Regulators of Pseudohyphal Differentiation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* **Identified Through Multicopy Suppressor Analysis in Ammonium Permease Mutant Strains**

Michael C. Lorenz* and Joseph Heitman*,^{†,†,§}

* Department of Genetics, [†]Department of Pharmacology and Cancer Biology, [‡]Department of Medicine and the [§]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina 27710

> Manuscript received March 19, 1998 Accepted for publication September 14, 1998

ABSTRACT

Nitrogen-starved diploid cells of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* differentiate into a filamentous, pseudohyphal growth form. Recognition of nitrogen starvation is mediated, at least in part, by the ammonium permease Mep2p and the G α subunit Gpa2p. Genetic activation of the pheromone-responsive MAP kinase cascade, which is also required for filamentous growth, only weakly suppresses the filamentation defect of $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ and $\Delta gpa2/\Delta gpa2$ strain. Surprisingly, deletion of Mep1p, an ammonium permease not previously thought to regulate differentiation, significantly enhances the potency of MAP kinase activation, such that the *STE11-4* allele induces filamentation to near wild-type levels in $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ and $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1 \Delta gpa2/\Delta gpa2$ strains. To identify additional regulatory components, we isolated high-copy suppressors of the filamentation defect of the $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ mutant. Multicopy expression of *TEC1*, *PHD1*, *PHD2* (*MSS10/MSN1/FUP4*), *MSN5*, *CDC6*, *MSS11*, *MGA1*, *SKN7*, *DOT6*, *HMS1*, *HMS2*, or *MEP2* each restored filamentation in a $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ strain. Overexpression of *SRK1* (*SSDI*), *URE2*, *DAL80*, *MEP1*, or *MEP3* suppressed only the growth defect of the $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2/\Delta mep1$ and epistasis underscores the complexity of this developmental pathway and suggests that stress conditions other than nitrogen deprivation may also promote filamentous growth.

W HEN diploid cells of the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae are deprived of nitrogen, they differentiate into a filamentous, pseudohyphal form. Pseudohyphal cells are characterized by an elongated morphology, altered budding pattern and cell cycle, and the ability to invade the growth substrate (Gimeno *et al.* 1992; Kron *et al.* 1994). Pseudohyphal differentiation shares both regulatory and morphological similarities with filamentous growth forms of other fungi, including the maize fungus Ustilago maydis and the animal pathogen Candida albicans. The filamentous forms of these organisms have been associated with tissue invasion and dissemination, and they are critical for virulence (Banuett 1991; Lo *et al.* 1997). Similarly, pseudohyphal differentiation may serve to disperse yeast cells under adverse conditions, particularly nutrient stresses.

The regulation of pseudohyphal differentiation involves at least two signaling pathways. One includes Gpa2p, the α subunit of a heterotrimeric guanine nucleotide-binding protein (Kübler *et al.* 1997; Lorenz and Heitman 1997). Genetic evidence indicates that Gpa2p regulates cAMP metabolism coordinately with Ras2p: the pseudohyphal defect of $\Delta gpa2/\Delta gpa2$ strains and the synthetic growth defect of $\Delta gpa2 \Delta ras2$ strains are both suppressed by increasing cAMP concentrations (Kübler et al. 1997; Lorenz and Heitman 1997; Xue et al. 1998). In addition, either addition of exogenous cAMP or expression of a dominant-active GPA2 allele induces filamentous growth in nitrogen-rich conditions that normally repress this differentiation pathway (Lorenz and Heitman 1997). Activated RAS2 alleles, elevated cAMP levels, or expression of a dominant-active GPA2 allele confers sensitivity to heat shock and alters glycogen accumulation (Toda et al. 1985; Xue et al. 1998). Genetic evidence suggests that some of these phenotypes are mediated by Sch9p, a protein kinase related to protein kinase A (PKA; Xue et al. 1998). Overexpression of SCH9 can suppress the lethality of deletions of both RAS genes or of the sole adenylyl cyclase gene CYR1 (Toda et al. 1988), and Sch9p has been proposed to act in a pathway that is parallel to PKA. The role of Sch9p in filamentous growth is presently unknown.

A second signaling pathway regulating pseudohyphal differentiation includes elements of the haploid pheromone-responsive MAP kinase cascade, including the protein kinases Ste20p, Ste11p, Ste7p, and Kss1p and the transcriptional activator Ste12p (Liu *et al.* 1993; Cook *et al.* 1997; Madhani *et al.* 1997). Addition of exogenous cAMP or expression of the dominant *GPA2-2* allele suppresses the pseudohyphal defect of strains lacking MAP kinase elements (Lorenz and Heitman 1997). How this pathway is activated to effect filamentous

Corresponding author: Joseph Heitman, Department of Genetics, Box 3546, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710. E-mail: heitm001@mc.duke.edu

growth is not understood, though the pheromone receptors and the Gpa1p/Ste4p/Ste18p heterotrimeric G protein are not involved (Liu *et al.* 1993). Ras2p likely plays a dual role in regulating both the MAP kinase pathway and cAMP metabolism (Gimeno *et al.* 1992; Mösch *et al.* 1996; Roberts *et al.* 1997).

A characteristic of nitrogen-starvation-induced filamentation is the ability of cells to invade the agar substrate. A similar phenomenon has been described in haploid cells grown on rich medium (Roberts and Fink 1994). Haploid-invasive growth is not a response to nitrogen deprivation, though it shares many similarities with pseudohyphal differentiation, including changes in cell polarity and filament formation (Roberts and Fink 1994). In addition, the same MAP kinase elements that regulate diploid filamentation also regulate haploid invasion (Roberts and Fink 1994; Cook et al. 1997; Madhani et al. 1997). The mechanism by which signal specificity between invasion and pheromone response is achieved in haploid cells is not fully understood, but it involves the Ste12p-binding proteins Dig1p and Dig2p (Cook et al. 1996) and specialization of the MAP kinases Fus3p and Kss1p for mating and filamentous/invasive growth (Cook et al. 1997; Madhani et al. 1997).

The high-affinity ammonium permease Mep2p is required for pseudohyphal differentiation in response to ammonium limitation and may serve as a sensor of nitrogen starvation (Lorenz and Heitman 1998). $\Delta mep2/$ $\Delta mep2$ mutant strains do not exhibit a filamentation defect in the presence of other nitrogen sources, such as glutamine or proline, indicating that the function of Mep2p is ligand specific. Mutations in the lower-affinity ammonium permeases Mep1p and Mep3p do not confer defects in pseudohyphal differentiation. Because $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ strains have no discernible alterations in ammonium uptake or growth rates, we have proposed that Mep2p has a signaling role to regulate filamentation (Lorenz and Heitman 1998). Activation of the Gpa2p/cAMP-signaling pathway restores pseudohyphal growth in $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ strains (Lorenz and Heitman 1998), suggesting that Mep2p may function upstream in this signaling cascade.

To address the mechanisms by which Mep2p senses ammonium, we further examined the role of the three ammonium permeases and uncovered a potential role for Mep1p in the regulation of pseudohyphal differentiation. Activation of the MAP kinase pathway is more effective in restoring filamentation to $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1$ $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ and $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1 \Delta gpa2/\Delta gpa2$ strains than in the corresponding *MEP1*⁺ parent strains. Thus, the Mep1p ammonium permease inhibits the filamentous growth response under some conditions.

We took advantage of the unique phenotype of the $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1 \ \Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ double-mutant strain to isolate high copy suppressors of the filamentation defect. Overexpression of 17 genes suppressed either the growth or pseudohyphal defects of the $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1$

 $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ strain on low-ammonium media. Among these are the known pseudohyphal regulators Phd1p, Phd2p (Mss10p, Msn1p, and Fup4p), and Tec1p (Gimeno and Fink 1994; Gavrias et al. 1996; Lambrechts *et al.* 1996), as well as proteins not previously known to affect filamentous growth, including Cdc6p, Mss11p, Mga1p, Skn7p, Msn5p, Dot6p, and two uncharacterized open reading frames that we have named *HMS1* and *HMS2* (for *h*igh-copy *M*EP suppressor). Several of these proteins have been previously implicated in the cellular response to changes in carbon source (Phd2p, Mss11p, and Mga1p) or oxidative damage (Skn7p), suggesting that nitrogen limitation may not be the only environmental signal that can trigger pseudohyphal differentiation. Epistasis analysis between these suppressors and previously characterized pseudohyphal regulators illustrates the complexity of filamentous growth and suggests that the cell integrates multiple signals via several pathways to control differentiation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains and genetic methods: Standard yeast media and genetic methods were used (Sherman 1991). SLAD media, for induction of filamentous growth, contains 0.17% yeast nitrogen base, 50 μ m (NH₄)₂SO₄, 2% Bacto-agar, and 2% glucose (Gimeno *et al.* 1992; Lorenz and Heitman 1997). SLADG, to induce the pGAL-*STE12* allele, contains 2% galactose and 0.13% glucose (Liu *et al.* 1993). SLARG, to induce the pGAL-*GPA2* alleles, contains 2% raffinose and 0.5% galactose (Lorenz and Heitman 1997). Epistasis experiments with Δ *mep2* and Δ *mep1* Δ *mep2* strains (Figure 1) were performed on media containing 100 μ m ammonium sulfate and 3% Noble agar, as Bacto-agar contains low concentrations of nonammonium nitrogen sources that can complicate analysis of Mep2p function.

Yeast strains are listed in Table 1 and plasmids in Table 2. Strains deleted for each of the multicopy suppressors were constructed in strains MLY40 and MLY41 by replacing the coding sequences with a gene encoding G418 resistance using the PCR-mediated disruption technique of Wach *et al.* (1994). MLY40 and MLY41 were derived from strains Σ 1278b and 3962c (Bechet *et al.* 1970), respectively. Candidate disruptants in MLY40 and MLY41 were confirmed by PCR and crossed to construct the homozygous diploid strains.

Multicopy suppressor screen: The $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ strain (MLY115a/ α) was transformed with a library made from strain CAY1 in the 2 μ -*URA3* vector pRS426 (provided by C. Alarcon). Transformants were selected on SD-Ura medium, pooled, diluted, and replated to SLAD at a density of 1000 cells per plate. Plates were screened microscopically after 4–6 days at 30° for filamentous colonies. Plasmid loss and rescue/retransformation tests demonstrated that the filamentous phenotype was plasmid dependent. Twenty-seven unique plasmids were characterized via sequencing and restriction mapping to identify the genomic region carried by the plasmid. Where necessary, plasmids were subcloned to identify the specific open reading frame responsible for the phenotype.

Phenotypic analysis of suppressor deletion strains: Strains deleted for each of the suppressor genes were analyzed for their effect on filamentous growth. We assayed the morpholog-

TABLE 1

Strain	Genotype	Reference		
MLY40	<i>ura3-52 ΜΑΤ</i> α	Lorenz and Heitman (1997)		
MLY41	ura3-52 MATa	Lorenz and Heitman (1997)		
MLY42	$ura3-52 \Delta leu2::hisG MAT \alpha$	Lorenz and Heitman (1997)		
MLY43	ura3-52 ∆leu2::hisG MATa	Lorenz and Heitman (1997)		
MLY61	<i>ura3-52/ura3-52 MAT</i> a /α	Lorenz and Heitman (1997)		
MLY104a/α	Δ mep1::LEU2/ Δ mep1::LEU2 ura3-52/ura3-52 Δ leu2::hisG/ Δ leu2::hisG MATa/ α	Lorenz and Heitman (1997)		
MLY108a/α	Δ mep2::LEU2/ Δ mep2::LEU2 ura3-52/ura3/52 Δ leu2::hisG/ Δ leu2::hisG MATa/ α	Lorenz and Heitman (1997)		
MLY115a/α	Δ mep1::LEU2/ Δ mep1::LEU2 Δ mep2::LEU2/ Δ mep2::LEU2 ura3-52/ura3-52 Δ leu2::hisG/ Δ leu2::hisG MATa/ α	Lorenz and Heitman (1997)		
MLY132a/α	Δgpa2::G418/Δgpa2::G418 ura3-52/ura3-52 MATa/α	Lorenz and Heitman (1997)		
MLY135a/α	$\Delta gpa2::G418/\Delta gpa2::G418 \Delta mep1::LEU2/\Delta mep1::LEU2 \Delta leu2::hisG/\Delta leu2::hisG ura3-52/ura3-52 MATa/\alpha$	This study		
MLY140a/α	Δure2::G418/Δure2::G418 ura3-52/ura3-52 MATa/α	Lorenz and Heitman (1997)		
MLY168a/α	Δhms1::G418/Δhms1::G418 ura3-52/ura3-52 MATa/α	This study		
MLY169a/α	Δhms2::G418/Δhms2::G418 ura3-52/ura3-52 MATa/α	This study		
MLY170a/α	Δ <i>msn5::G418/Δmsn5::G418 ura3-52/ura3-52 MAT</i> a /α	This study		
MLY172a/α	Δ <i>skn7::G418/</i> Δ <i>skn7::G418 ura3-52/ura3-52 MAT</i> a /α	This study		
MLY179a/α	Δmga1::G418/Δmga1::G418 ura3-52/ura3-52 MATa/α	This study		
MLY180a/α	Δphd2::G418/Δphd2::G418 ura3-52/ura3-52 MATa/α	This study		
MLY181a/α	Δ <i>mss11::G418/</i> Δ <i>mss11::G418 ura3-52/ura3-52 MAT</i> a /α	This study		
MLY182a/α	Δ <i>phd1::G418/</i> Δ <i>phd1::G418 ura3-52/ura3-52 MAT</i> a /α	This study		
MLY183a/α	Δ tec1::G418/ Δ tec1::G418 ura3-52/ura3-52 MAT \mathbf{a}/α	This study		
MLY191a/α	$\Delta dot6::G418/\Delta dot6::G418$ ura3-52/ura3-52 MATa/ α	This study		
MLY224a/α	Δ <i>dal80::G418/</i> Δ <i>dal80::G418 ura3-52/ura3-52 MAT</i> a /α	This study		

ical response (see Figure 3) and the three activities that comprise pseudohyphal differentiation, cell polarity, cell elongation, and invasive growth (see Table 7), as defined by Mösch and Fink (1997). Previous work had found that *CDC6* is essential (Hartwell 1976; Zhou *et al.* 1989), and it was not analyzed in these experiments. In addition, *SRK1* is polymorphic in various yeast strains, has pleiotropic effects, and, as such, was excluded from further analysis.

Invasive growth assays: Nitrogen-starvation-induced invasive growth was assayed as described by Mösch and Fink (1997) by streaking diploid strains to SLAD medium and incubating at 30° for 3 days. The colony positions were marked, and the surface cells were washed off the plate. Invasive colonies were identified by microscopic analysis. Invasive growth was quantified using the system of Mösch and Fink (1997): ++++, >90% of colonies invaded; +++, 70–90%; ++, 30–70%; +, 5–30%; -, <5%. At least 50 colonies were assayed for each strain.

Haploid-invasive growth was assayed as described (Roberts and Fink 1994; Cook *et al.* 1997). Haploid strains (*MATa*) were grown on YPD medium at 30° for 3 days. Surface cells were washed off, and the plate was incubated for 30 hr at 30° to better visualize invaded cells.

Cell morphology assays: Cell elongation was assayed as described by Mösch and Fink (1997). Diploid strains were grown on SLAD medium for 3 days at 30°. Surface cells were washed off the plate as in the invasion assay, and cells that had invaded the substrate were scraped out of the agar and analyzed microscopically for the proportion of elongated pseudohyphal cells *vs.* ovate yeast form cells. At least 200 cells were counted for each strain.

Bud site determination assays: Cell polarity and budding pattern were analyzed as described by Mösch and Fink (1997). Diploid strains were grown in YPD medium to an $OD_{600} \sim 0.4$ – 0.6 at 30° and then fixed for 2 hr in 3.7% formaldehyde at room temperature. Cultures were washed three times in water

FABLE 2	,
----------------	---

Plasmids

Construct	Description	Reference		
pRS426	URA3 2µ	Christianson <i>et al.</i> (1992)		
YEplac195	$URA3 2\mu$	Gietz and Sugino (1988)		
pCG38	PHD1 URA3 2µ	Gimeno and Fink (1994)		
pNC252	p <i>GAL::STE12 URA3</i> CEN	Liu <i>et al.</i> (1993)		
pSL1509	STE11-4 URA3 CEN	Stevenson et al. (1992)		
pML160	p <i>GAL::GPA2-2 URA3</i> CEN	Lorenz and Heitman (1997)		
pML180	pGAL::GPA2 URA3 CEN	Lorenz and Heitman (1997)		
p2.5-2	<i>TEC1 URA3</i> 2μ	This study		

and then incubated in 1 mg/ml Calcofluor (Fluorescent Brightener 28; Sigma, St. Louis) for 10 min in the dark. Cells were washed twice in water and spotted to polylysine-coated microscope slides. Fields of cells were photographed at $\times 100$, and these photos were used to identify cells with two or more bud scars as being bipolar (scars on both ends), unipolar/axial (scars on only one end), or random (scars not localized to the ends). At least 100 cells were counted for each strain.

Photomicroscopy: Whole-colony photographs were taken at $\times 25$ using a 35-mm camera connected to the trinocular objective of a Nikon Axiophot-2 microscope. To control the density-dependent variability of filamentous growth, strains were streaked to plates at six sectors per plate. We endeavored to photograph colonies whose phenotype was representative of the strain being assayed.

RESULTS

A role for Mep1p as an inhibitor of pseudohyphal differentiation: Our previous studies revealed that the ammonium permease Mep2p and the $G\alpha$ protein Gpa2p are required for pseudohyphal growth (Lorenz and Heitman 1997, 1998). In previous epistasis experiments, the filamentation defect of $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ and $\Delta gpa2/\Delta gpa2$ mutant strains could be readily suppressed by dominant-active *GPA2* or *RAS2* mutants and by cAMP, but not by activation of the MAP kinase cascade via the dominant *STE11-4* allele. Because of the complexity of the signaling pathways regulating pseu-

dohyphal differentiation, as well as the presence of both multiple branchpoints and parallel signaling pathways, we have further analyzed the epistatic relationships between the Mep2p and Mep1p permeases, Gpa2p, and the MAP kinase cascade. Two important observations emerge from this analysis.

First, as shown in Figure 1, modest activation of the MAP kinase cascade by the dominant-active STE11-4 allele does not suppress the filamentation defect of either $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ or $\Delta gpa2/\Delta gpa2$ mutant strains. We now find that more marked activation of the MAP kinase cascade by overexpression of STE12 can suppress the pseudohyphal differentiation defects of $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ and $\Delta gpa2/\Delta gpa2$ mutant strains. Expression of the STE11-4 allele does restore filamentation in mutant strains lacking the upstream MAP kinase component Ste20p (Liu et al. 1993); thus, this allele can suppress a mutation in the same pathway, but it cannot suppress mutations in genes in the parallel Mep2p/Gpa2/cAMP pathway. Instead, the relatively stronger phenotype of STE12 overexpression is required to cross-suppress $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ or $\Delta gpa2/\Delta gpa2$ mutations.

More importantly, this epistasis analysis indicated that the Mep1p ammonium permease can, under some conditions, function to inhibit pseudohyphal differentiation. For example, whereas expression of *STE11-4* fails



Figure 1.— $\Delta mep1$ mutations alter epistasis of $\Delta mep2$ or $\Delta gpa2$ mutations with the MAP kinase cascade. Homozygous diploids of the indicated genotype (wild MLY61; $\Delta gpa2/\Delta gpa2,$ type, MLY132a/ α ; $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1 \Delta gpa2/$ $\Delta gpa2$, MLY135a/ α) expressing the indicated alleles (vector, YEplac195; STE11-4, pSL1509; *TĒC1*, p2.5-2; *PHD1*, pCG38) were incubated on SLAD medium for 4 days at 30°. Strains expressing the pGAL-STE12 (pNC252) allele were incubated on inducing SLADG medium for 6 days at 30°. $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ (MLY108a/ α) and $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1 \ \Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ (MLY115a/ α) strains were incubated on media containing 3% Noble agar instead of Bacto-agar (see materials and methods) for 4 days (6 days for the pGal-STE12 allele) at 30°.

to suppress the filamentation defect of either $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ or $\Delta gpa2/\Delta gpa2$ strains, *STE11-4* does suppress $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2 \Delta mep1/\Delta mep1$ and $\Delta gpa2/\Delta gpa2 \Delta mep1/\Delta mep1$ double-mutant strains (Figure 1). Deletion of the *MEP1* gene in an otherwise wild-type background does not reduce or enhance filamentous growth, even in response to a range of ion concentrations from 5 μ m to 5 mm (Lorenz and Heitman 1998; data not shown); thus, the Mep1p ammonium permease inhibits pseudohyphal differentiation only under certain conditions.

One explanation for the difference in epistasis between $\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2$ and $MEP1 \Delta mep2$ strains is that the growth defect of the $\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2$ strain, resulting from impaired ammonium uptake, predisposes the cell to nitrogen-dependent differentiation. Two lines of evidence indicate that this is not the case. First, lowering the ammonium concentration in the medium to a level below that in standard pseudohyphal media (5 µm in this experiment vs. 50 µm standard) does not alter the epistasis behavior of the $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ strain. Second, the $\Delta gpa2/\Delta gpa2 \Delta mep1/\Delta mep1$ mutant does not have a growth defect, indicating that ammonium import is not the basis of these differences (data not shown).

In the epistasis experiment shown in Figure 1, we also expressed the transcription factors Phd1p and Tec1p, which strongly enhance pseudohyphal growth when expressed from a multicopy vector (Gimeno and Fink 1994; Gavrias *et al.* 1996). As with *STE11-4* or *STE12* overexpression, induction of filamentation by high-copy expression of *PHD1* or *TEC1* was more dramatic in the Δ *mep1* strains relative to the *MEP1*⁺ strains. This provides further evidence for an inhibitory function of Mep1p in response to genetic alterations in the regulation of pseudohyphal differentiation.

Multicopy suppressor analysis of $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1$ $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ mutants: To address the role of Mep1p and Mep2p in the regulation of pseudohyphal differentiation, we screened for genes that suppress the $\Delta mep1/$ $\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2 / \Delta mep2$ pseudohyphal defect when present in high copy number. The $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ strain (MLY115a/ α) was transformed with a yeast genomic DNA library in the high-copy (2µ) URA3 vector pRS426. Transformants were selected on synthetic medium lacking uracil, pooled, diluted, and replated at \sim 1000 cells per plate on SLAD media. Colonies were screened after 4-6 days for filament formation or for significantly enhanced growth under these conditions. After plasmid loss and plasmid rescue/retransformation controls, 27 unique plasmids (with distinct restriction patterns) representing 17 genomic loci, which suppressed the $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1$ $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ phenotype were identified.

 TABLE 3

 Identities of the $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ suppressors

ORF	Gene	Suppression of $\Delta mep1 \ \Delta mep2$	Comments	Reference
YNL142w ^a	MEP2	+	High-affinity NH ⁺ permease	Marini <i>et al.</i> (1997)
YKL043w	PHD1	+	TF^{b} promotes filamentation	Gimeno and Fink (1994)
YBR083w ^a	TEC1	<u>+</u>	TF, regulates FRE/Ty1	Gavrias et al. (1996)
YOL116w	PHD2 ^b	<u>+</u>	TF, interacts with SNF1	Lambrechts et al. (1996) ^c
YMR164c	MSS11	<u>+</u>	Similar to SSN6 repressor	Webber <i>et al.</i> (1997)
YGR249w	MGA1	+	Heat shock TF homolog	Zhang et al. (1997)
YHR206w ^a	SKN7	<u>+</u>	Two-component TF homolog	Brown et al. (1993)
YDR335w	MSN5	<u>+</u>	snf1 suppressor	Estruch and Carlson (1990)
YJL194w	CDC6	+	ORC component	Zhou <i>et al.</i> (1989)
YER088c	DOT6	<u>+</u>	myb-like TF	This study
YOR032c	HMS1	<u>+</u>	myc-like TF	This study
YJR147w	HMS2	<u>+</u>	Heat shock TF homolog	This study
Growth sup	pressors			
YGR121 ^a	MEP1	<u>+</u>	Medium-affinity NH ⁺ permease	Marini <i>et al.</i> (1994)
YPR138c	MEP3	<u>+</u>	Low-affinity NH ₄ ⁺ permease	Marini <i>et al.</i> (1997)
YDR239c	SRK1	<u>+</u>	Pleiotropic suppressor	Wilson <i>et al.</i> (1991)
YKR034w	DAL80	<u>+</u>	Nitrogen regulatory TF	Cunningham and Cooper (1991)
YNL229c	URE2	<u>+</u>	Nitrogen regulatory protein	Coschigano and Magasanik (1991)

ORC, origin recognition complex; TF, transcription factor; FRE, filamentation response element.

^a Genes isolated multiple times include *MEP2* (four times), *MEP1* (five times), *TEC1* (twice), and *SKN7* (three times).

^b Also identified as *MSS10* (Lambrechts *et al.* 1996), *MSN1* (Estruch and Carlson 1990), and *FUP4* (Eide and Guarente 1992).

^c See also Gimeno and Fink (1994).

The identity and phenotypes of these suppressors are shown in Table 3 and Figure 2. The *MEP2* gene itself was identified four times. In addition, we isolated both *MEP1* (five times) and *MEP3* (once); overexpression of either *MEP1* or *MEP3* mostly suppresses the growth defect of $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ strains, although a few filaments were present in each case. Several other primarily growth suppressors were identified, including *URE2, DAL80*, and *SRK1*. In the presence of favored



Figure 2.—Pseudohyphal phenotypes conferred by the $\Delta mep1 \ \Delta mep2$ multicopy suppressors. The $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1$ $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ strain MLY115a/ α expressing each of the genes listed from a multicopy plasmid were incubated on SLAD medium for 6 days at 30°.

nitrogen sources, such as ammonium or glutamine, Ure2p inhibits Gln3p, a GATA family transcription factor that regulates the expression of many genes necessary for the assimilation of alternative nitrogen sources (such as proline or urea). Ure2p has weak homology to glutathione Stransferases and is a prion analog, but its mechanism of action is not known (Coschigano and Magasanik 1991; Wickner 1994; Xu et al. 1995). Δure2/ $\Delta ure2$ (and $\Delta gln3/\Delta gln3$) mutants also have a filamentation defect (Lorenz and Heitman 1998). Dal80p is a DNA-binding protein that negatively regulates many of the same pathways as Gln3p and Ure2p (Cunningham and Cooper 1991; Daugherty et al. 1993). Srk1p is a protein of unknown function that has pleiotropic effects on many cellular processes, including RNA polymerase function (Chiannilkulchai et al. 1992; Stettler et al. 1993), chromosome stability (Uesono et al. 1994), phosphatase function (Sutton et al. 1991; Wilson et al. 1991), and polarized cell growth and morphology (Costigan and Snyder 1994; Costigan et al. 1992; Kim et al. 1994).

The other class of genes identified in this screen did not significantly affect the growth rate of the $\Delta mep1/$ $\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2 / \Delta mep2$ parent strain, but did suppress the filamentation defects (Table 3). We identified PHD1, PHD2 (also known as MSS10, MSN1, FUP4), and TEC1, each of which are predicted to be transcription factors and are known to regulate filamentous growth (Gimeno and Fink 1994; Gavrias et al. 1996; Lambrechts et al. 1996). In addition, several genes not previously implicated in pseudohyphal growth were identified. These include MSS11, whose product, like Mss10p/Phd2p, regulates the STA1, STA2, and STA3 glucoamylase genes on the basis of the carbon source; overexpression of MSS11 can rescue expression of STA2 in $\Delta mss10$ strains (Webber et al. 1997). MSS11 encodes a protein very similar to the Ssn6p transcriptional repressor. Ssn6p and Tup1p form a heterodimer that mediates glucose response in conjunction with Snf1p signaling (Schultz and Carlson 1987; Williams et al. 1991; Keleher et al. 1992). Tup1p has also been implicated in filamentous growth in both S. cerevisiae and C. albicans (Braun and Johnson 1997). MGA1 encodes a heat shock transcription factor homolog of unknown function that was identified as a multicopy suppressor of a *snf2/gam1* mutation (Zhang et al. 1997). MSN5 was isolated in the same snf1 suppressor screen that identified MSN1 (PHD2; Estruch and Carlson 1990); the function of Msn5p is not known.

Other genes identified include *SKN7*, which encodes a homolog of response regulator proteins of bacterial two-component systems that appears to mediate several cellular processes, including cell wall biosynthesis, cell cycle control, and response to oxidative stress (Moye-Rawley *et al.* 1989; Brown *et al.* 1993; Brown and Bussey 1993; Kuge and Jones 1994; Morgan *et al.* 1995). Overexpression of *CDC6*, which encodes an essential component of the origin recognition complex, also suppresses the $\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2$ pseudohyphal defect. *DOT6* was recently identified as a mutation that affects telomeric silencing.

We also identified two genes that had only systematic ORF designations from the yeast genome project, which we have renamed *HMS*. Both are predicted to be DNA-binding proteins; Hms1p is in the myc family, while Hms2p is similar to heat shock trascription factors.

The rationale behind this screen was to identify genes that may mediate the signaling function of Mep2p, hopefully including direct effectors. We identified each of the *MEP* genes, as well as the known pseudohyphal regulators *PHD1* and *TEC1*, demonstrating that the screen worked successfully. However, almost all the genes we identified are likely to be general regulators of pseudohyphal differentiation, an idea confirmed by the experiments described below, and are not specific effectors of Mep2p function. The multiplicity of predicted DNA-binding proteins (11 in this screen) was quite surprising and reaffirms the complexity of this differentiation pathway.

Epistasis with other pseudohyphal-deficient mutants: We expressed each of the genes identified here in a wild-type strain (MLY61) to test if this would enhance filamentation (e.g., overexpression of PHD1, PHD2, or TEC1 is known to stimulate pseudohyphal differentiation in wild-type cells; Gavrias et al. 1996; Gimeno and Fink 1994). As shown in Figure 3 and Table 4, most of these genes conferred a hyperfilamentous phenotype, including MGA1, CDC6, SKN7, MSN5, and HMS1. Strains were classified as hyperfilamentous on the basis of two criteria: first, colony morphology (more vigorous filamentation than wild type on limiting ammonium SLAD medium; see Figure 3) and, second, induction of filamentation on higher ammonium media that normally repress differentiation (10-fold higher than in SLAD; see Table 4). None of these inducers, however, are as potent as the GPA2^{Val132} allele or cAMP, both of which allow filamentous growth, even on nitrogen-rich media with 5 mm ammonium (Lorenz and Heitman 1997). Filamentation was also assayed on medium containing 100 µm glutamine (Mgln; Table 4). The pseudohyphal phenotypes are similar between cells grown in the presence of ammonium or glutamine, indicating that these suppressors are not specific effectors of Mep2p action (which might be ammonium specific), but instead are general regulators of dimorphism. As expected, the growth suppressors (MEP1, MEP3, URE2, DAL80, and SRK1) had no affect on filamentation when expressed in wild-type strains.

We next expressed the suppressor genes in strains lacking *MEP2*, *GPA2*, or *STE11* to examine the epistasis relationships between these mutations and suppressor function (Table 5). As with the MAP kinase epistasis experiment (Figure 1), filamentous growth induced by most of these suppressors was more vigorous in the



Figure 3.—Pseudohyphal phenotypes of the suppressors in wild-type strains. Wild-type strains (MLY61) expressing each of the multicopy suppressors indicated were incubated on SLAD medium for 4 days at 30°.

 $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ double-mutant strain than in the $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ single mutant (Table 5). Similarly, the phenotype conferred by expression of these suppressor genes was weaker in the $\Delta gpa2/\Delta gpa2$ singlemutant strain than in the $\Delta gpa2/\Delta gpa2 \Delta mep1/\Delta mep1$ strain (Table 5). Thus, these findings again indicate that Mep1p functions to inhibit filamentous growth. In contrast, all these genes, except for *MGA1*, suppressed the filamentation defect conferred by the $\Delta ste11$ mutation, and most did this relatively well (Table 5). The finding that multicopy expression of *TEC1* suppresses the defect of a Δste strain ($\Delta ste11/\Delta ste11$ in this case)

Nitrogen regulation of filamentous growth induced by expression of 2µ suppressors

TABLE 4

	Pseudohyphal formation					
		100				
Gene	50 μm	500 μm	5 mm	Gln		
None	+	<u>+</u>	_	+		
MEP2	+	<u>+</u>	_	+		
PHD1	++	+	_	++		
TEC1	++	<u>+</u>	_	++		
PHD2	++	<u>+</u>	_	++		
MSS11	++	<u>+</u>	_	++		
MGA1	++	++	_	++		
SKN7	++	<u>+</u>	_	++		
MSN5	++	<u>+</u>	_	+		
CDC6	++	+	_	++		
HMS1	++	+	_	++		
HMS2	+	<u>+</u>	_	+		
DOT6	+	<u>+</u>	_	+		

Wild-type diploid (MLY61) strains expressing each of the genes listed above were incubated on the indicated media for 2 days [500 μ m and 5 mm (NH₄)₂SO₄] or 4 days [50 μ m (NH₄)₂SO₄ and 100 μ m glutamine] at 30°.

is in contrast to an earlier report in which *TEC1* overexpression did not restore filamentation in a $\Delta ste12/\Delta ste12$ strain (Gavrias *et al.* 1996). The presence of Ste12p, even in its basal, unactivated state (resulting from the MAP kinase pathway mutation), may be required for suppression of Δ *stel1* by *TEC1*, as Stel2p and Tec1p form a heterodimer thought to be critical for the filamentation response (Gavrias *et al.* 1996; Madhani and Fink 1997). In summary, most of the genes identified as suppressors of the Δ *mep1*/ Δ *mep1* Δ *mep2*/ Δ *mep2* pseudohyphal growth defect appear not to be specific effectors of Mep2p action, but rather, general downstream elements that likely regulate transcriptional responses necessary for pseudohyphal differentiation.

Deletion analysis of the multicopy suppressors: We constructed an isogenic series of strains lacking each gene through a PCR-mediated disruption protocol (Wach et al. 1994). Homozygous diploids were analyzed for pseudohyphal phenotypes, as shown in Figure 4 and Table 6. Several of these mutations were known to confer pseudohyphal defects, including $\Delta tec1$ (Gavrias et al. 1996), $\Delta phd2$ (Lambrechts et al. 1996), and $\Delta ure2$ (Lorenz and Heitman 1998). In addition to these genes, $\Delta mss11$ and $\Delta mga1$ mutations confer strong pseudohyphal defects; $\Delta msn5/\Delta msn5$ mutant strains have a moderate defect in filamentous growth (Figure 4; Table 6). Strains lacking PHD1, SKN7, DAL80, DOT6, HMS1, or HMS2 do not have significant filamentation defects (Figure 4, Table 6; the $\Delta phd1$ phenotype has been reported previously; Gimeno and Fink 1994).

We next tested if alleles known to stimulate filamentation, such as *GPA2*^{Val132} (Lorenz and Heitman 1997), *RAS2*^{Val19} (Gimeno *et al.* 1992), *STE11-4* (Liu *et al.* 1993), or overexpression of *PHD1* (Gimeno and Fink 1994) or *TEC1* (Gavrias *et al.* 1996), would continue

Gene	Pseudohyphal phenotype when expressed in:								
	$\Delta mep1 \ \Delta mep2$	wild-type	$\Delta mep2$	$\Delta gpa2$	$\Delta gpa2 \ \Delta mep1$	$\Delta stel 1$			
None	_	+	_	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	_			
MEP2	+	+	+	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	_			
PHD1	<u>+</u>	++	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	+	+			
TEC1	+	++	<u>+</u>	+	+	±			
PHD2	<u>+</u>	++	+	<u>+</u>	+	+			
MSS11	<u>+</u>	++	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	+	±			
MGA1	+	++	++	<u>+</u>	++	±			
SKN7	<u>+</u>	++	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	+	+			
MSN5	<u>+</u>	++	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	±			
CDC6	+	++	<u>+</u>	+	+	+			
HMS1	+	++	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	+	+			
HMS2	<u>+</u>	++	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	++	++			
DOT6	<u>+</u>	+	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>			
MEP1	<u>+</u>	+	_	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	-			
MEP3	<u>+</u>	+	_	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	-			
URE2	\pm	+	_	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	\pm			
DAL80	<u>+</u>	+	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	±			
SRK1	<u>+</u>	+	_	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>			

TABLE 5 Phenotypes of multicopy suppressors in pseudohyphal-deficient strains

Library plasmids with each of the above genes were used to transform wild-type (MLY61), $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1$ $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ (MLY115a/ α), $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ (MLY108a/ α), $\Delta gpa2/\Delta gpa2$ (MLY132a/ α), $\Delta gpa2/\Delta gpa2 \Delta mep1/\Delta mep1$ (MLY135a/ α), or $\Delta ste11/\Delta ste11$ (HLY351) diploid strains, and were incubated for 4 days at 30°.



Figure 4.—Pseudohyphal phenotypes of the suppressor deletion strains. An isogenic strain series lacking each of the multicopy suppressor genes was constructed as detailed in materials and methods (see also Table 7). These strains were incubated on SLAD medium for 4 days at 30°.

to do so in the context of these deletion strains. The dominant active $GPA2^{Val132}$ allele suppressed each of these deletions, with the exception of $\Delta tec1/\Delta tec1$ strains (Table 6). This finding was unexpected, as $GPA2^{Val132}$ suppresses Δste mutations. Because Tec1p is thought to act as a dimer with Ste12p (Madhani and Fink 1997), we anticipated that the phenotype of the activated GPA2 allele would be similar in both $\Delta ste12/\Delta ste12$ and $\Delta tec1/\Delta tec1$ strains. In combination with the finding presented above that TEC1 overexpression suppresses the filamentous growth defect of $\Delta ste11/\Delta ste11$ (Table 4) but

not $\Delta ste12/\Delta ste12$ mutant strains (Gavrias *et al.* 1996), these results indicate that Tec1p may act at multiple steps or that it may have a function that is not shared with Ste12p in the regulation of pseudohyphal differentiation.

The epistasis data summarized in Table 6 presents a complicated picture. Each of the mutants tested had a pseudohyphal defect, indicating that they are all required for full activation of filamentous growth. With the exception of $\Delta tec1/\Delta tec1$, all these mutations were suppressed by at least one of the pseudohyphal stimulatory alleles (or by galactose as a carbon source); thus, it appears that none of these pathways are absolutely essential for the dimorphic transition and each can be bypassed under some conditions. This analysis also indicates a central role for the transcription factor Tec1p in the regulation of filamentous growth. While the role of nitrogen starvation in inducing pseudohyphal differentiation is quite clear, combinations of environmental stresses may also serve to activate this developmental fate, similar to the initiation of meiosis in response to the combination of nitrogen starvation and a nonfermentable carbon source. Several parallel pathways may then be coordinately regulated to properly control dimorphism.

Phenotypic analysis of suppressor deleletion strains: Mösch and Fink (1997) separated the phenomenon of pseudohyphal differentiation into its constituent activities: cell elongation, budding pattern (cell polarity) changes, and substrate invasion. This analysis identified mutations that affect all these behaviors, as well as mutations that affect only a subset, *e.g.*, blocking the polarity change without affecting morphology or invasion. We examined each of the strains deleted for a given suppressor gene to determine which properties might be altered.

We assayed substrate invasion associated both with nitrogen starvation in diploid cells and on rich media in haploid cells (Gimeno *et al.* 1992; Roberts and Fink 1994), as described in materials and methods. $\Delta tec1$, $\Delta phd2$, and $\Delta mss11$ strains have significant defects in both haploid and diploid invasion (Table 7); $\Delta mep2$, $\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2$, and $\Delta msn5$ mutations affect only diploid (starvation-induced) invasion.

To assess the ability of the various mutant strains to adopt an elongated shape, we analyzed the morphology of nitrogen-deprived cells, as described by Mösch and Fink (1997) and in materials and methods. Of wildtype cells that have invaded the agar substrate, 12.8% have an elongated morphology (Table 7). This is reduced to 2.9% in $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ mutants, though interestingly, the $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ doublemutant strain does not have a defect in cell elongation. This finding may, in part, explain the epistasis difference between the single- and double-mutant strains. $\Delta tec1/\Delta tec1$, $\Delta mss11/\Delta mss11$, and $\Delta ure2/\Delta ure2$ strains each have severe defects in cell elongation, but $\Delta mga1/$

TABLE 6

Suppressor deletion strains: phenotypes and epistasis with previously characterized alleles

Strain	Genotype	Vector	RAS2 ^{Val19}	$GPA2^{Val132}$	STE11-4	STE12	PHD1	TEC1
MLY61	Wild type	+	++	++	+	++	++	++
MLY132a/a	$\Delta g p a 2$	±	++	++	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	\pm	<u>+</u>
MLY108a/α	$\Delta mep2$	_	+	+	<u>+</u>	+	<u>+</u>	+
MLY115a/α	$\Delta mep1 \ \Delta mep2$	_	+	+	+	+	+	+
MLY183a/α	$\Delta tec1$	_	_	<u>+</u>	_	_	_	+
MLY180a/α	$\Delta phd2$	_	<u>+</u>	+	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	++	\pm
MLY181a/α	$\Delta mss11$	_	<u>+</u>	$+^{a}$	<u>+</u>	$+^{a}$	\pm	\pm
MLY179a/α	$\Delta mga1$	\pm	++	$+^{a}$	+	$+^{a}$	++	+
MLY170a/α	$\Delta msn5$	<u>+</u>	++	+	+	+	++	+
MLY182a/ α	$\Delta phd1$	+						
MLY172a/α	$\Delta skn7$	+						
MLY168a/α	$\Delta hms1$	+						
MLY169a/α	$\Delta hms2$	+						
MLY191a/α	$\Delta dot 6$	+						
MLY224a/α	$\Delta dal 80$	+						

Homozygous diploid strains of the indicated genotypes were incubated on SLAD medium (SLARG medium to induce *GPA2*^{Val132} and SLADG medium to induce pGAL-*STE12*) for 4 days (6 days for *GPA2*^{Val132} and pGAL-*STE12*).

^{*a*} $\Delta mga1/\Delta mga1$ and $\Delta mss11/\Delta mss11$ strains filament on media containing galactose as the carbon source. As a result, the suppression by the indicated alleles is probably an artifact of the carbon source.

 $\Delta mga1$ and $\Delta msn5/\Delta msn5$ strains have little or no alteration in the number of elongated cells after growth on nitrogen-starvation media (Table 7). $\Delta phd2/\Delta phd2$ strains, despite the defect in filamentous growth assayed by colony morphology, actually have an increase in the number of elongated cells (approximately twofold more than wild type, see Table 7). Among mutants with wildtype colony morphology, $\Delta phd1/\Delta phd1$, $\Delta dot6/\Delta dot6$, and $\Delta dal80/\Delta dal80$ strains do have moderate defects in cell elongation. Thus, the colony morphology of these strains does not necessarily reflect the cellular morphology accurately.

To form filaments, cells must be able to adopt a unipolar budding pattern, which is an alternate form of the

				~ N		Budding pattern		
	Filamentous	Invasive	growth	Cell mo	rphology	%	% Axial/	%
Genotype	growth	Haploid	Diploid	% YF	% PH	Bipolar	unipolar	Random
Wildtype	+	+	++++	87.2	12.8	59.3	27.8	13.0
$\Delta mep2$	_	+	++	97.1	2.9	51.8	26.4	21.8
$\Delta mep1 \ \Delta mep2$	_	+	+	86.1	13.9	52.0	24.0	24.0
$\Delta tec1$	_	_	+	97.1	2.9	54.4	24.8	20.8
$\Delta phd2$	_	<u>+</u>	_	75.7	24.3	25.2	25.2	49.6
$\Delta mss11$	_	_	_	100	<1	44.2	16.8	38.9
$\Delta mga1$	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	++	92.2	7.8	41.5	17.8	40.7
$\Delta msn5$	<u>+</u>	+	+++	86.1	13.9	73.2	25.0	19.6
$\Delta ure2$	_	<u>+</u>	+	100	<1	46.7	11.7	41.7
$\Delta phd1$	+	+	+++	97.1	2.9	64.4	19.2	16.3
$\Delta skn7$	+	<u>+</u>	++	86.8	13.2	ND^{a}	ND	ND
$\Delta hms1$	+	+	+++	78.4	21.6	56.2	23.8	20.0
$\Delta hms2$	+	+	++	83.4	16.6	58.2	20.9	20.9
$\Delta dot 6$	+	<u>+</u>	+++	96.0	4.0	55.9	29.4	14.7
$\Delta dal 80$	+	+	+++	96.6	3.4	58.2	30.0	11.8

 TABLE 7

 Phenotypic analysis of suppressor deletion strains

Strains of the indicated genotype were analysed for invasive growth, cellular morphology, and budding pattern as described in materials and methods. PH, pseudohyphal form; YF, yeast form.

 $a^{a} \Delta skn7 / \Delta skn7$ mutant strains form aggregates with diffuse staining with Calcofluor, obscuring the budding pattern.

standard diploid bipolar pattern in which buds can emerge from either end of the cell. In unipolar division, the buds emerge predominantly from the end of the cell opposite its "birth end," the end at which that cell was attached to its mother. Haploid cells bud in an axial pattern, in which all buds form at the birth end, adjacent to previous bud sites. We analyzed budding patterns using the fluorescent dye Calcofluor (Fluorescent Brightener 28; Sigma) to stain the chitinous scars that mark previous bud sites (see materials and methods). These assays were performed in diploid cells grown in rich (YPD) media; thus, we expected predominantly bipolar budding patterns. In the wild-type strain MLY61, 59.3% of the cells show the bipolar pattern, 27.8% axial or unipolar, and 13.0% random (Table 7). Both $\Delta mep2/$ $\Delta mep2$ and $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1$ $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ mutants increase the percentage of random bud patterns (to 21.8 and 24.0%, respectively). Likewise, the number of cells budding randomly was significantly increased in $\Delta phd2/$ $\Delta phd2$ (49.6%), $\Delta mss11/\Delta mss11$ (38.9%), $\Delta mga1/$ $\Delta mga1$ (40.7%), and $\Delta ure2/\Delta ure2$ (41.7%) mutants; $\Delta tec1/\Delta tec1$ strains had only a minor effect on budding pattern, although this strain does have defects in elongation and invasion. Genes that did not confer a colony morphology defect did not alter budding pattern significantly (Table 7).

DISCUSSION

Cross talk between distinct signaling pathways regulates filamentous growth: Previous work has demonstrated that filamentous growth in yeast is regulated by at least two distinct signaling pathways, one including elements of the pheromone-responsive MAP kinase cascade and the other involving cAMP signaling (Liu et al. 1994; Kübler et al. 1997; Lorenz and Heitman 1997). Genetic evidence is consistent with a model in which the cAMP signaling branch could be activated via a Mep2p-dependent mechanism upon ammonium starvation (Lorenz and Heitman 1997, 1998). Several lines of evidence indicate that there may be some cross talk between these two pathways. First, activation of the cAMP signaling pathway, by dominant mutations in RAS2 or GPA2, or by cAMP, suppresses the morphological defects associated with MAP kinase mutant strains (Lorenz and Heitman 1997). Second, as shown here, the dominant GPA2-2 allele strongly suppresses the pseudohyphal defect of a $\Delta ste12/\Delta ste12$ mutant strain, but only weakly suppresses a $\Delta tec1/\Delta tec1$ mutant strain. Ste12p and Tec1p heterodimerize to regulate expression of the filamentation response element found in the FG(TyA)::*lacZ* reporter and in the *TEC1* promoter (Madhani and Fink 1997); thus, this difference in epistasis with GPA2 was not expected. Finally, Ras2p has been implicated in both pathways; in addition to its wellcharacterized role in regulating adenylyl cyclase activity, the RAS2^{Val19} mutant stimulates expression of the FG(TyA)::*lacZ* reporter via the Ste MAP kinase cascade (Mösch *et al.* 1996; Madhani and Fink 1997).

In this work, we have identified a third example of cross talk between signaling cascades that regulate filamentous growth. The ability of activating alleles of MAP kinase components (particularly STE11-4) to suppress the pseudohyphal defect of $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ or $\Delta gpa2/\Delta$ $\Delta gpa2$ strains is enhanced by deletion of *MEP1*, implicating an inhibitory role for Mep1p. Ammonium import or growth defects associated with the $\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2$ double mutant are not likely to be responsible for this difference in phenotype, as lowering the ammonium concentration 10-fold did not alter the epistasis behavior of the $\Delta mep2$ single-mutant strain. Furthermore, we also observed enhanced suppression by STE11-4 expression in $\Delta gpa2 \Delta mep1$ strains, which have no growth defects; thus, this role of Mep1p does not appear to be directly related to its ammonium transport function. It is possible that Mep2p senses low ammonium concentration and Mep1p senses high ammonium, similar to the glucose sensors Rgt2p and Snf3p, which are homologous to glucose transporters. In the presence of low concentrations of glucose, Snf3p induces the transcription of the genes encoding the high-affinity sugar transporters Hxt2p and Hxt6p; in the presense of high concentrations of glucose, Rgt2p induces expression of the gene encoding the low-affinity transporter Hxt1p (Liang and Gaber 1996; Özcan et al. 1996).

Identification of high-copy suppressors of the $\Delta mep1/$ $\Delta mep1 \ \Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ mutant: We used the findings summarized above as a starting point for a genetic screen designed to identify effectors of the signaling function of Mep2p using a high-copy-suppression approach. We chose to use the $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2/\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2/\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1/$ Δ *mep2* strain, as the ability to restore filamentous growth in this strain appeared more permissive than in the $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ single mutant. In addition, using the double mutant allowed us to identify genes that improved the growth of this strain under ammonium-limiting conditions. We identified 12 genes whose overexpression suppressed the filamentation defect of the $\Delta mep1/$ Δ *mep1* Δ *mep2*/ Δ *mep2* strain and 5 genes that suppressed the growth defect of this strain on ammonium-limiting media (Table 3). Among this set are several genes that are required for filamentous growth, including MEP2, TEC1, PHD2, MSS11, MGA1, and URE2 (see Table 6; Gavrias et al. 1996; Lambrechts et al. 1996; Lorenz and Heitman 1998). Epistasis tests between strains lacking the suppressor genes and alleles previously found to regulate filamentous growth confirm that the genetic control of this phenomenon is complex, involving several pathways coordinating multiple signals. No single gene was absolutely required, as conditions that restore filamentation were found for each mutant strain.

A potential role for other signaling events in inducing filamentous growth: Several of the suppressors have been previously identified to regulate cellular response to changes in carbon source, namely PHD2 (also MSN1, MSS10, and FUP4), MSS11, MSN5, and MGA1. PHD2 (MSN1), MSN5, and MGA1 were each identified as multicopy suppressors of the sucrose non-fermenting (SNF) phenotype of either snf1 or snf2/gam1 mutations (Estruch and Carlson 1990; Gimeno and Fink 1994; Zhang et al. 1997); Phd2p (Mss10p) and Mss11p regulate expression of the genes that encode the starchdegrading glucoamylases Sta1p, Sta2p, and Sta3p on the basis of carbon availability (Lambrechts et al. 1996; Webber et al. 1997). Poorly used carbon sources, such as the starch amylopectin, have been found to induce filamentous growth, even in nitrogen-rich conditions; $\Delta phd2$ ($\Delta mss10$) mutations block this response (Lambrechts et al. 1996). With the exception of MSN5, each of these genes has significant similarity to transcriptional regulatory proteins.

The connection between carbon signaling and pseudohyphal differentiation is intriguing. Diploid cells have two mutually exclusive developmental fates upon nitrogen starvation: pseudohyphal growth in the presence of carbon abundance and meiosis in the presence of a nonfermentable carbon source. The mechanism by which the cell chooses between these two fates (i.e., how the cell recognizes the carbon source) is not understood. These carbon-regulatory proteins may participate in such signaling to control the pseudohyphal/meiosis decision; none of these mutants, however, affect meiotic competence (M. C. Lorenz and J. Heitman, unpublished results). The finding that nitrogen-rich, carbonpoor media can also induce filamentous growth (Lambrechts et al. 1996) suggests that a reciprocal signaling event may also be present.

Another of the suppressor genes described here, SKN7, is critical for the cellular response to oxidative stress. $\Delta skn7$ strains are sensitive to several oxidizing agents, including hydrogen peroxide and cadmium, a phenotype shared with mutations in YAP1 (Yeast AP-1, a homolog of *c-jun*), another transcriptional activator (Moye-Rawley et al. 1989; Kuge and Jones 1994). Skn7p and Yap1p coordinately regulate expression of TRX2, encoding thioredoxin (Morgan et al. 1997). Yap1p has also been proposed as a downstream effector of PKA activity (Gounal akai and Thireos 1994). Thus, oxidative damage may be another stress that can stimulate differentiation via a Skn7p-dependent pathway. Skn7p also has a role in cell wall biosynthesis and cell cycle progression (Brown and Bussey 1993; Brown et al. 1993; Morgan et al. 1995).

We found that high-copy expression of *CDC6* strongly suppresses the $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1 \Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ pseudohyphal defect. Cdc6p is a component of the origin recognition complex and is essential for cells to initiate DNA synthesis. Thus, Cdc6p may be part of a checkpoint sensing DNA damage or blocks to DNA synthesis, another potential stress-responsive pathway that can trigger filamentous growth. However, we favor an alternate explanation: expression of *CDC6* is limited to G1, and when this temporal control is distorted by expressing *CDC6* from a constitutive promoter, nuclear division is delayed and cells develop highly elongated buds (Bueno and Russell 1992; Piatti *et al.* 1995). Because the elongated buds produced after *CDC6* overexpression are reminiscent of pseudohyphae, it is possible that this phenotype is responsible for the $\Delta mep1/\Delta mep1$ $\Delta mep2/\Delta mep2$ suppression. Recent findings have identified an N-terminal region of Cdc6p that is necessary for its degradation; deletion of this region allows the protein to persist into G2 (Drury *et al.* 1997). The *CDC6* construct described here lacks ~300 bp of coding sequence from the 3' end. It is possible that this region of the protein may also be a stability determinant.

Ironically, though nitrogen starvation is the best-characterized environmental stress that induces pseudohyphal differentiation, the nitrogen regulatory genes (*URE2* and *DAL80*) have among the weakest phenotypes when overexpressed. Other elements of nitrogen regulatory networks are required for pseudohyphal differentiation, including the protein kinase Npr1p and the ubiquitin ligase Npi1p/Rsp5p (Lorenz and Heitman 1998), but, again, the only characterized role for these proteins is the regulation of the stability of plasma membrane permeases such as Mep2p. Other connections between these proteins and the filamentation response have yet to be elucidated.

Comparisons to development in other fungi: The study of differentiation pathways, both in yeast and in other fungi, has been motivated by the connection of such events to pathogenicity. Mating and conjugation in U. maydis leads to a filamentous growth state that is essential for infection of the maize host (Banuett 1991). C. albicans mutant strains that are unable to filament are avirulent in vivo (Lo et al. 1997). Differentiation events have been correlated with infection of plant or animal hosts in many other species, including Uromyces appendilaticus and Cryptococcus neoformans (Zhou et al. 1991; Wickes et al. 1996; Alspaugh et al. 1997). Moreover, differentiation events in various fungi have regulatory similarities to pseudohyphal development in S. cerevisiae. MAP kinase elements with roles in dimorphism have been identified in C. albicans, Schizosaccharomyces pombe, U. maydis, and several others (Banuett and Herskowitz 1994; Liu et al. 1994; Kohler and Fink 1996; Leberer et al. 1996). PKA or cAMP signaling have been shown to regulate development in many organisms, including C. neoformans, S. pombe, Neurospora crassa, and U. maydis (Sabie and Gadd 1992; Yarden et al. 1992; Gold et al. 1994; Maeda et al. 1994; Alspaugh et al. 1997).

The implication that stimuli other than nitrogen starvation may trigger pseudohyphal growth in yeast is reminiscent of *C. albicans*, in which many signals, including serum, temperature, CO_2 levels, and nutrient stresses have been shown to induce filamentation. Mutations in the C. albicans MAP kinase pathway inhibit filamentation in response to some but not all of these stimuli (Liu et al. 1994; Kohler and Fink 1996; Leberer et al. 1996). Subsequent deletion of the *EFG1* gene, which encodes a transcriptional regulator similar to Phd1p (Stoldt et al. 1997), eliminates this residual filamentation (Lo et al. 1997). This is analogous to yeast in which Δ stemutant strains have a severe but not absolute filamentation defect (Liu et al. 1993). Deletion of PHD1, as for EFG1, eliminates this remaining filamentous growth (Lo et al. 1997). In addition, Tup1p is a global transcriptional repressor in yeast and regulates filamentous growth in both S. cerevisiae and C. albicans, although the tup1 mutant phenotype is opposite: constitutive filamentation in C. albicans and a pseudohyphal deficiency in S. cerevisiae (Braun and Johnson 1997). In S. cerevisiae, Tup1p physically interacts with Ssn6p (Williams et al. 1991), a protein closely related to Mss11p. Understanding filamentous growth control in yeast, therefore, has broad implications for pathogenicity in many fungi.

Complexity of filamentous growth revealed in transcriptional regulatory proteins: The other surprising finding from this screen, in addition to the potential involvement of other stress-responsive pathways, is the multiplicity of predicted transcriptional regulators that have been identified to affect pseudohyphal differentiation in some manner. Our studies have identified Phd1p, Tec1p, Phd2p, Mss11p, Mga1p, Skn7p, Dot6p, Hms1p, Hms2p, and Dal80p (see also Gimeno and Fink 1994; Gavrias et al. 1996; Lambrechts et al. 1996); other studies have found a role for Ste12p, Ash1p, and Gln3p (Liu et al. 1993; Chandarlapaty and Errede 1998; Lorenz and Heitman 1998). Although many laboratory strains of S. cerevisiae are not competent to undergo pseudohyphal growth, the complexity of transcriptional responses and the reglatory conservation between filamentous growth in yeast and differentiation events in diverse fungi highlights the evolutionary importance of this stress-responsive developmental pathway.

We thank Gerry Fink and Steve Garrett for strains and plasmids, Alan Myers and Danny Lew for helpful discussions, and Scott Muir for technical assistance. J. Heitman is an associate investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute and a Burroughs Wellcome Scholar in Molecular Pathogenic Mycology.

LITERATURE CITED

- Alspaugh, J. A., J. R. Perfect and J. Heitman, 1997 *Cryptococcus neoformans* mating and virulence are regulated by the G-protein α subunit GPA1 and cAMP. Genes Dev. **11**: 3206–3217.
- Banuett, F., 1991 Identification of genes governing filamentous growth and tumor induction by the plant pathogen Ustilago maydis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88: 3922–3926.
- Banuett, F., and I. Herskowitz, 1994 Identification if Fuz7, a Ustilago maydis MEK/MAPKK homolog required for a-locus-dependent and -independent steps in the fungal life cycle. Genes Dev. 8: 1367–1378.
- Bechet, J., M. Grenson and J. M. Wiame, 1970 Mutations affecting

the repressibility of arginine biosynthetic enzymes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Eur. J. Biochem. 12: 31–39.

- Braun, B. R., and A. D. Johnson, 1997 Control of filament formation in *Candida albicans* by the transcriptional repressor TUP1. Science 277: 105–109.
- Brown, J. L., and H. Bussey, 1993 The yeast *KRE9* gene encodes an O glycoprotein involved in cell surface β -glucan assembly. Mol. Cell. Biol. **13:** 6346–6356.
- Brown, J. L., S. North and H. Bussey, 1993 SKN7, a yeast multicopy suppressor of a mutation affecting cell wall β-glucan assembly, encodes a protein with domains homologous to prokaryotic twocomponent regulators and to heat-shock transcription factors. J. Bacteriol. **175:** 6908–6915.
- Bueno, A., and P. Russell, 1992 Dual functions of CDC6: a yeast protein required for DNA replication also inhibits nuclear division. EMBO J. 11: 2167–2176.
- Chandarl apaty, S., and B. Errede, 1998 Ash1, an asymmetrically localized protein, is required for pseudohyphal growth of *Sacchar*omyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. **18**: 2884–2891.
- Chiannil kul chai, N., A. Moenne, A. Sentenac and C. Mann, 1992 Biochemical and genetic dissection of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* RNA polymerase C53 subunit through the analysis of a mitochondrially mis-sorted mutant construct. J. Biol. Chem. **267**: 23099– 23107.
- Christianson, T. W., R. S. Sikorski, M. Dante, J. H. Shero and P. Hieter, 1992 Multifunctional yeast high-copy-number shuttle vectors. Gene 110: 119–122.
- Cook, J. G., L. Bardwell, S. J. Kron and J. Thorner, 1996 Two novel targets of the MAP kinase Kss1 are negative regulators of invasive growth in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genes Dev. 10: 2831–2848.
- Cook, J. G., L. Bardwell and J. Thorner, 1997 Inhibitory and activating functions for MAPK Kss1 in the *S. cerevisiae* filamentousgrowth signalling pathway. Nature **390**: 85–88.
- Coschigano, P. W., and B. Magasanik, 1991 The URE2 gene product of Saccharomyces cerevisiae plays an important role in the cellular response to the nitrogen source and has homology to gluthathione S-transferases. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11: 822–832.
- Costigan, C., and M. Snyder, 1994 SLK1, a yeast homolog of MAP kinase activators, has a RAS/cAMP-independent role in nutrient sensing. Mol. Gen. Genet. 243: 286–296.
- Costigan, C., S. Gehrung and M. Snyder, 1992 A synthetic lethal screen identifies SLK1, a novel protein kinase homolog implicated in yeast cell morphogenesis and cell growth. Mol. Cell. Biol. 12: 1162–1178.
- Cunningham, T. S., and T. G. Cooper, 1991 Expression of the DAL80 gene, whose product is homologous to the GATA factors and is a negative regulator of multiple nitrogen catabolic genes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, is sensitive to nitrogen catabolite repression. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11: 6205–6215.
- Daugherty, J. R., R. Rai, H. M. E. Berry and T. G. Cooper, 1993 Regulatory circuit for responses of nitrogen catabolic gene expression to the GLN3 and DAL80 proteins and nitrogen catabolite repression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Bacteriol. 175: 64–73.
- Drury, L. S., G. Perkins and J. F. Diffley, 1997 The Cdc4/34/53 pathway targets Cdc6p for proteolysis in budding yeast. EMBO J. **16:** 5966–5976.
- Eide, D., and L. Guarente, 1992 Increased dosage of a transcriptional activator gene enhances iron-limited growth of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Gen. Microbiol. **138**: 347–354.
- Estruch, F., and M. Carlson, 1990 Increased dosage of the *MSN1* gene restores invertase expression in yeast mutants defective in the SNF1 protein kinase. Nucleic Acids Res. **18**: 6959–6963.
- Gavrias, V., A. Andrianopoulos, C. J. Gimeno and W. W. Timberlake, 1996 Saccharomyces cerevisiae TEC1 is required for pseudohyphal growth. Mol. Microbiol. 19: 1255–1263.
- Gietz, R. D., and A. Sugino, 1988 New yeast-*Escherichia coli* shuttle vectors constructed with in vitro mutagenized yeast genes lacking six-base pair restriction sites. Gene 74: 527–534.
- Gimeno, C. J., and G. R. Fink, 1994 Induction of pseudohyphal growth by overexpression of *PHD1*, a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* gene related to transcriptional regulators of fungal development. Mol. Cell. Biol. **14**: 2100–2112.
- Gimeno, C. J., P. O. Ljungdahl, C. A. Styles and G. R. Fink, 1992 Unipolar cell divisions in the yeast *S. cerevisiae* lead to filamentous growth: regulation by starvation and RAS. Cell **68**: 1077–1090.

- Gold, S., G. Duncan, K. Barrett and J. Kronstad, 1994 cAMP regulates morphogenesis in the fungal pathogen Ustilago maydis. Genes Dev. 8: 2805–2816.
- Gounal akai, N., and G. Thireos, 1994 Yap1p, a yeast transcriptional activator that mediates multidrug resistance, regulates the metabolic stress response. EMBO J. **13**: 4036–4041.
- Hartwell, L. H., 1976 Sequential function of gene products relative to DNA synthesis in the yeast cell cycle. J. Mol. Biol. 104: 803–817.
- Keleher, C., M. J. Redd, J. Schultz, M. Carlson and A. D. Johnson, 1992 Ssn6-Tup1 is a general repressor of transcription in yeast. Cell 68: 709–719.
- Kim, Y.-J., L. Francisco, G.-C. Chen, E. Marcotte and C. S. M. Chan, 1994 Control of cellular morphogenesis by the Ipl2/ Bem2 GTPase-activating protein: possible role of protein phosphorylation. J. Cell Biol. 127: 1381–1394.
- Kohl er, J. R., and G. R. Fink, 1996 Candida albicans strains heterozygous and homozygous for mutations in mitogen-activated protein kinase signalling components have defects in hyphal development. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 93: 13223–13228.
- Kron, S. J., C. A. Styles and G. R. Fink, 1994 Symmetric cell division in pseudohyphae of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Biol. Cell 5: 1003–1022.
- Kübler, E., H.-U. Mösch, S. Rupp and M. P. Lisanti, 1997 Gpa2p, a G protein α-subunit, regulates growth and pseudohyphal development in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* via a cAMP-dependent mechanism. J. Biol. Chem. **272**: 20321–20323.
- Kuge, S., and N. Jones, 1994 YAP1 dependent activation of *TRX2* is essential for the response of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to oxidative stress by hydroperoxides. EMBO J. **13**: 655–664.
- Lambrechts, M. G., F. F. Bauer, J. Marmur and I. S. Pretorius, 1996 Muc1, a mucin-like protein that is regulated by Mss10, is critical for pseudohyphal differentiation in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93: 8419–8424.
- Leberer, E., D. Harcus, I. D. Broadbent, K. L. Clark, D. Dignard et al., 1996 Signal transduction through homologs of the Ste20p and Ste7p protein kinases can trigger hyphal formation in the pathogenic fungus *Candida albicans*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93: 13217–13222.
- Liang, H., and R. F. Gaber, 1996 A novel signal transduction pathway in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* defined by Snf3-regulated expression of *HXT6*. Mol. Biol. Cell 7: 1953–1966.
- Liu, H., C. A. Styles and G. R. Fink, 1993 Elements of the yeast pheromone response pathway required for filamentous growth of diploids. Science 262: 1741–1744.
- Liu, H., J. Köhler and G. R. Fink, 1994 Suppression of hyphal formation in *Candida albicans* by mutation of a *STE12* homolog. Science **266**: 1723–1726.
- Lo, H.-J., J. R. Kohler, B. DiDomenico, D. Loebenberg, A. Cacciapuoti and G. R. Fink, 1997 Nonfilamentous *C. albicans* mutants are avirulent. Cell **90**: 939–949.
- Lorenz, M. C., and J. Heitman, 1997 Yeast pseudohyphal growth is regulated by GPA2, a G protein α homolog. EMBO J. **16**: 7008–7018.
- Lorenz, M. C., and J. Heitman, 1998 The MEP2 ammonium permease regulates pseudohyphal differentiation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. EMBO J. 17: 1236–1247.
- Madhani, H., C. A. Styles and G. R. Fink, 1997 MAP kinases with distinct inhibitory functions impart signaling specificity during yeast differentiation. Cell **91:** 673–684.
- Madhani, H. D., and G. R. Fink, 1997 Combinatorial control required for the specificity of yeast MAPK signaling. Science 275: 1314–1317.
- Maeda, T., Y. Watanabe, H. Kunitomo and M. Yamamoto, 1994 Cloning of the *pka1* gene encoding the catalytic subunit of the cAMP-dependent protein kinase in *Schzosaccharomyces pombe*. J. Biol. Chem. **269**: 9632–9637.
- Marini, A.-M., S. Vissers, A. Urrestarazu and B. Andre, 1994 Cloning and expression of the *MEP1* gene encoding an ammonium transporter in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. EMBO J. 13: 3456–3463.
- Marini, A.-M., S. Soussi-Boudekou, S. Vissers and B. Andre, 1997 A family of ammonium transporters in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 17: 4282–4293.
- Morgan, B. A., N. Bouquin, G. F. Merrill and L. H. Johnson, 1995 A yeast transcription factor bypassing the requirement for SBF

and DSC1/MBF in budding yeast has homology to bacterial signal transduction proteins. EMBO J. 14: 5679–5689.

- Morgan, B. A., G. R. Banks, W. M. Toone, D. Raitt, S. Kuge *et al.*, 1997 The Skn7 response regulator controls gene expression in the oxidative stress response of the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. EMBO J. **16**: 1035–1044.
- Mösch, H.-U., and G. R. Fink, 1997 Dissection of filamentous growth by transposon mutagenesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 145: 671–684.
- Mösch, H.-U., R. L. Roberts and G. R. Fink, 1996 Ras2 signals via the Cdc42/Ste20/mitogen-activated protein kinase module to induce filamentous growth in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **93**: 5352–5356.
- Moye-Rawley, W. S., K. D. Harshman and C. S. Parker, 1989 Yeast YAP1 encodes a novel form of the jun family of transcriptional activator proteins. Genes Dev. **3**: 283–292.
- özcan, S., J. Dover, A. G. Rosenwald, S. Woelfl and M. Johnston, 1996 Two glucose transporters in *S. cerevisiae* are glucose sensors that generate a signal for induction of gene expression. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93: 12428–12432.
- Piatti, S., C. Lengauer and K. Nasmyth, 1995 Cdc6 is an unstable protein whose *de novo* synthesis in G1 is important for the onset of S phase and for preventing a "reductional" anaphase in the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. EMBO J. **14**: 3788–3799.
- Roberts, R. L., and G. R. Fink, 1994 Elements of a single MAP kinase cascade in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mediate two developmental programs in the same cell type: mating and invasive growth. Genes Dev. 8: 2974–2985.
- Roberts, R., H.-U. Mösch and G. R. Fink, 1997 14-3-3 proteins are essential for RAS/MAPK cascade signaling during pseudohyphal development in *S. cerevisiae*. Cell 89: 1055–1065.
- Sabie, F. T., and G. M. Gadd, 1992 Effect of nucleosides and nucleotides and the relationship between cellular adenosine 3':5'-cyclic monophosphate (cyclic AMP) and germ tube formation in *Candida albicans*. Mycopathologia **119**: 147–156.
- Schultz, J., and M. Carlson, 1987 Molecular analysis of SSN6, a gene functionally related to the SNF1 protein kinase of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 7: 3637–3645.
- Sherman, F., 1991 Getting started with yeast, pp. 3–21 in *Methods in Enzymology*, edited by C. Guthrie and G. R. Fink. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego.
- Stettler, S., N. Chiannilkulchai, S. H.-L. Denmat, D. Lalo, F. Lacroute *et al.*, 1993 A general suppressor of RNA polymerase I, II and III mutations in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 239: 169–176.
- Stevenson, B. J., N. Rhodes, B. Errede and J. George F. Sprague, 1992 Constitutive mutants of the protein kinase STE11 activate the pheromone response pathway in the absence of the G protein. Genes Dev. 6: 1293–1304.
- Stoldt, V. R., A. Sonneborn, C. E. Leuker and J. F. Ernst, 1997 Efg1, an essential regulator of morphogenesis of the human pathogen *Candida albicans*, is a member of a conserved class of bHLH proteins regulating morphogenetic processes in fungi. EMBO J. **16**: 1982–1991.
- Sutton, A., D. Immanuel and K. T. Arndt, 1991 The SIT4 protein phosphatase functions in late G_1 for progression into S phase. Mol. Cell. Biol. **11**: 2133–2148.
- Toda, T., I. Uno, T. Ishikawa, S. Powers, T. Kataoka *et al.*, 1985 In yeast, *RAS* proteins are controlling elements of adenylate cyclase. Cell **40**: 27–36.
- Toda, T., S. Cameron, P. Sass and M. Wigler, 1988 SCH9, a gene of Saccharomyces cerevisiae that encodes a protein distinct from, but functionally and structurally related to, cAMP-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunits. Genes Dev. 2: 517–527.
- Uesono, Y., A. Fujita, A. Toh-e and Y. Kikuchi, 1994 The MCS1/ SSD1/SRK1/SSL1 gene is involved in stable maintenance of the chromosome in yeast. Gene 143: 135–138.
- Wach, A., A. Brachat, R. Pohlmann and P. Philippsen, 1994 New heterologous modules for classical or PCR-based gene disruptions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Yeast **10**: 1793–1808.
- Webber, A., M. Lambrechts and I. Pretorius, 1997 MSS11, a novel yeast gene involved in the regulation of starch metabolism. Curr. Genet. 32: 260–266.
- Wickes, B. L., M. E. Mayorga, U. Edman and J. C. Edman, 1996 Dimorphism and haploid fruiting in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. asso-

ciation with the $\alpha\text{-mating}$ type. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93: 7327–7331.

- Wickner, R. B., 1994 [URE3] as an altered URE2 protein: evidence for a prion analog in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Science 264: 566–569.
- Williams, F. E., U. Varanasi and R. J. Trumbley, 1991 The CYC8 and TUP1 proteins involved in glucose repression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are associated in a protein complex. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11: 3307–3316.
- Wilson, R. B., A. A. Brenner, T. B. White, M. J. Engler, J. P. Gaughran *et al.*, 1991 The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae SRK1* gene, a suppressor of *bcy1* and *ins1*, may be involved in protein phosphatase function. Mol. Cell. Biol. **11**: 3369–3373.
- Xu, S., D. A. Falvey and M. C. Brandriss, 1995 Roles of URE2 and GLN3 in the proline utilization pathway in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 15: 2321–2330.
- Xue, Y., M. Batlle and J. P. Hirsch, 1998 GPR1 encodes a putative G protein-coupled receptor that associates with the Gpa2p $G\alpha$

subunit and functions in a Ras-independent pathway. EMBO J. 17: 1996–2007.

- Yarden, O., M. Plamann, D. J. Ebbole and C. Yanofsky, 1992 cot-1, a gene required for hyphal elongation in Neurospora crassa, encodes a protein kinase. EMBO J. 11: 2159–2166.
- Zhang, S., T. J. Burkett, I. Yamashita and D. J. Garfinkel, 1997 Genetic redundancy between SPT23 and MGA2: regulators of Tyinduced mutations and Ty1 transcription in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 17: 4718–4729.
- Zhou, C., S. H. Huang and A. Y. Jong, 1989 Molecular cloning of Saccharomyces cerevisiae CDC6 gene. Isolation, identification, and sequence analysis. J. Biol. Chem. 264: 9022–9029.
- Zhou, X.-L., M. A. Stumpf, H. C. Hoch and C. Kung, 1991 A mechanosensitive channel in whole cells and in membrane patches of the fungus *Uromyces*. Science 253: 1415–1417.

Communicating editor: M. D. Rose