Fus3p and Kss1p Control G1 Arrest in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* **Through a Balance of Distinct Arrest and Proliferative Functions That Operate in Parallel With Far1p**

Vera Cherkasova, David M. Lyons and Elaine A. Elion

Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115 Manuscript received September 22, 1998 Accepted for publication December 9, 1998

ABSTRACT

In *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, mating pheromones activate two MAP kinases (MAPKs), Fus3p and Kss1p, to induce G1 arrest prior to mating. Fus3p is known to promote G1 arrest by activating Far1p, which inhibits three Clnp/Cdc28p kinases. To analyze the contribution of Fus3p and Kss1p to G1 arrest that is independent of Far1p, we constructed *far1 CLN* strains that undergo G1 arrest from increased activation of the mating MAP kinase pathway. We find that Fus3p and Kss1p both control G1 arrest through multiple functions that operate in parallel with Far1p. Fus3p and Kss1p together promote G1 arrest by repressing transcription of G1/S cyclin genes (*CLN1*, *CLN2*, *CLB5*) by a mechanism that blocks their activation by Cln3p/Cdc28p kinase. In addition, Fus3p and Kss1p counteract G1 arrest through overlapping and distinct functions. Fus3p and Kss1p together increase the expression of *CLN3* and *PCL2* genes that promote budding, and Kss1p inhibits the MAP kinase cascade. Strikingly, Fus3p promotes proliferation by a novel function that is not linked to reduced Ste12p activity or increased levels of Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase. Genetic analysis suggests that Fus3p promotes proliferation through activation of Mcm1p transcription factor that upregulates numerous genes in G1 phase. Thus, Fus3p and Kss1p control G1 arrest through a balance of arrest functions that inhibit the Cdc28p machinery and proliferative functions that bypass this inhibition.

 $\prod_{i=1}^{n}$ N the presence of mating pheromones, dividing hap-
loid **a** and α cells of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* stimulate
and spindle pole body duplication. Additional pro-
ceals other to expect at Start in C1 where, each other to arrest at Start in G1 phase, the commit-
tein kinases may also regulate the G1 to S phase transiment point of the cell cycle (Sprague and Thorner tion in parallel with Cdc28p kinase. Pho85p kinase, in 1993). Cell synchronization at Start is required for effi- combination with either Pcl1p or Pcl2p cyclin partners, cient morphogenesis and fusion between mating cells. provides functions that overlap with Cln1p/Cdc28p and However, in the absence of mating, an arrested cell will Cln2p/Cdc28p kinases (Ogas *et al.* 1991; Espinoza *et* recover from G1 arrest and resume mitotic growth, even *al.* 1994; Measday *et al.* 1994). Functional overlap also in the presence of mating pheromone. Thus, the deci-
sion to arrest in G1 phase could be controlled by a Pkc1p pathway (Igual *et al.* 1996; Gray *et al.* 1997). sion to arrest in G1 phase could be controlled by a phistip pathway (Igual *et al.* 1996; Gray *et al.* 1997).
balance between opposing forces that promote arrest Many lines of evidence argue that G1 arrest during balance between opposing forces that promote arrest Many lines of evidence argue that G1 arrest during and promote proliferation.

The passage through Start is determined by the activity cyclins. The arrest points of both a *cdc28-4* mutant and of Cdc28p kinase, which is regulated by three G1 cyclins, a *cln1 cln2 cln3* triple mutant are similar to th of Cdc28p kinase, which is regulated by three G1 cyclins, a *cln1 cln2 cln3* triple mutant are similar to the mating Cln1p, Cln2p, and Cln3p, and two G1/S-phase cyclins, pheromone arrest point (Reed 1992), and dominant Clb6p (Koch and Nasmyth 1994; Cross by heromone arrest point (Reed 1992), and dominant Clb5p and Clb6p (Koch and Nasmyth 1994; Cross hyperactive alleles of G1 cyclins shorten G1 phase and 1995; Nasmyth 1996). The G1/S-phase cyclins provide prevent G1 arrest (Cross 1988; Nash *et al.* 1988). In both overlappi both overlapping and unique functions for Start. Cln3p
is expressed throughout the cell cycle and appears to
play a more important role as a transcriptional regulator
play a more important role as a transcriptional regula play a more important role as a transcriptional regulator properly arrest in the presence of α -factor (Chang and of the other G1/S-phase cyclin genes. Cln1p, Cln2p, Harskowitz 1990; Flion et al. 1990, 1991a). Two level or the other G1/5-phase cyclin genes. CIIIp, CII2p,
CIb5p, and CIb6p are expressed periodically in G1
phase, with CIn1p and CIn2p having more important
roles in bud emergence, and CIb5p and CIb6p having
phase at al. 1990;

loid **a** and a cells of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* stimulate tion and spindle pole body duplication. Additional procombination with either Pcl1p or Pcl2p cyclin partners,

and promote proliferation.
The passage through Start is determined by the activity evolins. The arrest points of both a cdc28-4 mutant and berg et al. 1990; Elion et al. 1991a; Valdivieso et al. 1993) and direct inhibition of the three different Clnp/ Cdc28p kinases by Far1p (Peter *et al.* 1993; Tyers and Corresponding author: Elaine A. Elion, Harvard Medical School, De-
partment of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, 240
Longwood Ave., Boston, MA 02115.
E-mail: elion@bcmp.med.harvard.edu the G1 cyclins. First, the G1 cyclins. First, while deletion of *SIC1* restores cell activation of Clb5p/Cdc28p and Clb6p/Cdc28p kinases, division. Fus3p and Kss1p together promote G1 arrest α -factor still inhibits the growth of this strain (Schneider by repressing transcription of G1/S-phase cyclin genes *et al.* 1996; Tyers 1996). Second, a *far3* mutant is resistant (*e.g.*, *CLN1*, *CLN2*, *CLB5*) at a step distinct from Cln3p/ to a-factor, although the G1 cyclins appear to be properly Cdc28p-mediated activation of Swi4p/Swi6p. This inhiregulated (Horecka and Sprague 1996). bition constitutes a major portion of the cyclin regula-

trolled by the mating MAP kinase cascade (Herskowitz Fus3p and Kss1p also counteract G1 arrest through dis-1995). After binding of mating pheromone, the recep- tinct mechanisms. Kss1p promotes recovery from G1 tor activates a heterotrimeric G-protein which, together arrest by inhibiting the MAP kinase cascade at or bewith the Ste5p scaffolding protein (Elion 1995), causes low Ste11p. By contrast, Fus3p promotes proliferation sequential activation of a p21-activated kinase homo-
through a novel function that is not shared by Kss1p log (Ste20p), a MAPKKK (Ste11p), a MAPKK (Ste7p), and does not involve increasing the level of the G1 and two MAP kinases (Fus3p and Kss1p). Activation of cyclins or decreasing Ste12p activity. Genetic suppres-Fus3p and Kss1p by α -factor is coupled to G1 arrest sion tests suggest that this function involves the activaas well as to other responses required for mating and tion of Mcm1p or genes under its control. recovery (e.g., activation of the Ste12p transcription factor, shmoo formation, fusion, and signal attenuation (Elion *et al.* 1990, 1991a, 1993; Gartner *et al.* 1992; MATERIALS AND METHODS

The relative contribution of Fus3p and Kss1p to the are described in Table 1. All strains are isogenic derivatives control of G1 arrest is not known. Much of the available of EY957, which is a $bar\Delta$ derivative of W303a. Y data support a model in which Fus3p is the major MAP were prepared as described (Sherman *et al.* 1986). Plasmids
Linese requister of C1 arrest with most of the requisition were integrated as described previously for *fus3* kinase regulator of G1 arrest, with most of the regulation
through the control of Far1p. Fus3p phosphorylates
Far1p (E1ion *et al.* 1993; Peter *et al.* 1993), and this
Far1p (E1ion *et al.* 1993; Peter *et al.* 1993), and phosphorylation is required to stabilize Far1p (Hen- analysis (Sambrook *et al.* 1989).

choz *et al.* 1997) and allow its association with the three **Halo assays:** α -factor sensitivity was measured by a halo assay choz *et al.* 1997) and allow its association with the three **Halo assays:** α -factor sensitivity was measured by a halo assay
different Clnp/Cdc28p complexes (Peter *et al.* 1993; as described previously (El ion *et al* an as-yet-undefined mechanism (Gartner *et al.* 1998). least in duplicate, using 3 μ of 50 μ m synthetic α -factor for *SAR1* strains.
To date, there is no clear evidence that Kss1p plays a *bar1* strains and 8 μ To date, there is no clear evidence that Kss1p plays a *bar1* strains and 8 μ l of 2 mm α -factor for *BAR1* strains.
direct role in the requlation of Ear1p or C1 arrest While **Growth conditions:** Strains were grown at direct role in the regulation of Far1p or G1 arrest. While

null mutations in *FUS3* cause a G1 arrest defect (Elion
 et al. 1991a) and block pheromone-induced phosphory-
 et al. 1991a) and block pheromone-induced pho *et al.* 1991a) and block pheromone-induced phosphory-
lation of Far1p and formation of Far1p/Clnp/Cdc28p complexes (Peter *et al.* 1993; Tyers and Futcher added for 30 min. The *STE11-4 far1*, *STE11-4 far1 fus3*, and 1993), a null mutation in *KSS1* has no obvious effect
on G1 arrest (Elion *et al.* 1991a). Kss1p could play an
indirect role in regulating G1 arrest, because it is able
to activate Ste12p (Elion *et al.* 1991a), which pos regulates the *FAR1* gene (Chang and Herskowitz 1990). STE11-4 far1 fus3, and STE11-4 far1 kss1, respectively, com-
This possibility is consistent with the greater α -factor
sensitivity of a *fus3* null mutant compared and the ability of overexpressed Ste5p to restore α -factor washed once with cold sterile water, and frozen in dry ice/
sensitivity to *fus3* and *far1* null mutants, but not to *fus3* ethanol. Whole cell extracts were ethanol. Whole cell extracts were prepared by lysis with glass
 Example 20 kes Louble mutants (Elion et al. 1991). I oberer et al. beads, as described in Surana *et al.* (1991). Protein concen*kss1* double mutants (Elion *et al.* 1991b; Leberer *et al.* beads, as described in Surana *et al.* (1991). Protein concen-
1993; Satterberg 1993). However, catalytically inactive trations were determined using the Bio-R Ste12p-dependent gene *STE2* in the presence of α -factor, sured as described (Elion *et al.* 1995) arguing that Kss1p normally does not function in the prepared as described above. arguing that Kss1p normally does not function in the prepared as described above.
mating pathway in the presence of Euc2p (Modboni **Immunoprecipitation, immunoblot analysis, and kinase** mating pathway in the presence of Fus3p (Madhani **Immunoprecipitation, immunopiot analysis, and kinase**
Immunoprecipitations were performed as described

division to a *cln1 cln2 cln3* triple mutant, presumably by nation of functions that both inhibit and promote cell G1 arrest in response to mating pheromone is con-
tion that occurs in the presence of α -factor. Surprisingly,

Ma *et al.* 1995; Farley *et al.* 1999). **Media, strains, and yeast strain construction:** Yeast strains of EY957, which is a *bar1* Δ derivative of W303a. Yeast media

the *BAR1* strains described in Figure 2, 5 mm α -factor was added for 30 min. The *STE11-4 far1*, *STE11-4 far1 fus3*, and doubling times of 3.2, 3.5, and 2.5 hr for the *STE11-4 far1*, *STE11-4 far1 fus3*, and *STE11-4 far1 kss1*, respectively, com-

et al. 1997).

Here we present evidence that Fus3p and Kss1p both

Clion *et al.* 1993) using 12CA5 mouse monoclonal antibody

to detect the HA1 epitope (Field *et al.* 1988). Depending on

control G1 arrest in parallel the abundance of the protein in question, 2 mg (for Cln2-

TABLE 1

Strains and plasmids used in this study

HAp) to 40 mg (for detection of Cln2-HAp in a wild-type strain after α -factor treatment) of protein was used for immunoprecipitation. For quantitation of immunoprecipitated kinase activity, the protein A beads were washed twice more with kinase reaction buffer (20 mm Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 7.5 mm $MgCl₂$, 0.1 mm EGTA (Mendenhall 1993) and reactions were carried out in 20 μ l containing 1.4 μ l [γ -³²P]ATP (ICN, Costa Mesa, CA; 5000 Ci/mmol), $1 \mu 10$ mm ATP, and $1 \mu g$ H1 histone for 15 min at 25° (Surana et al. 1991). Reactions were terminated by addition of $2 \times$ PAGE sample buffer, boiled for 5 min and loaded onto a 12% SDS-PAGE gel. Phosphorylated H1 was visualized by autoradiography. Quantitations were done with a Molecular Dynamics (Sunnyvale, CA) Phosphorimager. For immunoblot analysis of immunoprecipitated proteins, protein A beads were washed, pelleted, and boiled in sampler buffer immediately before SDS-PAGE (8%, 10%, or 12% depending on the protein) as described in Kranz *et al.* (1994). Blots were developed with an Amersham (Buckinghamshire, UK) ECL kit according to manufacturer's instructions using Fuji RX X-ray film.

Northern analysis: Total RNA was isolated by extraction with hot and acidic phenol as described (Collart and Oliviero 1992), transferred to nitrocellulose, and probed according to Sambrook *et al.* (1989). The following probes were used: 1.3-kb *Eco*RI-*Nco*I *CLN1* from 419, 0.7-kb *Xho*I-*Hin*dIII *CLN2* from 810 (Hadwiger *et al.* 1989), 1.4-kb *Eco*RI-*Xho*I *CLN3* from pFC101-1 (Cross 1988), 1.5-kb *Sal*I-*Bam*HI from Ycp-GAL1- CLB5, 1.3-kb *Bgl*II-*Nsi*I *PCL2* from pBA623, 5.5-kb *Nhe*I-*Sal*I *H2A1* 1 *H2B1* from pCC68, and 2.0-kb *Xho*I-*Hin*dIII *ACT1* from pYEE15 (Elion et al. 1991a). Blots were probed sequentially. Northern blots were reprobed with *ACT1* as a control for loading and retention of RNA on the membrane.

Cell morphology and flow cytometry: Cells were fixed and stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) using a protocol provided by S. Dutcher (Trueheart *et al.* 1987) and then counted after brief sonication to determine the percentage of unbudded cells. For flow cytometric DNA quantitation (FACS) analysis, cells were fixed with 70% ethanol and processed essentially as described (Hutter and Eipel 1979), and then briefly sonicated immediately before DNA
quantitation. FACS was done using the Flow Cytometry Facility
at the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute.
at the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute.

that mating pheromone promotes G1 arrest through $4 \pi t \Delta - EY1298$; $STE11-4 \pi t \Delta \text{ fus}3\Delta \text{ kss}1\Delta - EY1346$. Plates additional pathways that operate in parallel with Ear1p were photographed after 24 hr at 30°. (C) Catalytic additional pathways that operate in parallel with Far1p

(Chang and Herskowitz 1990). A *far1 cln2* double

mutant is completely resistant to α -factor at a concentra-

mutant is completely resistant to α -factor at a mutant is completely resistant to α -factor at a concentra-
tion that causes a wild-type strain to arrest growth (Fig-
(see Table 1). Strains: WT – EY1118 + Ycp50 (*URA3 CEN*); ure 1A, left). Unless indicated otherwise, all strains are $fus3\Delta - EY940 + Ycp50$ (*URA3 CEN*); $fus3\Delta + fus3-K42R -$
deleted for the oxfector protesse gene $RAPL$ to avoid EY940 + pRT5 (*fus3K42R URA3 CEN*); WT – EY699 + B1817 deleted for the α -factor protease gene, *BAR1*, to avoid

complications from recovery as a result of α -factor degra-

dation (Ciejek and Thorner 1979). This α -factor resis-

dation (Ciejek and Thorner 1979). This tance is presumably due to the high levels of Cln3p/ Cdc28p and Cln1p/Cdc28p kinase that result from the that an increase in α -factor concentration can restore G1 loss of Far1p inhibition (Peter *et al.* 1993; Tyers and arrest to a *far1 cln2* strain raises the possibility that the Futcher 1993). However, when the concentration of MAP kinase cascade activates parallel pathways to regua-factor is raised to higher levels, a *far1 cln2* double late G1 arrest. mutant arrests in G1 phase (Figure 1A, panels 2 and 3, We directly tested the possibility that Fus3p and Kss1p and Figure 1B; Chang and Herskowitz 1990). The fact promote G1 arrest independently of Far1p, by determin-

plates with the indicated amount of α -factor. Strains: *FAR1 CLN2* - EY1118; *far1* Δ *CLN2* - EY1262; *far1* Δ *cln2* Δ - EY1290. (B) Hyperactivation of the MAPK cascade by multiple copies RESULTS of the *STE5* gene or the *STE11-4* mutation restores α -factor **Hyperactivation of Fus3p and Kss1p by Ste11-4p or**
 excess Ste5p restores G1 arrest to a *far1* **mutant: The excess Ste5p restores G1 arrest to a** *far1* **mutant: The phenotype of a** *far1 cln2* **double mutant first suggeste** <u>*far1*Δ + *STE5 2*μ − EY1262 + pJB223 (*STE5 URA3 2*μ); *STE11-4 far1*Δ − EY1298; *STE11-4 far1*Δ *fus3*Δ *kss1*Δ − EY1346. Plates</u> (see Table 1). Strains: WT - EY1118 + Ycp50 (*URA3 CEN*); $f\text{u} s3\Delta$ - EY940 + Ycp50 (*URA3 CEN*); $f\text{u} s3\Delta$ + $f\text{u} s3\Delta$ *XA2R* -

Figure 2.—Level of expression of a *FUS1-lacZ* reporter gene in various strains. ß-Galactosidase activity was quantitated as described in Elion *et al.* (1995). The *bar1* strains in A harbor *FUS1-lacZ* on pYBS45 and were induced for 2 hr with either 0, 1, 10, 100, or 500 nm a-factor (shown from left to right). Similar results were found after a 30-min induction. The *BAR1* strains in B harbor *FUS1-lacZ* on pJB207 and were induced with 5 μ M α -factor for 30 min. The units shown are the average of at least two independent experiments \pm SE. Strain numbers are listed in Figure 1 except for EY1321 (*STE11-4 far1 fus3*) and EY1335 (*STE11*-*4 far1 kss1*).

ing whether an increase in the level of signaling through (*i.e.*, *STE4*, *STE5*, *STE7*, *STE12*) or overexpression of duction of Ste5p ($STE5^{op}$) or introduction of $STE11-4$ during α -factor induction. in a *far1* strain increases a-factor-induced *FUS1* expres- Null mutations in both *FUS3* and *KSS1* completely sion across a wide range of α -factor concentrations, al-
block the α -factor sensitivity of the *STE11-4 far1* strain, though *STE11-4* is significantly more potent than $STE5^{OP}$ demonstrating that the arrest is completely dependent in the absence of α -factor (Figure 2). Halos assays show upon the two mating MAP kinases (Figure 1B). Sub that *STE5OP* and *STE11-4* restore nearly as much a-factor tion of catalytically inactive *fus3K42R* for *FUS3* in *STE11* sensitivity to a *far1* null strain as does a deletion in the *FAR1* and *STE11-4 far1* strains completely blocks a-factor-*CLN2* gene (Figure 1B). *STE11-4* restores more a-factor induced arrest, indicating that Fus3p kinase activity is sensitivity to the *far1* null than does *STE5^{op}*, consistent essential for the arrest (Figure 1C and data not shown). with the greater pathway activity in this strain (Figure 2). Substitution of catalytically inactive *kss1K42R* for *KSS1*

strain by *STE11-4* or *STE5OP* requires the components of and reduced levels of *FUS1* expression (Figure 1C and the mating signal transduction cascade including Fus3p Figure 2), indicating that Kss1p kinase activity is also and Kss1p. Deletion of positive regulators of the pathway required for efficient arrest. *STE11-4* is unlikely to pro-

the MAPK cascade could restore G1 arrest to a *far1* null negative regulators (*i.e.*, *GPA1*, *SST2*) blocks the pheromutant. Pathway activity was increased in two indepen- mone sensitivity of the *far1 STE11-4* strain. Overexpresdent ways, either by increasing the concentration of sion of positive regulators that either enhance Ste11p Ste5p, a limiting component required for MAP kinase activity (*i.e.*, *STE5*, *STE50*) or the amount of active Ste7p activation (Kranz *et al.* 1994), or by a gain-of-function (*i.e., STE7*) further enhances the α -factor sensitivity of allele of the MAPKKK Ste11p (*STE11-4*) that causes con- the *STE11-4 far1* strain (data not shown). Thus, it is stitutive hyperactivation of the MAPKK Ste7p that acti- possible to arrest growth in *far1* cells by simply increasvates Fus3p and Kss1p (Stevenson *et al.* 1992). Overpro- ing the level of activity of the mating MAP kinase cascade

upon the two mating MAP kinases (Figure 1B). Substitu-The restoration of pheromone sensitivity to a *far1* in a *STE11 FAR1* strain causes partial resistance to α -factor

n T а пі	
-------------	--

Effect of α -factor treatment on budding arrest and inhibition of DNA synthesis

a Logarithmically growing cells were treated with 100 nm α -factor for the indicated times. Cells were then fixed and counted for the number that were unbudded after brief sonication. Each percentage is an average of at least three separate experiments in which \sim 200 cells were scored. The standard deviations ranged from $<$ 10 to 14% of the mean values.

b DNA content was determined by FACS. Δ 1C is the percentage change in 1C DNA \pm SE. The percentage wild-type $\Delta1$ C DNA values are nomalized to the wild-type strain that is assigned as 100. DNA content was also measured for all *STE11-4* strains after the 4-hr treatment with α -factor. The longer exposure to α -factor did not cause a greater inhibition of DNA synthesis. The strains are the same as in Figures 1 and 2 except for EY1155 ($f\text{ar1}\Delta$ $f\text{us3}\Delta$), EY1163 ($f\text{ar1}\Delta$ $k\text{ss1}\Delta$), EY946 ($f\text{us3}\Delta$ $k\text{ss1}\Delta$), and EY1879 ($ste2\Delta$).

mote G1 arrest through inappropriate activation of G2 arrest, possibly from inappropriate inhibition of

either Mpk1 or Hog1, the other two MAPKs expressed Clbp/Cdc28p kinases (McKinney and Cross 1995), in haploid cells. Mutation of Mpk1, the MAPK in the and *STE11-4* can cause a G2/M delay during pseudohy-Pkc1 pathway, does not reduce the ability of *STE11-4* to phal growth (Kron *et al.* 1994). To determine whether restore arrest to a *far1* strain (data not shown). Hog1 is the effects of *STE5^{OP}* and *STE11-4* were G1 phase-spean attenuator of the pathway and inhibits Fus3p tyrosine cific, we quantitated their effects on budding and DNA phosphorylation (Hall *et al.* 1996). Thus, Fus3p and synthesis after a short-term exposure to α -factor. For Kss1p are specifically required to mediate this arrest. $STE11-4$ strains, a 4-hr α -factor induction time point was The increased α -factor sensitivity in the presence of done in addition to a 2-hr time point, because *STE11*-*STE5^{0P}* or *STE11-4* could arise from effects on cell divi- 4 causes cells to divide more slowly (3.2-hr doubling sion at any point in the cell cycle. Overexpression of time for *STE11-4 far1* compared to 1.5 hr for wild type a stable form of Far1p outside of G1 phase leads to and *far1* strains; see materials and methods for de-

Figure 3.—Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase levels in *far1* and *fus3* strains. (A) STE11-4 restores a-factor-dependent inhibition of Cln2- HAp/Cdc28p kinase in the absence of Far1p. Lanes 1, 2—WT (CY326); lanes 3, 4—*far1* Δ (CY327); lanes 5, 6—*STE11-4 far1* Δ (CY329); lanes 7, 8—*STE11-4 far1*D *fus3*D (CY378); lanes 9, 10—*STE11-4 far1*D *kss1*D (CY330); lane 11—no Tag. Exponentially growing strains harboring the *CLN2-HA* gene were treated (+) or not treated (-) with α -factor for 2 hr, and then extracts were prepared and assayed for Cln2-HA kinase activity and Cln2-HA protein. Fold inhibition is the average of two to three experiments. (B) Quantitation of specific activity of Cln2-HAp/Cdc28p kinase in *far1* and *fus3* strains. Strains were treated for 1 hr with a-factor, except for the wild-type strain, which was treated for 15 min. Based on prior normalization, equal amounts of Cln2-HA protein were immunoprecipitated from the different extracts (10–40 mg total protein). After immunoprecipitation and washes, the protein A beads were resuspended in 2 ml of lysis buffer. Of this, 200 µl was used for the Cln2-HA kinase assay, and the remainder was used for the Cln2-HA immunoblot. Strains are in Table 1. Lanes 1, 2—WT; lanes 3, 4—*far1*D; lanes 5, 6—*fus3*D; lanes 7, 8—*STE11-4 far1*D; lane 9—no Tag. (C) Cln2-HAp/Cdc28p kinase activity and Cln2-HA protein in *far1* and *fus3* strains. Lanes 1, 2—*far1*D (CY327); lanes 3, 4—*fus3*D (CY328); lanes 5, 6—*far1*D *fus3*D (CY358); lanes 7, 8—WT (CY326); lane 9—no Tag. (D) *STE5OP* restores of a-factor-dependent inhibition of Cln2-HAp/Cdc28p kinase in the absence of Far1p. Fold inhibition is on the basis of one experiment. Lanes 1, $2-WT + 2\mu$ (CY326 + Yep24); lanes 3, $4-WT + STE5-2\mu$ (CY326 + pJB223); lanes 5, 6 —*far1* Δ + 2μ (CY327 + Yep24); lanes 7, 8—*far1* Δ + *STE5-2* μ (CY327 + pJB223); lane 9—no Tag. All strains were induced with 100 nm of α -factor for 2 hours except for as described in B.

arrest in G1 phase in the presence of α -factor, as shown rest behavior of a *far1* single mutant to *fus3 far1*, *kss1* by a greater accumulation of unbudded cells (Table 2). *far1*, and *fus3 kss1* double mutants that do not have *STE11-4* somewhat increases the amount of inhibition enhanced levels of signaling. As previously observed of DNA synthesis [31% wild-type inhibition for *far1 vs.* (Tyers and Futcher 1993), a *far1* mutant undergoes 42% wild-type inhibition for *STE11-4 far1*; Table 2, partial inhibition of DNA synthesis in the presence of shown as " Δ 1C DNA (%)"], while *STE5^{0P}* has no obvious α -factor (31% wild-type inhibition; Table 2), although effect, indicating that most of the arrest is due to a block the strain is resistant to α -factor, as measured by a halo in budding for both strains. As predicted from the halo assay (Figure 1B) and the accumulation of unbudded assay, a *fus3 kss1* double null mutation completely blocks cells (Table 2). This partial inhibition is not detected in a-factor-induced inhibition of budding and DNA syn- a *ste2* mutant and therefore requires signal transduction thesis by $STE11-4$ (*i.e.*, $STE11-4$ far1 fus3 kss1 behaves through the α -factor receptor (Table 2). Moreover, delike *ste2* or *fus3 kss1* strains; Table 2) and by *STE5OP* (data letion of either *FUS3* or *KSS1* reduces the amount of not shown), demonstrating that Fus3p and Kss1p inhibit inhibition of DNA synthesis that occurs in a *far1* strain budding and DNA synthesis in the absence of Far1p. (12% wild type for *fus3 far1* and 8% wild type for *kss1*

tails). *STE5OP* and *STE11-4* both cause a *far1* strain to mechanisms distinct from Far1p, we compared the ar-**Elevated signaling is not required for the MAP kinases** *far1*), and DNA synthesis is not inhibited at all in a *fus3* **to inhibit DNA synthesis in a** *far1* **null:** To further con- *kss1* double mutant (Table 2). Analysis of the levels of firm that Fus3p and Kss1p promote G1 arrest through total Cdc28p kinase in these strains shows that these

Figure 4.—Fus3p and Kss1p regulate the level of G1/S-phase cyclin mRNAs. (A) Fus3p and Kss1p repress *CLN1*, *CLN2*, *CLB5* and activate *CLN3*, *PCL2.* Lanes 1, 2—WT, lanes 3, $4-far1\Delta$; lanes 5, 6– *STE11-4 far1*D, lanes 7, 8—*STE11-4 far1*D *fus3*D; lanes 9, 10—*STE11-4 far1*D *kss1*D; lanes 11, 12—*STE11-4* $$ not bypass Far1p-independent repression of *CLN1*, *CLN2.* Lanes 1, 2—*CLN3* (EY-1118); lanes 3, 4— *CLN3-1* (CY385); lanes 5, 6— *STE11-4 far1* (EY1298); lanes 7, 8— *STE11-4 far1∆ CLN3-1* (CY384). In a parallel experiment, the percentage of unbudded cells in the *STE11-4 far1* and *STE11-4 far1 CLN3-1* strains after a 2-hr exposure to 100 nm of α -factor were found to be: *STE11-4 far1*, 40% 2 α -factor, 69% + α -factor. *STE11-4 far1 CLN3-1*, 35%; a-factor, 46% $+ \alpha$ -factor. For A and B, logarithmically growing strains were in-

duced with 100 nm of α -factor for 2 hr and Northern analysis was performed as described in materials and methods. + indicates a-factor induction. Note that the histone probe detects both *HTA1* and *HTB1* mRNAs. Strain numbers are listed in the legends to Figures 1 and 2.

Cdc28p kinase activity (data not shown), substantiating *kss1* triple mutant is reproducibly two-fold greater than the results with the *STE11-4 far1* strain. that of a *STE11-4 far1* double mutant, despite equal

dependently of Far1p: We next determined whether 6 with lanes 9 and 10), suggesting that Kss1p modestly the level of Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase was reduced in the inhibits Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase. Thus, both MAP kinases *STE11-4 far1* strain as an explanation for the increased regulate Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase independently of Far1p, pheromone sensitivity and budding arrest. An epitope- possibly at several levels. However, Fus3p plays a much tagged *CLN2* gene under the control of its own pro- greater role. moter (Tyers *et al.* 1993) was integrated in single copy **Fus3p and Kss1p do not inhibit the specific activity** into the strains to be tested. In a wild-type strain, the **of Cln2p/Cdc28p:** We examined the specific activity of steady state levels of Cln2-HAp protein and active Cln2- Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase in *far1* and *STE11-4 far1* strains HAp/Cdc28p kinase are greatly reduced by α -factor, to determine whether the MAP kinases inhibit the accausing an overall 26-fold reduction in the level of Cln2- tivity of Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase independently of Far1p HAp/Cdc28p kinase (Figure 3A, lanes 1 and 2). As (Figure 3B). Large-scale preparations of whole cell expreviously reported (Valdivieso *et al.* 1993; Peter and tracts were made from wild-type, *far1*, and *STE11-4 far1* Herskowitz 1994), a *far1* mutant has high levels of strains grown in the absence or presence of α -factor to Cln2 protein and active Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase (Figure be able to immunoprecipitate equal amounts of Cln2p 3A, lanes 3 and 4). *STE11-4* restores a dramatic 20-fold under both conditions. A 15-min a-factor induction was inhibition of Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase to the *far1* strain done for the wild-type strain, because of the rapid loss (Figure 3A, lanes 5 and 6). Similar low levels of Cln2p/ of Cln2p, while 1-hr inductions were done for the other Cdc28p kinase are also found in the *STE5^{OP} far1* strain strains. Samples were then preequalized so that equal (Figure 3D). Thus, α -factor can inhibit Cln2p/Cdc28p amounts of Cln2p would be immunoprecipitated from

blocked by a null mutation in *FUS3* (Figure 3A, lanes in Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase-specific activity in a wild-type 7 and 8; *STE11-4 far1 fus3*), demonstrating a clear role strain is detected after 15 min in α -factor, presumably for Fus3p in negatively regulating Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase because of inhibition by Far1p. This level of inhibition that is distinct from Far1p. Deletion of Kss1p also blocks, may be an underestimate per responding cell, because to a lesser extent, the inhibition of Cln2p/Cdc28p ki- only a small percentage of cells are at the Start arrest nase (Figure 3A, lanes 9 and 10; *STE11-4 far1 kss1*). The point. Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase has equally high specific

effects on DNA synthesis are mirrored at the level of level of Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase activity in a *STE11-4 far1* **Fus3p and Kss1p inhibit Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase in-** levels of Cln2p protein (Figure 3A; compare lanes 5 and

kinase independently of Far1p. each of the extracts (10–40 mg protein; materials and The Far1p-independent inhibition of Cln2p kinase is methods). As shown in Figure 3B, a \sim 3-fold reduction

press Far1p-independent arrest. Halo assays used 3 μ of 50 μ m
 α -factor. Strains: CLN3 – EY1118; CLN3-1 – CY385; STE11-4

far1 Δ CLN3 – EY1298; STE11-4 far1 Δ CLN3-1 – CY384; WT +

CEN and STE11-4 far1 μ CE *Ycp50*; WT + $pGAL-CLN2$ and *STE11-4 far1* + $pGAL-CLN2$ are *EY1118* and *EY1298* bearing *pGAL-CLN2 URA3 CEN. YIpGAL1-* that observed for *CLN2.* In contrast to the pattern of

Fus3p and Kss1p repress transcription of CLN1,
CLN2, and CLB5: The reduction in Cln2p/Cdc28p kinds overexpressed from the GAL1 promoter; data not shown).
nase by hyperactivation of Fus3p and Kss1p by STE11-4
could be the inhibition of Cln2p. We therefore determined whether
the α -factor-dependent reduction in Cln2p protein de-
tected in the *STE11-4 far1* strain involves more rapid
tected in the *STE11-4 far1* strain involves more rapid shut-off experiments using a *GAL1-CLN2-HA* gene, we G1/S cyclin genes that circumvent the transcriptional find no evidence for enhanced post-transcriptional inhi-

repression imposed by Fus3p and Kss1p are able to find no evidence for enhanced post-transcriptional inhi-
bition of Cln2p in the *STE11-4 far1* strain either in the bypass Far1p-independent arrest. As shown in Figure 5, bition of Cln2p in the *STE11-4 far1* strain either in the bypass Far1p-independent arrest. As shown in Figure 5, absence or presence of α -factor (data not shown).

sion of the G1 cyclin genes is the primary cause of the the *STE11-4 far1* strain in addition to the wild-type strain decreased levels of Cln2p. As previously shown (Nash (*GAL-CLN2*, *YIpGAL-CLB5*; Figure 5). Two additional the *CLN1* and *CLN2* genes decreases in the presence of arrest involves a G1 arrest block that is a consequence α -factor in a wild-type strain (Figure 4A, lanes 1 and 2), of transcriptional repression of the G1/S genes. First, while the expression of *CLN3* is slightly increased (Fig- the pattern of expression of histone H2A and H2B ure 4A). In a *far1* null strain, the addition of a-factor mRNAs (encoded by *HTA1*/*HTB1*) mirrors that of

for 2 hr does not reduce expression of either *CLN1* or *CLN2*, nor does it increase the expression of *CLN3* (Figure 4A, lanes 3 and 4). Strikingly, *STE11-4* restores nearly wild-type inhibition of transcription of the *CLN1* and *CLN2* genes to the *far1* null (Figure 4A, lanes 5 and 6), largely accounting for the 26-fold reduction in Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase (Figure 3A). This inhibition contrasts with transcriptional activation of two other cyclin genes that promote budding and are implicated in recovery, *CLN3* and *PCL2* (Figure 4A; Nash *et al.* 1988; Measday *et al.* 1994).

The repression of the *CLN1* and *CLN2* genes is mediated by the combined action of Fus3p and Kss1p. Null mutations in either *FUS3* or *KSS1* partially block the inhibition of transcription in the *STE11-4 far1* strain to similar extents (Figure 4A: lanes 7 and 8, lanes 9 and 10; *STE11-4 far1 fus3* and *STE11-4 far1 kss1*), while null mutations in both *FUS3* and *KSS1* fully block the inhibition (Figure 4A, lanes 11 and 12; *STE11-4 far1 fus3 kss1*). Fus3p and Kss1p also equivalently regulate transcriptional activation of the *CLN3* and *PCL2* genes. This Figure 5.—Dominant *CLN2* and *CLB5* cyclin genes sup- pattern of control contrasts the opposing effects of

CLB5 strains contain a functional CLB5-HA gene (ES2669; control of CLN1 and CLN2, only a double deletion of Table 1) integrated at the URA3 locus. Plates were photo-
graphed after 24 hr at 30°. transcriptional repression to be an indirect consequence of inhibition of the G1 activity in the *far1* and *STE11-4 far1* strains after a 1-hr
exposure to α -factor (\sim 0.8-fold inhibition for both
strains). Thus, the enhanced sensitivity and G1 arrest
of the *STE11-4 far1* strain is unlikely to b

 α sence or presence of α -factor (data not shown). α overexpression of either *CLN2* or *CLB5* using the strongly
We next determined whether transcriptional repres-conducible *GAL1* promoter confers α -factor res inducible *GAL1* promoter confers α -factor resistance to *et al.* 1988; Wittenberg *et al.* 1990), transcription of observations support the view that Far1p-independent

Figure 6.—*MCM1* requires *FUS3* but not *KSS1* to promote proliferation. Strains: WT, *STE11-4 far1*, *STE11-4 far1 fus3*, *STE11-4 far1 kss1* are EY1118, EY1298, EY1321, and EY1335 bearing either Yep24 or MCM1-2 μ plasmids. Strains were tested for α -factor sensitivity in a halo assay using $3 \mu l$ of 50 μ m α -factor. Plates were photographed after 36 hr at 30°

CLN1 and *CLN2* (Figure 4A), consistent with a block at tionally. Northern analysis demonstrates that the *CLN3* Start in G1 phase. Second, we find that the $STE11-4$ far1 gene is properly upregulated by α -factor in *STE11-4* strain does not undergo an enhanced loss of viability strains (Figure 4A). Immunoblot analysis shows that the compared to a *far1* strain after long-term (18-hr) expo- steady state levels of epitope-tagged Cln3p are the same sure to a high concentration of α -factor (100 nm; data in wild-type as in *STE11-4 far1* strains (data not shown). not shown), indicating that the cells are arrested by Two additional observations suggest that the Cln3-1 pro- α -factor rather than dying. Collectively, these findings tein is still functional in the *STE11-4 far1* strain. First, strongly argue that transcriptional repression of the $CLN3-1$ does confer some α -factor resistance to the G1/S cyclin genes is a primary cause of Far1-indepen- *STE11-4 far1* strain (as shown by the slightly more turbid

ing the *CLN1* **and** *CLN2* **promoters:** Periodic transcrip- *CLN2* for passage through Start (Cross 1995). Second, tion of the *CLN1*, *CLN2*, and *CLB5* genes in G1 phase *CLN3-1* still promotes budding in the *STE11-4 far1* is controlled by Swi4p/Swi6p and Mbp1p/Swi6p tran-
strain, under the same α -factor conditions that prevent scription factor complexes (Koch and Nasmyth 1994; *CLN3-1* from activating the *CLN1*/*CLN2* genes (Figure Breeden 1996), which are positively regulated by $Ch3p/$ 4B legend). Collectively, these data argue that $Ch3-1p/$ Cdc28p kinase (Cross 1995; Nasmyth 1996). We tested Cdc28p complexes are selectively blocked for transcripwhether Fus3p and Kss1p mediate transcriptional re-
tional activation at the G1/S cyclin promoters. pression of the G1 cyclin genes through inhibition of **Fus3p and Kss1p may also promote proliferation in** Cln3p/Cdc28p, by determining whether a dominant **addition to G1 arrest:** The results presented thus far *CLN3-1* allele could bypass Far1p-independent inhibition show that Fus3p and Kss1p play positive roles in the of *CLN1*, *CLN2* transcription. The dominant *CLN3-1* regulation of Far1-independent G1 arrest. Therefore, mutation stabilizes Cln3p and allows Cln3p/Cdc28p ki- we would predict that *STE11-4 far1 fus3* and *STE11-4* nase to hyperactivate transcription of the *CLN1* and *far1 kss1* strains should be less sensitive than a *STE11-4 CLN2* genes in the presence of α -factor. This activation *far1* strain in a halo assay because of the elevated levels requires Swi4p and Swi6p (Cross and Tinkelenberg of the G1/S cyclins. Surprisingly, however, deletion of 1991; Dirick and Nasmyth 1991). Cln3-1p efficiently either *FUS3* or *KSS1* in the *STE11-4 far1* strain causes bypasses transcriptional repression of the *CLN1* and enhanced α -factor sensitivity in a halo assay (Figure 6), *CLN2* genes, as shown by the high levels of *CLN1* and although deletion of both genes causes α -factor resis-*CLN2* mRNAs in the *CLN3-1* strain in the presence of tance (Figure 1B). A trivial explanation of slower growth α -factor (Figure 4B, lanes 3 and 4) and the resulting rate for the more sensitive strains does not account for α -factor resistance of this strain in a halo assay (Figure 5). the increase in α -factor sensitivity, because long-term Strikingly, however, Cln3-1p does not activate *CLN1* and incubation of the plates does not result in smaller halos. *CLN2* to an obvious degree in the *STE11-4 far1CLN3-1* Alternatively, the greater sensitivity might be due to strain (Figure 4B, lanes 7 and 8). The absence of tran-

hyperinduction of the Ca^{2+} -dependent pathway (Moser scriptional activation of *CLN1* and *CLN2* correlates with *et al.* 1996) that mediates α -factor-induced cell death (Iida greatly reduced a-factor resistance for this strain com- *et al.* 1990). However, viability counts of the *STE11-4 far1* pared to the *CLN3-1* strain (Figure 5). Thus, Cln3-1p/ *fus3* and *STE11-4 far1 kss1* strains exposed to 100 nm Cdc28p is unable to activate the *CLN1, CLN2* promoters α -factor for 18 hr indicate that the α -factor-induced

inhibits *CLN3-1*, either transcriptionally or post-transcrip- induced cell death that occurs in the presence of

dent arrest. and smaller diameter halo, Figure 5), consistent with **Fus3p and Kss1p block Cln3p/Cdc28p from activat-** the fact that *CLN3-1* can weakly substitute for *CLN1* and

show that Fus3p and Kss1p play positive roles in the in the *STE11-4 far1* strain. Sensitivity is not due to decreased viability and that the One interpretation of this finding is that $STE11-4$ fus3 null mutation decreases the percentage of α -factor-

TABLE 3

ND, not determined.

^a Based on halo assays in Figures 1 and 6 and data not shown.

^b Based on ability to arrest budding; data in Table 2.

^c Based on data in Figure 3.

^d Based on data in Figure 2.

^e From Elion *et al.* (1991a) and Farley *et al.* (1999).

observations is that the halo assay represents the net levels (Figure 3A). (The *STE11-4 far1 kss1* strain has less sum of both G1 arrest and proliferative functions, and Cln2p compared to the *STE11-4 far1 fus3* strain, despite that Fus3p and Kss1p also promote proliferation in addi- similar levels of *CLN2* mRNA.) Thus, Kss1p may protion to G1 arrest. mote proliferation during recovery through downregu-

Comparative phenotypic analysis of additional *fus3* lation of the pathway. and *kss1* strains (summarized in Table 3) suggests that **Overexpression of** *MCM1* **suppresses Far1p-indepen-**Fus3p and Kss1p may counteract G1 arrest through dis- **dent arrest:** We attempted to determine whether Swi4p, tinct functions. First, a *fus3* null mutant undergoes sig- Swi6p, and Mcm1p transcription factors that control nificantly more budding arrest than does a *far1* mutant, the G1 to S transition might constitute direct or indirect despite slightly higher levels of Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase targets of either Fus3p or Kss1p by testing their ability (Figure 3C) of equivalently high specific activity. Fur- to confer α -factor resistance to the *STE11-4 far1* strain thermore, a *fus3* null mutation causes enhanced bud- when overexpressed. Putative targets that might be exding arrest in the background of a *far1* null, as shown pected to confer a-factor resistance in this test either by the greater partial budding arrest in a *fus3 far1* double mutant compared to a *far1* single mutant. Again, the **TABLE 4** greater budding arrest occurs despite high levels of active Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase (Figure 3C). Assessment of **Part of the pheromone sensitivity of** *STE114 far1* Δ **part of** Δ **part o** pathway activity using the *FUS1-lacZ* reporter gene shows that *fus3* and *STE11-4 far1 fus3* strains have reduced Ste12p activity compared to wild-type and *STE11-4 far1* control strains (Figure 2; Elion *et al.* 1991a). These observations suggest that Fus3p regulates proliferation through a function that is not linked to increased G1 cyclin levels or reduced Ste12p activity.

Kss1p appears to counteract G1 arrest by a distinct mechanism. Although *kss1* and *STE11-4 far1 kss1* strains also have enhanced α -factor senstivity (Table 3), the enhanced sensitivity correlates with slower recovery, as shown by a delay in resumption of cell division upon Logarithmically growing cells were treated with 100 nm α -factor withdrawal in a *STE11-4 far1 kss1* strain com- α -factor for 4 hr. Cells were washed to remove the α -factor withdrawal in a *STE11-4 far1 kss1* strain com-
nared to the *STE11-4 far1* strain (Table 4). The delay and then resuspended in fresh media at an $A_{600} = 0.5$ and pared to the *STE11-4 far1* strain (Table 4). The delay and then resuspended in fresh media at an $A_{600} = 0.5$ and
in recovery correlates with increased pathway activity,
as shown by modestly enhanced expression of the *lacZ* reporter gene (Table 3; also see Figure 2), increased *pho85*∆ is CY130.

a-factor (data not shown). One interpretation of these *PCL2* mRNA levels (Figure 4A), and decreased Cln2p

Strain	Unbudded cells (%)					
	α -Factor		Recovery			
			1 _{hr}	2 _{hr}	3 hr	
WT	41	100	87	17	43	
$pho85\Delta$	48	98	97	88	48	
$STE11-4$ far1 Δ	46	83	63	43	41	
$STE11-4$ far1 Δ kss1 Δ	28	66	57	52	45	
$STE11-4$ far1 Δ fus3 Δ	37	73	56	36	17	

could be inhibited by Fus3p and Kss1p to promote G1 arrest (such as regulators of *CLN1*, *CLN2*, *CLB5*) or activated to promote recovery (such as regulators of *CLN3*, *PCL2*). Swi4p and Swi6p positively regulate *CLN1*, *CLN2*, *CLB5*, and *PCL2* in addition to other genes (Koch and Nasmyth 1994). Mcm1p is a MADS box regulator of *CLN3*, *SWI4*, and genes involved in DNA synthesis (McInerny *et al.* 1997), cell wall biosynthesis and metabolism (Kuo and Grayhack 1994), the G2/M transition (Althoefer *et al.* 1995), and mating (Elble and Tye 1991; Oehlen *et al.* 1996; Kuo *et al.* 1997). Overexpression of Swi4p and Swi6p, as well as a truncated form of Swi4p (which confers slightly more α -factor resistance than full-length Swi4p in a wild-type strain, L. Breeden personal communication), does not have an effect on the a-factor sensitivity of a *STE11-4 far1* strain (data not shown). In addition, co-overexpression of Swi4p and Swi6p (both under the control of the *GAL1* promoter), even in the presence of *CLN3-1*, does not bypass the arrest of a *STE11-4 far1* strain (data not shown).

By contrast, overexpression of *MCM1* confers significant a-factor resistance to both wild-type and *STE11-4 far1* strains (Figure 6). Mcm1p is unlikely to cause a-factor resistance through inappropriate activation of α -specific genes, because excess Mcm1p does not induce expression of α -factor or inhibit mating in these strains (data not shown). Mcm1p is also unlikely to promote cell division solely through upregulation of *CLN3* and Figure 7.—Summary of levels of control of G1 arrest and *SWI4*, because overexpression of *SWI4* in the presence proliferation by Fus3p and Kss1p. of *CLN3-1* has no effect in the *STE11-4 far1* strain and Mcm1p still bypasses G1 arrest in a *cln3*∆ strain (data not shown). In addition, Mcm1p is not bypassing Far1p- DISCUSSION independent arrest through overexpression of the G2
cyclins (*i.e., CLB2*; Siegmund and Nasmyth 1996), because a *GAL1-CLB2* gene does not bypass the arrest of
kss1n to G1 arrest that is independent of Far1n we

of α -factor. Strikingly, excess Mcm1p fails to bypass the dependent activation of the *FAR1* gene (Farley *et al.*
 α -factor arrest of the *STE11-4 far1 fus3* strain (Figure 1999), the vast majority of the control of 6). By contrast, excess Mcm1p efficiently suppresses the through Fus3p. Fus3p is as essential as Far1p in inhib-
 α -factor arrest of the *STE11-4 far1 kss1* strain (Figure iting the specific activity of Cln2p/Cdc28p kin α-factor arrest of the *STE11-4 far1 kss1* strain (Figure iting the specific activity of Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase, al-
6), in addition to that of *kss1, hog1,* and *mpk1* deletion though Kss1p may weakly inhibit Cln2p/Cdc28p (6), in addition to that of *kss1*, *hog1*, and *mpk1* deletion though Kss1p may weakly inhibit Cln2p/Cdc28p (Figquires Fus3p to promote cell division. These findings of the G1/S cyclin genes, although Fus3p has a greater argue compellingly for a physiologically relevant role role (Figure 7B). Transcriptional repression is likely to argue compellingly for a physiologically relevant role role (Figure 7B). Transcriptional repression is likely to
for Mcm1p in regulating proliferation in the presence account for the majority of the inhibition of Cln1p/ of α -factor. In addition, they argue that Fus3p is re- Cdc28p, Cln2p/Cdc28p, and Clb5p/Cdc28p in a wildquired for Mcm1p to promote proliferation, and that type strain, since overexpression of *CLN2* or *CLB5* is this function is not shared by Kss1p. surficient to override Far1p-independent G1 arrest. This

B Far1p-independent G1 arrest

Recovery

cause a *GALI-CLB2* gene does not bypass the arrest of
either wild-type or *STE11-4 far1* strains (data not shown).
These observations suggest that Fus3p and Kss1p may
regulate G1 arrest through Mcm1p or genes, in addition to *SWI4* and *CLN3*, that are under Mcm1p control.
 Mcm1p requires Fus3p but not Kss1p to counteract
 G1 arrest: We tested whether Mcm1p can suppress the

arrest of *STE11-4 far1 fus3* and *STE11-4 far1 kss1* strains
 1999), the vast majority of the control of Far1p is ure 3A). Fus3p and Kss1p together repress transcription account for the majority of the inhibition of Cln1p/

view is consistent with the rapid drop in *CLN1*,*CLN2*, analysis suggests that the mating MAP kinases also counand *CLB5* mRNAs that is induced by α -factor in a wild- teract G1 arrest through overlapping and distinct functype strain (Figure 4; Wittenberg *et al.* 1990) and the tions. Fus3p and Kss1p together enhance expression of greater inhibitory effect of Far1p on Cln3p/Cdc28p the *CLN3* and *PCL2* genes in the presence of α -factor. than on Cln2p/Cdc28p (Gartner *et al.* 1998; Jeoung This activation is likely to promote recovery, because *et al.* 1998). *cln3* and *pho85* null mutations delay recovery (Table 4;

role in regulating pheromone-induced G1 arrest that is response consensus sequence (TGAAACA) upstream of distinct from transcriptional control of the *FAR1* gene. the ATG, so its activation may occur through Ste12p, The detection of a clear effect of a *kss1* null mutation as is the case for genes that downregulate the pathway on G1 arrest necessitated conditions that allowed the (*i.e.*, *GPA1*, *SST2*, and *MSG5*). evaluation of Far1p-independent arrest in the presence Fus3p may also promote proliferation by a mechaof the G1/S cyclins, presumably because Kss1p functions nism that does not involve upregulation of Ste12p or the redundantly with Fus3p and Far1p. The existence of Cdc28p machinery (Figure 7B). A *fus3* null undergoes Kss1p-dependent regulatory events that control G1 ar- significant α -factor-dependent inhibition of budding rest is supported by the a-factor resistance of a *kss1K42R* and DNA synthesis, despite reduced Ste12p activity and mutant (Figure 1C). Our findings argue that Kss1p is elevated levels of *CLN1*, *CLN2* mRNAs (Figure 4A), and required for efficient G1 arrest in a wild-type strain, Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase. These *fus3* null phenotypes are although Fus3p plays a much greater role, as in mating also detected in *far1* and *STE11-4 far1* strains (Table 3), (Madhani *et al.* 1997; Farley *et al.* 1999). consistent with the loss of a proliferative function. Fus3p

to activate Swi4p/Swi6p: How might Fus3p and Kss1p as a kinase (Gartner *et al.* 1992; Kranz *et al.* 1994; repress the expression of *CLN1*, *CLN2*, and *CLB5*? Errede and Ge 1996) or through functions not depen-Cln3p/Cdc28p is a potent activator of transcription of dent upon catalytic activity (Farley *et al.* 1999). Fus3p *CLN1* and *CLN2* (Stuart and Wittenberg 1995), mak- promotes cell division through a function that is not ing it a potential target of negative control. The fact shared by Kss1p, on the basis of the dependency of that hyperactive Cln3-1p/Cdc28p is unable to stimulate Mcm1p for Fus3p but not Kss1p. Fus3p is required for expression of *CLN1* and *CLN2* in a *STE11-4 far1* strain vegetative growth (Elion *et al.* 1991a), raising the possiin the presence of α -factor, although it does so in a bility that these proliferative functions are related. wild-type strain (Figure 4), argues strongly that Fus3p By contrast, Kss1p appears to promote recovery by and Kss1p block the function of Cln3p/Cdc28p at the inhibiting the activity of the pathway, possibly near the G1 cyclin promoters. However, this inhibition may be Ste11p step (Figures 4 and 7B). Kss1p also appears to indirect, because the majority of α -factor-induced inhi- positively regulate the abundance of Cln2p (Figure 3A). bition of Cln3p/Cdc28p is from Far1p (Jeoung *et al.* Kss1p could inhibit the pathway and increase the level 1998), *STE11-4* does not lower Cln3p levels (data not of Cln2p indirectly through its ability to act as a represshown), and Cln3-1p is still active in the *STE11-4 far1* sor of Ste12p when catalytically inactive (Madhani *et al.* strain (Figure 4B legend). Thus, Fus3p and Kss1p may 1997), or because of a distinct function(s) that requires inhibit another component of the transcription appara- kinase activity. tus or activate a repressor that blocks the expression of **Fus3p may activate Mcm1p or genes under its control:** the G1/S cyclins. Overexpression of *SWI4* and *SWI6*, Fus3p may promote proliferation through the MADS either alone or in combination, does not confer a-factor box transcription factor Mcm1p or gene products under resistance to wild-type or *STE11-4 far1* cells, arguing its control. Support for this comes from the observation against the simplest view that Swi4p or Swi6p is a rate- that Mcm1p specifically requires Fus3p, but not Kss1p, limiting target of the MAP kinases. To date, Dig1p/ Hog1p, or Mpk1p to suppress Far1p-independent G1 Rst1p and Dig2p/Rst2p are the only known repressors arrest. Genetic tests argue that Mcm1p does not proin the mating pathway (Tedford *et al.* 1997). However, mote proliferation solely through upregulation of the Dig1p/Rst1p and Dig2p/Rst2p may have a function that *CLN3* and *SWI4* genes, implying that additional Mcm1ppertains to the G1 cyclins in addition to Ste12p on the dependent genes are required (such as cell wall and basis of two hybrid interactions with Cln1p and Cln2p DNA synthesis genes (Kuo and Grayhack 1994; Kuo (Cook *et al.* 1997; Tedford *et al.* 1997). Alternatively, *et al.* 1997; McInerny *et al.* 1997). Further work is Fus3p and Kss1p may upregulate a Ste12p-dependent needed to determine which genes must be upregulated repressor gene. This possibility is consistent with the by Mcm1p and whether Fus3p regulates Mcm1p or gene observation that a *GAL1-STE12* gene induces cells to products under its control. Mcm1p might be directly accumulate in G1 phase in W303a (Dolan 1996), al- phosphorylated by Fus3p in response to pheromone. though excess Ste12p does not produce G1 arrest in a Mcm1p is known to be phosphorylated in response to W303a *far1* strain (Satterberg 1993). another extracellular stimulus, high salt (Kuo *et al.*

We demonstrate for the first time that Kss1p has a Nash *et al.* 1988). The *PCL2* gene has a pheromone

Fus3p and Kss1p block the ability of Cln3p/Cdc28p may attenuate the pathway activity through its function

Fus3p and Kss1p may promote proliferation: Our 1997). Alternatively, Fus3p may activate Mcm1p indi-

rectly through the regulation of a protein required for growth factor (NGF) result in terminal differentiation Mcm1p function. into neurons (Marshall 1995).

Are the G1/S cyclins the only targets of negative con-
 Are the G1/S cyclins the only targets of negative con-
 Are the G1/S cyclins the only targets of negative con-

The B. Tye. J. Konopka, H. Madhani G. R. Fink, M is possible to inhibit DNA synthesis and budding under hall, M. R. Rad, D. Pellman, M. Peter, E. Schwob, M. Tyers, and C. conditions of high Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase and not fur-
ther inhibit DNA synthesis under conditions of reduced
Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase. It is possible that conditions that
uncouple the control of budding from G1 cyclin levels
to E lead to activation of budding or DNA synthesis check-

noint annarati and cell cycle arrest (Sia et al. 1996; toral fellowship from the National Institutes of Health to V.C. point apparati and cell cycle arrest (Sia *et al.* 1996; Weinert 1998). On the other hand, the absence of a strict correlation among Cln2p/Cdc28p levels, budding arrest, and inhibition of DNA synthesis may hint at the LITERATURE CITED existence of auxiliary mechanisms that inhibit budding Althoefer, H., A. Schleiffer, K. Wassmann, A. Nordheim and G.
Ammerer, 1995 Mcm1 is required to coordinate G2-specific and DNA synthesis in a wild-type cell and indicate that
Fus3p and Kss1p have additional targets of negative
control. This possibility is consistent with the existence
control. This possibility is consistent with the existe of three recessive mutations that confer α -factor resis-
tance to a *far1 cln2* strain without a clear effect on the G1
tance to a *far1 cln2* strain without a clear effect on the G1
sary for cell cycle arrest by a neg cyclins or Ste12p activity, *far3* (Horecka and Sprague is an inhibitor of a G1 cyclin, *CLN2.* Cell **63:** 999–1011. 1996), par2, and par3 (V. Cherkasova and E. A. Elion, Choi, K. Y., B. Satterberg, D. Lyons and E. A. Elion, 1994 Ste5
unpublished data). These mutations could either block then smultiple protein kinases in the MAP kinase c

Proper control of Ste11p may be critical for G1 arrest: from G1 arrest by α -factor pheromone requires endowed action. Cell 18: 623-635. How does a cell arrest in the presence of α-factor if collart, M. A., and S. Oliviero, 1992 Preparation of yeast RNA,
Fus3p and Kss1p have both cell division arrest and prolif-
pp. 13.11.1–13.12.4, in *Current Protocols i* erative functions? Our analysis of Far1p-independent
arrest suggests that proper regulation of Ste11p may be
central to determining whether a cell arrests or divides. Cook, J. G., L. Bardwell and J. Thorner, 1997 Inhibitor First, the ability to restore G1 arrest to a *far1* mutant is activating functions for MAPK Kss1 in the *S. cerevisiae* filamentous-
specific to Ste5p and Ste11-4p. Overproduction of other
rate-limiting components such as rate-limiting components such as Ste4p $(G\beta)$, Ste20p, protein kinase overcomes pheromone-in
Rem1p and Ste12p does not restore G1 arrest to a *far1* cling in *S. cervisiae*. Cell 58: 1107-1119. Bem1p, and Ste12p does not restore G1 arrest to a *far1* cling in *S. cerevisiae.* Cell 58: 1107–1119.
Cross, F. R., 1988 DAF1, a mutant gene affecting size control, phero-
Cross, F. R., 1988 DAF1, a mutant gene affecting mutant (Lyons *et al.* 1996; data not shown). Ste5p and mone arrest and cell cycle kinetics of *S. cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. the *STE11-4* mutation both overcome the basal inhibi-
tory state of Ste11n (Stevenson *et al.* 1992: Choi *et al.* Cross, F. R., 1995 Starting the cell cycle: what's the point? Curr. tory state of Ste11p (Stevenson *et al.* 1992; Choi *et al.* Cross, F. R., 1995 Starting the cell cycle: what's the point? Curr.

1994), suggesting that an override of negative control of Cross, F. R., and A. H. Tinkel enb Such an override may circumvent the inhibitory effects
of high levels of Cln2p/Cdc28p kinase that accumulate
in a *far1* null and that inhibit the activity of Fus3p at in a *far1* null and that inhibit the activity of Fus3 in a *far1* null and that inhibit the activity of Fus3p at mal localization of cell growth the Ste²⁰ n/Ste¹ n step and promote recovery (Wassthe Ste20p/Ste11p step and promote recovery (Wass-
man and Ammerer 1997; Leza and Elion 1999). It is
of G1 cyclins in yeast. Nature 351: 754–757. man and Ammerer 1997; Leza and Elion 1999). It is
interesting to speculate that the relative levels of active Dolan, J. W., 1996 Novel aspects of pheromone-induced cell-cycle interesting to speculate that the relative levels of active Dolan, J. W., 1996 Novel aspects of pherom
Fus3p and Kss1p determine whether a cell-arrests or arrest in yeast. Curr. Genet. 30: 469–475. Fus3p and Kss1p determine whether a cell arrests or
divides. For example, a latent increase in the level of
activity of proliferative pathways that operate parallel to
activity of proliferative pathways that operate parall activity of proliferative pathways that operate parallel to Mcm1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88: 10966–10970.
Cdc28p (Espinoza et al. 1994; Measday et al. 1994; Elion, E. A., 1995 Ste5p: a meeting place for MAP kinases and Cdc28p (Espinoza *et al.* 1994; Measday *et al.* 1994; Elion, E. A., 1995 Ste5p: a meeting place for MAP kinases and their
Cvrckova *et al.* 1995; Igual *et al.* 1996; Zarzov *et al.* Elion, E. A., P. L. Grisafi and G. R. under conditions of low Clnp/Cdc28p and Clbp/Cdc28

kinase. Previous work shows that the strength of signal-

ing through MAP kinases can be a determining factor

ing through MAP kinases can be a determining factor

in. Pr ing through MAP kinases can be a determining factor tion. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88: 9392-9396.

in differentiation In PC12 cells low levels of activation Elion, E. A., J. A. Brill and G. R. Fink, 1991b Functional redu in differentiation. In PC12 cells, low levels of activation
of Erk2p by epithelial growth factor (EGF) result in
ping and unique functions. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. proliferation, while high levels of activation by nerve Biol. LVI: 41-49.

rova, R. Elble B. Tye, J. Konopka, H. Madhani G. R. Fink, M. Mendento E.A.E. from the Harcourt Charitable Foundation, the Council for

-
- Breeden, L., 1996 Start-specific transcription in yeast. Curr. Top.
Microbiol. Immunol. 208: 95-127.
-
-
- Ciejek, E., and J. Thorner, 1979 Recovery of *S. cerevisiae* **a** cells from G1 arrest by α -factor pheromone requires endopeptidase
- pp. 13.11.1–13.12.4, in *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, Vol. 2, edited by F. M. Ausubel, R. Brent, R. E. Kingston, D. D.
- Cook, J. G., L. Bardwell and J. Thorner, 1997 Inhibitory and activating functions for MAPK Kss1 in the *S. cerevisiae* filamentous-
-
-
-
- feedback loop controlling *CLN1* and *CLN2* gene expression at the start of the yeast cell cycle. Cell **65:** 875-883.
-
-
-
-
-
- a cdc2/CDC28-related kinase required for the transition from mitosis into conjugation. Cell **60:** 649-664.
-
-
- Elion, E. A., B. Satterberg and J. A. Kranz, 1993 FUS3 phosphory- phorylated forms of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Mcm1 protein
- cade: evidence for STE12 and FAR1. Mol. Biol. Cell **4:** 495–510. tions. Mol. Cell. Biol. **17:** 819–832.
- 1283–1296. similarity of Ste5 and Far1. Mol. Gen. Genet. **241:** 241–254.
- Espinoza, F. H., J. Ogas, I. Herskowitz and D. O. Morgan, 1994 Genetics **151:** 531–543. Cell cycle control by a complex of the cyclin HCS26 (PCL1) and Lyons, D. M., S. K. Mahanty, K.-Y. Choi, M. Manandhar and the kinase PHO85. Science 266: 1388-1391.
- ey, F. W., B. Satterberg, E. J. Goldsmith and E. A. Elion, mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade activation with cell cycle
1999 Relative dependence of different outputs of the S. cerevisiae control. Mol. Cell. Biol. 16
-
-
-
- y, J. V., J. P. Ogas, Y. Kamada, M. Stone, D. E. Levin et al., 1997

A role for the Pkc1 MAP kinase pathway of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

in bud emergence and identification of a putative upstream regu-

lator. EMBO J. 16
-
- lator. EMBO J. **16:** 4924–4937. Measday, V., L. Moore, J. Ogas, M. Tyers and B. Andrews, ¹⁹⁹⁴ Hadwiger, J. A., C. Wittenberg, H. E. Richardson, M. De Barros The PCL2 (ORFD)-PHO85 complex: a cell cycle regulator in Lopes and S. I. Reed, 1989 A family of cyclin homologs that yeast. Science **266:** 1391–1395. control the G1 phase in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **86:** Mendenhall, M. D., 1993 An inhibitor of p34CDC28 protein kinase 6255–6259. activity from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* Science **259:** 216–219. Hall, J. P., V. Cherkasova, E. Elion, M. C. Gustin and E. Winter, Moser, M. J., J. R. Geiser and T. N. Davis, 1996 Ca2¹-calmodulin 1996 The osmoregulatory pathway represses mating pathway promotes survival of pheromone-induced arrest by activation of activity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: isolation of a *fus3* mutant that calcineurin and Ca2¹-calmodulin-dependent protein kinase. Mol. is insensitive to the repression mechanism. Mol. Cell. Biol. **16:** Cell. Biol. **16:** 4824–4831. 6715–6723. Nash, R., G. Tokiwa, S. Anand, K. Erickson and A. B. Futcher, Henchoz, S., Y. Chi, B. Catarin, I. Herskowitz, R. J. Deshaies *et* 1988 The *WHI1*¹ gene of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* tethers cell *al.*, 1997 Phosphorylation- and ubiquitin-dependent degrada- division to cell size and is a cyclin homolog. EMBO J. **7:** 4335–4346. tion of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor Far1 in budding Nasmyth, K., 1996 At the heart of the budding yeast cell cycle. yeast. Genes Dev. **11:** 3046–3060. Trends Genet. **12:** 405–412. Herskowitz, I., 1995 MAP kinase pathways in yeast: for mating and Oehlen, L. J., J. D. McKinney and F. R. Cross, 1996 Ste12 and more. Cell **80:** 187–197. Mcm1 regulate cell cycle-dependent transcription of FAR1. Mol. Horecka, J., and G. F. Sprague, Jr., 1996 Identification and charac- Cell. Biol. **16:** 2830–2837. terization of *FAR3*, a gene required for pheromone-mediated G1 Ogas, J., B. J. Andrews and I. Herskowitz, 1991 Transcriptional arrest in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* Genetics **144:** 905–921. activation of CLN1, CLN2, and a putative new G1 cyclin (HCS26) Hutter, K. J., and H. E. Eipel, 1979 Microbial determinations by by SWI4, a positive regulator of G1-specific transcription. Cell flow cytometry. J. Gen. Microbiol. **113m:** 369–375. **66:** 1015–1026. Igual, J. C., A. L. Johnson and L. H. Johnson, 1996 Coordinated Peter, M., and I. Herskowitz, 1994 Direct inhibition of the yeast regulation of gene expression by the cell cycle transcription factor cyclin-dependent kinase Cdc28-Cln by Far1. Science **262:** 566–569. SWI4 and the protein kinase C MAP kinase pathway for yeast Peter, M., A. Gartner, J. Horecka, G. Ammerer and I. Herskowitz, cell integrity. EMBO J. **15:** 5001–5013. 1993 FAR1 links the signal transduction pathway to the cell Iida, H., Y. Yagawa and Y. Anraku, 1990 Essential role for induced cycle machinery in yeast. Cell **73:** 747–760. Ca2¹ influx followed by [Ca2¹] rise in maintaining viability of Reed, S. I., 1992 The role of p34 kinases in the G1 to S-phase yeast cells late in the mating pheromone response pathway: a transition. Annu. Rev. Cell Biol. **8:** 529–561. study of [Ca2¹] in single *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells with imaging Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritch and T. Maniatis, ¹⁹⁸⁹ *Molecular Cloning:* of fura-2. J. Biol. Chem. **265:** 13391–13399. *A Laboratory Manual*, Ed. 2. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Jeoung, D., L. J. W. M. Oehlen and F. R. Cross, 1998 Cln3-associ-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- Jeoung, D., L. J. W. M. Oehlen and F. R. Cross, 1998 Cln3-associant and *Halboratory Manual*, Ed. 2 ated kinase activity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is regulated by the cold Spring Harbor, NY. mating factor pathway. Mol.
-
- Kranz, J. A., B. Satterberg and E. A. Elion, 1994 The MAP kinase of replic
Eus² associates with and phosphorylates the unstroam signaling 560–562. Fus3 associates with and phosphorylates the upstream signaling component Ste5. Genes Dev. 8: 313–327.
- Kron, S., C. A. Styles and G. R. Fink, 1994 Symmetric cell division *Genetics.*
in pseudohyphae of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Mol. Biol bor. NY. in pseudohyphae of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Biol.
- Kuo, M.-H., and E. J. Grayhack, 1994 A library of yeast genomic phorylation and the morphog
Mcm1 binding sites contains genes involved in cell cycle control. Mol. Biol. Cell 7: 1657–1666. Mcm1 binding sites contains genes involved in cell cycle control, cell wall and membrane structure, and metabolism. Mol. Cell. Siegmund, R. F., and K. A. Nasmyth, 1996 The *Saccharomyces cerevis-*
-

include an isoform induced in response to high salt concentra-

- on, E. A., J. Trueheart and G. R. Fink, 1995 Fus2 localizes near Leberer, E., C. D. Dignard, D. Harcus, L. Hougan, M. Whiteway
the site of cell fusion and is required for both cell fusion and *et al.*, 1993 Cloning of *Sac* the site of cell fusion and is required for both cell fusion and *et al.*, 1993 Cloning of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae STE5* as a suppres-
nuclear alignment during zygote formation. J. Cell Biol. 130: sor of a Ste20 protein nuclear alignment during zygote formation. J. Cell Biol. 130: sor of a Ste20 protein kinase mutant: structural and functional
1283-1296. similarity of Ste5 and Far1. Mol. Gen. Genet. **241**: 241-254.
- ede, B., and Q.-Y. Ge, 1996 Feedback regulation of MAP kinase Leza, A. M., and E. A. Elion, 1999 *POG1*, a novel yeast gene, pro-
signal pathways. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 131: 143–149. The motes recovery from pheromon motes recovery from pheromone arrest via the G1 cyclin *CLN2*.
- the kinase PHO85. Science **266:** 1388–1391. E. A. Elion, 1996 The SH3-domain protein Bem1 coordinates
- (in press).

Field, J., J.-I. Nikawa, D. Broek, B. MacDonald, L. Rodgers et al.,

1988 Purification of a RAS-responsive adenylyl cyclase complex

1988 Purification of a RAS-responsive adenylyl cyclase complex

Madhani. H.
	- 1988 Purification of a RAS-responsive adenylyl cyclase complex Madhani, H. D., C. A. Styles and G. R. Fink, 1997 MAP kinases
from Saccharomyces cerevisiaeby use of an epitope addition method. with distinct inhibitory funct from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by use of an epitope addition method. with distinct inhibitory functions impart signaling specificity dur-
Mol. Cell. Biol. 8: 2159–2165. exercise in the state of the state of the speak diff
- Gartner, A., K. Nasmyth and G. Ammerer, 1992 Signal transduction in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* requires tyrosine and threonine
tion in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* requires tyrosine and threonine
phosphorylation of FUS3 and
- Gartner, A., A. Jovanovic, D.-1. Jeoung, S. Bourlat, F. R. Cross McInerny, C. J., J. F. Partridge, G. E. Mikesell, D. P. Creemer et al., 1998 Pheromone-dependent Gl cell cycle arrest requires and L. L. Breeden, 1997 A nov
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
- mating factor pathway. Mol. Cell. Biol. 18: 433–441. Satterberg, B., 1993 G1 cell cycle arrest in *S. cerevisiae*. Ph.D. Thesis, Koch, C., and K. Nasmyth, 1994 Cell cycle regulated transcription **18:** Harvard Medical Schoo
	- in yeast. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. **6:** 451–459.
The MAP kinase of replication to start by the Cdk inhibitor Sic1. Science 272:
The MAP kinase of replication to start by the Cdk inhibitor Sic1. Science 272:
		- Sherman, F., G. R. Fink and J. B. Hicks, 1986 *Methods in Yeast* Genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Har-
	- Cell 5: 1003-1022.
Cell 5: 1003-1022. Sia, R. A., H. A. Herald and D. J. Lew, 1996 Cdc28 tyrosine phos-
M.-H., and E. J. Grayhack, 1994 A library of yeast genomic phorylation and the morphogenesis checkpoint in budding yea
- iae start-specific transcriptional factor Swi4 interacts through the Kuo, M.-H., E. T. Nadeau and E. J. Grayhack, 1997 Multiple phos- ankyrin repeats with the mitotic Clb2/Cdc28 kinase and through

- Sprague, G. F., Jr., and J. W. Thorner, 1993 Pheromone response and signal transduction during the mating process of *Saccharo*myces cerevisiae, pp. 657–744, in *The Molecular and Cellular Biology*

of the Yeast Saccharomyces, edited by E. Jones, J. Pringle and activator of Cln1, Cln2, and other cyclins. EMBOJ. 11: 1773–1784.

J. Broach. Cold Spri
- Harbor, NY.

Stevenson, B. J., N. Rhodes, B. Errede and G. F. Sprague, Jr., 1992

C. Wittenberg, 1993 *FAR1* is required for posttranscriptional

constitutive mutants of the protein kinase *STE11* activate the

yeast phero
-
-
-
-
- Types The cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p40^{SIC} in-
poses the requirement for Cln G1 cyclin function at Start. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **93:** 7772–7776.
- its conserved carboxy terminus with Swi6. Mol. Cell. Biol. **16:** Tyers, M., and B. Futcher, 1993 Far1 and Fus3 link the mating pheromone signal transduction pathway to three G1-phase Cdc28 kinase complexes. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 5659-5669.
- and signal transduction during the mating process of *Saccharo* Tyers, M., G. Tokiwa and B. Futcher, 1993 Comparison of the *myces cerevisiae*, pp. 657-744, in *The Molecular and Cellular Biology* Saccharomyces cerevisiae
- J. Broach. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Valdivieso, M. H., K. Sugimoto, K.-Y. Jahng, P. M. B. Fernandes and
C. Wittenberg, 1993 E4R1 is required for posttranscriptional
- yeast pheromone response pathway in the absence of the G pro-

tein. Genes Dev. 6: 1293–1304.

Stuart, D., and C. Wittenberg, 1993 CLN2 represses the mating pathway in *Saccharomyces cerevis*

determines the timing of CLN2
	-
	-
- yeast by two MAP kinase substrates. Curr. Biol. 7: 228–238.

Trueheart, J., J. D. Boeke and G.R. Fink, 1987 Two genes required

for cell fusion during conjugation: evidence for a pheromone-

induced surface protein. Mol. C