# **Swi5 Acts in Meiotic DNA Joint Molecule Formation in** *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*

**Chad Ellermeier,\* Henning Schmidt† and Gerald R. Smith\*,1**

\**Fred Hutchinson Research Cancer Center, Seattle, Washington 98109 and* † *Institut fu¨r Genetik, University of Braunschweig, D-38106 Braunschweig, Germany*

> Manuscript received August 3, 2004 Accepted for publication September 15, 2004

### ABSTRACT

Previously isolated *Schizosaccharomyces pombe swi5* mutants are defective in mitotic mating-type switching and in repair of meiotic recombination-related DNA double-strand breaks. Here, we identify the *swi5* gene, which encodes an 85-amino-acid polypeptide, similar to Sae3 of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, with an N-terminal predicted coiled-coil domain. A *swi5* complete deletion mutant had normal mitotic growth rate but was hypersensitive to DNA-damaging agents and defective in mating-type switching. In meiosis, recombinant frequencies were reduced by a factor of  $\sim$ 10. The *swi5* deletion strongly reduced the viable spore yields of mutants lacking Rhp55 or Rhp57, proteins thought to aid joint molecule formation. Furthermore, the *swi5* deletion strongly suppressed the low viable spore yield of mutants lacking Mus81•Eme1, which resolves joint molecules such as Holliday junctions. These and previous results indicate that the small Swi5 polypeptide acts in a branched pathway of joint molecule formation to repair meiotic DNA breaks.

THE repair of DNA strand breaks is crucial for the for mating-type switching is made at normal level in<br>life of cells, since unrepaired broken DNA is likely *swi5* mutants, but this lesion only inefficiently leads to to misegregate at cell division and lead to aneuploidy switching (EGEL *et al.* 1984). Thus, Swi5 is important and consequent sickness or death. DNA breaks can arise for DNA break repair in both meiosis and mitosis. from accidents during replication or transcription or Repair of DNA breaks by homologous recombination from exogenous DNA-damaging agents such as UV or proceeds in steps via a joint molecule intermediate. ionizing irradiation. The faithful repair of DNA double- Single-strand (ss) DNA is produced at the break via strand (ds) breaks appears to occur most frequently by DNA unwinding by a helicase or digestion by a nuclease. homologous recombination, which requires the forma-<br>The ss DNA pairs and undergoes strand exchange with tion of joint molecules between the broken DNA and an intact homologous DNA molecule to form a joint an intact homolog. In special circumstances cells use molecule, such as a D-loop or a Holliday junction. This programmed DNA breaks to stimulate recombination. step is promoted by bacterial RecA protein or its eukary-For example, in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* otic homolog Rad51; these proteins are aided by others, and the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* both mating- such as *S. cerevisiae* Rad52, Rad55, and Rad57 (Sung *et* type switching and meiotic recombination are initiated *al.* 2000). Joint molecules are resolved into separate by programmed DNA breaks (HABER 1998b; DAVIS and molecules by special enzymes; for example, Holliday SMITH 2001; ARCANGIOLI and THON 2003). As expected, junctions are resolved by the bacterial RuvC protein or, SMITH 2001; ARCANGIOLI and THON 2003). As expected, certain mutants of these species are deficient in both in *S. pombe*, the Mus81•Eme1 complex (BoDDY *et al.* 2001;

Ten linkage groups of mutations that reduce the frequency of mating-type switching in *S. pombe* have been ligases. described (GUTZ and SCHMIDT 1985). Mutants altered We report here the identification of *swi5*, which encodes in one of these groups, *swi5*, are also hypersensitive to a remarkably small protein. AKAMATSU *et al.* (2003) inde-<br>UV and ionizing irradiation and deficient in meiotic pendently identified the *swi5* gene and studied it UV and ionizing irradiation and deficient in meiotic pendently identified the *swi5* gene and studied its role recombination (SCHMIDT *et al.* 1987, 1989). During mei- in mitotic DNA repair. Results presented here indicate recombination (SCHMIDT *et al.* 1987, 1989). During mei- in mitotic DNA repair. Results presented here indicate<br>osis *swi* 5 mutants make DNA breaks but do not effi- that Swi 5 is involved in DNA strand exchange, *i.e.*, b osis *swi5* mutants make DNA breaks but do not effi-<br>ciently repair them (Young *et al.* 2004). During mitotic is point molecule resolution by Mus81•Eme1 during meiciently repair them (Young *et al.* 2004). During mitotic goint molecule resolution by prowth a DNA lession at the *mat1* locus that is essential otic recombination. growth a DNA lesion at the *mat1* locus that is essential

processes and in the repair of damaged DNA. GAILLARD *et al.* 2003; OSMAN *et al.* 2003). Remaining ss<br>Ten linkage groups of mutations that reduce the fre-<br>nicks and gaps are sealed by DNA polymerases and

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

1 *Corresponding author:* Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, 1100 Fairview Ave. North, P.O. Box 19024, Seattle, WA 98109. **Strains and plasmids:** Strains and their genotypes are listed

E-mail: gsmith@fhcrc.org in Table 1. Plasmids pCE1 and pCE2 were constructed by insert-

### **TABLE 1**

*S. pombe* **strains**

Strain	Genotype	Source or reference		
GP13	$h^-$ ade6-52	PONTICELLI and SMITH (1989)		
GP24	$h^+$ ade6-M26	PONTICELLI and SMITH (1989)		
GP50	$h^{90}$	A. Ponticelli <sup>a</sup>		
GP289	$h^-$ ade6-52 rec10-109	PONTICELLI and SMITH (1989)		
GP583	$h^-$ ade6-52 swi5-134	DEVEAUX et al. $(1992)$		
GP584	$h^+$ ade6-M26 swi5-134	DEVEAUX et al. $(1992)$		
GP788	$h^+$ ade6-M26 ura1-61	L. DeVeaux <sup><i>a</i></sup>		
GP814	$h^-$ ade6-52 swi5-134 ura4-595	J. Virgin $^a$		
GP1908	$h^-$ ade6-M26 ura4-D18 lys4-95	$F \cdot Li^a$		
GP3652	$h^-$ smt0 ade6-M26 rad50:: $kanMX$ pat1-114	YOUNG et al. $(2004)$		
GP3999	$h^-$ ade6-52 swi5-201:: $kanMX6$	Transformation <sup><math>\theta</math></sup> of GP13		
GP4018	$h^+$ ade6-52 swi5-201:: $kanMX6$	$GP24 \times GP3999$		
GP4019	$h^-$ ade6-M26 swi5-201:: $kanMX6$	$GP24 \times GP3999$		
GP4020	$h^+$ ade6-M26 swi5-201:: $kanMX6$	$GP24 \times GP3999$		
GP4075	$h^+$ ade6-3049 lys3-37 pro1-1	This study <sup><i>a</i></sup>		
GP4165	$h^-$ ade6-52 lys3-37 pro1-1	$GP289 \times GP4075$		
GP4196	$h^{90}$ ade6-M26 swi5-134	$GP50 \times GP584$		
GP4197	$h^{90}$ ade6-M26 swi5-201::kanMX6	$GP50 \times GP4020$		
GP4213	$h^+$ ade6-M26 swi5-201::kanMX6 ura1-61	$GP788 \times GP4019$		
GP4216	$h^-$ ade6-52 swi5-201:: $kanMX6$ lys3-37 pro1-1	$GP4018 \times GP4165$		
GP4367	$h^+$ ade6-M26 swi5-134 ura4-D18	$GP584 \times GP1908$		
<b>HE415</b>	$h^+$ lys1 ade4-31	<b>SCHMIDT</b> (1993)		
<b>HE416</b>	$h^{+}$ lys1 ade4-31 swi5-39	<b>SCHMIDT</b> (1993)		
<b>HE424</b>	$h^-$ ura1-171 his 6-365	<b>SCHMIDT</b> (1993)		
<b>HE426</b>	$h^-$ ura1-171 his 6-365 swi5-39	<b>SCHMIDT</b> (1993)		
<b>HE596</b>	$h^-$ ade4-31 swi5::ura4 <sup>+</sup>	This study		
<b>HE597</b>	$h^+$ lys3-131 swi5::ura4 <sup>+</sup>	This study		

, meiotic cross.

*<sup>a</sup>* Genealogy available upon request.

*b* Transforming DNA was a PCR product using pFA6a-kanMX6 as template (BAHLER *et al.* 1998). See MATERIALS and methods.

*Eco*RI-digested product of a polymerase chain reaction (PCR). (100  $\mu$ g/ml) as required. For meiotic crosses the two parental The PCR used DNA from strain GP3652 as template and strains were grown to saturation in YEL and 100  $\mu$ l (50  $\mu$ l for primers 32 or 35 nucleotides long, with 5'-terminal EcoRI sites, Table 5) of each culture were mixed. primers 32 or 35 nucleotides long, with 5'-terminal *Eco*RI sites, designed to amplify the 1.2-kb region from bp 2699–3856 of by centrifugation, washed twice in 1 ml of H<sub>2</sub>O, suspended in cosmid SPBC409 (GenBank accession no. AL109822). Meth- $\sim$ 15  $\mu$ l of H<sub>2</sub>O, and deposited on SPA ( cosmid SPBC409 (GenBank accession no. AL109822). Methods were as described in Ausubel *et al.* (2003). Plasmids were After 2 days at 25° spores were harvested and assayed for total introduced into *S. pombe* cells by LiOAc-stimulated transformaviable spores and recombinants introduced into *S. pombe* cells by LiOAc-stimulated transforma-<br>
ion as described by Ponticelline and SMITH (1989), except that EtOH treatment was for 10–15

**Construction of** *swi5-201::kanMX6***:** The method of BÄHLER *et al.* (1998) was used. Primers 100 nucleotides long and plasby 80 bp identical to the DNA immediately adjacent to the *swi5* gene (SPBC409.03; bp 3085–3164 and 3640–3719 on cosmid SPBC409). This fragment was purified by gel electrophoresis, extraction with a QIAquick kit (QIAGEN, Chatsworth, CA), and precipitation with ethanol. Approximately 1  $\mu$ g was used to transform  $\sim$ 4  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> cells of strain GP13, control strains, were done and analyzed concurrently. with selection for G418 antibiotic resistance. Colonies were purified and tested for stability of resistance, and the mutation verified by nucleotide sequencing using primers  $\sim$ 200 bp outside the substituted region. RESULTS

**Culture media and meiotic crosses:** Rich yeast extract media<br>(liquid YEL and solid YEA), Edinburgh minimal media (liquid **Cloning the** *swi5* **gene and sequencing** *swi5* **mutations:**<br>EMM2 and solid EMM2 agar), malt extract sporulation agar (SPA) are described by Gutz *et al.* (1974). *et al.* 1974), we obtained from an *S. pombe* genomic library

ing into the *Eco*RI site of plasmid pFY20 (Li *et al.* 1997) an Media were supplemented with adenine and other nutrients and SMITH (1989), except that EtOH treatment was for 10–15 min and  $\text{Ad}e^+$  recombinant frequencies were determined by plating on YEA with and without guanine  $(200 \mu g/ml)$ . mid pFA6A-kanMX6 as template were used in a PCR to gener- Crosses between strains GP814 and GP4367 (Table 3) were ate a 1.6-kb DNA fragment with the *kanMX6* cassette flanked plated on EMM2 plus uracil with or without adenine; uracil was omitted for crosses involving a  $ura4^+$  plasmid (pCE1, pCE2, or pFY20). For the experiments in Table 5 two colonies of each <sup>+</sup> or *h*<sup>-</sup>) freshly grown on YEA + adenine were picked to separate tubes of  $\widehat{YEL}$  + adenine (5 ml) and grown to saturation; the four combinations of  $h^+ \times h^-$  matings, with



(Fleck *et al.* 1992) three independent clones that com- cDNA corresponding to part of the conceptual mRNA plemented the *swi5-39* mutation, initially characterized from this gene has been reported (GenBank accession by GUTZ and SCHMIDT (1985; data not shown). Restric- no. AU013615; M. MORIMYO and K. MITO, unpublished tion digestion and nucleotide sequencing revealed that data), supporting the notion that this hypothetical gene these clones, pHE12, pHE13, and pHE16, have at least is active and that its RNA transcript is spliced as prethree putative genes in common; the largest of these dicted. If so, the *swi5-134* and *147* mutations would genes is SPBC1709.19c (Figure 1). Substitution of the change the beginning of the 5' splice site of the first 1.8-kb *HindIII* fragment containing  $ura4^+$  (GRIMM *et al.* 1988) for 2.8 kb of SPBC1709.19c and its flanking DNA *swi5-39* would change codon 38 from CAA (Gln) to created an allele, designated *swi5::ura4*<sup>+</sup>, that conferred UAA (nonsense; Figure 1). The gene SPBC409.03, now UV sensitivity, mating-type switching deficiency, and mei-<br>designated *swi5*, is predicted to encode an 85-aminootic recombination deficiency comparable to that of  $swi5$  acid polypeptide whose N-terminal  $\sim$ 30 amino acids are mutants (Table 2 and data not shown). Unlike the pre- predicted to form a coiled-coil (Lupas 1996). Akamatsu viously described *swi5* mutants, however, strains with the *et al.* (2003) independently identified *swi5* as SPBC409.03. *swi5::ura4*<sup>+</sup> allele grew slowly; furthermore, a subclone, designated pHE12-S1.1 and containing all of SPBC1709.19c **phenotype:** On the basis of the above information, we (Figure 1), failed to complement *swi5-39* (data not deleted precisely the coding sequence of SPBC409.03 shown). These results indicated that the *swi5* gene was and replaced it with the *kanMX6* cassette to create the on the initial clones but was not SPBC1709.19c. *swi5-201::kanMX6* allele, called here *swi5*. Strains with

the nucleotide sequence of the region flanking SPBC described *swi5* alleles. Mitotic growth was not significantly 1709.19c in the previously described *swi5* mutants. The different from that of wild type (data not shown). Mating*swi5-134* and *swi5-147* mutations (GUTZ and SCHMIDT type switching was much reduced and produced an iodineside of SPBC1709.19c, and  $swi5-39$  was  $C \to T$  795 bp (Gurz *et al.* 1974), comparable to that of  $swi5-134$  (data not to the 5' side (Figure 1). We noted that these mutations shown). The deletion mutant was slightly more s to the  $5'$  side (Figure 1). We noted that these mutations were in a hypothetical small gene with two introns. A UV irradiation than was *swi5-134*, but both mutants were

Figure 1.—Structure of the *swi5* gene and its surroundings on chromosome II of *S. pombe* and a comparison of Swi5 and *S. cerevisiae* Sae3. The top line shows a 9-kb segment of chromosome II contained on the overlapping cosmids SPBC1709 and SPBC 409; boxes with arrows indicate genes and their direction of transcription. *swi5* is identical to SPBC409.03 (see results). Lines below the top line indicate the extents of five clones and one deletion (*swi5::ura4*-) and their ability to complement the *swi5-39* mutation (left column). The expanded line in the middle of the figure represents*swi5* and the two flanking genes. Open boxes indicate open reading frames (exons). Below this line are the *swi5-201::kanMX6* substitution and the positions of the *swi5* point mutations. At the bottom of the figure is a ClustalW alignment, with high gap penalty, of the deduced *S. pombe* Swi5 and *S. cerevisiae* Sae3 proteins (see DISCUSSION). Vertical bars indicate 14 identical amino acids, and the horizontal bar over the Swi5 sequence indicates a predicted coiledcoil structure (Lupas 1996).

intron from the invariant 5' GT . . . to 5' AT . . ., and

Deletion of the *swi5* coding sequence and the null On the basis of the information above, we determined this allele had a phenotype much like that of previously 1985) were identical G → A changes 475 bp to the 5' staining reaction, indicative of mating and sporulation side of SPBC1709.19c, and *swi5-39* was C → T 795 bp (GUTz *et al.* 1974), comparable to that of *swi5-134* (data

## **TABLE 2**

*lys1-ade4* **intergenic recombination in** *swi5* **mutants (tetrad analysis)**

$h^-$ parent		$h^+$ parent					
HE no.	swi5	HE no.	swi5	PD	<b>NPD</b>	œ	$cM^a$
424		415		50 <sup>b</sup>	$55^b$	$214^b$	$\sim$ 260
426	39	416	39	$129^b$	9 <sup>b</sup>	$103^b$	35
426	39	416	39	37		50	51
597	$\Lambda$ c	596		188	24	197	46

PD, parental ditype; NPD, nonparental ditype; T, tetratype.

*<sup>a</sup>* Genetic distance in centimorgans was calculated for the wild type from the physical distance (1.63 Mb) between *lys1* and *ade4* (Wood *et al.* 2002) and the genome mean of 0.16 cM/kb (Young *et al.* 2002) and for the *swi5* mutants using the equation of HALDANE (1919).

 $<sup>b</sup>$  Data from SCHMIDT (1993).</sup>

 $\sigma$  *swi5::ura4*<sup>+</sup>.

considerably more sensitive than wild type (data not By random spore analysis, recombination in the *lys3*–*ura1* shown), as reported by AKAMATSU *et al.* (2003). and *ura1–pro1* intervals was reduced in the *swi5* $\Delta$  mutant

intragenic crosses (Table 3). Gene conversion at *ade6* intervals by factors of 4–17 (SCHMIDT 1993). In sumwas reduced slightly more by the  $swi5\Delta$  substitution than mary,  $swi5$  deletion mutants have phenotypes similar to by *swi5-134* (factors of 12 and 5, respectively, relative to those of the point mutants. wild type). These two alleles failed to complement, but **A** *swi5* deletion suppresses the low viable spore yield two subclones, pCE1 and pCE2, containing the *swi5* cod-<br> **of** *mus81* **mutants:** The Mus81•Eme1 endonuclease aping sequence and all of the DNA between the two flanking pears to be a Holliday junction resolvase essential for genes (Figure 1), did complement *swi5-134* (Table 3). meiotic crossing over (BODDY *et al.* 2001; GAILLARD *et* These results indicate that SPBC409.03 is *swi5*. *al.* 2003; Osman *et al.* 2003; Smith *et al.* 2003). *mus81*

about the same extent as by a *swi5* point mutation. By because joint molecules are formed but remain unretetrad analysis, crossing over between *lys1* and *ade4* was solved in the absence of this endonuclease. The low reduced from  $\sim$ 260 cM in wild type to  $\sim$ 35–50 cM in viable spore yield is suppressed by a *rec6* or *rec12* muta*swi5* mutants, a reduction by a factor of  $\sim$  6 (Table 2). tion, which blocks meiotic DNA breakage and presum-

To quantitatively evaluate complementation between by factors of 37 and 8, respectively (Table 4). The *swi5* alleles, we measured meiotic recombination in *ade6 swi5-39* mutation reduces crossing over in six additional

Crossing over was also reduced by a *swi5* deletion to mutants have very low viable spore yields, presumably

<i>adeo</i> intragenic recombination in <i>suib</i> mutants							
<i>ade</i> 6-52 parent				<i>ade6-M26</i> parent	$Ade+$ recombinants per		
$GP$ no. <sup><i>a</i></sup>	swi5	Plasmid	GP no.	swi5	$10^6$ viable spores <sup>b</sup>		
13	$^+$		24	$^{+}$	$3500 \pm 500$		
3999	swi $5 \Delta^c$		24	$^{+}$	$2700 \pm 430$		
583	$swi5-134$		584	swi5-134	$680 \pm 80$		
3999	swi $5 \Delta$		584	$swi5-134$	$520 \pm 80$		
3999	swi $5 \Delta$		4020	swi $5 \Delta$	$280 \pm 30$		
814	swi5-134		4367	$swi5-134$	$50 \pm 13^{\circ}$		
814	$swi5-134$	$pCE1^e$	4367	$swi5-134$	$3400 \pm 650^{\circ}$		
814	$swi5-134$	$pCE2^e$	4367	$swi5-134$	$4000 \pm 860^{\circ}$		
814	swi5-134	pFY20	4367	$swi5-134$	$530 \pm 120^{\circ}$		

**TABLE 3** *ade6* **intragenic recombination in** *swi5* **mutants**

—, no plasmid present.

*<sup>a</sup>* For plasmid-containing strains, the GP number indicates the parent strain without a plasmid.

 $\beta$  The recombinant frequencies in three independent matings are given as the mean  $\pm$  SEM.

*<sup>c</sup> swi5-201::kanMX6.*

*<sup>d</sup>* The lower frequency in these crosses than in crosses between GP583 and GP584 appears to stem, for unknown reasons, from the *ura4* mutation in strains GP814 and GP4367 (data not shown).

*<sup>e</sup>* Contains a 1.2-kb *swi5* fragment in opposite orientations in the vector pFY20 (see materials and methods).

*f* The recombinant frequencies of two experiments with two independent transformants are given  $(n = 4)$ .

### **TABLE 4**

**Recombination in** *swi5* **mutants (random spore analysis)**

$h^-$ parent <sup>a</sup>		$h^+$ parent <sup>a</sup>		$\%$ recombinants <sup>b</sup>		Ade <sup>+</sup> recombinants/
GP no.	swi <sup>5</sup>	GP no.	swi <sup>5</sup>	$lvs3$ -ural	$ural$ -pro $1$	$106$ viable spores
4165		788		14.7	27.6	4400
4216		4213		0.4	3.4	320

<sup>*a*</sup> The  $h^-$  parent was *ade6-52 lys3-37 pro1-1*; the  $h^+$  parent was *ade6-M26 ura1-61*.

*b* From each cross 232 segregants were tested; reciprocal types were nearly equally frequent.

*<sup>c</sup> swi5-201::kanMX6*.

Boddy *et al.* 2001; Young *et al.* 2002; Osman *et al.* 2003). **and an** *rhp55* **or** *rhp57* **mutation but not a** *dmc1* **mutation:** Because *swi5* mutants, like *rec6* and *rec12* mutants, are The accumulation of broken DNA in a *swi5* mutant deficient in meiotic recombination but have relatively (Young *et al.* 2004) and the suppression of *mus81* by high viable spore yields (SCHMIDT *et al.* 1987; PONTI-  $swi5\Delta$  (Table 5) suggest that Swi5 acts during the DNA celli and SMITH 1989; DeVEAUX *et al.* 1992; SCHMIDT strand-exchange step of recombination. Other proteins 1993; Lin and Smith 1994; Young *et al.* 2004), we tested have been inferred to act at this step; these proteins inthe ability of a *swi5* $\Delta$  mutation to suppress the low viable clude the DNA strand-exchange factors Rad51 and Dmc1 spore yield of a *mus81* mutation. The *mus81* mutation and the accessory factors Rhp55 and Rhp57 (GRISHdecreased the viable spore yield, relative to that of wild chuk and Kohli 2003 and references therein). This intype, by a factor of  $>10,000$ ; this low level was increased  $>700$ -fold by *swi5* $\Delta$  (Table 5). Although this suppression was strong, it was not complete, as the viable spore To test this possibility, we measured meiotic recombinayield was lower than that of  $swi5\Delta$  by a factor of  $\sim 6$ . tion and viable spore yields in single and double mutants. These results suggest that Swi5 and perhaps other fac- We first tested interaction of  $swi5\Delta$  and  $dmc1\Delta$ . Intrators act before Mus81•Eme1. The Ade<sup>+</sup> recombinant genic ade6 recombination was reduced by a factor of frequency was indistinguishable in  $swi5\Delta$  and  $swi5\Delta \sim 2$  by  $dmcI\Delta$  and by a factor of  $\sim 14$  by  $swi5\Delta$  (Table *mus81* $\Delta$  strains, indicating that Mus81•Eme1 has little 5). In the *swi5*  $\Delta$  *dmc1* $\Delta$  double mutant, recombination effect on intragenic recombination (gene conversion) in was intermediate between the levels in the single mu $swi5\Delta$  mutants, as in  $swi5^+$  strains (Osman *et al.* 2003; tants. Viable spore yields were only modestly reduced, SMITH *et al.* 2003). by a factor of  $\sim$ 2 or less in these mutants, but again

ably joint molecule formation (Cervantes *et al.* 2000; **Viable spore yields are reduced synergistically by** *swi5* ference suggests that there might be genetic interactions among mutations in the genes encoding these proteins.

$Mutant^a$		$swi5$ <sup>+</sup>		$swi5\Delta$		
	$n^{b}$	Ade <sup>+</sup> /10 <sup>6</sup> viable spores <sup><math>\epsilon</math></sup>	Viable spores/cell $\epsilon$	Ade <sup>+</sup> /10 <sup>6</sup> viable spores <sup><math>\epsilon</math></sup>	Viable spores/cell <sup><math>\epsilon</math></sup>	
$+$	12	$3700 \pm 210$	$=100$	$270 \pm 80$	44	
$mus81\Delta$		ND.	< 0.01	$250 \pm 52$	6.8	
$mus81\Delta$ dmc1 $\Delta$		$1200 \pm 470$	0.25	$400 \pm 23$	6.5	
$dmc1\Delta$		$1600 \pm 95$	81	$470 \pm 45$	58	
$rh p55\Delta$	4	$2100 \pm 280$	33	ND	< 0.1	
$rh\phi$ 57 $\Delta$	4	$1200 \pm 130$	11	ND	< 0.001	

**TABLE 5** *swi5* **interacts with** *mus81* **and oppositely with** *rhp55* **and** *rhp57*

ND, not determined, as too few viable spores were produced for accurate measurement.

<sup>a</sup> Alleles were *mus81::kanMX6* (BODDY *et al.* 2001),  $\vec{d}$ *mc1::ura4*<sup>+</sup> (Fukushima *et al.* 2000),  $\vec{r}$ *rhp55::ura4*<sup>+</sup> (Khasanov *et al.* 1999), *rhp57::ura4*- (Tsutsui *et al.* 2000), and *swi5-201::kanMX6* (materials and methods) and were homozygous mutant or wild type as indicated. One parent was *ade6-M26,* and the other *ade6-52.* Strains with *rhp55*∆ or *rhp57*∆ were *h*<sup>+</sup> or *h*  $^+$  *smt-0* (STYRKARSDOTTIR *et al.* 1993), and those with  $rhp55\Delta$ ,  $rhp57\Delta$  or  $dmc1\Delta$  were  $ura4-D18$  (GRIMM *et al.* 1988). Complete genotypes and genealogies are available upon request.

*<sup>b</sup>* Number of independent matings analyzed for *swi5*- and *swi5*. Matings were in sets of four for each genotype, done on three different days for  $n = 12$  and on two different days for  $n = 8$  (see MATERIALS AND METHODS).

*c* Mating and meiosis were on SPA at 25°. Ade<sup>+</sup> recombinant frequencies are the means  $\pm$  SEM. Viable spore yields are expressed relative to wild-type matings, which produced 8.5  $\pm$  0.96 ( $n = 12$ ) viable spores per viable cell (of the less numerous parent) added to the mating mixture. This yield is greater than the theoretical four spores per limiting haploid cell because of slight residual growth of cells on the sporulation medium.

intermediate between those of the single mutants. hyperresected at the ends, and form less recombinant

 $dmc1\Delta$  mutants suggest that Swi5 and Dmc1 act at closely has the same phenotype as the single mutants (McKee related steps of meiosis. We therefore tested interaction and Kleckner 1997). These results suggest that Sae3 of  $dmc1\Delta$  with  $mus81\Delta$ . Like  $swi5\Delta$ , the  $dmc1\Delta$  mutation and Dmc1, a meiosis-specific DNA strand-exchange proincreased the viable spore yield of  $mus81\Delta$ , by a factor tein (BISHOP *et al.* 1992; SEHORN *et al.* 2004), act in the of >25 but below the level of  $mus81\Delta swi5\Delta$ . The ade6 recombinant frequency in the  $dmcl\Delta$   $mus81\Delta$  mutant There are differences in the roles of Swi5 and Sae3, was near that of the  $dmc1\Delta$  single mutant (Table 5). however. Although Sae3 is meiosis specific, Swi5 is re-The triple mutant *mus81 swi5 dmc1* had a phenotype quired during mitotic growth for repair of DNA damage similar to that of the  $mus81\Delta swi5\Delta$  double mutant. (SCHMIDT *et al.* 1989; AKAMATSU *et al.* 2003; data not These results support the suggestion that Swi5 and shown) and for mating-type switching (EGEL *et al.* 1984).

had a much stronger phenotype than the single mu- *dmc1* mutants (Young *et al.* 2004), spore viabilities are tants. Viable spore yields were reduced, relative to that lower in *swi5* mutants than in *dmc1* mutants (Grishyields by factors of 300 and These yields were too low to allow a reliable measure 2000; GRISHCHUK and KOHLI 2003; Table 5). Thus, *S.* at a step different from that of Rhp55 and Rhp57. although *S. cerevisiae* Sae3 and Dmc1 appear to be. Be-

with  $mus81\Delta$ ,  $r h p 55\Delta$ , and  $r h p 57\Delta$  mutations, but only in meiotic and mitotic DNA break repair. but less strongly than did *swi5*. Below, we discuss inter- ds DNA breaks are made at high frequency at widely

that appears, from the evidence discussed below, to act quency breakage (CERVANTES *et al.* 2000; Young *et al.* with other proteins to form joint DNA molecules. This 2002, 2004). Repair of these breaks requires several activity is important in the repair of single- and double- identified proteins, including Rad32, Rad50, Swi5, and strand DNA breaks during both mitotic growth and Rad51 (Young *et al.* 2004). Rad32•Rad50•Nbs1 is a homeiosis. molog of the *S. cerevisiae* Mre11•Rad50•Xrs2 complex,

tion-deficient mutants that are rescued by a *spo11* muta- (Haber 1998a). Rad51 is a homolog of the *S. cerevisiae* tion, a phenotype consistent with Sae3 acting after DNA Rad51 protein, which, like *Escherichia coli* RecA, promotes break formation by Spo11 (McKee and Kleckner 1997). homologous DNA strand exchange between ss and ds *SAE3* was thought to encode a 50-amino-acid polypep- DNA to form joint molecules (Sung *et al.* 2000). Optimal tide from a single exon (McKee and Kleckner 1997), activity of Rad51, however, requires additional proteins, but further analysis suggests an additional exon and a such as Rad54, Rad55, and Rad57. Rad55 and Rad57 protein of 91 amino acids (A. SHINOHARA, personal com- form a tight heterodimeric complex and share limited munication). This longer version has 14 amino acids amino acid sequence identity with Rad51; the Rad55• identical to those of Swi5 scattered throughout the pro- Rad57 complex may aid Rad51 by forming a complex teins (Figure 1). Physical analyses show that Sae3 and with Rad51 (Sung *et al.* 2000). In *S. pombe,* a *rad51* null Swi5 are required for the repair of meiotic DNA double- mutation has a stronger meiotic phenotype than those strand breaks (McKee and Kleckner 1997; Young *et* of *rhp55*, *rhp57*, or *dmc1* with respect to spore viability *al.* 2004) and appear to act in concert with RecA-like and recombinant frequencies (GRISHCHUK and KOHLI strand exchange proteins. *S. cerevisiae sae3* mutants have 2003). Double-mutant analyses suggest that Rhp55 and a phenotype indistinguishable from that of *dmc1* mu- Rhp57 act on one branch of a DNA repair pathway and

the phenotype of the double  $swi5\Delta$  *dmc1* $\Delta$  mutant was tants: both are meiosis specific, accumulate broken DNA The similar phenotypes of  $swi5\Delta$ ,  $dmc1\Delta$ , and  $swi5\Delta$  DNA than wild type does; the  $sae3$  dmcl double mutant same step in DNA repair, the formation of *joint* molecules.

Dmc1 act at closely related steps before Mus81•Eme1. In addition, although the phenotypes of *S. cerevisiae sae3* In contrast to the  $swi5\Delta$  *dmc1* $\Delta$  double mutant, which and *dmc1* mutants are indistinguishable, the phenotypes had a phenotype similar to that of the single mutants, of *S. pombe swi5* and *dmc1* mutants differ: during meiosis double mutants with *swi5* and either *rhp55* or *rhp57* broken DNA accumulates in *swi5* mutants but not in of wild type, by factors of  $\sim$ 2–10 in the three single chuk and Kohli 2003; Young *et al.* 2004; Table 5), and mutants (Table 5). The addition of the *swi5* mutation intragenic recombinant frequencies are lower in *swi5* to the  $r h p 55\Delta$  or  $r h p 57\Delta$  mutant reduced the viable spore mutants than in *dmc1* mutants (SCHMIDT *et al.* 1987; DEVEAUX *et al.* 1992; SCHMIDT 1993; FUKUSHIMA *et al.* of recombination. These results indicate that Swi5 acts *pombe* Swi5 and Dmc1 are not functionally identical, In summary, the  $swi5\Delta$  mutation interacted strongly low, we discuss the roles of these and related proteins

weakly with  $dmc1\Delta$ .  $dmc1\Delta$  also interacted with  $mus81\Delta$ , **Meiotic DNA break repair:** During meiosis in *S. pombe* pretations of these results. Separated sites in the genome (CERVANTES *et al.* 2000; Young *et al.* 2002). Formation of these breaks requires DISCUSSION Rec12, a homolog of *S. cerevisiae* Spo11, which contains the active site for breakage (KEENEY *et al.* 1997); at least Swi5 is a remarkably small protein—85 amino acids— nine additional proteins are also needed for high-fre-Swi5 is related to the small Sae3 protein of *S. cerevisiae* which is required to remove Spo11 covalently linked to (Figure 1). *sae3* mutants were identified among sporula- the 5' end of the broken DNA and to form ss DNA tails



FIGURE 2.—Proposed branched pathway for meiotic recombination in *S. pombe*. After meiotic DNA replication, Rec12, aided by other proteins, makes DNA

breaks independently of Rad32**·Rad50·Nbs1** (the MRN complex; CERVANTES *et al.* 2000; YOUNG *et al.* 2004). The MRN complex, perhaps in conjunction with other proteins, resects one DNA strand. The resultant ss DNA forms a joint molecule with an intact homolog by either of two branches—one promoted by Swi5 plus Dmc1 and the other by Rhp55 plus Rhp57—each of which may require Rad51. The Swi5-Dmc1-dependent joint molecules are resolved by Mus81•Eme1 into recombinant chromatids (BoDDY *et al.* 2001; Osman *et al.* 2003; Smith *et al.* 2003). Functions required for joint molecule resolution by the Rhp55-Rhp57-dependent branch have not been determined. This pathway is similar to one proposed by GRISHCHUK and KOHLI (2003) and relies in part upon observations of meiotic recombination in *S. cerevisiae* (ROEDER 1997).

Dmc1 on another; both of these branches are proposed Thus, mating-type switching is a type of copy-choice re-

way of meiotic DNA break repair with two branches recombination. *swi5* mutants have normal levels of the leading to joint molecules (Figure 2). From the similar *mat1* lesion but rarely switch mating type. The inability phenotypes of  $swi5\Delta$ ,  $dmc1\Delta$ , and  $swi5\Delta$  *dmc1* $\Delta$  mutants of  $swi5$  mutants to use the lesion to promote switching we infer that Swi5 and Dmc1 act in one branch of the is consistent with the Swi5 protein being required for pathway. From the strong synergisms between  $swi5\Delta$  high-level DNA strand exchange, *i.e.*, joint molecule and  $rhp55\Delta$  and between  $swi5\Delta$  and  $rhp57\Delta$ , we infer formation. The mechanism of resolution of these joint that Rhp55 and Rhp57 act in another branch. The Mus81• molecules is unclear, since mating-type switching is not Eme1 endonuclease is required to resolve joint mole-<br>notably affected by  $mus81\Delta$  (our unpublished observacules, presumably made by Rad51, Dmc1, Swi5, Rhp55, tions). Nevertheless, we infer that the primary function and Rhp57, into crossover recombinants; in  $mus81\Delta$  of Swi5 is the same in meiotic and mitotic cells—the mutants very few viable spores are produced (BODDY *et* formation of joint molecules during DNA break repair. al. 2001; GAILLARD et al. 2003; OSMAN et al. 2003: SMITH<br>
et al. 2003; Table 5). Since  $swi5\Delta$  and  $dmc1\Delta$  suppress with the hard and Val Wood for unpublished information about  $swi5$ ; Linda the low viable spore yield of  $mus81\Delta$  mutants, we infer DeVeaux, Edgar Hartsuiker, Feng Li, Hiroshi Nojima, Fred Ponticelli, that Swi5 and Dmc1 act before Mus81. These inferences Hideo Shinagawa, and Jeff Virgin for strain that Swi5 and Dmc1 act before Mus81. These inferences Hideo Shinagawa, and Jeff Virgin for strains; and Sue Amundsen, that Swi5 and Dmc1 act before Mus81. These inferences Hideo Shinagawa, and Jeff Virgin for strains; and are concordant with current knowledge of the enzy-<br>matic activities of the proteins and indicate that Swi5<br>and Dmc1, and perhaps Rhp55 and Rhp57 as well, lead<br>by research grant Schm 786/2-2 from the Deutsche Forschungsgeto joint molecules that are resolved by Mus81•Eme1. meinschaft to H.S.

**Mitotic DNA break repair and mating-type switching:** During mitotic growth, the recovery from DNA damage is aided by several proteins. Mutant analyses suggest<br>that Rad51, Rhp55, Rhp57, and Swi5 have relationships<br>similar to those in mejosis. For example, rhb57 and swi5 AKAMATSU, Y., D. DZIADKOWIEC, M. IKEGUCHI, H. SHINAGAWA a Similar to those in meiosis. For example,  $rhp57$  and  $swi5$ <br>H. Iwasaki, 2003 Two different Swi5-containing protein commutants are mildly sensitive to UV and gamma irradiation,<br>but the double mutant is as sensitive as  $rad51$  or  $rad51$ <br>repair in fission yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100: 15770– but the double mutant is as sensitive as  $rad51$  or  $rad51$  repair  $cm^2$ ,  $\frac{(M_{\text{A}} + 9003 \cdot \text{data not shown})}{15775}$ SWIS (AKAMATSU et al. 2003; data not shown). Although<br>the nature of the UV- and gamma-ray-induced lesions<br>is not certain, these lesions may be primarily ss and ds<br>EMBO J. 19: 1389–1396. is not certain, these lesions may be primarily ss and ds EMBO J. 19: 1389–1396.<br>heaks respectively: if so Swib as well as Rhp57 and ARCANGIOLI, B., and G. Thon, 2003 Mating-type cassettes: structure, breaks, respectively; if so, Swi5, as well as Rhp57 and<br>perhaps Rhp55, would seem to aid Rad51 in the repair<br>of both types of breaks.<br>of breaks.<br> $R. EGEL. Springer, Berlin.$ of both types of breaks.<br>Mating-type switching in mitotic S hombecells requires AUSUBEL, F. M., R. BRENT, R. E. KINGSTON, D. D. MOORE, J. G. SEIDMAN

Mating-type switching in mitotic *S. pombe* cells requires AUSUBEL, F. M., R. BRENT, R. E. KINGSTON, D. D. MOORE, J. G. SEIDMAN<br>
Swi5 and is initiated by a ss lesion at the *mat1* locus; this lesion may be converted into a lesion may be converted into a ds break during replication BAHLER, J., J.-Q. WU, M. S. LONGTINE, N. G. SHAH, A. MCKENZIE, III<br>
(Angeletic into and Tuon 2003, KAVEOV of al. 2004) Single- et al., 1998 Heterologous modules fo (ARCANGIOLI and THON 2003; KAYKOV *et al.* 2004). Single-<br>strand DNA with a 3' end at the *mat1* lesion presumably<br> $\begin{array}{r}$ <sup>et al.,</sup> 1998. Heterologous modules for efficient and versatile<br>pCR-based gene targeting in *Sch* undergoes strand exchange with homologous DNA flank-<br>ing the mat2 or mat3 locus: DNA synthesis primed by meiosis-specific homolog of E. coli recA required for recombinaing the *mat2* or *mat3* locus; DNA synthesis primed by methods of E. coli recA required for recombina-<br>this end produces a copy of *mat2* or *mat3*, which replaces sion. Cell 69: 439–456. the copy at *mat1* (ARCANGIOLI and DE LAHONDES 2000). BODDY, M. N., P.-H. L. GAILLARD, W. H. McDONALD, P. SHANAHAN,

to require Rad51 (GRISHCHUK and KOHLI 2003). combination, which relies on joint molecule formation Our results (Table 5) support the proposal of a path- by DNA strand transfer, as do break-join and break-copy

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

- Cervantes, M. D., J. A. Farah and G. R. Smith, 2000 Meiotic DNA *rec11* gene. Mol. Microbiol. **23:** 869–878. breaks associated with recombination in *S. pombe*. Mol. Cell 5:
- Davis, L., and G. R. SMITH, 2001 Meiotic recombination and chromosome segregation in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
- DeVeaux, L. C., N. A. Hoagland and G. R. Smith, 1992 Seventeen McKee, A. H. Z., and N. Kleckner, 1997 Mutations in *Saccharomyces* complementation groups of mutations decreasing meiotic recom-<br>bination in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Genetics 130: 251-262.
- Egel, R., D. H. Beach and A. J. S. Klar, 1984 Genes required for specific genes *SAE1* and *SAE3.* Genetics **146:** 817–834. initiation and resolution steps of mating-type switching in fission yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81: 3481-3485.
- FLECK, O., H. MICHAEL and L. HEIM, 1992 The  $swi4^+$  gene of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* encodes a homologue of mismatch repair *saccharomyces pombe* encodes a homologue of mismatch repair PONTICELLI, A. S., and G. R. SMITH, 1989 Meiotic recombination-<br>deficient mutants of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Genetics 123: 45–54.
- *al.*, 2000 Dmc1 of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* plays a role in mei-<br>otic recombination. Nucleic Acids Res. 28: 2709–2716.
- Gaillard, P.-H. L., E. Noguchi, P. Shanahan and P. Russell, 2003 *myces pombe* with the help of *swi5.* Curr. Genet. **24:** 271–273. The endogenous Mus81-Eme1 complex resolves Holliday junc-<br>
- tion and replacement using the  $ura4$  gene as a selectable marker. Mol. Gen. Genet. **215:** 81–86.
- Genetics 165: 1031–1043.<br>Fig. H. and H. Schwint, 1985. Switching genes in Schizosaccharo-**MITH, G. R., M. N. BODDY, P. SHANAHAN** and P. RUSSELL, 2003 Fis-
- GUTZ, H., and H. SCHMIDT, 1985 Switching genes in *Schizosaccharo-<br>myces bombe*. Curr. Genet. 9: 325–331.
- GUTZ, H., H. HESLOT, U. LEUPOLD and N. LOPRIENO, 1974 *Schizosach and tormeiotic cross*<br> *charomyces pombe*, pp. 395–446 in *Handbook of Genetics*, edited by
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- type locus in fission yeast. EMBO J. 23: 930–938. VOUNG, J. A., R. W. SCHRECKHISE, W. W. STEINER and G. R. SMITH,<br>KEENEY, S., C. N. GIROUX and N. KLECKNER, 1997 Meiosis-specific<br>DNA double-strand breaks are catalyzed by Sp
- gene from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* with homology to *Escherichia*
- J. R. Yates *et al.*, 2001 Mus81-Eme1 are essential components Li, Y. F., M. Numata, W. P. Wahls and G. R. Smith, 1997 Regionof a Holliday junction resolvase. Cell **107:** 537–548. specific meiotic recombination in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*: the
- 883–888.<br>
186: T69–779.<br>
196: 769–779.<br>
186: 769–779.
- some segregation in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe.* Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. Lupas, A., 1996 Prediction and analysis of coiled-coil structures. Methods Enzymol. **266:** 513–525.<br>MCKEE, A. H. Z., and N. KLECKNER, 1997 Mutations in Saccharomyces
	- and confer cell cycle arrest at pachytene identify two new meiosis-<br>specific genes *SAE1* and *SAE3*. Genetics **146:** 817–834.
	- crossovers by resolution of nicked Holliday junctions: a role for Mus81-Eme1 in meiosis. Mol. Cell 12: 761–774.
	- deficient mutants of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Genetics 123: 45–54.
- FUKUSHIMA, K., T. YOSHIMI, K. NABESHIMA, T. YONEKI, T. TOUGAN *et* ROEDER, G. S., 1997 Meiotic chromsomes: it takes two to tango.<br> *al.*, 2000 Dmc1 of *Schizosaccharomyces bombe* plays a role in mei- Genes Dev. 11: 2600–26
	- SCHMIDT, H., 1993 Effective long range mapping in *Schizosaccharo-<br>myces pombe* with the help of *swi5*. Curr. Genet. **24:** 271–273.
	- tions by a nick and counternick mechanism. Mol. Cell **12:** 747– *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*: their influence on cell viability and re-759. combination. Curr. Genet. **11:** 303–308.
- GRIMM, C., J. KOHLI, J. MURRAY and K. MAUNDRELL, 1988 Genetic SCHMIDT, H., P. KAPITZA-FECKE, E. R. STEPHEN and H. GUTZ, 1989<br>Some of the *swi* genes of *Schizosaccharomyces bombe*: a system for gene disrup-<br>Some of the *sw* engineering of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*: a system for gene disrup-<br>
tion and replacement using the *ura4* gene as a selectable marker tion in the repair of radiation damage. Curr. Genet. **16:** 89–94.
- SEHORN, M. G., S. SIGURDSSON, W. BUSSEN, V. M. UNGER and P. SUNG, 2004 Human meiotic recombinase Dmc1 promotes ATP-GRISHCHUK, A. L., and J. KOHLI, 2003 Five RecA-like proteins of SUNG, 2004 Human meiotic recombinase Dmc1 promotes ATP-<br>Schizosaccharomyces pombe are involved in meiotic recombination.<br>Constitue 155: 1031 1043
	- **sion yeast Mus81•Eme1 Holliday junction resolvase is required for meiotic crossing over but not for gene conversion. Genetics**
	-
	-
- CRITION (CALC STANDARY 1993 The small of the STATER INTEGENT (NATURE 2000 NEGLEM 1891, J.B., R. EGEL and O. NIELSEN, 1993 The small HABER, J.E., 1998 Mating-type gene switching in *Saccharomyces cere*<br>
HABER, J.E., 1998 M
	-
	-
- KHASANOV, F. K., G. V. SAVCHENKO, E. V. BASHKIROVA, V. G. KOROLEV,<br>W.-D. HEYER et al., 1999 A new recombinational DNA repair veasts. Genetics 167: 593-605.

**Communicating editor: L. SYMINGTON**