

# Relationship between malt qualities and β-amylase activity and protein content as affected by timing of nitrogen fertilizer application<sup>\*</sup>

CHEN Jin-xin (陈锦新), DAI Fei (戴 飞), WEI Kang (韦 康), ZHANG Guo-ping (张国平)<sup>†‡</sup>

(Department of Agronomy, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310029, China) <sup>†</sup>E-mail: zhanggp@zju.edu.cn Received Aug. 25, 2005; revision accepted Oct. 5, 2005

**Abstract:** The effects of different timing of N fertilizer application at the same rate on grain  $\beta$ -amylase activity, protein concentration, weight and malt quality of barley were studied. Grain  $\beta$ -amylase activity and protein concentration were significantly higher in treatments where all top-dressed N fertilizer was applied at booting stage only or equally applied at two-leaf stage and booting stage than in the treatment where all top-dressed N fertilizer was applied at two-leaf age stage only. On the other hand, grain weight and malt extract decreased with increased N application at booting stage. There were obvious differences between barley varieties and experimental years in the grain and malt quality response to the timing of N fertilizer application. It was found that grain protein concentration was significantly and positively correlated with  $\beta$ -amylase activity, but significantly and negatively correlated with malt extract and Kolbach index. The effect of grain protein concentration on malt quality was predominant over the effect of grain  $\beta$ -amylase activity.

Key words:Barley, Nitrogen fertilizer, β-amylase, Malt qualitydoi:10.1631/jzus.2006.B0079Document code: A

**CLC number:** S512.3<sup>+</sup>1

## INTRODUCTION

Barley  $\beta$ -amylase is synthesized during grain development and stored in mature grains. It plays an important role in determining good malting quality (Ziegler, 1999; Evans *et al.*, 2003). Georg-Kraemer *et al.*(2001) found that  $\beta$ -amylase activity was a better predictor of diastatic power (DP) than  $\alpha$ -amylases in barley grains, and increased markedly during germination. High level of DP was required in brewing processes and was an important characteristic for estimating the quality of malt for beer production (Evans *et al.*, 1995). Grain protein content is a key "gateway" characteristic for malting quality. Excessively high protein level is commonly associated with lower soluble substance content and malt extract quality, resulting in unacceptable malt quality. Grain weight was also reported to be related to malt extract (Erkkila *et al.*, 1998; Swanston and Molina-Cano, 2001), so that, these characteristics are closely correlated with malt quality.

Several studies showed that  $\beta$ -amylase activity, grain protein content and weight were influenced to large extent by environmental factors, although they are mainly controlled by genetic factors (Bathgate, 1987; Smith, 1990). High quality of malting barley is reflected by optimal protein content (9.5%~11.5%), higher  $\beta$ -amylase activity and grain weight. Grain protein content is greatly affected by N availability in soil, and closely related to the rate and timing of N fertilizer application (Birch *et al.*, 1997; Eagles *et al.*,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Corresponding author

<sup>\*</sup> Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 30270779) and the Special Research Foundation for Doctor Discipline of Chinese Universities (No. 20020335028)

#### 1995).

It is often difficult to keep protein content below the upper limit to ensure the malt quality. In southern China, it is a regular practice to top-dress N fertilizer at booting stage in order to realize yield potential. This results in malters and brewers complaining about unstable malt quality and low extract yield from grains grown in this area. However, high N fertilization rate or ratio of N application in the late barley growth stage markedly increases  $\beta$ -amylase activity through promoting synthesis and accumulation of grain protein (Arends *et al.*, 1995). Therefore the interaction of N fertilizer application with malt quality is quite complex, as a consequence of the balance of these positive and negative effects.

Despite the importance of N application, there are few reports on the influence of N fertilizer application on  $\beta$ -amylase activity, protein content and their relationship to malt quality. The present investigation uses two local commercial malt barley cultivars, differing in yield components and malt quality in field experiments to determine the influence of different N application timing on  $\beta$ -amylase activity and malt quality, and also examines the relationship between some malt quality characters.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two commercial malt barley cultivars, Xiumai 3 (with more tillers and smaller grains) and 92-11 (with higher grain weight and fewer tillers) were used in this study. The field experiment was conducted at the experimental farm of Huajiachi campus, Zhejiang University in 2000~2002. The experiments were arranged in a completely random block design with three replications. Plots consisted of eight, 1.67 m long rows spaced 0.25 m apart. In each row 100 seeds were sown. All plots were supplied with 150 kg/ha of N. Apart from 40 kg/ha of N as compound fertilizer applied before seeding, N1 and N3 treatments received another 110 kg/ha of N as urea at 2-leaf and booting stage, respectively. In N<sub>2</sub> treatment 55 kg/ha of N as urea was top-dressed at 2-leaf and booting stages, respectively. In addition, 180 kg/ha of potassium chloride was applied to all plots prior to seeding. Other field management practices were the same as those locally recommended.

At maturity, grains were randomly sampled for chemical analysis. Grain samples were ground to pass through a 0.5-mm sieve in a Cyclotec mill (Tecator AB, Hoganas, Sweden). B-amylase was assayed according to McCleary and Codd (1989) using a commercial Betamyl kit (Megazyme International, Ireland, Ltd.). Cysteine was added to buffer solution in order to extract total  $\beta$ -amylase (Evans *et al.*, 1997). Grain protein concentration was determined by a near infrared reflectance analyzer (Model 5000, FOSS Co. Denmark) with the calibration established in a previous study (Yin et al., 2002). Barley grains from the 2000~2001 experiment were passed through over a 2.2 mm sieve, with the grains remaining on the 2.2 mm sieve being used for micro-malting. Samples (about 200 g) were micro-malted in a Phoenix System Micro-malting Apparatus (Adelaide, Australia) with the following regime: steeping (6 h, 16 °C), air-rest (14 h, 16 °C), steeping (8 h, 16 °C), air-rest (14 h, 16 °C), steeping (4 h, 16 °C); germination for 96 h at 15 °C; kilning for 24 h at 65 °C; followed by de-rooting. The malt quality parameters, including extract, Kolbach index, viscosity and DP were determined according to Analytica EBC Official Methods (EBC, 1975).

All data presented are the mean values. Statistical analysis was carried out by one-way ANOVA using Student's *t*-test to test significance of the difference between means. Means were considered significantly different for  $P \le 0.05$ .

### RESULTS

#### **Grain β-amylase activity**

Timing of N fertilizer application affected significantly grain  $\beta$ -amylase activities of both barley cultivars (Table 1). N<sub>1</sub> treatment, in which all top-dressed N fertilizer was applied at the 2-leaf stage, had the lowest  $\beta$ -amylase activity among three N application treatments. However, there was no significant difference between grain  $\beta$ -amylase activity in N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>3</sub> treatments, which indicated that applying N at late (booting) stage may increase  $\beta$ -amylase activity. In terms of difference between the two cultivars, 92-11 had significantly higher  $\beta$ -amylase activity, consistently in the two experimental years.

80

Table 1	Effect	of timi	ng of	Ν	application	on
β-amylase	activity	in barle	y grain	s (U	J/g)	

	N treatment	200	01	2002		
in treatment		92-11	Xiumai 3	92-11	Xiumai 3	
	$N_1$	$1054.4 \text{ b}^*$	925.8 b	979.8 b	951.6 b	
	$N_2$	1338.7 a	1056.7 a	1153.9 a	1101.3 a	
	$N_3$	1348.9 a	1054.4 a	1212.7 a	1136.2 a	
	*					

\* Different letters after data within a column represent significant difference at 95% probability

#### Grain protein concentration

Grain protein concentration showed dramatic response to the timing of N fertilizer application (Table 2). Treatment  $N_1$  resulted in significantly lower grain protein concentration than that in N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>3</sub>, regardless of cultivars. The difference between N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>3</sub> varied with the experimental years. Thus significant difference was found in 2001, with N<sub>3</sub> grain protein concentration being higher than that in  $N_2$ , while there was no significant difference between the two treatments in 2002. It was also found that there was great difference in grain protein concentration of both cultivars between two experimental years, with grain protein concentration in 2002 being distinctly higher than that in 2001. The difference between the grain protein concentrations of the two cultivars also varied with the experimental year. In 2001, 92-11 grain protein concentration was consistently higher than that in Xiumai 3 in all three N treatments, while in 2002 little difference in grain protein concentration was found between the cultivars.

 Table 2 Effects of timing of N application on protein content of barley grains (%)

N treatment	20	001	2002		
N treatment	92-11	Xiumai 3	92-11	Xiumai 3	
$N_1$	$10.0 c^*$	9.4 c	10.9 b	11.6 b	
$N_2$	11.1 b	10.7 b	13.3 a	13.3 a	
$N_3$	12.3 a	11.0 a	13.4 a	13.3 a	

\* Different letters after data within a column represent significant difference at 95% probability

#### Grain weight

The response of grain weight to timing of N application was also significant (Table 3). The treatment  $N_1$  had the largest barley grain weight among the three N treatments regardless of the two experimental years and cultivars, although there was no significant

difference with  $N_2$  in 2001. Between the two cultivars, 92-11 barley grain weight was consistently greater than that of Xiumai 3 in the three N treatments and the two experiments. Between the experimental years, grain weight in 2001 was significantly greater than that in 2002. The results showed that grain weight was reduced with increasing ratio of N fertilizer applied at booting stage, which was presumably the result of more spikes per plant and grains per spike. We observed that treatment  $N_3$  resulted in significantly more spikes per plant and grains per spike than  $N_2$ , and in turn than  $N_1$ , which might reduce available carbohydrates for grain filling.

Table 3 Effects of timing of N application on barley thousand grain weight (g)

N treatment	20	001	2002		
	92-11	Xiumai 3	92-11	Xiumai 3	
$N_1$	46.1 a*	41.4 a	42.3 a	37.1 a	
$N_2$	45.2 ab	41.3 a	39.9 b	33.5 b	
$N_3$	44.7 b	37.6 b	37.5 c	31.2 c	

\* Different letters after data within a column represent significant difference at 95% probability

#### Malt quality

Timing of N fertilizer application had significant influence on all malt quality parameters assessed in this study, except viscosity (Table 4). For malt extract, the two cultivars showed the same trend of decreasing extract with increased ratio of N fertilizer applied at booing stage. In contrast, diastatic power showed the opposite response to the N treatment compared to malt extract, i.e. N<sub>3</sub> had the highest value, followed by  $N_2$ , although the difference between  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  was not significant. The influence of N application timing on Kolbach index varied with cultivar. For 92-11, there was no significant difference among the 3 N treatments, while for Xiumai 3, N<sub>3</sub> was significantly lower than the other two N treatments. In addition, Xiumai 3 had higher malt extract, DP and Kolbach index, and lower viscosity than 92-11 at all N application rates.

# Correlations between grain protein concentration, weight, $\beta$ -amylase activity and malt properties

Analysis of correlation among grain protein concentration, weight,  $\beta$ -amylase activity and malt properties showed that grain weight was negatively associated with malt extract, diastatic power and Kolbach index (Table 5). As expected, protein concentration was negatively correlated with malt extract and Kolbach index, and significantly and positively correlated with diastatic power.  $\beta$ -amylase activity was negatively correlated with malt extract and Kolbach index, and positively correlated with viscosity, protein concentration and grain weight. It was shown that high grain protein concentration benefited increase of  $\beta$ -amylase activity, and as a result promoted diastatic power. However, it would cause a significant reduction in malt extract.

#### DISCUSSION

Previous studies showed that increasing N application rate at late growth stage of barley generally increased grain protein content and decreased grain weight (Xie and Ding, 1996; Zhao *et al.*, 1988). The present results of two-year experiments indicated that N top-dressing at early stage (2-leaf stage) benefited improvement of malt quality due to increasing grain weight and decreasing grain protein concentration, in comparison with the treatment in which more N top-dressed at late growth stage (booting stage).

 $\beta$ -amylase activity in grains was significantly increased when barley plants were top-dressed with more N fertilizer at booting stage.  $\beta$ -amylase is predominantly synthesized and accumulates during grain maturation up to 1%~2% of total barley protein (Hejgaard and Boisen, 1980). As such, it is one of the most common protein components in barley grain. Thus the amount of  $\beta$ -amylase in barley grains is largely dependent on the conditions under which the grain develops, in particular on nitrogen metabolism and protein synthesis. It was found that there was a close association between  $\beta$ -amylase activity and grain nitrogen/protein content (Rutger *et al.*, 1967; Hayter and Riggs, 1973; Swanston, 1980). Therefore it is expected that more N top-dressing at late stage will increase  $\beta$ -amylase activity.

This investigation showed that timing of N fertilizer application affected significantly most malt quality parameters. More application of N fertilizer at late growth stage significantly decreases malt extract due to more increase of grain protein concentration. Eagles et al.(1995) reported that malt extract would decrease with increased N application rate. It is implied that higher N level in soil and plants leads to more protein synthesis and accumulation in barley grains, as a result causing a reduced ability of grain components to be decomposed during malting and mashing, which may be demonstrated by the response of Kolbach index to timing of N application. Kolbach index is a value indicating protein solubility and is decreased with increased N application at late growth stage. It is interesting to note that the effect of timing of N fertilizer application on malt quality is also cultivar-dependent. For instance there was a significant

	14,	bie + Effects	, or thing or	1 application	on some m	an properties			
N treatment –	Malt ex	Malt extract (%)		Diastatic power (°WK)**		Viscosity (cP)		Kolbach index	
	92-11	Xiumai 3	92-11	Xiumai 3	92-11	Xiumai 3	92-11	Xiumai 3	
N <sub>1</sub>	74.6 a <sup>*</sup>	78.7 a	278.7 b	307.3 b	1.68 a	1.50 a	31.3 a	44.3 a	
$N_2$	74.2 a	77.5 a	300.3 b	331.3 ab	1.74 a	1.57 a	30.3 a	42.0 a	
$N_3$	72.3 b	76.0 b	345.3 a	347.7 a	1.68 a	1.49 a	29.7 a	38.0 b	
*						**			

Table 4 Effects of timing of N application on some malt properties

\*Different letters after data within a column represent significant difference at 95% probability; \*\* °WK: Windisch-Kolbach units

Table 5 Correlations among grain protein concentration, weight, β-amylase activity and malt properties

	β-amylase activity (U/g)	Protein concentration (%)	Grain weight (g)
Malt extract (%)	$-0.80^{**}$	$-0.71^{**}$	$-0.55^{*}$
Diastatic power (°WK)	0.18	0.59**	$-0.55^{*}$
Viscosity (cP)	$0.55^{*}$	0.38	$0.79^{**}$
Kolbach index	-0.73**	-0.61**	$-0.66^{**}$
Grain weight (g)	$0.53^{*}$	0.22	
Protein content (%)	$0.74^{**}$		

and \*\* mean significant at 95% and 99% probability, respectively

difference in Kolbach index among three N treatments for Xiumai 3, while there was no difference for 92-11.

It is well documented that grain protein concentration is negatively correlated with malt extract and positively correlated with diastatic power (Arends et al., 1995; Bishop and Day, 1993; Howard et al., 1996; Smith, 1990). Molina-Cano et al.(1995) reported that grain protein concentration was significantly and negatively correlated with malt viscosity and Kolbach index, while malt extract significantly and positively correlated with Kolbach index. This research revealed that  $\beta$ -amylase activity was positively correlated with grain protein concentration, but its association with diastatic power was not close. It was found that  $\beta$ -amylase activity was negatively correlated with malt extract and Kolbach index. The results indicated that the influence of increasing protein concentration in barley grains on malt quality is dual: a positive effect by increasing  $\beta$ -amylase activity as well as diastatic power and a negative effect by reducing malt extract. So it is important to optimize rate and timing of N fertilizer application in order to obtain high grain yield and malt quality. According to the current results, it seems better to top-dress N fertilizer in equally at the 2-leaf and booting stage, respectively.

An effective approach to balance the conflict between high yield and malt quality on N application is to develop cultivars with grain protein concentration that are less sensitive, in terms of grain protein accumulation, to variable N levels in soil (Arends et al., 1995). Bertholdsson (1999) described an ideotype for low and stable grain protein concentration, which was characterized by late heading, many tillers and many seeds per ear. Thus it may be possible to increase β-amylase activity and diastatic power while keeping grain protein concentration below the critical level of 11.5%. In this study, the two barley cultivars had distinct difference in the response of grain quality and malt quality to N application timing. It is suggested that it is also possible to alleviate the contradiction between low protein level and high diastatic power through developing cultivars with high β-amylase activity and low/stable protein concentration.

#### References

Arends, A.M., Fox, D.P., Henry, R.J., Marschke, R.J., Symons, M.N., 1995. Genetic and environmental variation in the diastatic power of Australian barley. *Journal of Cereal Science*, **20**:63-70. [doi:10.1016/S0733-5210(95)80009-3]

- Bathgate, G.N., 1987. Quality requirement for malting. Aspects Appl. Biol., 15:18-32.
- Bertholdsson, N.O., 1999. Characterization of malting barley cultivars with more or less stable grain protein content under varying environmental conditions. *European Journal of Agronomy*, **10**:1-8. [doi:10.1016/S1161-0301 (98)00043-4]
- Birch, C.J., Fukai, S., Broad, I.J., 1997. Estimation of responses of yield and GPC of malt barley to nitrogen fertilizer using plant nitrogen uptake. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, **48**:635-648. [doi:10.1071/ A96094]
- Bishop, L.R., Day, F.E., 1993. The effect of variety on the relation between nitrogen content and extract. *Journal of the Institute of Brewing*, **39**:545-551.
- Eagles, H.A., Bedggood, A.G., Panozzo, J.F., Martin, P., 1995.
   Cultivar and environmental effects on malting quality in barley. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 46:831-847. [doi:10.1071/AR9950831]
- EBC (European Brewery Convention), 1975. Analytica EBC, 3rd Ed. Verlag Hans Carl, Nurnberg, Germany.
- Erkkila, M.J., Leah, R., Ahokas, H., Cameron-Millers, V., 1998. Allells-dependant barley grain β-amylase activity. *Plant Physiology*, **117**:679-685. [doi:10.1104/pp. 117.2.679]
- Evans, D.E., Lance, R.C.M., Elington, J.K., Logue, S.J., Barr, A.R., 1995. The Influence of β-Amylase Isoform Pattern on β-Amylase Activity in Barley and Malt. Proc. 45th Austr. Cer. Chem. Conf., Adelaide, p.357-364.
- Evans, D.E., Wallace, W., Lance, R.C.M., MacLeod, L.C., 1997. Measurement of β-amylase in malting barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Part 2: The effect of germination and kilning on β-amylase). Journal of Cereal Science, 26:241-250. [doi:10.1006/jcrs.1997.0120]
- Evans, D.E., van Wegen, B., Ma, Y.F., Eglinton, J., 2003. The impact of the thermostability of  $\alpha$ -amylase,  $\beta$ -amylase and limit dextrinase on potential wort fermentability. *Journal of the American Society of Brewing Chemistry*, **61**:210-218.
- Georg-Kraemer, J.E., Mundstock, E.C., Cavalli-Molina, S., 2001. Developmental expression of amylase during barley malting. *Journal of Cereal Science*, **33**:279-288. [doi:10.1006/jcrs.2001.0367]
- Hayter, A.M., Riggs, T.J., 1973. Environmental and varietal differences in diastatic power and four associated characteristics of spring barley. *Journal of Agricultural Science Cambridge*, **80**:297-302.
- Hejgaard, J., Boisen, S., 1980. High-lysine proteins in hiproly breeding: identification, nutritional significance and new screening methods. *Hereditas*, 93:311-320.
- Howard, K.A., Gayler, K.R., Eagles, H.A., Halloran, G.M., 1996. The relationship between D hordein and malting quality in barley. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 24:47-53. [doi:10.1006/jcrs.1996.0036]
- McCleary, B.V., Codd, R., 1989. Measurement of β-amylase

in cereal flours and commercial enzyme preparation. *Journal of Cereal Science*, **9**:17-33.

- Molina-Cano, J.L., Francesch, M., Perez-Vendrell, A.M., 1995. Genetic and environmental variation in malting and feed quality of barley. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 25:37-47. [doi:10.1006/jcrs.1996.0067]
- Rutger, J.N., Schaller, C.W., Dickson, A.D., 1967. Variation and co-variation in agronomic and malting quality characteristics in barley. II. Interrelationships of characters. *Crop Science*, 7:325-326.
- Smith, D.B., 1990. Barley seed protein and its effects on malting and brewing quality. *Plant Varieties and Seeds*, 3:63-80.
- Swanston, J.S., 1980. Use of electrophoresis in testing for high diastatic power in barley. *Journal of the Institute of Brewing*, 86:81-83.

- Swanston, J.S., Molina-Cano, J.L., 2001. β-amylase activity and thermostability in two mutants derived from the malting barley cv. Triumph. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 33:155-161. [doi:10.1006/jcrs.2000.0364]
- Xie, Z.X., Ding, S.R., 1996. Advance in barley quality breeding study. *Barley Science*, **6**:1-6.
- Yin, C., Zhang, G.P., Wang, J.M., Chen, J.X., 2002. Variation of β-amylase activity in barley as affected by cultivar and environment and its relation to protein content and grain weight. *Journal of Cereal Science*, **36**:307-312. [doi:10.1006/jcrs.2002.0467]
- Zhao, D.C., Tang, Z.K., Zu, F.T., 1988. Effects of integrative cultivate on yield and grain quality of malt barley. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica*, 21:67-73.
- Ziegler, P., 1999. Cereal β-amylase. *Journal of Cereal Science*, **29**:195-204. [doi:10.1006/jcrs.1998.0238]

 Editors-in-Chief: Pan Yun-he & Peter H. Byers (JSN 1673-1581, Monthly)
 Dound of Zhejang University SCIENCE Netter: JUS-B focuses on "Biomedicine, Biochemistry & Biotechnology"
 Welcome Your Contributions to JZUS-B

Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE B warmly and sincerely welcome scientists all over the world to contribute to JZUS-B in the form of Review, Article and Science Letters focused on **Bio-medicine**, **Biochemistry and Biotechnology areas**. Especially, Science Letters (3–4 pages) would be published as soon as about 30 days (Note: detailed research articles can still be published in the professional journals in the future after Science Letters is published by JZUS-B.

# Contributions requests

(1) Electronic manuscript should be sent to **jzus@zju.edu.cn** only. If you have any question, please feel free to visit our website: http://www.zju.edu.cn/jzus, and hit "For Authors".

(2) English abstract should include Objective, Method, Result and Conclusion.

(3) Tables and figures could be used to prove your research result.

(4) Full text of the Science Letters should be in 3–4 pages. The length of articles and reviews are not limited.

(5) Please visit our website (http://www.zju.edu.cn/jzus/pformat.htm) to see paper format.

84