## Commentaire

# Colorectal cancer screening in Canada: It's time to act

### Richard E. Schabas

he National Committee on Colorectal Cancer Screening (NCCCS)<sup>1</sup> and the Canadian Cancer Society<sup>2</sup> have recently endorsed colorectal screening using fecal occult blood (FOB) testing. These documents are the latest links in a lengthening chain of reports that now brings us to a de facto national professional and scientific consensus on this issue. There has been enough talking. It is now time to act.

Colorectal cancer is the commonest cause of cancerrelated death among nonsmokers in Canada.<sup>3</sup> In 2002, there were an estimated 17 600 new cases and 6600 deaths from the disease nationally.<sup>4</sup> Although age-standardized incidence and death rates have been declining for decades, the total number of new cases and related deaths is growing steadily because of population aging.

Colorectal cancer has long been regarded as an attractive target for screening: it is a common cancer; its natural history is reasonably well understood; early disease is detectable by means of tests that are acceptable to patients; and treatment of early disease is highly effective.

Cancer screening is intended to reduce mortality. However, good intentions are not enough. Policy-makers must be confident that screening actually does reduce mortality. Very large and lengthy randomized trials are necessary to answer this question.

Fortunately, well-designed randomized trials of screening using FOB testing were begun in the 1970s and early 1980s, and the results of 3 trials were reported in 1993<sup>5</sup> and 1996.<sup>6,7</sup> All 3 trials showed a statistically significant mortality reduction with FOB screening. A follow-up report from one trial also documented a significant reduction in cancer incidence,<sup>8</sup> presumably because of the excision of premalignant adenomatous polyps. The results of the 3 trials are remarkably consistent, when difference in compliance and test sensitivity are taken into account.

Many clinicians are sceptical about using the FOB test. The test is undeniably imperfect: it misses almost as many cancers as it finds. If not done carefully, false-positive results could overwhelm our capacity to provide diagnostic follow-up. The mortality benefits shown in the clinical trials were modest, but this was due in large part to poor compliance. Individuals who are compliant with FOB screening can expect a more substantial reduction in their risk of dying of colorectal cancer.<sup>9</sup>

Six credible Canadian groups have endorsed colorectal cancer screening with FOB testing. Cancer Care Ontario<sup>10</sup> and the NCCCS<sup>1</sup> conducted comprehensive multiple-

stakeholder reviews. The Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care<sup>11</sup> conducted a rigorous evidence-based analysis. Both the Quebec<sup>12</sup> and the national<sup>13</sup> health technology assessment agencies have reported on economic evaluations. The Canadian Cancer Society<sup>2</sup> has based its position on the weight of evidence and expert opinion.

The key recommendation of all these groups is to screen average-risk, asymptomatic individuals over the age of 50 with FOB testing annually or biennially. Cancer Care Ontario, the NCCCS, the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care and the Canadian Cancer Society all stress the need for an adequate infrastructure, quality assurance and timely diagnostic follow-up of positive test results. Cancer Care Ontario estimated that a well-run program could reduce colorectal cancer mortality by 20%, which translates to about 1500 fewer deaths annually in Canada by 2015.

Colonoscopy and flexible sigmoidoscopy are also options for colorectal cancer screening. Colonoscopy is probably a better screening tool than FOB testing for averagerisk people who are prepared to accept the discomfort and inconvenience of the procedure. Colonoscopy appears to be at least as cost-effective as FOB testing, the higher cost per procedure balanced by lower frequency and higher yield. 14,15 The deal breaker for colonoscopy is inadequate health system capacity. We are far from having enough capacity to offer colonoscopy as primary screening for the more than 7 million people aged 50-75 in Canada. Cancer Care Ontario calculated that Ontario would have enough colonoscopy capacity to support FOB screening, and then only if an FOB test with high specificity is used. Given our existing health care resources, therefore, confirmation of positive FOB test results should get first call for colonoscopy. A nation that believes in the principles of equity and distributive justice in health care must start its colorectal cancer screening with FOB testing.

As for flexible sigmoidoscopy, it should not be recommended over FOB testing because the supporting evidence is not as strong as it is for FOB testing and because flexible sigmoidoscopy has the inherent limitation of examining only part of the colon. The procedure is probably a reasonable alternative for people who are noncompliant with FOB testing and may also prove a useful adjunct to FOB testing.<sup>16</sup>

If FOB screening for colorectal cancer is worth doing, it is worth doing well. Simply issuing clinical guidelines is not enough. Cancer screening always has the potential for harm, particularly from false-positive test results and complications from diagnostic investigations. The emphasis on

adequate infrastructure and quality assurance by several groups who have endorsed FOB testing<sup>1,2,10,11</sup> is well founded. If we use the results of the randomized trials to justify the intervention, we must be confident that we are providing care that matches the quality of these trials. The specificity of FOB testing and the safety and accuracy of diagnostic colonoscopy will be critical parameters of a quality colorectal cancer screening program. Provincial breast cancer screening programs have already shown that it is possible to provide high-quality cancer screening in the real world.1

FOB screening could be an important building block in a comprehensive attack on colorectal cancer. Organized programs would not only save lives through screening, they could also provide an effective platform for education about the benefits of healthy eating18 and physical activity19 in preventing colorectal cancer. Furthermore, these programs would identify individuals and families at increased risk because of adenomatous polyps, who may benefit from intensive surveillance, genetic testing and, possibly, chemoprevention with ASA<sup>20</sup> or calcium supplements.<sup>21</sup>

Cancer control is a challenging and frustrating business. We get few opportunities to substantially reduce rates of death from common cancers. FOB testing is not an ideal screening tool, but it is an evidence-based intervention that is cost-effective and feasible. It also prevents cancer and saves lives. Colorectal screening with FOB testing is simply too good an opportunity to ignore.

Dr. Schabas is Chief of Staff at the York Central Hospital, Richmond Hill, Ont. Competing interests: None declared.

#### References

- 1. National Committee on Colorectal Cancer Screening. Recommendations for population-based colorectal cancer screening. Ottawa: Health Canada; 2002. Available: www.hc-sc.gc.ca/pphb-dgspsp/publicat/ncccs-cndcc/index.html (accessed 2002 Dec 20).
- Canadian Cancer Society. Population-based colorectal cancer screening: position statement. Toronto: The Society; 2003. Available: www.cancer.ca
- National Cancer Institute of Canada. Canadian cancer statistics 2001. Toronto: The Institute; 2001. p. 61. Available: 66.59.133.166/stats/index.html (accessed 2002 Nov 14).
- National Cancer Institute of Canada. Canadian cancer statistics 2002. Toronto: The Institute: 2002. p. 19. Available: www.cancer.ca/files/stats2002\_e.pdf (accessed 2002 Nov 14)
- Mandel JS, Bond JH, Church TR, Snover DC, Bradley GM, Schuman LM, et al. Reducing mortality from colorectal cancer by screening for fecal occult. Minnesota Colon Cancer Control Study. N Engl  $\mathcal{I}$  Med 1993;328(19):1365-71.
- Hardcastle JD, Chamberlain JO, Robinson MH, Moss SM, Amar SS, Balfour TW, et al. Randomised controlled trial of faecal-occult-blood screening for colorectal cancer. Lancet 1996:348:1472-7
- 7. Kronberg O, Fenger C, Olsen J, Jorgensen OD, Sondergaard O. Randomised study of screening for colorectal cancer with faecal-occult-blood test. Lancet 1996-348-1467-71
- Mandel JS, Church TR, Bond JH, Ederer F, Geisser MS, Mongin SJ, et al. The effect of fecal occult-blood screening on the incidence of colorectal can-
- cer. N Engl J Med 2000;343(22):1603-7.
  McLeod RS and members of the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. Screening strategies for colorectal cancer: a systematic review of the evidence. Can J Gastroenterol 2001;15(10):647-60.
- Ontario Expert Panel. Colorectal cancer screening: final report. Toronto: Cancer Care Ontario; 1999. Available: www.cancercare.on.ca/colorectal.pdf (accessed 2002 Nov 14).

- 11. Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. Colorectal cancer screening. CMA7 2001;165(2):206-8.
- 12. Agence d'évaluation des technologies et des modes d'intervention en santé. Colorrectal cancer screening. Montreal: Conseil d'évaluation des technologies de la santé du Québec; 2000. Summary available: www.aetmis.gouv.qc.ca/fr/publications /scientifiques/depistage\_genetique/1999\_02\_res\_en.pdf (accessed 2002 Nov 27).
- 13. Canadian Coordinating Office for Health Technology Assessment. *Economic* evaluation of population-based screening for colorectal cancer. No. 6. Ottawa: The Office; 2002.
- Wagner JL, Tunis S, Brown M, Ching A, Almeida R. Cost-effectiveness of colorectal cancer screening in average risk adults. In: Young GP, Prozen A, Levin B, editors. Prevention and early detection of colorectal cancer. London: WB Saunders; 1996.
- Sonnenberg A, Delco F. Cost-effectiveness of a single colonoscopy in screening for colorectal cancer. Arch Intern Med 2002;162(2):163-8.
- Jorgensen OD, Kronborg O, Fenger C. A randomised study of screening for colorectal cancer using faecal occult blood testing; results after 13 years and seven biennial screening rounds. *Gut* 2002;50(1):29-32.

  17. Libstug AR, Moravan V, Aitken SE. Results from the Ontario breast screen-
- ing program, 1990-1995. J Med Screen 1998;5:73-80.
- World Cancer Research Fund, American Institute for Cancer Research. Food, nutrition and the prevention of cancer: a global perspective. Washington: American Institute for Cancer Research; 1997.
- 19. Marrett LD, Theis B, Ashbury FD. Workshop report: physical activity and cancer prevention. Chronic Dis Can 2000;21(4):143-9.
- Baron JA, Cole BF, Mott LA. Aspirin chemoprevention of colonic adenomas [abstract]. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 2002;43:669.
- Baron JA, Beach M, Mandel JS, van Stolk RU, Haile RW, Sandler RS, et al. Calcium supplements for the prevention of colorectal adenomas. Polyp Prevention Study Group. N Engl J Med 1999;340(2):101-7.

Correspondence to: Dr. Richard E. Schabas, Chief of Staff, York Central Hospital, 10 Trench St., Richmond Hill ON L4C 4Z3

### Canadian Society of Physician Executives 5th Annual Meeting

# Leading the way: Time, tools and technology

15-16 February 2003

plus

# Physician Manager Institute Pre-conference workshop

13-14 February 2003

**Sheraton Centre** Toronto, Ont.

- superb keynote speakers
- 5 excellent workshops
- presents you with practical knowledge and skill

For more information, contact carol.rochefort@cma.ca or call 800 663-7336 x2254