The Budding Yeast Mei5 and Sae3 Proteins Act Together With Dmc1 During Meiotic Recombination

Hideo Tsubouchi* and G. Shirleen Roeder*,†,1

**Howard Hughes Medical Institute,* † *Department of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology and* † *Department of Genetics, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8103*

> Manuscript received December 11, 2003 Accepted for publication July 29, 2004

ABSTRACT

Here we provide evidence that the Mei5 and Sae3 proteins of budding yeast act together with Dmc1, a meiosis-specific, RecA-like recombinase. The *mei5* and *sae3* mutations reduce sporulation, spore viability, and crossing over to the same extent as *dmc1*. In all three mutants, these defects are largely suppressed by overproduction of Rad51. In addition, *mei5* and *sae3*, like *dmc1*, suppress the cell-cycle arrest phenotype of the *hop2* mutant. The Mei5, Sae3, and Dmc1 proteins colocalize to foci on meiotic chromosomes, and their localization is mutually dependent. The localization of Rad51 to chromosomes is not affected in either *mei5* or *sae3*. Taken together, these observations suggest that the Mei5 and Sae3 proteins are accessory factors specific to Dmc1. We speculate that Mei5 and Sae3 are necessary for efficient formation of Dmc1-containing nucleoprotein filaments *in vivo*.

MEIOSIS is a special type of cell cycle that produces ucts belonging to the *RAD52* epistasis group are involved in
haploid gametes from diploid parental cells. DSB repair through homologous recombination (Paques
Linians t Unique to meiosis is the reductional division in which and HABER 1999; SYMINGTON 2002; SUNG *et al.* 2003). homologous chromosomes segregate to opposite poles. Among them, Rad51, a homolog of the bacterial RecA During the prophase that precedes this division, homo- protein, plays a major role in homologous DNA pairing logs pair with each other and undergo high levels of and strand exchange. Rad51 functions in recombination genetic recombination. Recombination plays at least by assembling as highly ordered oligomers on singletwo important roles in segregating chromosomes. First, stranded DNA (Ogawa *et al.* 1993; Symington 2002). it ensures that each chromosome finds its homolog. Formation of this structure, called a presynaptic fila-Second, a fraction of meiotic recombination events leads ment, requires a group of accessory proteins, including to reciprocal exchange (crossing over), which establishes Rad52 and the Rad55/57 heterodimer (Sung 1997a,b; physical connections between homologs. These connections New *et al.* 1998). physical connections between homologs. These connections, called chiasmata, ensure the proper alignment of Rad51, Rad52, Rad55, and Rad57 also play essential chromosomes on the spindle apparatus at meiosis I. roles in meiotic recombination (Borts *et al.* 1986; Shi-

has been well characterized in budding yeast. Meiotic In the absence of these proteins, DSBs are not efficiently
recombination starts with double-strand breaks (DSBs) converted to joint molecules. In wild type, formation o recombination starts with double-strand breaks (DSBs) converted to joint molecules. In wild type, formation of catalyzed by the Spo11 protein (KEENEY 2001). Strands Rad51 presynaptic filaments is cytologically detectable catalyzed by the Spo11 protein (KEENEY 2001). Strands Rad51 presynaptic filaments is cytologically detectable
with 5'-termini at DSB ends are selectively degraded to at multiple distinct sites on chromatin, in response to with 5'-termini at DSB ends are selectively degraded to at multiple distinct sites on chromatin, in response to leave single-strands with $3'$ -ends (SUN *et al.* 1991: BISHOP DSB formation (BISHOP 1994). Consistent with b leave single-strands with 3'-ends (Sun *et al.* 1991; Bishop DSB formation (Bishop 1994). Consistent with bio-

et al. 1992), which are thought to be used for homology chemical data, Rad52, Rad55, and Rad57 are necessary *et al.* 1992), which are thought to be used for homology chemical data, Rad52, Rad55, and Rad57 are necessary

searching by strand-exchange proteins. The single-stranded for foci formation by Rad51 during meiosis (Gasion for foci formation by strand-exchange proteins. The single-stranded for foci for foci for N_A and single-stranded by $al.$ 1998). DNA tails invade homologous sequences in nonsister *al.* 1998).

chromatids to form single-end invasion intermediates Dmc1 is a meiosis-specific homolog of bacterial RecA chromatids to form single-end invasion intermediates,
which may then be further processed to form double-
that functions specifically in meiotic recombination which may then be further processed to form double-
Holliday junctions (SCHWACHA and KLECKNER 1994. (BISHOP et al. 1992). In the absence of Dmc1, unrepaired Holliday junctions (SCHWACHA and KLECKNER 1994;

During vegetative growth in budding yeast, gene prod-

The molecular mechanism of meiotic recombination nohara *et al.* 1992; SCHWACHA and KLECKNER 1997).
So been well characterized in budding yeast. Meiotic In the absence of these proteins, DSBs are not efficiently

HUNTER and KLECKNER 2001).
Diving vertative growth in budding veast gene prod-
 $1992)$. *In vitro*, the Dmc1 protein promotes renaturation of complementary single-stranded DNA and assimilation of homologous single-stranded DNA into duplex ¹Corresponding author: Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Depart-
¹Corresponding author: Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Depart-

that Dmc1 forms many foci on chromosomes during meio-

E-mail: shirleen.roeder@yale.edu Although both Rad51 and Dmc1 play important roles

Corresponding author: Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Depart-
ment of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology, Yale Univer-
sity, P.O. Box 208103, 266 Whitney Ave., New Haven, CT 06520-8103. Sis, substantially overl

TABLE 1

Yeast strains

Strain	Genotype	
S3246	MATa his4-260 leu2-3,112 thr1-4 ura3-1 trp1-289 ade2-1	
	MATo his4-260 leu2-3,112 thr1-4 ura3-1 trp1-289 ade2-1	
TBR310	S3246 but homozygous hop2::ADE2	
$TBR737^a$	MATa his4-Hpa leu2-3,112	ARG4 thr1-4 CUP1 ura3-1 trp1-289 ade2-1 lys2
	MATα his4-260 leu2::arg4-8-CUP1 CEN3::TRP1 arg4Δ thr1-4 cup1Δ ura3-1 trp1-289 ade2-1 lys2	
TBR751	S3246 but homozygous mei5::KAN	
TBR821	S3246 but homozygous MEI5-myc::KAN	
TBR860	S3246 but homozygous hop2::ADE2 mei5::KAN	
TBR869	S3246 but homozygous dmc1::KAN	
TBR885	S3246 but homozygous hop2::ADE2 dmc1::KAN	
TBR1028 ^a	TBR737 but homozygous dmc1::KAN	
TBR1039	S3246 but homozygous sae3:: URA3	
TBR1044	S3246 but homozygous hop2::ADE2 sae3::URA3	
TBR1053	S3246 but homozygous dmc1::KAN mei5::KAN sae3::URA3	
TBR1063 ^a	TBR737 but homozygous sae3::KAN	
TBR1084	S3246 but homozygous sae3::KAN	
TBR1087	S3246 but homozygous hop2::ADE2 dmc1::KAN mei5::KAN sae3::URA3	
TBR1089 ^a	TBR737 but homozygous mei5::KAN	
TBR1098	S3246 but homozygous spo11::ADE2 MEI5-myc::KAN	
TBR1099	S3246 but homozygous hop2::ADE2 MEI5-myc::KAN	
TBR1136	S3246 but homozygous dmc1::URA3 MEI5-myc::KAN	
TBR1247	S3246 but homozygous sae3::URA3 MEI5-myc::KAN	
TBR1744	S3246 but homozygous SAE3-myc::KAN	
TBR1772	S3246 but homozygous spo11::ADE2 SAE3-myc::KAN	
TBR1773	S3246 but homozygous hop2::ADE2 SAE3-myc::KAN	
TBR1774	S3246 but homozygous dmc1::URA3 SAE3-myc::KAN	
TBR1775	S3246 but homozygous mei5::KAN SAE3-myc::KAN	
TBR1797 ^a	TBR737 but homozygous dmc1::URA3 sae3::KAN	
TBR1798 ^a	TBR737 but homozygous dmc1::URA3 mei5::KAN	

a These diploid strains consist of a *MAT***a** strain isogenic with BR1919-8B and a *MAT*_a strain congenic with BR1919-8B. The *MAT* α -bearing chromosomes in these strains are circular.

in meiotic recombination, a number of observations 1998; RABITSCH *et al.* 2001; GERTON and DERISI 2002; suggest that Rad51 plays a more critical role than Dmc1. Tsubouchi and Roeder 2002). Epistasis analysis indi-First, localization of Rad51 to chromosomes is not af-
cates that the Hop2/Mnd1 complex functions downfected in the absence of Dmc1, whereas localization stream of Dmc1 and is required for accurate homology of Dmc1 is severely reduced in the absence of Rad51 searching in the Dmc1-dependent pathway (Tsubouchi the *dmc1* mutant forms some viable spores (\sim 20%), but the *dmc1* null mutant defect in meiotic cell-cycle proessentially all spores are inviable in the *rad51* mutant gression varies in different yeast strain backgrounds. In suppresses the *dmc1* defects in crossing over and viable meiotic prophase (Bishop *et al.* 1992); however, in a spore production (Tsubouchi and Roeder 2003). BR2495 strain background, the *dmc1* mutant undergoes

dent pathway; Tsubouchi and Roeder 2003). Interest- In the same way that Rad51 requires Rad52, Rad55,

(BISHOP 1994). Second, in a certain strain background, and ROEDER 2003). Previous studies have shown that (Rockmill *et al.* 1995b). Third, overproduction of Rad51 an SK1 strain background, the *dmc1* mutant arrests in A recent study suggests that the early steps in meiotic meiotic nuclear division after a delay (ROCKMILL *et al.*) recombination can proceed through two different path- 1995b). This difference between strains could be due ways. One pathway relies on Rad51, but not Dmc1 (re- to different levels of Rad51 protein and/or differences ferred to as the Rad51-only pathway); the other utilizes in the relative activity of the Rad51-only pathway of both Dmc1 and Rad51 (referred to as the Dmc1-depen- meiotic recombination (Tsubouchi and Roeder 2003).

ingly, the Dmc1-dependent pathway requires at least and Rad57 for efficient strand exchange, Dmc1 might two proteins that are not involved in the Rad51-only require accessory factors. Since Dmc1 is produced only pathway. These are Hop2 and Mnd1, two meiosis-spe- in meiotic cells, its accessory factors might also be meiocific proteins that interact with each other (Leu *et al.* sis specific. Two candidate genes that might encode

FIGURE 1.-Sporulation and spore viability in *mei5*, *sae3*, and *dmc1* strains carrying a *hop2* mutation or overproducing Rad51. (A and C) Cells were sporulated at 30 for $2(A)$ or $3(C)$ days; spore formation was measured as described in MATErials and methods. Error bars represent standard deviations. (B and D) To measure spore viability, 44 tetrads were dissected for each strain. Strains analyzed in A and B are wild type (WT, S3246), *dmc1* (TBR869), *hop2* (TBR310), *mei5* (TBR751), *sae3* (TBR1039), *hop2 dmc1* (TBR885), *hop2 mei5* (TBR-860), *hop2 sae3* (TBR1044), *dmc1 mei5 sae3* (TBR1053), and *hop2 dmc1 mei5 sae3* (TBR1087). Strains analyzed in C and D carry the multicopy vector YEpFAT4 containing either no insert or *RAD51*; only those carrying a vector with *RAD51* are indicated as $+$ Rad51-OP. OP, overproduction. Plasmidbearing strains were derived

 \sim 10% of the wild-type level (MCKEE and KLECKNER the PCR-mediated method of LONGTINE *et al.* (1998).

are summarized in Table 1. Strains are isogenic with the hap-
Interesulting cDNA was amplified using the above primer and
Ioid strain BR1919-8B (ROCKMILL and ROEDER 1990), unless
the following primer: ATGAACTATTTGGAAACACAG loid strain BR1919-8B (ROCKMILL and ROEDER 1990), unless the following primer: ATGAACTATTTGGAAACACAGTTA.

otherwise stated. Isogenic derivatives of S3246 were con-**Measuring sporulation:** From single colonies, patches were structed by mating appropriate haploids; isogenic haploids

Dmc1 accessory factors are *MEI5* and *SAE3*. In the SK1 The following constructs were described previously: YEpFAT4-

RAD51 (TSUBOUCHI and ROEDER 2003) and plasmids for instrain background, the *mei*5 mutant fails to undergo

efficient nuclear division despite an apparently normal

premeiotic S phase (RABITSCH *et al.* 2001). This pheno-

premeiotic S phase (RABITSCH *et al.* 2001). This ph type is one of the hallmarks of mutants defective in the gene disruption (Longtine *et al.* 1998). The *kanMX* cassette
Dmc1-dependent recombination pathway (BISHOP *et al.* was used to replace the entire open reading fram Dmc1-dependent recombination pathway (BISHOP *et al.* was used to replace the entire open reading frame (ORF) of *et al. et al.* 1992). The *sae3* mutant also arrests at meiotic prophase
in SK1 strains. Meiotic DSBs become hyperresected, and
the production of mature recombinants is reduced to
or the myc epitope were integrated at the 3'-end of the gene and at the 3'-end of the newly annotated *SAE3* ORF by

1997). Recently, the *SAE3* gene was proposed to have **Cytology:** Meiotic chromosomes were surface spread, and an intron and to encode a protein homologous to the
fission yeast Swi5 protein (AKAMATSU *et al.* 2003). Here,
Red1 antibodies were used at 1:500 dilution (SMITH and we present genetic and cytological evidence indicating ROEDER 1997). Rabbit anti-Rad51 and anti-Dmc1 antibodies that the Mei5 and Sae3 proteins act in the Dmc1-depen- were used at 1:500 dilution (Shinohara *et al.* 1992; Bishop dent pathway of meiotic recombination. 1994). Rabbit anti-Zip1 antibodies were used at 1:100 dilution (Sym *et al.* 1993). Mouse anti-myc antibody was used at 1:100 dilution (Covance).

MATERIALS AND METHODS **RT-PCR:** RT-PCR was done as described previously (Tsu-BOUCHI and ROEDER 2002). RNA was reverse transcribed using **Yeast strains and plasmids:** Yeast strains used in this study the following primer: TTAGTCCTTCATTGAATAACCGAT.

otherwise stated. Isogenic derivatives of S3246 were con- **Measuring sporulation:** From single colonies, patches were were generated by transformation and/or by genetic crosses. and incubated at 30° overnight. The plates were replica plated BR1919-8B strains carrying *sae3::URA3* were obtained from J. onto sporulation medium and incubated at 30 for 2 or 3 Novak (Yale University, New Haven, CT). days. For each strain, spore formation was measured in three

formed. For example, for chromosome spreads stained for both Mei5 and Dmc1, Mei5 foci were divided into two groups: those colocalizing with Dmc1 and those not colocalizing; simi-

larly, the numbers of Mei5 foci colocalizing or not colocalizing

with Rad51 were determined. Using these two sets of numbers,

a chi-square value was calcula ability (*P*-value) was obtained. Using the same method, the fraction of Dmc1-foci containing Mei5 was compared to the

provides further evidence that Mei5 and Saes are in-
ize the mei5 and see3 mutants the MEI5 and SAE3 genes volved in the Dmc1-dependent recombination pathway. ize the mei⁵ and sae³ mutants, the MEI5 and SAE3 genes
were deleted in the BR1919-8B strain background, in
which the dmcl mutant sporulates with reduced efficiency
(TSUBOUCHI and ROEDER 2003). Both the mei⁵ mutant
m and the *sae3* mutant exhibit levels of sporulation and compare mei^2 with $dmcl$ and sae^3 (BISHOP *et al.* 1992; spore viability similar to $dmcl$ (Figure 1, A and B). The $dmcl$ method KLECKNER 1997), crossing over was ass of sporulation and spore viability as each single mutant circular copy of chromosome III. A single crossover
(Figure 1. A and B), strongly suggesting that the affected between one linear and one circular chromatid results (Figure 1, A and B), strongly suggesting that the affected

The *hop2* mutant shows complete cell-cycle arrest in

FIGURE 2.—Crossing over is reduced in *dmc1*, *mei5*, and *sae3* mutants. (A) Diploids containing one circular and one linear copy of chromosome III were introduced into sporulation medium and samples were harvested at the time points indicated. Genomic DNA was subjected to pulsed-field gel electrophoresis followed by Southern blot analysis, hybridizing with a probe containing the *THR4* gene on chromosome III (Chua and Roeder 1998; Agarwal and ROEDER 2000). The positions of linear monomers, dimers, and trimers and of molecules that have sustained one or more DSBs are indicated to the right of the gel. (B) Quantitative analysis of physical recombinants. To calculate the percentage of DNA in crossover products (percentage of recombinants), the sum of signals for dimer and trimer molecules was divided by the sum of signals for monomers, dimers, and trimers (plus DSBs if applicable) for each lane. Strains analyzed are wild type (WT, TBR737), *dmc1* (TBR1028), *sae3* (TBR1063), *mei5* (TBR1089), *dmc1 sae3* (TBR1797), and *dmc1 mei5* (TBR1798).

independent experiments, with at least 300 cells scored in
each experiment.
Statistics: To compare the colocalization of Mei5 (or Sae3)
with Dmc1 vs. Rad51, contingency chi-square tests were per-
formed. For example, for both Mei5 and Dmc1, Mei5 foci were divided into two groups: (Figure 1, A and B). Thus, it is likely that Mei5, Sae3, those colocalizing with Dmc1 and those not colocalizing; simi-
and Dmc1 act in the same recombination pat

fraction of Dmc1 foci containing Mei5 was compared to the that their defects in sporulation and viable spore pro-
fraction of Rad51 foci containing Mei5. The same methods were used to assess overlap between Sae3 and Dmc1/R improves spore formation and viability in the *mei5* and RESULTS *sae3* mutants to an extent similar to that seen in the **dmc1** mutant (Figure 1, C and D). This observation **Genetic analysis places** *MEI5* **and** *SAE3* **in the same** *dmc1* mutant (Figure 1, C and D). This observation **provides** further evidence that Mei5 and Sae3 are in-

gene products work in the same pathway. in production of a linear dimer. A double crossover
The *hob2* mutant shows complete cell-cycle arrest in involving one linear chromatid and both chromatids of the BR1919-8B background (TSUBOUCHI and ROEDER the circular chromosome generates a linear trimer. The 2002). The *dmc1 hop2* double mutant sporulates to the linear monomers, dimers, and trimers can be separated same extent as *dmc1*, indicating that *dmc1* is epistatic to by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (circular chromo*hop2* (Figure 1A; Tsubouchi and Roeder 2003). The somes do not enter the gel). In wild type, after 60 hr *mei5 hop2* and *sae3 hop2* double mutants show sporula- of sporulation, $\sim 80\%$ of total DNA entering the gel is

B

CACTTTGCATTATCACATCAGACGGAATTGTTCTGCCTAATGGCGGCTATTCTATTTCTG -91 CTTCATAAATGCAAAGACAGAGTATAAGAATAATTTTAAATTAAAAGCAATGGTCTTATC -31 30 M N Y L E T O L N K 10 AAGCAAAAACAGAATACAGGAATACGAAAGTATGAATGGCAACCTGATAAAGATATTTGAG 90 K O K O I O E Y E S M N G N L I K I F E 30 CAATTGTCTAAAGAAAAGAAAAGTATGTAGCTATTTTTCCAGTCGGCAAAAATCGGTA 150 38 O L S K E K K N TAACAAACAAAAAATATTTAGTTTCTGTTATTAACAGAACTTGTCAAAGTGATGAGACAC 210 D R T \overline{D} 42 CAAAAAAAATTTCCTCGACGTACATTAAAGAGTTAAAGGAGTACAACGAATTGAGAGATG 270 K K I S S T Y I K E L K E Y N E L R D A 62 ${\tt CGGGTTTAAGGTTGGCCCAAATAATTGCTGATGAAAAGCAATGCAAATTAAGGATGTTT}$ 330 G L R L A Q I I A D E K Q C K I K D V F 82 TTGAAGAGATCGGTTATTCAATGAAGGACTAATGGGCTTTTAGGGACAGTTCTATTCTTC 390 E I G Y S M $\,$ K D \star 91 C cSAE3 113 200 362 153 147 200 362

the band representing linear monomers is accompanied cells was reverse transcribed, and the resultant cDNA was by a substantial amount of DNA migrating faster than amplified by PCR using primers flanking any potential the intact monomer (Figure 2, A and B). These frag- introns. The DNA amplified from cDNA was found to ments of lower molecular weight represent molecules be smaller than the DNA amplified from genomic DNA, resulting from double-strand breakage (Rockmill *et al*. indicating that the mRNA is indeed spliced (Figure 3A). 1995a), and their persistence indicates that the mutants The sequence of the cDNA revealed a new ORF for the are defective in DSB repair. Furthermore, the mutants *SAE3* gene (Figure 3, B and C), with a different 5' splice produce a smaller amount of linear dimers and trimers junction from the one predicted previously (Akamatsu compared to wild type, and these do not appear until *et al.* 2003). The intron contains a well-conserved 5 much later than their wild-type counterparts (Figure 2, splice site and a 3' splice site that is used relatively A and B). The timing and extent of crossing over are infrequently (Figure 3B; RYMOND and ROSBASH 1992). similar in the *mei*⁵, *sae*³, and *dmc1* mutants. However, no sequence matching the conserved branch-

shown in Figure 1, the *dmc1 sae3* and *dmc1 mei*5 double 1992) is present. Instead, two closely related sequences mutants show essentially the same phenotype as each are found (Figure 3B). In the first (TATAACA), the single mutant with respect to the extent and kinetics of third C in the consensus sequence is missing. In the crossover formation (Figure 2, A and B). These data second (TATTAACA), the third C in the consensus sefurther support the conclusion that Dmc1, Mei5, and quence is replaced with T. In summary, the *SAE3* gene

The *SAE3* gene contains an intron: Recently, the *SAE3* a protein of 91 amino acids (Figure 3B). gene was proposed to have an intron and to encode a **The Mei5 and Sae3 proteins localize to chromosomes** member of a family of proteins homologous to the fis- **as foci in a** *SPO11***-dependent manner:** To determine sion yeast Swi5 protein (Akamatsu *et al.* 2003). To con- the *in vivo* localization of Mei5 and Sae3, the proteins

Figure 3.—The *SAE3* gene has an intron. (A) Genomic and cDNA derived from meiotic mRNA were amplified by PCR with primers and analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. (B) DNA sequence and encoded amino acid sequence of *SAE3*. Consensus splicing signals are boxed. Italicized boxed sequences indicate potential branchpoint sequences (see text). A URS1 consensus sequence is underlined. Primers used for PCR amplification are shown with arrows. Compared to the sequence of strain S288c published in the Saccharomyces Genome Database (http://www.yeastgenome.org), the SK1 strain from which *SAE3* cDNA was derived has a polymorphism at the 83rd nucleotide—A (italicized in boldface type) instead of G. The single nucleotide substitution changes the 28th amino acid from M to I (italicized in boldface type). Numbering starts at the first nucleotide of the first codon for the DNA sequence and the first amino acid for the amino acid sequence. (C) Structure of *SAE3* ORFs. The structure of the cDNA found in this study is shown on top. Structure 1 was proposed by McKEE and KLECKner (1997). Structure 2 was proposed by Akamatsu *et al.* (2003).

recombinant (Figure 2, A and B). In all three mutants, firm that *SAE3* pre-mRNA is spliced, mRNA from meiotic Consistent with the spore formation and viability data point sequence (TACTAACA; RYMOND and ROSBASH Sae3 act in the same pathway. contains an intron 86 nucleotides in length and encodes

FIGURE 4.—Localization of Mei5 to meiotic chromosomes. FIGURE 5.—Localization of Sae3 to meiotic chromosomes.
(A) Meiotic chromosomes from wild-type cells producing myc-
(A) Meiotic chromosomes from wild-type cells produci (A) Meiotic chromosomes from wild-type cells producing myc-
tagged Mei5 (TBR821) were stained with anti-myc and anti-
tagged Sae3 (TBR1744) were stained with anti-myc and anti-Zip1 antibodies and with the DNA-binding dye 4',6'-dia-
midino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). (B) Nuclei from *spo11* cells roaducing myc-tagged Mei5 (TBR1779) were stained with antimidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). (B) Nuclei from *spo11* cells producing myc-tagged Mei5 (TBR1772) were stained with anti-
producing myc-tagged Mei5 (TBR1098) were stained with anti-
myc and anti-Red1 antibodies and with DAPI myc and anti-Red1 antibodies and with DAPI. Bars, $4 \mu m$.

in spread meiotic nuclei. Diploid strains in which both is a component of the cores of meiotic chromosomes. copies of the *MEI5* (or *SAE3*) gene have been replaced Unlike Zip1, Red1 localizes to chromosomes indepenby *MEI5-myc* (or *SAE3-myc*) display wild-type levels of dently of meiotic recombination (SMITH and ROEDER spore viability (data not shown), indicating that the 1997). Like Rad51 and Dmc1 (Bishop 1994; Gasior tagged proteins are functional (data not shown). Cells *et al.* 1998), little or no Mei5 or Sae3 is present on at different stages of meiotic prophase were identified chromosomes in the *spo11* mutant (Figures 4B and 5B). on the basis of the staining pattern of the Zip1 protein, The residual Mei5/Sae3 foci observed in *spo11* are at which is a major component of the synaptonemal com-
least five times less intense than those seen in wild type. plex and thus serves as a marker for chromosome synap- These faint foci may reflect nonspecific sticking of the sis (Sym *et al.* 1993). The Mei5 and Sae3 proteins are Mei5 and Sae3 proteins to chromosomes. Alternatively, present as numerous foci on chromosomes at leptotene Mei5 and Sae3 might localize weakly to chromosomes (dotty Zip1 staining) and zygotene (dotty plus linear even in the absence of DSBs. Taken together, these Zip1 staining), but these foci largely disappear by pachy- results are consistent with direct roles for Mei5 and Sae3 tene (linear Zip1; Figures 4A and 5A). This localization in meiotic recombination. pattern is similar to that seen for Rad51 and Dmc1 **Localization of the Mei5, Sae3, and Dmc1 proteins**

tagged Sae3 (TBR1744) were stained with anti-myc and antimyc and anti-Red1 antibodies and with DAPI. Bars, $4 \mu m$.

identify cells at prophase I, chromosomes were simultanewere tagged with the myc epitope and immunolocalized ously stained with antibodies to the Red1 protein, which

(Bishop 1994). **to chromosomes is mutually dependent:** The kinetics of To test if Mei5 and Sae3 localization to chromosomes foci formation by Mei5, Sae3, Rad51, and Dmc1 are requires the initiation of meiotic recombination, these similar, raising the possibility that these proteins work proteins were immunolocalized in the *spo11* mutant. To together in the meiotic recombination pathway. How-

Figure 6.—Co-immunostaining of Mei5 with Rad51 or Dmc1. Meiotic chromosomes from (A) wild type producing myc-tagged Mei5 (TBR821) or (B) the *hop2* mutant producing myc-tagged Mei5 (TBR1099) were stained with anti-myc and either anti-Rad51 or anti-Dmc1 antibodies and with DAPI. Bar, $4 \mu m$.

foci do not completely overlap (Dresser *et al.* 1997; mosome spreads. This staining may reflect nonspecific Shinohara *et al.* 2000). To examine if Mei5 and Sae3 background staining or a low level of localization for associate with one or both of these RecA homologs, co- each protein in the absence of its partners. immunostaining of Dmc1 and either Mei5 or Sae3 and Previous studies showed that the absence of Dmc1 of Rad51 and either Mei5 or Sae3 was carried out. does not affect the localization of Rad51 (Bishop 1994).

with both Dmc1 and Rad51 (Figures 6A and 7A). How- and *sae3* mutants. As expected, Rad51 still forms foci ever, the extent of overlap is much greater for Dmc1 in these mutants (Figure 9). ever, the extent of overlap is much greater for Dmc1 than for Rad51 (Table 2). This trend is most pronounced in the *hop2* mutant background, in which all DISCUSSION four proteins accumulate on chromosomes (Figures 6B and 7B; Table 2). **The Mei5 and Sae3 proteins work in the Dmc1-depen-**

ished in the *dmc1* mutant (Figure 8A). Similarly, forma- and cytological evidence that the Mei5 and Sae3 protion of Dmc1 and Sae3 foci is nearly eliminated in the teins work at the same step as Dmc1 in the Dmc1-depen*mei5* mutant (Figure 8B), and formation of Dmc1 and dent meiotic recombination pathway. First, mutations Mei5 foci is abolished in the *sae3* mutant (Figure 8C). in all three genes reduce sporulation and spore viability Thus, localization of Mei5, Sae3, and Dmc1 to chromo- to the same extent. Second, like *dmc1*, *mei5* and *sae3* somes is mutually dependent. In each case, some resid- mutations suppress cell-cycle arrest in the *hop2* mutant.

ever, previous studies showed that Rad51 and Dmc1 ual staining of low signal intensity is observed on chro-

Both Mei5 and Sae3 show extensive colocalization The localization of Rad51 was tested in the *dmc1*, *mei5*,

The formation of Mei5 and Sae3 foci is largely abol- **dent recombination pathway:** Here, we provide genetic

Third, Rad51 overproduction suppresses the *mei5* and (Bishop *et al.* 1992). Furthermore, recombination inter*sae3* defects in sporulation and spore viability, as is the mediates such as single-end invasions and double Hollicase for *dmc1*. Fourth, physical analysis of recombination day junctions are completely absent in *dmc1* SK1 strains intermediates and products indicates that *mei5*, sae3, (SCHWACHA and KLECKNER 1995; HUNTER and KLECKand *dmc1* reduce meiotic DSB repair and crossing over NER 2001). to a similar extent, and recombination is reduced to Early studies of Dmc1 protein purified from yeast and the same degree in the *dmc1 mei5* and *dmc1 sae3* double human cells failed to detect the strong strand-exchange mutants. Fifth, the Mei5 and Sae3 proteins are found activity characteristic of Rad51 (Li *et al.* 1997; Masson together with Dmc1 as many foci on chromosomes dur- *et al.* 1999; Hong *et al.* 2001). Furthermore, the human ing prophase I, with the number increasing from lepto- Dmc1 protein was originally reported to form stacked tene through zytotene and then disappearing through ring structures on DNA (Masson *et al.* 1999; Passy *et al.* pachytene. Furthermore, the localization of Mei5, Sae3, 1999) instead of the helical nucleoprotein filaments

to Dmc1: It seems likely that Dmc1 is directly involved ever, human Dmc1 was found to have strong strandin homology searching and strand exchange in meiotic exchange activity *in vitro*, although this activity is highly recombination for the following reasons. First, Dmc1 is sensitive to salt concentration and pH (Sehorn *et al.* a homolog of the bacterial RecA protein (Bishop *et al.* 2004). Furthermore, under conditions conducive to strand 1992). Second, the *dmc1* mutant is strongly defective in exchange *in vitro*, human Dmc1 forms helical nucleomeiotic recombination. In the SK1 strain background, protein filaments similar in appearance to those formed there is robust accumulation of hyperresected DSBs by Rad51 (Sehorn *et al.* 2004).

and Dmc1 to chromosomes is mutually dependent. reported for RecA and Rad51 (DUNN *et al.* 1982; FLORY **The Mei5 and Sae3 proteins may be accessory factors** and RADDING 1982; OGAWA *et al.* 1993). Recently, how-

TABLE 2 Colocalization of foci

For each pair of proteins, there are two rows of numbers, with the first row indicating the percentage of foci of protein X (Mei5 or Sae3) that overlaps with foci of protein Y (Dmc1 or Rad51); the second row indicates the percentage of foci of protein Y that overlaps with protein X. *n* indicates the total number of foci of protein X (first row for each protein) or Y (second row for each protein) that were scored; the number of nuclei examined ranged from 8 to 15. The *P*-value indicates the probability that the frequency of overlap between Rad51 and Mei5 (or Sae3) is the same as the frequency of overlap between Dmc1 and Mei5 (or Sae3). The method used to calculate *P*-values is described in MATERIALS AND METHODS.

observations strongly suggest that Mei5 and Sae3 act in of Mei5 and Sae3 to chromosomes is dependent on the conjunction with Dmc1 as components of the recombi- initiation of meiotic recombination. Third, Mei5 and

Like Rad51, Dmc1 may require special cofactors for nation machinery. First, the Mei5 and Sae3 proteins maximal filament formation and strand-exchange activ-
 \qquad localize to chromosomes as foci, and the timing of apity *in vivo*. The Mei5 and Sae3 proteins are obvious pearance and disappearance of these foci is consistent candidates for these cofactors, since Dmc1 cannot local- with the appearance and disappearance of DSBs (Cao ize to chromosomes in their absence. The following *et al.* 1990; PADMORE *et al.* 1991). Second, localization

Figure 8.—Chromosomal localization of Mei5, Dmc1, and Sae3 is mutually dependent. (A) Nuclei from a *dmc1* mutant producing either myc-tagged Mei5 (TBR1136) or myc-tagged Sae3 (TBR1774) were stained with anti-myc and anti-Red1 antibodies. (B) Nuclei from a *mei5* mutant (TBR751) and a *mei5* mutant producing myc-tagged Sae3 (TBR1775) were stained with anti-Dmc1 or anti-myc and anti-Red1 antibodies. (C) Nuclei from the *sae3* mutant producing myc-tagged Mei5 (TBR1247) were stained with anti-Red1 and either anti-Dmc1 or anti-myc antibodies. Bar, 4μ m.

(TBR869), (B) $mei5$ (TBR751), and (C) $sae3$ (TBR1039) mu-

localization on chromosomes, they are not required for may also be active in the synaptic phase where it has Rad51 localization, suggesting that they are factors spe-
Rad51 localization, suggesting that they are factors sp Rad51 localization, suggesting that they are factors spe-
cific for Dmc1. On the other hand, Rad51 is necessary
the ability to actively scan duplex DNA for homology for wild-type levels of localization of Dmc1, Mei5, and (PETUKHOVA *et al.* 1998, 2000). Sae3 to chromosomes (BISHOP *et al.* 1992; our unpub-

It is likely that at least some Rad51 accessory factors

It is likely that at least some Rad51 accessory factors

It is likely that at least some Rad51 accessory facto lished data). One possibility for this Rad51 dependency are also involved in Dmc1-mediated strand exchange *in* is that certain recombination intermediates created by *vivo*. Indeed, strand exchange *in vitro* mediated by the Sae3, and Mei5 proteins onto chromosomes. Alterna-

enhanced in the presence of Rad54B (SEHORN *et al.*) tively, a protein-protein interaction between Rad51 and 2004). Mei5 and/or Sae3 may substitute for certain these proteins might be necessary for their chromo- Rad51 accessory factors, or these meiotic proteins may somal localization. function in a unique capacity. Perhaps a nucleoprotein

filament, called the presynaptic filament. Next is the synaptic phase during which duplex DNA is incorporated into the filament and sampled for homology. Once homology is found, alignment between the duplex and the single strand becomes stabilized. The final step is strand exchange during which the complementary strand from the duplex is progressively taken up into the filament.

At what stage might Mei5 and Sae3 act, assuming that strand exchange by Rad51 and Dmc1 are mechanistically related? Our genetic results suggest that the Mei5 and Sae3 proteins, just like Dmc1, act upstream of the step that involves Hop2/Mnd1. The Mei5, Sae3, and Dmc1 proteins accumulate excessively in the *hop2* mutant. These phenotypes argue that Mei5 and Sae3 act together with Dmc1 before the strand-exchange step in the recombination reaction. The Hop2/Mnd1 complex is proposed to promote accurate homology searching in the Dmc1-dependent recombination pathway (Tsu-BOUCHI and ROEDER 2003). In the absence of either Hop2 or Mnd1, interactions between nonhomologous sequences become inappropriately stabilized and nucleate synaptonemal complex formation. Taken together, these results suggest that Mei5 and Sae3 act either in the formation of presynaptic filaments or in the synaptic phase of homology searching.

A number of proteins are known to be involved in the assembly of presynaptic filaments involving Rad51, FIGURE 9.—Rad51 localizes to chromosomes in the *dmc1*,
mei5, and sae3 mutants. Meiotic chromosomes from (A) *dmc1* and HABER 1999; SYMINGTON 2002; SUNG *et al.* 2003).
(TBR869) (B) mei5 (TBR751) and (C) sae3 (TBR1039) mu tants were stained with anti-Rad51 and anti-Red1 antibodies that plays a role in removing secondary structure from and also with DAPI. Bar, $4 \mu m$.
single-stranded DNA. Interestingly, RPA competes with single-stranded DNA. Interestingly, RPA competes with Rad51 for binding DNA; when single-stranded DNA is covered with RPA before Rad51, assembly of the Rad51 presynaptic filament is hindered (Sugiyama *et al.* 1997; Sae3 foci significantly overlap with Dmc1 foci. Fourth,
the localization of Mei5, Sae3, and Dmc1 to chromo-
plex promote nucleation of Rad51 by overcoming the the localization of Mei5, Sae3, and Dmc1 to chromo-
somes is mutually dependent. We propose that the Mei⁵ inhibitory effect of RPA (Sung *et al.* 2003; WOLNER *et*
and Sae3 proteins are necessary for efficient filament and Saes proteins are necessary for efficient filament al. 2003). Rad54 is a nonessential component of the
formation and/or strand exchange mediated by Dmc1.
Although both Mei5 and Sae3 are necessary for Dmc1 are more stab the ability to actively scan duplex DNA for homology

human Dmc1 protein absolutely requires RPA and is **How might Mei5 and Sae3 promote Dmc1-mediated** complex consisting of Dmc1, Mei5, and Sae3 is particu**strand exchange?** Rad51-mediated strand exchange oc-
larly well equipped to promote strand exchange in the curs in a number of steps (Sung *et al.* 2003). First, Rad51 context of meiosis-specific chromosome structure. Both assembles on single-stranded DNA to form a helical Rad51 and Dmc1 appear to act at most DSB sites, raising the possibility that Mei5 and Sae3 play a role in coordination activities of HsDmc1 protein, the meiotic human homolog of RecA protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94: 11221-11226.

ing the activities of these two recombina (a Sae3 homolog) has been shown to interact with Rph51 cal PCR-based gene deletion and modification in Saccharomyces

(fission yeast Rad51; AKAMATSU et al. 2003). If budding MASSON, J.Y., A. A. DAVIES, N. HAJIBAGHERI, E. V

We thank Douglas Bishop, Janet Novak, and Akira Shinohara for McKee, A. H. Z., and N. KLECKNER, 1997 Mutations in *Saccharomyces*

coviding veast strains and antibodies. We are grateful to Neal Mitra *cerevisiae* that bloc providing yeast strains and antibodies. We are grateful to Neal Mitra *cerevisiae* that block meiotic prophase chromosome metabolism and Confer cell cycle arrest at pachytene identify two new meiosis-
thanks Tomomi Tsubouchi for critical reading of an early version of
the manuscript. This work was supported by the Howard Hughes
Medical Institute.
Medica

- AGARWAL, S., and G. S. ROEDER, 2000 Zip3 provides a link between
recombination enzymes and synaptonemal complex proteins.
Cell 102: 245–255.
AKAMATSU, Y., D. DZIADKOWIEC, M. IKEGUCHI, H. SHINAGAWA and
IL INCOLLY AND THE RA
-
-
- H. IWASAKI, 2003 Two different Swi5-containing protein combination

plexes are involved in mating-type switching and reconbination

repair in fission years in Son years. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100: 15770-

Human Dmc 1
-
- tion. Genetics **113:** 551–567.

CAO, L., E. ALANI and N. KLECKNER, 1990 A pathway for generation

and processing of double-strand breaks during meiotic recombi-
 ROCKNILL, B., and G. S. ROEDER, 1990 Meiosis in asynaptic
- CHUA, P. R., and G. S. ROEDER, 1998 Zip2, a meiosis-specific protein
required for the initiation of chromosome synapsis. Cell 93: 349-
ROCKMILL, B., M. SYM, H. SCHERTHAN and G. S. ROEDER, 1995b
-
-
- extension of duplex DNA. Cell 28: 757–765.

FLORY, J., and C. M. RADDING, 1982 Visualization of recA protein

and its association with DNA: a priming effect of single-strand-

binding protein. Cell 28: 747–756.

GASIOR, S.
- IOR, S. L., A. K. WONG, Y. KORA, A. SHINOHARA and D. K. BISHOP, SCHWACHA, A., and N. KLECKNER, 1995 Identification of double
1998 Rad52 associates with RPA and functions with Rad55 and Holliday junctions as intermediates i 1998 Rad52 associates with RPA and functions with Rad55 and Holliday junctions as intermediates in meiotic recombination.

Rad57 to assemble meiotic recombination complexes. Genes Dev. Cell 83: 783–791.

SCHWACHA, A., and
- GERTON, J. L., and J. L. DERISI, 2002 Mndlp: an evolutionarily

conserved protein required for meiotic recombination. Proc. Natl.

Acad. Sci. USA 99: 6895–6900.

Proc. Natl.

SEHORN. M. G. S. SIGURDSSON. W. BUSSEN. V. M. U
- DNA (ssDNA) and assimilation of ssDNA into homologous super-
coiled duplex DNA. J. Biol. Chem. **276:** 41906–41912. SHINOHA
- asymmetric intermediate at the double-strand break to double-Holliday junction transition of meiotic recombination. Cell 106: Holliday junction transition of meiotic recombination. Cell 106: SHINOHARA, M., S. L. GASIOR, D. K. BISHOP and A. SHINOHARA, 2000
Tid / Rdh54 promotes colocalization of Rad51 and Dmc1 during
- initiation. Curr. Biol. **52:** 1–53.
IO819. 10819. 10819. 10819. 10819. 10819. 1097 The veast Red1 protein local-
I.Y., P. R. CHUA and G. S. ROEDER, 1998 The meiosis-specific SMITH, A. V., and G. S. ROEDER, 1997 The veast R
- Hop2 protein of *S. cerevisiae* ensures synapsis between homolo- izes of meiotic chromosomes. Cell 94: 375–386 gous chromosomes. Cell 94: 375-386.
- Li, Z., E. I. Golub, R. Gupta and C. M. Radding, 1997 Recombina- Sugiyama, T., E. M. Zaitseva and S. C. Koalczykowski, 1997 A

- WACH et al., 1998 Additional modules for versatile and economical PCR-based gene deletion and modification in Saccharomyces
- BENSON *et al.*, 1999 The meiosis-specific recombinase hDmc1 forms ring structures and interacts with hRad51. EMBO J. 18: forms ring structures and interacts with hRad51. EMBO J. 18:
6552–6560.
We thank Douglas Bishop. Janet Novak, and Akira Shinohara for McKEE, A. H. Z., and N. KLECKNER, 1997 Mutations in Saccharomyces
	-
	-
	- OGAWA, T., X. YU, A. SHINOHARA and E. H. EGELMAN, 1993 Similarity of the yeast Rad51 filament to the bacterial RecA filament. Science **259:** 1896–1899.
	- LITERATURE CITED PADMORE, R., L. CAO and N. KLECKNER, 1991 Temporal comparison
of recombination and synaptonemal complex formation during
		-
		-
		-
		-
		-
		-
	- and processing of double-strand breaks during meiotic recombi-

	ROCKMILL, B., J. ENGEBRECHT, H. SCHERTHAN, J. LOIDL and G. S.

	ROEDER, 1995a The veast MER2 gene is required for meiotic
- 359.

Roles for two RecA homologs in promoting meiotic chromosome

al., 1997 DMCI functions in a meiotic pathway that is largely

al., 1997 DMCI functions in a meiotic pathway that is largely

BERNA ROSEASH 1999 Veast pre-
- al., 1997 DMCI functions in a meiotic pathway that is largely
independent of the RAD51 pathway. Genetics 147: 533–544.
DUNN, K., S. CHRYSOGELOS and J. GRIFFITH, 1982 Electron micro-
scopic visualization of recA-DNA filamen
	-
	-
	-
- SEHORN, M. G., S. SIGURDSSON, W. BUSSEN, V. M. UNGER and P. Hong, E. L., A. Shinohara and D. K. Bishop, 2001 *Saccharomyces* Sung, 2004 Human meiotic recombinase Dmc1 promotes ATP*cerevisiae* Dmc1 protein promotes renaturation of single-strand dependent homologous DNA strand exchange. Nature **429:** 433–
- SHINOHARA, A., H. OGAWA and T. OGAWA, 1992 Rad51 protein HUNTER, N., and N. KLECKNER, 2001 The single-end invasion: an involved in repair and recombination in *S. cerevisiae* is a *RecA*-like asymmetric intermediate at the double-strand break to double-
- 59–70. Tid1/Rdh54 promotes colocalization of Rad51 and Dmc1 during meiotic recombination. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **97:** 10814–10819.
- LEU, J.-Y., P. R. CHUA and G. S. ROEDER, 1998 The meiosis-specific SMITH, A. V., and G. S. ROEDER, 1997 The yeast Red1 protein local-
Hop2 protein of S. cerevisiae ensures synapsis between homolo-izes to the cores of meiot
	-

single-stranded DNA-binding protein is needed for efficient pre-
synaptic complex formation by the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Rad51 Cell **72:** 365–378. synaptic complex formation by the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Rad51 protein. J. Biol. Chem. 272: 7940–7945.

- Sun, H., D. Treco and J. W. Szostak, 1991 Extensive 3'-overhang- homologous recombination and dou
ing, single-stranded DNA associated with the meiosis-specific dou-
crobiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 66: 630–670. ing, single-stranded DNA associated with the meiosis-specific dou- crobiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. **66:** 630–670. ble-strand breaks at the *ARG4* recombination initiation site. Cell **64:** 1155–1161.
- tween replication protein A and the Rad51 recombinase. J. Biol. Chem. 272: 28194–28197.
- that functions with replication protein A to promote DNA strand exchange by Rad51 recombinase. Genes Dev. 11: 1111–1121.
- recombinase and recombination mediators. J. Biol. Chem. 278: double-strand break in yeast. Mol. Cell 12: 221-232. 42729–42732.

SYM, M., J. ENGEBRECHT and G. S. ROEDER, 1993 Zip1 is a synaptone- Communicating editor: S. T. LOVETT

- SYMINGTON, L. S., 2002 Role of *RAD52* epistasis group genes in homologous recombination and double-strand break repair. Mi-
- **64:** 1155–1161.
 64: 1155–1161. a complex with Hop2 to promote homologous chromosome pair-
 64: Sunc, P., 1997a Function of yeast Rad52 protein as a mediator be-

ing and meiotic double-strand break repair. Mol. Cell. ing and meiotic double-strand break repair. Mol. Cell. Biol. **22:** $3078–3088$.
- Chem. **272:** 28194–28197.

SUNG, P., 1997b Yeast Rad55 and Rad57 proteins form a heterodimer

recombination for fidelity of chromosome pairing in meiosis. recombination for fidelity of chromosome pairing in meiosis.
Dev. Cell 5: 915-925.
- exchange by Rad51 recombinase. Genes Dev. **11:** 1111–1121. Wolner, B., S. van Komen, P. Sung and C. L. Peterson, 2003 Re-