An isochore map of human chromosomes

Maria Costantini, Oliver Clay, Fabio Auletta, and Giorgio Bernardi¹

Laboratory of Molecular Evolution, Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, 80121 Naples, Italy

Isochores are large DNA segments (>300 kb on average) that are characterized by an internal variation in GC well below the full variation seen in the mammalian genome. Precisely defining in terms of size and composition as well as mapping the isochores on human chromosomes have, however, remained largely unsolved problems. Here we used a very simple approach to segment the human chromosomes de novo, based on assessments of GC and its variation within and between adjacent regions. We obtain a complete coverage of the human genome (neglecting the remaining gaps) by ∼3200 isochores, which may be visualized as the ultimate chromosomal bands. Isochores visibly belong to five families characterized by different GC levels, as expected from previous investigations. Since we previously showed that isochores are tightly linked to basic biological properties such as gene density, replication timing, and recombination, the new level of detail provided by the isochore map will help the understanding of genome structure, function, and evolution.

[Supplemental material is available online at www.genome.org.]

Well before genome sequencing, ultracentrifugation in $Cs₂SO₄$ density gradients in the presence of sequence-specific ligands (e.g., Ag⁺) was shown to lead to a high resolution of mammalian DNAs according to base composition (Corneo et al. 1968). These findings opened a new inroad in the study of the organization of eukaryotic genomes, superseding DNA reassociation kinetics (Britten and Kohne 1968), which was based on the separation of single- and double-stranded DNA on hydroxyapatite (Bernardi 1965). The new density gradient approach showed that, neglecting satellite DNAs, the genomes of warm-blooded vertebrates were characterized by a striking long-range compositional heterogeneity (Filipski et al. 1973; Macaya et al. 1976; Thiery et al. 1976). Indeed, these genomes are mosaics of isochores, long $(\geq 300 \text{ kb})$, compositionally fairly homogeneous regions that belong to a small number of families characterized by different average GC levels (Macaya et al. 1976), and are associated with basic biological properties (for reviews, see Bernardi et al. 1985; Bernardi 1995, 2004).

A quarter of a century after the original studies that had defined the approximate sizes and compositions of isochores as well as the compositions and relative amounts of isochore families, it was reported that isochores could not be identified in the draft sequence of the human genome (Lander et al. 2001), starting a debate that is still ongoing. The different computational approaches used to disprove or redefine isochores (Eyre-Walker and Hurst 2001; Häring and Kypr 2001; Lander et al. 2001; Nekrutenko and Li 2001; Cohen et al. 2005) were, however, shown to be inadequate (Bernardi 2001; Clay and Bernardi 2001a,b, 2005; Li 2002; Oliver et al. 2002, 2004; Li et al. 2003; Melodelima et al. 2005), even if some of them led to a partial identification of isochores. This debate prompted us to map the isochores, as originally defined (Macaya et al. 1976), in the finished sequence of the human genome (International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium 2004). Average GC levels were, therefore, assessed over long DNA stretches (>200 kb), while GC variation was estimated by measuring standard deviations of GC over such

1Corresponding author.

E-mail bernardi@szn.it; fax 39 081 2455807.

Article and publication are at http://www.genome.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/ gr.4910606. Freely available online through the *Genome Research* Open Access option.

stretches using a 100-kb moving window. The findings reported here are in agreement with previous results obtained by equilibrium sedimentation and confirm the existence of five isochore families (see below), but they go much farther in that they directly identify and map isochores on chromosomes, thus leading to a resolution of >3000 chromosomal bands.

Almost 50 years ago, calf thymus DNA, the standard eukaryotic DNA, was shown to be remarkably more heterogeneous in base composition than bacterial DNAs (Meselson et al. 1957). In fact, the very strong heterogeneity was largely, but not entirely, due to the presence of GC-rich satellites that represent 23% of the bovine genome (see Bernardi 2004). Interestingly, highresolution ultracentrifugation of bovine DNA was not only able to separate the GC-rich satellites, but also showed a discontinuous compositional heterogeneity of the main band (Filipski et al. 1973), consisting of three families of DNA molecules. This was in contrast with the then predominant view (still defended by some authors) (Galtier et al. 2002) of a continuous heterogeneity of main-band DNA. The families of DNA molecules were then shown to be present in the other mammalian genomes explored and to derive from longer, fairly homogeneous DNA stretches (Macaya et al. 1976; Thiery et al. 1976) that were called isochores (Cuny et al. 1981) for (compositionally) equal landscapes. Later work resolved the first family into two families, L1 and L2, named the second and the third families H1 and H2, respectively, and identified another quantitatively small family, H3 (Zerial et al. 1986). Most mammalian genomes, including the human genome, are made up of five families of isochores—L1, L2, H1, H2, and H3—in order of increasing GC levels, if satellite DNAs (∼2% of the genome) and ribosomal DNAs (∼0.5% of the genome) are neglected. Incidentally, satellite and ribosomal DNAs may also be considered isochores, because of their compositional homogeneity (Bernardi 1995).

Isochores were shown to be tightly linked to basic biological properties, such as gene density, replication timing, and recombination (see Bernardi 2004), and have, therefore, been considered "a fundamental level of genome organization" (Eyre-Walker and Hurst 2001). In order to characterize isochores, the present work did not take into account their biological properties (as done by Melodelima et al. 2005) but only relied on two parameters, GC levels and their standard deviations.

Results

Scanning of GC profiles

If one scans the GC profiles (Fig. 1, see gatefold) of human chromosomes from any starting point using a fixed window of 100 kb, one finds a mosaic of sequences ranging from 200 kb to several megabases that are characterized by different GC levels and by a remarkable compositional homogeneity. The critical 100-kb window size used in this work was chosen because plots of average standard deviations of GC against window size show the existence of a plateau that begins around 100 kb and extends to over 500 kb. This plateau has long been known (Macaya et al. 1976; Cuny et al. 1981) and is confirmed by the genome sequence (Fig. 2, top curve). Within each isochore family, these plateaus were characterized by standard deviations that increased, with increasing GC of families, from less than 1% to just under 3% (Fig. 2, lower curves).

Window sizes shorter than 100 kb showed standard deviations that were much higher than the plateaus, especially in GCrich isochores, because of the contribution of different specific sequences (interspersed repeats, CpG islands, exons, introns, etc.). Indeed, this fact prevents the definition of isochores and isochore borders at sizes lower than 100 kb. When applied to randomly chosen fragments from the human genome, the same procedure yields much higher standard deviations, which reach a plateau around 4.5%–5% GC (Fig. 2, top curve; see also Macaya et al. 1976), whereas randomly generated sequences exhibit much lower standard deviations (Fig. 2, bottom curve; Bernardi 2001; Clay and Bernardi 2001a,b).

Using our approach, we found that 85% of the genome consists of isochores with an average standard deviation equal to ∼1% GC. The remaining 15% consists of GC-rich isochores characterized by an average standard deviation of ∼2% GC. These values were obtained from Supplemental Table S1, which provides the coordinates on the UCSC map (International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium 2004), the GC level, and the standard deviation for each isochore of the human genome.

Figure 2. Plots of average standard deviations of GC within isochore families versus window size. Plots of standard deviation (SD) of GC versus fixed window sizes (*w*) ranging from 12.5 to 500 kb are shown for all isochores comprising at least four windows, after partitioning isochores into families according to GC level. The plots summarize the compositional variations within isochore families. At all scales, these are lower than that characterizing the whole genome, that is, all isochores (*top* curve), yet much higher than those of random sequences (*bottom* curve). The variations are consistently high for window sizes below 100 kb, and settle down to a plateau for larger window sizes. This fact justifies graining at 100 kb (see text). Marginally higher standard deviations around 300-kb windows may be due to a larger number of isochore borders seen through this window (Supplemental Fig. S2 shows a size peak of isochores around 500 kb).

Figure 3. AGC distribution of adjacent isochores. (A) The frequency plot shows the jumps in GC between adjacent isochores identified, in intervals of 0.2% GC. The mean difference is 3.9% GC (dashed line), and 82% of the differences between neighboring isochores are above 2% GC. (*B*) The bar plot shows the average ΔGC concerning isochores from each of the five families.

Table 1 displays a representative sample from Supplemental Table S1.

Isochore borders

Isochore borders were identified on the basis of marked compositional differences that ranged from 2.7% to 6.3% GC for isochores belonging to different families, the average value being 3.9% GC (Fig. 3). As already mentioned, isochore borders were localized to within 100 kb and, indeed, a more precise definition is practically not possible.

H3 isochores were always flanked by GC-poorer isochores, and L1 isochores were always flanked by GC-richer isochores, as expected. These were also the predominant situations found in the cases of H2 or H1 isochores and of L2 isochores, respectively. However, these families also exhibited "transition isochores" in several cases, where one flanking isochore was higher, the other lower (see Supplemental Fig. S1). Very large GC differences at borders (such as L1/H3 borders) were rare, thus leading to the formation of blocks of isochores from closer families (e.g., L1/L2). These blocks correspond essentially to chromosomal bands at a 850-band resolution as defined at the cytogenetic level. In some cases, single isochores correspond to chromosomal bands at this resolution (see Table 1 for examples).

Number and size of isochores

Figure 1 allows the construction of an isochore map as shown by an enlargement of the telomeric 100 Mb on the short arm of chromosome 1 (Fig. 4), which comprise both GC-rich and GC-poor isochores. According to our estimate, the total number of isochore bands in the human genome (neglecting

The table shows the telomeric end (100 Mb) of the short arm of chromosome 1. Lower-case letters following the nomenclature of bands (at 850-band resolution) indicate isochores. In some cases marked by asterisks (p35.3, p35.2, p34.2, p32.1, p31.2, p22.2), single isochores coincide with bands at this resolution. ΔGC indicates the difference in GC between consecutive isochores with increases shown in blue bold and decreases shown in red. Only two pairs of adjacent isochores (p31.1a/p31.1b) and p21.2a/p21.2b, marked by vertical lines) out of the 114 listed showed a ΔGC lower than 1%. The separation of these isochores was justified by differences in standard deviations of GC or in GC profiles.

the still existing gaps) is 3159, a number close to the maximum number, 3000, mentioned by Yunis et al. (1977) for chromosomal bands at the highest resolution in early prophase. The average size of an isochore is 0.9 Mb (number average) or 1.9 Mb (weight average) (see Supplemental Fig. S2). In this context, it should be noted (1) that the size distribution by weight shows a peak around 500 kb; (2) that GC-poorer isochores tend to cover the widest size range (see Figs. 1 and 4); and (3) that

some isochores, amounting however to only 3.6% of the genome, were as short as 200 kb. Overall, there is a large variation in isochore size, with many smaller ones and a few very large ones.

Isochore families

The isochore pattern is, expectedly, different from chromosome to chromosome (see Fig. 1). However, when isochores are pooled in bins of 1% GC (Fig. 5), isochore families stand out. This is evident for isochore families L1, L2, and H1, but also visible for the H2 and H3 families, which are present in small amounts in the genome. The relative amounts of DNA in isochore families were 19%, 37%, 31%, 11%, and 3% for L1, L2, H1, H2, and H3 isochores, respectively, again in fair agreement with previous results (Macaya et al. 1976; Cuny et al. 1981). Local maxima of isochore families as obtained from the peaks of Figure 5 (36%, 39%, 43%, 48%, and 55% GC, from L1 to H3) are only slightly different from those based on preparative and analytical ultracentrifugation (Macaya et al. 1976), yet families are more distinct because GC levels of isochores, not of random DNA fragments, were considered here. This fact also explains why GC distributions of fixed-length fragments of the human genome (Lander et al. 2001) do not show the local maxima observed here. Finally, the isochore families corresponded to peaks in "gene landscapes" (Cruvellier et al. 2004), formed by the distribution of coding sequences according to the GC levels of second and third codon positions $(GC₂$ and $GC₃$).

Discussion

The present findings, while confirming the isochore features previously established, push our knowledge farther, by quantifying the size, GC levels, standard deviations, and coordinates of isochores on the human genome map. Moreover, these findings also indicate that isochores may be visualized as the ultimate

banding patterns of the chromosomes in warm-blooded vertebrates, and that they are arranged in blocks, corresponding to chromosomal bands at the standard 850 band resolution.

It seems appropriate here to briefly summarize two major points of interest concerning isochores. From a practical viewpoint, isochores allowed us to gain an insight into the genome organization of warm-blooded vertebrates (and of other organisms) (Bernardi 2004). Localizing genes in separate isochores led

Figure 4. Overview of isochores on 100 Mb of chromosome 1. The isochores identified on the telomeric 100-Mb region of the short arm of chromosome 1 (as a representative region of human chromosomes) are shown. Broken horizontal guidelines in the *top* frames represent GC levels as in Figure 1. Horizontal red stretches in the *bottom* frames represent isochores. Our strategy was to identify boundaries on the basis of GC jumps between adjacent isochores (Δ GC) (see Fig. 3 and Supplemental Table S1). This strategy may occasionally lead to border misassignments, for instance, in the small number of cases (<3%) when ΔGC is lower than 1% (see Fig. 3). Several of the latter borders could be assigned, however, based on differences in the standard deviations of GC (see Table 1 for an example) or in the GC profiles.

to the discovery of an unexpected and strikingly nonrandom distribution of genes (Mouchiroud et al. 1991; Zoubak et al. 1996), which were found in two "gene spaces." The "genome core," composed of the isochore families H2 and H3, comprises more than half of the genes even though they represent only ∼15% of the genome, whereas the "genome desert" (the isochore

which are much less GC rich (see Bernardi 2004). We proposed that the increasing body temperature accompanying the emergence of homeothermy led to a need for a thermodynamic stabilization of DNA (Bernardi and Bernardi 1986). At the transition between coldand warm-blooded vertebrates, GC-poor isochores did not undergo any significant compositional change because they were stabilized by their closed chromatin structures, whereas GC increases took place in the gene-rich genome regions that were characterized by an open chromatin structure (Federico et al. 2006). In fact, the stabilization also concerned RNA and proteins (GC-rich codons favoring amino acids that lead to a higher thermal stability) (Bernardi and Bernardi 1986; Nishio et al. 2003). Although several explanations have been proposed for the GC increases, which took place in spite of a strong AT bias of the mutation process (Gojobori et al. 1982; Smith and Eyre-Walker 2001; Alvarez-Valin et al. 2002; Santini and Bernardi 2005), the only one that was compatible with all known facts was natural selection, mainly negative selection (for review, see Bernardi 2004). How this can be reconciled with the fact that the vast majority of mutations are neutral was

explained by the neo-selectionist theory, which posits that neutral GC→AT changes (the AT bias) are tolerated until they cause a regional compositional change in DNA. In turn, this can change chromatin structure and the interaction with regulatory proteins, thus impairing the correct gene expression and leading to negative selection (Bernardi 2004).

families L1, L2, and H1) is made up of large expanses with low and often extremely low gene densities. These two gene spaces are characterized by several different properties (for review, see Bernardi 2004), the most remarkable ones being the correlations of isochore families not only with gene density but also with replication timing, recombination, and location and chromatin structure in interphase nuclei, chromatin being "open" in the genome core and "closed" in the genome desert (Saccone et al. 2002).

From a more general point of view, the present results raise one major question concerning the origin and maintenance of GC-rich isochores, which are a common, characteristic property of the genomes of warm-blooded vertebrates. We now know that the GC-rich (and gene-rich) isochores are the result of GC increases in the corresponding gene-rich regions of cold-blooded vertebrates,

Figure 5. Distribution of isochores according to GC levels. The histogram shows the distribution (by weight) of isochores as pooled in bins of 1% GC. Colors represent isochore families as in Figure 1. Values at minima (histogram bars with mixed colors) were split between the two neighboring families. The Gaussian profile shows the distribution of isochores as estimated directly by the "density" function in R (bandwidth 0.7% GC) (Silverman 1986).

Methods

Isochore mapping

The entire chromosomal sequences of the finished human genome assembly (UCSC release hg17) (Kent et al. 2002; International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium 2004) were partitioned into non-overlapping 100-kb windows, and their GC levels were calculated using the program draw_chromosome_ gc.pl (http://genomat.img.cas.cz) (see Fig. 1; Pavliček et al. 2002; Pačes et al. 2004). The window size of 100 kb was motivated by the existence of a horizontal plateau in variance plots after ∼100 kb (see Results). This observation has a simple biological interpretation: The higher variances observed for smaller windows correspond to well-known intra-isochore, gene-scale mosaicisms that are created, for example, by individual exons, introns, CpG islands, 3-untranslated regions, interspersed repeats, and scaffold/matrix attachment regions. The array of GC levels of the 100-kb windows in each chromosome was scanned for jumps that were detectable on the basis of mean GC differences, and/or of differences in fluctuation levels with respect to subsegments $(\geq 100 \text{ kb})$. As a guideline, we focused on jumps of at least 1%–2% GC between adjacent candidate segments, although in rare cases smaller jumps were justified because of differences in variability between the two segments (see Fig. 3). The results obtained via this simple procedure, which involved only properties of bulk DNA and no annotated features, third codon positions, and so on, demonstrate the existence of a complete covering of the human genome sequence by isochores that fulfill the properties initially established by ultracentrifugation experiments.

Remarks on statistical inference

Since the number of subsegments (\geq 100 kb) in each candidate segment can be as low as two (200-kb isochores), statistical tests would not have been applicable using our approach (because of low power). Even for longer segments and/or shorter windows, the existing tests are inappropriate, since they assume independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) windows, windows with Markov dependence, or windows with power-law correlations: No one of these three ideal assumptions is satisfied throughout the human genome (see, e.g., Clay and Bernardi 2001b, 2005 and references therein). For example, especially at window sizes <3 kb, standard i.i.d. tests found in textbooks or statistical recipe books seriously overestimate *P*-values, leading to oversegmentation.

Acknowledgments

We thank Gabriel Macaya and Giacomo Bernardi for helpful discussions, and David Haussler for comments.

References

- Alvarez-Valin, F., Lamolle, G., and Bernardi, G. 2002. GC₃ and mutation biases in the human genome. *Gene* **300:** 161–168.
- Bernardi, G. 1965. Chromatography of nucleic acids on hydroxyapatite. *Nature* **206:** 779–783.
- . 1995. The human genome: Organization and evolutionary history. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **29:** 445–476.
- ———. 2001. Misunderstandings about isochores. *Gene* **276:** 3–13.
- ———. 2004. *Structural and evolutionary genomics. Natural selection in genome evolution*. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Bernardi, G. and Bernardi, G. 1986. Compositional constraints and genome evolution. *J. Mol. Evol.* **24:** 1–11.

Bernardi, G., Olofsson, B., Filipski, J., Zerial, M., Salinas, J., Cuny, G.,

- Britten, R.J. and Kohne, D.E. 1968. Repeated sequences in DNA. *Science* **161:** 529–540.
- Clay, O. and Bernardi, G. 2001a. The isochores in human chromosomes 21 and 22. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **285:** 855–856.
- ———. 2001b. Compositional heterogeneity within and among isochores in mammalian genomes. II. Some general comments. *Gene* **276:** 25–31.
- . 2005. How not to search for isochores: A reply to Cohen et al. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **22:** 2315–2317.
- Cohen, N.T., Dagan, L., and Graur, D. 2005. GC composition of the human genome: In search of isochores. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **22:** 1260–1272.
- Corneo, G., Ginelli, E., Soave, C., and Bernardi, G. 1968. Isolation and characterization of mouse and guinea pig satellite DNA's. *Biochemistry* **7:** 4373–4379.
- Cruvellier, S., Jabbari, K., Clay, O., and Bernardi, G. 2004. Compositional gene landscapes in vertebrates. *Genome Res.* **14:** 886–892.
- Cuny, G., Soriano, P., Macaya, G., and Bernardi, G. 1981. The major components of the mouse and human genomes: Preparation, basic properties and compositional heterogeneity. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **111:** 227–233.
- Eyre-Walker, A. and Hurst, L.D. 2001. The evolution of isochores. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2:** 549–555.
- Federico, C., Scavo, C., Cantarella, C.D., Motta, S., Saccone, S., and Bernardi, G. 2006. Gene-rich and gene-poor chromosomal regions have different locations in the interphase nuclei of cold-blooded vertebrates. *Chromosoma* (in press).
- Filipski, J., Thiery, J.P., and Bernardi, G. 1973. An analysis of the bovine genome by $Cs_2SO_4^-Ag^+$ density gradient centrifugation. *J. Mol. Biol.* **80:** 177–197.
- Galtier, N., Piganeau, G., Mouchiroud, D., and Duret, L. 2002. GC-content evolution in mammalian genomes: The biased gene conversion hypothesis. *Genetics* **159:** 907–911.
- Gojobori, T., Li, W.H., and Graur, D. 1982. Patterns of nucleotide substitution in pseudogenes and functional genes. *J. Mol. Evol.* **18:** 360–369.
- Häring, D. and Kypr, J. 2001. No isochores in the human chromosomes 21 and 22? *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **280:** 567–573.
- International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium. 2004. Finishing the euchromatic sequence of the human genome. *Nature* **431:** 931–945.
- Kent, W.J., Sugnet, C.W., Furey, T.S., Roskin, K.M., Pringle, T.H., Zahler, A.M., and Haussler, D.W.J. 2002. The human genome browser at UCSC. *Genome Res.* **12:** 996–1006.
- Lander, E.S., Linton, L.M., Birren, B., Nusbaum, C., Zody, M.C., Baldwin, J., Devon, K., Dewar, K., Doyle, M., FitzHugh, W.E.S., et al. 2001. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature* **409:** 860–921.
- Li, W. 2002. Are isochore sequences homogeneous? *Gene* **300:** 129–139.
- Li, W., Bernaola-Galván, P., Carpena, P., and Oliver, J.L. 2003. Isochores merit the prefix 'iso'. *Comput. Biol. Chem.* **27:** 5–10. Macaya, G., Thiery, J.P., and Bernardi, G. 1976. An approach to the
- organization of eukaryotic genomes at a macromolecular level. *J. Mol. Biol.* **108:** 237–254.
- Melodelima, C., Gueguen, L., Piau, D., and Gautier, C. 2005. Prediction of human isochores using a hidden Markov model. *JOBIM* **2005:** 427–434.
- Meselson, M., Stahl, F.W., and Vinograd, J. 1957. Equilibrium sedimentation of macromolecules in density gradients. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **43:** 581–588.
- Mouchiroud, D., D'Onofrio, G., Aïssani, B., Macaya, G., Gautier, C., and Bernardi, G. 1991. The distribution of genes in the human genome. *Gene* **100:** 181–187.
- Nekrutenko, A. and Li, W.H. 2001. Assessment of compositional heterogeneity within and between eukaryotic genomes. *Genome Res.* **10:** 1986–1995.
- Nishio, Y., Nakamura, Y., Kawarabayasi, Y., Usuda, Y., Kimura, E., Sugimoto, S., Matsui, K., Yamagishi, A., Kikuchi, H., Ikeo, K., et al. 2003. Comparative complete genome sequence analysis of the amino acid replacements responsible for the thermostability of *Corynebacterium efficiens. Genome Res.* **13:** 1572–1579.
- Oliver, J.L., Carpena, P., Román-Roldán, R., Mata-Balaguer, T., Mejias-Romero, A., Hackenberg, M., and Bernaola-Galván, P. 2002. Isochore chromosome maps of the human genome. *Gene* **300:** 117–127.
- Oliver, J.L., Carpena, P., Hackenberg, M., and Bernaola-Galván, P. 2004. IsoFinder: Computational prediction of isochores in genome sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32:** W287–W292.

Pačes, J., Zika, R., Pavliček, A., Clay, O., and Bernardi, G. 2004.

- Representing GC variation along eukaryotic chromosomes. *Gene* **333:** 135–141. Pavliček, A., Pačes, J., Clay, O., and Bernardi, G. 2002. A compact view
- of isochores in the draft human genome sequence. *FEBS Lett.* **511:** 165–169.
- Saccone, S., Federico, C., Andreozzi, L., D'Antoni, S., and Bernardi, G. 2002. Localization of the gene-richest and the gene-poorest isochores in the interphase nuclei of mammals and birds. *Gene* **300:** 169–178.
- Santini, S. and Bernardi, G. 2005. Organization and base composition of tilapia *Hox* genes: Implications for the evolution of *Hox* clusters in
- fish. *Gene* **346:** 51–61.
Silverman, B.W. 1986. *Density estimation for statistics and data analysis.*
Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL.
Smith, N.G.C. and Eyre-Walker, A. 2001. Synonymous codon bias is not
-

caused by mutation bias in G+C rich genes in human. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **18:** 982–986.

- Thiery, J.P., Macaya, G., and Bernardi, G. 1976. An analysis of eukaryotic genomes by density gradient centrifugation. *J. Mol. Biol.* **108:** 219–235.
- Yunis, J.J., Tsai, M.Y., and Willey, A.M. 1977. Molecular organization and function of the human genome. In *Molecular structure of human chromosomes* (ed. J.J. Yunis). Academic Press, New York.
- Zerial, M., Salinas, J., Filipski, J., and Bernardi, G. 1986. Gene distribution and nucleotide sequence organization in the human genome. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **160:** 479–485.
- Zoubak, S., Clay, O., and Bernardi, G. 1996. The gene distribution of the human genome. *Gene* **174:** 95–102.

Received November 11, 2005; accepted in revised form December 28, 2005.