## Recombination-Promoting Activity of the Bacteriophage $\lambda$ Rap Protein in *Escherichia coli* K-12

Anthony R. Poteete,\* Anita C. Fenton, and Hsinju R. Wang

Department of Molecular Genetics & Microbiology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts 01655

Received 23 October 2001/Accepted 9 May 2002

The *rap* gene of bacteriophage  $\lambda$  was placed in the chromosome of an *Escherichia coli* K-12 strain in which the *recBCD* gene cluster had previously been replaced by the  $\lambda$  *red* genes and in which the *recG* gene had been deleted. Recombination between linear double-stranded DNA molecules and the chromosome was tested in variants of the  $recG\Delta$   $red^+$   $rap^+$  strain bearing mutations in genes known to affect recombination in other cellular pathways. The linear DNA was a 4-kb fragment containing the *cat* gene, with flanking *lac* sequences, released from an infecting phage chromosome by restriction enzyme cleavage in the cell. Replacement of wild-type *lacZ* with *lacZ::cat* was monitored by measuring the production of Lac-deficient chloramphenicol-resistant bacterial progeny. The results of these experiments indicated that the  $\lambda$  *rap* gene could functionally substitute for the *E. coli ruvC* gene in Red-mediated recombination.

The *rap* gene of phage  $\lambda$  has a number of properties suggesting that it functions in homologous recombination. It is required for efficient formation or stability of RecBCD-generated cointegrates between  $\lambda$  and plasmids bearing homologous sequences (3). This observation is responsible for its name: recombination adept with plasmids. The rap gene also influences both the nature and clustering of recombination events in  $\lambda$  crosses (17). On the basis of the *rap* gene's map location and apparent involvement in recombination, it was proposed that rap is a functional analog (though it is not a homolog) of the rusA genes of phage 82 and a cryptic Escherichia coli prophage (6). The RusA protein is a Holliday junction resolvase, which can substitute for the RuvC protein of E. coli in promoting recombination (7, 15). Consistent with this proposal, it was found that the rap gene encodes a nuclease which cleaves at the branch points of Holliday junctions; in addition, it cleaves three-stranded junctions (D-loops) (16).

An *E. coli* strain in which the RecBCD recombinase is replaced with the Red system of phage  $\lambda$  is hyper-rec. In such a strain recombination involving short stretches of sequence identity (30 to 1,000 bp) occurs at an elevated level, relative to wild-type *E. coli*, and is strongly dependent upon both Red function and double-strand breaks (2, 9, 11, 18, 19). This hyper-rec state of a bacterium expressing some of the phage recombination genes (*gam, bet*, and *exo*) partially models the conditions which prevail in a phage-infected cell. It has been found that expression in a *red*<sup>+</sup> cell of genes from the *nin* region of the  $\lambda$  chromosome, including *rap*, does not further stimulate recombination but makes recombination partially independent of *recF*, *recO*, *recR*, and *ruvC* (12). In this study we show that the *rap* gene accounts for some of this activity, complementing the recombination defect of a *ruvC* mutant.

**Strains.** Bacterial strains constructed for this study are described in Table 1.  $\lambda$  *lac::cat819 nin5* has been described previously (13).

The rap gene was PCR amplified from  $\lambda$  cI857 S7 DNA with primers having the sequences 5'-CACGAGGAAGCATATG ATGGCTA-3' and 5'-GTTTCAACGAGCTCTTATGGAAT GGTT-3'. Following digestion with NdeI and SacI restriction endonucleases (sites are underlined in the primer sequences), it was ligated between the NdeI and SacI sites of an expression vector bearing a promoter/ribosome binding site cassette with the sequence GGGCCCGCACCCCAGGCTTTACATTGTG AGCGGATAACAATATAATGAAGCTTAATAAGGAGGA AAAACATATG. The promoter (-35 and -10 hexamers are)in bold type) is designated  $P_{mac}$ . Its design is based on the studies of Lanzer and Bujard (4). It promotes a moderate level of transcription and is controllable by the lac repressor (unpublished observations). The Pmac-rap assembly was sequenced and found to contain wild-type rap (data not shown). It was cloned between the ApaI and SacI sites of a derivative of pTP838 (10) in which the NdeI site had been removed by digestion with NdeI, filling in with E. coli DNA polymerase I large fragment, and religation. The resulting plasmid, pTP914, contains the following elements in order: an AatII site; galK amino terminal-encoding sequences; Pmac-rap; a kanamycin resistance determinant derived from Tn903; galK carboxy terminal-encoding sequences; a BamHI site; and the pBR322 replication origin and beta lactamase gene. pTP914 was digested with BamHI and was partially digested with AatII (the rap gene contains an AatII site). The digested DNA was introduced into E. coli strain TP656 via electroporation. Kanamycin-resistant transformants were screened for ampicillin sensitivity and were tested by colony PCR for the presence of an insertion of the expected size in galK. An otherwise identical strain, bearing the gfp (green fluorescent protein) gene of pGreenTIR (8) in place of rap, was constructed as a rap mutant control.

Starting with an *E. coli* strain in which the *recBCD* gene cluster was replaced with  $P_{tac}$ -gam-bet-exo pae cI, variants lacking Red functions were constructed by transformation with

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Molecular Genetics & Microbiology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, 55 Lake Ave. North, Worcester, MA 01655. Phone: (508) 856-3708. Fax: (508) 856-5920. E-mail: anthony.poteete@umassmed .edu.

Strain	Genotype	Source, reference, or description	
AB1157	$F^-$ thr-1 ara-14 leuB6 $\Delta$ (gpt-proA)62 lacY1 tsx-33 supE44 galK2 $\lambda^-$ rac hisG4 rfbD1 mgl-51 prsL31 kdgK51 cyl-5 mtl-1 argE3 thi-1 arg'	1	
CS85	eda-51::Tn10 ruvC53	14	
JC15329	$\Delta(srl-recA)306::Tn10$	A. J. Clark	
N2057	nwA60::Tn10	14	
SS185	recJ284::Tn10	5	
TP507	AB1157 $\Delta$ (recC-ptr-recB-recD)::P <sub>tac</sub> -gam-bet-exo-pae-cI822	13	
TP540	$\Delta ruvAB6203::tet$	12	
TP554	$\Delta recG6202$	12	
TP577	$\Delta recG6202 \Delta recF6206:tet$	12	
TP607	$\Delta rec G6202 \ \Delta sulA6209 \Delta$ ::tet	12	
TP643	ΔrecG6202 galK::P <sub>tac</sub> -nin-kan878 ΔrecQ6216::tet	12	
TP644	$\Delta recG6202 \ galK::P_{tac}$ -nin-kan878 $\Delta recO6218::tet$	12	
TP645	$\Delta recG6202$ galK:: $P_{tac}$ -nin-kan878 $\Delta recR6212$ ::tet	12	
TP656	AB1157 $\Delta recBCD$ :: $P_{tac}$ -gam-bet-exo-pae-cI822 $\Delta recG6202$ sulA6211( $\Delta cdn9$ -153)	TP607 $\times$ linear DNA fragment <sup>b</sup>	
TP664	recN6205(substitution of tet857 for cdn4-519)	TP507 × linear DNA fragment <sup>b</sup>	
TP671	galK::Pmac-rap-kan914	TP656 $\times$ linear DNA fragment <sup>c</sup>	
TP672	galK::P <sub>mac</sub> -gfp-kan915	TP656 $\times$ linear DNA fragment <sup>c</sup>	
TP675	galK:: $P_{mac}$ -rap-kan914 $\Delta$ (srl-recA)306::Tn10	$TP671 \times P1(JC15329)$	
TP676	galK::P <sub>mac</sub> -rap-kan914 \DecF6206::tet	$TP671 \times P1(TP577)$	
TP677	galK:: $P_{mac}$ -rap-kan914 $\Delta$ recO6218::tet	$TP671 \times P1(TP644)$	
TP678	galK::P <sub>mac</sub> -rap-kan914 ∆recQ6216::tet	$TP671 \times P1(TP643)$	
TP679	galK::P <sub>mac</sub> -rap-kan914 ΔrecR6212::tet	$TP671 \times P1(TP645)$	
TP680	galK::P <sub>mac</sub> -rap-kan914 ruvC53 eda::Tn10	$TP671 \times P1(CS85)$	
TP681	$galK::P_{mac}$ -gfp-kan915 $\Delta(srl-recA)306::Tn10$	$TP672 \times P1(JC15329)$	
TP682	galK::P <sub>mac</sub> -gfp-kan915 ∆recF6206::tet	$TP672 \times P1(TP577)$	
TP683	galK::P <sub>mac</sub> -gfp-kan915 ΔrecO6218::tet	$TP672 \times P1(TP644)$	
TP684	galK::P <sub>mac</sub> -gfp-kan915 \DeltarecQ6216::tet	$TP672 \times P1(TP643)$	
TP685	galK:: $P_{mac}$ -gfp-kan915 $\Delta$ recR6212::tet	$TP672 \times P1(TP645)$	
TP686	galK::P <sub>mac</sub> -gfp-kan915 ruvC53 eda::Tn10	$TP672 \times P1(CS85)$	
TP695	galK::P <sub>mac</sub> -rap-kan914 recJ284::Tn10	$TP672 \times P1(SS185)$	
TP696	galK::P <sub>mac</sub> -rap-kan914 ΔrecN6205::tet	$TP671 \times P1(TP664)$	
TP697	galK::P <sub>mac</sub> -rap-kan914 ΔruvAB6203::tet	$TP671 \times P1(TP540)$	
TP698	galK::Pmac-rap-kan914 ruvA60::Tn10	$TP671 \times P1(N2057)$	
TP700	galK::Pmac-sfp-kan915 recJ284::Tn10	$TP672 \times P1(SS185)$	
TP701	galK::P <sub>mac</sub> -gfp-kan915 ΔrecN6205::tet	$TP672 \times P1(TP664)$	
TP702	galK::P <sub>mac</sub> -gfp-kan915 ΔruvAB6203::tet	$TP672 \times P1(TP540)$	
TP703	galK::P <sub>mac</sub> -gfp-kan915 ruvA60::Tn10	$TP672 \times P1(N2057)$	
TP740	$\Delta$ (recC-ptr-recB-recD)::tet-pae-cI963	TP656 $\times$ linear DNA fragment <sup>c</sup>	
TP741	$\Delta$ (recC-ptr-recB-recD):: $P_{tac}$ -gam-tet-pae-cI967	TP656 $\times$ linear DNA fragment <sup>c</sup>	

<sup>a</sup> Strains TP671 through TP741 were all constructed in the TP656 background; they bear deletions of the coding sequences of *recG* and *sulA*. They are presumed to bear all the other genetic markers of AB1157 as well, but these were not tested. Strains TP671 through TP703 bear the substitution (*recC-ptr-recB-recD*)\Delta::*P<sub>tac</sub>-gam-bet-exo-pae-cI822*; strains TP740 and TP741 bear variants lacking Red functions. <sup>b</sup> The *sulA6211* and *recN6205* alleles were constructed by the use of methods previously described (10).

<sup>c</sup> Details of the construction are given in the text.

linear plasmids. One plasmid, pTP963, was constructed by replacing the Bgl2 site-bounded P<sub>tac</sub>-gam-bet-exo module of plasmid pTP822 (13) with a segment of DNA containing the tetracycline resistance determinant of Tn10, previously cloned in plasmid pTP802 (10). The other plasmid, pTP967, was constructed in two steps. First, DNA between the HpaI sites in bet and exo was replaced by a linker for the restriction enzyme NotI. Then a segment of DNA containing the tetracycline resistance determinant of Tn10, previously cloned in plasmid pTP857 (10), was inserted into the NotI site.

Crosses. Bacterial strains were grown overnight with aeration in Luria-Bertani (LB; 1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.5% NaCl, 1 mM NaOH) medium supplemented with 25 µg of kanamycin/ml and, in the case of tetracycline-resistant strains, 25 µg of tetracycline/ml. Overnight cultures were diluted 100-fold into LB supplemented with 10 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub> plus 0.2% maltose, grown with aeration at 37°C, chilled on ice, and adjusted, if necessary, to a density of approximately  $2 \times 10^8$ /ml. Phage was added at a multiplicity of 10, along with isopropyl- $\beta$ -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) to a concentration of 1 mM. Tubes containing mixtures of phage and bacteria were incubated on ice for 10 min, shifted to a 37°C water bath for 5 min, and then aerated by rolling at 37°C for 55 min. Cultures were plated on LB agar for determination of viable titer and

		1			
Strain no.		Delevent construct	% Recombination <sup>b</sup>		Dav affaatt
rap deficient	$rap^+$	Relevant genotype	rap deficient	$rap^+$	Rap effect
672	671	Wild type	$1.05 \pm 0.11$	$1.89 \pm 0.30$	1.8
681	675	recA	$0.01 \pm 0.003$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	2.6
682	676	recF	$0.20 \pm 0.02$	$0.85 \pm 0.08$	4.3
700	695	recJ	$1.35 \pm 0.40$	$1.19 \pm 0.69$	0.9
701	696	recN	$0.81 \pm 0.20$	$0.80 \pm 0.15$	1.0
683	677	recO	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.01 \pm 0.00$	0.7
684	678	recQ.	$0.06 \pm 0.01$	$0.12 \pm 0.02$	2.1
685	679	$rec\widetilde{R}$	$0.01 \pm 0.005$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	2.6
703	698	ruvA	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	1.2
702	697	ruvAB	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.06 \pm 0.02$	2.2
686	680	ruvC	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	$0.80\pm0.27$	23.1

TABLE 2. Effects of rap on  $\lambda$  Red-mediated recombination in E. coli strains

<sup>*a*</sup> All strains bear the *recBCD* $\Delta$ ::*P<sub>tac</sub>-gam-bet-exo-pae-c1822* substitution as well as in-frame deletions of all but the first and last few codons of *recG* and *sulA*. Those listed under the column headed "*rap*<sup>+</sup>" bear the *galK*::*P<sub>mac</sub>-gfp-kan915* insertion; those listed under the column headed "*rap*<sup>+</sup>" bear the *galK*::*P<sub>mac</sub>-gfp-kan915* insertion.

<sup>b</sup> Recombination frequencies were measured as described in the text. Averages and standard errors of the ratios of recombinants (white colonies on chloramphenicol-X-Gal-IPTG plates) to total viable titers were determined from 2 to 13 measurements.

<sup>c</sup> Ratio of recombination frequency in the *rap*<sup>+</sup> and *rap*-deficient background.

were plated on LB agar supplemented with 10  $\mu$ g of chloramphenicol/ml plus 1 mM IPTG plus 80  $\mu$ g of 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside (X-Gal)/ml for determination of *lac::cat819* recombinants.

The recombination event monitored in these crosses involves replacement of a small segment of the *lacZ* gene with the chloramphenicol resistance-conferring *cat* gene. The *cat* gene is brought into the cell by infection with  $\lambda$  *lac::cat819 nin5*. The phage injects its chromosome, which circularizes but is not transcribed or replicated due to the presence of *cI* repressor in the cell. The PaeR7 restriction endonuclease, also present in the cell, cuts the phage chromosome in two places, releasing a double-stranded DNA fragment consisting of the *cat* gene flanked on either side by 1.5-kb segments of *lac* sequence.

To verify that recombination between the cell chromosome and  $\lambda$  *lac::cat819 nin5* is dependent upon Red function in the Red-for-RecBCD-substituted *E. coli* strain TP656, variants lacking Red functions were constructed (Table 1). In one strain the entire  $P_{tac}$ -gam-bet-exo module was eliminated; in another, the last 64 codons of *bet* and the first 46 codons of *exo* were eliminated. Formation of *lac::cat819* recombinants was reduced approximately 700- and 400-fold by these two modifications, respectively (averages of two measurements; data not shown).

**Rap effects on Red-mediated recombination.** Starting with an *E. coli* strain bearing a  $(recC-ptr-recB-recD)\Delta:: P_{tac}-gam-bet$ exo-pae-cI substitution as well as deletions of <math>recG and sulA, two isogenic sets of  $rap^+$  and mutant rap strains with mutations in various other recombination genes were constructed. The reason for employing a background lacking recG is that deletion of recG elevates the frequency of Red-mediated recombination; deletion of sulA was found to enhance the viability of  $recBCD\Delta::red$  strains lacking recF, recO, or recR function (12). The results of crosses in these strains are summarized in Table 2. As seen previously (12), recombination in the non-rap-containing background depends on recA, recF, recO, recQ, recR, ruvAB, and ruvC. The data in Table 2 indicate that mutation of recJ in the  $red^+ recG\Delta$  background had little effect on recombination; in contrast, it significantly reduced recombination in the previous study. We attribute the difference in outcomes to the absence of *sulA* in the strains constructed for this study, which improves the viability of the *recG recJ* double mutant. In addition, the data in Table 2 show that deletion of *recN* has little effect on Red-mediated recombination.

Expression of *rap* alters the genetic dependency of Redmediated recombination, as shown in Table 2. Recombination in the  $rap^+$  cell is much less dependent upon *ruvC*, as predicted. In addition, recombination is somewhat less dependent upon *recF*. Slight differences are also seen in *recA*, *recQ*, *recR*, and *ruvAB* mutants, but these are of doubtful significance, representing small changes in low recombination frequencies.

Other Rap phenotypes. The restoration of recombination proficiency to a  $recG\Delta ruvC$  mutant by rap suggested that rapmight restore some degree of resistance to DNA-damaging agents as well, but this appears not to be the case. Testing UV sensitivity, as described previously (12), we found that there was no reliably measurable difference between  $rap^+$  and mutant rap versions of the  $recG\Delta ruvC$  strain (data not shown).

Introduction of the *ruvC53* allele into *E. coli* (*recC-ptr-recB-recD*) $\Delta$ ::*P<sub>tac</sub>-gam-bet-exo-pae-cI recG sulA* produces a cell which grows quite slowly relative to its *ruvC*<sup>+</sup> parent. When *rap* is expressed in the cell, the growth defect is less pronounced but is still detectable. However, cultures of both *rap*<sup>+</sup> and non-*rap*-containing variants are frequently taken over by fast-growing, recombination-proficient, and relatively UV-resistant mutants. We assume these mutants are pseudorevertants, with mutations activating the *rusA* gene as described by Mandal et al. (7), but we have not tested them further. To ensure that the results shown in Table 2 were not influenced by the presence of such revertants, *ruvC* mutant strains were reconstructed by P1 transduction for each experiment. Only crosses which showed no evidence of fast-growing revertants after plating were used in determining recombination frequencies.

None of the phenotypes of the  $rap^+$  strains is affected by the presence or absence of the *lac* inducer IPTG, although the control  $gfp^+$  strain fluoresces more brightly when grown in the presence of IPTG (data not shown). We infer that the basal

level of expression from  $P_{mac}$  is sufficient to suppress the recombination and cell growth phenotypes of  $recBCD\Delta$ :: red $pae-cI recG\Delta sulA\Delta ruvC53$ , but neither the basal nor induced level suppresses the UV sensitivity phenotype. The inability of the wild-type, chromosomal *lacI* gene to exert tight negative control over *rap* expression may be due to the presence in the cell of four different promoters capable of binding (and thus partially titrating) the *lac* repressor: wild-type  $P_{lac}$ ,  $P_{tac}$ -gambet-exo,  $P_{lacUV5}$ -cI, and  $P_{mac}$ -rap. However, this hypothesis has not been tested.

The properties of  $rap^+$  cells suggest that Rap can substitute for RuvC in some cellular pathways but not in others. On the other hand, the Rap protein's partial complementation of a *recF* mutant (Table 2) and its broader range of nucleolytic activities (16) suggests that, conversely, Rap may carry out an additional function(s), most likely in phage replication, repair, or recombination, that RuvC does not.

We thank Kenan Murphy for helpful discussions.

This study was supported in part by grant GM51609 from the National Institutes of Health.

## REFERENCES

- Bachmann, B. J. 1996. Derivations and genotypes of some mutant derivatives of *Escherichia coli* K-12, p. 2460–2488. *In* F. C. Neidhardt, R. Curtiss III, J. L. Ingraham, E. C. C. Lin, K. B. Low, B. Magasanik, W. S. Reznikoff, M. Riley, M. Schaechter, and H. E. Umbarger (ed.), *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*: cellular and molecular biology, 2nd ed. ASM Press, Washington, D.C.
- Datsenko, K. A., and B. L. Wanner. 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97:6640–6645.
- Hollifield, W., E. Kaplan, and H. Huang. 1987. Efficient RecABC-dependent, homologous recombination between coliphage lambda and plasmids requires a phage *ninR* region gene. Mol. Gen. Genet. 210:248–255.

- Lanzer, M., and H. Bujard. 1988. Promoters largely determine the efficiency of repressor action. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85:8973–8977.
- Lovet, S. T., and A. J. Clark. 1984. Genetic analysis of the recJ gene of Escherichia coli K-12. J. Bacteriol. 157:190–196.
- Mahdi, A. A., G. J. Sharples, T. N. Mandal, and R. G. Lloyd. 1996. Holliday junction resolvases encoded by homologous *rusA* genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 and phage 82. J. Mol. Biol. 257:561–573.
- Mandal, T. N., A. A. Mahdi, G. J. Sharples, and R. G. Lloyd. 1993. Resolution of Holliday intermediates in recombination and DNA repair: indirect suppression of *nuvA*, *nuvB*, and *nuvC* mutations. J. Bacteriol. 175:4325–4334.
- 8. Miller, W. G., and S. E. Lindow. 1997. An improved GFP cloning cassette designed for prokaryotic transcriptional fusions. Gene 191:149–153.
- Murphy, K. C. 1998. Use of bacteriophage λ recombination functions to promote gene replacement in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 180:2063–2071.
- Murphy, K. C., K. G. Campellone, and A. R. Poteete. 2000. PCR-mediated gene replacement in *Escherichia coli*. Gene 246:321–330.
- Poteete, A. R., and A. C. Fenton. 1993. Efficient double-strand break-stimulated recombination promoted by the general recombination systems of phages λ and P22. Genetics 134:1013–1021.
- Poteete, A. R., and A. C. Fenton. 2000. Genetic requirements of phage Red-mediated gene replacement in *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Bacteriol. 182: 2336–2340.
- Poteete, A. R., A. C. Fenton, and K. C. Murphy. 1999. Roles of RuvC and RecG in phage λ Red-mediated recombination. J. Bacteriol. 181:5402–5408.
- Sharples, G. J., F. E. Benson, G. T. Illing, and R. G. Lloyd. 1990. Molecular and functional analysis of the *ruv* region of *Escherichia coli* K-12 reveals three genes involved in DNA repair and recombination. Mol. Gen. Genet. 221:219–226.
- Sharples, G. J., S. C. Chan, A. A. Mahdi, M. C. Whitby, and R. G. Lloyd. 1994. Processing of intermediates in recombination and DNA repair: identification of a new endonuclease that specifically cleaves Holliday junctions. EMBO J. 13:6133–6142.
- Sharples, G. J., L. M. Corbett, and I. R. Graham. 1998. λ Rap protein is a structure-specific endonuclease involved in phage recombination. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95:13507–13512.
- Stahl, F. W. 1998. Recombination in phage λ: one geneticist's historical perspective. Gene 223:95–102.
- Yu, D., H. M. Ellis, E.-C. Lee, N. A. Jenkins, N. G. Copeland, and D. L. Court. 2000. An efficient recombination system for chromosome engineering in *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97:5978–5983.
- Zhang, Y., F. Buchholz, J. P. P. Muyrers, and A. F. Stewart. 1998. A new logic for DNA engineering using recombination in *Escherichia coli*. Nat. Genet. 20:123–128.