Drosophila Target of Rapamycin Kinase Functions as a Multimer

Yong Zhang,* Charles J. Billington, Jr.,† Duojia Pan* and Thomas P. Neufeld^{†,1}

*Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21205-2185 and † Department of Genetics, Cell Biology and Development, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

> Manuscript received October 3, 2005 Accepted for publication October 4, 2005

ABSTRACT

Target of rapamycin (TOR) is a conserved regulator of cell growth and metabolism that integrates energy, growth factor, and nutrient signals. The 280-kDa TOR protein functions as the catalytic component of two large multiprotein complexes and consists of an N-terminal HEAT-repeat domain and a C-terminal Ser/Thr kinase domain. Here we describe an allelic series of mutations in the Drosophila Tor gene and show that combinations of mutations in the HEAT and kinase domains of TOR display the rare genetic phenomenon of intragenic complementation, in which two or more defective proteins assemble to form a functional multimer. We present biochemical evidence that TOR self-associates in vivo and show that this multimerization is unaffected by positive or negative signals upstream of TOR. Consistent with multimerization of TOR, recessive mutations in the HEAT and kinase domains can dominantly interfere with wild-type TOR function in cells lacking TSC1 or TSC2. TOR multimerization thus partially accounts for the high apparent molecular weight of TOR complexes and offers novel therapeutic strategies for pathologies stemming from TOR hyperactivity.

THE target of rapamycin (TOR) kinases are required
for normal growth and development in a variety of eukaryotic species, and their dysregulation is linked to a number of overgrowth syndromes including tuberous sclerosis and some cancers (reviewed in Fingar and BLENIS 2004; INOKI et al. 2005; MARTIN and HALL 2005). In both yeast and mammalian cells TOR has been shown to assemble into at least two distinct multisubunit complexes of 1.5–2.0 mDa (Fang et al. 2001; Hara et al. 2002; KIM et al. 2002; LOEWITH et al. 2002; WEDAMAN et al. 2003). Rapamycin-sensitive outputs of TOR such as protein synthesis and autophagy regulation are mediated by TOR complex 1 (TORC1), which includes TOR in association with the proteins GbL/LST8 and raptor/KOG1. A second complex known as TORC2 contains TOR, GbL, and rictor/AVO3, and functions in a rapamycin-insensitive manner to regulate the actin cytoskeleton (LOEWITH et al. 2002; Wedaman et al. 2003; Sarbassov et al. 2004). The stoichiometric relationships of these components, the number of unidentified components, and the mechanisms by which they regulate TOR signaling are unclear.

The binding sites of $G\beta L$ and raptor have been mapped to distinct regions of the 280-kDa TOR polypeptide. The amino-terminal half of TOR consists of a series of tandem HEAT repeats, paired helical structures that align to form a large platform for protein–protein interactions (ANDRADE and BORK 1995). Multiple sites within the HEAT domain contribute to interactions with raptor

(Kim et al. 2002), consistent with the widely distributed contacts reported for other HEAT-associated proteins (Groves et al. 1999). The TOR kinase domain, of the phosphoinositol kinase-related kinase (PIKK) family, acts as a binding site for GbL as well as for Rheb, a small Ras-related GTPase that mediates regulation of TOR by the tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) 1 and TSC2 gene products (Kim et al. 2003; Long et al. 2005). Other defined domains of TOR include a central conserved region of unknown function termed the FAT domain, the FKBP12-rapamycin binding site (FRB) adjacent to the kinase domain, and a highly conserved sequence at the carboxy terminus (FATC) that may couple TOR stability to the redox state of the cell (DAMES et al. 2005). Mutagenesis and overexpression studies have demonstrated that each of these domains is critical for TOR function. For example, deletions and point mutations in the HEAT, FRB, FATC, and kinase domains have been shown to perturb TOR activity, and the FAT and FRB domains dominantly inhibit TOR signaling when overexpressed (Brown et al. 1995; Alarcon et al. 1999; SABATINI et al. 1999; VILELLA-BACH et al. 1999; TAKAHASHI et al. 2000; HENNIG and NEUFELD 2002).

In contrast to these targeted mutagenesis studies, few examples of naturally derived or randomly generated mutations in the endogenous Tor gene have been described. In other PIKK family members such as ATM and DNA–PK, such mutations have been valuable reagents for structure-function analyses (BLUNT et al. 1996; Concannon and Gatti 1997). The present study was undertaken to identify loss-of-function point mutations in the Drosophila Tor gene, to gain insight into

¹Corresponding author: Department of Genetics, Cell Biology and Development, University of Minnesota, 6-160 Jackson Hall, 321 Church St. S.E., Minneapolis, MN 55455. E-mail: neufeld@med.umn.edu

functions of and interactions between different domains of TOR. Through this work we have generated a series of Tor mutant alleles, including the first reported missense mutations in the TOR HEAT repeats. We demonstrate that particular combinations of Tor mutants display intragenic (interallelic) complementation, and we provide genetic and biochemical evidence that this results from assembly of TOR polypeptides with defects in different domains into functional multimers. We discuss the implications of these results for our understanding of TOR function in normal and disease states.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drosophila strains and culture: Tor^{EP2353} , Tor^{k17004} , $Tor^{\Delta P}$, and $P[Tor^{WT}]$ have been previously described (ZHANG et al. 2000). Tor^{2L1} and Tor^{2L19} were a gift from E. Hafen (Zurich), $\mathit{Tsc2^{193}}$ was a gift from N. Ito (MGH), and $Tsc2^{109}$ was from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center. Flies were raised at 25° under uncrowded conditions on standard cornmeal/molasses/agar medium.

Mutagenesis: Male yw flies isogenic for the second and third chromosomes were fed 25 mm EMS overnight and mated en masse to yw; $P[w+]/SMS-TM6B$ virgin females. Male progeny carrying a mutagenized chromosome in trans to the Tb-marked SM6-TM6B balancer were crossed individually to yw; $Tor^{\Delta P}$ $P[w+]/SMS-TM6B$ virgin females. From noncomplementing crosses that failed to produce viable Tb^+ progeny, mutants were recovered as balanced w^- flies. To purge second lethal mutations from the Tor mutant chromosomes, lines whose viability could not be restored by a 9.4-kb Tor rescue construct (ZHANG et al. 2000) were outcrossed to isogenic yw flies; potential recombinant progeny were tested for rescuable failure to complement $Tor^{\Delta P}$. With the exception of Tor^{E161K} , which contains a closely linked second lethal mutation, viability and fertility of each homozygous mutant could be restored by the genomic rescue construct. To^{E161K} was classified as a class I mutant on the basis of its pupal lethal phase in heterozygous combination with class III null alleles.

For sequence determination, the Tor genomic region was PCR amplified in 4-kb segments from homozygous larvae of each mutant, using a 5:1 ratio of AmpliTaq Gold (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and Pfu turbo (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) polymerases. PCR products were sequenced with BigDye terminator v3.1 cycle-sequencing reagents (Applied Biosystems) on an ABI PRISM 3100-Avant genetic analyzer, using primers spaced every 500 bp. Altered nucleotides were confirmed by sequencing products from independent PCR reactions. Assignment of the catalytic and activation loops and residues involved in ATP binding was determined by sequence alignment with PI3Kgamma (WALKER et al. 1999).

Growth and viability assays: Adult body weight, wing size, cell size, and number were determined as described (Brogiolo et al. 2001). Percentage of survival was calculated as the variance from the expected number of nonbalanced progeny.

Immunoprecipitation: A total of 3×10^6 S2 cells per 60-mm plate were transfected with different combinations of plasmids $(1 \mu$ g each), using the Effectene reagent (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA). After 48 hr, transfected cells were treated with vehicle, rapamycin (20 nM) or insulin (10 μ g/ml) for 30 min and extracted in 0.5 ml ice-cold lysis buffer (20 mm HEPES, pH 7.6, 100 mm NaCl, 2 mm EGTA, 2 mm EDTA, 25 mm β -glycerolphosphate, 25 mm NaF, 1 mm Na₃VO₄, 1 mm DTT, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 1mm PMSF). After incubating the cell lysates with 2μ g of anti-HA antibody for 1 hr, 15 μ l protein G agarose beads (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL) were added and rocked at 4° overnight. Immunoprecipitates were washed five times with cold lysis buffer before analysis. Antibodies against HA and FLAG epitopes were from Sigma (St. Louis).

RESULTS

Identification of new point mutations in Tor: As an unbiased approach to identify domains and specific residues of the TOR polypeptide critical for its function, we carried out a forward genetic screen for EMS-induced mutations that fail to complement a Tor null mutation in Drosophila. From ${\sim}7900$ mutagenized chromosomes, 7 new Tor alleles were identified, each of which resulted in lethality in trans to a Tor deletion (data not shown). To classify these mutants, we examined the developmental stage at which homozygous and hemizygous mutant animals arrest. This analysis, which also included previously described null and hypomorphic Tor alleles from OLDHAM et al. (2000) and ZHANG et al. (2000), revealed three distinct phenotypic classes, described below.

Class I: Four of the mutants progressed through larval stages at a reduced rate and eventually arrested as small pupae. This is a weaker phenotype than that of previously described Tor mutants, and we designate these as class I mutations (Table 1). Interestingly, we found that each class I mutant results from a missense mutation in the HEAT-repeat domain of TOR (Figure 1A). Three of the four mutations map immediately adjacent to the hydrophobic core residues involved in folding of the HEAT-repeat structure (Figure 1B); in HEAT-repeatcontaining proteins with solved crystal structures, analogous residues have been shown to mediate binding to interacting proteins (CHOOK and BLOBEL 1999). Although the residues altered by these mutations are well conserved in TOR proteins from a variety of species (Figure 1D), the resulting amino acid substitutions can be found in analogous positions in other HEAT repeats in TOR and other proteins (Perry and Kleckner 2003). Together, these data suggest that class I mutations are unlikely to grossly disrupt the three-dimensional HEATrepeat structure of TOR, but rather may alter residues involved in specific protein–protein interactions.

Under optimal culture conditions, a low percentage (5–15%) of class I homozygous mutant animals were able to survive to adulthood. These adult escaper flies were about half the size of wild type (Figure 2A) and were developmentally delayed by 2 days at 25°. Interestingly, survival was skewed toward females $\sim10:1$ over males. In addition, both males and females were sterile. Ovaries dissected from class I mutant females displayed arrested development and increased cell death at a previtellogenic stage (17/20 mutant and 5/20 wild-type germaria contained acridine orange positive cells; Figure 2, B–D), consistent with a previously described requirement for nutritional signaling in yolk production and cell survival during oogenesis (Bownes et al.

TABLE 1

Phenotypic classification of TOR mutant alleles

Class	Homozygous phenotype	Example
	Pupal lethal; 5–15% small, sterile adult escapers	
Н	Third instar larval arrest	
ш	Second instar larval arrest	

Terminal phenotypes are listed for homozygous mutant animals. Images show representative examples of class I (Tor^{A948V}), II (Tor^{G2256D}), and III (Tor^{V2148D}) mutants. Bar, 1 mM.

1988; Drummond-Barbosa and Spradling 2001). Class I mutant males had abnormal testes with a grossly enlarged apical tip (Figure 2F), suggesting an increased number of germline stem cells or early stage spermatogonia. TOR signaling may normally function to promote differentiation or regulate proliferation of these cells.

Class II: One of the new Tor mutants was found to develop to the late third instar larval stage and arrest prior to pupation (Table 1). This mutation results in an aspartic acid substitution at a conserved glycine in the catalytic loop of the kinase domain (G2256D; Figure 1, C and D), and is thus likely to impair the catalytic activity of TOR; nonetheless, the relatively normal larval development of Tor^{G2256D} homozygotes indicates this mutant retains some degree of function. OLDHAM et al. (2000) have previously described a mutation in the activation loop of the TOR kinase domain (2L1/P2293L) that displays a similar late larval arrest. We thus designate these two mutants as class II alleles.

Class III: The final two mutants were phenotypically the most severe, arresting as small second instar larvae. Accordingly, one mutation results in a translation stop at codon 528 and the other causes an aspartic acid

FIGURE 1.—Graphical representation of Tor mutant alleles. (A) Mutations are shown relative to defined structural and functional domains of the TOR polypeptide and are classified according to phenotypic severity and genetic interactions (see text). (B) Alignment of the four HEAT repeats carrying class I mutations. The two α -helices of each repeat are indicated above the alignments. The seven hydrophobic core residues of each repeat (ANDRADE et al. 2001) are highlighted in yellow, and mutated residues are highlighted in red. HEAT-repeat numbering (in parentheses) is from Perry and Kleckner (2003). (C) Mutations in the TOR kinase domain (residues 2065–2351) map to the catalytic and activation loops (green), and to the hydrophobic region of the ATP-binding pocket (residues predicted to interact with ATP are represented by dark blue stripes). The 153 amino acid Rheb-binding domain (Long et al. 2005) is indicated. (D) Multi-species sequence alignment of the region surrounding each TOR substitution mutation. Solid boxes indicate identical residues. Tor^{R248stop} and Tor^{P2293L} are from OLDHAM et al. (2000), who refers to these alleles as 2L19 and 2L1, respectively.

358 Y. Zhang et al.

FIGURE 2.—Defective growth and gonadogenesis in Tor class I homozygous escaper adults. (A) Control (top; Tor^{A948V}/C_VO) and class I homozygous mutant (bottom; $\hat{T}or^{A948V}/Tor^{A948V}$) adult females. (B) Brightfield image of ovaries from control (top) and Tor^{4948V} homozygotes (bottom). (C and D) Ovarioles from control (C) and Tor^{4948V} homozygous (D) mutant females, dissected and stained unfixed with acridine orange (apoptotic cells, red) and Hoechst 33342 (DNA, blue), are shown. (E and F) Testes from control (E) and Tor^{A948V} homozygous (C) males, stained for tubulin (red) and DNA (blue), are shown.

substitution at a conserved valine in the hydrophobic region of the ATP-binding pocket of the kinase domain (V2148D). In side-by-side comparison of these mutants with two previously described null alleles, $Tor^{2L19/R248stop}$ and $Tor^{\Delta P}$ (OLDHAM et al. 2000; ZHANG et al. 2000), we were unable to distinguish any differences in growth rate or final size at arrest. We conclude that these mutants result in complete loss of function and categorize this group of four mutants as class III.

TOR behaves genetically and biochemically as a multimer: We next examined the phenotype of heteroallelic (trans-heterozygous) combinations within and between different classes of Tor mutations. As expected, the phenotypic severity of class I and class II alleles was slightly increased in heteroallelic combination with a class III (null) allele, whereas flies carrying two different alleles from within the same class displayed similar phenotypes and survival rates as either homoallelic mutant (Figure 3 and data not shown). Surprisingly, heteroallelic combinations of any of the four class I alleles with either class II allele resulted in an apparent restoration of wild-type TOR function. Class I/class II transheterozygotes developed to adulthood in nearly normal numbers, and the adult animals were fully fertile and of approximately normal size and weight (Figure 3A). The developmental delay and skewed male:female ratio observed in class I mutants was also rescued in the heteroallelic animals (data not shown). Such intragenic (interallelic) complementation is rare, but can result from self-dimerization of proteins with mutations in distinct functional domains, resulting in an active enzyme (CATCHESIDE and OVERTON 1958). As class I mutations map to the HEAT-repeat domain and class II mutants to the TOR kinase domain, these results are consistent with TOR functioning as a dimer or multimer.

To investigate the possibility of TOR multimerization, we coexpressed full-length Drosophila TOR tagged with either FLAG or HA epitopes, and tested for co-immunoprecipitation of differentially tagged TOR molecules. In extracts from S2 cells coexpressing FLAG–TOR and HA–TOR, immunoprecipitation using anti-HA antibodies resulted in coprecipitation of FLAG– TOR (Figure 3C). This interaction was specific, as no FLAG–TOR was detected in HA immunoprecipitates in the absence of HA–TOR expression. Reciprocally, immunoprecipitation of FLAG–TOR from these cells allowed specific coprecipitation of HA–TOR. TOR–TOR association was not affected by treatment with rapamycin or insulin (Figure 3C) or by Rheb overexpression (data not shown), indicating that TOR multimerization is likely constitutive. Consistent with these observations, WEDAMAN et al. (2003) reported that the apparent sizeby-gradient centrifugation of TOR complexes in yeast is unaltered by rapamycin or starvation for carbon or nitrogen. We also found that association was not disrupted by class I (A948V) or class II (G2256D and P2293L) mutations (data not shown), consistent with the intragenic complementation of these alleles. We thus conclude that TOR self-associates to form stable complexes containing two or more TOR molecules. Furthermore, the genetic complementation data indicate that this form of TOR is functional.

Tor point mutants behave as strong dominant suppressors of Tsc2: One characteristic of homo-multimeric proteins is their susceptibility to dominant-interfering effects of mutant subunits (SHEPPARD 1994). Each of the Tor mutations described here is fully recessive to wild type (data not shown), suggesting that either assembly of these mutants into a TOR complex does not dominantly inhibit the function of wild-type TOR or the activity of the remaining functional TOR complexes is sufficient for normal growth and development. It was shown previously that heterozygous disruption of Tor can partially suppress the lethal effects of T_{sc1} or T_{sc2}

loss-of-function mutations (GAO et al. 2002; RADIMERSKI et al. 2002), indicating that TOR activity may be limiting under conditions of increased signaling in TSC mutant cells. We repeated these genetic interaction tests using each class of Tor allele. Surprisingly, this analysis revealed that the degree of TSC suppression did not correlate with the phenotypic severity of the Tor mutation. In particular, the lethality of Tsc2-homozygous animals was suppressed to a significantly greater degree by any of the four hypomorphic class I Tor mutations than by the null class III alleles (Figure 4A). One of the two class II Tor alleles (P2293L) also behaved as a strong suppressor in this assay. Similar interactions were observed with Tsc1 (data not shown). The observation that TSC mutant phenotypes are suppressed more strongly by class I and II Tor alleles than by null Tor alleles argues that under conditions of high signaling input, specific Tor point mutants can act in a dominant-negative manner to inhibit the function of wild-type TOR, again consistent with TOR functioning as a multimer.

Two additional findings further support this notion. First, we tested genetic interactions between Tsc2 and two P-element-induced Toralleles with phenotypes intermediate between class I and II (OLDHAM et al. 2000; ZHANG et al. 2000). The P alleles, which affect signaling

Figure 3.—Genetic and physical self-interaction of TOR proteins. (A) Survival, growth and fertility parameters for control (wt), class I homoallelic (I/I), and class I/class II heteroallelic (I/ II) mutants. Data shown are for Tor^{A948V} (class I) and Tor^{G2256D} (class II). Error bars indicate standard deviation from the mean. (B) Summary of complementation tests between class I, II, and III Tor mutants. Crosses of any of the four class I alleles with either of the two class II alleles result in viable, fertile progeny. (C) TOR–TOR interaction in Drosophila S2 cells. Drosophila S2 cells were transfected with FLAG–TOR (lane 1) or FLAG–TOR plus HA–TOR (lanes 2–4) and treated with rapamycin or insulin as indicated. A small fraction of the cell lysate was probed with anti-FLAG to evaluate FLAG–TOR protein level (bottom gel). The remaining lysate was immunoprecipitated (IP) with anti-HA antibody. The HA– IP product was probed with anti-FLAG (top gel) and anti-HA (middle gel). FLAG–TOR was detected in the HA–IP product in the presence (lane 2), but not in the absence (lane 1), of HA–TOR, indicating a specific interaction between FLAG–TOR and HA–TOR. This interaction was not appreciably affected by rapamycin (lane 3) or insulin treatment (lane 4).

by reducing Tor expression, were unable to rescue Tsc2 mutants to viability (data not shown), indicating that the rescue by class I and II alleles is not due to a particular, intermediate level of TOR activity. Second, we found that class I and class II point mutants were capable of partially rescuing Tsc2 mutants even in the presence of an additional, transgenic copy of wild-type Tor (Figure 4B). These animals, which contained two wild-type copies and one mutant copy of Tor, survived to the late pupal stage. In contrast, the partial suppression of T_{sc2} by class III null Tor alleles was completely blocked in the presence of the wild-type Tor transgene (Figure 4B). Thus class I and II Tor alleles, which are recessive to wild type under normal conditions, behave as dominant-inhibiting alleles in Tsc2 mutant animals.

Interestingly, the Tor mutations that strongly suppress Tsc2 map to domains of TOR with roles in substrate interactions: the class I mutations disrupt the HEAT domain required for association with raptor, which plays an important role in substrate docking and presentation (HARA et al. 2002), and the Tor^{P2293L} mutation affects the activation loop, which has been shown to be critical for substrate specificity in the related PI3-kinases (PIROLA et al. 2001). As these mutations confer stronger dominantnegative phenotypes than the more severe mutations

FIGURE 4.—Tor point mutants dominantly suppress $Tsc2$ lethality. (A) Shown is the percentage of viability of $Tsc2^{109}/$ Tsc 2^{193} adults heterozygous for the indicated Tor alleles. Note that Tsc2 lethality is suppressed to a greater extent by the class I and class II point mutants than by the class III null alleles. (B) Class II but not class III Tor alleles can dominantly suppress Tsc2 lethality even in the presence of two copies of wild-type Tor. One copy of a Tor genomic rescue construct ($P[Tor^{WT}]$) blocks the ability of $Tor^{\Delta P}$ (class III) but not Tor^{P2993L} (class II) heterozygotes to rescue $Tsc2^{109}/Tsc2^{193}$ animals to pupal viability. n, number of animals scored. Genotypes: (A) $Tor^*/+$; $Tsc2^{109}/Tsc2^{193}$, (B) $Tor^*/+$; $Tsc2^{109}/Tsc2^{193}$, and $Tor^*/+$; $Tsc2^{109} P[Tor^{WT}]/Tsc2^{193}$.

that disrupt the catalytic loop or ATP-binding pocket of TOR, we speculate that substrate interactions may involve cooperation of two or more TOR proteins, whereas a single kinase domain may be sufficient for catalytic activity.

DISCUSSION

Intragenic complementation denotes the unusual genetic behavior whereby individuals carrying two different mutant alleles within the same gene display the wild-type phenotype. First described nearly 50 years ago (CATCHESIDE and OVERTON 1958), it was shown that this phenomenon can result from the formation of a functional multimeric protein composed of partially defective monomers that mutually compensate for each other. Alternatively, a gene may display intragenic complementation if it encodes a polypeptide with two independent functions. Although a kinase-independent function of TOR has been proposed (ERBAY and CHEN 2001), this mechanism is unlikely to explain the observed complementation between the HEAT- and kinase-domain alleles reported here, as the function of these domains is intertwined: the HEAT repeats play a critical role in recruiting substrates for phosphorylation by the kinase domain. Indeed, small deletions and site-directed mutations in the HEAT repeats have been shown to disrupt phosphorylation of TOR substrates (SABATINI et al. 1999; HENTGES et al. 2001). With our biochemical data showing direct physical self-association of TOR, these genetic results thus strongly indicate that TOR is capable of multimerizing *in vivo*. While this manuscript was in preparation, Hall and colleagues reported co-immunoprecipitation experiments showing that both yeast TORC2 and human mTOR also exist in an oligomeric state (WULLSCHLEGER et al. 2005). Thus, multimerization appears to be a conserved feature of TOR. In agreement with our genetic data indicating that the multimeric form of TOR is functional, these authors were able to detect TOR kinase activity only in a high molecular weight form of TORC2, presumably representing dimeric TORC2.

The finding that TOR is capable of functioning as a multimer has several important implications for TOR signaling. The calculated molecular mass of the known components of mammalian TORC1 and TORC2 each totals \sim 500 kDa, considerably less than the 1500–2000 kDa size of TOR complexes observed by gel filtration. Although it is likely that additional components await identification, it is notable that the minimal complex of TOR, G βL , and raptor are sufficient to recapitulate nutrient-sensitive regulation of TOR (Kim et al. 2003). Thus the ultimate mass of TOR complexes may reflect only a limited number of additional unknown subunits, with the remainder contributed by multimerization of TOR and possibly other TORC components. Multimerization of TOR is likely to influence both its regulation by upstream signals and its interactions with downstream targets. The large interaction surface afforded by two or more TOR molecules may contribute to the remarkable ability of TOR to regulate multiple cellular processes and to respond to multiple cues. Indeed, our results suggest that substrate interactions are sensitive to cooperative interactions between TOR molecules. In addition, the close proximity of two or more TOR molecules within a complex is likely to facilitate intermolecular autophosphorylation. Although the consequences of TOR autophosphorylation are unknown, inter- and intramolecular autophosphorylation is likely to occur at distinct sites and to have differing effects on TOR signaling. In this regard, we note that mutual *transphosphor*ylation of ATM dimers has recently been shown to play a critical role in regulating the activity of this PIKK-family kinase (BAKKENIST and KASTAN 2003).

The findings presented here also have implications for the role of TOR in human disease. A number of pathological conditions stem from abnormally high levels of TOR signaling, including tuberous sclerosis (caused by mutations in the TSC1 and TSC2 genes),

Cowden's disease (caused by mutations in PTEN), and some forms of cardiac hypertrophies and cancers (Inoki et al. 2005). The observation that recessive point mutations in Tor can dominantly interfere with wild-type TOR function in Tsc1 and Tsc2 mutant but not wild-type flies suggests that it may be possible to exploit properties of TOR multimerization for therapeutic purposes. For example, retroviral delivery of genes encoding mutant alleles of Tor may provide a means to reduce TOR signaling specifically in cells with inappropriately high TOR activity, with minimal effects on normal cells. We note that the high sensitivity of PTEN mutant cells to low concentrations of rapamycin (NESHAT et al. 2001) may reflect a similar dominant inhibition of TOR under conditions of elevated TOR signaling. Our results also suggest that small molecules or peptides that interfere with TOR multimerization may provide an alternative to rapamycin as novel inhibitors of TOR signaling.

This work raises a number of questions concerning the mechanisms and role of TOR multimerization. It will be important to identify the domains of TOR required for multimerization, to determine whether TOR multimerization is direct or is mediated by other components within the complex, and whether such components are also present in multiple copies. In this regard, it is interesting to note that raptor contains a sequence motif related to dimeric caspases (GINALSKI et al. 2004). It is also unclear whether TOR multimerizes within TORC1, TORC2, or both. The failure to observe physical interactions between TOR1 and TOR2 in yeast (WEDAMAN et al. 2003), which can each assemble into TORC1, is consistent with TOR multimerization being a unique feature of TORC2, which contains TOR2 but not TOR1. Finally, it will be interesting to determine whether multimerization is a feature common to other members of the PIKK family of kinases and whether multimerization is subject to regulation. The PIKK ATM has recently been shown to convert from an inactive dimeric state to an active monomer in response DNA damage. In contrast, our results indicate that the multimeric form of TOR is active and that its multimerization is likely to be constitutive.

C. J. B. and T. P. N. thank Naoto Ito and Ernst Hafen for fly stocks, Andrea McElhone for technical assistance, Do-Hyung Kim and members of our lab for helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript, and the National Institutes of Health for grant support (RO1 GM62509). Y. Z. and D. P. thank Xinsheng Gao for assistance and National Institutes of Health for grant support.

LITERATURE CITED

- Alarcon, C. M., J. Heitman and M. E. Cardenas, 1999 Protein kinase activity and identification of a toxic effector domain of the target of rapamycin TOR proteins in yeast. Mol. Biol. Cell 10: 2531–2546.
- ANDRADE, M. A., and P. BORK, 1995 HEAT repeats in the Huntington's disease protein. Nat. Genet. 11: 115–116.
- Andrade, M. A., C. Petosa, S. I. O'Donoghue, C. W. Muller and P. Bork, 2001 Comparison of ARM and HEAT protein repeats. J. Mol. Biol. 309: 1–18.
- BAKKENIST, C. J., and M. B. KASTAN, 2003 DNA damage activates ATM through intermolecular autophosphorylation and dimer dissociation. Nature 421: 499–506.
- BLUNT, T., D. GELL, M. FOX, G. E. TACCIOLI, A. R. LEHMANN et al., 1996 Identification of a nonsense mutation in the carboxylterminal region of DNA-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit in the scid mouse. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93: 10285–10290.
- BOWNES, M., A. SCOTT and A. SHIRRAS, 1988 Dietary components modulate yolk protein gene transcription in Drosophila melanogaster. Development 103: 119–128.
- Brogiolo, W., H. Stocker, T. Ikeya, F. Rintelen, R. Fernandez et al., 2001 An evolutionarily conserved function of the Drosophila insulin receptor and insulin-like peptides in growth control. Curr. Biol. 11: 213–221.
- BROWN, E. J., P. A. BEAL, C. T. KEITH, J. CHEN, T. B. SHIN et al., 1995 Control of p70 s6 kinase by kinase activity of FRAP in vivo. Nature 377: 441–446.
- CATCHESIDE, D. G., and A. OVERTON, 1958 Complementation between alleles in heterocaryons. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. 23: 137–140.
- CHOOK, Y. M., and G. BLOBEL, 1999 Structure of the nuclear transport complex karyopherin-beta2-Ran x GppNHp. Nature 399: 230–237.
- CONCANNON, P., and R. A. GATTI, 1997 Diversity of ATM gene mutations detected in patients with ataxia-telangiectasia. Hum. Mutat. 10: 100–107.
- Dames, S. A., J. M. Mulet, K. Rathgeb-Szabo, M. N. Hall and S. Grzesiek, 2005 The solution structure of the FATC domain of the protein kinase target of rapamycin suggests a role for redox-dependent structural and cellular stability. J. Biol. Chem. 280: 20558–20564.
- DRUMMOND-BARBOSA, D., and A. C. SPRADLING, 2001 Stem cells and their progeny respond to nutritional changes during Drosophila oogenesis. Dev. Biol. 231: 265–278.
- ERBAY, E., and J. CHEN, 2001 The mammalian target of rapamycin regulates C2C12 myogenesis via a kinase-independent mechanism. J. Biol. Chem. 276: 36079–36082.
- Fang, Y., M. Vilella-Bach, R. Bachmann, A. Flanigan and J. Chen, 2001 Phosphatidic acid-mediated mitogenic activation of mTOR signaling. Science 294: 1942–1945.
- FINGAR, D. C., and J. BLENIS, 2004 Target of rapamycin (TOR): an integrator of nutrient and growth factor signals and coordinator of cell growth and cell cycle progression. Oncogene 23: 3151–3171.
- Gao, X., Y. Zhang, P. Arrazola, O. Hino, T. Kobayashi et al., 2002 Tsc tumour suppressor proteins antagonize amino-acid-TOR signalling. Nat. Cell Biol. 4: 699–704.
- GINALSKI, K., H. ZHANG and N. V. GRISHIN, 2004 Raptor protein contains a caspase-like domain. Trends Biochem. Sci. 29: 522–524.
- Groves, M. R., N. Hanlon, P. Turowski, B. A. Hemmings and D. BARFORD, 1999 The structure of the protein phosphatase 2A PR65/A subunit reveals the conformation of its 15 tandemly repeated HEAT motifs. Cell 96: 99–110.
- HARA, K., Y. MARUKI, X. LONG, K. YOSHINO, N. OSHIRO et al., 2002 Raptor, a binding partner of target of rapamycin (TOR), mediates TOR action. Cell 110: 177–189.
- HENNIG, K. M., and T. P. NEUFELD, 2002 Inhibition of cellular growth and proliferation by dTOR overexpression in Drosophila. Genesis 34: 107–110.
- Hentges, K. E., B. Sirry, A. C. Gingeras, D. Sarbassov, N. Sonenberg et al., 2001 FRAP/mTOR is required for proliferation and patterning during embryonic development in the mouse. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98: 13796–13801.
- Inoki, K., M. N. Corradetti and K. L. Guan, 2005 Dysregulation of the TSC-mTOR pathway in human disease. Nat. Genet. 37: 19–24.
- KIM, D. H., D. D. SARBASSOV, S. M. ALI, J. E. KING, R. R. LATEK et al., 2002 mTOR interacts with raptor to form a nutrient-sensitive complex that signals to the cell growth machinery. Cell 110: 163–175.
- Kim, D. H., D. D. Sarbassov, S. M. Ali, R. R. Latek, K. V. Guntur et al., 2003 GbetaL, a positive regulator of the rapamycin-sensitive pathway required for the nutrient-sensitive interaction between raptor and mTOR. Mol. Cell 11: 895–904.
- Loewith, R., E. Jacinto, S. Wullschleger, A. Lorberg, J. L. Crespo et al., 2002 Two TOR complexes, only one of which is rapamycin sensitive, have distinct roles in cell growth control. Mol. Cell 10: 457–468.
- LONG, X., S. ORTIZ-VEGA, Y. LIN and J. AVRUCH, 2005 Rheb binding to mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) is regulated by amino acid sufficiency. J. Biol. Chem. 280: 23433–23436.
- Martin, D. E., and M. N. Hall, 2005 The expanding TOR signaling network. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 17: 158–166.
- Neshat, M. S., I. K. Mellinghoff, C. Tran, B. Stiles, G. Thomas et al., 2001 Enhanced sensitivity of PTEN-deficient tumors to inhibition of FRAP/mTOR. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98: 10314–10319.
- Oldham, S., J. Montagne, T. Radimerski, G. Thomas and E. Hafen, 2000 Genetic and biochemical characterization of dTOR, the Drosophila homolog of the target of rapamycin. Genes Dev. 14: 2689–2694.
- PERRY, J., and N. KLECKNER, 2003 The ATRs, ATMs, and TORs are giant HEAT repeat proteins. Cell 112: 151–155.
- Pirola, L., M. J. Zvelebil, G. Bulgarelli-Leva, E. Van Obberghen, M. D. WATERFIELD et al., 2001 Activation loop sequences confer substrate specificity to phosphoinositide 3-kinase alpha (PI3Kalpha). Functions of lipid kinase-deficient PI3Kalpha in signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 276: 21544–21554.
- Radimerski, T., J. Montagne, M. Hemmings-Mieszczak and G. Thomas, 2002 Lethality of Drosophila lacking TSC tumor suppressor function rescued by reducing dS6K signaling. Genes Dev. 16: 2627–2632.
- Sabatini, D. M., R. K. Barrow, S. Blackshaw, P. E. Burnett, M. M. LAI et al., 1999 Interaction of RAFT1 with gephyrin required for rapamycin-sensitive signaling. Science 284: 1161–1164.
- Sarbassov, D. D., S. M. Ali, D. H. Kim, D. A. Guertin, R. R. Latek et al., 2004 Rictor, a novel binding partner of mTOR, defines a

rapamycin-insensitive and raptor-independent pathway that regulates the cytoskeleton. Curr. Biol. 14: 1296–1302.

- SHEPPARD, D., 1994 Dominant negative mutants: tools for the study of protein function in vitro and in vivo. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 11: 1–6.
- Takahashi, T., K. Hara, H. Inoue, Y. Kawa, C. Tokunaga et al., 2000 Carboxyl-terminal region conserved among phosphoinositide-kinase-related kinases is indispensable for mTOR function in vivoin vitro. Genes Cells 5: 765–775.
- Vilella-Bach, M., P. Nuzzi, Y. Fang and J. Chen, 1999 The FKBP12 rapamycin-binding domain is required for FKBP12-rapamycinassociated protein kinase activity and G1 progression. J. Biol. Chem. 274: 4266–4272.
- Walker, E. H., O. Perisic, C. Ried, L. Stephens and R. L. Williams, 1999 Structural insights into phosphoinositide 3-kinase catalysis and signalling. Nature 402: 313–320.
- Wedaman, K. P., A. Reinke, S. Anderson, J. Yates, III, J. M. McCaffery et al., 2003 Tor kinases are in distinct membrane-associated protein complexes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Biol. Cell 14: 1204–1220.
- Wullschleger, S., R. Loewith, W. Oppliger and M. N. Hall, 2005 Molecular organization of target of rapamycin complex 2. J. Biol. Chem. 280: 30697–30704.
- Zhang, H., J. P. Stallock, J. C. Ng, C. Reinhard and T. P. Neufeld, 2000 Regulation of cellular growth by the Drosophila target of rapamycin dTOR. Genes Dev. 14: 2712–2724.

Communicating editor: K. V. Anderson