Major Chromosomal Rearrangements Induced by T-DNA Transformation in Arabidopsis

Philippe Nacry, Christine Camilleri, Beatrice Courtial, Michel Caboche and David Bouchez

Laboratoire de Biologie Cellulaire, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, 78026 Versailles Cedex, France

Manuscript received December 15, 1997 Accepted for publication March 16, 1998

ABSTRACT

We show that major chromosomal rearrangements can occur upon T-DNA transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana.* In the ACL4 line, two T-DNA insertion loci were found; one is a tandem T-DNA insert in a headto-head orientation, and the other is a truncated insert with only the left part of the T-region. The four flanking DNA regions were isolated and located on the Arabidopsis chromosomes; for both inserts, one side of the T-DNA maps to chromosome *2*, whereas the other side maps to chromosome *3.* Both chromosome *3* flanking regions map to the same location, despite a 1.4-kb deletion at this point, whereas chromosome *2* flanking regions are located 40 cM apart on the bottom arm of chromosome *2.* These results strongly suggest a reciprocal translocation between chromosomes *2* and *3*, with the breakpoints located at the T-DNA insertion sites. The interchanged fragments roughly correspond to the 20-cM distal ends of both chromosomes. Moreover, a large inversion, spanning 40 cM on the genetic map, occurs on the bottom arm of chromosome *2.* This was confirmed by genetic analyses that demonstrated a strong reduction of recombination in the inverted region. Models for T-DNA integration and the consequences for T-DNA tagging are discussed in light of these results.

AGROBACTERIUM-MEDIATED T-DNA transfor-

In the plant genome, the right end of the T-DNA is

frequently in the close vicinity of the 24-bp right border

from the construction of museum plant transformation

(DD) muset whene for the construction of numerous plant transformation (RB) repeat, whereas the left end shows more variation, vectors in order to introduce new genes into plant cells from a few to a few hundred nucleotides away from the (Lindsey and Topping 1996). A wide range of plant 24-bp left border (LB) repeat (reviewed in Tinland species are now routinely transformed using this system, 1996). Many lines of evidence suggest that T-DNA inteincluding several monocots (reviewed in Park *et al.* gration often induces base substitutions, insertions, and 1996; Tinland 1996). In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, T-DNA small (<500 bp) rearrangements (deletions and dupli-
has been used successfully as an insertional mutagen cations) at the insertion site (Ghevsen *et al.* 1991: Mayfor gene tagging purposes, with over 40 genes isolated to erhofer *et al.* 1991; Koncz *et al.* 1994). More significant date (reviewed in Lindsey and Topping 1996; Azpiroz-
Leehan and Feldmann 1997).
in tobacco by Obba et al. (1995). In addition Castle

The T-DNA transformation process itself has been
extensively studied, especially the bacterial components
involved in T-DNA mobilization and transfer, a phenom-
enon reminiscent of bacterial conjugation (reviewed in
in nin enon reminiscent of bacterial conjugation (reviewed in in nine of them. The authors concluded that chromo-
Lindsey and Topping 1996; Tinl and 1996). Activation somal rearrangements could be a common feature of Lindsey and Topping 1996; Tinland 1996). Activation
of the *vir* genes by plant compounds results in the syn-
thesis of a linear, single-stranded copy of the T-DNA (1997) described massive rearrangements (inversions T-DNA is then translocated into the plant cell nucleus
where it is stably integrated into the plant genome.
Where it is stably integrated into the plant genome.
Where it is stably integrated into the plant genome.
Possilis

cations) at the insertion site (Gheysen *et al.* 1991; Mayeehan and Feldmann 1997).
The T-DNA transformation process itself has been *et al.* (1993) studying 36 Arabidonsis embryo-defective

Where it is stably integrated into the plant genome.

Numerous T-DNA structures obtained after Agrobacterium-mediated transformation have been characterized

in great detail, suggesting that integration sites are

spread t serts were commonly found in tandem arrays at single

structure induced by T-DNA insertion in an *Arabidopsis*

Corresponding author: David Bouchez, Laboratoire de Biologie Cellu-
laire, INRA, Route de Saint-Cyr, 78026 Versailles Cedex, France. In this article, we describe a complex chromosomal
E-mail: bouchez@versailles.inra.fr

thaliana T-DNA mutagenized line. This line (ACL4) was cdc2Bf and cdc2Br (http://genome-www.stanford.edu/arabiselected during the visual screening of a T-DNA inser-
tion population for morphological alterations. The T-DNA T-DNA population was obtained by vacuum-infiltration plants grown *in vitro* as previously described by Bouchez *et* bacterium strain MP5-1 (Bouchez *et al.* 1993). Prelimi-

mary results showed that the mutation was apparently

tagged by a T-DNA insert. Further characterization re-

vealed complex chromosomal alterations associated

ve with multiple T-DNA inserts: a reciprocal translocation mutant T_2 plants was digested to completion by *Eco*RI and between chromosomes 2 and 3. a large inversion on the then cloned into λ Zap II/*Eco*R1 Cloning Kit between chromosomes 2 and 3, a large inversion on the then cloned into λ Zap II/EcoR1 Cloning Kit (Stratagene,
lower arm of chromosome 2, and a short deletion on La Jolla, CA) and packaged (Gigapack II Packaging extrac on genetic segregations, as well as different models that perimental procedures were as recommended by the manufac-

could lead to such complex chromosomal alterations turer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). could lead to such complex chromosomal alterations turer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).
TAC library screening: Oligonucleotides were deduced

Arabidopsis lines and growing conditions: The ACL4 line
derives from a T-DNA mutagenized population in ecotype
Wassilevskija (WS), obtained by the vacuum-infiltration proce-
Wassilevskija (WS), obtained by the vacuum-infil dure (Bechtold *et al.* 1993), using the Agrobacterium strain MP5-1 carrying the transformation vector pGKB5 (Bouchez *et al.* 1993). Generations of T-DNA mutagenized plants are RESULTS named as follows: T_0 = vacuum-treated plants; T_1 = Bastanamed as follows: The ACL4 line was identified in a visual screen of the resistant plants selected in T₀ progeny; T₂ = progeny of a T₁ The ACL4 line was identified in a visual screen of the selfed plant: T₃ = prog selfed plant; T_3 = progeny of a pool of selfed T_2 plants.
For growth in the greenhouse, seeds were sown on soil and

was performed on 100 μ g/ml kanamycin-containing medium.

Seeds were imbibed for at least 48 hr at 4° and transferred to

a controlled-environment chamber (16 hr light/200 μ mol

photons m/sec, 70% relative humidity,

Oligonucleotides used for PCR: AKT1f (5'-ATGAGAGGAG GGGCTTTGTTATGCGG-3'); AKT1r (5'-CGAGGTAACCAA GGGCTTTGTTATGCGG-3'); AKT1r (5'-CGAGGTAACCAA structure of the T-DNA insert was determined by South-
CAAAGAATGT-3'); T1Af (5'-GCGGTCTACTATCTTCATTT
C-3'); T1Ar (5'-TTGGTTTCTGTAGGCTGAACT-3'); T1Bf (5'-ACGCT T_2 plants, dig GCCTTGAGATAAACCA-3'); T2Af (5'-CATTTGATATTGT
TAGTTGAAGTG-3'); T2Ar (5'-TTACATAGTAGAACAGAGA

For CAPS analyses (Konieczny and Ausubel 1993), 5 μ l of amplification product was digested by 2 units of restriction % of amplification product was digested by 2 units of restriction

enzyme, and run on a 1.5% agarose gel. CAPS markers BGL1,

GL1, GAPA, and m429 have been previously described by

Konieczny and Ausubel (1993). AKT1f and give a 1450-bp PCR product which is cleaved into two *Eco*RV fragments (1050 and 400 bp) only in ecotype Columbia. The tant) ratio, indicating a recessive, monogenic, nuclear

 $\hat{a}l$. (1996). Southern blot analysis was performed on 1 μ g digested DNA, blotted onto Hybond N⁺ membranes ac-

TAC library screening: Oligonucleotides were deduced
from plant genomic sequences flanking T-DNA inserts. They were used for PCR screening of the CEPH/INRA/CNRS yeast artificial chromosome (CIC YAC) library as described byCreu-MATERIALS AND METHODS sot *et al.* (1995) on three-dimensional YAC pools. The length
of the amplification products obtained with T1A, T1B, T2A,

For growth in the greenhouse, seeds were sown on soil and
seedlings were transferred into individual pots 10 days after
germination. Plants were grown on sterilized compost under
16 hr photoperiod, 10–15° night/20–25° day as described by Santoni *et al.* (1994). Kanamycin selection resulting in dwarf, squat, and misshapen plantlets (Fig-

RB, LB, and central (KAN) parts of the T-region of GGAT-3'); T2Bf (5'-CGACTCTGTTTCTGAATCTCTCC-3'); pGKB5 (Figure 2). The hybridization patterns revealed
and T2Br (5'-TGTTTCTGCCGTATCCTCCTC-3').
DNA extraction, PCR amplifications, CAPS analysis: Single mutant plant DNA wa 2 µl was used for PCR amplification. Amplifications were car- plant genomic DNA. Both T-DNA copies appeared to ried out on a PTC100-96 thermal cycler (MJ Research, Inc.,
Watertown, MA) in 25 μ , containing 200 μ mol of each dNTP,
2.5 mm of MgCl₂, 0.4 μ mol of each primer and 0.2 units of
Taq DNA polymerase. PCR conditions

type plant cultivated 2 months in the greenhouse. (C) *ton1* for T-DNA transfer. Arrows indicate coding sequences. GUS:
mutant cultivated 2 months *in vitro*. Mutants are very short, β-glucuronidase; KAN: Tn5 kanamycin res thick, and misshapen, but all organs are in their correct rela- trol of the nopaline synthase promoter; Basta: phosphinotive positions, which can be readily recognized. All flower trycin resistance. The extent of the RB, LB, and KAN probes organs are present. Bars: (A) 1 cm; (B) 10 cm; (C) 1 cm. used for Southern blots and library screening

segregated as a single insertion locus on the basis of ments in the λ clones isolated from the mutant genomic library
the 3:1 kanamycin resistant kanamycin sensitive ratio using the LB probe. T1A and T1B, T2A and T2B:

was tested by transferring 1427 mutants (882 T₂ and 545 $T₃$ plants) onto a kanamycin-containing medium: all of them were clearly kanamycin resistant. Moreover, PCR analysis of 245 mutant seedlings confirmed the presence T-DNA segregate 100% kanamycin sensitive seedlings,
of the tandem T-DNA insertion (T-DNA1). These results and mutants were never observed in their progeny. of the tandem T-DNA insertion (T-DNA1). These results and mutants were never observed in their progeny.
indicate a tight genetic linkage between the mutation Upon analysis of the segregation of kanamycin resisindicate a tight genetic linkage between the mutation and the T-DNA1. tance (harbored by T-DNA1) and the *ton1* mutation in

148 T_3 plants with wild-type phenotype were individually we found significant deviations from the 3:1 (wild-
grown in the greenhouse without any selection. South-
type: mutant) ratio in most cases. Segregation data for grown in the greenhouse without any selection. Southern blot analysis was performed on *Eco*RI-digested DNA 11 such lines are presented in Table 2. The lines could from individual plants. Hybridization with the LB probe be classified into two different types. For most of the (see Figure 2) revealed either no (57 plants) or three lines (type A), mutant frequency (from 22.5 to 40.9%) *Eco*RI junction fragments (91 plants), indicating tight and kanamycin resistance frequency (from 75 to 80.8%) genetic linkage of the two T-DNA inserts. Plants lacking were higher than expected for monogenic Mendelian

Figure 2.—Structure of pGKB5 T-DNA and of T-DNA inser-Figure 1.—Phenotype of *ton1* mutants. (A) Wild-type (left) tions in the ACL4 line. The boxes containing arrowheads and *ton1* (right) seedlings cultivated 7 days *in vitro*. (B) Wild-correspond to the 24-bp border sequenc correspond to the 24-bp border sequences that serve as signals $β$ -glucuronidase; KAN: Tn5 kanamycin resistance under conused for Southern blots and library screening are indicated by bars. T-DNA1 is a head-to-head (RB-RB) tandem insert. T-DNA2 is a truncated insertion with only the left part of the mutation (Table 1). Moreover, in ACL4, the T-DNA pGKB5 T-DNA. Brackets indicate the different *Eco*RI frag-
segregated as a single insertion locus on the basis of ments in the λ clones isolated from the mutant genomic l the 3:1 kanamycin resistant: kanamycin sensitive ratio
(Table 1). flanking sequences, respectively, for T-DNA1 and T-DNA2.
Arrowheads indicate the location and the orientation of PCR
Linkage between the T-DNA and the *ton1* primers used in this study (white: forward primer; grey: reverse
primer). Solid circle: *Eco*RI sites.

In an attempt to separate the two T-DNA insertions, the progeny of 85 unpooled, individual T_2 and T_3 plants,

TABLE 1

Genetic analysis of the *ton1* **mutation and kanamycin resistance in** the pooled progenies of T_2 and T_3 plants

No. of plants	ton1 ^a	Wild type ^{α}	ستريد	Kanamycin resistant ^a	Kanamvcin sensitive ^a	3.4 ⁶
2256	588 (26.1)	1668 (73.9)	$4.36*$	1727 (76.6)	529 (23.4)	$2.89*$

The χ^2 calculated values are based on an expected ratio of 3:1. * Value not significantly different at *P* = 0.05.

^a Values in parentheses are percentages.

approximately 50% kanamycin resistant and 50% sensi- tions confirmed that the isolated clones were colinear tive plants, and less than 5% mutants (all kanamycin to the ACL4 genome (not shown). Synthetic oligonucleresistant). Such a segregation is maintained in subse- otides corresponding to the different genomic fragquent generations when kanamycin resistant plants are ments were synthesized (Figure 2). selfed, and the mutant frequency is never higher than The four genomic sequences flanking the T-DNAs 5%. Plants exhibiting such segregation were always ob- were mapped on the Arabidopsis YAC physical map. served in the progeny of plants segregating kanamycin PCR primer pairs for each flanking sequence were used resistance and the mutation, even after three genera- to screen the Arabidopsis CIC YAC library (Creusot *et*

ACL4: *Isolation and mapping of T-DNA flanking regions in* m251 -39.5 cM-) (Zachgo *et al.* 1996). The T1B primers *ACL4:* With the aim of estimating the physical distance identified four CIC YACs (5E11, 6E11, 8H2, and 5C4) between the two T-DNA insertions, a genomic library located at the bottom of chromosome *2* (close to SG5 of the mutant was constructed and screened using the -80.1 cM-) (Zachgo *et al.* 1996). RFLP analysis with a LB probe (Figure 2). Nine positive clones were recov-

T1B probe on a set of 100 recombinant inbred (RI) lines ered, subcloned, and partially sequenced. Eight corre- (Lister and Dean 1993) confirmed the chromosomal sponded to the T-DNA1 flanking regions (three for T1A location of this region (RFLP marker ve019 -81.4 cM-; and five for T1B) and the ninth clone contained T-DNA Lister and Dean 1997). 2 with genomic DNA on both sides (T2A and T2B) Therefore, for both T-DNA insertions, flanking re-

factors. About one third of the lines (type B) segregated (Figure 2). Southern blot analysis and PCR amplifica-

tions of backcrosses.
In pooled progenies (Table 3), plants deriving from six CIC YACs (9D7, 11B6, 8H7, 5D3, 12F10, and 7F7) six CIC YACs (9D7, 11B6, 8H7, 5D3, 12F10, and 7F7) type A and type B parent plants unexpectedly compen- located at the bottom of chromosome *3* (close to ve022; sate for each other to give a segregation pattern that 73.5, cM-) (C. Camilleri and D. Bouchez, unpubappears to be Mendelian for both kanamycin resistance lished results). The T2A PCR primers identified four and the *ton1* mutation (Table 1). CIC YACs (9G1, 8B9, 7E2, and 5B4) located at the mid-**Molecular characterization of T-DNA insertions in** dle of chromosome *2* (between mi148 -36.3 cM- and

TABLE	Ω
-------	---

Genetic analysis of the *ton1* **mutation and kanamycin resistance in the progeny of 11** (from 85 lines analyzed) individually selfed T_2 and T_3 heterozygous plants

Genetic analysis of the ton1 mutation and kanamycin resistance in the progeny of individually selfed Genetic analysis of the ton1 mutation and kanamycin resistance in the progeny of individually selfed T₂ and T₃ heterozygous plants calculated on the progeny of 85 plants **T2 and T3 heterozygous plants calculated on the progeny of 85 plants** TABLE₃

TABLE 3

different at

P $= 0.05.$

gions map on chromosome *2* on one side (T1B and T2A), and on chromosome *3* on the other side (T1A and T2B) (Figure 2). Both chromosome *3* flanking regions map at the same location, whereas the chromosome *2* regions are about 40 cM apart on the lower arm. Southern analyses using T1A and T2B as probes on digests of WS DNA revealed common hybridizing restriction fragments (not shown), which confirmed their physical linkage in the ACL4 genome. These results strongly suggest that major chromosomal rearrangements (a reciprocal translocation between chromosome *2* and *3* on one hand, and a large deletion or inversion on chromosome *2* on the other hand) occurred in this line. Moreover, heterozygous ACL4 plants showed a significant reduction in pollen viability as tested by Alexander's staining method (Alexander 1969): 30–60% lethality, and also in ovule viability $(\sim 40\%$ missing seeds in siliques), which is also a good indication for chromosomal defects.

A 1.4-kb deletion in chromosome 3: T1A and T2B T-DNA flanking regions were found on the same set of CIC YACs. Both T1A and T2B fragments were used as probes on restriction digests of these YAC clones, and they show the same hybridization pattern for the different restriction enzymes tested (not shown). Moreover, PCR amplifications were performed on wild-type genomic DNA using primers from each of the chromosome *3* flanking regions: T1Af and T2Br (Figure 2). A 2.2-kb fragment was amplified in wild-type DNA, instead of the 800 bp expected from the sequences of T1A and T2B, suggesting that a 1.4-kb region is deleted in the mutant DNA. Sequence comparison of the mutant and the wildtype genomic region confirmed the 1.4-kb deletion in ACL4.

Further genetic studies confirm the complex chromosome structure in ACL4: Taken together, the molecular results give strong indication for large chromosomal rearrangements, with breakpoints situated precisely at the T-DNA insertion sites (BP2b and BP3, Figure 3). As the T1B (T-DNA1) and the T2A (T-DNA2) flanking regions are located 40 cM apart, we tested several mutant plants for the presence of markers located in this interval to differentiate between a large deletion or, more likely, a large paracentric inversion on chromosome *2.* PCR analysis of 215 individual mutant plants was performed, using various primer combinations: two for each site, one specific for the wild-type sequence, one specific for the T-DNA insertion. This enabled us to distinguish all the different genomic structures at each T-DNA site, from wild-type homozygous to T-DNA homozygous. This analysis revealed that the T_2 mutant plants can be divided into two groups (Figure 4) according to their genomic composition: 70% of the mutant plants (group 1) are homozygous for both translocated chromosomes, whereas 30% (group 2) are homozygous for the translocated chromosome *3* and heterozygous for chromosome *2.*

somes 2 and 3 and maps of rearranged chromosomes $T2-3$

and $T3-2$ in ACL4. All the genetic positions indicated refer

to the reference RI map (Lister and Dean 1997). For the marker.

Four chromosome 3 markers were teste markers that are not present on the RI map, genetic locations Four chromosome *3* markers were tested (Table 4),
were deduced from their position on the YAC physical map. Three of them are linked to the *ton1* mutation, wi were deduced from their position on the YAC physical map. RCEN2 and RCEN3 are centromeric repeat markers (Round (cdc2b and BGL1) 100% linked. The genetic distance *et al.* 1997). T1A and T1B, T2A and T2B: genomic flanking ~ 16 cM) between the *ton1* mutation and the third *et al.* 1997). T1A and T1B, T2A and T2B: genomic flanking (\sim 16 cM) between the *ton1* mutation and the third sequences, respectively, for T-DNA1 and T-DNA2. BP2a, BP2b, sequences, respectively, for 1-DIVAT and 1-DIVAZ. Br za, Br zb,
and BP3: chromosomal break points in ACL4. The white boxes
indicate the T-DNA inserts. For clarity, the regions involved
in the translocation and inversion a in the translocation and inversion are hatched differently (as in Figure 2), and the chromosome 2 inversion is indicated by in Figure 2), and the chromosome *2* inversion is indicated by detected with the GAPA marker, which is only 5 cM

2, the distinction between a paracentric inversion and changed. a large deletion on chromosome *2* was made from the In addition, the *cop1-6* (Deng *et al.* 1991; chromosome analysis of isolated group 1 mutant plants (which carry *2*, 62.7 cM) mutation was used as a phenotypic marker.

two copies of a rearranged chromosome *2*). In case of a deletion, several chromosome *2* markers would simply be absent from these plants. We tested for the presence of several chromosome *2* PCR markers located in this region [ve061 (\sim 40 cM), AKT 1 (\sim 48 cM), m283 (60.4 cM), RB9 (\sim 62 cM), m429 (72.6 cM), ve065 (\sim 75 cM); Lister and Dean 1997; C. Camilleri and D. Bouchez, unpublished results] on DNA from 46 group 1 plants. All the markers tested in group 1 plants give an amplification product similar to the wild type. This demonstrates that the 40-cM region located between BP2a and BP2b on chromosome *2* is not deleted, but rather inverted (Figure 3).

To study the genetic behavior of such structures in Arabidopsis, we performed a linkage analysis on a population of F_2 mutant plants derived from a cross between ACL4 heterozygous plants (WS background) and Columbia wild-type plants. The resulting linkage data for different chromosome *2* and chromosome *3* markers are shown in Table 4. Three chromosome *2* genetic markers were tested, two in the inverted region (m429 and AKT1- map positions 72.6 and \sim 48 cM-) and one in the nonrearranged region (RA12; \sim 15 cM-) (Figure 3). The two markers located in the inverted region are linked to the *ton1* mutation and map at the same distance from the *ton1* mutation in our population, whereas they are about 25 cM apart on chromosome *2.* The RA12 marker is unlinked (Table 4).

Because we had previously found that one third of *ton1* mutants are heterozygous for the chromosome *2* rearrangement (group 2 plants), we analyzed the chromosomal structure of individual plants in our mapping population. Twenty-six group 2 mutants were eliminated from the mapping data set because these plants introduce a bias in the observed recombination frequency, due to the chromosomal heterozygous status. A new linkage analysis performed on the group 1 mutant population showed m429 and AKT1 still 100% linked to the *ton1* mutation, but RA12 at 18.1 cM (Table 4). These results show that recombination is suppressed in Figure 3.—Genetic maps of wild-type Arabidopsis chromo-
somes 2 and 3 and maps of rearranged chromosomes T2-3
between the end of the inversion (BP2a) and the RA12

north of GL1. These findings suggest that recombination is also modified in this chromosome *3* region in heterozygous plants. The chromosome *3* genetic dis-As group 2 mutants carry one wild-type chromosome tances recalculated on the group 1 population are un-

Figure 4.—Chromosomal structure in wild-type, heterozygous, and *ton1* mutant plants. The ACL4 heterozygous plants contain for both chromosomes *2* and *3* one wild-type and one chromosome (Chromosomes *2*; T2-3 and *3*; T3-2). The *ton1* mutants isolated in the progeny of selfed heterozygous plants can be divided into two groups: group 1 plants (70%) are homozygous for both translocated chromosomes (chromosomes T2-3; T2-3 and T3-2; T3-2) whereas group 2 plants (30%) are homozygous for the translocated chromosome *3* and heterozygous for chromosome *2* rearrangement (chromosomes *2*; T2-3 and T3-2; T3-2). Motives used to identify the different chromosomal regions are the same as in Figures 1 and 2.

plants and *cop1-6* mutants, only two of the 362 *cop1-6* ple T-DNA inserts, in various configurations, appear to mutant seedlings were kanamycin resistant. This further be quite frequent (Castle *et al.* 1993; Koncz *et al.* 1994; substantiates previous results showing that recombina- Cluster *et al.* 1996; De Neve *et al.* 1997), and De Neve tion frequencies are strongly altered on the distal arm *et al.* (1997) found a preference for RB-RB or RB-LB of chromosome *2.* associations. On the contrary, the second T-DNA inser-

sites in chromosomes of several plant species has been reported. Most insertions correspond to simple, unique complete or nearly complete T-DNA insert). Castle *et*
inserts where both T-region ends are present, with only al. (1993) found that about one fourth of the T-DNA inserts where both T-region ends are present, with only *al.* (1993) found that about one fourth of the T-DNA short deletions removing just a few nucleotides in plant embryonic mutants they analyzed contained truncated
DNA at the integration site (Gheysen *et al.* 1991: May- T-DNAs, most of them corresponding to the left part DNA at the integration site (Gheysen *et al.* 1991; Mayerhofer *et al.* 1991; Koncz *et al.* 1994). These authors of the T-region. These results support the hypothesis also noted short stretches of similarity between the that T-DNA integration should be initiated on the LB also noted short stretches of similarity between the T-DNA ends and the preintegration site, and suggested side of the T-DNA, as proposed by Tinland (1996). In that breaks in chromosomal DNA were required for addition, in ACL4, no T-DNA fragment was detected by that breaks in chromosomal DNA were required for addition, in ACL4, no T-DNA fragment was detected by
insertion of the T-DNA. Therefore, it has been proposed by hybridization at break point BP2a. However, abortive insertion of the T-DNA. Therefore, it has been proposed that T-DNA integration into plant chromosomes may T-DNA insertions may be involved in the formation of involve a process of illegitimate recombination (re- such chromosome breakages. This could explain the viewed in Gheysen *et al.* 1991; Mayerhofer *et al.* 1991; deletions, additions, and base substitutions observed in Koncz *et al.* 1994; Tinland 1996). More complex cases untagged mutants isolated from T-DNA mutagenized of T-DNA integration, such as deletions or duplica-
populations (Negruk *et al.* 1996), in which up to 65% of T-DNA integration, such as deletions or duplica-
tions of T-DNA extremities or of genomic sequences of the observed mutations do not appear to be caused tions of T-DNA extremities or of genomic sequences (Gheysen *et al.* 1991; Castle *et al.* 1993; Ohba *et al.* by a complete T-DNA insert (Azpiroz-Leehan and 1995), as well as the presence of sequences of unknown Feldmann 1997). origin between the T-DNA ends and genomic DNA In the ACL4 line, T-DNA insertion induced large (Gheysen *et al.* 1991) have also been described. chromosomal rearrangements: a reciprocal transloca-

In the F₂ progeny of a cross between *ton1* heterozygous to a head to head (RB-RB) tandem T-DNA. Such multition (T-DNA2) is a truncated insert, with only the left part of the T-region inserted. This kind of structure is DISCUSSION poorly documented, probably because most studies are The characterization of numerous T-DNA insertion performed on plants selected on the basis of antibiotic
es in chromosomes of several plant species has been or herbicide resistance (*i.e.*, a selection for at least one

In ACL4, the first insertion (T-DNA1) corresponds tion (interchange of the 20-cM distal ends of chromo-

Marker	Chromosome (position in cM)	Recombination percent ^a	Genetic distance to <i>ton1</i> (cM)	No. of plants scored
RA12	2 (\sim 15)	45.8 ± 5.8	Unlinked	72 group $1 + 2$
		17.4 ± 5.5	18.1 ± 6.3	46 group 1
AKT1	2 (\sim 48)	18.1 ± 4.5	18.9 ± 5.2	72 group $1 + 2$
		0.0	0.0	46 group 1
m429	2(72.6)	18.1 ± 4.5	18.9 ± 5.2	72 group $1 + 2$
		0.0	0.0	46 group 1
GAPA	3(40.1)	47.2 ± 5.8	Unlinked	72 group $1 + 2$
		47.8 ± 7.4	Unlinked	46 group 1
GL1	3(44.7)	17.9 ± 4.3	16.5 ± 4.8	72 group $1 + 2$
		15.2 ± 5.2	15.7 ± 5.8	46 group 1
cdc2b	$3 \ (\sim 71)$	0.0	0.0	72 group $1 + 2$
		0.0	0.0	46 group 1
BGL1	3(71.9)	0.0	0.0	72 group $1 + 2$
		0.0	0.0	46 group 1

Genetic distances between the *ton1* **mutation and chromosome** *2* **and** *3* **markers**

An ACL4 heterozygous plant (WS background) and a Columbia wild-type plant were crossed. Seventy-two seedlings homozygous for the *ton1* mutation (group $1 + 2$) were selected in the F_2 population deriving from this cross. The chromosomal structure of these mutants was analyzed by PCR and 26 of them were found to carry one wild-type chromosome 2 (group 2 plants). CAPS markers were tested on all F_2 plants. To estimate the genetic distances in this cross between the *ton1* mutation and several markers from chromosome *2*, and to compare these distances to those derived from the reference RI map (Lister and Dean 1997), we had to remove group 2 plants from the analysis. The genetic distances and standard deviation were calculated using the Kosambi mapping function (Koornneef and Stam 1992).

^a Values are \pm SD.

somes 2 and 3), and a 40-cM inversion on chromosome tween independent T-DNA inserts on these chromo-*2.* BP2b and BP3 break points are precisely located at somes. Recombination events between copies of transthe T-DNA insertion sites. Few reports of such complex posable elements are known to induce chromosomal chromosomal rearrangements exist, possibly because in rearrangements (reviewed in Robbins *et al.* 1989), and Arabidopsis, most chromosomal rearrangements lead- homologous recombination between a T-DNA insert ing to partial aneuploidy/polyploidy are expected to be and either another T-DNA, or plant genomic DNA, has lethal, due to the small size of the Arabidopsis genome previously been reported (De Neve *et al.* 1997). Neverwhich has small intergenic and noncoding regions and the ess, the occurrence of an additional large inversion

that about 20% of the T-DNA mutants examined (se- In addition, no T-DNA remnant is observed at break lected for embryo lethality) contained translocations or point BP2a, and small deletions occur at break points. inversions, and Feldmann *et al.* (1997) also suggested These findings do not favor a recombination mechacount for exceptional segregations of the T-DNA mark- phenomena are more likely to be responsible. In this ers. Takano *et al.* (1997) described massive rearrange- case, the insertion of T-DNAs 1 and 2 could have inments of genomic DNA at the foreign DNA integration duced major rearrangements, due to defective repair sites in rice. Recently, Ray *et al.* (1997) reported on the mechanisms. The involvement of double strand breaks

into the plant genome (Gheysen *et al.* 1991; Mayer- structures at meiosis, which strongly affects gamete type hofer *et al.* 1991; Koncz *et al.* 1994; Tinland 1996), and frequency. Reciprocal translocations have been exthese hypotheses would be extremely difficult. The re- translocation can pair at meiosis and form a crossciprocal translocation between chromosomes *2* and *3* shaped configuration of four chromosomes. may have arisen from homologous recombination be- In the progeny of ACL4 heterozygous plants, we

low gene redundancy. on chromosome *2* would require a second independent Genetic results from Castle *et al.* (1993) suggested recombination event which would be highly unlikely. the occurrence of translocations in T-DNA lines to ac- nism and therefore events involving break and repair characterization of a reciprocal chromosomal transloca- in T-DNA integration, already reported by De Neve *et* tion in an Arabidopsis line isolated from a T-DNA muta- *al.* (1997), could account for the deletions observed at genized population. the plant/T-DNA junctions. These types of chromo-In view of the current models for T-DNA integration somal rearrangements are known to induce particular several hypotheses can be made concerning the origin tensively analyzed in rye and maize (reviewed in of the chromosomal structure observed in the ACL4 Sybenga 1972). In these plants, it has been shown that line. However, experimental confirmation of any of all homologous segments of a heterozygous reciprocal

found significant deviation from Mendelian segregation evolved to recruit host functions involved in DNA repair in the progeny of individual heterozygous plants (Table mechanisms. The analysis of chromosomal structure in 2). Such defects have been observed in the progeny of the ACL4 line provides further evidence that break and plants carrying chromosomal translocations. Theoreti-

repair mechanisms are involved in the T-DNA integracally, the different chromosomal configurations should tion process. Multiple T-DNA insertions, either successoccur with equal frequencies, but in fact this depends ful or abortive, are then likely to generate large chromoon the presence and number of chiasmata, centromere somal rearrangements, such as those observed in ACL4. activity, the size of the interchanged segments and chro- From an evolutionary point of view, our results leave mosome flexibility (Sybenga 1972). Inversions are also open the possibility that T-DNA induced chromosomal known to induce gamete lethality (Redei and Koncz rearrangements may insome cases play a role in genome 1992). Unbalanced gametes resulting from adjacent evolution and speciation. T-DNA is a natural agent of chromosome segregation are generally lethal and in mutagenesis in plants (although probably not frequent duce semisterility in plants (Sybenga 1972; Koornneef in Arabidopsis), and a T-DNA remnant has been de-1994). In the ACL4 line, all the unbalanced gametes tected in the evolution of the genus Nicotiana (Furner are apparently lost except one gametic genotype (chround and all 1986). Natural T-DNAs carry oncogenes and opine are apparently lost except one gametic genotype (chro-
mosomes 2, T3-2; Figures 2 and 3) resulting from an synthesis genes that are most probably incompatible mosomes 2, T3-2; Figures 2 and 3) resulting from an synthesis genes that are most probably incompatible adjacent segregation.

Transmission defects can account for the biases in would be interesting to test whether portions of Agro-
kanamycin resistance and mutant frequencies, but not bacterium T-DNA, as described here, can be detected for the near 1:1 Kan^R:/Kan^S segregation observed in the in natural populations.
progeny of type B plants. These plants have both T-DNA insertional mu progeny of type B plants. These plants have both T-DNA insertional mutagenesis is broadly used to gen-
T-DNAs but mutants are rarely observed in their prog-
erate mutants useful for gene cloning and functional T-DNAs but mutants are rarely observed in their prog- erate mutants useful for gene cloning and functional eny. Crosses with wild-type plants demonstrate signifi- analysis in plants. The occurrence of large chromosomal
Cant defects in kanamycin resistance transmission (12% rearrangements in T-DNA lines, which can involve mulcant defects in kanamycin resistance transmission (12% rearrangements in T-DNA lines, which can involve mul-
by pollen and 39% by ovule, instead of 50%). In addiby pollen and 39% by ovule, instead of 50%). In addi-
tiple loci not physically linked to one another, may
tion, the selfed progeny of these kanamycin resistant
strongly hamper the molecular characterization of putaplants segregate approximately 50% Kan^R and 50% Kan^S

large size of the inverted fragment (40 cM) may allow the French Ministère de la Recherche et de l'Enseignement Supérieur the formation of a loop allowing pairing with the nonre- grant no. 94245. arranged homologous chromosome (Redei and Koncz 1992).

We now have convincing evidence that T-DNA inte-
gration can provoke profound rearrangements in plant genomes, both at the chromosomal level and at the Alexander, M. P., 1969 Differential staining of aborted and non
gene level. The prevalence of large chromosomal alter-
ations in T-DNA transformants is difficult to assess, ations in T-DNA transformants is difficult to assess, but mutagenesis
the actual frequency of such events could be significant. the actual frequency of such events could be significant
and needs further examination. Whether this observa-
ium mediated gene transfer by infiltration of adult *Arabidopsis* tion can be generalized to other transformation systems, *thaliana* plants. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Life Sci. **316:** 1194–1199. such as direct transformation procedures, is unknown
at present. We can expect that Agrobacterium-mediated
transformation, as a highly specialized system, has bouchez, D., P. Vittorioso, B. Courtial and C. Camillieri, 1996 transformation, as a highly specialized system, has

mutagenesis in plants (although probably not frequent jacent segregation.
Transmission defects can account for the biases in would be interesting to test whether portions of Agrobacterium T-DNA, as described here, can be detected

strongly hamper the molecular characterization of putaplants egergate approximately so Narr and 30% Marr and 20% are tine the material and the material arrangements induce modification

-
-
-
-
-

- Castle, L. A., D. Errampalli, T. L. Atherton, L. H. Franzmann, E. S. Yoon *et al.*, 1993 Genetic and molecular characterisation Lister, C., and C. Dean, 1997 The latest RI map using Lister and of embryonic mutants identified following seed transformation Dean RI lines. Weeds World (ht of embryonic mutants identified following seed transformation Dean RI lines. We have well as a metal of the set of the Arabidoneis. Mol. Con. Const. 241: 504–514 **4(i):** 1–10. in Arabidopsis. Mol. Gen. Genet. **241:** 504–514.
- nia population exhibiting co-suppression. Plant Mol. Biol. **32:** 1197-1203.
- Creusot, F., E. Fouilloux, M. Dron, J. Lafleuriel, G. Picard *et* mutations in transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana* gene
al., 1995 The CIC library: a large insert YAC library for genome
- al., 1995 The CIC library: a large insert YAC library for genome

mapping in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. **8:** 763–770.

De Neve, M., S. Debuck, A. Jacobs, M. Van Montagu and A. De-

picker, 1997 T-DNA integration patter
-
- sion in Arabidopsis. Genes Dev. 5: 1172-1182.

Edwards, K., C. Johnstone and C. Thompson, 1991 A simple and

reading Supplement 124: 2489-2498.

Feldmann, K. A., D. A. Coury and M. L. Christianson, 1997 Exceptions and J.
- ment. Genetics **147:** 1411–1422. **8:** 5–13.
Furner, I. J., G. A. Huffman, R. M. Amasino, D. J. Garfinkel, M. P. **Round, E. K**
- Gordon *et al.*, 1986 An Agrobacterium transformation in the evolution of the genus Nicotiana. Nature **319**: 422–427. DNA structure. Genome Res. **7:** 1045–1053.
- Genes Dev. 5: 287-297.
Cz. C. K. Németh. G. P. Redei and J. Schell. 1994 Homology Santoni, V., C. Bellini and M. Caboche, 1994 Use of two-dimen-
- recognition during T-DNA integration into the plant genome,

pp. 167–189 in *Homologous Recombination and Gene Silencing in dopsis thaliana* mutants. Planta 192: 557–566. pp. 167-189 in *Homologous Recombination and Gene Silencing in <i>Plants*, edited by J. Paszkowski. Kluwer Academic Publishers,
- Arabidopsis mutations using co-dominant ecotype-specific PCR-
based markers. Plant J. 4: 403-410.
rends Plant Sci. 1: 178-183.
Trends Plant Sci. 1: 178-183.
- Xoornneef, M., 1994 Arabidopsis genetics, pp. 89–120 in *Arabi* Trends Plant Sci. 1: 178–183.
 dopsis, edited by E. M. Meyerowitz and C. R. Sommerville.

Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New

York
-
- *Practice*, edited by G. D. Foster and D. Twell. John Wiley &
- Kanamycin rescue: a simple technique for the recovery of T-DNA Lister, C., and C. Dean, 1993 Recombinant inbred lines for map-
flanking sequences. Plant Mol. Biol. 14: 115-123. ping RFLP and phenotypic markers in Arabidops ping RFLP and phenotypic markers in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J. 4: 745–750.
	-
- ster, P. D., M. Odell, M. Metzlaff and R. B. Flavell, 1996 Mayerhofer, R., Z. Koncz-Kalman, C. Nawrath, G. Bakkeren, A. Details of T-DNA structural organization from a transgenic Petu-
Details of T-DNA structural organizat Crameri et al., 1991 T-DNA integration: a mode of illegitimate recombination in plants. EMBO J. **10:** 697-704.
	- Negruk, V., G. Eisner and B. Lemieux, 1996 Addition-deletion mutations in transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana generated by the seed
	-
	-
	-
	-
- tional segregation of a selectable marker (Kan^k) in Arabidopsis experiment suggests that donor and recipient sites are associ-
identifies genes important for gametophytic growth and develop- ated during Tam3 transpositio
	- Round, E. K., S. K. Flowers and E. J. Richards, 1997 *Arabidopsis thaliana* centromere regions: genetic map positions and repetitive
- Gheysen, G., R. Villarroel and M. Van Montagu, 1991 Illegiti- Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch and T. Maniatis, 1989 *Molecular Clon*mate recombination in plants: a model for T-DNA integration. *ing: a Laboratory Manual.* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold
- Koncz, C., K. Németh, G. P. Redei and J. Schell, 1994 Homology Santoni, V., C. Bellini and M. Caboche, 1994 Use of two-dimen-
recognition during T-DNA integration into the plant genome. sional protein-pattern analysis for
	- *Sybenga, J., 1972 General Cytogenetics. North Holland/American* Elsevier, New York.
- Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
Konieczny, A., and F. M. Ausubel, 1993 A procedure for mapping Takano, M., H. Egawa, J. E. Ikeda and K. Wakasa, 1997 The struc-
	-
	-
	-
- and J. Schell, World Scientific, Singapore.

Lindsey, K., and J. F. Topping, 1996 T-DNA-mediated insertional of T-DNA genes from Agrobacterium Tiand Ri plasmids in plants.

mutagenesis, pp. 275–300 in *Plant Gene Isolation*

Communicating editor: D. Preuss