Expression profiling the developing mammalian enteric nervous system identifies marker and candidate Hirschsprung disease genes

Tiffany A. Heanue and Vassilis Pachnis*

Division of Molecular Neurobiology, National Institute for Medical Research, Medical Research Council, The Ridgeway, Mill Hill, London NW7 1AA, United Kingdom

Communicated by Shirley M. Tilghman, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, March 16, 2006 (received for review January 19, 2006)

The enteric nervous system (ENS) is composed of neurons and glial cells, organized as interconnected ganglia within the gut wall, which controls persistalsis of the gut wall and secretions from its glands. The Ret receptor tyrosine kinase is expressed throughout enteric neurogenesis and is required for normal ENS development; humans with mutations in the RET locus have Hirschsprung disease (HSCR, an absence of ganglia in the colon), and mice lacking Ret have total intestinal aganglionosis. The Ret mutant mouse provides a tool for identifying genes implicated in development of the ENS. By using RNA from WT and Ret mutant (aganglionic) gut tissue and DNA microarrays, we have conducted a differential screen for ENS-expressed genes and have identified hundreds of candidate ENS-expressed genes. Forty-seven genes were selected for further analysis, representing diverse functional classes. We show that all of the analyzed genes are expressed in the ENS and that the screen was sensitive enough to identify genes marking only subpopulations of ENS cells. Our screen, therefore, was reliable and sensitive and has identified many previously undescribed genes for studying ENS development. Moreover, two of the genes identified in our screen Arhgef3 and Ctnnal1, have human homologues that map to previously identified HSCR susceptibility loci, thus representing excellent candidates for HSCR genes. This comprehensive profile of ENS gene expression refines our understanding of ENS development and serves as a resource for future developmental, biochemical, and human genetic studies.

microarray | Ret | Sox2 | Arhgef3 | Ctnnal

he enteric nervous system (ENS) is composed of a vast number of neurons and glial cells, which form interconnected ganglia that control the contractility of the smooth muscle of the gut wall and the secretory activity of its glands (1). The ENS is derived from vagal and sacral enteric neural crest cells (ENCs), which invade the foregut and hindgut, respectively (2, 3). Once situated within the gut, ENCs migrate along the developing bowel, proliferate, and differentiate to form many different neuronal subtypes and make synaptic connections (4, 5). Migration of ENCs within the gut requires signaling between these cells and the gut environment and depends on dynamic changes in cell shape and adhesive properties. How cell shape and adhesion are controlled to allow regulated and directed migration of ENCs currently is unknown. Furthermore, how cell fate decisions are controlled to specify the correct number and subtypes of neurons and glial cells within ENS ganglia or how correct synaptic circuits are established also is unknown.

Genetic studies in mouse and human have identified several genes whose function is required for normal ENS development (6, 7). For example, the Ret receptor tyrosine kinase is expressed in ENCs during migration into the gut and persists during later ENS development (8). Humans carrying mutations in *RET* develop congenital megacolon [Hirschsprung disease (HSCR) OMIM 142623; ref. 7], which is characterized by the absence of enteric ganglia from the colon (colonic aganglionosis), whereas Retdeficient mice (*Ret^{k-/k-}*) have total intestinal aganglionosis (9, 10). Ret signaling has been implicated in regulating migration, prolif-

eration, differentiation, and axonogenesis within the ENS (11–13). How Ret signaling regulates these diverse cellular responses required for normal ENS development is not understood.

HSCR occurs relatively frequently in the human population (1:5,000 live births). Mutations in *RET* and the Endothelin receptor type B (EDNRB) account for 50% and 5% of familial HSCR cases, respectively. Mutations in a number of other genes account for a further small percentage of HSCR cases, including the Ret ligands GDNF and NTN, the EDNRB ligand EDN3, the EDN3-converting enzyme ECE1, SOX10, PHOX2B, and ZFHX1B (7). Additional susceptibility loci have been identified, although the corresponding genes have yet to be determined (7).

The key to understanding the molecular and cellular processes required for normal ENS development, and the corresponding defects that lead to HSCR, is an accurate profile of the cellular and molecular makeup of the ENS. In this study, we have applied DNA microarray techniques to profile gene expression in the developing ENS. Our screen has identified a large cohort of candidate ENS marker genes within the mammalian gut, and we have verified the ENS expression of a representative subset of genes. The identified genes are expressed during ENS migration, proliferation, differentiation, and axonogenesis and provide a valuable resource for further understanding of the cellular events critical for enteric neurogenesis. Finally, our studies have revealed candidate genes for previously characterized HSCR susceptibility loci.

Results

Microarray Screen for ENS Expressed Genes. To identify genes expressed during mammalian ENS development we took advantage of the mouse Ret^{k-} mutation, which in homozygosity results in total intestinal aganglionosis (9, 10). We reasoned that transcripts more abundantly expressed in $Ret^{+/+}$ versus $Ret^{k-/k-}$ intestines should represent ENS-expressed genes. We therefore isolated RNA from embryonic day 15.5 (E15.5) $Ret^{+/+}$ and $Ret^{k-/k-}$ intestines, because sufficient amounts of RNA could be isolated at this time point and because genes known to be key ENS development regulators, such as *Ret, Grfa1*, and *Sox10*, are expressed at this stage (14).

To enable a broad and unbiased comparison of gene expression between $Ret^{+/+}$ and $Ret^{k-/k-}$ intestines, we examined gene expression profiles by using Affymetrix microarrays. Of the \approx 22,000 probe sets represented on the array, 372 were expressed more abundantly in $Ret^{+/+}$ versus $Ret^{k-/k-}$ intestinal samples, ranging from 89-fold higher to 1.28-fold higher in normal versus aganglionic gut tissue (Table 4, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site). The 372 probe sets correspond to 329 distinct genes and represent candidate ENS-expressed genes. Consistent with this

Conflict of interest statement: No conflicts declared.

Abbreviations: En, embryonic day n; ENC, enteric neural crest cell; ENS, enteric nervous system; HSCR, Hirschsprung disease; Pn, postnatal day n; RhoGEF, Rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor; TF, transcription factor.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: vpachni@nimr.mrc.ac.uk.

^{© 2006} by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA

Gene		Fold change	
symbol	Gene name	WT/MUT	Unigene
Mank10	Mitogen-activated protein kinase 10	89.88	Mm 39253
Snca	Synuclein. γ	59.42	Mm.282800
Mab21i1	Mab-21-like 1	51.19	Mm.252244
Prph1	Peripherin	33.04	Mm 2477
Ascl1	Achaete-scute complex homolog-like 1	30.99	Mm 136217
Stmn3	Stathmin-like 3	29.01	Mm 2319
Tubh3	Tubulin ß3	28.96	Mm 40068
Dlx1	Distal-less homeobox 1	25.29	Mm 4543
Sernini1	Serine (or cysteine) pentidase inhibitor, clade L member 1	20.19	Mm.41560
Flavl4	FLAV (embryonic lethal, abnormal vision, <i>Drosophila</i>)-like 4 (Hu antigen D)	18 01	Mm 3970
Prdha	Protocadherin α	17.28	Mm 308500
Phox2a	Paired-like homeobox 2a	16.83	Mm 358574
Vin	Vasoactive intestinal polypentide	16.17	Mm 98916
Mant	Microtubule-associated protein τ	16.05	Mm 1287
Flavl2	FLAV (embryonic lethal, abnormal vision, Drosonhila)-like 2 (Hu antigen B)	15.16	Mm 318042
Stmn2	Stathmin-like 2	14 37	Mm 29580
Sox10	SBY-box containing gene 10	12.53	Mm 276739
Sox70	SRY-box containing gene 7	10.97	Mm 4541
Uchl1	Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase PGP9 5	10.37	Mm 29807
Dex	Doublecortin	10.77	Mm 12871
Tagin3		9 968	Mm 24183
Fbf3	Farly B cell factor 3	9.837	Mm 258708
Cart	Cocaine and amphetamine regulated transcript	9.657	Mm 75498
Nsa2	Neuron-specific gene family member 2	8 049	Mm 3304
Gan/3	Growth-associated protein 43	7 615	Mm 1222
Hmy3	H6 homeobox 3	7 291	Mm 323562
Sut11	Synantotagmin VI	7.061	Mm 370376
Sca3	Secretogranin III	6 567	Mm 2386
Arbaof?	Pho quanine nucleotide exchange factor 3	6 101	Mm 248606
Phox2h	Paired-like homeobox 2b	6.098	Mm 62505
Gng3	Guanine nucleotide binding protein (G protein) and subunit	5.623	Mm 329700
Hoyh5	Homeobox B5	5 208	Mm 207
Hoyda	Homeobox D	5.230	Mm 121/
Dove/3	Dibudronvrimidinase-like 3	5 181	Mm 8180
Tmoff?	Transmembrane protein with EGE-like and two follictatin-like domains 2	1 936	Mm 245154
Faf13	Fibroblast growth factor 13	4.930	Mm 7995
Crmp1	Collansin response mediator protein 1	4.327	Mm 200005
Gng?	Guanine nucleotide-binding protein (G protein) 22 subunit	3 099	Mm /1737
MII+11	Myeloid /lymphoid or mixed-lineage leukemia (tri-thoray homolog	1 222	Mm 331208
which i	Drosophila) translocated to 11	4.222	WIII.55 1200
Igsf4a	Immunoglobulin superfamily, member 4A	3.42	Mm.234832
Cdh2	Cadherin 2	3.279	Mm.257437
L1cam	L1 cell adhesion molecule	2.807	Mm.260568
Gfra1	Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor family receptor α 1	2.772	Mm.88367
Tgfb2	Transforming growth factor, β 2	2.326	Mm.18213
Ret	Ret proto-oncogene	1.913	Mm.57199
Etv1	Ets variant gene 1	1.885	Mm.4866
Tbx3	T-box 3	1.812	Mm.219139

Table 1. Genes selected for validation by *in situ* hybridization from the full list of genes on the MOE430A chip more abundantly expressed in $Ret^{+/+}$ versus $Ret^{k-/k-}$ intestine

Genes from the full list of genes on the MOE430A chip more abundantly expressed in $Ret^{+/+}$ versus $Ret^{k-/k-}$ intestine (Table 3) were selected for validation by RNA *in situ* hybridization on tissue sections (Fig. 1). In this table, we identify those genes by (*i*) gene symbol, as assigned by the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), (*ii*) gene name as assigned by NCBI; (*iii*) fold change in $Ret^{+/+}$ versus $Ret^{k-/k-}$ intestine; and (*iv*) Unigene number. Previously undescribed ENS marker genes are in bold.

idea, we find several ENS-expressed genes amongst this list of differentially expressed genes, including *Ret* (1.9-fold), *Phox2b* (6.1-fold), *Sox10* (12.5-fold), and *Gfra1* (2.8 fold) (14).

Analysis of Gene Expression of Candidate ENS Expressed Genes. To assess the validity of the list of candidate ENS markers identified by our microarray screen, we examined the expression of 47 genes whose differential representation in $Ret^{+/+}$ versus $Ret^{k-/k-}$ intestines ranged from 89-fold to 1.8-fold (Table 1). The genes chosen for analysis were selected to represent diverse functional classes and were either previously uncharacterized or only partially characterized (such as *Crmp1* and *Hmx3*), or known ENS marker genes (such as *Ret, Phox2a, Phox2b, Sox10*, and *Gfra1*) that serve as a reference for the many previously uncharacterized gene expression patterns

described. The expression of our selected genes was examined by RNA *in situ* hybridization on E15.5 embryo sections (Fig. 1), because this method provided valuable spatial information. *Ret* mRNA shows the characteristic distribution in ENS ganglia comprising the myenteric plexus (mp; Figs. 1 and 3, *Ret*).

A number of transcription factors (TFs) were expressed in the ENS in patterns indistinguishable from the established ENSexpressed TF markers *Mash1*, *Phox2a*, *Phox2b*, and *Sox10* (Fig. 1, Transcription). Our analysis confirmed the expression of the homeobox-containing TFs *Dlx1*, *Hmx3* (or *Nkx5.1*), and *Hoxb5* (15– 17) and identified previously undescribed TFs expressed in the ENS, including the early b cell factor *Ebf3* (or *O/E-2*), the *ets* family TF *Etv1* (or *Er81*), the homeobox-containing TF *Hoxd4*, the SOX family TF *Sox2*, and the T-box TF *Tbx3*.

SANG SANG



Fig. 1. Expression profile of selected genes in the E15.5 mouse gut. RNA *in situ* hybridization of the genes identified in Table 1 is on transverse cryosections of E15.5 mouse small intestine. At E15.5, the enteric nervous system is organized into the myenteric plexus (mp), situated between the developing muscle layers (ml). Comparison of gene expression of known ENS markers reveals that all genes analyzed have equivalent expression within the myenteric region (mp in *Ret*).

Several of the identified genes representing components of signaling pathways, such as secreted ligands, receptors, and intracellular-signaling mediators, are expressed in the ENS in a profile indistinguishable from Ret and Gfra1 (Fig. 1, Signaling). We have identified as previously undescribed ENS markers the ligand Tgfb2 and transmembrane Tmeff2. Our screen has also identified previously undescribed ENS expression of intracellular mediators of signaling pathways, including the Rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor Arghef3, the mitogen-activated protein kinase family member *Mapk10* (or *Jnk3*), and the G protein- γ subunits *Gng2* and *Gng3*. Consistent with previous reports (18), we observed ENS expression of the collapsin response mediator family members Crmp1 and *Dpysl3* (or *Crmp4*). Some of the genes that we demonstrate to be expressed in the ENS have been implicated in cell adhesion and may also participate in intracellular signaling events (ref. 19; Fig. 1, Adhesion). Our analysis confirms ENS expression of *L1cam* (20) and identifies previously undescribed ENS expression of the cadherin family gene Cdh2 (or Ncad), the protocadherin Pcdha1, and the Ig superfamily family member *Igsf4a* (or *SgIGSF/SynCam*).

The remaining genes examined for ENS expression by *in situ* hybridization fall into a broad range of functional categories (Fig. 1, Other). Microtubule-associated proteins, which may function in both cell migration and axon outgrowth during ENS development, include *Dcx*, *Mapt* (or tau), *Stmn2* (or *SCG10*), *Stmn3*, *Tagln3* (or *NP25*), and *Tubb3*. We have characterized the expression of several genes whose product can be detected by using common antibodies,



Fig. 2. Mapk10 and Mab2111 are expressed in $Ret^{+/+}$ but not $Ret^{k-/k-}$ intestines. Representative comparison of gene expression in $Ret^{+/+}$ versus $Ret^{k-/k-}$ embryos by RNA *in situ* hybridization: Mapk10 (A, B, E, and F) and Mab2111 (C, D, G, and H). Both genes are expressed in the myenteric layer of the Ret^{+/+} intestine (A and C), but expression is lost in the $Ret^{k-/k-}$ intestine (B and D). We see expression throughout the myenteric plexus of the $Ret^{+/+}$ stomach for both Mapk10 (E) and Mab2111 (G) but only a small number of Mapk10- or Mab2111-expressing cells in the equivalent region of the $Ret^{k-/k-}$ stomach (arrows in F and H).

including *Gap43*, *Prph1*, *UchL1* (PGP9.5), *Elavl2*, and *Elavl4* (or *HuD* and *HuB*) and confirmed the previously described ENS expression of membrane-associated *Fgf13* (or *FHF2*) (21). In addition, we show previously undescribed expression of genes encoding for synapse-associated proteins in the ENS, such as *Serpini1*, *Sncg*, and *Syt11*. We have confirmed the ENS expression of the neuropeptide *VIP* and demonstrate that the neuropeptide *Cart* is expressed in the developing ENS. Interestingly, our screen also identified a number of genes that we show are previously undescribed ENS marker genes whose molecular function is unknown, including *Mab2111*, *Mllt11* (or *AF1q*), *Nsg2*, and *Scg3*.

Expression of Candidate ENS Marker Genes Is Absent in $Ret^{k-/k-}$ **Intestines.** To further verify the ENS expression of the 47 genes described in Fig. 1, we examined their expression in $Ret^{+/+}$ versus $Ret^{k-/k-}$ intestines. mRNA for two representative examples, Mapk10 and Mab21l/1, was not detected in $Ret^{k-/k-}$ intestine (Fig 2 *B* and *D*), indicating that their expression is restricted to the ENS. Consistent with this fact is the expression of Mapk10 and Mab21l1 in the small number of enteric neurons that colonize the stomach in a Ret-independent manner (Fig. 2 *F* and *H*; ref. 10). Equivalent results were observed for all 47 independently verified genes (data not shown).

Sensitivity of Screen Allows Identification of Genes Expressed in Subsets of ENS. The ENS comprises <5% of the total cell population of the E15.5 intestine (22). Consequently, the major difference between $Ret^{+/+}$ and $Ret^{k-/k-}$ intestines at this stage is the loss of this 5% of cells in $Ret^{k-/k-}$ intestines. Despite this difference, our microarray approach is clearly capable of detecting differences in RNA composition. Moreover, two of the genes identified by using this approach, *Cart* and *VIP*, appear to be expressed in a fraction of ENS cells (compare Fig. 3*A*, *B*, and *C*). Comparison of the *Cart* and *Ret* expression domains by double *in situ* hybridization shows that *Cart* is expressed only in a subset of the *Ret*-expressing ENS cells (Fig. 3*D*). Nevertheless, the microarray screen was able to detect the differential expression of *Cart*.

Broad Temporal Expression of ENS-Expressed Genes. To further characterize the expression of the ENS-expressed genes, we examined the expression of a subset of these genes at a variety of embryonic and postnatal stages. We find that, like *Ret*, these ENS markers identified in our screen are expressed during early and late ENS development and elsewhere during neural development (Fig. 4; data not shown; ref. 8). For example, *Cart* is expressed in a subset of ENS neurons at E15.5 can be observed within the ENS from



Fig. 3. *VIP* and *Cart* are expressed in a subpopulation of *Ret*-expressing enteric neurons. Comparisons of gene expression profiles of *Ret* (*A*) to *VIP* (*B*), and *Cart* (*C*) by RNA *in situ* hybridization suggest that *VIP* and *Cart* are expressed in a smaller proportion of the ENS than *Ret*. A direct comparison of *Ret* expression (brown in *D*) and *Cart* expression reveals that *Cart* expression is found in only a small proportion of *Ret*-expressing cells (coexpression of *Cart* and *Ret* is seen as black; arrowhead in *D*).

E11.5 when ENS precursors are migrating rostrocaudally through the gut (Fig. 4*A*) and persists until at least postnatal day (P) 0 (Fig. 4*D*). *Dpysl3* and *Gng3* also are expressed within the migrating ENS (Fig. 4 B and E) and persist through at least P0 (Fig. 4 C and F).

Human Homologues of ENS-Expressed Genes Map to HSCR Susceptibility Loci. One of the confounding factors in genetic studies of HSCR is that it is thought to be a multifactorial disease; whereas HSCR is hereditary, inheritance is not Mendelian, with risk influenced by a number of parameters, notably gender (7). More than one-half of all cases of HSCR can be attributed to mutations in specific genes (7). Although the mutations responsible for the remaining HSCR cases have yet to be determined, human geneticists have identified several susceptibility loci, including 3p21, 19q21 (23), 9q31 (24), and 16q23 (25).

To explore whether any of the ENS expressed genes identified in our screen are candidate genes at the known susceptibility loci, we have identified human homologues of these genes and determined



Fig. 4. Analysis of *Cart*, *Dpys/3*, and *Gng3* gene expression from E10 to P0. RNA *in situ* hybridization on E11.5 whole embryos (*A*–*C*) and on transverse sections of P0 intestines (*D*–*F*). *Cart* is expressed in a subset of enteric neurons at E11.5 (arrowhead in *A*) and at P0 (arrowhead in *D*). In the ENS, *Dpys/3* expression can be seen at E11.5 (arrowhead in *B*) and P0 (arrowhead in *E*). *Gng3* is expressed in the ENS at E11.5 (arrowhead in *C*) and P0 (arrowhead in *F*).

Table 2. Human homologues of ENS marker genes map to HSCR susceptibility loci

Susceptability loci for HSCR	Genes mapping to HSCR loci
3p21	ARHGEF3
9q31	CTNNAL1
16q23	—
19q21	_

The human homologues of the genes expressed more abundantly in $Ret^{+/+}$ versus $Ret^{k-/k-}$ intestine (Table 4), and their chromosomal position on the current Ensembl genome build (NCBI35) was determined. The markers used to identify the susceptibility loci at 3p21 (D3S2408 and D3S1766) (23) are mapped to 3p14 in NCBI35. The human homologue of *Arhgef3*, *ARHGEF3*, maps between these markers at 3p14. The human homologue of *Chronal1* (also identified in our screen, Table 4), *CTNNAL1*, maps to the 9q31, another HSCR susceptibility loci (24). No currently identifiable human homologues of the genes identified in our screen map to susceptibility loci at 16q23 or 19q21.

their chromosomal position (Tables 2 and 3 and data not shown). The markers used to define the *RET*-dependent modifier at 3p21, D3S2408, and D3S1766 are mapped to 3p14 in Ensembl genome build, NCBI35. Interestingly, *ARHGEF3*, the human homologue of *Arhgef3*, also maps to the region defined by these markers. We also have identified a gene whose human homologue maps to 9q31; catenin (cadherin associated protein) α -like 1 (*Ctnnal1*) is expressed more abundantly in *Ret*^{+/+} than *Ret*^{k-/k-} intestines (1.42-fold; Table 4) and human *CTNNAL1* maps to 9q31.

The sex bias for HSCR is four males to one female (7), suggesting that other susceptibility loci may exist on the X chromosome. We have identified eight ENS expressed genes whose human homologues are found on the X chromosome (Table 3).

Discussion

Our microarray gene expression profiling has identified hundreds of genes more abundantly expressed in $Ret^{+/+}$ than $Ret^{k-/k-}$ intestines, which are candidate ENS-expressed genes. We have independently verified the results of our microarray screen by using RNA in *situ* hybridization for 47 selected genes and demonstrated that all of these genes are expressed in the ENS. The fidelity of this screen gives us a high level of confidence that the total list of candidate genes will be highly enriched for ENS-expressed genes.

At E15.5, the ENS contains cells that are proliferative, progressively differentiating and terminally differentiated. The genes identified in our screen therefore reflect these various cell states. We have identified markers of early differentiating neurons (such as *Gap43* and *Elavl2/4*), early differentiating glial cells (such as *Fabp7*; Table 4), terminally differentiated neurons (such as *VIP*), axon

Table 3. Human homologues of ENS marker genes map to the X chromosome

the X chromosome	Position	
PCSK1N	Xp11.23	
PRKX	Xp22.33	
BEX2	Xq22.1	
GPRASP1	Xq22.1	
DCX	Xq23	
DIAPH2	Xq21.3	
FGF13	Xq26.3	
L1CAM	Xq28	

Eight genes have human homologues on the X chromosome. These include proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 1 inhibitor (*PCSK1N*); protein kinase, X-linked (*PRKX*); brain expressed X-linked 2 (*BEX2*); G protein-coupled receptor-associated sorting protein 1 (*GPRASP1*); doublecortin (*DCX*); diaphanous homolog 2 (*DIAPH2*); fibroblast growth factor 13 (*FGF13*); and L1 cell adhesion molecule (*L1CAM*).

outgrowth (such as *Stmn2/3*, *Dcx*), and synaptogenesis (such as *Syt11* and *Snap25*; Table 4). Examination of the entire list of ENS-expressed genes refines our understanding of ENS development. Moreover, as we will discuss, this screen has identified previously undescribed genes, implicated previously undescribed processes, and is likely to inform and direct future studies.

Sox2 as a Potential Marker of Proliferative and Stem Cell Populations.

Within the CNS, highly proliferative neural progenitors and neural stem cells can be identified by their expression of *Sox2*. Moreover, *Sox2* functions to maintain cells in a neural progenitor state (26). Our microarray experiments have identified *Sox2* as a previously undescribed ENS-expressed gene. The identification of *Sox2* is consistent with the fact that even at E15.5, ENS neurons are highly proliferative; during ENS development, unlike the CNS, cells expressing pan-neural markers such as Hu or Gap43 and the glial marker S100b, continue to proliferate while progressively differentiating (27, 28).

Significantly, the identification of *Sox2* may represent expression within a population of ENS stem cells present in the gut. *Sox2* expression is detectable in the ENS from E11.5 to at least P4 (data not shown). Proliferative ENS progenitors can be isolated from fetal and postnatal gut that, when grown in culture, will self-renew and give rise to neurons and glial cells (29). It is possible that, as in the CNS, *Sox2* is expressed within the neural stem cell population that can be expanded in these culture conditions. If so, resources that are used to enrich for CNS neural stem cells, such as the *Sox2-EGFP* mouse (30), could be applied in future studies of the ENS stem cell population.

Cart: An Early Differentiation Marker. Despite the fact that terminally differentiated neurons represent only a subpopulation of the ENS at E15.5 (31), our experiments detected *VIP* and *CART* expression, underscoring the sensitivity of this screen. In addition, we can detect the expression of *Cart* within a subpopulation of the developing ENS as early as E11.5 (Fig. 4). This finding establishes *Cart* as one of the earliest markers of a phenotypically distinct, terminally differentiated cell type in the ENS that could facilitate future studies of differential cell fate choice.

Semaphorin Signaling in the ENS. The identification of two mediators of semaphorin signaling in our analysis (*Crmp1* and *Dpysl3*) and the semaphorin receptor neuropilin1 (*Nrp1*; Table 4) suggests a role for semaphorin signaling within ENS development. Moreover, the repulsive semaphorin *Sema3a* is expressed in the chick gut (32). Semaphorins are transmembrane or secreted molecules that bind to plexin and/or neuropilin receptors to mediate a variety of cellular responses, including cell migration and axon guidance. *Crmp1* and *Dpysl3* are required within the plexin/neuropilin-expressing cell to mediate Sema3a-induced growth cone collapse (33) and are also proposed to mediate neurite extension controlled by the neurotrophins NGF and NT-3 (34). Semaphorin signaling also plays a role in neuronal migration (35), indicating that *Crmp1*, *Dpysl3*, and *Nrp1* may mediate early cellular migration responses in addition to later axon extension responses.

A Potential Role for FGF Signaling in ENS Development. In some instances, the ENS-expressed genes we have identified can be grouped into functional modules. For example, we have confirmed the ENS expression of *Dlx1* and identified *Etv1* and *Tbx3* as previously undescribed ENS markers. *Dlx, Ets,* and *Tbx* transcription factors are regulated by FGFs in other developmental contexts (36, 37). The presence of FGF-responsive genes within the ENS highlights a possible role for FGF signaling in ENS development. Such a role is supported by expression of the FGF receptor FGFR2 in postnatal ENS ganglia (20). Also, FGF2 (basic FGF) is an essential component of the culture media used to stimulate growth of both ENS progenitor cells and neural stem cells *in vitro* and

maintains cells in a continuously expanding state (29, 38). Finally, the mice mutant for the FGF receptor antagonist *Sprouty2* exhibits ENS hyperganglionosis (39). Taken together, these findings suggest roles for FGFs in ENS development, which remain to be explored. One of the genes identified in our screen, *Fgf13*, shares strong sequence and structural similarity to FGFs but is not secreted and does not activate FGF receptors (40). Therefore, FGF13 is unlikely to mediate the FGF responses we propose. More likely, the relevant FGFs are expressed in the mesenchyme and, therefore, would not be identified in this screen for ENS markers.

c-Jun N-Terminal Kinase Pathway in ENS Development. As a receptor tyrosine kinase, Ret can activate various signaling pathways, such as the RAS/extracellular signal-regulated kinase, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/AKT, p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase, and c-Jun N-terminal kinase pathways (41). These pathways are activated through phosphorylation of Tyr-1062 of Ret (42), a residue required for normal Ret function in vivo (43). Which of these pathways downstream of Ret are acting during the many phases of normal ENS development is unknown. Interestingly, our microarray screen has identified Mapk10 (Jnk3) expression within the ENS. Mapk10 activation is associated with responses to inflammation and cellular stresses but also with cytoskeletal changes associated with neuronal growth; Mapk10 binds and phosphorylates Stmn2 (Scg10) (44), that, in turn, can regulate the microtubule-destabilizing activity of Stnm2 (45). Because we show that *Ret*, *Mapk10*, and *Stmn2* are all expressed in the ENS at this stage and we know that GDNF stimulation leads to axonal outgrowth (12), we can hypothesize that these molecules may function together to link an extracellular signal (GDNF) to the rearrangement of the neuronal cytoskeleton required for axonal outgrowth.

Implications for Human Diseases of the ENS. We have explored the possibility that human homologues of the ENS marker genes identified in our screen map to HSCR susceptibility loci or to the X chromosome. ARHGEF3 maps to the RET-dependent susceptibility locus identified at 3p21 (23) and encodes a Rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor (RhoGEF). CTTNAL1 maps to the RET-dependent susceptibility locus at 9q31 (24) and is known to interact with RhoGEFs (46). RhoGEFs regulate the activity of Rho family GTPase to mediate cellular responses such as adhesion, migration, and axon growth (47). The interaction of CTNNAL1 with RhoGEFs has been proposed to modulate Rho pathway signaling by providing a scaffold for RhoGEFs (46). Dramatically, therefore, the two genes that map to susceptibility loci also are potentially interacting in the regulation of cell movement. The chromosomal positions of ARHGEF3 and CTNNAL1, in addition to the likely functional involvement of RhoGEFs in mediating the cellular events underway during normal ENS development, make these two genes excellent candidates for further tests to identify the modifier genes at 3p21 and 9q31. Finally, the fact that Rho has been shown to mediate GNDF/Ret signaling downstream of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase in neuroblastoma cell lines (48) is a further indication that ARHGEF3 and CTNNAL1 are worthy of further study as a candidate genes to account for RET-dependent HSCR susceptibility at these loci.

We also have identified a number of human homologues of ENS-expressed genes that map to the X chromosome and, therefore, are candidates to account for the greater susceptibility of males to HSCR, including *DCX* and *L1CAM*. Both *Dcx* and *L1cam* have proposed roles in regulating cell migration and axonal outgrowth (49, 50), making these attractive candidates for susceptibility factors on the X chromosome. Furthermore, a role for *L1CAM* in HSCR is consistent with the observation of HSCR in a patient with *L1CAM* mutations (51). The localization of *L1CAM* to Xq28 also makes this gene a good candidate for a HSCR-related neuropathic motility disorder, chronic idiopathic intestinal pseudoobstruction, which has been mapped to this region (52).

Genes up-regulated in $Ret^{k-/k-}$ versus $Ret^{+/+}$ intestines have not been explored here (Table 5, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site), because they did not fulfill the remit of classifying ENS expressed genes. However, such genes are worthy of further study in light of evidence that aganglionic smooth muscle is a suboptimal substrate for growth of cells (53). This finding has significant implications for cell replacement therapy approaches being explored as treatment options for HSCR patients. Characterization of genes more abundant in $Ret^{k-/k-}$ versus $Ret^{+/k-}$ intestines may uncover the changes to anganglionic tissue that affect cell growth, thereby facilitating improved therapeutic methods.

In summary, our experiments have profiled gene expression within the developing ENS and have identified ENS-expressed genes with high fidelity. The sensitivity and reliability of our screen gives us confidence that the complete data set will be highly enriched for ENS-expressed genes. Although our study does not represent a saturation screen, the genes identified form a large cohort of ENS-expressed genes, and this resource can be continually mined in silico to inform ongoing developmental and biochemical studies of the mammalian ENS. Finally, our findings indicate that cell-specific gene expression profiling is an efficient means of identifying candidate genes for human disease susceptibility loci.

Materials and Methods

Isolation of $Ret^{+/+}$ and $Ret^{k-/k-}$ Intestinal Total RNA. Mice carrying the Ret^{k-} mutation and their genotyping have been described in refs. 9 and 10. Litters from intercrosses of $Ret^{+/k-}$ animals were harvested at E15.5. Embryos were dissected, with intestines (gut minus esophagus and stomach) taken for RNA isolation and tails taken for genotyping. Individual intestines were either flash-frozen and stored at -80°C or stored in RNAlater (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Upon genotyping, pools of ≈ 10 intestines of a given genotype, either $Ret^{+/+}$ or $Ret^{k-/k-}$, were combined to form single samples. Three samples of each genotype were generated. Tissue was

- 1. Gershon, M. D., Kirchgessner, A. L. & Wade, P. R. (1994) Functional Anatomy of the Enteric Burns, A. J. & Douarin, N. M. (1998) Development (Cambridge, U.K.) 125, 4335–4347.
 Anderson, R. B., Stewart, A. L. & Young, H. M. (2006) Cell Tissue Res. 323, 11–25.
- 2
- Gershon, M. D. (1997) Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 7, 101-109. 4.
- Sang, Q. & Young, H. M. (1996) Cell Tissue Res. 284, 39–53. Newgreen, D. & Young, H. M. (2002) Pediatr. Dev. Pathol. 5, 224–247.
- Brooks, A. S., Oostra, B. A. & Hofstra, R. M. (2005) Clin. Genet. 67, 6-14
- Pachnis, V., Mankoo, B. & Costantini, F. (1993) Development (Cambridge, U.K.) 119, 1005–1017. Schuchardt, A., D'Agati, V., Larsson-Blomberg, L., Costantini, F. & Pachnis, V. (1994)
- Nature 367, 380-383. Durbec, P. L., Larsson-Blomberg, L. B., Schuchardt, A., Costantini, F. & Pachnis, V. (1996) Development (Cambridge, U.K.) 122, 349–358.
- Taraviras, S., Marcos-Gutierrez, C. V., Durbec, P., Jani, H., Grigoriou, M., Sukumaran, M., Wang, L. C., Hynes, M., Raisman, G. & Pachnis, V. (1999) *Development (Cambridge, U.K.)* 126, 2785–2797.
- Young, H. M., Hearn, C. J., Farlie, P. G., Canty, A. J., Thomas, P. Q. & Newgreen, D. F. 12. (2001) Dev. Biol. 229, 503-516. 13. Natarajan, D., Marcos-Gutierrez, C., Pachnis, V. & de Graaff, E. (2002) Development
- (Cambridge, U.K.) 129, 5151-5160.
- Newgreen, D. & Young, H. M. (2002) *Pediatr. Dev. Pathol.* 5, 329–349.
 Qiu, M., Bulfone, A., Martinez, S., Meneses, J. J., Shimamura, K., Pedersen, R. A. & Rubenstein, J. L. (1995) *Genes Dev.* 9, 2523–2538. 15.
- Pitera, J. E., Smith, V. V., Thorogood, P. & Milla, P. J. (1999) Gastroenterology 117, 1339–1351.
 Bober, E., Baum, C., Braun, T. & Arnold, H. H. (1994) Dev. Biol. 162, 288–303.
- Bood, L., Baum, C., Braun, I. & Annold, H. H. (1994) *Dev. Biol.* **162**, 288–303.
 Inagaki, H., Kato, Y., Hamajima, N., Nonaka, M., Sasaki, M. & Eimoto, T. (2000) *Histochem. Cell Biol.* **113**, 37–41.
- Viollet, C. & Doherty, P. (1997) Cell Tissue Res. 290, 451–455.
 Yoneda, A., Wang, Y., O'Briain, D. S. & Puri, P. (2001) Pediatr. Surg. Int. 17, 299–303.
- 21. Hartung, H., Feldman, B., Lovec, H., Coulier, F., Birnbaum, D. & Goldfarb, M. (1997) Mech. Dev. 64. 31-39.
- Iwashita, T., Kruger, G. M., Pardal, R., Kiel, M. J. & Morrison, S. J. (2003) Science 301, 972-976. Gabriel, S. B., Salomon, R., Pelet, A., Angrist, M., Amiel, J., Fornage, M., Attie-Bitach, T., 23.
- Olson, J. M., Hofstra, R., Buys, C., et al. (2002) Nat. Genet. 31, 89–93.
 Bolk, S., Pelet, A., Hofstra, R. M. W., Angrist, M., Salomon, R., Croaker, D., Buys, C. H. M., Lyonnet, S. & Chakravarti, A. (2000) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 268–273.
- Carrasquillo, M. M., McCallion, A. S., Puffenberger, E. G., Kashuk, C. S., Nouri, N. & Chakravarti, A. (2002) *Nat. Genet.* 32, 237–244.
 Episkopou, V. (2005) *Trends Neurosci.* 28, 219–221.

- 27. Baetge, G. & Gershon, M. D. (1989) Dev. Biol. 132, 189-211.
- Young, H. M., Turner, K. N. & Bergner, A. J. (2005) Cell Tissue Res. 320, 1–9.
 Bondurand, N., Natarajan, D., Thapar, N., Atkins, C. & Pachnis, V. (2003) Development (Cambridge, U.K.) 130, 6387-6400.

homogenized with the QIAshredder kit (Qiagen), and total RNA was isolated by using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen).

Microarray Experiments and Data Analysis. Three 8-mg total RNA samples for each genotype were used to prepare material for hybridization of six GeneChip Mouse Expression Set MOE430A arrays (Affymetrix) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Hybridization, scanning, and generation of raw expression data were performed by a facility at the Columbia University Institute of Cancer Genetics. Data analysis was performed by using GENE-SPRING 7 software (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). For data normalization details and data output, see Tables 4 and 5. Identification and chromosomal position of human homologues of the genes from Table 1 used the Ensembl BioMart data mining tool, www.ensembl.org/Homo_sapiens/martview, by using Ensebl 36 Homo sapians genes (NCBI35) and Mus musculus genes (NCBIM34). Fine-map position of genes and markers was determined by querying NCBI35.

RNA in Situ Hybridization. Section or whole-mount RNA in situ hybridization was performed as described in refs. 11 and 54. For double-section RNA in situ hybridization, the digoxigenin-labeled (Roche) probe was detected with nitroblue tetrazolium/5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (BCIP), the precipitate was fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, and alkaline phosphatase inactivated by 30 min in 0.1 M glycine, pH 2.2. After the second antibody incubation, the fluorescein-labeled probe (Roche) was detected with iodonitrotetrazdium violet/alkaline phosphatase. Antisense probes were generated as described in ref. 54. All probe information is in Table 6, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site.

We thank Benson Lu and Frank Costantini for facilitating microarray hybridizations and members of our laboratory and Malcolm Logan for critical comments. This work was funded by National Institutes of Health Project Grant CA23767.

- 30. Ellis, P., Fagan, B. M., Magness, S. T., Hutton, S., Taranova, O., Hayashi, S., McMahon, A., Rao, M. & Pevny, L. (2004) Dev. Neurosci. 26, 148-165.
- 31. Pham, T. D., Gershon, M. D. & Rothman, T. P. (1991) J. Comp. Neurol. 314, 789-798.
- 32. Shepherd, I. T. & Raper, J. A. (1999) Dev. Biol. 212, 42-53
- Liu, B. P. & Strittmatter, S. M. (2001) *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 13, 619–626.
 Quach, T. T., Duchemin, A. M., Rogemond, V., Aguera, M., Honnorat, J., Belin, M. F. & Kolattukudy, P. E. (2004) Mol. Cell Neurosci. 25, 433-443.
- 35. Gammill, L. S., Gonzalez, C., Gu, C. & Bronner-Fraser, M. (2006) Development (Cambridge, U.K.) 133, 99-106.
- Firnberg, N. & Neubuser, A. (2002) Dev. Biol. 247, 237–250.
 Thomas, B. L., Liu, J. K., Rubenstein, J. L. & Sharpe, P. T. (2000) Development (Cambridge, Cambridge) 2010 (Cambridge) 2010 U.K.) 127, 217-224
- 38. Conti, L., Pollard, S. M., Gorba, T., Reitano, E., Toselli, M., Biella, G., Sun, Y., Sanzone, , Ying, Q. L., Cattaneo, E., et al. (2005) PLoS Biol. 3, e283.
- Taketomi, T., Yoshiga, D., Taniguchi, K., Kobayashi, T., Nonami, A., Kato, R., Sasaki, M., Sasaki, A., Ishibashi, H., Moriyama, M., et al. (2005) Nat. Neurosci. 8, 855–857.
- 40. Goldfarb, M. (2005) Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 16, 215-220.
- 41. Ichihara, M., Murakumo, Y. & Takahashi, M. (2004) Cancer Lett. 204, 197-211.
- 42. Hayashi, H., Ichihara, M., Iwashita, T., Murakami, H., Shimono, Y., Kawai, K., Kurokawa, K., Murakumo, Y., Imai, T., Funahashi, H., et al. (2000) Oncogene 19, 4469–4475.
 Wong, A., Bogni, S., Kotka, P., de Graaff, E., D'Agati, V., Costantini, F. & Pachnis, V.
- (2005) Mol. Cell. Biol. 25, 9661-9673.
- 44. Neidhart, S., Antonsson, B., Gillieron, C., Vilbois, F., Grenningloh, G. & Arkinstall, S. (2001) FEBS Lett. 508, 259-264.
- 45. Antonsson, B., Kassel, D. B., Di Paolo, G., Lutjens, R., Riederer, B. M. & Grenningloh, G. (1998) J. Biol. Chem. 273, 8439-8446.
- 46. Park, B., Nguyen, N. T., Dutt, P., Merdek, K. D., Bashar, M., Sterpetti, P., Tosolini, A., Testa, J. R. & Toksoz, D. (2002) J. Biol. Chem. 277, 45361-45370.
- 47. Bloch-Gallego, E., Causeret, F., Ezan, F., Backer, S. & Hidalgo-Sanchez, M. (2005) Brain Res. Rev. 49, 253-266.
- 48. Murakami, H., Iwashita, T., Asai, N., Iwata, Y., Narumiya, S. & Takahashi, M. (1999) Oncogene 18, 1975-1982.
- 49. Francis, F., Koulakoff, A., Boucher, D., Chafey, P., Schaar, B., Vinet, M. C., Friocourt, G., McDonnell, N., Reiner, O., Kahn, A., et al. (1999) Neuron 23, 247-256.
- 50. Kenwrick, S., Watkins, A. & De Angelis, E. (2000) Hum. Mol. Genet. 9, 879-886.
- Parisi, M. A., Kapur, R. P., Neilson, I., Hofstra, R. M., Holloway, L. W., Michaelis, R. C. & Leppig, K. A. (2002) Am. J. Med. Genet. 108, 51–56.
- 52. Auricchio, A., Brancolini, V., Casari, G., Milla, P. J., Smith, V. V., Devoto, M. & Ballabio, A. (1996) Am. J. Hum. Genet. 58, 743-748.
- 53. Langer, J. C., Betti, P. A. & Blennerhassett, M. G. (1994) Cell Tissue Res. 276, 181-186.
- 54. Riddle, R. D., Johnson, R. L., Laufer, E. & Tabin, C. (1993) Cell 75, 1401-1416.