Characterization and Differentiation of Filamentous Fungi Based on Fatty Acid Composition[†]

PETER D. STAHL* AND MICHAEL J. KLUG

Center for Microbial Ecology and Kellogg Biological Station, Michigan State University, Hickory Corners, Michigan 49060

Cellular fatty acid composition of 100 different filamentous fungi, including oomycetes, zygomycetes, ascomycetes, basidiomycetes, and sterile mycelia, was analyzed to determine if they can be differentiated from one another on this basis and how minor variations in culture temperature and age affect this characteristic. Many fungi were found to possess the same fatty acids but produced different relative concentrations of each. Some fungi differed in both the fatty acids produced and in the relative concentrations of others. Multivariate discriminant analysis demonstrated that all of the species included in this study had significantly different (P < 0.001) fatty acid profiles. Each of the three phyla from which representative species were analyzed and the sterile forms had distinctive fatty acid profiles. Significant differences in fatty acid composition were also found at the intraspecific level. Both culture temperature and age affected fatty acid composition in the fungi examined, but when these factors were held constant, variance in fatty acid composition was not a problem and fungal fatty acid profiles could be differentiated statistically.

As part of an effort to develop methods to facilitate the analysis of microbial communities in soil, we have been evaluating the use of cellular fatty acid profiles as a method to rapidly characterize and differentiate fungal isolates. When compared with standard morphological methods, this approach requires significantly less time and taxonomic expertise to characterize unknown isolates. Physiological data provided by fatty acid profiles, when used in conjunction with morphological characteristics, have the potential to increase the accuracy and resolution of sorting large numbers of fungal isolates.

Analysis of cellular fatty acid composition is now used routinely to characterize, differentiate, and identify genera, species, and strains of bacteria (14–17, 22, 23). Taxa are distinguishable by the fatty acids produced and their relative concentrations. Fungi produce fewer different fatty acids than bacteria do (11), and in the past, fatty acids have been considered to have little taxonomic value for this group of organisms. However, recent work has shown that cellular fatty acid profiles can be used to differentiate and identify genera, species, and strains of yeasts and yeast-like organisms (2, 4, 8, 21, 26).

The objectives of this work have been to (i) analyze the cellular fatty acid compositions of a diverse group of filamentous fungi to determine if they each have a unique fatty acid profile and can be differentiated on this basis, (ii) determine how minor variation in culture methods affects fatty acid composition in these fungi, and (iii) determine what ecological or taxonomic information can be obtained from fatty acid profiles. This technique is not intended to be used for identification of fungi but rather to characterize and differentiate large numbers of isolates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fungi examined. A total of over 100 different fungi were included in this study (Table 1). Twenty-eight of these fungi are known species from culture collections and include oomycetes, zygomycetes, ascomycetes, basidiomycetes, and Fungi

* Corresponding author. Present address: Department of Plant, Soil and Insect Sciences, P.O. Box 3354, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071.

Imperfecti. The remaining fungi were isolated from soils on the Kellogg Biological Station (KBS) Long Term Ecological Research (LTER) site. Multiple isolates of many of the KBS soil fungi were obtained, and for many of these species, a number of isolates were examined so that possible intraspecific variation in fatty acid composition could be detected. Fungi isolated from KBS LTER soils were identified by the use of keys in the *Compendium of Soil Fungi* (6). All unidentified fungi were morphologically distinct from one another and the identified species.

Culture of fungi. All fungi were grown in 100 ml of malt broth (10 g of malt extract, 10 g of dextrose, 0.5 g of Bacto Peptone, each per liter) in 250-ml flasks in a slow-shake culture (125 rpm). Our standard method involved growth of cultures at room temperature (24 to 26° C) for 3 to 5 days or until enough biomass was produced for fatty acid extraction. Slower-growing fungi (some basidiomy-cetes) were cultured for up to 10 days. The growth period for each species was kept uniform to minimize variation in fatty acid composition.

To examine the effect of length of growth period of culture on fatty acid composition of individual species, a number of fungi were grown for 3, 4, and 5 days before harvest and fatty acid extraction. To determine the influence of temperature on fatty acid composition, an experiment was conducted in which a number of species were grown at 17 to 19, 24 to 26, and 31 to 33°C.

Extraction of fatty acids. Fatty acids were extracted from fungal tissue by a five-step procedure: (i) harvest—removal of fungal tissue from malt broth; (ii) saponification—lysis of cells and liberation of fatty acids from cellular lipids; (iii) methylation—formation of methyl esters of fatty acids; (iv) extraction—transfer of fatty acid methyl esters from an aqueous phase to an organic phase; (v) base wash—removal of free fatty acids and residual reagents from the organic extract. This method is thought to extract fatty acids from all cell components, including the plasma membrane, mitochondria, and lipid inclusions.

Fungal mycelium was harvested from broth by vacuum filtration through a Nytex filter (40- or 150-µm-pore-size mesh) in a Büchner funnel. The harvested biomass was rinsed with nanopure H2O while still in the funnel and then placed on a lipid-free paper towel for several minutes to remove excess moisture. One-gram (wet weight) samples of fungal tissue were then placed into 4.0 ml of a saponification reagent (150 g of NaOH in 1 liter of 50% methanol) and homogenized with a Tissuemizer tissue grinder. The homogenate was then divided into four equal samples and placed in clean screw-top test tubes (13 by 100 mm; with Teflon cap liners). Subsamples were saponified in a 100°C water bath for 30 min and cooled in a room-temperature water bath. To methylate the liberated fatty acids, 2.0 ml of 54% 6 N HCl in methanol was added to each tube. Subsamples were then placed in an 80°C water bath for 10 min and immediately cooled to room temperature. To extract fatty acid methyl esters from the aqueous phase, 1.25 ml of 50% hexane-50% methyl tert-butyl ether was added to each tube, and the tubes were rotated end-over-end for 10 min. Next, the aqueous phase (bottom of tube) containing fungal debris was removed with a Pasteur pipette, and 3.0 ml of 1.2% NaOH in H2O was added to each tube; the tube was then rotated end-over-end for 5 min. Finally, the organic phase (top of tube) containing the fatty acid methyl esters was removed from the tubes and placed in a crimp-top gas chromatography vial. When necessary, the samples were stored for up to 2 weeks at -20 or -70° C.

[†] Contribution 826 from the W. K. Kellogg Biological Station.

TABLE 1. Fungi examined^a

Fungal species or isolateFrom culture collectionsFrom culture collectionsConsequencesDynamic collisionsTormerbal instabilitiesAmorized in instabilitiesMicrosola instabilities </th <th>TABLE 1. Fungi examined^a</th> <th>TABLE 1—Continued</th>	TABLE 1. Fungi examined ^a	TABLE 1—Continued
Outputces Dynamics Cumingtomelia elegans Cumingtomelia elegans Morrierelia indefinita Riticopus stochrift Synerphalamin mathiam Riticopus stochrift Synerphalamin mathiam Synerphalamin mathiam Riticopus stochrift Synerphalamin mathiam Synerphalamin mathiam Synerphalamin mathiam Synerphalamin mathiam Synerphalamin mathiam Synerphalamin Synerphalamin mathiam Synerphalamin mathiam Synerphalamin mathiam Synerphalamin mathiam Synerphalamin mathiam Synerphalamin Synerphalamin Phonomic phalamin perturbation Synerphalamin mathiam Synerphalamin Phonomic phalamin perturbation Synerphalamin mathiam Synerphalamin Phonomic phalamin perturbation Synerphalamin Phonomics and solution Currentian and solution Currentian synerician Phonomics provide solution Phonomics provide solution <b< th=""><th>Fungal species or isolate</th><th>Fungal species or isolate</th></b<>	Fungal species or isolate	Fungal species or isolate
Comparison philum sp.S236ZygonycettesS237 (Periodillum frequentiats)Commitplemental elegans Moriterella suballina Moriterella suballina Moriterella suballina Moriterella suballina Egyptymetus moetleritMoriterella suballina Moriterella suballina Comparedias Egyptilla suballina Egyptymetus moetleritAscomycetes Determine preliation Contactioning preliation Genometation preliation Biological statistics Strateging suballination Strateging suballination Egyptilla suballination Strateging suballination Egyptilla suballination Strateging suballination 	From culture collections	8231, 8232, 8234
Priviliam sp.Case of the product of the	Oomycetes	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		
 ² Pjonnyetces ² Statistics and state of the second state state the second state stat	January Press	
$ \begin{aligned} & Comparison of the com$		
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		
Number of the state of the		
Thominitium centifium 8231 (MyCells stelling) Zagorhynchus mollerii 8231 (MyCells stelling) Zagorhynchus mollerii 8231 (MyCells stelling) Ascomycetes 8232 (Marcenohus percicium) Emericilla spectabilis 8235 (Marcenohus percicium) Basdiomycetes Biefwandra adusta Biefwandra adusta Chatestimum percicium) Percents sorreaus Statis (MyCells stelling) Percents sorreaus Computer system (MS) developed by M Percents sorreaus Mierobi		
Zygorhynchus moelleriiS218 (Myccin sterilin)Aspergills allucusS213 (Mykan sp.)Aspergills allucusS213 (Mykan sp.)Chatcomium perkicidumS213 (Mykan sp.)Emericella spectrabilisS223BasdiomycetesBychalus incorrensBychalus incorrensThaneochachina heating an isolate number is given and, where possibleChataphina tradecamPrior al IEBS ITER soil fungiPlancetos context chrysoportiamPrior all control file and the spectrability of the restrict material of a distribution spectra and to kennify the mathematican distribution spectra and the senify the spectra and the senify the spectra and the senify the mathematican distribution spectra and the senify the spectrability and profiles and mathematican distribution spectra and the senify the spectrability and profiles and the antipe of the spectra distribution spectra and the senify and the spectra distribution spectra and the senify and the spectra and the senify the spectra and the senify the		
AccomycetesAscomycetesAscomycetesCructorium perfucilumEmerical spectabilisGymnoxicus sp.BasdiomycetesBjerkandra dustaCulturesOutcomycetesBierkandra dustaCulturesCulturesCulturesDeteromycetesParamete compositionPrincipal compositionPrincipal compositionDeuteromycetesDeuteromycetesDeuteromycetesDeuteromycetesDeuteromycetesDeuteromycetesDeuteromycetesDifferion segn-china markengueiPrincipal markengueiPr		
$\begin{aligned} & 223 \\ & 225 \\ & $	2)gonificina mocacia	
 Baladiomycetes Birkindra innicola Basdiomycetes Birkindra datata Grank (MS) decision and the second of t	Ascomycetes	
 Chatcomium perintentiation For all KBS LTER soil (ungi, an isolate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a species identification. Solate number is given and, where possible a	Aspergillus alliaceus	
Cymunoactus sp. Sordaria finicolaa species identification. Isolaite number on tollowed by a species name have a type been identified; all unidentified isolates are morphologically different.Bisdionyvectes Bipfekandra adusta Cymunoactus teodus Thubbia betwerearus Gleophyllian rabeum Lentinus edotus Phanerochate chrysosporium Phanerochate chrysosporium providents of a chrysosporium (20 mb 10, 20 mc (20		62323
Sordaria firmicola yet been identified; all unidentified isolates are morpfologically different. Baskdomycetes Bjerkandne adutta Cyclubus stercoreus Charlysis of faty acids. Faty acid extracts were analyzed by gas-liquid chrantication System (MIS) developed by M Phanerocheate chrysoporium Phanerocheate chrysoporium Phanerocheate chrysoporium Phanerocheate chrysoporium Point placture sostretaus and composition of an winnown organism to one of the faty acid profiles ni acid composition of an winnown organism. The MIS consists of a chronatography with the Microbial Identification System (MIS) developed by M Deuteromycetes Accemonium metricitum Accemonium pesticitum The gascliquid chromatograph (With and area data. The MIS consists of a chronatograph to basis of peak with and area data. The MIS consists of a chronatograph to basis or perinted out a fate acid and fat accompose; line circuits and match and game data. The MIS consists of acho matages and peak with and area data. The MIS consists of achoratograph with the Microbal Identification System (MIS) developed by M Deuteromycetes Accemonium metricitum Accemonium pesticitum Special diverse and and fate action of the studies of the equation is scalabraced with and area data. The special diverse and progress and match and game data within the comparts were as 320°C, and the detector is a material data at the comparison of material data at a fame ionization of the solatione cont when it is stand and fate were 30. An ad 400 mi mit - respectreleee. 101 (P		
Basklonrycetes Bjerkandra adusta Cyadius stereoreus Gleophyllium trabeum Lentinus edolus Phanerochaete chryosoporium Philebia brevispoon Pleuronts stereatus Pleuronts stereatus Pleuronts stereatus Prenerotiss sign-caju Prenerotiss sign-caju Prenerotism persicular Acromonium persicitum Microdochium bolleyi Prenerotilium insufficissimumDeuteromycetes Accomonium persicitum Prenerotilium strapticissimumDeuteromycetes accomonium persicitum Microdochium bolleyi Prenerotilium strapticissimumKBS LTER soil fungi 101 (Penicillium veraucusum) 102 (Remichlium veraucusum) 103 (Rominomi sign, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 1		
Bjerknindra adusta Cyadius stercoreats (Geophyllium trabeum Lentinus edotas Pharotochaete chrysosporium Philebia breisgoriu Philebia breisgoriu Philebia breisgoriu Philebia breisgoriu Philebia breisgoriu Philebia breisgoriu Philebia breisgoriu Poine placenta Trametes versicolorAnalysis of fatty acids. Fatty acid extracts were analyzed by gas-liquid chr matography with the Microbial Lo, Lin, C. (Weark, Del.). This system was designed to analyze the fat acid composition of an unknown organism in some place in constraints of the placenta and to identify them by matching the fat acid composition of an unknown organism in constraint director. Data from the chromatograph analysis are sent to the computer system, where fatty acids are identified on th analysis are sent to the computer system, where fatty acids are identified on th soft of the relative to horown standards and quantified relative to other fatty acids in the sample analysis are printed out in a fatty aci composition report and also stored on a hand disk within the computer. Pericillum vertriculus may periceillum vertriculus and the detector the organize of 300°C was reached 2 mater injection times relative to horown standards and the detector the constander when it is started and after very 10th sample. The injector temperature of 300°C was reached 2 mater injection in the computer. Samples were run through the datab with the computer. Samples were and horswing the number of cohesyne, horowing the number of achieves and the swith the own place in the start and after injection and is stored on a hand disk within the computer. Samples were used to gamma in the injection of a data and disk within the computer. The injection times relative to horowing the number of achieves and the detector tent and the detector tent of a data and the injection and the with the own place in the computer of a 300° cando and	Soraaria jimicola	yet been identified; all unidentified isolates are morphologically different.
$ \begin{array}{llll} Cycle Control (Control Control Cont$	2	
Clamits Michael Gleophyllam rabeum Lentinus edodusmatography with the Microsoftal Lot. Ification System (MIS) developed by M acid composition of an unknown organism. The MIS consists of a chromatograph is equipped with a nonpoler to a computer system. When excited and and the fatty acid profiles in i computer database of known organism. The MIS consists of a chromatograph is equipped with a nonpoler face statistical densers; Hewitt-Fackard, Willing to computer system, where fatty acid smartly Hewitt-Fackard, Willing to other fatty acid profiles in a computer system. Weise is calibrated with known fatty acid statistical and attributed with the computer system, where fatty acids and calibration or a system is calibrated with known fatty acid statistical and attributed with the computer. System is calibrated with known fatty acid standards when the is started and aft weight is calibrated with known fatty acid standards when the is started and aft weight is calibrated with known fatty acid standards when the is started and aft weight is calibrated with known fatty acid standards when the is started and aft weight is calibrated with known fatty acid standards when the is started and aft weight is calibrated with known fatty acid standards and quantified relativ to other fatty acids in the sample on the basis of peak with and area data. The researce and to ach as any statis in the chain followed is nown. Samples were run through the gas chromatography column for 38 min, hor or the mater and the fatty acid profiles. The presence and location of the davide honks from the carbony en or the molecule. For example, 182(cis 9, 12) indicates linoleis acid which has a 120 (Christoflium variabile) 121, 2(L13, 214, 215, 216, 217 211, 2(L13, 214, 215, 216, 217 211, 2(L13, 214, 215, 216, 217 211, 2(L14, 215, 216, 217 211, 2(L14, 215, 216, 217 211, 2(L14, 215, 216, 217 211, 2(L14, 215, 2		Analysis of fatty acids. Fatty acid extracts were analyzed by gas-liquid chro
Lenimus edodus Pharenchaete chrysosporium Pharenchaete chrysosporium Philebia brevispora Pieurotus sajor-cuju Periodilan software versicolor Deuteromycetes Acremonium persicirum Acremonium persicirum Periodilium simplexitiem and the termination of an unknoon organism. The MIS consists of a chronatograph in equipar basis of sea that a composed in a compared ray tem. The gas-liquid chronatograph is equipped with a nonpole to a computer sys- tem. The gas-liquid chronatograph is equipped with a nonpole to a computer sys- tem. The gas-liquid chronatograph is equipped with a nonpole to a computer sys- tem. The gas-liquid chronatograph is equipped with a nonpole to a computer sys- tem. The gas-liquid chronatograph is equipped with a nonpole to a computer sys- tem. The gas-liquid chronatograph is equipped with a nonpole to a computer sys- tem. The gas-liquid chronatograph is equipped with a nonpole to a computer sys- tem. The gas-liquid chronatograph is equipped with a nonpole to a computer sys- tem. The gas-liquid chronatograph is equipped with a nonpole to a computer sys- tem. The gas-liquid chronatograph is equipped with a nonpole to a computer sys- tem. The gas-liquid chronatograph is equipped with a nonpole to a computer sys- tem. The gas-liquid chronatograph is equipped with a nonpole to a computer sys- tem. The gas-liquid chronatograph is equipped with a nonpole to a computer sys- tem is calibrated with known fatty acid stare identified on the partiel with a started and fatty with and cronatograph or subsective the system is calibrated with known fatty acid started and fatty with and reacher and the system is a started and fatty with and reacher and the system is a started and fatty with and reacher and the system is a started and fatty with and reacher and the system is a started and fatty with and reacher and the system is a started and fatty with and reacher and the system is a started and fatty with and reacher and the system is a started and fatty with and reacher and the system is		
Pharemochaete chrysosportum Philokiab wervsportSecond composition of an unknown organism to one of the fatty acid profiles in the Philokiab wervsportPhilokiab wervsportSecond compact chard shades of known organisms. The MIS consists of a chromatograph integraph, integraph, and utosimplet) coupled to a computer of analysis are sent to the compacting and shade constant of an unknown till started and dfu to other fatty acids in the sample on the basis of peak width and area data. The sent is tasticated with known fatty acids and charding composition of an unknown organism. To me of the fatty acids are designed to the philokia sent sent to the compacting and shade constant of a sent sent on the compacting and shade composition of an unknown fatty acids and chard shade width and area data. The sent is calibret with known fatty acids in the sample on the basis of peak width and area data. The sent is calibret with known fatty acids and chard shade width in the computer. Samples were run through the gas chromatograph volume for 38 min, hore sent in fatty acids on the sent and a data width and area data. The very fulth sample, Results of a chromatograph volume for 38 min, hore sent in fatty acids on the sent on a hard disk within the computer. Samples were run through the gas chromatograph volume for 38 min, hore sent in fatty acid profiles of individual fing in repractive was 30°C. The finge to be sent of advectore chart work in the carboxyle of the molecular was solve. The sent sent many and the samples mean from all analyze cultures was divided into farty acid profes of the samples were used or dubik has and sent in the samples of the carboxyle and in a fart y acid connectantion. The singe sent farty acid profiles of individual fing in and profiles of individual fing in and the individual fing in any as 25. The finge bind were sent and analysis of the carboxyle in the value for		
Phelicia brevisporaPleurous sofreatusPleurous sofreatusPreurous sofreatusPoint placentaDrantetes versicolorDeuteromycetesAcremonium persicinumMicrodochium bolleyiPercicillium paraherqueiPercicillium paraherqueiPercicillium restrictumPercicillium restrictumPercicillium versiculosum)101 (Penicillium versiculosum)102 (Ramichloridium sp.)103 (Phiolophora malorum)104 (Botryits sp.)105 (Penicillium versiculicanise)106 (Phioma sp. A)107 (Consytrichum macrocladium)108 (Phoma sp. A)109 (Rhicoconia sp.)110, 111, 112, 113, 114113, 134, 138, 141, 142, 145211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 21721132114 (Chrisconia sp.)2113 (124, 2152113 (124, 2152113 (124, 2152113 (124, 2152113 (124, 2152113 2114, 215		
Pleuronus ostreatusPleuronus ostreatusPleuronus sojor-cajuPoint placentaTrametes versicolorDeuteromycetesAcrenonium persicinumAcrenonium persicinumMicrodochium bolleyiPenicillium paraherqueiPenicillium paraherqueiPenicillium pratherqueiPenicillium restrictumPenicillium simplicissimum101 (Penicillium verstrictum102 (Ramichloridium sp.)103 (Philaolphoru autorus)104 (Bioticotonia sp.)105 (Penicillium variabile)106 (Penicillium nerviabile)107 (Penicillium nerviabile)108 (Phonus sp.)111 (Penicillium variabile)111 (Penicillium variabile)111 (Penicillium variabile)111 (Penicillium variabile)112 (Chrysosporium sp.)113 (134, 134, 134, 134, 134, 134, 134, 134,		
Poria placenta Tramets versicolorTramets versicolorDeuteronycetesAccononium persicinum Microdochium boleyi Preciellium partherqueiPacellonyces llacinus Penciellium partherqueiPenciellium partherqueiPenciellium restrictum Penciellium restrictum Penciellium restrictum Penciellium sentulosam)RBS LTER soil fungi101 (Penciellium versiculosam) 102 (Ramichloridium sp.)102 (Ramichloridium sp.)103 (Phicappiona malorum) 104 (Botrytis sp.)104 (Botrytis sp.)105 (Penciellium nacrocladium) 106 (Penciellium variabile) 111, 121, 13, 114107 (Penciellium variabile) 111, 121, 124, 125111 (Penciellium variabile) 	Pleurotus ostreatus	
Trainelse versicolor Trainelse versicolor Trainelse versicolor Deuteromycetes Acremonium persicinum Acremonium persicinum Acremonium persicinum Acremonium persicinum Acremonium persicinum Acremonium persicinum Acremonium persicinum Acremonium persicinum Acremonium persicinum Pencicillium paraherpuei Pencicillium reatricum Pencicillium reatricum Pencicillium reatricum Pencicillium reatricum Pencicillium reatricum 101 (Pencicillium verneuclosum) 102 (Ramichordial collectoricoides) 103 (Phitalphora malorum) 103 (Phitalphora malorum) 104 (Botrytis sp.) 105 (Pencicillium variabile) 106 (Pencicillium variabile) 107 (Gonyrichum macrocladum) 108 (Phoma sp. A) 109 (Pencicillium variabile) 101 (Pencicillium variabile) 101 (111, 113, 114 113 (A, 138, 141, 142, 145 114 (Rhizoctonia sp.) 115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122 2113 2114 (Rhizoctonia sp.) 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122 2123 (Phoma sp.) 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122 2123 (Phoma sp.) 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122 2123 (Phoma sp.) 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122 2123 (Phoma sp.) 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122 2115, 2116, 2117, 211	Pleurotus sajor-caju	
Transition for the section of the relation to the computer system, where farty acids are identified on the basis of their retention times relative to known standards when it is started and after <i>Microdochium bolleyi</i> contention <i>Precicilium partherquei</i> is calibrated with known farty acids in the sample on the basis of peak width and area data. The system is calibrated with known farty acids in the sample on the basis of their retention times relative to known standards when it is started and after <i>Precicilium partherquei</i> is calibrated with known farty acids are identified on the system structure was 190°C. The flow rates of the carrier gases nitrogen, hydrogen, an aris were 30. 30, and 400 m min ⁻¹ , respectively. Fatty acid particles the number of deamber of a table 100 (<i>Poincillium variabile</i>) (<i>Poincil</i>	1	
Deuteromycetes Acremonium persicinum Acremonium persicinum Acremonium persicinum basis of their retation times relative to known standards and quantified relative to other fatty acids in the sample on the basis of peak within and area data. The Pencicillium paraherquei Pencicillium pranherquei Pencicillium restrictum Pencicillium versicitum Pencicillium versicusmum Pencicillium versicusmum Pencicillium versicusmum Pencicillium versicusmum Pencicillium versicusmum Pencicillium versicusmum Ponticillium versicusmum Pencicillium versicusmum Pe	Trametes versicolor	
Accemonium persicinum Microdochium bolleyi PaeciOmyces litacinus Penicillium restrictum Penicillium restrictum Penicillium restrictum REBS LTER soil fungi 101 (Penicillium vernculosum) 102 (Ranichloridium sp.) 103 (Phialophora malorum) 104 (Boryrichum macrocladium) 105 (Politalidium vernculosum) 105 (Politalidium vernculosum) 106 (Volutella collectoricoides) 107 (Gonyrichum macrocladium) 108 (Phona sp. A) 109 (Phicicillium variabile) 115 (Penicillium variabile) 111, 112, 113, 114 112 (L133, 114, 115, 114 113, 1134, 1134, 1134, 114, 115 110 (Penicillium variabile) 111, 112, 1134, 113	Deuteromycetes	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	•	
Paecilonyces IllacinusPaecilonyces IllacinusPenicillium paraherqueiPenicillium restrictumPenicillium restrictumPenicillium restrictumRBS LTER soil fungi101 (Penicillium sp.)102 (Ranichloridium sp.)103 (Philalophora malorum)104 (Botrytis sp.)105 (Poincellicum tercoladium)106 (Poincellicum variabile)107 (Gonyrichum macrocladium)108 (Phoma sp.)109 (Rhizoctonia sp.)101, 112, 113, 114115 (Penicillium variabile)111, 121, 123, 124, 215, 216, 2172112, (Chrysosporium sp.)2113 (Chrysosporium sp.)2114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)2113 (Phoma sp.)2114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)2113 (Phoma sp.)2114 (Phicicillium variabile)2113 (212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 2172113 (212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 2172113 (212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 2172113 (212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 2172113 (212, 21252114 (Phizoctonia sp.)2114 (Phizoctonia sp.)2114 (212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 2172113 (212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 2172113 (212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 2172113 (212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222113 (212, 213)2114 (212, 213)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phorma sp.)2114 (212, 2125)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phorma sp.)2114 (212, 2125)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phor		
Pericillium paraherquei Penicillium restrictum Penicillium simplicissimumSamples were run through the gas chromatography column for '8 min, lon emough for fatty acids up to 28 carbons long to pass through. The initial owe temperature was 10°C, and the final owen temperature was 25°C, and the detector tem emough for fatty acids up to 28 carbons long to pass through. The initial owe temperature was 30°C. The flow rates of the cartrie gases nitrogen, hydrogen, an arise was 30°C. The flow rates of the cartrie gases nitrogen, hydrogen, an arise was 30°C. The flow rates of the cartrie gases nitrogen, hydrogen, an arise was 30°C. The flow rates of the cartrie gases nitrogen, hydrogen, an arise was 30°C. The flow rates of the cartrie gases nitrogen, hydrogen, an arise was 30°C. The flow rates of the cartroy tem arise was 30°C. The flow rates of the cartroy tem arise was 30°C. The flow rates of the cartroy tem arise was 30°C. The flow rates of the cartroy tem of the molecule. For example, 18:2(cis 9, 12) indicates linoleic acid which has a flow flow as p. A 100 (Phricillium variabile) 111, 112, 113, 114 115 (Penicillium herquei) 121, 124, 125 1218 (Chrysosporium sp.) 2113 (Rhoma sp.) 2114 (Phizoctonia sp.) 2114 (Phizoctonia sp.) 2114 (Phizoctonia sp.) 2114 (Phizoctonia sp.) 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2125Samples was required to statistical test. To quanti the similari fut acid composition. Clust analyses (MIS software) were used to garner detailonship and acid composition of two fulls of individual fung and in oder tem and the similar fat acid acid composition of two fulls of individual fung and ito determini the similari fat acid composition of two fulls and the most-similar fat acid composition of two fulls and the cartical calcendifies of individual fung and ito determini the similari fat acid composition of two fulls and the cart		
Penicillium simplicissimumKBS LTER soil fungi101 (Penicillium verruculosum)102 (Ramichloridium sp.)103 (Phialophora malorum)104 (Botrytis sp.)105106 (Volutella collectotricoides)107 (Gonytrichum macrocladium)108 (Phoma sp.)109 (Rhizoctonia sp.)110, 111, 112, 113, 114115 (Penicillium variabile)116, 117, 118, 119116, 117, 118, 119111, 124, 125121, 124, 125121, 124, 1251211 (Chrysosporium sp.)2113 (Chrysosporium sp.)2114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)2113 (Phoma sp.)2114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)2113 (Phoma sp.)2114 (Chrysosporium sp.)2113 (214, 215, 216, 2172114 (215, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2114 (215, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222113 (214, 21252114 (215, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2114 (215, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222113 (214, 215, 216, 2172114 (215, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222113 (214, 215, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222114 (215, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222113 (214, 2125	Penicillium paraherquei	Samples were run through the gas chromatography column for 38 min, lon
Noncommon precommonKBS LTER soil fungimin after injection. The injector temperature was 20°C, and the detector temperature was 20°C, and the detector temperature was 20°C. The flow rates of the carrier gases nitrogen, hydrogen, and in som the chain followed by a colon, the number of carbba atoms in the chain followed by a colon, the number of acuble bonds, and in som cases the configuration and location of the double bonds from the carbox que in the chain followed by a colon, the number of acuble to atoms in the chain followed by a colon, the number of acuble bonds involving the number of acuble to atoms. In the chain followed by a colon, the number of acuble bonds involving the number of acuble to atoms. In the chain followed by a colon, the number of double bonds involving the number of acuble to atoms. In the chain at carbox que in the molecule. For example, 182(cis 9, 12) didicates linelocie acid which has a 18-carbon chain with two double bonds involving the number of acuble atoms. In the chain with two double bonds involving the number of acuble atoms. In the chain with two double bonds involving the number of acuble atoms. In the chain with two double bonds involving the number of acuble atoms. In the chain with two double bonds involving the number of acub atoms. In the chain with two double bonds involving the number of acubox atoms. It is created at the content of a transition of the cellular fatt acid profiles. A number of methods were en ployed to compare fatty acid profiles of the most-similar fat acid composition. Clust analyses (MIS software) were used to carbon sp.111. (11. (2. (Chrysosporium sp.)21132114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)2114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)2113 (Rhoma sp.)2114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)2113 (Rhoma sp.) <td></td> <td></td>		
KBS LTER soil fungi101 (Penicillium vernuculosum)101 (Penicillium vernuculosum)102 (Ramichoridium sp.)103 (Phialophora malorum)104 (Botrytis sp.)105106 (Volutella collectotricoides)107 (Gorytrichum macrocladium)108 (Phioma sp. A)119 (Rhizoctonia sp.)110 (Penicillium variabile)111 (11, 112, 113, 114112 (Penicillium herquei)121, 124, 125120 (Penicillium variabile)113, 134, 138, 141, 142, 145121 (Chrysosporium sp.)1213 (Chrysosporium sp.)2113 (Chrysosporium sp.)2113 (Chrysosporium sp.)2113 (Chrysosporium sp.)2113 (Chrysosporium sp.)2113 (Chrysosporium sp.)2114 (2Chrysosporium sp.)2113 (2Chrysosporium sp.)2114 (Phizoctonia sp.)2113 (212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 2113, 2114, 21252113 (214, 21252114 (214, 21252115 (2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2114 (212) (212) (2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2114 (212) (215) (216) (217, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222125 (Phoma sp.)2114 (212) (Phoma sp.)2115 (2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222125 (Phoma sp.)2113 (212, 2125 (Phoma sp.))2114 (212) (215) (212) (212), 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2114 (212) (212) (212) (212), 2121, 21222125 (215) (216) (217) (217) (217) (218) (219) (212) (212) (212) (212) (212) (212) (212) (212) (212) (212) (212) (212) (212) (212) (212) (212)	Penicillium simplicissimum	
Rubs ETER winding101 (Penicillium vernaculosum)102 (Ramichloridium sp.)103 (Phialophora malorum)104 (Botrytis sp.)105106 (Volutella collectotricoides)107 (Gonytrichum macrocladium)108 (Phoma sp.)109 (Rhizoctonia sp.)110, 111, 112, 113, 114115 (Penicillium variabile)120 (Penicillium nariabile)121, 124, 125121, 124, 125121, 124, 125, 216, 217121, 124, 125, 216, 2171211 (Chrysosporium sp.)21132114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)21132114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)21132114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)21132114 (212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 21721132114 (212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 21721132114 (212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 21721132114 (212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 2113, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2114 212, 2125	KDS I TED soil fungi	
102 (Ramichloridium sp.)112 (Rhizoctonia sp.)113 (14 (Rhizoctonia sp.)103 (Phialophora malorum)104 (Botrytis sp.)104 (Botrytis sp.)105 (Volutella collectoricoides)105 (Volutella collectoricoides)106 (Volutella collectoricoides)106 (Volutella collectoricoides)107 (Gonyrtichum macrocladium)108 (Phoma sp. A)109 (Rhizoctonia sp.)115 (Penicillium variabile)116, 117, 118, 119116, 117, 118, 119114 (Phoma sp.)121, 124, 125122 (Phoma sp.)121, 124, 125128 (Chrysosporium sp.)1211 (Chrysosporium sp.)111 (Rhizoctonia sp.)1112 (212 (Chrysosporium sp.)111 (Rhizoctonia sp.)1112 (212 (Chrysosporium sp.)111 (Rhizoctonia sp.)1112 (212 (Phoma sp.)111 (Rhizoctonia sp.)1113 (214 (Rhizoctonia sp.)111 (Rhizoctonia sp.)1114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)111 (Rhizoctonia sp.)1115 (Penicillium variabile)111 (Rhizoctonia sp.)1112 (212 (Phoma sp.)1122 (Phoma sp.)1115 (2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21221124, 12512251214, 125124, 1251215 (Phoma sp.)1214, (Rhizoctonia sp.)1214, 2125 (Phoma sp.)1214, (Rhizoctonia	0	
103 (Phialophora malorum)cases the configuration and location of the double bonds from the carboxyl end104 (Botrytis sp.)cases the configuration and location of the double bonds involving the number 9 and 12 carbo106 (Volutella collectotricoides)107 (Gorytrichum macrocladium)108 (Phoma sp. A)set the configuration of fatty acid profiles. Fatty acid profiles of individual fung ir109 (Rhizoctonia sp.)Generation of fatty acid profiles. Fatty acid profiles of individual fung ir110, 111, 112, 113, 114many as 25. The fungal biomass from each culture was divided into four sultariating differentiate isolates120 (Penicillium variabile)many as 25. The fungal biomass from each culture was divided into four sultariating differentiate isolates120 (Penicillium herquei)cultures of that fungus. Concentrations of fatty acid content of111, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217cultures of that fungus. Concentrations of fatty acid composition. Clust2110 (Penicillium variabile)comparison of fungal fatty acid contents2111 (Chrysosporium sp.)minuto (Penicillium variabile)2113chrysosporium sp.)2113chrysosporium sp.)2113chrysosporium sp.)2113chrysosporium sp.)2113chrysosporium sp.)2114(Rhizoctonia sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123(Phoma sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222124compared for mation of the data fatty acid composition of two fungi, an index-of-relationship2112, 2125compared, c stimilari to the fatty acid content of the fatty acid so th		
104 (Botrytis sp.)104 (Botrytis sp.)105105106 (Volutella collectotricoides)107 (Gonytrichum macrocladium)108 (Phoma sp. A)109 (Rhizoctonia sp.)101, 111, 112, 113, 114115 (Penicillium variabile)120, 111, 112, 113, 114121, 124, 125120 (Penicillium herquei)121, 124, 125121, 124, 125121, 124, 125121, 124, 125121, 124, 125121, 124, 125, 216, 21712111212 (Chrysosporium sp.)121121132114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)21132114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122<		
106 (Volutella collectotricoides)atoms. The presence and location of substituent groups on the carbon chain107 (Conytrichum macrocladium)atoms. The presence and location of substituent groups on the carbon chain108 (Phoma sp. A)the ported in this paper are based on analysis of the cellular fatty acid content of a fatty acid profiles. Fatty acid profiles are compiled from a many as 25. The fungal biomass from each culture was divided into four sub106 (Volutella collectoricoides)this paper are based on analysis of the cellular fatty acid content of a fauty acid profiles of a culture was divided into four sub109 (Rhizoctonia sp.)the super are based on analysis of the cellular fatty acid content of a many as 25. The fungal biomass from each culture was divided into four sub110, 111, 112, 113, 114samples; thus, analyses of at least 16 samples were used to generate each profile120 (Penicillium herquei)the value for each fatty acid in a given profile is the mean from all analyze121, 124, 125Comparison of fungal fatty acid profiles. A number of methods were en1211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217Comparison of fungal fatty acid profiles. A number of methods were en2112 (Chrysosporium sp.)this software) were used to examine relationships among all of the fungi analyzes (MIS software) were used to examine relationship among all of the similarity in fatty acid composition of two fungi, an index-of-relationship value (9) was calculated by the following formula:2113, 2114, (Rhizoctonia sp.)the similarity in fatty acid compared, C is the mean fatty acid somplexes of as a percentage of the total conten		
designated accordingly, i.e., 18:0(30H). The spectral spectremetral spectral spect	105	
Generation of fatty acid profiles. Fatty acid profiles of individual fung in r ported in this paper are based on analysis of the cellular fatty acid content of a least four independently grown cultures, and some profiles are compiled from a many as 25. The fungal biomass from each culture was divided into four sul samples; thus, analyses of at least 16 samples were used to generate each profil (a minimum of 10 subsamples was required to statistically differentiate isolates the value for each fatty acid profiles. A number of methods were en ployed to compare fatty acid profiles. A number of methods were en ployed to compare fatty acid profiles. A number of methods were en ployed to compare fatty acid profiles of individual fung in and to determin whether fung can be differentiated on the basis of fatty acid composition. Clusts analyses (MIS software) were used to examine relationships among all of th fung analyzed in this study and to indicate which fung in ad the most-similar fatt acid composition. The fatty acid profiles of the most-similar fatt acid composition of similarity in fatty acid composition of two fungi, an index-of-relationshi value (9) was calculated by the following formula: $R_{xy} = (C_x/C_y)_1 (C_x + C_y/200)_1 + + (C_x/C_y)_n (C_x + C_y/200)_n$ where x and y represent the fungi being compared, C is the mean fatty aci concentration expressed as a percentage of the total content for 1 through <i>n</i> fat acids, and (C_x/C_y) represents the minor ratio of the fatty acid being used in th		
109 (<i>Rhizactonia</i> sp. A)109 (<i>Rhizactonia</i> sp.)110, 111, 112, 113, 114115 (<i>Penicillium variabile</i>)116, 117, 118, 119120 (<i>Penicillium herquei</i>)121, 124, 125128 (<i>Phoma</i> sp.)131, 134, 138, 141, 142, 145211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217218 (<i>Chrysosporium</i> sp.)219210 (<i>Penicillium variabile</i>)2110 (<i>Penicillium variabile</i>)2112 (<i>Chrysosporium</i> sp.)21132114 (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp.)21132114 (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp.)2113, 2114, 215, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (<i>Phoma</i> sp.)2113, 2124, 212521132114 (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp.)2113, 2124, 212521132114 (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (<i>Phoma</i> sp.)2112, 21252123 (<i>Phoma</i> sp.)2124, 2125		
110, 111, 112, 113, 114110, 111, 112, 113, 114115 (Penicillium variabile)116, 117, 118, 119120 (Penicillium herquei)121, 124, 125128 (Phoma sp.)131, 134, 138, 141, 142, 145211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217128 (Chrysosporium sp.)2110 (Penicillium variabile)2110 (Penicillium variabile)2111 (Chrysosporium sp.)21132114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)2113, 214, 215, 216, 217, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)21132114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)2113, 214, 215, 216, 217, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)21132114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)2113, 214, 215, 216, 217, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2113, 214, 215, 216, 2172124, 2125		
115 (Penicillium variabile)Initially as 25. The fulling bounds from each culture was divided into four statistical samples; thus, analyses of at least 16 samples were used to generate each profil (a minimum of 10 subsamples was required to statistically differentiate isolates The value for each fatty acid in a given profile is the mean from all analyze cultures of that fungus. Concentrations of each fatty acid are expressed as percentage of the total fatty acid content.211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217Comparison of fungal fatty acid profiles. A number of methods were en ployed to compare fatty acid profiles of individual fungi and to determin whether fungi can be differentiated on the basis of fatty acid composition. Cluste analyses (MIS software) were used to examine relationships among all of th fungi analyzed in this study and to indicate which fungi had the most-similar species were the compared by an index of similarity and a multivariate statistical test. To quanti the similarity in fatty acid composition of two fungi, an index-of-relationshi value (9) was calculated by the following formula:2113 2114 (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp.) 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122 2123 (<i>Phoma</i> sp.) 2112, 2125Nere x and y represent the fungi being compared, C is the mean fatty acid acids, and (C_x/C_y) represents the minor ratio of the fatty acids being used in th		
116, 117, 118, 119120 (Penicillium herquei)121, 124, 125128 (Phoma sp.)131, 134, 138, 141, 142, 145211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217218 (Chrysosporium sp.)219210 (Penicillium variabile)2110 (Penicillium variabile)21112112 (Chrysosporium sp.)21132114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)21132114, 2124, 2125		5 6
120 (Penicillium herquei)121, 124, 125128 (Phoma sp.)131, 134, 138, 141, 142, 145211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217218 (Chrysosporium sp.)2192110 (Penicillium variabile)21112112 (Chrysosporium sp.)21132114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2124, 2125		
128 (Phoma sp.)131, 134, 138, 141, 142, 145211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217218 (Chrysosporium sp.)2192110 (Penicillium variabile)2111 (Penicillium variabile)2112 (Chrysosporium sp.)21132114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (Phoma sp.)2124, 2125		
Comparison of fungal fatty acid profiles. A number of methods were en ployed to compare fatty acid profiles of individual fungi and to determin whether fungi can be differentiated on the basis of fatty acid composition. Clusted analyses (MIS software) were used to examine relationships among all of th fungi analyzed in this study and to indicate which fungi had the most-similar fatt acid compositions. The fatty acid profiles of the most-similar species were the compared by an index of similarity and a multivariate statistical test. To quantif the similarity in fatty acid composition of two fungi, an index-of-relationship value (9) was calculated by the following formula: $R_{xy} = (C_x/C_y)_1 (C_x + C_y/200)_1 + + (C_x/C_y)_n (C_x + C_y/200)_n$ where x and y represent the fungi being compared, C is the mean fatty acid concentration expressed as a percentage of the total content for 1 through n fatt acids, and (C_x/C_y) represents the minor ratio of the fatty acids being used in th		
ployed to compare fatty acid profiles of individual fungi and to determin whether fungi can be differentiated on the basis of fatty acid composition. Clust analyses (MIS software) were used to examine relationships among all of th fungi analyzed in this study and to indicate which fungi had the most-similar fatt acid compositions. The fatty acid profiles of the most-similar species were the compared by an index of similarity and a multivariate statistical test. To quantif the similarity in fatty acid composition of two fungi, an index-of-relationship value (9) was calculated by the following formula: $R_{xy} = (C_x/C_y)_1 (C_x + C_y/200)_1 + + (C_x/C_y)_n (C_x + C_y/200)_n$ where x and y represent the fungi being compared, C is the mean fatty acid concentration expressed as a percentage of the total content for 1 through n fatt acids, and (C_x/C_y) represents the minor ratio of the fatty acids being used in th		
211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217218 (<i>Chrysosporium</i> sp.)2192110 (<i>Penicillium variabile</i>)21112112 (<i>Chrysosporium</i> sp.)21132114 (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp.)2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 21222123 (<i>Phoma</i> sp.)2124, 2125214, 2125	131, 134, 138, 141, 142, 145	1 0 1 1
218 (Chrysosporium sp.)analysis (Wrst Software) were discussing and or in fungi analyzed in this study and to indicate which fungi had the most-similar species were the compared by an index of similarity and a multivariate statistical test. To quanti the similarity in fatty acid composition of two fungi, an index-of-relationshi value (9) was calculated by the following formula:2113 2114 (Rhizoctonia sp.) 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122 2123 (Phoma sp.) 2124, 2125R_{xy} = (C_x/C_y)_1 (C_x + C_y/200)_1 + + (C_x/C_y)_n (C_x + C_y/200)_nwhere x and y represent the fungi being compared, C is the mean fatty acid concentration expressed as a percentage of the total content for 1 through n fatt acids, and (C_x/C_y) represents the minor ratio of the fatty acids being used in the	211 212 212 214 215 216 217	
2192192110 (Penicillium variabile)2110 (Penicillium variabile)acid compositions. The fatty acid profiles of the most-similar species were the compared by an index of similarity and a multivariate statistical test. To quantif the similarity in fatty acid composition of two fungi, an index-of-relationshi value (9) was calculated by the following formula:2113 $R_{xy} = (C_x/C_y)_1 (C_x + C_y/200)_1 + + (C_x/C_y)_n (C_x + C_y/200)_n$ 2114 (Rhizoctonia sp.)where x and y represent the fungi being compared, C is the mean fatty acid concentration expressed as a percentage of the total content for 1 through n fatt acids, and (C_x/C_y) represents the minor ratio of the fatty acids being used in the		
2110 (Penicillium variabile)an index of similarity and a onliviarity and a unitivariate statistical test. To quantify the similarity in fatty acid composition of two fungi, an index-of-relationshi value (9) was calculated by the following formula:2113 2114 (Rhizoctonia sp.) $R_{xy} = (C_x/C_y)_1 (C_x + C_y/200)_1 + + (C_x/C_y)_n (C_x + C_y/200)_n$ 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122 2123 (Phoma sp.)where x and y represent the fungi being compared, C is the mean fatty acid concentration expressed as a percentage of the total content for 1 through n fatt acids, and (C_x/C_y) represents the minor ratio of the fatty acids being used in th		
2111 2112 (Chrysosporium sp.)the similarity in fatty acid composition of two fungi, an index-of-relationshi value (9) was calculated by the following formula:2113 2114 (Rhizoctonia sp.) $R_{xy} = (C_x/C_y)_1 (C_x + C_y/200)_1 + + (C_x/C_y)_n (C_x + C_y/200)_n$ 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122 2123 (Phoma sp.)where x and y represent the fungi being compared, C is the mean fatty aci concentration expressed as a percentage of the total content for 1 through n fatt acids, and (C_x/C_y) represents the minor ratio of the fatty acids being used in th		
$R_{xy} = (C_x/C_y)_1 (C_x + C_y/200)_1 + \ldots + (C_x/C_y)_n (C_x + C_y/200)_n$ $R_{xy} = (C_x/C_y)_1 (C_x + C_y/200)_1 + \ldots + (C_x/C_y)_n (C_x + C_y/200)_n$ where x and y represent the fungi being compared, C is the mean fatty acid 2123 (<i>Phoma</i> sp.) 2124, 2125 a percentage of the total content for 1 through n fatt acids, and (C_x/C_y) represents the minor ratio of the fatty acids being used in th	2111	
$R_{xy} = (C_x/C_y)_1 (C_x + C_y/200)_1 + \dots + (C_x/C_y)_n (C_x + C_y/200)_n$ $R_{xy} = (C_x/C_y)_1 (C_x + C_y/200)_1 + \dots + (C_x/C_y)_n (C_x + C_y/200)_n$ where x and y represent the fungi being compared, C is the mean fatty acid concentration expressed as a percentage of the total content for 1 through n fatt acids, and (C_x/C_y) represents the minor ratio of the fatty acids being used in th		value (9) was calculated by the following formula:
2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122where x and y represent the fungi being compared, C is the mean fatty aci2123 (Phoma sp.)concentration expressed as a percentage of the total content for 1 through n fatt2124, 2125acids, and (C_x/C_y) represents the minor ratio of the fatty acids being used in th		$R_{xy} = (C_x/C_y)_1 (C_x + C_y/200)_1 + \ldots + (C_x/C_y)_n (C_x + C_y/200)_n$
2123 (Phoma sp.)concentration expressed as a percentage of the total content for 1 through n fatt2124, 2125acids, and (C_x/C_y) represents the minor ratio of the fatty acids being used in th		where x and y represent the fungi being compared. C is the mean fatty aci
		concentration expressed as a percentage of the total content for 1 through n fatt
	2124, 2125	

TABLE 1—Continued

Fungal species or isolate
8231, 8232, 8234
8235 (Trichosporiella cerebriformis)
8236
8237 (Penicillium frequentans)
8238, 82310, 82311
82312 (Mycelia sterilia)
8239, 82310, 82311
82312 (Mycelia sterilia)
82313, 82314, 82315, 82316
82317 (Mycelia sterilia)
82318 (Mycelia sterilia)
82319, 82320, 82321, 82322
82323 (Pythium sp.)
82324 (Acremonium percicinum)
82325

icid concentration expressed as a percentage of the total content for 1 through *n* fatty acids, and (C_x/C_y) represents the minor ratio of the fatty acids being used in this calculation. To determine if the fatty acid compositions of two fungi were statistically different, discriminant analysis was used to test the hypothesis that

F			% C	Of total fatty acid	content (mean ±	SD)		
Fungus	12:0	14:0	15:0	16:0	16:1	17:0	17:1	18:0
Oomycetes								
Pythium sp.	0.38 ± 0.31	7.37 ± 3.28		13.07 ± 3.92	14.93 ± 8.70		0.38 ± 0.21	1.42 ± 0.93
Zygomycetes								
Cunninghamella elegans		1.02 ± 0.18		19.73 ± 0.73	1.56 ± 0.23			3.40 ± 0.66
Mortierella isabellina	Т	1.44 ± 0.24		24.78 ± 0.40	4.77 ± 0.88			1.57 ± 0.30
Rhizopus stolonifer		1.14 ± 0.42	0.48 ± 0.24	21.01 ± 1.42	0.60 ± 0.42			9.19 ± 1.07
Syncephalastrum racemosum		0.64 ± 0.22	Т	21.79 ± 3.37	0.95 ± 0.34			5.16 ± 0.89
Thamnidium ctenidium	0.61 ± 0.64	1.90 ± 0.98	0.29 ± 0.05	19.25 ± 1.22	2.67 ± 1.12	0.40 ± 0.11	0.39 ± 0.08	5.91 ± 2.05
Zygorhynchus moelleri	0.22 ± 0.08	1.81 ± 1.70		24.47 ± 3.38	3.68 ± 3.86			3.24 ± 0.64
Ascomycetes								
Chaetomium perlucidum			0.42 ± 0.33	18.95 ± 0.92	Т			6.76 ± 0.45
Emericella spectabilis		Т	16.0 ± 1.04	0.45 ± 0.28	Т			4.53 ± 0.47
Gymnoascys sp.		0.27 ± 0.28	0.28 ± 0.28	18.44 ± 1.66	0.93 ± 0.09			4.25 ± 0.33
Sordaria fimicola		0.88 ± 0.10	Т	26.59 ± 1.98	5.27 ± 0.77			2.30 ± 0.33
Basidiomycetes								
Cyathus stercoreus		0.33 ± 0.36	0.61 ± 0.68	15.66 ± 0.67	2.08 ± 1.68			2.15 ± 0.41
Gleophyllum trabeum			0.31 ± 0.42	14.57 ± 0.63				2.65 ± 0.92
Lentinus edodes		Т	Т	18.06 ± 0.36	0.71 ± 0.08	0.48 ± 0.11	0.43 ± 0.06	4.61 ± 0.65
Poria placenta				14.24 ± 1.12				0.80 ± 0.49
Phanerochaete chrysosporium		Т	Т	18.28 ± 0.69	0.63 ± 0.20	0.30 ± 0.23	0.41 ± 0.23	4.27 ± 0.71
Trametes versicolor		Т	Т	16.63 ± 2.34	Т			1.60 ± 0.35
Deuteromycetes								
Acremonium persicinum				12.20 ± 7.91	16.80 ± 9.64			1.86 ± 1.20
Aspergillus alliaceus				15.74 ± 1.73				5.32 ± 1.44
Microdochium bolleyi		0.17 ± 0.04		16.93 ± 0.60	0.73 ± 0.13			3.36 ± 0.87
Paecilomyces lilacinus		0.51 ± 0.20		31.60 ± 0.20	1.92 ± 0.16			2.65 ± 0.15
Penicillium paraherquei		0.29 ± 0.16	0.80 ± 0.13	13.73 ± 0.26	0.46 ± 0.11	2.42 ± 0.23	2.67 ± 0.41	3.30 ± 0.50
Penicillium restrictum		0.34 ± 0.10	0.22 ± 0.14	19.52 ± 0.60	0.34 ± 0.03	0.43 ± 0.10	0.21 ± 0.04	7.23 ± 0.51
Penicillium simplicissimum		0.49 ± 0.27	0.61 ± 0.29	16.93 ± 0.82	0.39 ± 0.05	0.77 ± 0.08	0.48 ± 0.04	5.90 ± 0.67

TABLE 2. Fatty acid compositions of fungi from culture collections

 a In this column, the types of fatty acids are shown in parentheses. b T, trace (less than 0.15%).

				TADLE 2-	commund				
			% Of t	otal fatty acid c	ontent (mean ±	SD)			
18:1	18:2	18:3	20:0	20:1	20:2	20:4	22:0	24:0	Others ^a
									1.50 ± 1.18 (14:1),
22.50 . 2.04	0.07 + 0.40	0.00 + 0.14	Th	2.54					4.59 ± 1.95 (20:5)
32.50 ± 3.84	9.27 ± 2.43	2.23 ± 3.14	T^b	2.56	Т				
48.15 ± 2.59	13.74 ± 0.07	9.92 ± 1.10		0.42 ± 0.03				0.15 ± 0.11	
45.09 ± 2.03	16.58 ± 1.44	4.38 ± 0.73							
37.55 ± 1.04	8.95 ± 0.35	18.56 ± 1.30	0.41 ± 0.12		Т			0.41 ± 0.03	
51.09 ± 3.01	8.91 ± 1.28	9.10 ± 2.35	Т						
33.02 ± 3.90	17.12 ± 3.56	14.61 ± 2.01	Т	Т					$0.88 \pm 1.03 (10:0)$
34.97 ± 1.08	14.28 ± 1.68	14.48 ± 3.37		Т	Т				
7.38 ± 5.79	62 49 ± 2 71								
17.70 ± 9.41	63.48 ± 3.71 52.18 ± 3.91								
40.24 ± 0.99	34.81 ± 2.90		Т						
40.24 ± 0.99 22.69 ± 3.04	39.82 ± 0.75		1						
22.09 = 5.04	57.62 = 0.75								
1.88 ± 1.86	74.81 ± 1.79								
17.80 ± 3.16	62.96 ± 2.86								
22.77 ± 2.12	50.49 ± 2.24								
7.42 ± 1.19	76.54 ± 2.32								
20.76 ± 3.75	53.22 ± 4.80								
20.87 ± 11.73	55.59 ± 6.31				1.14 ± 0.44				
16.80 ± 1.32	48.58 ± 15.95								
20.28 ± 7.97	58.39 ± 5.96								
33.0 ± 1.26	45.15 ± 2.81		Т						
38.24 ± 1.63	23.79 ± 1.80								
25.41 ± 0.23	40.46 ± 0.61								0.32 ± 0.04 (19:1)
34.49 ± 1.24	36.42 ± 1.62		0.24 ± 0.02						、 /
25.98 ± 0.60	46.81 ± 1.36								

TABLE 2—Continued

Fungal species and					,	-	% O	f total fatty aci	% Of total fatty acid content (mean \pm SD)	un ± SD)								
isolate no.	12:0	14:0	15:0	16:0	16:1	17:0	17:1	18:0	18:1	18:2	18:3	20:0 2	20:1	20:2 2	20:4	22:0 2	24:0 Otl	Others
Penicillium veruculosum																		
KBS LTER 101-1		0.25 ± 0.24		28.07 ± 1.70	0.47 ± 0.31					44.19 ± 4.25								
KBS LTER 101-2		0.36 ± 0.19		28.08 ± 0.70	0.52 ± 0.28				2.26	43.61 ± 3.73								
KBS LTER 101-3		0.34 ± 0.19		28.67 ± 1.34	0.59 ± 0.19				$21.94 \pm 2.84 4$	42.56 ± 4.83								
KBS LTER 101-4		0.25 ± 0.23		28.30 ± 1.28	0.56 ± 0.24				22.35 ± 2.52 4	41.05 ± 4.87								
KBS LTER 101-5		0.35 ± 0.19		28.93 ± 1.08	0.54 ± 0.29				$22.16 \pm 2.12 4$	41.96 ± 3.67								
KBS LTER 101-6		0.32 ± 0.20		27.42 ± 0.53	0.61 ± 0.08			5.25 ± 0.82 2	20.51 ± 1.88 4:	45.54 ± 2.75								
KBS LTER 101-7		T^{a}		26.91 ± 2.97	0.24 ± 0.34			5.12 ± 0.36 1	18.68 ± 2.80 4	49.00 ± 6.08								
Phialophora malorum																		
KBS LTER 103-1		0.48 ± 0.03		32.77 ± 0.49	1.49 ± 0.04				41.50 ± 0.84 1	18.38 ± 0.74								
KBS LTER 103-2		0.57 ± 0.04		35.41 ± 0.52	2.09 ± 0.17				38.85 ± 1.44 1	19.35 ± 1.03								
KBS LTER 103-3		0.47 ± 0.05		32.16 ± 1.48	1.66 ± 0.44			3.76 ± 0.73 4	40.10 ± 0.45 20	20.14 ± 1.60								
Rhizoctonia sp. KBS LTER 109-1		0.32 ± 0.05		26.45 + 3.04	1.12 ± 0.30 (0.17 ± 0.15	F	6.14 + 1.81 3	33.06 + 3.53 3	31.82 ± 5.35		F						
KBS LTER 109-2		0.34 ± 0.09		26.51 ± 2.71		0.19 ± 0.04	Н			27.77 ± 3.91	0.	0.19 ± 0.13						
Volutella colletotricoides																		
KBS LTER 106-1		1.14 ± 0.17			2.18 ± 0.28					25.23 ± 2.78								
KBS LTER 106-2		1.03 ± 0.12		31.06 ± 1.39	2.15 ± 0.20			± 0.27		25.78 ± 3.42								
KBS LTER 106-3		0.80 ± 0.18		27.92 ± 2.92	2.11 ± 0.33			3.07 ± 0.66 3	39.70 ± 1.58 2	25.80 ± 2.46								

TABLE 4. Comparison of pairs of fungi with the mostsimilar fatty acid compositions

Fungi compared	Index-of- relationship value	Discriminant analysis (F statistic)
Pleurotus ostreatus vs. Pleurotus sajor-caju	0.96	12.375 ^a
Gleophyllum trabeum vs. Pleurotus ostreatus	0.88	24.742^{a}
Phoma sp. A vs. Myrothecium sp. B	0.92	33.441 ^a
Rhizoctonia sp. A vs. Penicillium funiculosum	0.94	37.563 ^a
Unidentified hyphomycete A vs. Trichoderma koningii	0.90	40.809 ^a
Phoma sp. A vs. Phoma sp. C	0.91	48.719 ^a
Acremonium sp. A vs. Penicillium funiculosum	0.87	64.620^{a}
Rhizoctonia sp. A vs. Acremonium sp. A	0.88	85.999 ^a
Gleophyllum trabeum vs. Pleurotus sajor-caju	0.91	90.478^{a}
Myrothecium sp. A vs. Penicillium funiculosum	0.90	104.080^{a}
Phoma eupyrena vs. unidentified coelomycete A	0.91	107.768 ^a
Penicillium paraherquei vs. Penicillium simplicis- simum	0.80	124.610 ^a
Cunninghamella elegans vs. Syncephalastrum racemosum	0.86	142.227 ^a
Bjerkandra adusta vs. Cyathus stercoreus	0.93	210.090^{a}
Penicillium restrictum vs. Gymnoascus sp.	0.88	268.408 ^a

 $^{a}P < 0.001.$

⁷ T, trace (less than 0.15%).

species x (fa₁, fa₂, fa₃...fa_n) = species y (fa₁, fa₂, fa₃...fa_n), where fa is fatty acid. Also, seven species within the genus *Penicillium* were compared by these methods to determine how well congeners could be differentiated. Finally, in four other species, the fatty acid profiles of a number of isolates were compared to detect possible intraspecific differences.

The ability of the MIS to differentiate fungi on the basis of fatty acid composition was evaluated by testing its accuracy at matching the fatty acid composition of individual fungal samples to the corresponding library fatty acid profile for that fungus. The MIS uses multivariate pattern recognition techniques to compare individual samples with established fatty acid profiles in the library database. Samples are matched to library profiles by using a covariance matrix, principal-component analysis, and calculations of cross terms (e.g., ratios between fatty acid concentrations).

RESULTS

Fatty acids present in fungi examined. The fungi analyzed contained fatty acids of carbon chain lengths ranging from 12 to 24. The most common and abundant fatty acids extracted were 16:0, 18:0, 18:1(cis 9), and 18:2(cis 9, 12), which often made up greater than 95% of the total fatty acid content of the dikaryotic fungi (Tables 2 and 3). These four fatty acids were present in all of the fungi examined in this study. In zygomycetes, oomycetes, and sterile forms, 18:3(cis 6, 9, 12), 18:0 (3OH), and 20:4 were also present in relatively high concentrations. Other fatty acids (12:0, 14:0, 15:0, 16:1, 17:0, 17:1, 20:0, 20:2, 20:5, and 24:0) were commonly detected but usually represented less than 5% of the total fatty acid content.

Species of fungi and sometimes strains within a species differed in the number and kinds of fatty acids present and in the relative concentrations of each type (Tables 2 and 3). The number of fatty acids extracted from a fungus ranged from 4 to 19. The relative concentration of an individual fatty acid ranged from less than 1% of the total fatty acid content to over 75%.

Differentiation of fungi based on fatty acid composition. Comparisons of pairs of fungi with the most similar fatty acid compositions (as indicated by cluster analysis) demonstrated that these species have statistically different fatty acid compositions (Table 4). Discriminant analysis showed that the fatty acid profiles of these species are different at high levels of significance (P < 0.001). Index-of-relationship values for these pairs of fungi ranged from 0.80 to 0.96. In other comparisons

TABLE 3. Fatty acid compositions of different isolates of the same species

STAHL AND KLUG

			In	dex-of-relationship	value ^a		
Species	Penicillium verruculosum	Penicillium variabile	Penicillium herquei	Penicillium frequentans	Penicillium paraherquei	Penicillium restrictum	Penicillium simplicissimum
Penicillium verruculosum Penicillium variabile Penicillium herquei Penicillium frequentans Penicillium paraherquei Penicillium restrictum Penicillium simplicissimum	1.00	0.85 (462.17)* 1.00	0.90 (434.85)* 0.78 (257.62)* 1.00	0.82 (3,957.3)* 0.89 (101.38)* 0.83 (1,110.5)* 1.00	0.72 (1,104.2)* 0.79 (177.92)* 0.67 (1,160.3)* 0.75 (3,236.6)* 1.00	0.73 (1,695.5)* 0.81 (29.04)* 0.66 (981.77)* 0.78 (702.11)* 0.72 (630.55)* 1.00	0.81 (475.66)* 0.89 (81.42)* 0.79 (325.35)* 0.92 (737.99)* 0.78 (124.61)* 0.78 (407.90)* 1.00

TABLE 5. Comparison of seven *Penicillium* species based on fatty acid profiles

^a Results of the Hotelling-Lawley multivariate test are shown in parentheses (df = 10, 9). *, values are significant at the 0.001 probability level.

of representatives of different fungal phyla (data not shown), the index-of-relationship values were all less than 0.60. Withinphyla comparisons (other than those given in Table 4) all yielded values between 0.55 and 0.91.

Comparison of the seven *Penicillium* species demonstrated that all of these species also have distinct fatty acid profiles (Tables 2 and 5). The index-of-relationship values for these seven congeners ranged from a low of 0.66 to a high of 0.92 (Table 5). Results of multivariate statistical tests comparing these fatty acid profiles showed that even the most similar species (based on cluster analyses and index of relationship values) were statistically different, with high levels of significance (P < 0.001).

Examination of intraspecific differences in fatty acid compo-

 TABLE 6. Comparison of fatty acid compositions of different isolates of the same species

Isolates compared	Index-of- relationship value	Discriminant analysis (F statistic) ^a
Phialophora malorum		
KBS LTER 103-1 vs. KBS LTER 103-2	0.91	33.293*
KBS LTER 103-1 vs. KBS LTER 103-3	0.94	18.710*
KBS LTER 103-2 vs. KBS LTER 103-3	0.92	16.767*
Rhizoctonia sp.		
KBS LTER 109-1 vs. KBS LTER 109-2	0.92	13.296*
Volutella colletotricoides		
KBS LTER 106-1 vs. KBS LTER 106-2	0.98	0.904
KBS LTER 106-1 vs. KBS LTER 106-3	0.91	11.408*
KBS LTER 106-2 vs. KBS LTER 106-3	0.92	32.074*

 $^{a}*, P < 0.001.$

also have disparate fatty acid compositions and may be statistically differentiated on this basis (Tables 3, 6, and 7). Discriminant analysis revealed that our three isolates of *Phialophora malorum* and two isolates of *Rhizoctonia* sp. have different fatty acid compositions (Table 6). Comparison of three isolates of *Volutella colletotricoides* indicated that isolates 106-1 and 106-2 are not statistically different and 106-3 is distinct. Analysis of seven isolates of *Penicillium verruculosum* showed that one, 101-7, has a fatty acid composition that is significantly different from all others (Table 7). Isolates 101-1, 101-2, 101-3, 101-4, and 101-5 all have very similar fatty acid profiles as indicated by high index-of-relationship values and nonsignificant discriminant analysis results.

sition indicated that different isolates of the same species can

Tests of the MIS computer and software showed that it has the capability to differentiate fungal fatty acid profiles with a high degree of accuracy. The MIS correctly matched individual sample analyses of cultures of the seven *Penicillium* species to the corresponding library fatty acid profiles with an overall efficiency of 98% (Table 8). All samples of five of the seven species were correctly matched, and only one sample in each of the other two species was mismatched. In an additional test, of 200 randomly chosen sample analyses from the complete database of approximately 2,000 samples, 190 were correctly matched to the corresponding library fatty acid profile and 10 were mismatched, giving an overall accuracy of 95%. This level of precision also demonstrates the low variation in fatty acid composition among samples of the same fungus.

Effect of temperature on fatty acid composition. Our experiment demonstrates that the temperature at which a fungus is cultured affects its fatty acid composition (Tables 9 and 10). The degree to which fatty acid composition was altered by temperature, however, was dependent on the fungus. For example, index-of-relationship values comparing the fatty acid

TABLE 7. Comparison of seven isolates of Penicillium vertuculosum based on fatty acid profiles

T. 1.4				Index-of-relation	ship value ^a		
Isolate no.	101-1	101-2	101-3	101-4	101-5	101-6	101-7
101-1	1.00	0.98 (1.646)	0.96 (1.671)	0.94 (0.426)	0.95 (0.708)	0.97 (3.415)*	0.91 (23.487)
101-2		1.00	0.97 (0.219)	0.95 (0.684)	0.97 (0.652)	0.96 (2.759)	0.90 (16.776)***
101-3			1.00	0.97(1.722)	0.99 (0.675)	0.94 (2.709)	0.88 (13.029)***
101-4				1.00	0.97 (0.808)	0.92 (6.762)**	0.86 (22.027)***
101-5					1.00	0.93 (0.638)	0.87 (16.718)***
101-6						1.00	0.94 (31.063)***
101-7							1.00

^{*a*} Results of the Hotelling-Lawley multivariate test are shown in parentheses (df = 6, 13). *, **, and ***, values are significant at the <0.05, <0.01, and <0.001 probability levels.

Species	No. of individual samples subjected to test	No. of individual samples correctly matched to library entry	No. of individual samples incorrectly matched to library entry	% Accuracy ^a
Penicillium frequentans	8	8	0	100
Penicillium herquei	18	18	0	100
Penicillium paraherquei	12	12	0	100
Penicillium restrictum	8	7	1	87
Penicillium simplicissimum	14	13	1	93
Penicillium variabile	21	21	0	100
Penicillium verruculosum	32	32	0	100

TABLE 8. Ability of the MIS to differentiate seven Penicillium species on the basis of fatty acid composition

^a Overall accuracy, 98% (112 of 114 samples correctly matched).

compositions of Cyathus stercoreus in the three temperature treatments were between 0.93 and 0.98, indicating relatively small changes. In contrast, index-of-relationship values for the sterile fungus KBS LTER 8238 ranged from 0.46 to 0.67, attesting to major shifts in fatty acid composition. In the other six fungi included in this experiment, index-of-relationship values for between-treatment comparisons ranged from 0.72 to 0.93, with most values (12 of 18) between 0.80 and 0.89.

In general, the same fatty acids were present in a fungus when grown at different temperatures but their relative amounts were different. In all eight species, the relative amount of 16:0 fatty acid decreased with decreasing temperature, and in six of

TABLE 9. Fatty acid compositions of fungi grown at three different temperatures

E	Treat-			% of	total fatty acid c	ontent (mean ±	SD)		
Fungus	ment ^a	12:0	14:0	15:0	16:0	16:1	17:0	17:1	18:0
Aspergillus alliaceus	HT			0.67 ± 0.18	16.56 ± 0.34				5.14 ± 1.18
	RT				15.16 ± 0.31				5.45 ± 1.56
	LT				13.05 ± 2.25		0.45 ± 0.25		8.17 ± 1.06
Cyathus stercoreus	HT		0.43 ± 0.41	1.01 ± 0.23	17.02 ± 0.80	1.26 ± 0.31			2.31 ± 0.56
	RT		0.30 ± 0.38	1.03 ± 0.03	15.72 ± 0.18	1.41 ± 0.06			1.62 ± 0.10
	LT		0.63 ± 0.55	0.68 ± 0.20	15.86 ± 1.41	0.78 ± 0.40			1.72 ± 0.93
Phanerochaete chryso-	HT		Т	0.49 ± 0.16	19.37 ± 0.98	0.27 ± 0.33	Т	Т	3.37 ± 0.66
sporium	RT		Т	0.30 ± 0.03	19.52 ± 0.61	0.63 ± 0.04	0.37 ± 0.03	Т	4.65 ± 0.38
-	LT				17.45 ± 0.32	0.71 ± 0.04	0.31 ± 0.34	0.37 ± 0.41	4.60 ± 0.19
Phialophora malorum	HT		0.75 ± 0.03		36.93 ± 1.04	1.63 ± 0.14			4.52 ± 0.12
(KBS LTER 103)	RT		0.50 ± 0.11		33.80 ± 2.44	1.53 ± 0.25			4.62 ± 0.15
· · · · · ·	LT		0.34 ± 0.07		28.87 ± 1.80	1.40 ± 0.18			5.94 ± 1.40
Poria placenta	HT		0.63 ± 0.01	Т	19.40 ± 0.25				0.86 ± 0.02
*	RT		Т	0.54 ± 0.01	15.15 ± 0.14		0.81 ± 0.02		0.90 ± 0.02
	LT			0.85 ± 0.02	14.10 ± 0.12				
Syncephalastrum	HT		1.04 ± 0.06	0.43 ± 0.02	28.54 ± 0.23	0.96 ± 0.05			3.67 ± 0.29
racemosum	RT		0.78 ± 0.13	Т	23.59 ± 3.40	0.88 ± 0.10			4.82 ± 0.36
	LT		0.66 ± 0.08	Т	21.89 ± 2.50	1.45 ± 0.09			4.32 ± 0.73
Zygorhynchus moelleri	HT	Т	2.00 ± 0.13	Т	31.81 ± 0.22	2.64 ± 0.11			2.99 ± 0.19
	RT	0.27 ± 0.05	1.97 ± 0.15	Т	26.64 ± 0.74	3.13 ± 0.22			2.51 ± 0.19
	LT	0.33 ± 0.05	1.40 ± 0.34	Т	22.70 ± 2.60	3.15 ± 0.23			2.74 ± 0.21
Mycelia sterilia	HT		1.29 ± 0.02	Т	24.66 ± 0.14	0.64 ± 0.07			5.01 ± 0.22
(KBS LTER 8238)	RT		0.66 ± 0.11	Т	19.07 ± 0.98	0.32 ± 0.06			5.11 ± 0.44
	LT		1.22 ± 0.28		14.97 ± 0.56	0.23 ± 0.03			6.73 ± 0.49
Muadia atomilia	рт		1.16 ± 0.25	Т	16.24 ± 0.07	0.20 ± 0.01	т		5 17 ± 0 57
Mycelia sterilia (KBS LTER 82312)	RT		1.16 ± 0.25	1	16.34 ± 0.07	0.30 ± 0.01	Т		5.17 ± 0.57
	LT		2.65 ± 0.07		18.63 ± 0.27	0.40 ± 0.01			4.83 ± 0.32

^a HT, high-temperature treatment (31 to 33°C); RT, room-temperature treatment (24 to 26°C); LT, low-temperature treatment (17 to 19°C).

^b In this column, the types of fatty acids are shown in parentheses. ^c T, trace (less than 0.15%).

DISCUSSION

the eight species, the amount of 18:2 fatty acid increased with decreasing temperature. Changes in the other common and abundant fatty acids, 18:0 and 18:1, were inconsistent. In the sterile fungus KBS LTER 8238, the amount of 18:1 fatty acid decreased about 24% and that of 20:4 increased nearly 30% from the high-temperature treatment to the low-temperature treatment.

Effect of age of culture on fatty acid composition. The length of time a fungus was cultured also had an effect on its fatty acid composition (Tables 11 and 12). However, varying culture time by up to 48 h did not appear to cause major changes in any of the three species examined. Index-of-relationship values comparing fatty acid compositions in the different treatments were no lower than 0.85, and most were above 0.90 (Table 12).

The same fatty acids were present in a fungus over the time periods tested (with the exception of the appearance of small amounts of 14:0 fatty acid in *Penicillium paraherquei* after 4 days), but their relative amounts changed. Trends were difficult to detect from this limited sample size, but it appears that the amount of 18:1 fatty acid increased slightly with age and that of 18:2 fatty acid decreased slightly.

The results demonstrate that all of the species of fungi included in this study have disparate fatty acid compositions and can be differentiated from one another on this basis. Many of the fungi analyzed contained the same fatty acids but differed in the relative amounts of each; some fungi differed in both the kinds of fatty acids produced and in the amounts of others (Table 2). Multivariate statistical tests show that even the species with the most-similar fatty acid profiles are statistically different (Table 4). Our data also indicate that significant intraspecific differences in fatty acid composition may exist in filamentous fungi (Tables 3, 6, and 7). Analysis and comparison of a wide variety of yeast species and strains within species have previously demonstrated that these fungi can be distinguished from one another by fatty acid composition (1, 3, 3)13, 21). Results of the present study and the cited studies of yeasts suggest that most fungi have disparate fatty acid profiles and that this may be a reliable technique to characterize species and strains. Cellular fatty acid composition has already

				TAB	LE 9—Conti	nued			
				% of total fatt	y acid content	(mean ± SD)			
18:1	18:2	18:3	20:0	20:1	20:2	20:4	22:0	24:0	Others ^b
19.49 ± 3.39	57.22 ± 5.87								
24.68 ± 3.65	53.67 ± 6.05								
18.60 ± 2.84	43.29 ± 3.81								$14.95 \pm 8.3 [18:1(w8)]$
4.15 ± 1.05	72.89 ± 2.41								
3.54 ± 0.10	75.67 ± 1.12								
3.51 ± 1.21	76.10 ± 2.99								
18.16 ± 5.03	57.19 ± 8.29								
21.43 ± 2.14	51.39 ± 2.71								
14.29 ± 0.56	61.06 ± 0.30								
40.53 ± 0.88	13.74 ± 0.35								
40.41 ± 0.80	18.21 ± 3.06								
42.58 ± 0.39	20.56 ± 0.59								
8.32 ± 0.02	68.44 ± 0.27								
5.75 ± 0.04	75.00 ± 0.34								
5.57 ± 0.12	79.48 ± 0.02								
43.22 ± 2.70	11.21 ± 1.41	9.14 ± 1.49							
48.26 ± 3.53	9.71 ± 0.77	9.45 ± 0.56							
48.11 ± 0.98	10.00 ± 0.43	11.87 ± 1.44							
35.16 ± 0.93	10.42 ± 0.15	11.81 ± 0.47		Т					
30.97 ± 1.76	15.69 ± 0.24	16.27 ± 2.13		Т	Т				
35.99 ± 3.83	13.85 ± 1.07	17.62 ± 2.28		Т	Т				
33.15 ± 0.51	5.63 ± 0.05	5.99 ± 0.09		1.88 ± 0.03	Т	16.30 ± 0.22	0.25 ± 0.02	0.28 ± 0.02	
17.88 ± 2.77	7.08 ± 0.58	6.51 ± 0.67		2.27 ± 0.37	0.21 ± 0.02	31.84 ± 5.63	0.25 ± 0.01	0.27 ± 0.02	6.74 ± 0.59 [18:0(3OH)],
9.12 ± 0.78	6.92 ± 0.41	8.50 ± 0.37		3.25 ± 0.44	0.52 ± 0.03	45.16 ± 3.07	0.23 ± 0.03	0.24 ± 0.01	0.32 ± 0.17 (20:5)
26.32 ± 1.50	7.93 ± 0.52	7.80 ± 1.00		2.50 ± 0.28	0.29 ± 0.06	23.59 ± 1.81	Т	0.32 ± 0.02	$4.63 \pm 1.71 [18:0(3OH)],$
11.44 ± 0.23	7.06 ± 0.12	9.28 ± 0.18	1.06 ± 0.02	2.47 ± 1.16	0.32 ± 0.04	26.75 ± 0.25		Т	(20:5) 7.06 \pm 0.12 [18:0(3OH)], 5.41 \pm 0.08 (20:5)

TABLE 9—Continued

 TABLE 10. Comparison of fatty acid compositions of fungi grown at different temperatures

	Index-of-relationship value ^a			
Species	HT-RT	RT-LT	HT-LT	
Aspergillus alliaceus	0.89	0.72	0.72	
Cyathus stercoreus	0.94	0.98	0.93	
Phanerochaete chrysosporium	0.88	0.81	0.88	
Phialophora malorum (KBS LTER 103)	0.91	0.89	0.82	
Poria placenta	0.85	0.92	0.81	
Syncephalastrum racemosum	0.85	0.93	0.83	
Żygorhynchus moelleri	0.79	0.85	0.80	
Mycelia sterilia (KBS LTER 8238)	0.61	0.67	0.46	

^{*a*} For details, see the text. HT-RT, high-temperature treatment (31 to 33°C)room-temperature treatment (24 to 26°C) comparison; RT-LT, room-temperature treatment–low-temperature treatment (17 to 19°C) comparison; HT-LT, high-temperature treatment–low-temperature treatment comparison.

proven to be a valuable method in the differentiation and identification of bacteria (25).

Multivariate discriminant analysis can provide a powerful tool for statistical comparison of fungi based on fatty acid composition (2, 3, 13). All of the species compared by this method in our study were statistically different at the P of <0.001 level of significance. Discriminant analysis also revealed significant disparities in fatty acid composition among several morphologically indistinguishable isolates (Tables 6 and 7). As the number of replicate analyses and variables (fatty acids) used to generate a fatty acid profile are increased, so is the statistical power of this test. Index-of-relationship values (9) provide a simple and rapid method for preliminary comparison of the similarity of the fatty acid compositions of two fungi. These relationship values can be calculated with mean concentrations for each fatty acid in a profile. This index has a weakness, however, in that it does not give much weight to a fatty acid which is produced in small amounts in one fungus but not at all in the other. These minor fatty acids may be very important in differentiating fungi by discriminant analysis. The discrepancy in these two methods is illustrated by the lack of close correlation between the values given in Tables 4, 5, and 6. Data from our study indicate that two fungi with fatty acid profiles as similar as 0.97 based on the index of relationship may be statistically different at the P of <0.05 level of significance (Table 7). Species and strains with relationship values as high as 0.94 were statistically different at the P of <0.001 level. However, in our tests, all pairs of fungi with relationship values of 0.92 or less were statistically different at the P of < 0.01 or < 0.001 level.

Tests of the ability of the MIS multivariate pattern recognition software to match fatty acid compositions of individual fungal samples to corresponding profiles in the library database demonstrated that this system can differentiate fungi on the basis of fatty acid composition with a high degree of accuracy (98%) (Table 8). The efficiency of the MIS to distinguish between fatty acid profiles can probably be increased by expanding the library database and editing out faulty and outlying samples.

In general, the more closely related two fungi were taxonomically or phylogenetically, the more similar they were in terms of fatty acid composition. For example, in comparisons of isolates of the same fungal species, index-of-relationship values ranged from 0.86 to 0.99 and comparisons of different species within the same genus yielded values from 0.66 to 0.96. Index-of-relationship values for two species within the same subphylum (ascomycetes or basidiomycetes) fell between 0.55

			TABLE 11. F	11. Fatty acid compositions of fungi cultured for different lengths of time	sitions of fungi	cultured for dif	ferent lengths o	f time			
Linnaire	Growth				% O	% Of total fatty acid content (mean \pm SD)	ontent (mean ± S	D)			
sugur. I	(days)	12:0	14:0	15:0	16:0	16:1	17:0	17:1	18:0	18:1	18:2
Penicillium paraherqui	3		0.47 ± 0.19	13.66 ± 0.29	0.33 ± 0.14	1.47 ± 0.24	1.46 ± 0.29	3.21 ± 0.57	24.05 ± 2.06	53.84 ± 2.79	
	4	μ	0.61 ± 0.06	13.61 ± 0.27	0.29 ± 0.12	1.88 ± 0.38	1.87 ± 0.35	3.81 ± 0.67	25.79 ± 0.64	49.62 ± 1.88	
	5	0.22 ± 0.01	0.68 ± 0.03	13.64 ± 0.10	0.33 ± 0.01	1.98 ± 0.06	2.26 ± 0.16	3.24 ± 0.19	24.64 ± 0.59	50.55 ± 0.80	
Acremonium persicinum	4			18.13 ± 0.08	0.38 ± 0.33			2.65 ± 0.19	24.04 ± 0.48	54.80 ± 0.48	
¢.	S			18.90 ± 0.15	0.31 ± 0.31			2.88 ± 0.32	24.38 ± 0.14	53.52 ± 0.17	
	9			19.04 ± 0.21	0.87 ± 0.05			2.40 ± 0.20	31.09 ± 0.26	46.61 ± 0.31	
Microdochium bolleyi	б			18.18 ± 3.94	0.76 ± 0.16			2.84 ± 0.60	26.98 ± 0.51	50.27 ± 10.44	
	4			17.85 ± 0.68	0.66 ± 0.11			3.25 ± 0.58	32.62 ± 0.23	45.14 ± 1.60	
	5			16.62 ± 0.91	0.87 ± 0.09			2.32 ± 0.17	31.88 ± 0.30	47.50 ± 1.12	
^{<i>a</i>} T, trace (less than 0.15%).	%o).										

TABLE 12.	Comparison of fatty acid compo	sitions of fungi
	grown for different lengths of tin	ne

Section	Index-of-relationship values for comparison ^a :					
Species	1	2	3	4	5	
Acremonium persicinum Microdochium bolleyi Penicillium paraherquei	0.88 0.91	0.94 0.94	0.97 0.90 0.93	0.87	0.85	

^{*a*} Comparisons: 1, 3-day-old vs. 4-day-old cultures; 2, 3-day-old vs. 5-day-old cultures; 3, 4-day-old vs. 5-day-old cultures; 4, 4-day-old vs. 6-day-old cultures; 5, 5-day-old vs. 6-day-old cultures. For details, see the text.

and 0.96; for different species within the same phylum, they were between 0.47 and 0.96. Species of fungi from different phyla had the least-similar fatty acid compositions, and these comparisons gave index-of-relationship values between 0.21 and 0.59.

Each of the three phyla of fungi from which representative species were analyzed (Oomycota, Zygomycota, and Dikaryomycota) had unique characteristics by which they could be distinguished from one another. The two strains of Pythium sp. (Oomycota) produced 12 and 15 different fatty acids, including 20:5 fatty acid that was not detected in any of the zygomycetes or dikaryotic fungi. Our data were in agreement with previous literature in that only the lower fungi were found to produce arachidonic acid (20:4). All of the zygomycetes examined produced a greater number of fatty acids than the dikaryotic fungi (ascomycetes and basidiomycetes) did, and 18:3 fatty acid was not produced by any of the dikaryotic fungi. Zygomycetes, in general, produce fewer fatty acids than the Pythium spp. and never contain 20:5 fatty acid. Dikaryotic fungi were composed of the fewest different fatty acids of any group (between 5 and 10 [usually, 6 or 7]) and never produced 20:5 or 18:3 fatty acid. No characteristic in fatty acid composition was found by which ascomycetes could be consistently distinguished from basidiomycetes. The five sterile forms (mycelia sterilia) analyzed produced the greatest diversity of fatty acids (between 15 and 20), 22:0 fatty acid, which was not found in any other taxon, and relatively high amounts of 18:0(3OH) fatty acid and 20:4 fatty acid, which were produced only in small amounts or not at all by other fungi. Studies of bacteria have, in general, shown that phenotypic relationships among organisms as indicated by fatty acid composition are in agreement with phylogenetic associations based on DNA and rRNA homology (7, 16, 18).

When employing fatty acid profiles to differentiate or compare fungi, it is important to minimize sources of variation in fatty acid composition from culture conditions. As with most other organisms, temperature is known to affect the fatty acid composition of fungi (12, 24), and in this study, significant disparities in fatty acid composition were observed with 7°C differences in culture conditions. In general, as the temperature of culture conditions is decreased, the degree of unsaturation in fatty acids is increased (5, 12, 20). In the present study, variation in fatty acid composition was minimal when fungi were grown at room temperature (24 to 26°C) and was not a problem in the statistical differentiation of fatty acid profiles. However, when fatty acid profiles are used to characterize and/or differentiate fungi, it is important to grow cultures at the same temperature and minimize deviation from that temperature. Results of this study indicate that good results can be obtained when the culture temperature is maintained within a range of 3°C.

Culture age is also known to influence fatty acid composition in fungi (12, 24), and this phenomenon was observed over the 48-h period we examined in our experiment. Smit et al. (19) reported that in the yeasts they studied, a high degree of variation in relative fatty acid concentrations was observed during the exponential and early stationary growth phases and relatively stable fatty acid concentrations were obtained from cultures harvested in the late stationary phase. Our results are consistent with this observation in that comparisons of fatty acid compositions of fungi harvested after 4 days of growth with those harvested after 5 days were more similar than those of 3- and 4-day-old cultures. These results indicate that to minimize variation among cultures of a particular fungus, they should be harvested during the late stationary growth phase.

The fatty acid composition of a fungus can provide valuable taxonomic and physiologic information as to its relationship with other fungi that may not be available from morphologic characteristics. This information is especially useful at the subspecies level, where morphological disparities are limited, and for fungi such as mycelia sterilia and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal endophytes, in which morphological differentiation is minimal. For example, fatty acid analyses of several vesiculararbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (10), which have no known sexual stage and are tentatively placed in the phylum Zygomycota, have revealed that these fungi have fatty acid profiles more similar to those of Protoctistan fungi than other zygomycetes. Examination of the fatty acid composition of four morphologically indistinguishable mycelia sterilia isolates from soil in this study indicated that all four are physiologically different fungi. Comparisons of the fatty acid profiles of these sterile forms by the index of relationship vielded similarity values between 0.24 and 0.57. Fatty acid analysis revealed that one of the seven morphologically identical isolates of Penicillium vertuculosum examined in this work was physiologically distinct from the others. This isolate also had a lower growth rate in malt broth and on solid media than the other six and is probably a divergent strain of this fungus.

Individual species and strains of bacteria have been shown to have characteristic fatty acid profiles and are now being identified on this basis (14, 17, 23). This method can also be used for the identification of yeasts (8, 13, 21, 26). Results of the present study suggest that fatty acid composition has potential for use in the identification of filamentous fungi as well. In initial trials, unknown fungal isolates from KBS LTER soils could be reliably matched to (and identified as) or differentiated from previously characterized isolates in our library database of fatty acid profiles of KBS LTER soil fungi. Subsequent analyses of morphological and cultural traits, in most cases, verified our identifications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Helen Garchow for valuable technical assistance and C. A. Reddy and M. Christensen for providing cultures.

We acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation through the Center for Microbial Ecology (STC-8809640) and the LTER Program in Agricultural Ecology (BSR87-02332).

REFERENCES

- Augustyn, O. P. H., and J. F. L. Kock. 1989. Differentiation of yeast species, and strains within a species, by cellular fatty acid analysis. 1. Application of an adapted technique to differentiate between strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Microbiol. Methods 10:9–23.
- Augustyn, O. P. H., J. F. L. Kock, and D. Ferriera. 1990. Differentiation between yeast species and strains within a species by cellular fatty acid analysis. 3. Saccharomyces sensu lato, Arxiozyma and Pachytichospora. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 13:44–55.
- Brondz, I., and I. Olsen. 1990. Mutivariate analyses of cellular carbohydrates and fatty acids of *Candida albicans*, *Torulopsis glabrata*, and *Saccharomyces* cerevisiae. J. Clin. Microbiol. 28:1854–1857.
- 4. Brondz, I., I. Olsen, and M. Sjostrom. 1989. Gas chromatographic assess-

ment of alcoholized fatty acids from yeasts: a new chemotaxonomic method. J. Clin. Microbiol. 27:2815–2819.

- Dexter, Y., and R. C. Cooke. 1984. Fatty acids, sterols and carotenoids of the psychrophile *Mucor strictus* and some mesophilic *Mucor* species. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 83:455–461.
- Domsch, K. H., W. Gams, and T. Anderson. 1980. Compendium of soil fungi, vol. 1. Academic Press Ltd., London.
- Guckert, J. B., D. B. Ringeling, D. C. White, R. S. Hansen, and B. J. Bratina. 1991. Membrane fatty acids as phenotypic markers in the polyphasic taxonomy of methylotrophs within the Protobacteria. J. Gen. Microbiol. 137:2631– 2641.
- Gunasekaran, M., and W. T. Hugh. 1980. Gas-liquid chromatography: a rapid method for identification of different species of *Candida*. Mycologia 72:505–511.
- Holman, R. T. 1978. Quantitative chemical taxonomy based upon composition of lipids. Progr. Chem. Fats Lipids 16:9–29.
- Jabaji-Hare, S. 1988. Lipid and fatty acid profiles of some vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: contribution to taxonomy. Mycologia 80:622–629.
- Lechevalier, H., and M. P. Lechevalier. 1988. Chemotaxonomic use of lipids—an overview, p. 869–902. In C. Ratledge and S. G. Wilkinson (ed.), Microbial lipids, vol. 1. Academic Press Ltd., London.
- 12. Losel, D. M. 1988. Fungal lipids, p. 699–806. In C. Ratledge and S. G. Wilkinson (ed.), Microbial lipids, vol. 1. Academic Press Ltd., London.
- Marumo, K., and Y. Aoki. 1990. Discriminant analysis of cellular fatty acids of *Candida* species, *Torulopsis glabrata*, and *Cryptococcus neoformans* determined by gas-liquid chromatography. J. Clin. Microbiol. 28:1509–1513.
- Mayberry, W. R., D. W. Lambe, Jr., and K. P. Ferguson. 1982. Identification of *Bacteroides* species by cellular fatty acid profiles. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 32:21–27.
- Moss, C. W. 1981. Gas-liquid chromatography as an analytical tool in microbiology. J. Chromatogr. 203:337–347.
- 16. Nichols, P., B. K. Stulp, J. G. Jones, and D. C. White. 1986. Comparison of fatty acid content and DNA homology of the filamentous gliding bacteria

Vitreoscilla, Flexibacter, and Filibacter. Arch. Microbiol. 146:1-6.

- Sasser, J. M., and D. J. Fieldhouse. 1984. Computer-assisted identification of bacteria based on fatty acid analysis. Phytopathology 74:882.
- Sasser, J. M., and D. H. Smith. 1987. Parallels between ribosomal RNA and DNA homologies and fatty acid composition in *Pseudomonas*, abstr. R-8, p. 241. *In* Abstracts of the 87th Annual Meeting of the American Society for Microbiology 1987. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Smit, E. J., J. P. J. v. d. Westhuizen, J. L. F. Kock, and P. M. Lategan. 1987. A yeast identification method: the influence of culture age on the cellular fatty acid composition of three selected basidiomycetous yeasts. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 10:38–41.
- Sumner, J. L., E. D. Morgan, and H. C. Evans. 1969. The effect of growth temperature on the fatty acid composition of fungi in the order *Mucorales*. Can. J. Microbiol. 15:515–520.
- Tredoux, H. G., J. F. L. Kock, and P. M. Lategan. 1987. The use of longchain fatty acid composition in the identification of some yeasts associated with the wine industry. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 9:299–306.
- Urdachi, M. C., M. Marchand, and P. A. D. Grimont. 1990. Characterization of 22 Vibrio species by gas chromatography analysis of their cellular fatty acids. Res. Microbiol. 141:437–452.
- Veys, A., W. Callewaert, E. Waelkens, and K. Van Den-Abeele. 1989. Application of gas-liquid chromatography to the routine identification of nonfermenting gram-negative bacteria in clinical specimens. J. Clin. Microbiol. 27:1538–1542.
- Weete, J. D. 1980. Lipid biochemistry of fungi and other organisms. Plenum Press, New York.
- Welch, D. F. 1991. Applications of cellular fatty acid analysis. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 4:422–438.
- Westhuizen, J. P. J. v. d., J. L. F. Kock, E. J. Smit, and P. M. Lategan. 1987. The value of long-chain fatty acid composition in the identification of species representing the basidiomycetous genus *Rhodosporidium* Banno. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 10:31–34.