decline of cotinine concentration in saliva is similar to that in plasma and urine, and that their earlier results were incorrect.

Drs. Sepkovic and Haley question our use of nicotine capsules as a source of cotinine in nonsmokers because it fails to consider the lung as a site of metabolism. However, this is not an issue that affects our study, since we were not studying nicotine metabolism. Nicotine capsules were chosen simply as a means of achieving high cotinine levels. At least 12 hours elapsed after the last nicotine dose before cotinine sampling began. Twelve hours after smoking cessation, very little nicotine is expected to remain in the lung, so our subjects are similar to abstinent smokers in this respect. In addition, Benowitz, *et al,*[3] found the half-life of cotinine to be similar for subjects comparing smoking cessation to after intravenous cotinine conditions, indicating that nicotine metabolism has little impact.

Possible half-life differences between smokers and nonsmokers are important for interpretation of passive smoking dosimetry. To address this issue, one must consider two comparisons: 1) Is there a difference in the rate of metabolism of cotinine in smokers versus nonsmokers?; 2) Is there a different impact of continuing generation of cotinine in abstinent smokers versus passive smokers?

Our data indicate that, at comparable concentrations, the half-lives of cotinine are similar in nonsmokers to those reported in smokers in other studies. Kyerematen, *et al,*[4] did report differences between smokers and nonsmokers, but the magnitude of the difference was small (13 versus 10 hours). Thus, we conclude that at comparable blood concentrations the half-lives of cotinine, and presumably the rate of metabolism, are similar or only slightly different comparing smokers and nonsmokers.

The second question—the impact of continuing generation of cotinine in smokers versus passive smokers—remains to be answered. Etzel, *et al,*[5] and Haley, *et al,** report that in infants and adults, respectively, the half-life of cotinine is longer in passive smokers than in smokers. In contrast, it was noted by Haley, *et al,** that half-lives of cotinine were similar in abstinent smokers and ex-smokers after the latter had chewed nicotine gum.

These observations suggest that the longer half-life of cotinine in people passively exposed to tobacco smoke has nothing to do with different rates of metabolism but rather is due to continued introduction of cotinine into the circulation from ongoing low level exposure or from slow release of nicotine from tissue stores. In either case, continuing generation of cotinine from nicotine would prolong the half-life of cotinine in passive smokers, but would have no impact on half-life at the high levels of cotinine seen in smokers or in nicotine gum chewers.

*Haley NJ, Sepkovic DW, Louis E, Hoffmann D: Absorption and elimination of nicotine by smokers, nonsmokers and chewers of nicotine gum. Presented at the International Symposium on Nicotine, Gold Coast, Australia, 1987.

REFERENCES

1. Jarvis MJ, Russell MAH, Benowitz NL, Feyerabend C: Elimination of cotinine from body fluids: implications for non-invasive measurement of tobacco smoke exposure. Am J Public Health 1988; 78:696–698.
2. Sepkovic DW, Haley NJ: Biomedical applications of cotinine quantitation in smoking-related research. Am J Public Health 1985; 75:663–665.
3. Benowitz NL, Kuyt F, Jacob P III, Jones RT, Osman AL: Cotinine disposition and effects. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1983; 34:604–611.
4. Kyerematen GA, Damiano MD, Dvorchik BH, Vesell ES: Smoking-induced changes in nicotine disposition: Application of a new HPLC assay for nicotine and its metabolites. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1982; 32:769–780.
5. Etzel RA, Greenberg RA, Haley NJ, Loda FA: Urinary cotinine excretion in neonates exposed to tobacco smoke products *in utero*. J Pediatr 1985; 107:146–148.

Martin J. Jarvis, MPhil
Michael A. H. Russell, MRCP
Colin Feyerabend, PhD
Addiction Research Unit, Institute of Psychiatry, 101 Denmark Hill, London SE5 8AF, United Kingdom

Neal L. Benowitz, MD
Clinical Pharmacology Unit, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco General Hospital, 1001 Potrero Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94110.

EFNEP (Expanded Food and Nutrition Program)

I am writing in regard to the "Letters to the Editor" column in the January 1988 issue,[1] in which Barbara C. Sterne addresses an article on the relationship of participation in food assistance programs to the nutritional quality of diets published in the July 1987 issue.[2] She brings up an important point—that education on how to get the most nutrition for the food dollar "is sadly lacking in all but the WIC program." However, she has overlooked EFNEP (Expanded Food and Nutrition Program)—a nutrition education program administered out of the Extension Service at the county level. EFNEP, through trained paraprofessionals, works with low-income persons with young children (young families) on an intensive basis toward the goals of causing positive behavior change and acquisition of new food-related skills. EFNEP works with WIC and is able to provide the long-term intensive education that WIC cannot due to funding and staff restraints.

In Minnesota during 1987, EFNEP:

- reached 2,307 low/limited income participants and 8,070 family members;
- reached 3,414 low/limited income youth in 241 youth groups;
- utilized 441 volunteers;
- ensured that 60% of EFNEP participants received Food Stamps and 51% received WIC;
- demonstrated an average 43% knowledge increase occurred as a result of information taught; and
- found that more than 90% of participants exhibited a more varied diet as a result of EFNEP participation.

Statistics alone do not tell the whole EFNEP story. The self-sufficiency and self-esteem that some low-income persons receive from EFNEP is invaluable. Readers who would like additional information on Minnesota's EFNEP may contact me at 612/624-7479.

Editor's Note: For *national EFNEP information,* contact Extension Service, US Department of Agriculture, Office of Home Economics and Human Nutrition 202/447-2908. At the *local level,* EFNEP is administered through the Director of the Cooperative Extension Service, located at the land-grant university in the various states.

REFERENCES

1. Sterne BS: On food assistance programs and nutrition education. (letter) Am J Public Health 1988;78:98.
2. Emmons L: Relationship of participation in food assistance programs to the nutritional quality of diets. Am J Public Health 1987; 77:856–858.

Ellen Schuster, MS, RD, CHE
State EFNEP Coordinator, Minnesota Extension Service, Department of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Minnesota, 1334 Eckles Avenue, St. Paul, MN 55108.

Response from B. C. Sterne

In response to Ellen Schuster's letter regarding the Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program (EFNEP), I certainly did not mean to imply that there are no other nutrition education programs available for fami-