Molecular Host-Pathogen Interaction in Brucellosis: Current Understanding and Future Approaches to Vaccine Development for Mice and Humans

Jinkyung Ko and Gary A. Splitter*

Laboratory of Cellular and Molecular Immunology, Department of Animal Health and Biomedical Sciences, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706

BRUCELLA PATHOGENICITY 66 Phagosomes, a Unique Niche for Brucella 66 Current Understanding of Brucella Pathogenesis 67 Lipopolysaccharide 67 Cyclic β(1-2) glucan 68 bacA. 68 bacA. 68 virB. 68 cydB. 68 purF and aroC 68 heat shock proteins. 68 hfq. 69 Sod 69 BRUCELLA IMMUNITY 69 Innate Immunity 69 Omplement 69 NK cells 69 NK cells 69 Nramp1 70 Adaptive Immunity 70 q G D4 ⁺ and CD8 ⁺ T cells 71 Vaccine Strategy 71 Live or dead vaccines 71 Subunit and DNA vaccines 73 Onvel Methods To Identify Brucella Virulence Factors 73 Novel Methods To Identify Brucella Virulence Factors 73 Introduction of Green Fluorester Protein-encoded Brucella Stra	INTRODUCTION	65
Current Understanding of Brucella Pathogenesis 67 Lipopolysaccharide 67 Cyclic β(1-2) glucan 68 bacA. 68 byrR-byrS. 68 eydB. 68 gydB. 68 purE and aroC. 68 hfq. 69 sod. 69 BRUCELLA IMMUNITY 69 Innate Immunity 69 Complement 69 Nk cells 69 Nk cells 69 Narophages 69 Nramp1 70 γδ T cells 71 B cells 71 Vaccines Strategy 71 Live or dead vaccines 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Proteomics. 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Proteomics. 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Proteomics. 73 In Vio Imaging System 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 <		
Lipopolysaccharide67Cyclic $\beta(1-2)$ glucan68bacA68bacA68wirB68virB68cydB68purE and aroC68Heat shock proteins68hfq.69sod69BRUCELLA IMMUNITY69Innate Immunity69Complement69Nc cells69Natorophages69Natorophages69Natorophages69Natorophages69Natorophages70 $\alpha\beta$ CD4 ⁺ and CD8 ⁺ T cells71Cytokines71Live or dead vaccines71Live or dead vaccines71FUTURE TRENDS73Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics73Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains73Novel Methods To Identify Brucella Virulence Factors73Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice73Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice73Cene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions73	Phagosomes, a Unique Niche for Brucella	66
Cyclic β(1-2) glucan		
bacA. 68 bvrR-bvrS. 68 virB. 68 cydB. 68 purE and aroC. 68 hfq. 68 bvrA-bvrS. 68 purE and aroC. 68 hfq. 69 sod. 69 brQCELLA IMMUNITY 69 complement 69 Complement 69 Neutrophils 69 Nk cells 69 Macrophages 69 NK cells 69 Namp1 70 γδ T cells 71 Cytokines. 71 Vaccine Strategy. 71 Live or dead vaccines 71 Subunit and DNA vaccines. 71 Subunit and DNA vaccines. 73 Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics. 73 Novel Methods To Identify Brucella Virulence Factors 73 Novel Methods To Identify Brucella Virulence Factors 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 7		
bvrR-bvrS 68 virB 68 cydB 68 purE and aroC 68 heat shock proteins 68 heat shock proteins 68 hfq 69 sod 69 BRUCELLA IMMUNITY 69 Complement 69 Complement 69 Nc cells 69 Nacrophages 69 Nramp1 70 Adaptive Immunity 70 Adaptive Immunity 70 γδ T cells 71 B cells 71 Vaccine Strategy. 71 Live or dead vaccines 71 Subunit and DNA vaccines 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains. 73 Novel Methods To Identify Brucella Virulence Factors 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73	Cyclic $\beta(1-2)$ glucan	68
virB.	bacA	68
cydB	bvrR-bvrS.	68
purE and aroC. 68 Heat shock proteins. 68 hfq. 69 sod. 69 BRUCELLA IMMUNITY. 69 Innate Immunity 69 Complement. 69 NK cells. 69 Macrophages. 69 Nramp1. 70 Adaptive Immunity 70 γδ T cells. 70 γδ T cells. 71 B cells. 71 Cytokines. 71 Vaccine Strategy. 71 Vaccine Strategy. 71 FUTURE TRENDS 73 Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics. 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains. 73 In Vivo Imaging System. 73 Gominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice. 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions. 73		
Heat shock proteins 68 hfq. 69 sod. 69 BRUCELLA IMMUNITY 69 Innate Immunity 69 Complement 69 Neutrophils 69 Macrophages 69 Nramp1 70 αβ CD4 ⁺ and CD8 ⁺ T cells 70 γδ T cells 71 B cells 71 Vaccine Strategy. 71 Vaccine Strategy. 71 FUTURE TRENDS 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions 73	<i>cydB</i>	68
hfq	purE and aroC.	68
sod 69 BRUCELLA IMMUNITY 69 Innate Immunity 69 Complement 69 Neutrophils 69 Nk cells 69 Macrophages 69 Nramp1 70 Adaptive Immunity 70 αβ CD4 ⁺ and CD8 ⁺ T cells 70 γδ T cells 71 B cells 71 Cytokines 71 Vaccine Strategy 71 Live or dead vaccines 71 FUTURE TRENDS 73 Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions 73	Heat shock proteins	68
BRUCELLA IMMUNITY 69 Innate Immunity 69 Complement 69 Neutrophils 69 NK cells 69 Macrophages 69 Nramp1 70 Adaptive Immunity 70 qβ CD4 ⁺ and CD8 ⁺ T cells 70 γδ T cells 71 B cells 71 Cytokines 71 Vaccine Strategy 71 Live or dead vaccines 71 Subunit and DNA vaccines 71 FUTURE TRENDS 73 Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions 73	hfq	69
Innate Immunity 69 Complement 69 Neutrophils 69 NK cells 69 Macrophages 69 Nramp1 70 Adaptive Immunity 70 $\alpha\beta$ CD4 ⁺ and CD8 ⁺ T cells 70 $\gamma\delta$ T cells 71 B cells 71 Cytokines 71 Vaccine Strategy 71 Live or dead vaccines 71 Subunit and DNA vaccines 73 Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions 73		
Complement 69 Neutrophils 69 NK cells 69 Macrophages 69 Maramp1 70 Adaptive Immunity 70 $\alpha\beta$ CD4 ⁺ and CD8 ⁺ T cells 70 $\gamma\delta$ T cells 71 B cells 71 Cytokines 71 Vaccine Strategy 71 Live or dead vaccines 71 Subunit and DNA vaccines. 71 FUTURE TRENDS 73 Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics. 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains 73 Novel Methods To Identify Brucella Virulence Factors 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions 73	BRUCELLA IMMUNITY	69
Neurophils 69 NK cells 69 Macrophages 69 Nramp1 70 Adaptive Immunity 70 $\alpha\beta$ CD4 ⁺ and CD8 ⁺ T cells 70 $\gamma\delta$ T cells 70 $\gamma\delta$ T cells 71 B cells 71 Cytokines 71 Vaccine Strategy 71 Live or dead vaccines 71 FUTURE TRENDS 73 Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions 73		
NK cells 69 Macrophages 69 Nramp1 70 Adaptive Immunity 70 $\alpha\beta$ CD4 ⁺ and CD8 ⁺ T cells 70 $\gamma\delta$ T cells 70 $\gamma\delta$ T cells 71 B cells 71 Cytokines 71 Vaccine Strategy 71 Live or dead vaccines 71 Subunit and DNA vaccines 73 Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics. 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains. 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions. 73	Complement	69
Macrophages	Neutrophils	69
Nramp1	NK cells	69
Adaptive Immunity 70 $\alpha\beta$ CD4 ⁺ and CD8 ⁺ T cells 70 $\gamma\delta$ T cells 71 B cells 71 Cytokines 71 Vaccine Strategy 71 Live or dead vaccines 71 Subunit and DNA vaccines 71 FUTURE TRENDS 73 Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains 73 Novel Methods To Identify Brucella Virulence Factors 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions 73	Macrophages	69
$ \begin{array}{c} \alpha \beta \ CD4^+ \ and \ CD8^+ \ T \ cells$	Nramp1	70
$\gamma \delta$ T cells 71 B cells 71 Cytokines 71 Vaccine Strategy 71 Live or dead vaccines 71 Subunit and DNA vaccines 71 FUTURE TRENDS 73 Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains 73 Novel Methods To Identify Brucella Virulence Factors 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions 73	Adaptive Immunity	70
B cells 71 Cytokines 71 Vaccine Strategy 71 Live or dead vaccines 71 Subunit and DNA vaccines 71 FUTURE TRENDS 73 Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains 73 Novel Methods To Identify Brucella Virulence Factors 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions 73	$\alpha \beta$ CD4 ⁺ and CD8 ⁺ T cells	70
Cytokines 71 Vaccine Strategy 71 Live or dead vaccines 71 Subunit and DNA vaccines 71 FUTURE TRENDS 73 Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains 73 Novel Methods To Identify Brucella Virulence Factors 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions 73	$\gamma\delta$ T cells	71
Vaccine Strategy 71 Live or dead vaccines 71 Subunit and DNA vaccines 71 FUTURE TRENDS 73 Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains 73 Novel Methods To Identify Brucella Virulence Factors 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions 73	B cells	71
Live or dead vaccines 71 Subunit and DNA vaccines 71 FUTURE TRENDS 73 Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics. 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains. 73 Novel Methods To Identify Brucella Virulence Factors 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions. 73	Cytokines	71
Subunit and DNA vaccines 71 FUTURE TRENDS 73 Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics. 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains. 73 Novel Methods To Identify Brucella Virulence Factors 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions. 73	Vaccine Strategy	71
FUTURE TRENDS 73 Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics. 73 Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains. 73 Novel Methods To Identify Brucella Virulence Factors 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions. 73		
Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics	Subunit and DNA vaccines	71
Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains		
Novel Methods To Identify Brucella Virulence Factors 73 In Vivo Imaging System 73 Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions 73	Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays, and Proteomics	73
In Vivo Imaging System		
Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice 73 Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions		
Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions	In Vivo Imaging System	73
	Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions	73
	CONCLUSION	
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	74
REFERENCES	REFERENCES	75

INTRODUCTION

Brucellosis is one of the five common bacterial zoonoses in the world caused by organisms belonging to the genus *Brucella*, gram-negative, non-spore-forming, facultative, intracellular bacteria (39). The genus *Brucella* consist of seven species according to antigenic variation and primary host: *Brucella melitensis* (sheep and goats), *B. suis* (hogs), *B. abortus* (cattle), *B. ovis* (sheep), *B. canis* (dogs), *B. neotomae* (wood rats), and *B. maris* (marine mammals) (38, 80). *B. abortus* induces spontaneous abortion in cattle and causes serious economic loss to dairy farms. Currently, *B. abortus* RB51 or *B. melitensis* REV.1 strains are used to immunize cattle and to immunize goats and sheep, respectively (14, 17, 39, 83). No other vaccines are available for other animals, and a human *Brucella* vaccine does not exist.

^{*} Corresponding author: Mailing address: Laboratory of Cellular and Molecular Immunology, Department of Animal Health and Biomedical Sciences, 1656 Linden Dr., University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706. Phone: (608) 262-0359. Fax: (608) 262-7420. E-mail: splitter@ahabs.wisc.edu.

Phylogenetically, *Brucella* is classified within the $\alpha 2$ subdivision of Proteobacteria, which includes Agrobacterium, Rickettsia, Rhodobacter, and Rhizobium (109). The Brucella genome consists of two circular chromosomes without plasmids, suggesting a remarkable difference compared to the single chromosome of many bacteria (105, 106). The recent completion of B. melitensis (GenBank NC 003317 and NC 003318) (44), B. suis (GenBank NC 002969), and B. abortus (142) genome sequence projects has provided tremendous information for understanding the mechanisms of Brucella pathogenicity. B. melitensis contains 3,197 open reading frames and, as found with certain other a Proteobacteria, genes associated with DNA replication, transcription, translation metabolism, and cell wall biosynthesis are present on both chromosomes. Although Bru*cella* is a serious pathogen, type I, II, and III secretion systems and pathogenicity islands were absent. However, B. melitensis did contain genes for flagellum-specific type III and IV secretion systems. Future studies to define the role of these secretion systems in Brucella intracellular survival will be necessary before we can understand the mechanisms of Brucella pathogenesis. Currently, these investigators (44) are evaluating the proteomic expression of Brucella to identify the bacterial proteins expressed in vitro. At present, the genomic sequence of B. suis is still being edited and annotated while the B. abortus sequence is still being completed. The availability of the genomic sequences of B. melitensis, B. suis, and B. abortus will provide an opportunity to identify the virulence mechanisms of Brucella at the molecular level that have previously proven highly elusive. Presently, six groups of bacteriophages (which are all closely related DNA phages of the same morphological group, Pedoviridae) are identified as lytic for Brucella (38). However, the presence of bacteriocins specific for Brucella or any evidence of gene transfer mediated by bacteriophages has not been confirmed.

Brucella infection occurs through inhalation or ingestion of organisms via the nasal, oral, and pharyngeal cavities (22). Following penetration of the mucosal epithelium, the bacteria are transported, either free or within phagocytic cells, to the regional lymph nodes. The spread and multiplication of Brucella in lymph nodes, spleen, liver, bone marrow, mammary glands, and sex organs occurs via macrophages. In general, B. melitensis, B. abortus, and B. suis can infect humans and the pathological manifestations of brucellosis in humans are meningitis, endocarditis, spondylitis, and arthritis. Due to the epidemic potential, the absence of a human vaccine, the drawbacks of current vaccine strains in terms of safety, and the efficiency of aerosol infection, this airborne pathogen is classified as a biosafety level 3 pathogen and considered to be a potential bioterrorist agent (86).

Bacteriologic culture and serological agglutination tests are widely used for the diagnosis of infected humans and animals. Human brucellosis patients are treated with combinations of antibiotics such as rifampin and doxycycline or streptomycin and doxycycline (22). Historically, 2% of untreated *B. melitensis*-infected patients die (103), and *Brucella*-induced deaths are still reported (31). Therefore, to eradicate human brucellosis, the control of brucellosis in agricultural animals is crucial because of the zoonotic aspects of this infectious disease. Currently, mice are used as an animal model for brucellosis and molecular genetic tools for *Brucella* are available, providing the

efficient experimental tools to investigate the bacterial pathogenesis and host immune response for the development of vaccine strains.

BRUCELLA PATHOGENICITY

The origins of the diverse clinical manifestations in Brucellainfected human beings and animals have not been clearly elucidated. However, there is no doubt that the augmentation of Brucella replication in the host is ascribed to these symptoms. This increase of Brucella numbers in the host is mainly due to their ability to avoid the killing mechanisms and proliferate within macrophages like other intracellular pathogens. For example, Brucella organisms not only resist killing by neutrophils following phagocytosis (26, 133) but also replicate inside macrophages (85) and nonprofessional phagocytes (47). Additionally, survival in macrophages considered to be responsible for the establishment of chronic infections allows the bacteria to escape the extracellular mechanisms of host defense such as complement and antibodies. Therefore, to understand the pathogenesis of Brucella, the mechanisms that allow the intracellular survival of this pathogen have to be elucidated.

Phagosomes, a Unique Niche for Brucella

To be a successful infectious agent, Brucella requires four steps, like other pathogenic intracellular bacteria: adherence, invasion, establishment, and dissemination within the host (57, 99). Opsonized or nonopsonized Brucella organisms can infect macrophages, suggesting that antibody or complement-mediated phagocytosis as well as the direct Brucella-host cell contact allows adherence and invasion. In macrophage phagosomes, Brucella cells survive and multiply, inhibiting phagosome-lysosome fusion through rapid acidification of the phagosome following uptake. Thus, phagosomes of the macrophage are the intracellular niche for this pathogen (91). Finally, the accumulated bacteria are disseminated to other host cells. Thus, the most critical step for understanding the pathogenic mechanism of this intracellular bacterium is how Brucella organisms cope with phagocytic mechanisms of the host.

Brucella can infect phagocytic and nonphagocytic cells in vivo and in vitro. Infected nonphagocytic cells such as trophoblasts of the pregnant ruminant in vivo (5) as well as epithelioid HeLa (128) and fibroblast Vero (47) cell lines in vitro contain virulent Brucella organisms in the autophagosome and endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Also, the ER is regarded as a location for Brucella multiplication. Infected phagocytic cells such as murine J774 cells contain Brucella in the phagosomes with a pH of 4 to 4.5, while autophagosomes or the ER of murine J774 do not harbor Brucella (130). However, the presence of Brucella in both phagocytic and nonphagocytic cells inhibits or delays phagosome and lysosome fusion (7, 128). The specific mechanism used to hamper phagolysosome fusion has not been elucidated clearly, but the soluble extract of virulent B. abortus strains excluding lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is considered to be involved in this phenomenon (62). Studies using Brucella mutants of a two-component regulatory system (bvrRbvrS) or a type IV secretion system (virB) in a nonphagocytic HeLa cell model suggest the involvement of specific Brucella

Gene Function	Eurotion	Species	Virulence		References
	Function		In vitro	In vivo	References
pgm	Phosphoglucomutase (LPS synthesis)	B. abortus	Virulent	Attenuated	156
rfbE	Perosamine synthetase (LPS synthesis)	B. melitensis	Virulent	Attenuated	65
pmm	Phosphomannomutase (LPS synthesis)	B. abortus	Attenuated	Attenuated	2
wboA	Glycosyltransferase (LPS synthesis)	B. abortus	ND^{a}	Attenuated	104
cydB	Cytochrome bd oxidase (respiratory system)	B. abortus	Attenuated	Attenuated	54
cgs	Cyclic β -1,2 glucan synthetase	B. abortus	Attenuated (only \$19)	Attenuated (only S19)	23, 77
sod	Cu-Zn SOD	B. abortus	Virulent	Attenuated (only S2308)	154
dnaK	Heat shock protein	B. suis	Attenuated	ND^a	93
lon	Protease	B. abortus	Attenuated	Virulent	135
bacA	Cytoplasmic membrane transport protein	B. abortus	Attenuated	Attenuated	98
hfq	Host factor-1, RNA-binding protein regulating stationary-phase stress resistance	B. abortus	Attenuated	Attenuated	136
virB	Type IV secretion system	B. suis, B. abortus	Attenuated	Attenuated	59, 75, 116, 146
bvrRS	Two-component regulatory protein	B. abortus	Attenuated	Attenuated	147
aroC	Chorismate synthase (aromatic compound synthesis)	B. suis, B. abortus	Attenuated	Attenuated	59, 60, 75
purE	De novo purine synthesis pathway	B. melitensis	Attenuated	Attenuated	41, 49, 76

TABLE 1. Deletion or insertion of *Brucella* genes resulting in attenuated bacteria

^a ND, not determined.

factors in the maturation of phagosomes. For example, *Brucella* defective in the *bvrRS* two-component regulatory system are not directed to the ER-like compartment but, rather, are present within phagosomes unable to inhibit fusion with lysosomes (147). Also, *virB* mutants deficient in a type IV secretion system are unable to reach the replicative niche and reside in a membrane-bound vacuole expressing the late endosomal marker, LAMP1, and the Sec61b protein from the ER membrane (35, 43).

Current Understanding of Brucella Pathogenesis

The recent availability of genetic techniques to manipulate the Brucella genome permits identification of virulence factors of Brucella at the molecular level. Previous studies to identify attenuation relied on culture passage of isolated strains or collection of diverse field strains following phenotypic characterization based on LPS structure (rough or smooth form) or injection of animal models (162). Since the early 1990s, molecular manipulation of the Brucella genome has permitted the characterization and identification of virulence genes by producing specific or random mutants searching for avirulent phenotypes (69, 131). The initial reverse genetic characterization of virulence factors relied on cloning, sequencing, mutagenesis, and infection of mutants in vitro or in vivo. This approach did not elucidate many virulence factors of Brucella. Many putative virulence factors that had been characterized from other intracellular bacterial pathogens turned out to be insignificant in this particular pathogen, suggesting that a unique host-pathogen interaction is established in brucellosis. Mini-Tn5 transposon mutagenesis was introduced to study Brucella pathogenesis. Even though this transposon mutagenesis requires an intensive screening step to obtain phenotypic mutants, this method was more productive in identifying a virulence factor than was the single-gene targeting approach. Characterized

virulence factors following the generation of individual mutants are listed in Table 1.

Lipopolysaccharide. Brucella has two forms, smooth and rough (38). In general, rough strains, containing less or no O polysaccharide (OPS), are less virulent than smooth strains and less resistant to complement attack. However, occasionally, naturally virulent strains of B. ovis and B. canis are rough stains. There are two aspects to the identification of Brucella LPS as a virulence factor. First, Brucella possesses less immunogenic LPS than enterobacterial LPS. Nonpyrogenic Brucella LPS does not activate the alternative complement pathway to any significant level and is a very weak mitogen for murine B cells (143). Furthermore, at least 10-fold more Brucella LPS is required for lethality and interferon (IFN) production than with enterobacterial endotoxin (87). Thus, the lower biological activity induced by Brucella LPS might be one of the factors contributing to the survival of these pathogens in phagocytic cells. Second, OPS-deficient Brucella mutants are sensitive to complement-mediated lysis and polymyxin B. For example, B. abortus phosphomannomutase (pmm) transposon mutants were attenuated in vivo and in vitro and were sensitive to complement-mediated killing as well as to polymyxin B, a defensin-like molecule (2). B. abortus glycosyltransferase (wboA) deletion mutants were more attenuated than the parental strain, S2308, but more persistent than RB51 in vivo (104). B. abortus phosphoglucomutase (pgm) insertional mutants were attenuated in vivo but not in vitro (156). B. melitensis perosamine synthase (rfbE) deletion mutants were attenuated in mice but not in macrophages (65). All of the above OPSdeficient mutants were attenuated in vivo, but there were some survival differences in macrophages, indicating that complement-mediated lysis or a lectin pathway may play a role in controlling extracellular Brucella; however, the level of intracellular infection and replication was not reduced.

Cyclic $\beta(1-2)$ **glucan.** Cyclic $\beta(1-2)$ glucan is an osmoregulated periplasmic polysaccharide of the plant pathogens *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and *Rhizobium meliloti*, which are involved in nodule invasion or crown gall tumor induction in plants (19). *Brucella* spp. classified within the $\alpha 2$ subdivision of *Proteobacteria*, which includes *Agrobacterium* and *Rhizobium*, also contain cyclic $\beta(1-2)$ glucan (24). In *Brucella*, this sugar is produced by cyclic $\beta(1-2)$ glucan synthetase encoded by *cgs* (23) but is not osmotically regulated (24). The *cgs* deletion mutants made from *B. abortus* S19 (vaccine strain) were attenuated in vivo and in vitro, but minimal attenuation was noted in *cgs* mutants made from S2308 (field strain) (23), indicating that strain differences may exist. Also, a protection assay using S19 *cgs* mutants showed similar protection to that for S19, but more immunoglobulin G2a (IgG2a) was induced.

bacA. A highly conserved *B. abortus* homologue of the *R. meliloti bacA* gene, which encodes a putative cytoplasmic membrane transport protein required for symbiosis, was identified (98). *B. abortus bacA* deletion mutants were attenuated in vivo and in vitro, suggesting that the bacterium-plant symbiosis could be an alternative model to study *Brucella* pathogenesis.

bvrR-bvrS. The B. abortus two-component regulatory system consisting of the Brucella virulence-related regulatory (BvrR) and sensory (BvrS) proteins is highly similar to the two-component regulatory systems ChvG-ChvI of A. tumefaciens and ExoS-ChvI of R. meliloti, which are equivalent to Salmonella PhoP-PhoQ and Bordetella bronchiseptica BvgA-BvgS systems (147). Transposon mutants of bvrR and bvrS were originally identified by their sensitivity to polymyxin B but were also sensitive to other bactericidal polycations such as melittin and poly-L-lysine, suggesting that a change of the outer membrane occurred in these mutants. Insertional bvrR or bvrS mutants possessed reduced multiplication and minimal persistence in mouse spleens in vivo and displayed reduced invasiveness and replication in macrophages and HeLa cells in vitro, suggesting the inability to inhibit lysosme fusion. Other putative twocomponent regulatory systems involved in Brucella virulence, such as ntrY (59) and vsrB (97), have been discovered by signature-tagged transposon mutagenesis (STM), but specific phenotypes have not been delineated yet.

virB. B. suis virB genes, encoding a type IV secretion system homologous to those encoded by A. tumefaciens virB and B. pertussis ptl genes, were originally identified from attenuated transposon mutants in vitro (116); later, the B. abortus S2308 genome project confirmed the intact virB operon (146). The A. tumefaciens virB operon encodes a pilus-like structure necessary for secretion of transfer DNA, and the B. pertussis ptl operon encodes the apparatus that allows the secretion of pertussis toxin, suggesting that Brucella may secrete regulatory DNA or protein for intracellular survival. VirB10 is an inner membrane-bound protein possessing a C-terminal periplasmic domain with homology in all type IV secretion systems. Brucella virB10 polar and nonpolar mutants had the same penetration effect as the wild type but were unable to replicate in phagocytic and nonphagocytic cells in vitro. Also, these mutants were attenuated in vivo, suggesting that virB is a virulence factor. In fact, phagosomes containing virB10 polar mutants fused with lysosomes and were directed to a degradation pathway (35, 43). In contrast, virB10 nonpolar mutants were capable of avoiding interactions with the endocytic pathway but

were unable to reach the ER to establish their intracellular replication niche in HeLa cells. These results suggest that VirB proteins are involved in the maturation of *Brucella*-containing vacuoles.

cydB. B. abortus cydB transposon mutants, attenuated in vitro and in vivo, are defective in cytochrome bd oxidase, a high-oxygen-affinity terminal oxidase used by Escherichia coli under microaerobic conditions (54). These mutants were sensitive to hydrogen peroxide. Overexpression of the oxidative radical-scavenging enzyme Cu-Zn superoxide dismutase (SOD) or catalase restored resistance to hydrogen peroxide similar to that of wild-type Brucella, suggesting that cyd mutants are susceptible to reactive oxygen intermediates (ROIs) in macrophages. However, the specific mechanisms of ROI susceptibility in cydB mutants have not been investigated.

purE and aroC. Phagosomes of macrophages contain limited nutrition for intracellular bacteria (58). Thus, auxotrophic mutations in essential metabolic pathways generate derivative strains with reduced virulence (74). For example, Salmonella mutants that require aromatic compounds (aroA) or adenine (purA) for growth were attenuated. In this context, B. melitensis purine-auxotrophic purE deletion mutants defective in the de novo pathway leading to inosine biosynthesis were generated and were attenuated in vitro (49) and in vivo (41). B. suis aromatic compound auxotrophic aroC::Tn5 mutants were originally identified by STM in vitro (59), and then in vivo attenuation was confirmed (60). The aroC gene encodes chorismate synthase, which catalyzes the conversion of 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate (EPSP) to chorismic acid. Chorismate is a branching point from which separate pathways lead to the aromatic amino acids (i) para-aminobenzoic acid and to hence folic acid, vitamin K, ubiquinone, and the electron transport systems, and (ii) dihydroxybenzoic acid, which is the first step in the biosynthesis of the siderophore enterochelin. Thus, the aroC mutation causes serious metabolic problems in bacteria. In fact, these auxotrophic mutants are useful for developing genetically defined live, attenuated vaccine strains of bacterial pathogens. B. melitensis purE mutants are being tested as a vaccine strain (33, 121).

Heat shock proteins. Bacterial pathogens that maintain long-term residence within host phagocytes probably express a variety of genes to help them adapt to the harsh environmental conditions of pH, nutrition deprivation, ROIs, and reactive nitrogen intermediates (RNIs) as well as lysosomal enzymes encountered within the phagosome (10). Prominent among these responses is the induction of heat shock proteins, suggesting that considerable protein misfolding and damage occurs within this compartment (101, 132). However, the role of these proteins in Brucella pathogenesis was uncertain. B. abortus lon transposon mutants were attenuated in BALB/c resident peritoneal macrophages but persistent in BALB/c mice except for a minor attenuation at 1 week postinfection, suggesting that Lon protease is important for Brucella survival during early infection (135). B. suis dnaK insertional mutants, defective in a member of the Hsp70 family, were attenuated in the human macrophagic cell line, U937 (93). B. abortus htrA deletion mutants, deficient in a serine protease called hightemperature-requirement A protein, have been considered to be attenuated in vitro and in vivo (53, 126), but a recent report suggests that htrA mutants were in fact htrA cycL double deletion mutants (50). An additional report suggests that a *B. melitensis* authentic *htrA* deletion mutant was not attenuated in goats, suggesting that HtrA is not involved in *Brucella* pathogenesis (139). Also, the *B. suis clpA* deletion mutant was not attenuated in vitro or in vivo (51). Taken together, these reports suggest that not all heat shock proteins are critical to *Brucella* pathogenesis or that redundant function of heat shock proteins will compromise the functional deficiency caused by the loss of one heat shock protein.

hfq. RNA chaperone host factor 1 (HF-1) is an abundant protein that participates in numerous regulatory pathways in *E. coli* (112). This protein acts posttranscriptionally to regulate the expression of the alternative sigma factor, RpoS, required for maintenance of the stationary-phase growth state. *B. abortus hfq* deletion mutants were sensitive to H_2O_2 and acid stress in stationary phase and were attenuated in BALB/c resident peritoneal macrophages as well as BALB/c mice (136), suggesting that HF-1 contributes to resistance of killing by host macrophages.

sod. SOD forms part of the antioxidant defense system that protects bacteria from the toxic effects of ROIs by converting superoxide radicals into hydrogen peroxide and oxygen (63), suggesting that it plays a crucial role in *Brucella* intracellular survival. *B. abortus* S19 *sod* deletion mutants were not attenuated in vitro or in vivo (154). However, *B. abortus* S2308 *sod* deletion mutants were attenuated in BALB/c mice but virulent in HeLa and J774 cell lines, suggesting a role for SOD in activated macrophages in vivo.

BRUCELLA IMMUNITY

Innate Immunity

Innate immunity is composed of nonspecific immune response in the early stage of infection before adaptive immunity, mediated by clonal selection of specific lymphocytes, has become established. Thus, the ultimate roles of the innate immune system in *Brucella* infection in vivo are to reduce the initial number of infected bacteria without memorization and to provide the environment for generating Th1 immune responses in the host.

Complement. Complement is a system of plasma proteins that interact with bound antibodies or bacterial surfaces to opsonize or directly kill the pathogens by forming a membrane attack complex with gram-negative bacteria (137). Currently, three pathways of complement activation mechanism have been elucidated: (i) the classical pathway, mediated by antigenantibody complexes; (ii) the alternative pathway, induced by certain structures on the surface of microorganisms in an antibody-independent manner; and (iii) the lectin pathway, activated by the binding of mannose-binding lectin to carbohydrates on microbial surfaces. Because the major surface component of Brucella is an LPS, the interaction between Brucella and complement components will be mediated by this molecule. In general, smooth strains of B. abortus are more resistant than OPS-deficient rough strains to serum bactericidal activity (37) because B. abortus LPS obtained from smooth strains does not activate the alternative pathway (72). Thus, the action of the classical pathway mediated by IgM and low concentrations of IgG has been considered to be the dominant bactericidal action of serum against *B. abortus* mediated in the early stage of infection. Recent results using *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis wboA* mutants lacking OPS suggest that both the classical pathway and the lectin pathway are involved in complement deposition and complement-mediated killing of *Brucella* (56). However, at the later stage of *Brucella* infection in cattle, the increased concentration of IgG1 and IgG2 antibodies appeared to block killing of extracellular *Brucella* (37), even though opsonization still occurred, suggesting concomitant extension of disease. This contradictory role for antibody and complement in *Brucella* immunity has been explained by prozone effects (73).

Neutrophils. Neutrophils are short-life-cycle cells constituting 50 to 70% of human blood cells; their major function is phagocytosis. Thus, neutrophils are probably the first immuneassociated cell in human infection to encounter Brucella. Rapid phagocytosis of virulent and attenuated Brucella strains by neutrophils occurs only following opsonization with normal human serum (164), suggesting that opsonization is a prerequisite for phagocytosis. However, the survival of Brucella in neutrophils during early infection has been observed (133), suggesting that the transportation of Brucella to lymphoid tissues can be mediated by neutrophils and that antimicrobial resistance mechanisms against hypohalide, lactoferrin, bacterial permeability-increasing factor, serprocidins, phospholipase A2, cathelicidin, lysozyme, and defensins, as well as ROIs and RNIs, may exist in Brucella (115). For example, B. abortus releases GMP and adenine and inhibits the myeloperoxidase-H₂O₂-halide antibacterial systems in bovine neutrophils by hampering degranulation (27). Also, viable Brucella organisms, but not cell wall fractions of virulent Brucella strains, inhibit the respiratory oxidative burst by neutrophils (27, 95).

NK cells. Aqueous ether extract of *B. abortus* strain 456, known as Bru-Pel, stimulated NK cytotoxicity against YAC-1 cells (163). The cytotoxicity of human NK cells was enhanced when NK cells were treated with interleukin-12 (IL-12) obtained from macrophages treated with heat-killed *B. abortus* in vitro (165), suggesting a significant role of NK cells in brucellosis. In addition, human brucellosis patients have impaired NK cytotoxicity against YAC-1 cells that can be overcome by in vitro incubation with IL-2 (141), suggesting that the dysfunction of NK cells promotes the chronicity of infection. In contrast, mice with NK cell depletion as a result of monoclonal antibody anti-NK1.1 or polyclonal antibody anti-asialo-GM₁ antiserum did not harbor the increased splenic and hepatic *B. abortus* CFU during the first week after infection (55), suggesting that the role of NK cells in mice is minor.

Macrophages. The bactericidal functions contained in macrophages are ROIs and RNIs, which are induced by gamma interferon (IFN- γ) and tumor necrosis factor (TNF- α). Treatment of murine macrophages with methylene blue, an electron carrier, and ROI inhibitors increased and decreased the number of intracellular *B. abortus* organisms, respectively (82). However, the RNI inhibitor N^G-monomethyl-L-arginine could not block the anti-*Brucella* activities in macrophages, suggesting that ROIs play a greater role than RNIs in *Brucella* killing in vitro. This result is compatible with a previous report that phagocytosis of *B. suis* by mouse macrophages induced superoxide production (64). In addition, iron-loaded macrophages activated with IFN- γ had an increased capacity to kill intracel-

Mouse strain	Function	Deficient phenotypes	Species	Result of infection	Reference(s)
IRF-1 ^{-/-}	IRF-1	IL-12, IL-15, IL-18, iNOS, CD8 ⁺ T cells, NK cells	B. abortus	Acute death	89
IRF-2 ^{-/-}	IRF-2	IL-12, NK cells	B. abortus	Resistant	89
ICSBP ^{-/-}	ICSBP	IL-12, ROIs	B. abortus	Susceptible	89
NOS2 ^{-/-}	iNOS	Nitric oxide in macrophages	B. abortus	Delayed control	89
gp91 ^{phox-/-}	NADPH oxidase component	NADPH oxidase-dependent ROIs	B. abortus	Delayed control	89
IL-12p40 ^{-/-}	IL-12 component	IL-12	B. abortus	Susceptible	89
IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$	IFN-γ	IFN- γ	B. abortus	Death (C57BL/6 and BALB/c)	113
TNFR ^{-/-}	TNF receptors 1 (p55) and 2 (p75)	TNF- α signaling	B. abortus	Susceptible	168
NF-IL-6 ^{-/-}	Nuclear factor IL-6	G-CSF	B. abortus	Susceptible in vitro	127
$\beta 2\text{-m}^{-/-}$	MHC class I β_2 -microglobulin	CD8 ⁺ T cells	B. abortus	Susceptible to S19, resistant to S2308	113, 119
$A\beta^{-/-}$	MHC class II AB chain	CD4 ⁺ T cells	B. abortus	Resistant	119
Rag-1 ^{-/-}	Recombination activation gene 1	Matured B and T cells	B. melitensis	Susceptible	79
SCID	Lacks mature B and T cells	Matured B and T cells	B. abortus	Resistant	Morfitt et al. ^a
Nude	Thymic stroma, matured T cells	Lacks matured T cells	B. abortus	Susceptible (persistence)	32
Perforin ^{-/-}	CTL killing	Absence of perforin-mediated killing	B. abortus	Resistant	113

TABLE 2. Brucella infection in gene KO or immunocompromised mice

^a D. C. Morfitt, N. F. Cheville, and A. E. Jensen, Proc. Conf. Res. Workers Anim. Dis. 72:2, 1991.

lular brucellae and frequently eliminated all intracellular brucellae due to the ability of iron to catalyze the Haber-Weiss reaction, confirming an important function of ROIs (9, 81). However, B. abortus-infected NOS2 as well as gp91^{phox} knockout (KO) mice showed delayed control of infection, suggesting that both RNIs and ROIs play a role in controlling Brucella infection in the early stages of infection in vivo (89) (Table 2). In addition, the infected NOS2/gp91phox double-KO mice showed a delayed control of infection, suggesting that inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and NADPH oxidase-independent killing mechanisms exist in mice. A recent understanding of IFN signaling suggests that IFN-regulatory factors (IRFs), a family of second transcriptional factors, mediate diverse phenotypes induced by IFNs. Among the nine IRFs, the expression of IRF-1, IRF-2, ICSBP (IRF-8), and IRF-9 is induced by IFN-y, and IRF-1-, IRF-2-, and ICSBP-deficient mice were infected with B. abortus (Table 2) (89). Infected IRF-1 KO mice died within 2 weeks due to hepatic damage, while IRF-2 KO mice were more resistant to infection than were wild-type mice. The role of IRF-2 involves downregulation of the genes activated by IRF-1, supporting the significance of IRF-1 in brucellosis. Also, ICSBP KO mice were susceptible to infection. These results suggest that IRF-1 and ICSBP are involved in the Brucella-controlling mechanisms induced by IFN- γ .

Nramp1. Murine susceptibility to *Leishmania, Salmonella*, and *Mycobacterium* was influenced by one gene, located on chromosome 1 (12). A reverso-genetics approach identified a candidate gene, *Nramp1*, which was expressed only in macrophagelike cells. A single nonconservative amino acid substitution in this transporter was found to correlate with the susceptible genotype in 27 inbred mouse strains. In murine brucellosis, resistance to the attenuated *B. abortus* strain 19 occurs in the *Lsh/Ity/Bcg*-susceptible mouse strains such as C57BL/10 and BALB/c while susceptibility occurs in the *Lsh/*

Ity/Bcg-resistant CBA/H mice (71), suggesting that resistance to *Brucella* seems to be more complex or associated with different genes. However, bovine *Nramp1* stable transfectants of RAW264.7 cells control *B. abortus* infection better than do parental cell lines in vitro (11), suggesting that natural heritable resistance plays a role in bovine brucellosis.

Adaptive Immunity

Adaptive immune responses are critical for providing the memory function that is the key player in vaccination. Functions of the adaptive immune response in brucellosis can be classified into three mechanisms. First, IFN- γ produced by CD4⁺, CD8⁺, and $\gamma\delta$ T cells activates the bactericidal function in macrophages to hamper the intracellular survival of *Brucella*. Second, cytotoxicity of CD8⁺ and $\gamma\delta$ T cells kills the infected macrophages. Third, Th1-type antibody isotypes such as IgG2a and IgG3 opsonize the pathogen to facilitate phagocytosis.

 $\alpha\beta$ CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells. The major role of T cells in Brucella immunity is secretion of IFN- γ for the activation of bactericidal function in macrophages and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte activity as well as IgG2a and IgG3 isotype switching. The significance of CD4⁺ and/or CD8⁺ T cells in Brucella immunity has been controversial. Adoptive transferred BALB/c mice with CD4⁺, CD8⁺, and whole T-cell populations obtained from mice immunized for 6 weeks contained fewer splenic Brucella CFU than did untreated mice after infection (6), suggesting that both T-cell populations are important in Brucella immunity. However, recent experiments with $A\beta^{-/-}$ and β^{2--} $m^{-/-}$ mice infected with *B. abortus* strain 19 suggest that major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I-deficient mice, which have no CD8⁺ T cells, control the infection more slowly than do wild-type mice while MHC class II-deficient mice, defective in CD4⁺ T cells, control the infection similarly to wild-type mice (119), suggesting that $CD8^+$ T cells play a critical role. This result is compatible with the previous report that anti-Lyt-2- and complement-treated spleen mononuclear cells could not provide protection (124), suggesting that CD8⁺ T cells comprised the predominant protective population. Others, however, have suggested that $\beta 2 \cdot m^{-/-}$ mice infected with B. abortus strain 2308 controlled infection and that CD8⁺ cytotoxic T lymphocytes were not important after the first week of infection with a virulent strain of B. abortus (113). The differences in the results may be related to the strain of B. abortus used in the β 2-m^{-/-} mice. Additional experiments with MHC class I-deficient mice as well as wild-type mice suggest that the production of Th-1 type cytokines such as IFN- γ and IL-2 by CD8⁺ T cells has an important function in controlling brucellosis (119). The importance of IFN- γ in resolution of Brucella infection was supported by studies with BALB/c and C57BL/6 IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice infected with *B. abortus* strain 2308 since these infected mice died in approximately 6 weeks (113). However, the major T-cell population is CD4⁺ T cells in terms of number, and this cell population secretes IFN- γ . Thus, the role of CD4⁺ T cells in brucellosis should not be ignored.

 $\gamma \delta$ **T** cells. Human patients infected with *B. melitensis* contain increased numbers of $\gamma \delta$ T cells possessing the V $\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T-cell receptor (TCR) in peripheral blood (13, 123). V $\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells activated by nonpeptide antigens control the increase in the number of intracellular *Brucella* organisms by secreting TNF- α and IFN- γ to activate macrophage bactericidal function and by lysing the infected cells through cytotoxicity in vitro (122). Interestingly, in cattle younger than 1 year, the major T-cell population is not $\alpha\beta$ T cells but $\gamma\delta$ T cells, suggesting that the role of $\gamma\delta$ T cells could be more significant in *Brucella*infected calves. However, the role of these $\gamma\delta$ T cells in vivo has not been characterized.

B cells. Many serum passive-transfer experiments suggest the significance of humoral immunity in murine brucellosis. For example, passive transfer of sera containing anti-LPS antibodies to mice could protect against challenge with virulent B. abortus (6, 107, 161). Also, passive transfer of B. abortus OPS-specific monoclonal antibody (IgG2a) could reduce bacterial infection in mice or protect mice from B. abortus infection (106, 108, 125). IgG2a and IgG3 are dominant antibody isotypes detected from the infected mice, similar to the natural host, suggesting that a Th1 immune response to Brucella infection occurred (52). Opsonization, probably coupled with enhancement of intracellular killing, is regarded as the principal protective role of antibody against Brucella infection. Despite numerous reports of a role for humoral immunity in resistance to brucellosis, the ability of antibody to protect the host appears controversial. For instance, the B. abortus RB51 strain lacking OPS still provides the best protection as a vaccine strain, indicating that immune protection is possible without OPS-specific antibody (145). Also in bovine brucellosis, the high concentration of IgG during active infection prevents extracellular bacterial lysis mediated by complement and promotes bacterial phagocytosis, enhancing the intracellular location of bacteria and the extension of disease (73).

Cytokines. Cytokines play two critical roles in immune responses: (i) to mediate innate and adaptive immunity and (ii) to direct the immune response among immune-associated cells. Cytokines, regarded as key players in brucellosis, are IL-12, IFN- γ , and TNF- α . IL-12 is a key cytokine produced by B cells and macrophages and leads Th1 immune responses in the host that will ultimately induce the secretion of IFN- γ from T cells. The significance of IL-12 in brucellosis has been well described (89, 167). However, the mechanisms of IL-12 induction in those cells have not been elucidated. Th1-polarized T cells produce IFN- γ , which activates the bactericidal function of macrophages, the host cells of Brucella spp., and this phagocytic function can be maximized by the treatment of TNF- α produced by macrophages and NK cells (166, 168, 170). In fact, live B. suis can inhibit TNF-a production in human macrophages by secreting proteins, suggesting another Brucella strategy for intracellular survival (28). An elevated IL-6 concentration in serum has been detected in Brucella-infected humans and antigen-treated mice (1, 169). CD4⁺ T cells produce IL-6, but the role of IL-6 in brucellosis has not been clearly delineated (144). Additionally, other cytokines are involved in Brucella immunity in mice. The detection of fewer CFU in spleens in mice given recombinant IL-1 suggests an important role of IL-1 in murine brucellosis (171). The role of IL-1 in murine brucellosis was speculated to induce colony-stimulating factor (CSF) and increase the numbers of neutrophils and macrophages in the spleen. In fact, mice given CSF for the first 14 days of infection experienced an influx of macrophages into the spleen and liver, and fewer CFU were detected in the spleen but not in the liver, supporting the previous speculation about the role of IL-1 (48). Also, the addition of granulocyte CSF (G-CSF) to macrophages obtained from NF-IL-6 KO mice restores both endocytosis and the morphology of endosomes as well as bactericidal activity in macrophages (127), suggesting the importance of G-CSF in phagocytosis.

Vaccine Strategy

Live or dead vaccines. Immunization with killed B. abortus S2308 provided better protection than immunization with live S19 at 1 week postinoculation (107). However, at 4 weeks postinoculation mice immunized with killed Brucella could not provide protection while mice immunized with live B. abortus S19 provided log 2 protection, suggesting that a live vaccine is superior to a killed vaccine. Additional vaccination results using B. abortus S2308, S19, and RB51 suggest that the persistence of the Brucella vaccine strain is critical to maximum protection (68, 149, 151, 157). Compared to subunit and DNA vaccines, the attenuated vaccine contains all the immunogenic components that can be involved in protection, making this vaccine type more efficient. Currently, many B- and T-cell antigens have been identified. B-cell antigens (Table 3) have been used as a diagnostic marker, but the antibodies produced by these antigens also can be involved in opsonization in the host. T-cell antigens (Table 4) that have been characterized by cell proliferation assays are CD4⁺ T-cell antigens presented to the TCR through MHC class II. These activated CD4⁺ T cells produce a significant amount of IFN-y. However, C57BL/6 mice infected with B. abortus S19 produced cytotoxic T-lymphocyte activity (119), suggesting that antigens presented to the TCR through MHC class I exist. No endogenous peptides presented by MHC class I molecules have been identified.

Subunit and DNA vaccines. Cell-mediated immunity is the dominant immune response required for protection against

Antigen	Function	Species	Serum host	Protection	Reference(s)
Omp19	Outer membrane protein	B. abortus	Mouse, goat, dog, human	ND^a	94
Omp25	Outer membrane protein	B. ovis	Mouse	Yes	18
Omp28	Outer membrane protein	B. melitensis	Human	ND	102
Omp31	Outer membrane protein	B. ovis	Ram	Yes	88
Omp89	Outer membrane protein	B. abortus	Cattle	ND	100
CP24	Ribosome-releasing factor	B. melitensis	Sheep	ND	159
HtrA	Heat shock protein	B. abortus, B. melitensis, B. canis	Mouse, cattle, goat, dog	ND	138
18-kDa protein	Lumazine synthase	B. ovis, B. canis	Human, cattle	ND	8, 66, 67
BCSP31	Unknown	Brucella spp. except B. ovis	Rabbit	ND	20
DnaK	Heat shock protein	B. melitensis, B. ovis	Mouse, sheep	ND	155, 160
BP26 (CP28)	Periplasmic or cytoplasmic protein	B. abortus, B. melitensis	Cattle, human, ram	ND	42, 140
17-kDa protein	Unknown	B. abortus, B. melitensis	Sheep, cattle	ND	70
22.9-kDa protein	Unknown	B. abortus	Unknown	Yes ^b	30
32.2-kDa protein	Unknown	B. abortus	Unknown	No	30
20-kDa protein (antigen A-2)	Unknown	B. melitensis	Unknown	ND	148, 173
Dihydrolipoamide succinyltransferase	Dihydrolipoamide succinyltransferase	B. ovis	Mouse	ND	155
31-kDa protein	Unknown	B. ovis	Unknown	ND	155
Malate dehydrogenase	Malate dehydrogenase	B. ovis	Unknown	ND	155
Succinyl coenzyme A synthetase alpha subunit	Succinyl coenzyme A synthetase alpha subunit	B. ovis	Unknown	ND	155
ABC ^{<i>a</i>} -type transporter	ABC-type transporter	B. ovis	Unknown	ND	155
Leu/Ile/Val-binding-protein precursor	Leu/Ile/Val-binding- protein precursor	B. ovis	Unknown	ND	155
ClpP	Stress protein	B. ovis	Unknown	ND	155
NikA	Nickel transport	B. ovis	Unknown	ND	155

TABLE 3. Brucella antigens that induce a B-cell response

^a ND, not determined; ABC, ATP-binding cassette.

^b With Freund's adjuvant.

brucellosis. Thus, the concept of a subunit vaccine in brucellosis is based on the generation of memory Th1 cells by immunization with T-cell antigen. The initial requirement for a subunit vaccine approach is to identify T-cell antigens (Table 4). So far, P39, bacterioferritin, and L7/L12 proteins have been purified and tested as subunit vaccines with adjuvants (3, 120). Mice immunized with these proteins had a certain level of protection when challenged. Alternatively, DNA vaccination has been tried by using genes encoding the L7/L12 proteins, and this method also conferred some degree of protection (96). However, will subunit or DNA immunizations provide long-term protection to the hosts? Perhaps the injection of recombinant proteins made with many T-cell epitopes or DNA encoding recombinant T-cell epitopes may provide better protection than a single-epitope subunit vaccine. However, it is not clear whether such vaccination approaches will be effective for long-term protection. No specific experiment has been performed to answer this question.

TABLE 4. Brucella ant	tigens that induce a T-cell response
-----------------------	--------------------------------------

Antigen	Function	Species	Host	Protection	Reference(s)
P39	Periplasmic binding protein	B. melitensis	Guinea pig, cattle, mouse	Yes, with CpG	3, 45, 46
Bacterioferritin	Iron-binding protein	B. melitensis	Guinea pig, cattle, mouse	Yes, with CpG	3, 46
GroEL	Heat shock protein	B. abortus	Mouse, guinea pig	ND ^a	117, 118, 120, 150
GroES	Heat shock protein	B. abortus	Mouse, guinea pig	ND	117, 120
YajC	Transmembrane protein	B. abortus	Mouse	ND	158
UvrA	DNA repair enzyme	B. abortus	Cattle, mouse, guinea pig	ND	117, 120, 172
L7/L12	Ribosomal proteins	B. abortus	Mouse	Yes, with Freund's adjuvant	117, 120
BA14K	Unknown	B. abortus	Mouse	ND	34
SOD (BCSP20)	Cu-Zn SOD	B. abortus	Cattle, mouse	Yes, but synthetic peptide	21, 152, 153
31 kDa	Unknown	B. abortus	Cattle	ND	25

^a ND, not determined; CpG, unmethylated synthetic CpG oligonucleotides.

FUTURE TRENDS

The 21st century is the period of postgenomics. For brucellosis research, the completion of the human and murine genome projects will provide an opportunity for screening genome-wide responses to *Brucella* infection in the host. In addition, the *B. melitensis* and *B. abortus* genome projects should provide useful information for performing reverse-genetic approaches to elucidate virulence factors. Thus, based on "gain of function" and "loss of function," various genetic approaches in the host and pathogen are being explored. Also, diverse computer-aided fluorescence- or luminescence-based detection systems will be utilized to monitor the location of *Brucella* in vitro and in vivo.

Genome-Wide Screening, Gene Arrays and Proteomics

Gene arrays and proteomics are novel computer-aided screening systems to detect changes at the transcriptional and/or translational level in the host and/or pathogen (29). These complementary screening systems are very powerful in detecting the small changes in gene transcription or translation and providing patterns of gene expression to improve our knowledge of highly complex networks that cross-communicate in the cells. However, there are two problems with these techniques. First, there is not a good correlation between mRNA and protein levels (4), implying that many transcriptional changes are not biologically relevant. Second, there is a requirement to substantiate the biological relevance of the genes that indicated transcriptional and translational changes in host-pathogen interaction models. The application of gene KOs within the pathogen and host will provide an approach to investigate the significance of the changes observed in gene arrays and proteomics. Taken together, even with the shortcomings of genome-wide screening systems, the patterns of gene expression will facilitate the elucidation of the interplay between host and pathogen.

Introduction of Green Fluorescent Protein-encoded Brucella Strains

Cellular microbiology is an emerging discipline that is being used to investigate the effect of microbes on cell biology (40, 129). For instance, many intracellular bacteria can survive inside specialized compartments or in the cytoplasm, suggesting that these bacteria have unique invasion mechanisms. In fact, the dissection of bacterial pathogenesis provides information for understanding the dynamics of the host cell cytoskeleton, intracellular membrane, and signal transduction events. In Brucella research, the movement of Brucella inside of phagocytic and nonphagocytic cells is being investigated with green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing Brucella strains (114, 134). This fluorescence-based technique is a useful tool to detect the cellular location of Brucella in combination with diverse monoclonal antibodies to define the molecular organelles. In addition, GFP is quite stable and can be used for immunostaining of Brucella on the tissue sections with GFPspecific monoclonal antibodies.

Novel Methods To Identify Brucella Virulence Factors

The most recent significant breakthrough in *Brucella* pathogenesis research is the application of STM, where mutants were tested in phagocytic and nonphagocytic cells (59) as well as acute (97) and chronic (51) stages of infection in mice. Many *Brucella* attenuated mutants have been identified and are being characterized individually (Table 5). In addition, differential fluorescence induction (92) has identified several promoters induced specifically within macrophage cell lines. However, individual mutants must be generated to establish a role for these genes in *Brucella* virulence. In addition, the recent completion of the *Brucella* genome projects should provide useful information about *Brucella* physiology and pathogenesis (142, 146), codifying the renaissance of reverse genetics.

In Vivo Imaging System

The virulence of *Brucella* has been assessed in vivo by enumerating the residual CFU in splenic or hepatic tissues in a time-dependent manner. However, the current development of photonic detection systems promises to monitor the kinetics of bacteria in vivo without killing the infected mice (36, 61). Basically, *luxABCDE Brucella* can be used to infect mice and the infected mice can be analyzed using an intensified charge-coupled device camera and analytical software. This simple equipment will alleviate the ethical problems caused by killing mice, accelerate the detection of attenuation in *Brucella* strains, and detect the location of *Brucella* in vivo in a time-dependent manner.

Dominant Negative Cells and Gene Knockout Mice

The loss of function is a dominant dogma in genetics to analyze the function of a gene. The two major approaches to generate loss-of-function phenotypes are the use of dominant negative cell lines and the use of gene KO mice. Diverse KO mice have been used in *Brucella* research for elucidating the function of respective immune components (Table 2). However, dominant negative cell lines have not been used in brucellosis research, unlike other intracellular bacterial pathogen research (78, 111). The generation of dominant negative cells requires a relatively short time compared to the production of gene KO mice. Besides, dominant negative cell lines will be useful for in vitro experiments in cases where the gene KO is lethal to the mice.

Gene-to-Gene or Function-to-Function Interactions

During the last several decades in brucellosis research, three different kinds of combinatorial experiments were widely performed. First, to understand the general immune responses in the host, wild-type mice were infected with wild-type *Brucella* strains. Second, to find a virulence factor or to detect the attenuation in *Brucella*, mutant *Brucella* strains were used to infect wild-type mice. Third, to understand the role of specific immune components in brucellosis, gene KO mice were infected with wild-type *Brucella* strains. However, gene KO mouse infection with mutant *Brucella* strains had not been performed in brucellosis research until the introduction of IRF-1^{-/-} mice. The virulence of *B. abortus* in IRF-1^{-/-} mice

Gene	Function	Species	Virulence		D.C
			In vitro	In vivo	References
ntrY	Two-component system (nitrogen metabolism)	B. suis	Attenuated	ND^{a}	59
glnD	Uridylyl transferase (nitrogen metabolism)	B. suis	Attenuated	ND	59
pgi	Phosphoglucose isomerase (glucose metabolism)	B. suis	Attenuated	ND	59
manB	Phosphomannomutase (LPS synthesis)	B. suis	Attenuated	ND	59
gpt	Guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (nucleotide synthesis)	B. suis	Attenuated	ND	59
LTTR-like	LysR family transcriptional regulator	B. suis	Attenuated	ND	59
<i>carAB</i>	Carbamoyl phosphate synthase (arginine and pyrimidine metabolism)	B. suis	Attenuated	ND	59
rpsA	Ribosomal protein S1	B. suis	Attenuated	ND	59
pyc	Pyruvate carboxylase (glucose metabolism)	B. suis	Attenuated	ND	59
leuA	Isopropylmalate synthase (leucine synthesis)	B. suis	Attenuated	ND	59
cysK	O-Acetylserine (thiol)-lyase (amino acid metabolism)	B. melitensis	Attenuated	Attenuated	97
cysI	Sulfite reductase (amino acid metabolism)	B. melitensis	Attenuated	Attenuated	97
metH	Homocysteine- <i>N</i> 5-methyltetrahydrofolate transmethylase (amino acid metabolism)	B. melitensis	Attenuated	Attenuated	97
mgtB	Mg^{2+} pump (transporter)	B. melitensis	Attenuated	Attenuated	97
rbsC	Ribose permease (transporter)	B. melitensis	Attenuated	Attenuated	97
ugpA	Glycerol-3-phosphate permease (transporter)	B. melitensis	Attenuated	Attenuated	97
ccrM	Adenine DNA methyltransferase (DNA metabolism)	B. melitensis	Attenuated	Attenuated	97
dnaJ	Heat shock protein	B. melitensis	Attenuated	Attenuated	97
mtgA	Peptidoglycan transglycosylase (membrane metabolism)	B. melitensis	Attenuated	Attenuated	97
vsrB	Two-component system	B. melitensis	Attenuated	Attenuated	97
fliF	Flagellar MS ring	B. melitensis	Attenuated	Attenuated	97
gcvB	Glycine dehydrogenase	B. abortus	ND	Attenuated	75
gluP	Glucose/galactose permease	B. abortus	ND	Attenuated	75
wbkA	LPS synthesis	B. abrotus	ND	Attenuated	75
gltD	Glutamate synthase	B. abortus	ND	Attenuated	75

TABLE 5. Brucella genes identified by STM

^a ND, not determined.

can be assessed by either the death or survival of infected mice (89). The rapidity of death of IRF- $1^{-/-}$ mice and the hepatic damage correlated with virulence when mice were infected with 5 \times 10⁵ CFU (90), suggesting that the hepatic damage contributes to the death of IRF- $1^{-/-}$ mice. This is one example of a combinatorial experiment. Similarly, STM experiments can be performed directly with specific gene KO mice instead of wild-type mice. Each KO mouse provides a different selective pressure to the mutants, and different sets of mutants are selected compared to wild-type mice. Thus, a unique opportunity exists to identify particular bacterial genes that cope with specific immune components. Alternatively, in vitro STM can be performed using specific KO cell lines such as dominant negative cell lines or cells obtained from individual gene KO mice. By using a specific dominant negative cell line infected with Brucella, host responses could be analyzed with DNA chips or proteomics. Also, gene KO mice could be infected with Brucella and then the responses of a purified cell population could be detected by using DNA chips or proteomics. Not only wild-type Brucella but also diverse genetically manipulated Brucella mutants can be used for these kinds of combinatorial experiments.

CONCLUSION

Novel Brucella vaccine strains have to be made based on an insightful understanding of bacterial pathogenesis and host

immunity. The rapid progress in brucellosis research was possible due to the application of STM, GFP-encoded Brucella strains, and gene KO mice. Characteristics of a Brucella vaccine, based on current knowledge, would be a live, attenuated organism without OPS because (i) a live, attenuated Brucella strain can persist in the host to stimulate Th1 immune responses and provide the antigens to stimulate B and T cells and (ii) the absence of OPS can serve as a diagnostic marker to discern infected and vaccinated animals. Therefore, the generation of live, attenuated strains based on host-pathogen interactions is being explored in combination with rapid and effective methods to detect attenuation in Brucella strains. In conjunction with genome-wide screening techniques, application of in vivo imaging of bacteria and IRF-1 KO mice will facilitate the detection of attenuation and ultimately accelerate the development of Brucella vaccine strains.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (RO1 AI48490) and the Binational Agricultural and Research and Development Fund (US.-2968-98C).

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, K., K. A. Al-Matrouk, G. Martinez, K. Oishi, V. O. Rotimi, and T. Nagatake. 1999. Increased serum levels of interferon-gamma and interleukin-12 during human brucellosis. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 61:425–427.
- Allen, C. A., L. G. Adams, and T. A. Ficht. 1998. Transposon-derived Brucella abortus rough mutants are attenuated and exhibit reduced intracellular survival. Infect. Immun. 66:1008–1016.

- Al-Mariri, A., A. Tibor, P. Mertens, X. De Bolle, P. Michel, J. Godefroid, K. Walravens, and J. J. Letesson. 2001. Protection of BALB/c mice against *Brucella abortus* 544 challenge by vaccination with bacterioferritin or P39 recombinant proteins with CpG oligodeoxynucleotides as adjuvant. Infect. Immun. 69:4816–4822.
- Anderson, L., and J. Seilhamer. 1997. A comparison of selected mRNA and protein abundances in human liver. Electrophoresis 18:533–537.
- Anderson, T. D., and N. F. Cheville. 1986. Ultrastructural morphometric analysis of *Brucella abortus*-infected trophoblasts in experimental placentitis. Bacterial replication occurs in rough endoplasmic reticulum. Am. J. Pathol. 124:226–237.
- Araya, L. N., P. H. Elzer, G. E. Rowe, F. M. Enright, and A. J. Winter. 1989. Temporal development of protective cell-mediated and humoral immunity in BALB/c mice infected with *Brucella abortus*. J. Immunol. 143:3330–3337.
- Arenas, G. N., A. S. Staskevich, A. Aballay, and L. S. Mayorga. 2000. Intracellular trafficking of *Brucella abortus* in J774 macrophages. Infect. Immun. 68:4255–4263.
- Baldi, P. C., M. M. Wanke, M. E. Loza, N. Monachesi, and C. A. Fossati. 1997. Diagnosis of canine brucellosis by detection of serum antibodies against an 18 kDa cytoplasmic protein of *Brucella* spp. Vet. Microbiol. 57:273–281.
- Baldwin, C. L., X. Jiang, and D. M. Fernandes. 1993. Macrophage control of *Brucella abortus*: influence of cytokines and iron. Trends Microbiol. 1:99–104.
- Baldwin, C. L., and A. J. Winter. 1994. Macrophages and Brucella. Immunol. Ser. 60:363–380.
- Barthel, R., J. Feng, J. A. Piedrahita, D. N. McMurray, J. W. Templeton, and L. G. Adams. 2001. Stable transfection of the bovine NRAMP1 gene into murine RAW264.7 cells: effect on *Brucella abortus* survival. Infect. Immun. 69:3110–3119.
- Bellamy, R. 1999. The natural resistance-associated macrophage protein and susceptibility to intracellular pathogens. Microbes Infect. 1:23–27.
- Bertotto, A., R. Gerli, F. Spinozzi, C. Muscat, F. Scalise, G. Castellucci, M. Sposito, F. Candio, and R. Vaccaro. 1993. Lymphocytes bearing the gamma delta T cell receptor in acute *Brucella melitensis* infection. Eur. J. Immunol. 23:1177–1180.
- Blasco, J. M. 1997. A review of the use of *B. melitensis* Rev 1 vaccine in adult sheep and goats. Prev. Vet. Med. 31:275–283.
- Blasco, J. M., C. Marin, M. P. Jimenez de Bagues, and M. Barberan. 1993. Efficacy of *Brucella suis* strain 2 vaccine against *Brucella ovis* in rams. Vaccine 11:1291–1294.
- Boschiroli, M. L., V. Foulongne, and D. O'Callaghan. 2001. Brucellosis: a worldwide zoonosis. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 4:58–64.
- Bosseray, N. 1991. *Brucella melitensis* Rev. 1 living attenuated vaccine: stability of markers, residual virulence and immunogenicity in mice. Biologicals 19:355–363.
- Bowden, R. A., A. Cloeckaert, M. S. Zygmunt, and G. Dubray. 1998. Evaluation of immunogenicity and protective activity in BALB/c mice of the 25-kDa major outer-membrane protein of *Brucella melitensis* (Omp25) expressed in *Escherichia coli*. J. Med. Microbiol. 47:39–48.
- Breedveld, M. W., and K. J. Miller. 1994. Cyclic beta-glucans of members of the family *Rhizobiaceae*. Microbiol. Rev. 58:145–161.
- Bricker, B. J., L. B. Tabatabai, B. L. Deyoe, and J. E. Mayfield. 1988. Conservation of antigenicity in a 31-kDa *Brucella* protein. Vet. Microbiol. 18:313–325.
- Bricker, B. J., L. B. Tabatabai, B. A. Judge, B. L. Deyoe, and J. E. Mayfield. 1990. Cloning, expression, and occurrence of the *Brucella* Cu-Zn superoxide dismutase. Infect. Immun. 58:2935–2939.
- Brinley Morgan, W. J., and M. J. Corbel. 1990. *Brucella* infections in man and animals: contagious equine metritis, p. 547–570. *In* M. T. Parker and L. H. Collier (ed.), Topley and Wilson's principles of bacteriology, virology and immunology, 8th ed. Edward Arnold, London, England.
- Briones, G., N. Inon de Iannino, M. Roset, A. Vigliocco, P. S. Paulo, and R. A. Ugalde. 2001. *Brucella abortus* cyclic beta-1,2-glucan mutants have reduced virulence in mice and are defective in intracellular replication in HeLa cells. Infect. Immun. 69:4528–4535.
- Briones, G., N. Inon de Iannino, M. Steinberg, and R. A. Ugalde. 1997. Periplasmic cyclic 1,2-beta-glucan in *Brucella* spp. is not osmoregulated. Microbiology 143:1115–1124.
- Brooks-Worrell, B. M., and G. A. Splitter. 1992. Antigens of *Brucella abortus* S19 immunodominant for bovine lymphocytes as identified by oneand two-dimensional cellular immunoblotting. Infect. Immun. 60:2459– 2464.
- Canning, P. C., J. A. Roth, and B. L. Deyoe. 1986. Release of 5'-guanosine monophosphate and adenine by *Brucella abortus* and their role in the intracellular survival of the bacteria. J. Infect. Dis. 154:464–470.
- Canning, P. C., J. A. Roth, L. B. Tabatabai, and B. L. Deyoe. 1985. Isolation of components of *Brucella abortus* responsible for inhibition of function in bovine neutrophils. J. Infect. Dis. 152:913–921.
- Caron, E., A. Gross, J. P. Liautard, and J. Dornand. 1996. *Brucella* species release a specific, protease-sensitive, inhibitor of TNF-α expression, active on human macrophage-like cells. J. Immunol. 156:2885–2893.

- Celis, J. E., M. Kruhoffer, I. Gromova, C. Frederiksen, M. Ostergaard, T. Thykjaer, P. Gromov, J. Yu, H. Palsdottir, N. Magnusson, et al. 2000. Gene expression profiling: monitoring transcription and translation products using DNA microarrays and proteomics. FEBS. Lett. 480:2–16.
- Cespedes, S., E. Andrews, H. Folch, and A. Onate. 2000. Identification and partial characterisation of a new protective antigen of *Brucella abortus*. J. Med. Microbiol. 49:165–170.
- Chevalier P, E. Bonnefoy, G. Kirkorian, and P. Touboul. 1996. Brucella pancarditis with fatal outcome. Presse Med. 25:628–630.
- Cheville, N. F., R. A. Kunkle, A. E. Jensen, and M. V. Palmer. 1995. Persistence of *Brucella abortus* in the livers of T cell-deficient nude mice. Lab. Investig. 73:96–102.
- 33. Cheville, N. F., S. C. Olsen, A. E. Jensen, M. G. Stevens, A. M. Florance, H. S. Houng, E. S. Drazek, R. L. Warren, T. L. Hadfield, and D. L. Hoover. 1996. Bacterial persistence and immunity in goats vaccinated with a *purE* deletion mutant or the parental 16M strain of *Brucella melitensis*. Infect. Immun. 64:2431–2439.
- 34. Chirhart-Gilleland, R. L., M. E. Kovach, P. H. Elzer, S. R. Jennings, and R. M. Roop, 2nd. 1998. Identification and characterization of a 14-kilodalton *Brucella abortus* protein reactive with antibodies from naturally and experimentally infected hosts and T lymphocytes from experimentally infected BALB/c mice. Infect. Immun. 66:4000–4003.
- Comerci, D. J., M. J. Martinez-Lorenzo, R. Sieira, J. P. Gorvel, and R. A. Ugalde. 2001. Essential role of the VirB machinery in the maturation of the *Brucella abortus*-containing vacuole. Cell. Microbiol. 3:159–168.
- Contag, C. H., P. R. Contag, J. I. Mullins, S. D. Spilman, D. K. Stevenson, and D. A. Benaron. 1995. Photonic detection of bacterial pathogens in living hosts. Mol. Microbiol. 18:593–603.
- Corbeil, L. B., K. Blau, T. J. Inzana, K. H. Nielsen, R. H. Jacobson, R. R. Corbeil, and A. J. Winter. 1988. Killing of *Brucella abortus* by bovine serum. Infect. Immun. 56:3251–3261.
- Corbel, M. J. 1990. *Brucella*, p. 339–353. *In* M. T. Parker and L. H. Collier (ed.), Topley and Wilson's principles of bacteriology, virology and immunology, 8th ed. Edward Arnold, London, England.
- Corbel, M. J. 1997. Brucellosis: an overview. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 3:213–221.
 Cossart, P., P. Boquet, S. Normark, and R. Rappuoli. 1996. Cellular miarchitectropy emerging. Science 271:215, 216.
- crobiology emerging. Science 271:315–316.
 41. Crawford, R. M., D. V. Van, L. Yuan, T. L. Hadfield, R. L. Warren, E. S. Drazek, H. H. Houng, C. Hammack, K. Sasala, T. Polsinelli, et al. 1996. Deletion of *purE* attenuates *Brucella melitensis* infection in mice. Infect. Immun. 64:2188–2192.
- 42. Debbarh, H. S., M. S. Zygmunt, G. Dubray, and A. Cloeckaert. 1996. Competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using monoclonal antibodies to the *Brucella melitensis* BP26 protein to evaluate antibody responses in infected and *B. melitensis* Rev.1 vaccinated sheep. Vet. Microbiol. 53:325–337.
- Delrue, R. M., M. Martinez-Lorenzo, P. Lestrate, I. Danese, V. Bielarz, P. Mertens, X. De Bolle, A. Tibor, J. P. Gorvel, and J. J. Letesson. 2001. Identification of *Brucella* spp. genes involved in intracellular trafficking. Cell. Microbiol. 3:487–497.
- 44. DelVecchio, V. G., V. Kapatral, R. J. Redkar, G. Patra, C. Mujer, T. Los, N. Ivanova, I. Anderson, A. Bhattacharyya, A. Lykidis, G. Reznik, L. Jablonski, N. Larsen, M. D'Souza, A. Bernal, M. Mazur, E. Goltsman, E. Selkoy, P. H. Elzer, S. Hagius, D. O'Callaghan, J. J. Letesson, R. Haselkorn, N. Kyrpides, and R. Overbeek. 2002. The genome sequence of the facultative intracellular pathogen *Brucella melitensis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99: 443–448.
- 45. Denoel, P. A., T. K. Vo, A. Tibor, V. E. Weynants, J. M. Trunde, G. Dubraym, J. N. Limet, and J. J. Letesson. 1997. Characterization, occurrence, and molecular cloning of a 39-kilodalton *Brucella abortus* cytoplasmic protein immunodominant in cattle. Infect. Immun. 65:495–502.
- 46. Denoel, P. A., T. K. Vo, V. E. Weynants, A. Tibor, D. Gilson, M. S. Zygmunt, J. N. Limet, and J. J. Letesson. 1997. Identification of the major T-cell antigens present in the *Brucella melitensis* B115 protein preparation, Brucellergene OCB. J. Med. Microbiol. 46:801–806.
- Detilleux, P. G., B. L. Deyoe, and N. F. Cheville. 1990. Penetration and intracellular growth of *Brucella abortus* in nonphagocytic cells in vitro. Infect. Immun. 58:2320–2328.
- Doyle, A. G., W. J. Halliday, C. J. Barnett, T. L. Dunn, and D. A. Hume. 1992. Effect of recombinant human macrophage colony-stimulating factor 1 on immunopathology of experimental brucellosis in mice. Infect. Immun. 60:1465–1472.
- Drazek, E. S., H. S. Houng, R. M. Crawford, T. L. Hadfield, D. L. Hoover, and R. L. Warren. 1995. Deletion of *purE* attenuates *Brucella melitensis* 16M for growth in human monocyte-derived macrophages. Infect. Immun. 63:3297–3301.
- Edmonds, M., N. Booth, S. Hagius, J. Walker, F. Enright, and R. M. Roop, Jr., and P. Elzer. 2000. Attenuation and immunogenicity of a *Brucella abortus htrA cycL* double mutant in cattle. Vet. Microbiol. 76:81–90.
- Ekaza, E., L. Guilloteau, J. Teyssier, J. P. Liautard, and S. Kohler. 2000. Functional analysis of the ClpATPase ClpA of *Brucella suis*, and persistence of a knockout mutant in BALB/c mice. Microbiology 146:1605–1616.

- 52. Elzer, P. H, R. H. Jacobson, K. H. Nielsen, J. T. Douglas, and A. J. Winter. Hadfield. 1
- 1994. BALB/c mice infected with *Brucella abortus* express protracted polyclonal responses of both IgG2a and IgG3 isotypes. Immunol. Lett. **42:**145– 150.
- 53. Elzer, P. H., R. W. Phillips, G. T. Robertson, and R. M. Roop, Jr. 1996. The HtrA stress response protease contributes to resistance of *Brucella abortus* to killing by murine phagocytes. Infect. Immun. 64:4838–4841.
- Endley, S., D. McMurray, and T. A. Ficht. 2001. Interruption of the *cydB* locus in *Brucella abortus* attenuates intracellular survival and virulence in the mouse model of infection. J. Bacteriol. 183:2454–2462.
- Fernandes, D. M., R. Benson, and C. L. Baldwin. 1995. Lack of a role for natural killer cells in early control of *Brucella abortus* 2308 infections in mice. Infect. Immun. 63:4029–4033.
- 56. Fernandez-Prada, C. M., M. Nikolich, R. Vemulapalli, N. Sriranganathan, S. M. Boyle, G. G. Schurig, T. L. Hadfield, and D. L. Hoover. 2001. Deletion of *wboA* enhances activation of the lectin pathway of complement in *Brucella abortus* and *Brucella melitensis*. Infect. Immun. 69:4407–4416.
- Finlay, B. B., and S. Falkow. 1989. Common themes in microbial pathogenicity. Microbiol. Rev. 53:210–230.
- Finlay, B. B., and S. Falkow. 1997. Common themes in microbial pathogenicity revisited. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 61:136–169.
- Foulongne, V., G. Bourg, C. Cazevieille, S. Michaux-Charachon, D. O'Callaghan. 2000. Identification of *Brucella suis* genes affecting intracellular survival in an in vitro human macrophage infection model by signature-tagged transposon mutagenesis. Infect. Immun. 68:1297–1303.
- Foulongne, V., K. Walravens, G. Bourg, M. L. Boschiroli, J. Godfroid, M. Ramuz, and D. O'Callaghan. 2001. Aromatic compound-dependent *Brucella suis* is attenuated in both cultured cells and mouse models. Infect. Immun. 69:547–550.
- Francis, K. P., D. Joh, C. Bellinger-Kawahara, M. J. Hawkinson, T. F. Purchio, and P. R. Contag. 2000. Monitoring bioluminescent *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in living mice using a novel *luxABCDE* construct. Infect. Immun. 68:3594–3600.
- Frenchick, P. J., R. J. Markham, and A. H. Cochrane. 1985. Inhibition of phagosome-lysosome fusion in macrophages by soluble extracts of virulent *Brucella abortus*. Am. J. Vet. Res. 46:332–335.
- Fridovich, I. 1989. Superoxide dismutases. An adaptation to a paramagnetic gas. J. Biol. Chem. 264:7761–7764.
- Gay, B., S. Sanchez-Teff, and R. Caravano. 1984. Ultrastructural localization of NADPH-oxidase activity in murine peritoneal macrophages during phagocytosis of *Brucella*. Correlation with the production of superoxide anions. Virchows. Arch. B 45:147–155.
- 65. Godfroid, F, B. Taminiau, I. Danese. P. Denoel, A. Tibor, V. Weynants, A. Cloeckaert, J. Godfroid, and J. J. Letesson. 1998. Identification of the perosamine synthetase gene of *Brucella melitensis* 16M and involvement of lipopolysaccharide O side chain in *Brucella* survival in mice and in macrophages. Infect. Immun. 66:5485–5493.
- 66. Goldbaum, F. A., J. Leoni, J. C. Wallach, and C. A. Fossati. 1993. Characterization of an 18-kilodalton *Brucella* cytoplasmic protein which appears to be a serological marker of active infection of both human and bovine brucellosis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 31:2141–2145.
- Goldbaum, F. A., C. A. Velikovsky, P. C. Baldi, S. Mortl, A. Bacher, and C. A. Fossati. 1999. The 18-kDa cytoplasmic protein of *Brucella* species—an antigen useful for diagnosis—is a lumazine synthase. J. Med. Microbiol. 48:833–839.
- Grillo, M. J., N. Bosseray, and J. M. Blasco. 2000. In vitro markers and biological activity in mice of seed lot strains and commercial *Brucella melitensis* Rev 1 and *Brucella abortus* B19 vaccines. Biologicals 28:119–127.
- Halling, S. M., P. G. Detilleux, F. M. Tatum, B. A. Judge, and J. E. Mayfield. 1991. Deletion of the BCSP31 gene of *Brucella abortus* by replacement. Infect. Immun. 59:3863–3868.
- Hemmen, F., V. Weynants, T. Scarcez, J. J. Letesson, and E. Saman. 1995. Cloning and sequence analysis of a newly identified *Brucella abortus* gene and serological evaluation of the 17-kilodalton antigen that it encodes. Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol. 2:263–267.
- Ho, M., and C. Cheers. 1982. Resistance and susceptibility of mice to bacterial infection. IV. Genetic and cellular basis of resistance to chronic infection with *Brucella abortus*. J. Infect. Dis. 146:381–387.
- Hoffmann, E. M., and J. J. Houle. 1983. Failure of *Brucella abortus* lipopolysaccharide (LPS) to activate the alternative pathway of complement. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 5:65–76.
- Hoffmann, E. M., and J. J. Houle. 1995. Contradictory roles for antibody and complement in the interaction of *Brucella abortus* with its host. Crit. Rev. Microbiol. 21:153–163.
- Hoiseth, S. K., and B. A. Stocker. 1981. Aromatic-dependent Salmonella typhimurium are non-virulent and effective as live vaccines. Nature 291:238– 239.
- Hong, P. C., R. M. Tsolis, and T. A. Ficht. 2000. Identification of genes required for chronic persistence of *Brucella abortus* in mice. Infect. Immun. 68:4102–4107.
- Hoover, D. L., R. M. Crawford, L. L. Van De Verg, M. J. Izadjoo, A. K. Bhattacharjee, C. M. Paranavitana, R. L. Warren, M. P. Nikolich, and T. L.

Hadfield. 1999. Protection of mice against brucellosis by vaccination with *Brucella melitensis* WR201(16M Δ purEK). Infect. Immun. 67:5877–5884.

- 77. Inon de Iannino, N., G. Briones, M. Tolmasky, and R. A. Ugalde. 1998. Molecular cloning and characterization of cgs, the Brucella abortus cyclic beta(1-2) glucan synthetase gene: genetic complementation of Rhizobium meliloti ndvB and Agrobacterium tumefaciens chvB mutants. J. Bacteriol. 180:4392-4400.
- Ireton, K., B. Payrastre, H. Chap, W. Ogawa, H. Sakaue, M. Kasuga, and P. Cossart. 1996. A role for phosphoinositide 3-kinase in bacterial invasion. Science 274:780–782.
- Izadjoo, M. J., Y. Polotsky, M. G. Mense, A. K. Bhattacharjee, C. M. Paranavitana, T. L. Hadfield, and D. L. Hoover. 2000. Impaired control of *Brucella melitensis* infection in Rag1-deficient mice. Infect. Immun. 68: 5314–5320.
- Jahans, K. L., G. Foster, and E. S. Broughton. 1997. The characterisation of *Brucella* strains isolated from marine mammals. Vet. Microbiol. 57:373– 382.
- Jiang, X., and C. L. Baldwin. 1993. Iron augments macrophage-mediated killing of *Brucella abortus* alone and in conjunction with interferon-gamma. Cell. Immunol. 148:397–407.
- Jiang, X., B. Leonard, R. Benson, and C. L. Baldwin. 1993. Macrophage control of *Brucella abortus:* role of reactive oxygen intermediates and nitric oxide. Cell. Immunol. 151:309–319.
- 83. Jimenez de Bagues, M. P., C. M. Marin, M. Barberan, and J. M. Blasco. 1989. Responses of ewes to *B. melitensis* Rev1 vaccine administered by subcutaneous or conjunctival routes at different stages of pregnancy. Ann. Rech. Vet. 20:205–213.
- Jimenez de Bagues, M. P., M. Barberan, C. M. Marin, and J. M. Blasco. 1995. The *Brucella abortus* RB51 vaccine does not confer protection against *Brucella ovis* in rams. Vaccine 13:301–304.
- Jones, S. M., and A. J. Winter. 1992. Survival of virulent and attenuated strains of *Brucella abortus* in normal and gamma interferon-activated murine peritoneal macrophages. Infect. Immun. 60:3011–3014.
- Kaufmann, A. F., M. I. Meltzer, and G. P. Schmid. 1997. The economic impact of a bioterrorist attack: are prevention and postattack intervention programs justifiable? Emerg. Infect. Dis. 3:83–94.
- Keleti, G., D. S. Feingold, and J. S. Youngner. 1974. Interferon induction in mice by lipopolysaccharide from *Brucella abortus*. Infect. Immun. 10:282– 283.
- Kittelberger, R., F. Hilbink, M. F. Hansen, G. P. Ross, G. W. de Lisle, A. Cloeckaert, and J. de Bruyn. 1995. Identification and characterization of immunodominant antigens during the course of infection with *Brucella ovis*. J. Vet. Diagn. Investig. 7:210–218.
- Ko, J., A. Gendron-Fitzpatrick, and G. A. Splitter. 2002. Susceptibility of interferon regulatory factor (IRF-1) and interferon consensus sequence binding protein (ICSBP) deficient mice to brucellosis. J. Immunol. 168: 2433–2440.
- Ko, J., A. Gendron-Fitzpatrick, T. A. Ficht, and G. A. Splitter. 2002. Virulence criteria for *Brucella abortus* strains as determined by interferon regulatory factor-1 deficient mice. Infect. Immun. 70:7004–7012.
- Kohler, S., M. Layssac, A. Naroeni, I. Gentschev, M. Rittig, and J. P. Liautard. 2001. Secretion of listeriolysin by *Brucella suis* inhibits its intramacrophagic replication. Infect. Immun. 69:2753–2756.
- Kohler, S., S. Ouahrani-Bettache, M. Layssac, J. Teyssier, and J. P. Liautard. 1999. Constitutive and inducible expression of green fluorescent protein in *Brucella suis*. Infect. Immun. 67:6695–6697.
- Kohler, S., J. Teyssier, A. Cloeckaert, B. Rouot, and J. P. Liautard. 1996. Participation of the molecular chaperone DnaK in intracellular growth of *Brucella suis* within U937-derived phagocytes. Mol. Microbiol. 20:701–712.
- Kovach, M. E., P. H. Elzer, G. T. Robertson, R. L. Chirhart-Gilleland, M. A. Christensen, K. M. Peterson, and R. M. Roop, Jr. 1997. Cloning and nucleotide sequence analysis of a *Brucella abortus* gene encoding an 18 kDa immunoreactive protein. Microb. Pathog. 22:241–246.
 Kreutzer, D. L., L. A. Dreyfus, and D. C. Robertson. 1979. Interaction of
- Kreutzer, D. L., L. A. Dreyfus, and D. C. Robertson. 1979. Interaction of polymorphonuclear leukocytes with smooth and rough strains of *Brucella abortus*. Infect. Immun. 23:737–742.
- Kurar, E., and G. A. Splitter. 1997. Nucleic acid vaccination of *Brucella* abortus ribosomal L7/L12 gene elicits immune response. Vaccine 15:1851– 1857.
- Lestrate, P., R. M. Delrue, I. Danese, C. Didembourg, B. Taminiau, P. Mertens, X. De Bolle, A. Tibor, C. M. Tang, and J. J. Letesson. 2000. Identification and characterization of *in vivo* attenuated mutants of *Brucella melitensis*. Mol. Microbiol. 38:543–551.
- LeVier, K., R. W. Phillips, V. K. Grippe, R. M. Roop, Jr., and G. C. Walker. 2000. Similar requirements of a plant symbiont and a mammalian pathogen for prolonged intracellular survival. Science 287:2492–2493.
- Liautard, J. P., A. Gross, J. Dornand, and S. Kohler. 1996. Interactions between professional phagocytes and *Brucella* spp. Microbiologia 12:197– 206.
- Limet, J. N., A. Cloeckaert, G. Bezard, J. Van Broeck, and G. Dubray. 1993. Antibody response to the 89-kDa outer membrane protein of *Brucella* in bovine brucellosis. J. Med. Microbiol. 39:403–407.

- Lin, J., and T. A. Ficht. 1995. Protein synthesis in *Brucella abortus* induced during macrophage infection. Infect. Immun. 63:1409–1414.
- 102. Lindler, L. E., T. L. Hadfield, B. D. Tall, N. J. Snellings, F. A. Rubin, L. L. Van De Verg, D. Hoover, and R. L. Warren. 1996. Cloning of a *Brucella melitensis* group 3 antigen gene encoding Omp28, a protein recognized by the humoral immune response during human brucellosis. Infect. Immun. 64:2490–2499.
- 103. Madkour, M. M. 2001. Madkour's brucellosis, p. 11. Springer Verlag, New York, N.Y.
- 104. McQuiston, J. R., R. Vemulapalli, T. J. Inzana, G. G. Schurig, N. Sriranganathan, D. Fritzinger, T. L. Hadfield, R. A. Warren, L. E. Lindler, N. Snellings, et al. 1999. Genetic characterization of a Tn 5-disrupted glycosyltransferase gene homolog in *Brucella abortus* and its effect on lipopolysaccharide composition and virulence. Infect. Immun. 67:3830–3835.
- 105. Michaux, S., J. Paillisson, M. J. Carles-Nurit, G. Bourg, A. Allardet-Servent, and M. Ramuz. 1993. Presence of two independent chromosomes in the *Brucella melitensis* 16M genome. J. Bacteriol. 175:701–705.
- 106. Michaux-Charachon, S., G. Bourg, E. Jumas-Bilak, P. Guigue-Talet, A. Allardet-Servent, D. O'Callaghan, and M. Ramuz. 1997. Genome structure and phylogeny in the genus *Brucella*. J. Bacteriol. **179**:3244–3249.
- Montaraz, J. A., and A. J. Winter. 1986. Comparison of living and nonliving vaccines for *Brucella abortus* in BALB/c mice. Infect. Immun. 53:245–251.
- Montaraz, J. A., A. J. Winter, D. M. Hunter, B. A. Sowa, A. M. Wu, and L. G. Adams. 1986. Protection against *Brucella abortus* in mice with Opolysaccharide-specific monoclonal antibodies. Infect. Immun. 51:961–963.
- 109. Moreno, E., E. Stackebrandt, M. Dorsch, J. Wolters, M. Busch, and H. Mayer. 1990. *Brucella abortus* 16S rRNA and lipid A reveal a phylogenetic relationship with members of the alpha-2 subdivision of the class *Proteobacteria*. J. Bacteriol. **172**;3569–3576.
- 110. Reference deleted.
- 111. Mounier, J., V. Laurent, A. Hall, P. Fort, M. F. Carlier, P. J. Sansonetti, and C. Egile. 1999. Rho family GTPases control entry of *Shigella flexneri* into epithelial cells but not intracellular motility. J. Cell Sci. 112:2069–2080.
- 112. Muffler, A., D. Fischer, and R. Hengge-Aronis. 1996. The RNA-binding protein HF-I, known as a host factor for phage Qβ RNA replication, is essential for rpoS translation in *Escherichia coli*. Genes Dev. 10:1143–1151.
- 113. Murphy, E. A, J. Sathiyaseelan, M. A. Parent, B. Zou, and C. L. Baldwin. 2001. Interferon-gamma is crucial for surviving a *Brucella abortus* infection in both resistant C57BL/6 and susceptible BALB/c mice. Immunology 103: 511–518.
- Naroeni, A., N. Jouy, S. Ouahrani-Bettache, J. P. Liautard, and F. Porte. 2001. Brucella suis-impaired specific recognition of phagosomes by lysosomes due to phagosomal membrane modifications. Infect. Immun. 69:486– 493.
- 115. Nathan, C., and M. U. Shiloh. 2000. Reactive oxygen and nitrogen intermediates in the relationship between mammalian hosts and microbial pathogens. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97:8841–8848.
- 116. O'Callaghan, D., C. Cazevieille, A. Allardet-Servent, M. L. Boschiroli, G. Bourg, V. Foulongne, P. Frutos, Y. Kulakov, and M. Ramuz. 1999. A homologue of the Agrobacterium tumefaciens VirB and Bordetella pertussis Pt1 type IV secretion systems is essential for intracellular survival of Brucella suis. Mol. Microbiol. 33:1210–1220.
- 117. Oliveira, S. C., J. S. Harms, M. Banai, and G. A. Splitter. 1996. Recombinant *Brucella abortus* proteins that induce proliferation and gamma-interferon secretion by CD4+ T cells from *Brucella*-vaccinated mice and delayed-type hypersensitivity in sensitized guinea pigs. Cell. Immunol. 172: 262–268.
- Oliveira, S. C., and G. A. Splitter. 1994. Subcloning and expression of the Brucella abortus L7/L12 ribosomal gene and T-lymphocyte recognition of the recombinant protein. Infect. Immun. 62:5201–5204.
- 119. Oliveira, S. C., and G. A. Splitter. 1995. CD8⁺ type 1 CD44^{hi} CD45 RB^{lo} T lymphocytes control intracellular *Brucella abortus* infection as demonstrated in major histocompatibility complex class I- and class II-deficient mice. Eur. J. Immunol. 25:2551–2557.
- Oliveira, S. C., and G. A. Splitter. 1996. Immunization of mice with recombinant L7/L12 ribosomal protein confers protection against *Brucella abortus* infection. Vaccine 14:959–962.
- 121. Olsen, S. C., N. F. Cheville, M. G. Stevens, H. H. Houng, E. S. Drazek, T. L. Hadfield, R. L. Warren, and D. L. Hoover. 1997. Lymphocyte proliferative responses of goats vaccinated with *Brucella melitensis* 16M or a *purE201* strain. Infect. Immun. 65:2987–2991.
- 122. Ottones, F., J. Dornand, A. Naroeni, J. P. Liautard, and J. Favero. 2000. Vγ9Vδ2 T cells impair intracellular multiplication of *Brucella suis* in autologous monocytes through soluble factor release and contact-dependent cytotoxic effect. J. Immunol. 165:7133–7139.
- 123. Ottones, F., J. Liautard, A. Gross, F. Rabenoelina, J. P. Liautard, and J. Favero. 2000. Activation of human Vγ9Vδ2 T cells by a *Brucella suis* non-peptidic fraction impairs bacterial intracellular multiplication in monocytic infected cells. Immunology 100:252–258.
- 124. Pavlov, H., M. Hogarth, I. F. McKenzie, and C. Cheers. 1982. In vivo and in vitro effects of monoclonal antibody to Ly antigens on immunity to infection. Cell. Immunol. 71:127–138.

- 125. Phillips, M., B. L. Deyoe, and P. C. Canning. 1989. Protection of mice against *Brucella abortus* infection by inoculation with monoclonal antibodies recognizing *Brucella* O-antigen. Am. J. Vet. Res. 50:2158–2161.
- 126. Phillips, R. W., P. H. Elzer, G. T. Robertson, S. D. Hagius, J. V. Walker, M. B. Fatemi, F. M. Enright, and R. M. Roop, Jr. 1997. A Brucella melitensis high-temperature-requirement A (htrA) deletion mutant is attenuated in goats and protects against abortion. Res. Vet. Sci. 63:165–167.
- 127. Pizarro-Cerda, J., M. Desjardins, E. Moreno, S. Akira, and J. P. Gorvel. 1999. Modulation of endocytosis in nuclear factor IL-6(-/-) macrophages is responsible for a high susceptibility to intracellular bacterial infection. J. Immunol. 162:3519–3526.
- 128. Pizarro-Cerda, J., S. Meresse, R. G. Parton, G. van der Goot, A. Sola-Landa, I. Lopez-Goni, E. Moreno, and J. P. Gorvel. 1998. *Brucella abortus* transits through the autophagic pathway and replicates in the endoplasmic reticulum of nonprofessional phagocytes. Infect. Immun. 66:5711–5724.
- Pizarro-Cerda, J., E. Moreno, M. Desjardins, and J. P. Gorvel. 1997. When intracellular pathogens invade the frontiers of cell biology and immunology. Histol. Histopathol. 12:1027–1038.
- Porte, F., J. P. Liautard, and S. Kohler. 1999. Early acidification of phagosomes containing *Brucella suis* is essential for intracellular survival in murine macrophages. Infect. Immun. 67:4041–4047.
- 131. Price, R. E., J. W. Templeton, and L. G. Adams. 1990. Survival of smooth, rough and transposon mutant strains of *Brucella abortus* in bovine mammary macrophages. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 26:353–365.
- Rafie-Kolpin, M., R. C. Essenberg, and J. H. Wyckoff III. 1996. Identification and comparison of macrophage-induced proteins and proteins induced under various stress conditions in *Brucella abortus*. Infect. Immun. 64:5274– 5283.
- Riley, L. K., and D. C. Robertson. 1984. Ingestion and intracellular survival of *Brucella abortus* in human and bovine polymorphonuclear leukocytes. Infect. Immun. 46:224–230.
- Rittig, M. G., M. T. Alvarez-Martinez, F. Porte, J. P. Liautard, and B. Rouot. 2001. Intracellular survival of *Brucella* spp. in human monocytes involves conventional uptake but special phagosomes. Infect. Immun. 69: 3995–4006.
- 135. Robertson, G. T., M. E. Kovach, C. A. Allen, T. A. Ficht, and R. M. Roop, Jr. 2000. The *Brucella abortus* Lon functions as a generalized stress response protease and is required for wild-type virulence in BALB/c mice. Mol. Microbiol. 35:577–588.
- 136. Robertson, G. T., and R. M. Roop, Jr. 1999. The *Brucella abortus* host factor I (HF-I) protein contributes to stress resistance during stationary phase and is a major determinant of virulence in mice. Mol. Microbiol. 34:690–700.
- 137. Robertson, M. 1998. Innate immunity: Curr. Biol. 8:R595-R597.
- 138. Roop, R. M., Jr., T. W. Fletcher, N. M. Sriranganathan, S. M. Boyle, and G. G. Schurig. 1994. Identification of an immunoreactive *Brucella abortus* HtrA stress response protein homolog. Infect. Immun. 62:1000–1007.
- 139. Roop, R. M., Jr., R. W. Phillips, S. Hagius, J. V. Walker, N. J. Booth, W. Todd Fulton, M. D. Edmonds, and P. H. Elzer. 2001. Re-examination of the role of the *Brucella melitensis* HtrA stress response protease in virulence in pregnant goats. Vet. Microbiol. 82:91–95.
- 140. Rossetti, O. L., A. I. Arese, M. L. Boschiroli, and S. L. Cravero. 1996. Cloning of *Brucella abortus* gene and characterization of expressed 26kilodalton periplasmic protein: potential use for diagnosis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 34:165–169.
- 141. Salmeron, I., M. Rodriguez-Zapata, O. Salmeron, L. Manzano, S. Vaquer, and M. Alvarez-Mon. 1992. Impaired activity of natural killer cells in patients with acute brucellosis. Clin. Infect. Dis. 15:764–770.
- 142. Sanchez, D. O., R. O. Zandomeni, S. Cravero, R. E. Verdun, E. Pierrou, P. Faccio, G. Diaz, S. Lanzavecchia, F. Aguero, A. C. Frasch, et al. 2001. Gene discovery through genomic sequencing of *Brucella abortus*. Infect. Immun. 69:865–868.
- 143. Sangari, F. J., and J. Aguero. 1996. Molecular basis of *Brucella* pathogenicity: an update. Microbiologia 12:207–218.
- 144. Saunders, B. M., Z. Liu, Y. Zhan, and C. Cheers. 1993. Interleukin-6 production during chronic experimental infection. Immunol. Cell. Biol. 71:275–280.
- 145. Schurig, G. G., R. M. Roop, Jr., T. Bagchi, S. Boyle, D. Buhrman, and N. Sriranganathan. 1991. Biological properties of RB51; a stable rough strain of *Brucella abortus*. Vet. Microbiol. 28:171–188.
- 146. Sieira, R., D. J. Comerci, D. O. Sanchez, and R. A. Ugalde. 2000. A homologue of an operon required for DNA transfer in *Agrobacterium* is required in *Brucella abortus* for virulence and intracellular multiplication. J. Bacteriol. **182**:4849–4855.
- 147. Sola-Landa, A., J. Pizarro-Cerda, M. J. Grillo, E. Moreno, I. Moriyon, J. M. Blasco, J. P. Gorvel, and I. Lopez-Goni. 1998. A two-component regulatory system playing a critical role in plant pathogens and endosymbionts is present in *Brucella abortus* and controls cell invasion and virulence. Mol. Microbiol. 29:125–138.
- 148. Stemshorn, B., K. Nielsen, and B. Samagh. 1981. The bovine immune response to *Brucella abortus*. III. Preparation of antisera against a *Brucella* component precipitated by sera of some infected cattle. Can. J. Comp. Med. 45:77–81.

- 149. Stevens, M. G., S. C. Olsen, G. W. Pugh, Jr., and D. Brees. 1995. Comparison of immune responses and resistance to brucellosis in mice vaccinated with *Brucella abortus* 19 or RB51. Infect. Immun. 63:264–270.
- 150. Stevens, M. G., S. C. Olsen, G. W. Pugh, and J. E. Mayfield. 1997. Role of immune responses to a GroEL heat shock protein in preventing brucellosis in mice vaccinated with *Brucella abortus* strain RB51. Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 20:147–153.
- 151. Stevens, M. G., S. C. Olsen, G. W. Pugh, Jr., and M. V. Palmer. 1994. Immune and pathologic responses in mice infected with *Brucella abortus* 19, RB51, or 2308. Infect. Immun. 62:3206–3212.
- 152. Stevens, M. G., L. B. Tabatabai, S. C. Olsen, and N. F. Cheville, 1994. Immune responses to superoxide dismutase and synthetic peptides of superoxide dismutase in cattle vaccinated with *Brucella abortus* strain 19 or RB51. Vet. Microbiol. 41:383–389.
- 153. Tabatabai, L. B., and G. W. Pugh, Jr. 1994. Modulation of immune responses in Balb/c mice vaccinated with *Brucella abortus* Cu-Zn superoxide dismutase synthetic peptide vaccine. Vaccine 12:919–924.
- 154. Tatum, F. M., P. G. Detilleux, J. M. Sacks, and S. M. Halling. 1992. Construction of Cu-Zn superoxide dismutase deletion mutants of *Brucella abortus*: analysis of survival in vitro in epithelial and phagocytic cells and in vivo in mice. Infect. Immun. 60:2863–2869.
- 155. Teixeira-Gomes, A. P., A. Cloeckaert, G. Bezard, R. A. Bowden, G. Dubray, and M. S. Zygmunt. 1997. Identification and characterization of *Brucella ovis* immunogenic proteins using two-dimensional electrophoresis and immunoblotting. Electrophoresis 18:1491–1497.
- Ugalde, J. E., C. Czibener, M. F. Feldman, and R. A. Ugalde. 2000. Identification and characterization of the *Brucella abortus* phosphoglucomutase gene: role of lipopolysaccharide in virulence and intracellular multiplication. Infect. Immun. 68:5716–5723.
- 157. Van, M. D., G. A. Kennedy, S. C. Olsen, G. R. Hansen, and D. R. Ewalt. 1999. Brucellosis induced by RB51 vaccine in a pregnant heifer. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 215:1491–1493, 1449.
- 158. Vemulapalli, R., A. J. Duncan, S. M. Boyle, N. Sriranganathan, T. E. Toth, and G. G. Schurig. 1998. Cloning and sequencing of *yajC* and *secD* homologs of *Brucella abortus* and demonstration of immune responses to YajC in mice vaccinated with *B. abortus* RB51. Infect. Immun. 66:5684– 5691.
- Vizcaino, N., A. Cloeckaert, G. Dubray, and M. S. Zygmunt. 1996. Cloning, nucleotide sequence, and expression of the gene coding for a ribosome releasing factor-homologous protein of *Brucella melitensis*. Infect. Immun. 64:4834–4837.
- 160. Vizcaino, N., M. S. Zygmunt, J. M. Verger, M. Grayon, and A. Cloeckaert.

1997. Localization and characterization of a specific linear epitope of the *Brucella* DnaK protein. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **154**:117–122.

- 161. Winter, A. J., J. R. Duncan, C. G. Santisteban, J. T. Douglas, and L. G. Adams. 1989. Capacity of passively administered antibody to prevent establishment of *Brucella abortus* infection in mice. Infect. Immun. 57:3438–3444.
- 162. Winter, A. J., G. G. Schurig, S. M. Boyle, N. Sriranganathan, J. A. Bevins, F. M. Enright, P. H. Elzer, and J. D. Kopec. 1996. Protection of BALB/c mice against homologous and heterologous species of *Brucella* by rough strain vaccines derived from *Brucella melitensis* and *Brucella suis* biovar 4. Am. J. Vet. Res. 57:677–683.
- 163. Yabu, K., J. S. Youngner, D. S. Feingold, G. Keleti, and E. Gorelik. 1991. Augmentation of natural killer cell activity in mice by Bru-Pel. J. Immunother. 10:307–312.
- 164. Young, E. J., M. Borchert, F. L. Kretzer, and D. M. Musher. 1985. Phagocytosis and killing of *Brucella* by human polymorphonuclear leukocytes. J. Infect. Dis. 151:682–690.
- 165. Zaitseva, M., H. Golding, J. Manischewitz, D. Webb, and B. Golding. 1996. Brucella abortus as a potential vaccine candidate: induction of interleukin-12 secretion and enhanced B7.1 and B7.2 and intercellular adhesion molecule 1 surface expression in elutriated human monocytes stimulated by heat-inactivated B. abortus. Infect. Immun. 64:3109–3117.
- 166. Zhan, Y., and C. Cheers. 1993. Endogenous gamma interferon mediates resistance to *Brucella abortus* infection. Infect. Immun. 61:4899–4901.
- 167. Zhan, Y., and C. Cheers. 1995. Endogenous interleukin-12 is involved in resistance to *Brucella abortus* infection. Infect. Immun. 63:1387–1390.
- Zhan, Y., and C. Cheers. 1998. Control of IL-12 and IFN-γ production in response to live or dead bacteria by TNF and other factors. J. Immunol. 161:1447–1453.
- Zhan, Y., A. Kelso, and C. Cheers. 1993. Cytokine production in the murine response to *Brucella* infection or immunization with antigenic extracts. Immunology 80:458–464.
- 170. Zhan, Y., Z. Liu, and C. Cheers. 1996. Tumor necrosis factor alpha and interleukin-12 contribute to resistance to the intracellular bacterium *Brucella abortus* by different mechanisms. Infect. Immun. 64:2782–2786.
- Zhan, Y. F., E. R. Stanley, and C. Cheers. 1991. Prophylaxis or treatment of experimental brucellosis with interleukin-1. Infect. Immun. 59:1790–1794.
- 172. Zhu, Y., S. C. Oliveira, and G. A. Splitter. 1993. Isolation of *Brucella abortus ssb* and *uvrA* genes from a genomic library by use of lymphocytes as probes. Infect. Immun. 61:5339–5344.
- 173. Zygmunt, M. S., F. B. Gilbert, and G. Dubray. 1992. Purification, characterization, and seroactivity of a 20-kilodalton *Brucella* protein antigen. J. Clin. Microbiol. 30:2662–2667.