

INVITED EDITORIAL

The Timing of Twinning: More Insights from X Inactivation

Jennifer M. Puck

Genetics and Molecular Biology Branch, National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda

Since the formulation of the hypothesis, by Mary Lyon (1961), of X-chromosome inactivation in somatic cells of female mammals, studies of the biology and the consequences of this phenomenon have contributed to our understanding of many areas of genetics and development. Montiero et al. (1998 [in this issue]) have now extended the application of X-inactivation studies to a careful and quantitative dissection of the timing of MZ twinning, demonstrating that dichorionic MZ (DC-MZ) twinning, unlike monochorionic MZ (MC-MZ) twinning, occurs prior to the time of X inactivation in the embryo.

Recent discoveries have illuminated many details of the X-inactivation story, but the precise molecular events in the initiation and maintenance of X inactivation are not yet fully known. We now appreciate that expression levels of the great majority of X-encoded genes are equalized between XY males and XX females by permanent silencing of one or the other X chromosome in the cells of female somatic tissues. Normal X inactivation occurs in the early female embryo as a stochastic event—that is, a choice made independently in each cell, with an equal probability of the maternally derived versus paternally derived X chromosome becoming inactive. The X inactivation of individual embryonic cells is considered most likely to be initiated from the X-inactivation center by *XIST* (X inactivation-specific transcript), which, unlike most genes, does not encode a protein and, paradoxically, is expressed only from the inactive X in postinactivation somatic cells (Brown et al. 1992). At the time of X inactivation, *XIST* RNA functions in *cis* to spread an inactivating signal up and down the chromosome on which it resides (Willard 1995). X inactivation is then maintained throughout subsequent cel-

lular proliferation and differentiation, by continued *XIST* expression and by methylation of DNA cytosine residues.

Because of X inactivation, tissues of females are normally a mosaic of two cell populations, each expressing gene alleles from either their paternal or their maternal X chromosome. Deviations from random X inactivation have been used to demonstrate clonal proliferations of malignant cells, positive or negative selection in carriers of adrenoleukodystrophy or X-linked immune disorders, and even primary disturbances of the X-inactivation process itself (reviewed in Belmont 1996; Puck and Willard 1998).

Measuring the distribution of X-inactivation skewing also has been exploited to estimate the number of embryonic progenitor cells giving rise to a particular tissue. If we assume that the maternal or paternal X chromosome is inactivated at random, analogous to tossing a coin and getting heads or tails, X-inactivation patterns would be expected to follow a binomial distribution from which the number of original inactivation events can be calculated. X-inactivation ratios for derivation of the best-fit binomial curve can be determined, provided that there are ways to (i) distinguish the two X chromosomes and (ii) indicate which X chromosome is active. This initially was done at the protein level, with samples from women whose X chromosomes were heterozygous for different glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase alleles (Fialkow 1973). Estimates suggested that X inactivation occurs when there are ~20 embryonic cells. Subsequently, fusion of human lymphocytes with rodent cells lacking the X-linked enzyme hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase was used to select active human X chromosomes in hybrid cell lines, where they could be identified by polymorphic DNA markers (Puck et al. 1992). Quantitations from enumeration of active-X frequencies in somatic-cell hybrids yielded estimates consistent with the previous data, with ~10 cells giving rise to the population that forms bone-marrow stem cells. The proximity, in the X-linked androgen receptor (*AR*) gene, of a highly informative polymorphic (*CAG*)_{17–26} repeat and methylation-sensitive restriction sites that are methylated on inactive X chromosomes recently has streamlined determination of X-inactivation

Received June 15, 1998; accepted for publication June 16, 1998; electronically published July 24, 1998.

Address for correspondence and reprints: Dr. Jennifer M. Puck, Genetics and Molecular Biology Branch, National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health, Building 49, Room 3A14, 49 Convent Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892-4442. E-mail: jpuck@nhgri.nih.gov

This article represents the opinion of the author and has not been peer reviewed.

© 1998 by The American Society of Human Genetics. All rights reserved. 0002-9297/98/6302-0007\$02.00

ratios (Allen et al. 1994). Parallel DNA samples, one predigested with *HpaII* and the other not, are subjected to PCR with primers flanking both *HpaII* sites and the triplet repeat; only the methylated template strands from inactive X chromosomes remain intact to be amplified, separated by electrophoresis, and quantitated.

Monteiro et al. (1998) have used the latter method to evaluate X-chromosome inactivation in the context of another mysterious phenomenon of very early human gestation—the formation of MZ twins: X-inactivation patterns were determined in samples of both buccal-mucosal and blood DNA from a large series of 33 MZ-twin females and 21 singleton control females. The placental and fetal membranes of the twins were analyzed; 20 were MC-MZ, known to be the more common type and believed, on the basis of placental anatomy, to split later than DC-MZ twins (Derom et al. 1995). To validate their X chromosome-inactivation analysis, Monteiro et al. were careful first to establish that the *AR* methylation assay in their hands was quantitative and reproducible to <5% difference (Monteiro et al. 1998). Next, they determined norms for skewing of X inactivation in female singleton control blood and mucosal-cell DNA; as measured by *AR* methylation, there were overall similar degrees of skewing in the two tissues, with the single exception of highly skewed blood DNA in one subject (specific variation between tissues for each individual otherwise were not given, which is unfortunate in that such data are scarce in the published literature).

Monteiro et al.'s estimate of the number of cells at the time of X inactivation, 5–16, is in the range of previous reports, cited above, which used different methods. Although the overall mean and range of X-inactivation skewing of the twins was not different from that seen in the control singletons, there was a significant difference in X inactivation between co-twins. Not only was the blood DNA of MC-MZ twins matched in X-inactivation skewing (previously reported and possibly due to known mixing of blood in mingled placental circulations for this type of twin [Trejo et al. 1994]), but MC-MZ ectodermally derived buccal-cell DNA also showed highly similar X-inactivation skewing, <6% difference between one twin to the other. In contrast, the DC-MZ twins had significantly greater mean differences in buccal-cell and blood DNA skewing—14% and 23%, respectively. These results clearly indicate that DC-MZ twinning occurs before or at the time of X inactivation, whereas MC-MZ twinning occurs some three or so replication rounds later, after X-inactivation patterns have been established and the embryonic inner-cell mass contains on the order of 128–256 cells.

How, then, are we to interpret reports of MZ twins concordant or discordant for expression of genetic phenotypes—and, in particular, female MZ twins one of

whom suffers from an X-linked recessive phenotype, such as Duchenne muscular dystrophy (Lupski et al. 1991)? Repeatedly, the female twin expressing such a phenotype has been shown to have extreme skewing of X inactivation; however, her status as monozygotic or dizygotic is generally not known. The report by Monteiro et al. emphasizes that, although such extreme skewing is not common in MZ twins, since it was not seen in their study cohort, the timing of twinning must be considered as an additional important variable in twin studies.

References

- Allen RC, Nachtman RG, Rosenblatt HM, Belmont JW (1994) Application of carrier testing to genetic counseling for X-linked agammaglobulinemia. *Am J Hum Genet* 54:25–35
- Belmont JW (1996) Genetic control of X inactivation and processes leading to X-inactivation skewing. *Am J Hum Genet* 58:1101–1108
- Brown CJ, Hendrich BD, Rupert JL, Lafreniere RG, Xing Y, Lawrence J, Willard HF (1992) The human *XIST* gene: analysis of a 17 kb inactive X-specific RNA that contains conserved repeats and is highly localized within the nucleus. *Cell* 71:527–542
- Derom R, Derom C, Vlietinck R (1995) Placentation. In: Keith LG, Papiernik E, Keith DM, Luke B (eds) Multiple pregnancy: epidemiology, gestation and perinatal outcome. Parthenon Publishing, New York, pp 113–128
- Fialkow PJ (1973) Primordial cell pool size and lineage relationships of five human cell types. *Ann Hum Genet* 37:39–48
- Lupski JR, Garcia CA, Zoghbi HY, Hoffman EP, Fenwick RG (1991) Discordance of muscular dystrophy in monozygotic female twins: evidence supporting asymmetric splitting of the inner cell mass in a manifesting carrier of Duchenne dystrophy. *Am J Med Genet* 40:354–364
- Lyon MF (1961) Gene action in the X-chromosome of the mouse (*Mus musculus* L.). *Nature* 190:372–373
- Monteiro J, Derom C, Vlietinck R, Kohn N, Lesser M, Gregersen PK (1998) Commitment to X inactivation precedes the twinning event in monozygotic MZ twins. *Am J Hum Genet* 63:339–346 (in this issue)
- Puck JM, Stewart CC, Nussbaum RL (1992) Maximum-likelihood analysis of human T-cell X chromosome inactivation: normal women versus carriers of X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency. *Am J Hum Genet* 50:742–748
- Puck JM, Willard HF (1998) X inactivation in females with X-linked disease. *N Engl J Med* 338:325–328
- Trejo V, Derom C, Vlietinck R, Ollier W, Silman A, Evers G, Derom R, et al (1994) X chromosome inactivation patterns correlate with fetal-placental anatomy in monozygotic twin pairs: implications for immune relatedness and concordance for autoimmunity. *Mol Med* 1:62–70
- Willard HF (1995) The sex chromosomes and X-chromosome inactivation. In: Scriver CR, Beaudet AL, Sly WS, Valle D (eds) *The metabolic and molecular bases of inherited disease*. McGraw-Hill, New York, pp 717–737