# Microbial Source Tracking: Current Methodology and Future Directions<sup>†</sup>

Troy M. Scott,<sup>1,2</sup> Joan B. Rose,<sup>1</sup> Tracie M. Jenkins,<sup>1</sup> Samuel R. Farrah,<sup>3</sup> and Jerzy Lukasik<sup>2</sup>\*

College of Marine Science, University of South Florida, St. Petersburg, Florida 33701<sup>1</sup>; Department of Microbiology and Cell Science, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611<sup>3</sup>; and Biological Consulting Services of North Florida, Inc., Gainesville, Florida 32609<sup>2</sup>

Maintenance of the microbiological quality and safety of water systems used for drinking, for recreating, and in the harvesting of seafood is imperative, as contamination of these systems can exact high risks to human health as well as result in significant economic losses due to closures of beaches and shellfish harvesting areas. Waters contaminated with human feces are generally regarded as a greater risk to human health, as they are more likely to contain human-specific enteric pathogens, including Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi, Shigella spp., hepatitis A virus, and Norwalk-group viruses. Animals can also serve as reservoirs for a variety of enteric pathogens (e.g., various serotypes of Salmonella, Escherichia coli, and Cryptosporidium spp.). Understanding the origin of fecal pollution is paramount in assessing associated health risks as well as the actions necessary to remedy the problem while it still exists. Traditional and alternative indicator microorganisms have been used for many years to predict the presence of fecal pollution in water; however, it is well established that the majority of these organisms are not limited to humans but also exist in the intestines of many other warm-blooded animals (55). Due to the ubiquitous nature of these organisms, the effectiveness of using traditional indicators to predict the presence of human or animal waste impact and subsequent health risks is limited. The usefulness of the microbial indicators as tools for risk assessment can be significantly enhanced by the development of testing methods and analysis techniques that can define specific sources of these organisms.

The concept that the origin of fecal pollution can be traced using microbiological, genotypic, phenotypic, and chemical methods has been termed microbial source tracking. This work will provide an overview of microbial source tracking methods that are currently being used to predict and identify sources of fecal pollution in the environment as well as provide insight into future directions in the field.

#### MICROBIAL INDICATORS OF FECAL POLLUTION

Indicator microorganisms are used to predict the presence of and/or minimize the potential risk associated with pathogenic microbes. Indicator organisms are useful in that they circumvent the need to assay for every pathogen that may be present in water. Ideally, indicators are nonpathogenic, rapidly detected, easily enumerated, have survival characteristics that are similar to those of the pathogens of concern, and can be strongly associated with the presence of pathogenic microorganisms.

Total and fecal coliforms have been used extensively for many years as indicators for determining the sanitary quality of surface, recreational, and shellfish growing waters. In recent years, scientists have learned more about the ways in which the coliforms' ecology, prevalence, and resistance to stress differ from those of many of the pathogenic microorganisms they are proxy for (18, 74). These differences are so great that they limit the utility of the coliforms as indicators of fecal pollution. Therefore, additional microbes have been suggested for use as alternative indicators, including *E. coli*, enterococci, and *Clostridium perfringens* (30).

*E. coli*. *E. coli* has long been used as an indicator of fecal pollution (24). It has good characteristics of a fecal indicator, such as not normally being pathogenic to humans, and is present at concentrations much higher than the pathogens it predicts. However, recent studies have suggested that *E. coli* may not be a reliable indicator in tropical and subtropical environments due to its ability to replicate in contaminated soils (18, 75).

*Enterococcus* spp. The enterococcus group is a subgroup of the fecal streptococci that includes at least five species: *Enterococcus faecalis, Enterococcus faecium, Enterococcus durans, Enterococcus gallinarum,* and *Enterococcus avium*. They are differentiated from other streptococci by their ability to grow in 6.5% NaCl and at high pH (9.6) and temperature ( $45^{\circ}$ C). *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* are the species most frequently found in humans. Enterococci have been used successfully as indicators of fecal pollution and are especially reliable as indicators of health risk in marine environments and recreational waters (10, 11). It is known, however, that environmental reservoirs of enterococci exist and that regrowth of these organisms may be possible once they are introduced into the environment (18).

*C. perfringens. C. perfringens* is an enteric, gram-positive, anaerobic, spore-forming, pathogenic bacterium found in human and animal feces. Although there is considerable controversy surrounding the use of *C. perfringens* as a water quality indicator because of its persistence in the environment, a number of scientists continue to recommend its use, particularly in situations where the prediction of the presence of viruses or remote fecal pollution is desirable (21, 59).

While the aforementioned alternative microbial indicators can be useful for predicting the possible presence of fecal contamination in water, their shortcomings as tools for risk

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: BCS of N. Florida, Inc., 4641 N.W. 6th St., Suite A, Gainesville, FL 32609. Phone: (352) 377-9272. Fax: (352) 377-5630. E-mail: lukasik@gator.net.

<sup>†</sup> Florida Agricultural Experiment Station Journal series no. R-09018.

assessment are also becoming increasingly apparent. The advent of microbial source tracking technologies has enhanced the ability of these and traditional indicator organisms to be used as tools for predicting potential sources of fecal pollution as well as health risks associated with impaired water systems.

## RATIONALE BEHIND MICROBIAL SOURCE TRACKING METHODOLOGY

Various microbiological, genotypic, phenotypic, and alternative methods have been proposed to characterize groups of microorganisms, usually indicator organisms, for the purpose of detecting the subtle differences present within different groups of microorganisms that can subsequently be used to identify the host or environment from which the organisms were derived.

Genetic methodology can be used to differentiate different lineages of bacteria found within different animal hosts. However, one must assume that within a species of bacteria, there are members or subgroups that have become more adapted to a particular host or environment for various reasons, including differences in pH, availability of nutrients, and receptor specificity. The second assumption is that once these organisms become adapted to a particular environment and establish residency, the progeny produced by subsequent replications will be genetically identical. Therefore, over time, a group of organisms within a particular host or environment should possess a similar or identical genetic fingerprint, which will differ from those organisms adapted to a different host or environment.

Similarly, microbial source tracking methodologies that focus on phenotypic differences within different lineages of bacteria usually focus on traits that may have been acquired from exposure to different host species or environments. Traditionally, these methods have targeted multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) patterns, cell surface or flagellar antigens, or biochemical tests designed to identify variations in the utilization of various substrates that may be found within a particular host environment.

Direct monitoring for human pathogens, such as enteric viruses and parasites (*Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* species), has also been used as a means of identifying the presence of human or high-risk fecal pollution in water. Monitoring for pathogens provides direct evidence of their presence and thus circumvents the need to assay for often-ambiguous indicator organisms; however, many of these pathogens are not readily detectable in the environment as they are often present in very low numbers. This is complicated by the fact that many of them have a considerably low infectious dose, which renders even a low presence in polluted waters hazardous to human health.

Various chemical compounds have also been proposed as indicators of human- or animal-derived fecal pollution. The use of chemical indicators is unique, as parallels between survival, transport, and persistence of these chemicals and the pathogens they are being used to predict are more difficult to discern. Therefore, this review will focus primarily on source tracking methods that utilize microorganisms. Nevertheless, certain chemicals and metabolites can be associated with various types of fecal pollution, assuming that human and animal communities utilize different substances or produce different by-products that can subsequently be traced back to the source of the pollution in the environment. Therefore, a brief overview of these methods is provided.

#### MICROBIOLOGICAL METHODS USED FOR MICROBIAL SOURCE TRACKING

**Fecal coliform/fecal streptococcus ratio.** To meet the challenge of identifying sources of fecal pollution, various microbiological methods have been proposed. Initially, the ratio of fecal coliforms to fecal streptococci was proposed, where a ratio of >4.0 would indicate human pollution and a ratio of  $\leq 0.7$  would indicate nonhuman pollution (25). The rationale behind the use of this method was the observation that human feces contain higher fecal coliform counts, while animal feces contain higher levels of fecal streptococci.

The advantage of using this method is its ability to provide rapid results. In addition, the assay requires minimal expertise to perform. However, this approach has proven to be unreliable due to variable survival rates of fecal streptococci species, variations in detection methods, and variable sensitivity to water treatments and has been abandoned as a viable approach to fecal source tracking (14, 62).

**Bifidobacterium** spp. Bifidobacteria are obligate anaerobic, non-spore-forming bacteria that are a major component of the human intestine. These organisms have been investigated as potential candidates for use as indicators of human fecal pollution due to the fact that they are rarely found in animals (52, 65), and that certain species, when they are found, tend to be isolated at different frequencies from different animals (23, 48, 49, 67). In addition to their abundance in human feces, the ability of human isolates to ferment sorbitol has been used to further differentiate these organisms as being human-derived (67).

Human bifid sorbitol agar (HBSA) was developed by Mara and Oragui (52) specifically to identify sorbitol-fermenting bifidobacteria. This medium can be used in conjunction with established membrane filtration techniques for processing large volumes of water (14). Plates are incubated for 4 to 6 days under anaerobic conditions at 37°C, and yellow, raised colonies are presumed to be sorbitol-fermenting bifidobacteria. Colonies can then be confirmed by subculturing and additional anaerobic incubation on selective media. A membrane filtration method is preferred over direct spread plating due to cell stress exacted by direct exposure to selective agents present in the media.

The use of these organisms as indicators of human fecal pollution holds some promise due to the above observations; however, the survival of these organisms is highly variable and numbers can decrease by 3 to 4 orders of magnitude after as little as 2 weeks in the environment (66, 67). The advantage of using an anaerobic bacterium, however, is its inability to reproduce once deposited in the environment. Therefore, if detected, it can provide evidence of recent fecal contamination. Because survival issues tend to reduce or alter the numbers of bifidobacteria present in the environment, new techniques must be developed that increase both the specificity and sensitivity of detection of these organisms before this method can be used as a reliable indicator of fecal pollution.

Bacteroides fragilis bacteriophage. B. fragilis is an obligately anaerobic, gram-negative, pleomorphic rod-shaped bacterium. The Bacteroides group of bacteria is present in high numbers in both human and animal intestines. Tartera and Jofre (79) examined 40 human fecal samples for the presence of different Bacteroides spp. and determined that one B. fragilis strain, HSP40, was found in 10% of the human samples but was not detected in samples from any other animal species. This finding prompted the idea that bacteriophage that specifically infected this strain could be used as indicators of human fecal pollution. Tartera et al. (80) reported a wide range of numbers of B. fragilis HSP40 bacteriophage present in water that was subject to impact by human fecal pollution and domestic sewage. However, they did not detect B. fragilis phage in slaughterhouse wastewaters or water containing fecal contamination from wildlife only. Because of the low numbers of B. fragilis phage present in some sewage and domestic wastewaters, Puig et al. (63) attempted to identify additional host strains of Bacteroides in order to detect additional phage originating from the human gut or the guts of different animal species that may have more far-reaching capacity than the HSP40 bacteriophage. They identified an additional strain of B. fragilis, RYC4023, which was almost phenotypically identical to strain HSP40 and which showed similar sensitivity to infection by bacteriophage. They also identified an additional strain, RYC2056, that detected greater numbers of phage in waters polluted with domestic sewage. In spite of the fact that this strain detected phage in animal feces, its ability to detect higher numbers of phage than strain HSP40 in waters with a known human impact makes it a potential candidate as an indicator of human fecal pollution in environmental waters.

Overall, the detection of *B. fragilis* bacteriophage has the advantage of being a highly specific method for tracking the source of human fecal pollution. In addition, these phage do not replicate in the environment, and their presence in the environment has been found to significantly correlate with the presence of human enteric viruses (41). The absence of *B. fragilis* phage in highly polluted waters and sewage in some areas (such as the United States) and the inherent difficulty in performing the assay limit the usefulness of this method, however (35, 39, 63).

**F-specific RNA coliphage.** Coliphages are viruses that infect *E. coli.* Investigators have also reported that animal and human feces contain specifically different serotypes of RNA coliphages, suggesting that phage can be used to predict sources of pollution (22, 37, 38).

There are two main groups of coliphages: somatic coliphages and male-specific (F+) coliphages. The somatic and malespecific bacteriophage are grouped taxonomically into several groups. The male-specific coliphages belong to two main groups (*Leviviridae* [RNA] and *Inoviridae* [DNA]). Somatic coliphages span four groups (*Myoviridae* [DNA]). Somatic coliphages attach directly to the lipopolysaccharide of *E. coli*, whereas F+ coliphages attack only bacteria that possess an F plasmid, which codes for an F pilus and serves as the site of attachment for the virus. Although significant genetic differences are present between and within members of each group of bacteriophage, the F+ RNA bacteriophage have been more fully characterized. Therefore, the majority of microbial source tracking research has focused on the F+ RNA coliphages.

There are four main subgroups of F+ RNA coliphages (*Leviviridae*): group I, group II, group III, and group IV. Members of groups II and III have been shown to be highly associated with human fecal contamination and/or domestic sewage, while group IV coliphages have a higher incidence in wastes associated with animals and livestock. Group I coliphages are present in feces and sewage from both humans and animals. The apparent differences in host tropism for the various groups of F+ RNA coliphage have been utilized to predict the presence of fecal contamination based on the presence or absence of a particular group of coliphage.

The F+ RNA bacteriophage can be enumerated by a variety of methods (36, 73). Once detected, the phage can be further characterized as being human or animal derived by immunological or genetic methods (29, 38). Serotyping of phage has been shown to produce ambiguous results (5, 38). For this reason, genotyping of F+ RNA phage has been utilized using a nucleic acid hybridization approach. This method involves plating the phage on a particular host, transferring the plaques to a nylon membrane, denaturing the phage to expose the nucleic acid, cross-linking the nucleic acid sequences with <sup>32</sup>P- or digoxigenin-labeled oligonucleotide probes. This technique has been shown to be successful in identifying the four groups of F+ RNA bacteriophage and subsequently for use in tracking sources of fecal pollution (29, 38).

Because the number of bacteriophage present in the environment is often considerably lower than that of traditional bacterial indicators, it is important that detection be sensitive and include both enrichment procedures and direct assay. Furthermore, if a mixed contamination event occurs, then water samples must be collected and assayed immediately so that die-off of a particular group of coliphage does not occur, which would falsely indicate the presence of only one group or another. Although the host specificity (or at least the apparent general association of particular groups of coliphage with either humans or animals) is well documented, efforts to isolate F+ RNA coliphage have revealed that only a small percentage of human fecal samples contain these phage (27, 37). F+ RNA bacteriophage predominate in domestic sewage, however, which suggests an ability of coliphage to proliferate or be released in the sewage environment. Overall, more research into the differential survival characteristics of the various groups of coliphage is warranted. In addition, further genetic characterization of coliphage from the different groups as well as F+ DNA bacteriophage is warranted so that differences may be identified within or between groups that are capable of differentiating pollution from humans and specific animal hosts.

Human enteric viruses. Over 100 different enteric viruses are associated specifically with the human gastrointestinal tract. Many of these viruses are not easily cultivated in environmental samples; however, methods have been developed to concentrate and cultivate these organisms and are useful for directly detecting the presence of human fecal contamination and public health risk. Studies have shown that outbreaks of gastroenteritis have been associated with water supplies with acceptable coliform counts (16), and bacterial indicators have been shown to be unreliable indicators of the presence of enteroviruses (26, 53). By monitoring directly for human enteric viruses, the uncertainty associated with the use of fecal indicators can be avoided. Jiang et al. (40) used a nested PCR protocol to detect the presence of adenovirus in waters off the California coast, and she and others have suggested routine monitoring for adenoviruses as an index of human pollution (60). In addition, Lee and Kim (46) recently detected infectious enteroviruses and adenoviruses in 47.8 and 39.1% of drinking water samples in Korea, respectively, and suggested a broad survey of viral pollution in tap water using a broad range of samples and wide spectrum of target viruses.

Monitoring directly for human pathogens provides valuable information as to the quality of the water system being evaluated. However, many viruses can be present in a water system, while only a few can be detected by cultivation methods that distinguish viable from nonviable organisms. Molecular methods (reverse transcription-PCR) can be used to detect noncultivable viruses; however, nonviable viruses are also detected by this procedure, which provides no information as to potential risk to human health. This problem is partially remedied by using cell culture cultivation followed by reverse transcription-PCR. This allows the detection of viruses that propagate in cell culture but do not cause cytopathic effects (1). Finally, as with any presence-absence test, the inability to detect an enteric virus cannot be construed as evidence of its absence. Therefore, this method should be used in conjunction with one or more additional methods for predicting the presence of fecal pollution and enteric pathogens.

### PHENOTYPIC METHODS USED IN MICROBIAL SOURCE TRACKING

Numerous phenotypic methods have been suggested for use in discriminating among various groups of bacteria. These include biochemical tests (54), phage susceptibility (87), outer membrane protein profiles (3), antibody reactivity (84), fimbriation (43), bacteriocin production and susceptibility, and other methods. However, these systems have serious disadvantages, including unstable phenotypes, low sensitivity at the intraspecies level, and limited specificity. However, a few phenotypic methods have been used successfully as bacterial source tracking (BST) methodologies.

**MAR analysis.** MAR analysis is a method that has been used to differentiate bacteria (usually *E. coli* or fecal streptococci) from different sources using antibiotics commonly associated with human and animal therapy, as well as animal feed (15, 34, 56, 85, 86). The use of this method is based on the underlying principle that the bacterial flora present in the gut of various types of animals are subjected to different types, concentrations, and frequencies of antibiotics. Over time, selective pressure within a specific group of animal selects for flora that possess specific "fingerprints" of antibiotic resistance.

This procedure involves the isolation and culturing of a target organism, then replica plating the isolates on media containing various antibiotics at various concentrations. The plates are then incubated and the organisms are scored according to their susceptibilities to various antibiotics to generate an antibiotic resistance profile. These fingerprints are then characterized, analyzed by discriminate (or cluster) analysis, and compared to a reference database to identify an isolate as being either human or animal derived.

The MAR technique has been shown to be successful in discriminating E. coli or fecal streptococci isolated from specific animal species, including wildlife, various livestock (cattle, pigs, horses, and chickens), and humans (34, 85, 86). In a direct application, Hagedorn et al. (31) used antibiotic resistance patterns of fecal streptococci to identify cattle as the predominant source of fecal pollution in the Page Brook watershed in rural Virginia, which resulted in the implementation of restricted access of the cattle to the stream and a 94% reduction of fecal coliform bacteria in the watershed. This method has received significant attention as a viable tool for tracking the sources of fecal pollution; however, antibiotic resistance is often carried on plasmids, which can be lost from cells via cultivation and storage or by changes in environmental conditions. More research is needed to determine if this factor could potentially change the apparent origin of an organism after its persistence in the environment. In addition, strains from different locations may show variations in specific sensitivities due to variable antibiotic use among humans and livestock species. For these reasons, large databases may need to be compiled that contain antibiotic resistance profiles from multiple organisms from a large geographic area. Furthermore, antibiotic sensitivity is not useful in situations where the isolates under study show no significant resistance patterns yet come from different animal species.

Immunological methods. Serogrouping of microorganisms based on the presence of different somatic (O) antigenic determinants has been used by several investigators to differentiate E. coli from various sources (17, 28). It has been reported that different serotypes of E. coli are associated with different animal sources, although many serotypes are also shared among humans and animals (8, 33, 55). Parveen et al. (58) tested a total of 100 human source and nonhuman source E. coli isolates for the presence of various O antigens. Of these, 77% were successfully typed. Human-derived isolates exhibited 19 serotypes, with 48% being classified within 7 serotypes. Animal-derived isolates spanned 26 serotypes, with 36% being classified within 7 of those serotypes. Overlap between predominant serotypes of human- and animal-derived isolates was not significant, which indicates that serotyping may be useful in discriminating E. coli from human and animal sources. One of the drawbacks to this method, however, is the necessity for a large bank of antisera. Parveen et al. (58) suggested the use of this method in conjunction with another method, such as ribotyping, which would allow the testing of a limited number of serotypes. The possibility of testing for only certain serotypes makes this a potentially valuable method to be included in the microbial source tracking "toolbox."

# GENOTYPIC METHODS USED IN MICROBIAL SOURCE TRACKING

**PFGE.** Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) is a method of DNA fingerprinting whereby DNA fingerprints are generated after treatment of genomic bacterial DNA with rarecutting restriction endonucleases. PFGE has been a very useful technique in determining bacterial relatedness and in epidemiological studies (4, 42). Parveen et al. (58) analyzed 32 *E. coli* isolates by PFGE and found no association between PFGE profile and isolate source. However, Simmons et al. (71) used PFGE to match 51% of 439 *E. coli* isolates from a stream in an urban watershed, and classified the majority of isolates as being from wildlife (especially raccoons) and dogs. Additional published research using this technique for BST is lacking, and its usefulness for this purpose has not been fully determined.

Repetitive element PCR. Repetitive element PCR uses primers corresponding to interspersed repetitive DNA elements present in various locations within the prokaryotic genome to generate highly specific genomic fingerprints. Three methods of repetitive sequence analysis have been used, with each targeting a specific family of repetitive element. These methods include repetitive extragenic palindromic sequence PCR (REP-PCR), enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus sequence PCR, and PCR with extragenic repeating elements (BOX-PCR). The REP primer set generally generates a lower level of complexity, while the ERIC primer set is more sensitive to suboptimal PCR conditions, such as the presence of contaminants in the DNA preparation (64). Generally, the BOX primer is used in cases where a detailed characterization is needed, as this primer generates robust fingerprints and generally yields a highly complex pattern of amplified fragments. This method has been used previously to differentiate between closely related strains of bacteria (82, 83). For these reasons, BST research has initially focused on the use of the BOX primer in performing REP-PCR (19).

The genetic fingerprint generated using BOX-PCR contains several bands, which can subsequently be analyzed, categorized by host source, and used to construct a database to which fingerprints from unknown isolates can be compared. Successful identification of an unknown bacterial isolate also requires that a reference database be established, and additional known isolates must be fingerprinted from a large geographic region in order to assess the potential universal application of this procedure. Questions have also arisen as to the reproducibility of this method.

**Ribotyping.** Ribotyping is a method of DNA fingerprinting whereby highly conserved rRNA genes are identified using oligonucleotide probes after treatment of genomic DNA with restriction endonucleases. The method is a labor-intensive procedure that involves bacteriological culture and identification, DNA extraction, gel electrophoresis, Southern blotting, and discriminant analysis of the resulting DNA fingerprints. Ribotyping has proven to be a very useful epidemiological technique for use with various bacteria, including *E. coli* (77), *S. enterica* (54), *Vibrio cholerae* O1 (61), and *Vibrio vulnificus* (2, 78).

Ribotyping has also been reported to effectively track human and nonhuman sources of pollution (12, 32, 57, 69). Parveen et al. (57) examined 238 *E. coli* isolates from the Apalachicola National Estuarine Research Reserve in Florida and additional human and animal sources and were able to correctly classify 97 and 67% of animal- and human-derived isolates, respectively. Similarly, Carson et al. (12) analyzed 287 *E. coli* isolates collected from humans, various livestock (cattle, swine, horses, poultry, and turkeys), pets (dogs), and wildlife (geese) and correctly classified 95 and 99% of human- and animalderived isolates, respectively.

Variations of the ribotyping procedure are present in the literature and usually involve the use of different restriction enzymes, the use of alternative detection methods during the Southern blotting procedure (colorimetric or radioactive), or variations in analysis and interpretation of ribotype profiles (discriminant analysis versus 100% similarity). Investigators using different methods have also reported differences in the ability of the ribotyping procedure to discriminate between bacteria from various animal hosts (32, 57, 69, 70; T. M. Scott, S. Parveen, K. M. Portier, J. B. Rose, M. L. Tamplin, S. R. Farrah, and J. Lukasik, submitted for publication). As with other DNA fingerprinting methodologies, the success of this procedure depends on the size of the "known-source" reference fingerprint database to which a ribotype profile from an unknown isolate must be compared. The inability of many laboratories to compile a database that contains enough isolates to which unknown profiles can be compared may be one limitation of this procedure, as ribotyping has been shown to lose its effectiveness when isolates are collected from a broad geographic area (32, 51; Scott et al., submitted). Additional factors such as differences in the diet of the host animal have also been suggested as a reason for variations in ribotype profiles. Therefore, databases either may need to be extremely large and contain isolates from a very broad geographic region or must be designed exclusively for a specific watershed with defined potential impacts (51). Finally, although this method has proven successful in some aspects, it is expensive and labor-intensive, unless the procedure is streamlined and performed routinely.

Host-specific molecular markers. Detection of host-specific molecular markers in raw water samples holds promise as an effective method for characterizing a microbial population without first culturing the organisms in question. Rapid tests that discriminate human fecal pollution from human and bovine fecal pollution are currently in the literature and use length heterogeneity PCR and terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis to characterize members of the *Bacteroides-Prevotella* group and the genus *Bifidobacterium* (6, 7). In addition to this method, assaying for a battery of specific toxin genes or additional host-specific genes (such as various fimbriae) by PCR has shown some promise for differentiating bacteria based on their pathogenic properties and the hosts they target (70).

This approach offers the advantage of circumventing the need for a culturing step, which allows a more rapid identification of target organisms. In addition, the use of *Bacteroides* spp. is desirable, as anaerobic bacteria are less likely to reproduce once introduced in the environment. However, little is known about the survival and persistence of *Bacteroides* spp. in the environment, which raises questions as to its utility as an indicator organism. Assaying for toxin or adhesion genes has not been thoroughly investigated and is complicated by the fact that many organisms do not contain these genes regardless of their host specificity.

### CHEMICAL METHODS USED IN MICROBIAL SOURCE TRACKING

**Caffeine.** Caffeine is present in several beverages, including coffee, tea, soft drinks, and in many pharmaceutical products.

Method	Advantage(s)	Disadvantage(s)
Fecal coliform/fecal streptococous ratio	Easy to perform; may be useful for recent contamination	Variable survival rates of fecal streptococci can alter ratio
Bifidobacterium sp.	Sorbitol fermenters may be human specific	Low numbers present in environment; variable survival rates; culture methods not well-defined
B. fragilis HSP40 bacteriophage	Very human specific; easy to perform	Not present in sewage in some areas
F+ RNA bacteriophage	Groups are well-correlated with source; easy to perform	Unreliable in marine and tropical waters due to variable survival rates
Human enteric virus	Human specific; Direct monitoring for pathogen circumvents need to use indicators	Low numbers in environment; labor- intensive; more sensitive methods needed
MAR	Rapid; can be used to discriminate isolates from multiple animal sources	Requires reference database; may be geographically specific; isolates that show no antibiotic resistance cannot be typed
PFGE	Extremely sensitive to minute genetic differences	May be too sensitive to broadly discriminate for source tracking
BOX-PCR	Rapid; easy to perform	Reproducibility a concern; reference database required; may be geographically specific
Ribotyping	Highly reproducible; some methods useful for classifying isolates from multiple sources	Labor-intensive; reference database required; may be geographically specific; variations in methodology exist
Bacteroides-Prevotella molecular marker	Does not require culturing of organism; PCR method is rapid, easy to perform	Little is known about survival and distribution in water systems; currently not applicable to all animals
Caffeine	Useful for assessing impact from human sewage	Minute quantities in the environment make sensitivity an issue; requires expensive analyses
Fecal sterols and/or stanols	Some sterols/stanols have greater specificity for humans and/or animals	Present naturally in sediments; requires expensive analyses; Low prevalence makes sensitivity an issue

TABLE 1. Advantages and disadvantages of current methods used for microbial source tracking

It is excreted in the urine of individuals who have ingested the substance, and subsequently, it has been suggested that the presence of caffeine in the environment would indicate the presence of human sewage (9). Levels of caffeine in domestic wastewater have been measured to be between 20 and 300  $\mu$ g/liter (68). Levels in receiving waters are much lower due to significant dilution, and little is known about the fate of caffeine in the environment once it has been deposited (76).

**Coprostanol.** Coprostanol is a fecal stanol that is formed during catabolism of cholesterol by indigenous bacteria present in the gut of humans and higher animals and is the primary stanol detected in domestic wastewater (50). For this reason, it has been proposed as a chemical indicator of human fecal pollution (13, 20, 44, 45, 47, 81). Leeming et al. (47) characterized fecal samples from numerous animals and found that coprostanol constituted ~60% of the total stanols in human feces. Feces from pigs and cats were also found to contain coprostanol, but at levels that were 10-fold lower. Additional fecal stanols, such as 24-ethyl-coprostanol, were found to be predominant in herbivores, such as cows, horses, and sheep, suggesting potential use of this chemical as an indicator of fecal pollution from these sources.

In addition to caffeine and fecal sterols, chemicals found in laundry detergents such as fluorescent whitening agents, sodium tripolyphosphate, and linear alkyl benzenes have been used to predict human impact; however, these chemicals cannot reliably be traced to sewage or fecal pollution and can only be attributed to general human or industrial sources. Therefore, the use of these chemicals for fecal source tracking is omitted from this review but has been reviewed elsewhere (72).

While initial results seem promising, overall, the methodologies used for the detection of human-specific chemical substances in water are tedious and lack the desired sensitivity to be considered as universal indicators of human fecal pollution. Furthermore, to date, no direct relationships have been made between the presence of these chemical indicators and pathogenic organisms or to the subsequent risk to public health.

### CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

A summary of the methods currently used for microbial source tracking as well as some advantages and disadvantages of each is presented in Table 1. Overall, there is no single method that is capable of identifying specific sources of fecal pollution in the environment with absolute certainty. Research is continuing at a rapid pace, and new techniques are sure to be developed. Future research should address issues such as relationships between the survival characteristics of indicator organisms with regard to those of the pathogens they are designed to predict. Furthermore, epidemiological studies should be undertaken that implement multiple source tracking methods so that assessments of risk can be more closely associated with the results produced by a given technique. In addition, one important aspect that will surely require additional investigation is the relative stability of the genotypic and phenotypic profiles obtained using these procedures after organisms have been subjected to various environmental stressors.

Recent advances in gene chip, microarray, and biosensor technologies will allow increased sensitivity and specificity of detection as well as enable detection of multiple organisms or molecular markers with a single assay. Real-time techniques will also greatly improve the ability to remedy existing contamination problems as well as prevent future events, and most will circumvent the need for cultivation of the organisms in question, thus eliminating bias introduced by culturing techniques. For techniques that require a reference database (ribotyping, MAR, REP-PCR), the construction of national databases has been suggested by several investigators (51). In addition, the advantages of using host-specific organisms such as B. fragilis bacteriophage and the F+ RNA coliphage can be increased by research designed to further characterize these organisms so new groups or subgroups can be identified that may have uses in tracing sources of fecal pollution.

Overall, all current methods and those in progress require additional investigation; however, all have merit and are important constituents of the constantly expanding microbial source tracking toolbox. With Environmental Protection Agency-mandated total maximum daily loads being calculated throughout the United States, the need for current and future source tracking technologies is increasing, and these methods are certain to play a pivotal role in identifying point and nonpoint sources of fecal pollution in our nation's impaired water systems.

#### REFERENCES

- Abbaszadegan, M., P. Stewart, and M. LeChevallier. 1999. A strategy for detection of viruses in groundwater by PCR. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65:444–449.
- Aznar, R., W. Ludwig, and K. H. Schleifer. 1993. Ribotyping and randomly amplified polymorphic DNA analysis of V. vulnificus biotypes. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 16:303–309.
- Barenkamp, S. J., R. S. Munson, Jr., and D. M. Granoff. 1981. Subtyping isolates of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b by outer-membrane protein profiles. J. Infect. Dis. 143:668–676.
- Barrett, T. J., H. Lior, J. H. Green, R. Khakhria, J. G. Wells, B. P. Bell, K. D. Greene, J. Lewis, and P. M. Griffin. 1994. Laboratory investigation of a multistate food-borne outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 by using pulsed field gel electrophoresis and phage typing. J. Clin. Microbiol. 32:3013–3017.
- Beekwilder, J., R. Nieuwenhuizen, A. H. Havelaar, and J. van Duin. 1996. An oligonucleotide hybridization assay for the identification and enumeration of F-specific RNA phages in surface water. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 80:179–186.
- Bernhard, A. E., and K. G. Field. 2000a. Identification of nonpoint sources of fecal pollution in coastal waters by using host-specific 16S ribosomal DNA markers from fecal anaerobes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 66:1587–1594.
- Bernhard, A. E., and K. G. Field. 2000b. A PCR assay to discriminate human and ruminant feces based on host differences in *Bacteroides-Prevotella* 16S ribosomal DNA. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 66:4571–4574.
- Bettelheim, K. A., N. Ismail, R. Shinebaum, R. A. Shooter, E. Moorhouse, and S. O'Farrel. 1976. The distribution of serotypes of *Escherichia coli* in cow pats and other animal materials compared with serotypes of *E. coli* isolated from human sources. J. Hyg. 76:403–406.
- Burkhardt, M. R. 1999. Determination of submicrogram-per liter concentrations of caffeine in surface water and groundwater samples by solid-phase extraction and liquid chromatography. J. AOAC Int. 82:161–166.
- Cabelli, V. J., A. P. Dufour, M. A. Levin, and L. J. McCage. 1982. Swimmingassociated gastroenteritis and water quality. Am. J. Epidemiol. 115:606–616.
- Cabelli, V. J. 1983. Health effects for marine recreation waters. USEPA 600/1-80-031. Health Effects Research Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, N.C.
- Carson, A. C., B. L. Shear, M. R. Ellersieck, and A. Asfaw. 2001. Identification of fecal *Escherichia coli* from humans and animals by ribotyping. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67:1503–1507.

- Chan, K. H., M. H. W. Lam, K. F. Poon, H. Y. Yeung, and T. K. T. Chiu. 1998. Application of sedimentary fecal stanols and sterols in tracing sewage pollution in coastal water. Water Res. 32:225–235.
- 14. Clesceri, L. S., A. E. Greenberg, and A. D. Eaton (ed.). 1998. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20th ed. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C.
- Cooke, M. D. 1976. Antibiotic resistance among coliform and fecal coliform bacteria isolated from sewage, seawater, and marine shellfish. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 9:879–884.
- Craun, G. F. 1991. Causes of waterborne outbreaks in the United States. Water. Sci. Technol. 24:17–20.
- Crichton, P. B., and D. C. Old. 1979. Biotyping of *Escherichia coli*. J. Med. Microbiol. 12:473–486.
- Desmarais, T. R., Solo-Gabriele, H. M., and C. J. Palmer. 2002. Influence of soil on fecal indicator organisms in a tidally influenced subtropical environment. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68:1165–1172.
- Dombek, P. E., L. K. Johnson, S. T. Zimmerly, and M. J. Sadowsky. 2000. Use of repetitive DNA sequences and the PCR to differentiate *Escherichia coli* isolates from human and animal sources. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 66:2572–2577.
- Edwards, D. D., G. A. McFeters, M. I. Venkatesan. 1998. Distribution of *Clostridium perfringens* and fecal sterols in a benthic coastal marine environment influenced by the sewage outfall from McMurdo Station, Antarctica. Appl Environ Microbiol. 64:2596–2600.
- 21. Fujioka, R. S., and L. K. Shizumura. 1985. *Clostridium perfringens*: a reliable indicator of stream water quality. J. Water Pollut. Control Fed. **57**:986–992.
- Furuse, K., A. Ando, S. Osawa, and I. Watanabe. 1981. Distribution of ribonucleic acid coliphages in raw sewage from treatment plants in Japan. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 41:1139–1143.
- Gavini, F., A. M. Pourcher, C. Neut, et al. 1991. Phenotypic differentiation of bifidobacteria of human and animal origins. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 41:548– 557.
- Geldreich, E. E. Sanitary significance of fecal coliform in the environment. 1966. U.S. Dept. of the Interior. Cincinnati, Ohio. Water Pollution Control Research Series Publication, WP-20–3. Federal Water Pollution Control Administration.
- Geldreich, E. E., and B. A. Kenner. 1969. Concepts of fecal streptococci in stream pollution. J. Water Pollut. Control Fed. 41:R336–R352.
- Gerba, C. P., S. M. Goyal, R. L. Labelle, I. Cech, and G. F. Bodgan. 1979. Failure of indicator bacteria to reflect the occurrence of enteroviruses in marine waters. Am. J. Pub. Health 69:1116–1119.
- Gerba, C. P. 1987. Phage as indicators of fecal pollution, p. 197–209. *In S. M. Goyal, C. P. Gerba, and G. Bitton (ed.), Phage ecology. Wiley-Interscience, New York, N.Y.*
- Gonzalez, E. A., and J. Blanco. 1989. Scrotypes and antibiotic resistance of verotoxigenic (VTEC) and necrotizing (NTEC) Escherichia coli strains isolated from calves with diarrhoea. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 60:31–36.
- Griffin, D. W., C. J. Gibson, I. I. I., E. K. Lipp, K. Riley, J. H. Paul III, and J. B. Rose. 1999. Detection of viral pathogens by reverse transcriptase PCR and of microbial indicators by standard methods in the canals of the Florida Keys. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65:4118–4125.
- Griffin, D. W., E. K. Lipp, M. R. McLaughlin, and J. B. Rose. 2001. Marine recreation and public health microbiology: quest for the ideal indicator. BioScience 51:817–825.
- Hagedorn, C. S., S. L. Robinson, J. R. Filtz, S. M. Grubbs, T. A. Angier, and R. B. Reneau, Jr. 1999. Using antibiotic resistance patterns in the fecal streptococci to determine sources of fecal pollution in a rural Virginia watershed. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65:5522–5531.
- Hartel, P. G., J. D. Summer, J. L. Hill, J. V. Collins, J. A. Entry, and W. I. Segars. 2002. Geographic variability of *Escherichia coli* ribotypes from animals in Idaho and Georgia. J. Environ. Qual. 31:1273–1278.
- Hartly, C. L., K. Howne, A. H. Linton, K. B. Linton, and M. H. Richmond. 1975. Distribution of R plasmids among O-antigen types of *Escherichia coli* isolated from human and animal sources. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 8:122–131.
- 34. Harwood, V. J., J. Whitlock, and V. H. Withington. 2000. Classification of the antibiotic resistance patterns of indicator bacteria by discriminant analysis: use in predicting the source of fecal contamination in subtropical Florida waters. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 66:3698–3704.
- Havelaar, A. H. 1993. Bacteriophages as models of human enteric viruses in the environment. ASM News 59:614–619.
- Havelaar, A. H., and W. M. Hogeboom. 1984. A method for the enumeration of male-specific bacteriophages in sewage. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 56:439–447.
- Havelaar, A. H., W. M. Pot-Hogeboom, K. Furuse, R. Pot, and M. P. Hormann. 1990. F-specific RNA bacteriophages and sensitive host strains in faeces and wastewater of human and animal origin. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 69:30–37.
- Hsu, F-C., Y-S Shieh, J. van Duin, M. J. Beekwilder, and M. D. Sobsey. 1995. Genotyping male-specific RNA coliphages by hybridization with oligonucleotide probes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61:3960–3966.
- 39. Jagals, P., W. O. K. Grabaw, and J. C. de Villers. 1995. Evaluation of

indicators for assessment of human and animal fecal pollution of surface run-off. Water Sci. Technol. **31:**235–241.

- Jiang, S. C., R. T. Noble, and W. Chu. 2001. Human adenoviruses and coliphage in urban runoff-impacted coastal waters of southern California. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67:179–184.
- Jofre, J., M. Blasi, A. Bosch, and F. Lucena. 1989. Occurrence of bacteriophages infecting *Bacteroides fragilis* and other viruses in polluted marine sediments. Water Sci. Technol. 21:15–19.
- Johnson, J. M., S. D. Weagant, K. C. Jinneman, and J. L. Bryant. 1995. Use of pulsed field gel electrophoresis for epidemiological study of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 during a food-borne outbreak. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61: 2806–2808.
- Latham, R. H., and W. E. Stamm. 1984. Role of fimbriated *Escherichia coli* in urinary tract infections in adult women: correlation with localization studies. J. Infect. Dis. 149:835–840.
- Laureillard, J., and A. Saliot. 1993. Biomarkers in organic matter produced in estuaries: a case study of the Krka estuary (Adriatic Sea) using the sterol marker series. Mar. Chem. 43:247–261.
- LeBlanc, L. A., J. S. Latimer, J. T. Ellis, and J. G. Quinn. 1992. The geochemistry of coprostanol in waters and surface sediments from Narahansett Bay. Estuarine, Coast Shelf Sci. 34:439–458.
- Lee, S.-H., and S.-J. Kim. 2002. Detection of infectious enteroviruses and adenoviruses in tap water in urban areas in Korea. Water Res. 36:248–256.
- Leeming, R., A. Ball, N. Ashbolt, and P. Nichols. 1996. Using fecal sterols from humans and animals to distinguish fecal pollution in receiving waters. Water Res. 30:2893–2900.
- Levin, M. L. 1977. Bifidobacteria as water quality indicators, p. 131–138. *In* A. A. Hoadley and B. J. Dutka (ed.), Bacterial indicators/health hazards associated with water. American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, Pa.
- Levin, M. L., and I. G. Resnick. 1977. Bifidobacterium, p. 129–159. *In* B. J. Dutka (ed.), Membrane filtration applications, techniques, and problems. Marcel Dekker, New York, N.Y.
- MacDonald, I. A., V. D. Bokkenheuser, J. Winter, A. M. McLernon, and E. H. Mosbach. 1983. Degradation of fecal sterols in the human gut. J. Lipid Res. 24:675–694.
- Malakoff, D. 2002. Water quality. Microbiologists on the trail of polluting bacteria. News focus. Science 295:2352–2353.
- Mara, D. D., and J. I. Oragui. 1983. Sorbitol-fermenting bifidobacteria as specific indicators of human faecal pollution. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 55:349–357.
- Noble, R. T., and J. A. Fuhrman. 2001. Enteroviruses detected in the coastal waters of Santa Monica Bay, CA: low correlation to bacterial indicators. Hydrobiologia 460:175–184.
- Olsen, J. E., D. J. Brown, D. L. Baggesen, and M. Bisgaard. 1992. Biochemical and molecular characterization of *Salmonella enterica* serovar berta, and comparison of methods for typing. Epidemiol. Infect. 108:243–260.
- Orskov, F., and I. Orskov. 1981. Enterobacteriaceae, p. 340–352. In A. I. Broude (ed.), Medical microbiology and infectious diseases. W. B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, Pa.
- Parveen, S., R. L. Murphree, L. Edminston, C. W. Kaspar, K. M. Portier, and M. L. Tamplin. 1997. Association of multiple-antibiotic-resistance profiles with point and nonpoint sources of *Escherichia coli* in Apalachicola Bay. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63:2607–2612.
- Parveen, S., K. M. Portier, K. Robinson, L. Edminston, and M. L. Tamplin. 1999. Discriminant analysis of ribotype profiles of *Escherichia coli* for differentiating human and nonhuman sources of fecal pollution. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65:3142–3147.
- Parveen, S., N. C. Hodge, R. E. Stall, S. R. Farrah, and M. L. Tamplin. 2001. Genotypic and phenotypic characterization of human and nonhuman *Escherichia coli*. Water Res. 35:379–386.
- Payment, P., and E. Franco. 1993. Clostridium perfringens and somatic coliphages as indicators of the efficacy of drinking water treatment for viruses and protozoan cysts. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59:2418–2424.
- Pina, S., M. Puig, F. Lucina, J. Jofre, and R. Girones. 1998. Viral pollution in the environment and in shellfish: Human adenovirus detection by PCR as an index of human viruses. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64:3376–3382.
- Popovic, T., C. Bopp, O. Olsvik, and K. Wachsmuth. 1993. Epidemiologic application of a standardized ribotype scheme for *V. cholerae* O1. J. Clin. Microbiol. 31:2474–2482.
- Pourcher, A. M., L. A. Devriese, J. F. Hernandez, and J. M. Delattre. 1991. Enumeration by a miniaturized method of *E. coli*, *Streptococcus bovis*, and enterococci as indicators of the origin of faecal pollution in waters. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 70:525–530.
- Puig, A., N. Queralt, J. Jofre, and R. Araujo. 1999. Diversity of Bacteroides fragilis strains in their capacity to recover phages from human and animal wastes and from faecally polluted wastewater. J. Appl. Microbiol. 65:1772–1776.
- 64. Rademaker, J. L. W., F. J. Louws, and F. J. DeBruijn. 1998. Characterization

of the diversity of ecologically important microbes by rep-PCR genomic fingerprinting, p. 1–27. *In* A. D. L. Akkermans, J. D. van Elsas, and F. J. de Bruijn (ed.), Molecular microbial ecology manual. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

- Resnick, I. G., and M. A. Levin. 1981. Assessment of bifidobacteria as indicators of human fecal pollution. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 42:433–438.
- 66. Rhodes, M. W., and H. Kator. 1994. Seasonal occurrence of mesophilic Aeromonas spp. as a function of biotype and water quality in temperate freshwater lakes. Water Res. 28:2241–2251.
- Rhodes, M. W., and H. Kator. 1999. Sorbitol-fermenting bifidobacteria as indicators of diffuse human fecal pollution in estuarine watersheds. J. Appl. Microbiol. 87:528–535.
- Rogers, I. H., I. K. Birtwell, and G. M. Kruzynski. 1986. Organic extractables in municipal wastewater. Can. J. Water Pollut. Res. 21:187–204.
- Samadpour, M., and N. Chechowitz. 1995. Little Soos Creek microbial source tracking: a survey. University of Washington Department of Health, Seattle.
- Scott, T. M. 2002. Use of DNA fingerprinting and novel molecular methods to identify sources of *Escherichia coli* in the environment. Ph.D. thesis. University of Florida, Gainesville.
- Simmons, G. M., D. F. Waye, S. Herbein, S. Myers, and E. Walker. 2000. Estimating nonpoint fecal coliform sources in Northern Virginia's Four Mile Run watershed, p. 248–267. *In* T. Younos and J. Poff (ed.), Proceedings of the Virginia Water Research Symposium 2000, VWRRC Special Report SR-19–2000, Blacksburg.
- Sinton, L. W., R. K. Finlay, and D. J. Hannah. 1998. Distinguishing human from animal faecal contamination in water: a review. N. Zeal. J. Mar. Freshwater Res. 32:323–348.
- Sinton, L. W., R. K. Finlay, and A. J. Reid. 1996. A simple membrane filtrationelution method for the enumeration of F-RNA, F-DNA, and somatic coliphages in 100 mL water samples. J. Microbiol. Methods 25:257–269.
- Sobsey, M. D., K. J. Schwab, and T. R. Handzel. 1990. A simple membrane filter method to concentrate and enumerate male specific RNA coliphages. J. Am. Water Works Assoc. 82:52–59.
- Solo-Gabriele, H. M., M. A. Wolfert, T. R. Desmarais, and C. J. Palmer. 2000. Sources of *Escherichia coli* in a coastal subtropical environment. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 66:230–237.
- Standley, L. J., L. A. Kaplan, and D. Smith. 2000. Molecular tracer of organic matter sources to surface water resources. Environ. Sci. Technol. 34:3124–3130.
- Stull, T. L., J. J. LiPuma, and T. D. Edlind. 1988. A broad-spectrum probe for molecular epidemiology of bacteria: ribosomal RNA. J. Infect. Dis. 157:280–286.
- Tamplin, M. L., J. K. Jackson, C. Buchreiser, R. L. Murphree, K. M. Portier, V. V. Ganger, et al. 1996. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and ribotype profiles of clinical and environmental *Vibrio vulnificus* isolates. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62:3572–3580.
- Tartera, C., and J. Jofre. 1987. Bacteriophages active against *Bacteroides fragilis* in sewage-polluted waters. Appl Environ. Microbiol. 53:1632–1637.
- Tartera, C., F. Lucena, and J. Jofre. 1989. Human origin of *Bacteroides fragilis* bacteriophages present in the environment. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 55:2696–2701.
- Venkatesan, I. M., and I. R. Kaplan. 1990. Sedimentary coprostanol as an index of sewage addition to Santa Monica Basin, Southern California. Environ. Sci. Technol. 24:208–214.
- 82. Versalovic, J., F. J. DeBruijn, and J. R. Lupski. 1998. Repetitive sequencebased PCR (rep-PCR) DNA fingerprinting of bacterial genomes, p. 437–454. *In* F. J. deBruijn, J. R. Lupski, and G. M. Weinstock (ed.), Bacterial genomes: physical structure and analysis. Chapman and Hall, New York, N.Y.
- Versalovic, J., M. Schneider, F. J. DeBruijn, and J. R. Lupski. 1994. Genomic fingerprinting of bacteria using repetitive sequence based polymerase chain reaction. Methods Mol. Cell Biol. 5:25–40.
- Wachsmuth, K. 1986. Molecular epidemiology of bacterial infections: example of methodology and investigations of outbreaks. Rev. Infect. Dis. 8:682–692.
- Wiggins, B. A. 1996. Discriminant analysis of antibiotic resistance patterns in fecal streptococci, a method to differentiate human and animal sources of fecal pollution in natural waters. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62:3997–4002.
- 86. Wiggins, B. A., R. W. Andrews, R. A. Conway, C. L. Corr, E. J. Dobratz, D. P. Dougherty, J. R. Eppard, S. R. Knupp, M. C. Limjoco, J. M. Mettenburg, J. M. Rinehardt, J. Sonsino, R. L. Torrijos, and M. E. Zimmerman. 1999. Use of antibiotic resistance analysis to identify nonpoint sources of fecal pollution. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65:3484–3486.
- Zierdt, C. H., E. A. Robertson, R. L. Williams, and J. D. Maclowry. 1980. Computer analysis of *Staphylococcus aureus* phage typing data from 1957– 1975, citing epidemiological trends and natural evolution within phage typing system. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **39**:623–629.