## **ERRATUM**

Re: Volume 40, Number 1 (July, 1983), pp. 35-45

In the article "Concurrent schedules: Spatial separation of response alternatives" by Harrie Boelens and Pieter F. M. Kop, four incorrect figures were published. The error occurred during the production of the issue and was not the fault of the authors.

The deviations from response matching, shown in Figures 2 and 5, are not correct. The following table presents the correct deviations from response matching:

Deviations from response matching

| Subject | Experiment 1 Partition length (cm) |      |      | Experiment 2<br>Partition length |    |    |
|---------|------------------------------------|------|------|----------------------------------|----|----|
|         | 0                                  | 10   | 20   | 0                                | 10 | 20 |
| HB 1    | 09                                 | +.10 | +.10 | 15                               | 02 | 0  |
| HB 5    | <b>07</b>                          | 12   | 0    | 12                               | 11 | 03 |
| HB 11   | 11                                 | 13   | +.06 | <b>-</b> .17                     | 12 | 07 |
| HB 12   | 15                                 | 04   | +.13 | 17                               | 0  | 02 |

Figures 3 and 6 are not correct: each of the run lengths should be one (response per interchangeover period) greater than is shown.