexes in regulating the degradation of α 2.

 $\alpha 2$ is protected from degradation by interactions with its corepressors Tup1 and Ssn6. Taken together, the results presented here suggest that the endogenous $\alpha 2$ protein is relatively stable when assembled into complexes with its corepressors Tup1 and Ssn6. Distinct pools of the repressor, each with different protein stability, can therefore exist in α haploid cells: "bulk" $\alpha 2$ with a very short half-life and a much smaller but more stable fraction of the functionally engaged repressor protein.

Current models of α 2-mediated repression suggest that, under normal expression levels of α 2 and its corepressors, DNAbound α 2 recruits the Tup1-Ssn6 complex to promoters (35), which implies that the functionally engaged, stabilized form of α^2 exists primarily, if not exclusively, on DNA. This relatively stable pool of α^2 has not been observed previously in haploid cells, as newly synthesized protein is destroyed with first-order kinetics (16), similar to the degradation of the steady-state population (24), suggesting that all detectable α^2 molecules are equivalent in their susceptibility to rapid turnover. However, since <10 specific sites for α^2 repression complexes exist in the yeast genome (45), we expect that only a small number of repressor molecules at any given time belong to this "privileged" pool of relatively stable α^2 . Experiments designed to detect the small fraction of α^2 that is stabilized and characterize its dynamics under different physiological conditions are under way.

Why might the active fraction of $\alpha 2$ be stabilized? Although rapid degradation of the repressor is necessary to prevent the misregulation of cell-type-specific genes after mating-type switching (24), sufficient amounts of $\alpha 2$ must accumulate in α haploid cells to provide for the very strong repression activity of **a**-specific genes observed in this cell type. Stabilizing the actively engaged repressor, even partially, may allow for the maintenance of strong and stable **a**-specific gene repression, even though $\alpha 2$ is otherwise a rapidly degraded protein. Thus, the protection of a small pool of $\alpha 2$ may reflect the opposing requirements that the $\alpha 2$ protein initiate and maintain the stable repression of its **a**-specific gene targets yet still be sufficiently short lived to allow for cells to change phenotypically from the α to **a** state after a DNA switch at the *MAT* locus.

Our data imply that protein degradation rates can be dramatically altered by the dynamics of a protein's association with its physiological partner proteins. Changes in proteinprotein interaction may represent a regulated step in the ubiquitination and degradation of a specific pool of a target protein. In the example of $\alpha 2$, this might depend on posttranslational modification of $\alpha 2$ or one of its binding partners or on the process of DNA replication through a DNA sequence bound by $\alpha 2$. Because many proteins, particularly transcription factors, function in the context of multiprotein complexes, we believe the principles illustrated by the present study will have broad relevance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Kris Siriratsivawong for plasmid and strain construction, Drew Vershon, Kelly Komachi, and Sandy Johnson for plasmids, Dan Gottschling for providing the antiubiquitin monoclonal antibody, and Tricia Serio, Rich Freiman, Alec DeSimone, and Christina Sowards for suggestions and critiques of the manuscript.

This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (GM46904), the American Cancer Society (PF4397), and the March of Dimes Birth Defects Foundation (5-FY05-28).

REFERENCES

- Bays, N. W., R. G. Gardner, L. P. Seelig, C. A. Joazeiro, and R. Y. Hampton. 2001. Hrd1p/Der3p is a membrane-anchored ubiquitin ligase required for ER-associated degradation. Nat. Cell Biol. 3:24–29.
- Berben, G., J. Dumont, V. Gilliquet, P. A. Bolle, and F. Hilger. 1991. The YDp plasmids: a uniform set of vectors bearing versatile gene disruption cassettes for Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast 7:475–477.
- Biederer, T., C. Volkwein, and T. Sommer. 1997. Role of Cue1p in ubiquitination and degradation at the ER surface. Science 278:1806–1809.
- Chen, P., and M. Hochstrasser. 1995. Biogenesis, structure, and function of the yeast 20S proteasome. EMBO J. 14:2620–2630.
- Chen, P., P. Johnson, T. Sommer, S. Jentsch, and M. Hochstrasser. 1993. Multiple ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes participate in the in vivo degradation of the yeast MATα2 repressor. Cell 74:357–369.

- Elledge, S. J., J. T. Mulligan, S. W. Ramer, M. Spottswood, and R. W. Davis. 1991. Lambda YES: a multifunctional cDNA expression vector for the isolation of genes by complementation of yeast and Escherichia coli mutations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:1731–1735.
- Galgoczy, D. J., A. Cassidy-Stone, M. Llinas, S. M. O'Rourke, I. Herskowitz, J. L. DeRisi, and A. D. Johnson. 2004. Genomic dissection of the cell-typespecification circuit in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101:18069–18074.
- Gilon, T., O. Chomsky, and R. G. Kulka. 1998. Degradation signals for ubiquitin system proteolysis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. EMBO J. 17:2759– 2766.
- Hershko, A., and A. Ciechanover. 1998. The ubiquitin system. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 67:425–479.
- Herskowitz, I. 1989. A regulatory hierarchy for cell specialization in yeast. Nature 342:749–757.
- Herskowitz, I., J. Rine, and J. Strathern. 1992. Mating-type determination and mating-type interconversion in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, p. 583–656. *In* E. W. Jones, J. R. Pringle, and J. R. Broach (ed.), The molecular and cellular biology of the yeast Saccharomyces, vol. 2. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Woodbury, N.Y.
- Hiller, M. M., A. Finger, M. Schweiger, and D. H. Wolf. 1996. ER degradation of a misfolded luminal protein by the cytosolic ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. Science 273:1725–1728.
- Hochstrasser, M. 1996. Ubiquitin-dependent protein degradation. Annu. Rev. Genet. 30:405–439.
- Hochstrasser, M., M. J. Ellison, V. Chau, and A. Varshavsky. 1991. The short-lived MATα2 transcriptional regulator is ubiquitinated in vivo. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:4606–4610.
- Hochstrasser, M., F. R. Papa, P. Chen, S. Swaminathan, P. Johnson, L. Stillman, A. Amerik, and S.-J. Li. 1995. The DOA pathway: studies on the functions and mechanisms of ubiquitin-dependent protein degradation in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 60: 503–513.
- Hochstrasser, M., and A. Varshavsky. 1990. In vivo degradation of a transcriptional regulator: the yeast α2 repressor. Cell 61:697–708.
- Johnson, A. D. 1995. Molecular mechanisms of cell-type determination in budding yeast. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 5:552–558.
- Johnson, P. R., R. Swanson, L. Rakhilina, and M. Hochstrasser. 1998. Degradation signal masking by heterodimerization of MATalpha2 and MATa1 blocks their mutual destruction by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. Cell 94:217–227.
- Keleher, C. A., C. Goutte, and A. D. Johnson. 1988. The yeast cell-typespecific repressor alpha 2 acts cooperatively with a non-cell-type-specific protein. Cell 53:927–936.
- Keleher, C. A., M. J. Redd, J. Schultz, M. Carlson, and A. D. Johnson. 1992. Ssn6-Tup1 is a general repressor of transcription in yeast. Cell 68:709–719.
- Komachi, K., and A. D. Johnson. 1997. Residues in the WD repeats of Tup1 required for interaction with α2. Mol. Cell. Biol. 17:6023–6028.
- Komachi, K., M. J. Redd, and A. D. Johnson. 1994. The WD repeats of Tup1 interact with the homeo domain protein alpha 2. Genes Dev. 8:2857–2867.
- Laney, J. D., and M. Hochstrasser. 2002. Assaying protein ubiquitination in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Methods Enzymol. 351:248–257.
- Laney, J. D., and M. Hochstrasser. 2003. Ubiquitin-dependent degradation of the yeast Matα2 repressor enables a switch in developmental state. Genes Dev. 17:2259–2270.
- Lenk, U., H. Yu, J. Walter, M. S. Gelman, E. Hartmann, R. R. Kopito, and T. Sommer. 2002. A role for mammalian Ubc6 homologues in ER-associated protein degradation. J. Cell Sci. 115:3007–3014.
- Miller, J. H. 1972. Experiments in molecular genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Mumberg, D., R. Muller, and M. Funk. 1994. Regulatable promoters of Saccharomyces cerevisiae: comparison of transcriptional activity and their use for heterologous expression. Nucleic Acids Res. 22:5767–5768.
- Mumberg, D., R. Muller, and M. Funk. 1995. Yeast vectors for the controlled expression of heterologous proteins in different genetic backgrounds. Gene 156:119–122.
- Petroski, M. D., and R. J. Deshaies. 2005. Function and regulation of cullin-RING ubiquitin ligases. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 6:9–20.
- Pickart, C. M., and R. E. Cohen. 2004. Proteasomes and their kin: proteases in the machine age. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 5:177–187.
- Ramer, S. W., S. J. Elledge, and R. W. Davis. 1992. Dominant genetics using a yeast genomic library under the control of a strong inducible promoter. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:11589–11593.
- Schultz, J., and M. Carlson. 1987. Molecular analysis of SSN6, a gene functionally related to the SNF1 protein kinase of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 7:3637–3645.
- Sikorski, R. S., and P. Hieter. 1989. A system of shuttle vectors and yeast host strains designed for efficient manipulation of DNA in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 122:19–27.
- 34. Smith, R. L., and A. D. Johnson. 2000. A sequence resembling a peroxisomal targeting sequence directs the interaction between the tetratricopeptide re-

peats of Ssn6 and the homeodomain of alpha 2. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **97:3**901–3906.

- Smith, R. L., and A. D. Johnson. 2000. Turning genes off by Ssn6-Tup1: a conserved system of transcriptional repression in eukaryotes. Trends Biochem. Sci. 25:325–330.
- Smith, R. L., M. J. Redd, and A. D. Johnson. 1995. The tetratricopeptide repeats of Ssn6 interact with the homeo domain of alpha 2. Genes Dev. 9:2903–2910.
- Sommer, T., and S. Jentsch. 1993. A protein translocation defect linked to ubiquitin conjugation at the endoplasmic reticulum. Nature 365:176–179.
- Swanson, R., M. Locher, and M. Hochstrasser. 2001. A conserved ubiquitin ligase of the nuclear envelope/endoplasmic reticulum that functions in both ER-associated and Matalpha2 repressor degradation. Genes Dev. 15:2660– 2674.
- Varanasi, U. S., M. Klis, P. B. Mikesell, and R. J. Trumbly. 1996. The Cyc8 (Ssn6)-Tup1 corepressor complex is composed of one Cyc8 and four Tup1 subunits. Mol. Cell. Biol. 16:6707–6714.

- Varshavsky, A. 1997. The ubiquitin system. Trends Biochem. Sci. 22:383– 387.
- Vershon, A. K., Y. Jin, and A. D. Johnson. 1995. A homeo domain protein lacking specific side chains of helix 3 can still bind DNA and direct transcriptional repression. Genes Dev. 9:182–192.
- Walter, J., J. Urban, C. Volkwein, and T. Sommer. 2001. Sec61p-independent degradation of the tail-anchored ER membrane protein Ubc6p. EMBO J. 20:3124–3131.
- Weissman, A. M. 2001. Themes and variations on ubiquitylation. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2:169–178.
- 44. Williams, F. E., U. Varanasi, and R. J. Trumbly. 1991. The CYC8 and TUP1 proteins involved in glucose repression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are associated in a protein complex. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11:3307–3316.
- Zhong, H., R. McCord, and A. K. Vershon. 1999. Identification of target sites of the alpha2-Mcm1 repressor complex in the yeast genome. Genome Res. 9:1040–1047.