Saccharomyces cerevisiae **Putative G Protein, Gtr1p, Which Forms Complexes With Itself and a Novel Protein Designated as Gtr2p, Negatively Regulates the Ran/Gsp1p G Protein Cycle Through Gtr2p**

Nobutaka Nakashima, Eishi Noguchi and Takeharu Nishimoto

Department of Molecular Biology, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan Manuscript received September 24, 1998 Accepted for publication March 18, 1999

ABSTRACT

Prp20p and Rna1p are GDP/GTP exchanging and GTPase-activating factors of Gsp1p, respectively, and their mutations, *prp20-1* and *rna1-1*, can both be suppressed by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae gtr1-11.* We found that *gtr1-11* caused a single amino acid substitution in Gtr1p, forming S20L, which is a putative GDPbound mutant protein, while Gtr1p has been reported to bind to GTP alone. Consistently, *gtr1-S20N*, another putative GDP-bound mutant, suppressed both *prp20-1* and *rna1-1.* On the other hand, *gtr1-Q65L*, a putative GTP-bound mutant, was inhibitory to *prp20-1* and *rna1-1.* Thus, the role that Gtr1p plays *in vivo* appears to depend upon the nucleotide bound to it. Our data suggested that the GTP-bound Gtr1p, but not the GDP-bound Gtr1p, interacts with itself through its C-terminal tail. *S. cerevisiae* possesses a novel gene, *GTR2*, which is homologous to *GTR1.* Gtr2p interacts with itself in the presence of Gtr1p. The disruption of *GTR2* suppressed *prp20-1* and abolished the inhibitory effect of *gtr1-Q65L* on *prp20-1.* This finding, taken together with the fact that Gtr1p-S20L is a putative, inactive GDP-bound mutant, implies that Gtr1p negatively regulates the Ran/Gsp1p GTPase cycle through Gtr2p.

SACCHAROMYCES cerevisiae Gsp1p is a homologue 1997; Mueller *et al.* 1998; Taura *et al.* 1998), the im-
3 of mammalian Ran (Ras-like nuclear G protein, portin β family (Görlich *et al.* 1997; Ullman *et al.*
4 Bischo Bischoff and Ponstingl 1991; Belhumeur *et al.* 1993). The nucleotide exchange of Gsp1p is carried out by the and RanBPM (Nakamura *et al.* 1998). The RanBP1 and *S. cerevisiae* RCC1 homologue Prp20p, and the intrinsic importin β families are both involved in the nucleus/ GTPase of Gsp1p is activated by Rna1p (reviewed by cytosol exchange of macromolecules, but the others are Sazer 1996; Seki *et al.* 1996). Ran was found to be not. essential for nuclear protein import (Moore and Blo- The phenotype caused by a defect in the nucleotide bel 1993). Indeed, all the temperature-sensitive mu-
tants (Ts^-) of Gsp1p show a defect in nuclear localiza-
cell line, hamster *rcc1* (Uchida *et al.* 1990), show G_1 tants (Ts⁻) of Gsp1p show a defect in nuclear localiza-
tion signal (NLS)-dependent nuclear protein import arrest at 39.5°, the nonpermissive temperature, if shifted tion signal (NLS)-dependent nuclear protein import arrest at 39.5°, the nonpermissive temperature, if shifted
(Wong *et al.* 1997; Oki *et al.* 1998). Thus, Ran plays before the S phase (Nishitani *et al.* 1991). From the (Wong *et al.* 1997; Oki *et al.* 1998). Thus, Ran plays before the S phase (Nishitani *et al.* 1991). From the S an important role in the nucleus/cytosol exchange of phase onwards, however, tsBN2 cells prematurely enter
macromolecules (Moore and Blobel 1994: Mel chior principle seculting in premature chromatin condensation macromolecules (Moore and Blobel 1994; Melchior mitosis, resulting in premature chromatin condensation
and Gerace 1995; Avis and Clarke 1996; Görlich and at 39.5° (Nishit ani *et al.* 1991). The *Schizosaccharomyce*s and Gerace 1995; Avis and Clarke 1996; Görlich and at 39.5° (Nishitani *et al.* 1991). The *Schizosaccharomyces*
Mattaj 1996; Nigg 1997; Görlich 1998). *pombe rcc1* homologue, *pim1*, shows a defect in chromo-

On the basis of an analogy with the Ras family (Boguski some decondensation (Sazer and Nurse 1994). Fur-
and McCormick 1993), events downstream of Ran/
thermore the S cerevisiae RCCI homologue PRP20 was and McCormick 1993), events downstream of Ran/ thermore, the *S. cerevisiae RCC1* homologue, *PRP20*, was Gsp1p should be carried out by proteins that bind spe-
cifically to GTP-Ran/Gsp1p. The proteins that have (smn1-1, Clark and Sprague 1989), mRNA splicing cifically to GTP-Ran/Gsp1p. The proteins that have (*srm1-1*, Clark and Sprague 1989), mRNA splicing been so far reported to bind to GTP-Ran/Gsp1p are (*prp20-1*, Aebi *et al.* 1990), and mRNA export (*mtr1-2*, the RanBP1 family comprising Yrb1p, Yrb2p, RanBP1, Kadowaki *et al.* 1993) It is still obscure whether these the RanBP1 family comprising Yrb1p, Yrb2p, RanBP1, Kadowaki *et al.* 1993). It is still obscure whether these the
RanBP2/NUP358, and RanBP3 (Coutavas *et al.* 1993; henotypes of the *rcc1/pim1/prn20* mutants were caused RanBP2/NUP358, and RanBP3 (Coutavas *et al.* 1993; phenotypes of the *rcc1*/*pim1*/*prp20* mutants were caused

portin β family (Görlich *et al.* 1997; Ullman *et al.*

pombe rcc1 homologue, *pim1*, shows a defect in chromo-Bischoff *et al.* 1995; Dingwall *et al.* 1995; Melchior by a defect in the nucleus/cytosol exchange of macro-
et al. 1995; Schlenstedt *et al.* 1995; Wu *et al.* 1995; molecules or in other unknown pathways. To clarify *ded1-21* (Hayashi *et al.* 1996) and *gtr1-11* (Nakashima *et al.* 1996) as suppressors of *srm1-1* and *mtr1-2*, respec-*Corresponding author:* Takeharu Nishimoto, Department of Molecu-
lar Biology, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kyushu University, 1993), but not now 201 (Aphi at al. 1999). In a setting that lar Biology, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kyushu University, 1993), but not *prp20-1* (Aebi *et al.* 1990). In contrast, 3-1-1, Maidashi, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan. gtr1-11 suppresses not only all the *S. cerevisiae prp20* al-

to cold-sensitive growth (Bun-ya *et al.* 1992). Gtr1p, a enzymes and inserted into the *Smal/Sal*
Interval in the motion of 36 kD possesses three motifs conserved in (Mitchell *et al.* 1993), resulting in pL38. protein of 36 kD, possesses three motifs conserved in (Mitchell *et al.* 1993), resulting in pL38.

The genomic DNA of the NBW5 strain was amplified using the Ras family, but has been reported to bind to GTP
alone (Bun-ya *et al.* 1992). For this reason, Gtr1p is
considered to be a putative G protein. Recently, Schur-
mann *et al.* (1995) identified two human cDNA clones,
ha encoding proteins designated as RagA and RagB, that was amplified by PCR using 5' TACACCATGGGTTTAGAGGC
are homologous to Gtr1n and that rescue the cold-sensi- TACAGATTCCAAGGCAATGC 3' and M13 Reverse as the are homologous to Gtr1p and that rescue the cold-sensi-
tive growth of the *gtr1-11* strain (Hirose *et al.* 1998).
Thus, Gtr1p is conserved through evolution. RagA has
been identified independently as a protein that inter been identified independently as a protein that interacts with the adenovirus 14.7-kD E3 protein (E3-14.7K, Li *et* The 1.6-kb fragment of *HA*-fused *GTR1* was cut out from all 1997) and it may be involved in cell death the plasmid pL46 (Nakashima *et al.* 1996) by *Xhol* and *S*

al. 1997), and it may be involved in cell death.

In this article, we found that *gtr1-11* possessed a single

in this article, we found that *gtr1-11* possessed a single

enzymes and inserted into the *Xhol/Sma*I sites o finding, both *prp20-1* and *rna1-1* were suppressed by pUC29. From the resulting pL136, the 1.8-kb *GTR2* fragment another putative GDP-bound mutant of Gtr1p, $gtr1$ -
S20N, but not by the putative GTP-bound mutant $gtr1$ -
Q65L. Overexpression of Gtr1p-Q65L was rather inhibi-
 $Q65L$. Overexpression of Gtr1p-Q65L was rather inhibi-
and Sm tory for colony formation of *prp20-1* and *rna1-1* cells. *Sma*I sites of YEplac112-XA (Funakoshi *et al.* 1997), resulting We found a novel protein homologous to Gtr1p, desig-

nated as Gtr2p, that formed complexes with Gtr1p. In-

The 1.5-kb GTR1 fragments were digested from pL20 and nated as Gtr2p, that formed complexes with Gtr1p. In-
terms of $GTP2$ suppressed praze is derivatives containing mutated gtr1 by the BamHI enzyme

used in this study are described in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. They were constructed by standard genetic manipulations site of pEH7-SH, resulting in pL101 and pL210, respectively. 1991). The media used for *S. cerevisiae* and bacteria have been activation domain (*TAD*) and the DNA-binding domain (*DBD*)

*Bam*HI sites of the pBluescript II SK(1) (Ichihara and Kuro- tated *gtr1* with *Nco*I and *Bam*HI enzymes and then inserted sawa 1993). The resultant pL51 was mutagenized with the into the *Nco*I/*Bam*HI sites of pACT2 (Durfee *et al.* 1993). Kunkel's site-directed mutagenesis method by means of the The 1.2-kb *Sac*I/*Sal*I fragment of pAS1 (Durfee *et al.* 1993) site-directed mutagenesis system Mutan-K (Takara, Kyoto, Ja- containing the *ADH* promoter, the *GAL4* DNA-binding do-CGACGTGTTTATG 3' for Q65L mutation, 5' ATGGGCCGGG of pRS404. Into the *NcoI/BamHI* sites of the resulting pAS404, TCGGCTCCGGTAAATCGTCA for S15V, 5' ATGGGCCGGG 1.5-kb *NcoI/BamHI* fragments containing the wild-type and GCGGCTCCGGTAAATCGTCA for S15G, 5' GGCTCCGGTA mutated *GTR1* were then inserted.
AAAACTCAATGAGGT for S20N, or 5' CGCCCTTGAAATT **Disruption of** *GTR2***:** The genomic DNA of NBW5 was ampli-AAAACTCAATGAGGT for S20N, or 5' CGCCCTTGAAATT
TGACTTTTCGAAAGAACAAC 3' for deletion of the C-termi-

*Sal*I fragment was cut out from pL3 (Nakashima *et al.* 1996) inserted into the *BamHI/XhoI* sites of pUC29, resulting in and inserted into the *XhoI/SalI* sites of pUC29, resulting in pL119. The fragment CD was then dige and inserted into the *XbaI/SalI* sites of pUC29, resulting in pL6. The 1.5-kb *GTR1* fragment carried on the resultant pL6 enzymes and inserted into the *Xho*I site of pL119, resulting plasmid was amplified by PCR using 5' CAATTTAGCCATGGC in pL126. Finally, the *LEU2* gene of YEp13 (Broach *et al.*
GTCAAATAATAGGAAG 3' and M13 Reverse (Toyobo, Osaka, 1979) was cut out with *Xhol/Sal*l enzymes and inserted Japan) as the primers and KOD Polymerase (Toyobo) as a the *Xho*I site of pL126, resulting in pL128. The 3.4-kb fragment polymerase. Amplified DNA fragments were digested with *Nco*I containing *LEU2::gtr2-1*∆ was cut out polymerase. Amplified DNA fragments were digested with *Nco*I and *Bam*HI enzymes and inserted into the *Nco*I/*Bam*HI sites zymes from pL128 and then introduced into the strain N43.

leles, but also *rna1-1*, which encodes a Ts^- form of of pUC29, resulting in pL19. The 1.5-kb fragment was cut out
Punk (Hut chison *et al.* 1969; Honner *et al.* 1978; from pL19 with *Nco*l and *Sal*l enzymes and inse Rna1p (Hutchison *et al.* 1969; Hopper *et al.* 1978;
Amberg *et al.* 1992; Forrester *et al.* 1992).
Amberg *et al.* 1992; Forrester *et al.* 1992).
GTR1 is not essential for survival, but its loss leads
to cold-sensitive

kb fragment of *GTR2* carried on the resulting plasmid pL130

terestingly, the disruption of *GTR2* suppressed *prp20-1*
and abolished the inhibitory effect of *gtr1-Q65L* on
prp20-1.
prp20-1.
pl.118 (Gtr1-13p). The 1.3-kb fragment containing *His6-T7* carried on pET-28a was digested by *Nco*I and *Sma*I enzymes and inserted into the *Nco*I/*Stu*I sites of pUC29, resulting in MATERIALS AND METHODS pL96. The 0.1-kb *His6-T7* fragment was digested from pL96 by the *Sac*I enzyme and inserted into the *Sac*I site of pEG-KG, **Strains and media:** All the *S. cerevisiae* strains and plasmids resulting in pEH7-SH. The 1.5- and 1.7-kb *Bam*HI fragments red in this study are described in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. containing *GTR1* and *GTR2* we

(Kaiser *et al.* 1994). Transformation of *S. cerevisiae* was carried **Construction of** *GAL4 TAD***- and** *DBD***-fused** *GTR1***:** For the out by a modified LiCl method using DMSO (Hill *et al.* two-hybrid assay (Fields and Song 1989), the *GAL4*-promoter were fused to the wild-type and mutated *GTR1* clones as fol-**Site-directed mutagenesis:** The 3.4-kb *ClaI/ Bam*HI fragment lows. The 1.5-kb fragments of the wild-type and mutated *GTR1* of pL3 (Nakashima *et al.* 1996) was inserted into the *ClaI/* were cut out from pL19 or its der were cut out from pL19 or its derivatives containing the mu-

> main, and the *HA* epitope was inserted into the *SacI/SalI* sites 1.5-kb *NcoI/BamHI* fragments containing the wild-type and

fied using either primers A and B or primers C and D (see nal region of Gtr1p.
 Construction of GTR1 and GTR2 plasmids: The 5.2-kb XbaI/ The fragment AB was digested with BamHI/Xhol enzymes and **Construction of** *GTR1* **and** *GTR2* **plasmids:** The 5.2-kb *Xba*I/ The fragment AB was digested with *Bam*HI/*Xho*I enzymes and 1979) was cut out with *XhoI/Sal*I enzymes and inserted into

TABLE 1

Yeast strains used in this study

Purification of Gtr1p: GST- or His6-fused wild-type and mu-
tated Gtr1p were expressed in *Escherichia coli* and purified as the extendium containing 0.67% yeast nitrogen base without described by Noguchi *et al.* (1997) and Nuoffer *et al.* (1995), amino acid, 2% ethanol, 3% glycerol, and the appropriate respectively. The set of the set o

proteins: The plasmids carrying the *GST*- and *HA*-fused gene pended in 200 µl lysis buffer (0.1 m Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 20%) were cointroduced into the strain NBW5 Δ GTR1. Trans-
formant cultures were grown to OD₆₆₀ = 1.0 in SR medium at -80°. Frozen cells were disrupted by beating with glass formant cultures were grown to $OD_{660} = 1.0$ in SR medium (0.67% yeast nitrogen base without amino acid, 2% raffinose) lacking uracil and tryptophan, and then 2% galactose was supernatant was used as the crude extract. added. After incubation for 2 hr at 30°, the cells were spun The enzyme assay was performed as reported (Kandels-
down, washed once with distilled water, and then resuspended Lewis and Seraphin 1993). The yeast crude extr in S buffer [50 mm potassium phosphate, pH 6.5, 120 mm or, as a control, the lysis buffer alone was mixed with 900 μ l NaCl, 1 mm MgCl₂, 0.1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, 1 mm Z buffer (100 mm sodium phosphate buffer, 2-mercaptoethanol, and 0.2 mm ρ -amidinophenyl-methane-
sulfonyl fluoride (ρ -APMSF)]. Cells were then frozen at incubation for 1–2 min at 28°, 200 μ l ρ -nitrophenyl- β -d-galacto- -80° and disrupted by glass beads. After centrifugation at 83,500 $\times g$ for 30 min, the supernatant was mixed with glutathione Sepharose-4B beads that had been saturated with S ml of 1 m Na₂CO₃. The amount of φ -nitrophenol produced buffer, and then rotated for 1 hr. The beads were spun down was estimated by measuring A_{420} , an buffer, and then rotated for 1 hr. The beads were spun down was estimated by measuring A₄₂₀, and the protein content was and washed five times with S buffer. Proteins bound to the estimated by the BCA Protein Assay kit (and washed five times with S buffer. Proteins bound to the estimated by the BCA Protein Assay kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL).
beads were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting. All One unit of β -galactosidase activity cor beads were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting. All One unit of β -galactosidase activity corresponds to the amount procedures were carried out at 4° except where otherwise of β -galactosidase required to pro indicated. phenol in 1 min at 28°.
 B-Galactosidase assay: Overnight cultures of transformants **1 minumobiliting:** Prof

of Y190 (0.3 ml) expressing *GAL4-TAD*-fused clones and transferred onto PVDF membrane filters, and then probed

thetic medium containing 0.67% yeast nitrogen base without **Cosedimentation of HA-fused proteins with the GST-fused** and then spun down, washed once with distilled water, resusbeads. After centrifugation at 83,500 \times *g* for 30 min, the

> Lewis and Seraphin 1993). The yeast crude extract (100 μ l) Z buffer (100 mm sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, 10 mm incubation for $\tilde{1}$ –2 min at 28°, 200 μ l *o*-nitrophenyl- β -d-galacto-pyranoside (4 mg/ml) was added, and the mixture was incubated at 28°. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 4.8 of β-galactosidase required to produce 1 nmol of *o*-nitro-

> **Immunoblotting:** Proteins were loaded on 11% SDS-PAGE,

*^a*Abbreviations are described in Nakashima *et al.* (1996)

sensitive mutation of *GTR1*, suppresses both *prp20* and NN19-5B (*rna1-1*), and, as a control, NBW5 (wild type). *rna1*, we isolated the *GTR1* gene from the *gtr1-11* strain Ura⁺ transformants were selected and plated on synand determined its nucleotide sequence. In comparison thetic medium lacking uracil at the three temperatures with the wild-type *GTR1*, *gtr1-11* was found to have thy-
indicated (Figure 2B)—the permissive, semipermissive, mine instead of cytosine at the 20th codon of Gtr1p, and nonpermissive temperatures for each mutated strain.
And serine was thereby changed to leucine (S20L, Figure The two putative GDP-bound mutants. *etr1-S20L* and in the *gtr1-11* sequence. By comparison with other small each of the *prp20-1* and *rna1-1* strains, whereas a putative suggested to correspond to threonine, the 24th and Interestingly, *gtr1-Q65L* inhibited the colony formation 26th amino acid of Ran and Gsp1p, respectively (Figure of both *prp20-1* and *rna1-1* cells at 30° and 28°, the semi-
1). Hence, by analogy with the RanT24N mutant (Dasso permissive temperatures for each mutant. The other result in a dominant negative GDP-bound form of the did not show any inhibitory effect on *prp20-1* and *rna1-1* protein, implying that the GDP-bound Gtr1p has some cells. Thus, both *gtr1-S15V* and *gtr1-S15G* behaved like biological function in cells. To investigate the function the wild types, consistent with the finding that these of the Gtr1p, additional mutants that should be locked mutants effectively complemented *gtr1-1*D (Figure 2A). in the GTP-bound state (Gtr1p-Q65L, -S15V, and -S15G) **Gtr1p binds not only to GTP, but also to GDP:** *E. coli*or the GDP-bound state (Gtr1p-S20N) were constructed produced GST-fused Gtr1p was purified on glutathione on the basis of mutants of Ras (Boguski and McCor- Sepharose-4B beads. The purified GST-fused Gtr1p was mick 1993). These mutated *gtr1* clones were inserted into either a single-copy vector, pRS314, or a multicopy the nucleotide-binding experiments were also convector, YEplac195 (Table 2). ducted in the presence of EDTA, which is reported to

weakly. On the other hand, both putative GDP-bound

with the anti-HA mAb (Babco) or anti-T7 mAb (Novagen, mutants, *gtr1-S20N* and *gtr1-S20L*, did not rescue the Inc.) as described previously (Noguchi *et al.* 1997). cold sensitivity of the $gtr1-1\Delta$ strain. Thus, both $gtr1-1\Delta$ *S15V* and *gtr1-S15G* behaved like wild types, whereas the RESULTS other mutants did not. The mutated and wild-type *GTR1*
clones carried on a multicopy vector were then intro-
Mutation site of *gtr1-11***:** To clarify how *gtr1-11*, a cold-
duced into the haploid strains prp20/2c duced into the haploid strains prp20/2c (*prp20-1*),

The two putative GDP-bound mutants, *gtr1-S20L* and 1 insert). No other nucleotide substitution was found *gtr1-S20N*, both rescued the temperature sensitivity of GTP-bound mutant, *gtr1-Q65L*, did not (Figure 2B). permissive temperatures for each mutant. The other *et al.* 1994), the S20L substitution of Gtr1p is likely to putative GTP-bound mutants, *gtr1-S15V* and *gtr1-S15G*,

mixed with either ³H-labeled GTP or GDP. As a control, When the *gtr1* mutants carried on a single-copy vector release the nucleotides from Ran (Bischoff *et al.* 1995; were expressed in the *gtr1-1*D strain (Figure 2A), two Richards *et al.* 1995). After incubation for 30 min at putative GTP-bound mutants, *gtr1-S15V* and *gtr1-S15G*, 30°, the glutathione Sepharose-4B beads were spun rescued the cold sensitivity of the *gtr1-1* Δ strain with an down, and the radioactivity that was coprecipitated with efficiency similar to that of wild-type *GTR1*, but another the beads was quantified using a liquid scintillation putative GTP-bound mutant, *gtr1-Q65L*, rescued it only counter. *E. coli*-produced Gtr1p bound efficiently to $[$ ³H]GTP, but it did not bind as well to $[$ ³H]GDP when

of Gtr1p. Inset: the

B

WT

 $rnal-1$

 $prp20-1$

330 370 26° 30° 31° 26° 28° 30° **S20N** WT **S20L** Vector Q65L C-del. S₁₅V $\mathbf{S}15\mathbf{G}$

Figure 2.—Biological effect of the putative GTP- and GDP-bound forms of Gtr1p. (A) Single-copy plasmids carrying the indicated mutated and wild-type *GTR1* genes and, as a control, the vector pRS314 alone were introduced into strain *gtr1-1* \triangle (NBW5 \triangle GTR1). The resulting Trp⁺ transformants were plated on synthetic medium lacking tryptophan and were incubated at 14° or 30° as indicated. (B) YEplac195 plasmids carrying the indicated mutated and wildtype *GTR1* genes and, as a control, the vector alone were introduced into the indicated strains, wild-type (NBW5), *prp20-1* (prp20/2c) (Aebi *et al.* 1990), or *rna1-1* (NN19-5B, Noguchi *et al.* 1997). $Ura⁺$ transformants plated on synthetic medium lacking uracil were incubated at the indicated temperature.

A

compared to the value obtained in the presence of EDTA (data not shown).

To further determine the nucleotide-binding ability of Gtr1p, [3 H]GTP was mixed with an increasing amount of cold GTP, GDP, or ATP, and was then incubated with *E. coli*-produced, GST-fused Gtr1p. After incubation at 30° for 30 min, the radioactivity coprecipitated with the glutathione Sepharose-4B beads was quantified using a liquid scintillation counter. In the presence of GTP, the amount of [3 H]GTP bound to Gtr1p was greatly reduced (Figure 3A). On the other hand, ATP did not prevent [3 H]GTP from binding to Gtr1p, even at the higher concentrations. Compared with the effect of ATP, GDP significantly inhibited the binding of [3 H]GTP to Gtr1p, indicating that GDP bound to Gtr1p in a manner that competed with GTP.

The GDP-binding ability of Gtr1p was further confirmed using the Gtr1p-Q65L that may have a defect in GTPase similar to the Q61L mutant of *ras*^H (Der *et al.* 1986), which has been reported to bind to both GTP and GDP *in vitro* (Temeles *et al.* 1985; Klebe *et al.* 1995a). Indeed, *E. coli*-produced, His6-fused Gtr1p-Q65L bound to [3 H]GTP and to [3 H]GDP (Figure 3B). Taken together, these results lead us to conclude that Gtr1p binds to either GTP or GDP, like a normal G protein would. We could not, however, biochemically assay the GTPase activity of Gtr1p *in vitro.* Hence, Gtr1p was referred to as a putative G protein.

Gtr1p interacts with itself: Gtr1p has a long C-termi-

rigure 3.—Nucleotide-binding ability of Gtr1p. (A) E. coli-

produced GST-Gtr1p (4 nmol), which were bound to the

binding domains (Figure 1A). It contains a large to wild-type Gtr1p, Gtr1-12 Δp was produced in *S. cerevis*- minute (CPM) bound to His6-Gtr1p and its derivative on the strategies iae to a significant degree (Figure 4A, compare lanes 1 and 6). Thus, the failure to detect β -galactosidase activity when the wild-type Gtr1p and Gtr1-12 Δp were coexpressed did not result from a low expression of Gtr1- precipitates were analyzed for the presence of HA-Gtr1p

To further confirm that Gtr1p forms a complex with GST-Gtr1p. itself, the *HA*- and *GST-GTR1* clones were cointroduced **Self-interaction of Gtr1p depends upon the bound** into the NBW5DGTR1 (*gtr1-1*D) strain. Crude extracts **nucleotide state:** The amount of HA-Gtr1p coprecipiwere prepared, mixed with glutathione Sepharose-4B tated with GST-Gtr1p was reduced in the presence of beads, and the beads were then pelleted. The resulting an increasing amount of EDTA (Figure 4B, lanes 5 and

glutathione Sepharose-4B beads, were mixed in S buffer with ber of leucine residues and is predicted to also contain 14 [GTP (33.8 Ci/mmol:150 pmol) in the presence of the a coiled coil motif (I upos et al. 1991; I upos 1996), both indicated amount of cold GTP (\bullet), GDP ($\$ [³H]GTP (33.8 Ci/mmol:150 pmol) in the presence of the a coiled-coil motif (Lupas *et al.* 1991; Lupas 1996), both
of which are thought to be involved in protein-protein
interaction. Using the two-hybrid method, we investi-
gated whether or not Gtr1p could interact with itsel axis indicates the ratio (percentage) of the CPM bound to $Gtr1p$ in the presence of the indicated amount of cold nucleothrough its C terminus (Fields and Song 1989). The Girlp in the presence of the indicated amount of cold nucleo-
wild-type (WT) and the C-terminal-deleted Gtr1p (Gtr1-
12Δp) were fused to either the *GAL4 TAD* or the *GAL* gen) and, as a control, the Ni-NTA-Agarose beads alone were GTR1 was coexpressed with *DBD-GTR1* (Table 3). When mixed in S buffer with either [³H]GDP or GTP (33.8 Ci/
the wild type *CTR1* was coexpressed with the C termi munol:150 pmol). After incubation at 30° for 30 min, the b mixed in S buffer with either $[{}^{3}H\overline{)G}DP$ or GTP (33.8 Ci/ the wild-type *GTR1* was coexpressed with the C-terminumol:150 pmol). After incubation at 30° for 30 min, the beads
nal-deleted mutant *gtr1-12* Δ , however, no significant were spun down, washed, and the radioactivity c

 $12\Delta p$, implying that the C-terminal tail of Gtr1p is re- by immunoblotting using the mAb to HA. As shown in quired for self-interaction of Gtr1p. Figure 4B, lane 4, HA-Gtr1p was coprecipitated with

. BL ч	
-----------	--

Self interaction of Gtr1p

One unit was calculated as 1 nmol *ο*-nitrophenyl-β-d-galactopyranoside cleaved per minute per milligram of protein at 28°. The values are average \pm SE for three independent transformants.

ND, not determined.

6). Because EDTA releases the nucleotides from Ran Gtr1p and its homologues do not have a lipid modifi- (Bischoff *et al.* 1995; Richards *et al.* 1995), this finding cation site that is characteristic of Ras (Bun-ya *et al.* suggests that Gtr1p's interaction with itself is dependent 1992; Boguski and McCormick 1993). In this regard, upon the nucleotide bound. It is also possible, however, Gtr1p is similar to Ran/Gsp1p (Drivas *et al.* 1990; that the self-interaction of Gtr1p was inhibited by EDTA Bischoff and Ponstingl 1991; Belhumeur *et al.* 1993). because of the instability of nucleotide-free Gtr1p, as was Phylogenetic tree analysis (Higgins *et al.* 1992) rethe case with nucleotide-free Ran (Klebe *et al.* 1995b). vealed, however, that Gtr1p belonged to a family differ-

pendent upon the bound nucleotide state, putative all the Gtr1p homologues so far found possess histidine GDP- or GTP-bound mutants of Gtr1p were fused with in the third conserved domain, the sequence of which either the *GAL4 TAD* or the *GAL4 DBD*. As shown in is HKXD (Figure 5A), unlike the NKXD sequence found Table 3, when *TAD-gtr1-Q65L* was coexpressed with *DBD*- in the Ran/Ras family (Valencia *et al.* 1991). These *gtr1-Q65L*, a strong transactivation of β-galactosidase was findings indicate that Gtr1p defines a novel family of G observed, but there was no transactivation of *lacZ* when proteins. *TAD-gtr1-S20L* was coexpressed with *DBD-gtr1-S20L* or *GTR2* **rescues** *gtr1-11***, but not** *gtr1-1*D**:** To investigate when *TAD-gtr1-S20N* was coexpressed with *DBD-gtr1-* potential functional interactions between Gtr1p and *S20N.* The immunoblotting analysis of yeast lysates re- Gtr2p, we examined the consequence of overexpressing vealed that the mutant Gtr1p proteins were present at Gtr2p. We amplified *GTR2* by PCR using the primers levels similar to those of wild-type Gtr1p (Figure 4A). shown in Figure 6A and inserted it into a multicopy These findings, therefore, imply that the ability of Gtr1p vector, YEplac195, under its own promoter. Overproto interact with itself is dependent upon the bound duction of Gtr2p partially rescued the cold sensitivity nucleotide state. This interpretation prompted us to ask of the *gtr1-11* strain, but not that of the *gtr1-1* Δ strain whether the lack of interaction shown by the C-terminal (Figure 6B). Thus, Gtr1p cannot be replaced by Gtr2p. deletion was caused by the loss of nucleotide-binding We also examined the consequences of Gtr2p loss by ability. To address this issue, His6-tagged Gtr1-12 Δp , creating a null allele in the diploid N43 strain (Figure and as controls, His6-fused wild-type and Gtr1p-Q65L, 6A). Cultures of the N43 Δ GTR2 strain were sporulated were expressed in *E. coli.* Purified His6-fused Gtr1p was and subjected to tetrad analysis. Most tetrads showed a then examined for nucleotide-binding ability. Similar ratio of viable to nonviable segregants of 4:0, demonstrato the wild-type and Gtr1p-Q65L, Gtr1-12 Δp bound ef- ting that *GTR2* was not essential for survival (data not ficiently to GTP (Figure 3B), revealing that the inability shown). The disruption of *GTR2* in a haploid strain of Gtr1-12 Δp to interact with itself was not caused by caused the yeast to become cold sensitive (Figure 6C). the loss of nucleotide-binding ability. On the basis of this finding, we constructed a double-

RagA and RagB have been reported to be mammalian grew well at 30° , the permissive temperature for each homologues of Gtr1p (Schurmann *et al.* 1995). By ho- mutant, implying that D*gtr1* was not synthetically lethal mology search, we found a novel *S. cerevisiae* homologue with Δ*gtr2.* of *GTR1*, designated *GTR2* (GenBank accession no. **Self-interaction of Gtr2p requires Gtr1p:** Because AB015239, Figure 5A), and a *Caenorhabditis elegans* gene Gtr1p interacted with itself, we asked whether Gtr2p possessing an open reading frame homologous to Gtr1p also interacts with itself. *GTR2* was fused to either the 5A). the *gtr2*-*1*D strain. As a control, both *GST*- and *HA*-*GTR1*

To determine whether self-interaction of Gtr1p is de- ent from the Ran/Gsp1p family (Figure 5B). Indeed,

Gtr1p belongs to a novel family of small G proteins: null disruptant ($\Delta g \text{tr1} \Delta g \text{tr2}$) of *GTR1* and *GTR2* that

(GenBank accession no. Z49912/CET24F1-1, Figure *GST-* or *HA*-tag, and the constructs were introduced into

S. cerevisiae. Plasmid, pL44 (wild type, lane 1), pL208 (*gtr1-15*, lacking uracil and were then incubated at 26°, 30°, or lane 2), pL209 (*gtr1-16*, lane 3), pL66 (*gtr1-11*, lane 4), pL106 31° which are the permissive, lane 2), pL209 (*gtr1-16*, lane 3), pL66 (*gtr1-11*, lane 4), pL106

(*gtr1-13*, lane 5), and pL87 (*gtr1-12* Δ , lane 6) were introduced

into the strain Y190. The Trp⁺ transformants selected were

cultured in YPD med then crude extracts were prepared and analyzed by immu-
noblotting using the mAb to HA-tag. Lane 7 was the extract effects of *gtr1-Q65L* (Figure 8A, 30°). This finding is coexpressed in the strain *gtr1-1*D*.* (NBW5DGTR1). Prepared thermore, the double disruption of *GTR1* and *GTR2* crude extracts were mixed with glutathione Sepharose-4B did not increase the ability to rescue the temperature
beads, and they were pulled down either in the absence (0, lanes 2 and 4) or presence of EDTA (1.0 and 10 mm, l extract. **No effect on nucleocytoplasmic transport:** The strain

noblotting using the mAb to HA. As shown in Figure incubated at 37°, the nuclear accumulation of poly(A)⁺

Gtr1p. *GST*-fused *GTR2* or *GTR1* was coexpressed with tent with the finding that the *GTR1/ GTR2* pathway has *HA*-fused *GTR1* or *GTR2* in the NBW5 Δ GTR1/ Δ GTR2 no effect on mRNA export, both $\Delta gtr1$ and $\Delta gtr2$ do the crude extracts of Ura^+ and Trp^+ transformants, protein import or nuclear export signal (NES)-depen-

GST-fused proteins were pulled down with glutathione Sepharose-4B beads. The resultant precipitates were analyzed for the presence of HA-fused proteins by immunoblotting using the mAb to HA. As shown in Figure 7B, Gtr1p was coprecipitated with Gtr2p, indicating that Gtr2p forms complexes with Gtr1p. Interestingly, HA-Gtr2p was not coprecipitated with GST-Gtr2p in the NBW5 Δ GTR1/ Δ GTR2 strain (Figure 7C, lane 4). Hence, self-interaction of Gtr2p requires Gtr1p.

Disruption of *GTR2* **suppresses** *prp20***:** The requirement of Gtr1p for the self-interaction of Gtr2p suggested that the function of Gtr2p is dependent upon Gtr1p. This notion is consistent with the finding that the overexpression of Gtr2p rescued $gtr1-11$, but not $gtr1-1\Delta$. Although we do not know whether Gtr2p interacts with GTP-Gtr1p, Gtr2p could be an effector downstream of Gtr1p. If so, *prp20* might be suppressed by a defect in Gtr2p, because *gtr1-11*, which encodes a presumed inactive form of Gtr1p, suppresses *prp20* and *rna1-1.* To address this issue, *GTR2* was disrupted in the strain HS203 (*prp20-1*), as described in materials and methods. Resultant HS203 $\triangle GTR2$ (*prp20-1 gtr2-1* \triangle) and HS203 strains were then transfected with wild-type *gtr1-* Figure 4.—Self-interaction of Gtr1p. (A) Expression of *S20N* or *gtr1-Q65L* carried on the multicopy vectors.
GAL4-DBD-HA-fused, wild-type and mutated *GTR1* clones in Ura⁺ transformants were plated on synthetic medium

moblotting using the mAb to HA-tag. Lane 7 was the extract fefects of *gtr1-Q65L* (Figure 8A, 30°). This finding is
from nontransfected Y190 cells. (B) Coprecipitation of GST-
and HA-fused Gtr1p. The two plasmids, pL38 car

prp20/2c (*prp20-1*) has a defect in mRNA splicing and export (Forrester *et al.* 1992). To clarify the effect of were coexpressed in the *gtr1-1* Δ strain. Transformants Gtr2p on the Ran/Gsp1p GTPase cycle, we examined were selected in synthetic medium lacking uracil and whether the mRNA export defect in *prp20* can be restryptophan, crude extracts were prepared, GST-fused cued by overproduction of Gtr1p-S20L or disruption of Gtr2p or Gtr1p was pulled down with glutathione Sepha- *GTR2.* We also examined whether overproduction of rose-4B beads, and the resultant precipitates were ana- Gtr1p-Q65L exacerbates the defect of mRNA export. lyzed for the presence of HA-Gtr2p or Gtr1p by immu- When cultures of the prp20/2c (*prp20-1*) strain were 7A, HA-Gtr2p was coprecipitated with GST-Gtr2p (lanes RNA was observed (data not shown). Such a defect in 5–8), similar to the case of Gtr1p (lanes 1–4). mRNA export was not rescued by overexpression of Because *GTR2* rescued the cold sensitivity of the *gtr1*- Gtr1p-S20L or disruption of *GTR2*. Furthermore, Gtr1p-*11* strain, we examined whether Gtr2p interacts with Q65L did not show any effect on mRNA export. Consis-(*gtr1-1*D *gtr2-1*D) strain, as indicated in Figure 7B. From not show any defect in either NLS-dependent nuclear

$\boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}$

 $-\mathbf{Ypt1p}$

 $0,1$

Figure 6.—Function of the *GTR2* gene. (A) Genomic map of the *GTR2* gene. The region of chromosome VII's right arm containing the *GTR2* gene is shown. The number on the map corresponds to the number of the nucleotide. For instance, the *GTR2* gene begins at 3546 bp and ends at 4568 bp. Primers in which small letters indicate the nucleotides that were changed to make an indicated restriction enzyme site were used to disrupt the *GTR2* gene and to clone the *GTR2* gene. Restriction enzyme sites indicated on primers were created to engineer the recombinant *GTR2.* (B) Overexpression of Gtr2p rescues *gtr1- 11*, but not $\Delta g t$ *t. GTR2* carried on a multicopy YEplac195 pL130, and, as a control, *GTR1* carried on the same vector, pL63, were introduced into the $gtr1-11$ strain (NN7-3B) and the $gtr1-1\Delta$ strain (NBW5 $\Delta GTR1$) as indicated. Ura⁺ transformants were plated on synthetic medium lacking uracil and incubated at 14°. (C) Δg tr2 is cold sensitive, but not synthetically lethal with Δg tr1. WT (NBW5), *gtr1-1*D (NBW5DGTR1), *gtr2-1*D (NBW5DGTR2), and *gtr1-1*D *gtr2-1*D (NBW5DGTR1/DGTR2) strains were plated on YPD medium and then incubated at 14° , 30° , or 37° , as indicated.

known pathways other than the nucleus/cytosol ex-
tion of Gtr2p, T7-tagged Gtr2p was expressed in the

dent protein export, even at the nonpermissive tempera- **Localization of Gtr2p:** Gtr1p has been reported to ture (data not shown). Hence, we presumed that Gtr2p be localized within both the nucleus and the cytoplasm regulates the Ran/Gsp1p GTPase cycle through un- (Nakashima *et al.* 1996). To determine the localizachange of macromolecules. $NBW5\Delta GTR2$ and $NBW5\Delta GTR1/\Delta GTR2$ strains. As

Figure 7.—Gtr2p binds with itself in the presence of Gtr1p. (A) *HA-GTR1* (pL80) and *GST-GTR1* (pL38, lanes 3 and 4) and, as a control, *HA-GTR1* and *GST* alone (pEG-KG, lanes 1 and 2) were coexpressed in the strain *gtr1-1* Δ (NBW5 Δ GTR1). *HA-GTR2* (pL154) and *GST-GTR2* (pL155, lanes 7 and 8) and, as a control, *HA-GTR2* and *GST* alone (lanes 5 and 6) were similarly coexpressed in the strain *gtr2-1*D (NBW5DGTR2) as indicated. Prepared crude extracts were mixed with the glutathione Sepharose-4B beads and pulled down. Proteins bound to beads (lanes 2, 4, 6, and 8) and, as a control, the total crude extracts (lanes 1, 3, 5, and 7), were analyzed by immunoblotting with the mAb to HA-tag. (B) *HA*-fused *GTR1* (pL80, HA-1) or *GTR2* (pL154, HA-2) was coexpressed with *GST-fused GTR2* (pL155, GST-2) or *GTR1* (pL38, GST-1), or with *GST* alone (pEG-KG)(2) in the strain *gtr1-1*D *gtr2-1*D (NBW5DGTR1/DGTR2), as indicated. Prepared crude extracts were mixed with the glutathione Sepharose-4B beads and pulled down. Total crude extracts (lanes 1, 3, 5, and 7) and proteins bound to beads (lanes 2, 4, 6, and 8) were analyzed by immunoblotting with the mAb to HA-tag. (C) Either *HA-GTR1* (pL80) and *GST-GTR1* (pL38, lanes 1 and 2), or else *HA-GTR2* (pL154) and *GST-GTR2* (pL155, lanes 3 and 4), were coexpressed in the strain *gtr1-1* Δ *gtr2-1* Δ (NBW5 Δ GTR1/ Δ GTR2). Crude extracts were mixed with the glutathione Sepharose-4B beads and pulled down. Total crude extracts (lanes 1 and 3) and proteins bound to beads (lanes 2 and 4) were analyzed by immunoblotting with the mAb to HA-tag.

NBW5 Δ GTR1 and NBW5 Δ GTR1/ Δ GTR2 strains. T7- Gtr1p, is suggested to form a complex with itself. We tagged Gtr1p and Gtr2p rescued the cold sensitivity of suspect that Gtr1p-Q65L accumulates as a GTP-bound D*gtr1* and D*gtr2* strains, respectively. Gtr1p was distrib- form in cells because of its insensitivity to the GTPase uted throughout both the cytoplasm and the nucleus, activation enzyme. Taken together, these results suggest as reported previously (Nakashima *et al.* 1996). On the that Gtr1p is a G protein, although we could not demonother hand, Gtr2p was concentrated in the nucleus, strate its GTPase activity. Phylogenetic tree analysis indiwhile some of Gtr2p was also localized in the cytoplasm cates that Gtr1p belongs to a novel G protein family in D*gtr1* D*gtr2* cells (data not shown). Because Gtr1p mologues RagA and RagB, and an uncharacterized *C.* and Gtr2p were produced in similar amounts, these *elegans* open reading frame (GenBank accession no. results indicate that Gtr2p has a tendency to accumulate Z49912/CET24F1-1). The protein encoded by the *C.* in the nucleus. *elegans* genome is 46.5% homologous to Gtr1p and

was thought to be a putative G protein (Bun-ya *et al.* requires Gtr1p. This finding indicates that Gtr1p forms
1992). In this study, the fact that Gtr1p is indeed a a complex with Gtr2p. Given that Gtr1p and Gtr2p form 1992). In this study, the fact that Gtr1p is indeed a a complex with Gtr2p. Given that Gtr1p and Gtr2p form
guanine nucleotide-binding protein was shown by direct a complex, it is noteworthy that they exhibit some of guanine nucleotide-binding protein was shown by direct a complex, it is noteworthy that they exhibit some of biochemical experiments. Specifically, E , coliproduced, the same genetic interactions: disruptions of $GTR2$ an biochemical experiments. Specifically, *E. coli*-produced, the same genetic interactions: disruptions of *GTR2* and wild-type Gtr1p binds either to GTP or GDP, although the *gtr1-11* mutation both suppress *prp20-1*. We assume its ability to bind to GDP is low when compared with that Gtr2p is an effector downstream of Gtr1p, as shown its ability to bind to GDP is low when compared with that Gtr2p is an effector downstream of Gtr1p, as shown
GTP. We also showed that Gtr1p-Q65L, presumed by in Figure 10. The fact that the loss of *GTR2* suppresses GTP. We also showed that Gtr1p-Q65L, presumed by analogy with Ras to be locked in the GTP-bound form, *prp20-1* is consistent with the presumption that *gtr1* activity of Gtr1p depends upon its bound nucleotide Gtr1p. The inactive G protein could not turn on the state as follows. First, *gtr1-S20N* and *gtr1-S20L*, which are downstream cascade; this resulted in the same effect as putative GDP-bound mutants of *GTR1*, suppress both the loss of a downstream effector. Consistent with this *prp20* and *rna1*, but *gtr1-Q65L*, which is a putative GTP- interpretation, Gtr1p-Q65L, a putative GTP-bound and, bound mutant, does not. Rather, overexpression of *gtr1-* therefore, active form of Gtr1p, inhibits the growth of *Q65L* is inhibitory for both *prp20* and *rna1* cells. Second, *prp20-1* and *rna1-1* strains. The fact that the growth Gtr1p interacts with itself in a manner dependent upon inhibitory effect of Gtr1p-Q65L on *prp20-1* is abolished the bound nucleotide state. Both wild-type and Gtr1p- by the disruption of *GTR2* is consistent with the notion

a control, T7-tagged Gtr1p was expressed in the Gtr1p-S20L do not. Thus, GTP-, but not GDP-bound (Figure 9). The staining pattern of Gtr2p was the same that is composed of Gtr1p, Gtr2p, the mammalian ho-65.0% homologous to human RagA, including chemically conserved amino acid residues. DISCUSSION Gtr2p is homologous to Gtr1p. As for Gtr1p, Gtr2p

Gtr1p has been reported to bind only to GTP, so it interacts with itself. However, self-interaction of Gtr2p
s thought to be a putative G protein (Bun-va *et al.* requires Gtr1p. This finding indicates that Gtr1p forms binds with GTP and GDP. Furthermore, the *in vitro 11* encodes a putative GDP-bound, inactive mutant of Q65L interact with each other, but Gtr1p-S20N and that Gtr2p is a downstream effector of Gtr1p. These

Figure 8.—Effect of the gene disruptions *gtr2-1*D and *gtr1-* 1Δ on *prp20-1*. (A) YEplac195 plasmids carrying the indicated mutated and wild-type *GTR1* genes and, as a control, the vector alone were introduced into the strains HS203 (*prp20-1*) and HS203 Δ GTR2(*prp20-1 gtr2-1* Δ), respectively. Ura⁺ transformants plated on synthetic medium lacking uracil were incubated at the indicated temperature. (B) Strains HS203 (*prp20-1*), HS203DGTR1 (*prp20-1 gtr1-1*D), HS203DGTR2 (*prp20-1 gtr2-1*D), and HS203DGTR1,2 (*prp20-1 gtr1-1*D *gtr2-* 1Δ) were plated on a YPD medium plate and incubated at 31 \degree and 26°, as indicated.

results suggest that GTP-Gtr1p has a negative effect on both Prp20p and Rna1p. Taking account of the fact that Prp20p and Rna1p are the GDP/GTP-exchanging and GTPase-activating factors of Gsp1p, respectively, it would seem that Gtr1p may negatively regulate the Ran/ Gsp1p cycle through Gtr2p (Figure 10).

The fact that the disruption of *GTR1* does not sup-
Figure 10.—Interaction of the Gtr1p/Gtr2p cascade with press *prp20-1*, however, suggests that an inhibitory func- the Gsp1p GTPase cycle.

Figure 9.—Nuclear localization of Gtr2p. T7-tagged *GTR1* and *GTR2* were introduced into strains *gtr1-1*D and *gtr2-1*D, respectively. Ura⁺ transformants selected in synthetic medium lacking uracil were fixed and stained with the mAb to T7- tag as described (Nakashima *et al.* 1996). DNA was stained with 49,6-daimidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI).

tion of Gtr2p on the Ran/Gsp1p cycle is also activated by some factor other than Gtr1p (Figure 10, X). This finding also indicates that Gtr1p-S20L dominantly abolishes the negative effect of Gtr2p on the Ran/Gsp1p cycle. In this regard, both GTP- and GDP-bound Gtr1p may make a complex with Gtr2p. It has been reported recently that the Rho family members Cdc42 and Rac2 form homodimers in the GTP-bound state, and that one of the GTP-bound proteins stimulates the GTP hydrolysis of the other protein (Zhang and Zheng 1998). This way, the GDP-Cdc42/GTP-Cdc42 dimer is produced as a transient state. We presume that Gtr1p-S20L forms a complex with GTP-Gtr2p and inhibits the GTPase activity of Gtr2p, although we do not know for sure that Gtr2p is a G protein.

The finding that the nucleus/cytosol exchange of macromolecules is not affected by Gtr1p-S20L, Gtr1p-Q65L, or Δg tr2² suggests that the unknown pathways of

Ran/Gsp1p other than the nucleus/cytosol exchange Rush, 1993 Characterization of proteins that interact with the care requisited by the Ctr1n/Ctr9n ell-cycle regulatory protein Ran/TC4. Nature 366: 585-587. cell-cycle regulatory protein Ran/TC4. Nature **366:** 383–387. of macromolecules are regulated by the Gtr1p/Gtr2p
pathway. Dis3p and RanBPM have previously been re-
A mutant form of the Ran/TC4 protein disrupts nuclear func pathway. Dis3p and RanBPM have previously been re-
 $\frac{A \text{ mutant form of the Ran/TC4 protein disrupts nuclear function}}{A \text{ number of the Ran/TC4 protein distribution}}$ and Ran Dis3n is localized in Xenopus laevis egg extracts by inhibiting the RCC1 protein, a ported to interact with Gsp1p and Ran. Dis3p is localized in Xenopus laevis egg extracts by inhibiting the RCC1 protein, a
regulator of chromosome condensation. EMBO J. 13: 5732–5744. regulator of chromosome condensation. EMBO J. **13:** 5732–5744.
 13: The nucleolus and is suggested to be required for Der, C. J., T. Finkel and G. M. Cooper, 1986 Biological and bio-

chemical properties of human rasH ge omi *et al.* 1998). On the other hand, RanBPM is localized
in the centrosome, which suggests that it may be in-
volved in microtubule aster formation (Nakamura *et* and Sci. USA 92: 7525-7529. volved in microtubule aster formation (Nakamura et *al.* 1998). Although Gtr1p is distributed in both the Drivas, G. T., A. Shih, E. Coutavas, M. G. Rushand P. D'Eustachio, autoplesm and the purchase C_{tr}^2 are assumed to be accumuted by $\frac{1990 \text{ Characterization of four novel RAS-related genes ex-}$ cytoplasm and the nucleus, Gtr2p seems to be accumu-
lated in the nucleus. We previously found that the hu-
man Gtr1p homologue RagA changes its cellular local-
man Gtr1p homologue RagA changes its cellular local-
Durfee, man Gtr1p homologue RagA changes its cellular local-
ization dopending upon the bound puclootide state. An The retinoblastoma protein associated with the protein phosphaization depending upon the bound nucleotide state. An Interesting question is whether the nucleotide state. An
interesting question is whether the nuclear localization Fields, S., and O.-K. Song, 1989 A novel genetic syste of Gtr2p is also dependent upon the bound nucleotide protein-protein interaction. Nature **340:** 245–246.

XA; H. Sumimoto (Kyushu University) for helpful discussion; and C. ble coupling of mRNA
Nowak S. Malak C. Villa-Braslavsky J. Kuhlmann and A. Wittinghofer Genes Dev. 6: 1914–1926. Nowak, S. Malak, C. Villa-Braslavsky, J. Kuhlmann, and A. Wittinghofer
(Max Planck Institut, Dortmund, Cormany) for their belp in analyzing Funakoshi, M., H. Sikder, H. Ebina, K. Irie, K. Sugimoto *et al.*, (Max Planck Institut, Dortmund, Germany) for their help in analyzing
 E. coli-produced Gtr1p at the beginning of this work. This work was
 E. coli-produced Gtr1p at the beginning of this work. This work was

yeast, cau

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- tion in yeast: development of a hybrid cloning vector and isolation of the *CAN1* gene. Gene **8:** 121–133.
- Bun-ya, M., S. Harashima and Y. Oshima, 1992 Putative GTP-bind-
ing protein, Gtr1, associated with the function of the Pho84 production. J. Bacteriol. 99: 807–814. inorganic phosphate transporter in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Ichihara, Y., and Y. Kurosawa, 1993 Construction of new T vectors Cell. Biol. 12: 2958-2966. for direct cloning of PCR products. Gene 130: 153-154.
- Chi, N. C., E. J. H. Adam, G. D. Visser and S. A. Adam, 1996 RanBP1
- Clark, K. L., and G. F. Sprague, Jr., 1989 Yeast pheromone re-
sponse pathway: characterization of a suppressor that restores mating to receptorless mutants. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9: 2682-2694.
- Coutavas, E., M. Ren, J. D. Oppenheim, P. D'Eustachio and M. G. bor, NY.

-
- chemical properties of human rasH genes mutated at codon 61.
Cell **44:** 167-176.
-
-
-
-
- Forrester, W., F. Stutz, M. Rosbash and M. Wickens, 1992 De-
fects in mRNA 3'-end formation, transcription initiation, and
We thank M. Funakoshi (Kyushu University) for plasmid YEplac112- MRNA transport associated with the We thank M. Funakoshi (Kyushu University) for plasmid YEplac112- mRNA transport associated with the yeast mutation *prp20*: possi-
A: H. Sumimoto (Kyushu University) for helpful discussion: and C. ble coupling of mRNA proc
	-
- script was revised by K. Miller (Royal English Language Centre, Fuku-
oka, Japan).
six-base pair restriction sites. Gene 74: 527-534.
	- Görlich, D., 1998 Transport into and out of the cell nucleus. EMBO J. **17:** 2721–2727.
	- Görlich, D., and I. W. Mattaj, 1996 Nucleocytoplasmic transport.
Science 271: 1513-1518. LITERATURE CITED
Science 271: 1513–1518.
Görlich, D., M. Dabrowski, F. R. Bischoff, U. Kutay, P. Bork et
		-
		-
		-
- Aebi, M., M. W. Clark, U. Vijayraghavan and J. Abelson, 1990

Aebi, M., M. W. Clark, U. Vijayraghavan and J. Abelson, 1990

A yeast mutant, PRP28 altered in mRNA metabolism and naim-

A act mutant particle preference of t
	-
	-
	-
- Broach, J. R., J. N. Strathern and J. B. Hicks, 1979 Transforma-

tion in yeast: development of a hybrid cloning yector and isolation which accumulates precursor tRNAs. Cell 19: 211–219.
	- Hutchison, H. T., L. H. Hartwell and C. S. McLaughlin, 1969
Temperature-sensitive yeast mutant defective in ribonucleic acid
	- for direct cloning of PCR products. Gene 130: 153-154.
Kadowaki, T., D. Goldfarb, L. M. Spitz, A. M. Tartakoff and M.
	- stabilizes the interaction of Ran with p97 in nuclear protein Ohno, 1993 Regulation of RNA processing and transport by a muclear guanine nucleotide release protein and members of the nuclear guanine nucleotide release prot nuclear guanine nucleotide release protein and members of the
Ras superfamily. EMBO J. 12: 2929-2937.
		- Kaiser, C., S. Micheal is and A. Mitchell, 1994 *Methods in Yeast* Genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Har-
- Kandels-Lewis, S., and B. Seraphin, 1993 Role of U6 snRNA in tagged Rab1 proteins using bacterial and insect cell expression 5' splice selection. Science 262: 2035-2039.
5' splice selection. Science 262: 2035-2039. 5' splice selection. Science **262:** 2035–2039.
Klebe, C., F. R. Bischoff, H. Ponstingl and A. Wittinghofer,
-
- Klebe, C., H. Prinz, A. Wittinghofer and R. S. Goody, 1995b The Richards, S. A., K. M. Lounsbury and I. G. Macara, 1995 The C
kinetic mechanism of Ran-nucleotide exchange catalyzed by terminus of the nuclear RAN/TC4 GTPase
- Li, Y., J. Kang and M. S. Horwitz, 1997 Interaction of an adenovirus 14.7-kilodalton protein inhibitor of tumor necrosis factor alpha cytolysis with a new member of the GTPase superfamily of signal transducers. J. Virol. **71:** 1576-1582.
- Lupas, A., 1996 Prediction and analysis of coiled-coil structures. is require
Methods Enzymol. **266:** 513–525. **13:**
606–615.
- Lupas, A., M. Van Dyke and J. Stock, 1991 Predicting coiled coils from protein sequences. Science 252: 1162-1164.
- Matsuzaki, H., R. Nakajima, J. Nishiyama, H. Araki and Y. Oshima, 1990 Chromosome engineering in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by us-
- Melchior, F., and L. Gerace, 1995 Mechanisms of nuclear protein the Ras-related GTPase. J. Biol. Chem. **270:** 28982–28988.
- Melchior, F., T. Guan, N. Yokoyama, T. Nishimoto and L. Gerace,
- for the inducible overexpression of glutathione S-transferase fu- 883–890.
- Mitchell, P., E. Petfalski, A. Shevchenko, M. Mann and D. Tol- yeast host strains designed for efficient man
1997 The exosome: a conserved eucaryotic RNA pro- Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 122: 19-27. lervey, 1997 The exosome: a conserved eucaryotic RNA pro- *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* Genetics **122:** 19–27. cessing complex containing multiple 3'-5' exoribonucleases. Cell **91**: 457–466.
- Moore, M. S., and G. Blobel, 1993 The GTP-binding protein Ran/
TC4 is required for protein import into the nucleus. Nature **365:**
- Moore, M., and G. Blobel, 1994 A G protein involved in nucleocy-
toplasmic transport: the role of Ran. Trends Biochem. Sci. 19: Uchida, S., T. Sekiguchi, H. Nishitani, K. Miyauchi, M. Ohtsubo toplasmic transport: the role of Ran. Trends Biochem. Sci. 19:
- Mueller, L., V. C. Cordes, F. R. Bischoff and H. Ponstingle, 1998 by a point mutation in the hamster **Repl. Cordes** Human RanBP3, a group of nuclear RanGTP binding proteins.
 10: 577–584.

Ullman, K. S., M. A. Powers and D. J. Forbes, 1997 Nuclear export EBS Lett. 427: 330–336.
-
-
-
-
-
- Rna1p, yeast RanGAP protein. Mol. Cell. Biol. **17:** 2235–2246.

Nuoffer, C., F. Peter and W. E. Balch, 1995 Purification of His6- Communicating editor: A. G. Hinnebusch

- ve, C., F. R. Bischoff, H. Ponstingl and A. Wittinghofer, Oki, M., E. Noguchi, N. Hayashi and T. Nishimoto, 1998 Nuclear
1995a Interaction of the nuclear GTP-binding protein Ran with protein import, but not mRNA export, is protein import, but not mRNA export, is defective in all of the its regulatory proteins RCC1 and RanGAP1. Biochemistry **34:** temperature-sensitive mutants of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Ran 639–647. homologue, Gsp1-GTPase. Mol. Gen. Genet. **257:** 624–634.
- kinetic mechanism of Ran-nucleotide exchange catalyzed by terminus of the nuclear RAN/TC4 GTPase stabilizes the GDP-
RCC1. Biochemistry 34: 12543-12552. bound state and mediates interactions with RCC1, RAN-GAP, bound state and mediates interactions with RCC1, RAN-GAP, and HTF9A/RANBP1. J. Biol. Chem. **270:** 14405-14411.
	- Sazer, S., 1996 The search for the primary function of the Ran-GTPase continues. Trends Cell Biol. 6: 81-85.
	- Sazer, S., and P. Nurse, 1994 A fission yeast RCC1-related protein is required for the mitosis to interphase transition. EMBO J. 13:
- Methods Enzymol. **266:** 513–525.

as, A., M. Van Dyke and J. Stock, 1991 Predicting coiled coils Schlenstedt, G., D. H. Wong, D. M. Koepp and P. A. Silver, 1995 Mutants in a yeast Ran binding protein are defective in nuclear transport. EMBO J. 14: 5367-5378.
- 1990 Chromosome engineering in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by us- Schurmann, A., A. Brauers, S. Massmann, W. Becker and H-G. ing a site-specific recombination system of yeast plasmid. J. Bacte-

riol. 172: 610-618. https://en. html binding proteins (RagA, RagB^s, RagB¹) with remote similarity to riol. **172:** 610–618. binding proteins (RagA, RagBs , RagB1) with remote similarity to
- import. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 7: 310-318. Seki, T., N. Hayashi and T. Nishimoto, 1996 RCC1 in the Ran chior, F., T. Guan, N. Yokoyama, T. Nishimoto and L. Gerace, pathway. J. Biochem. **120:** 207-214.
- 1995 GTP hydrolysis by Ran occurs at the nuclear pore complex Shiomi, T., K. Fukushima, N. Suzuki, N. Nakashima, E. Noguchi
in an early step of protein import. J. Cell Biol. 131: 571–581. *et al.*, 1998 Human Dis3p, which et al., 1998 Human Dis3p, which binds to either GTP- or GDP-Mitchell, D. A., T. K. Marshall and R. J. Deschenes, 1993 Vectors Ran, complements *Saccharomyces cerevisiae dis3.* J. Biochem. **123:**
	- sion proteins in yeast. Yeast **9:** 715–723.

	Sikorski, R. S., and P. Hieter, 1989 A system of shuttle vectors and

	yeast host strains designed for efficient manipulation of DNA in

	yeast host strains designed for efficient
		- Ran-binding protein family, Yrb2p, is involved in nuclear protein export. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **95:** 7427-7432.
	- Temeles, G. L., J. B. Gibbs, J. S. D'Alonzo, I. S. Sigal and E. M. 661–663.

	661–663. Scolnick, 1985 Yeast and mammalian *ras* proteins have con-

	1994 A G protein involved in nucleory-

	1994 A G protein involved in nucleory-

	1994 A G protein involved in nucleory-

	1994 B G protein invol
	- *et al.*, 1990 Premature chromosome condensation is induced 211–216.
		-
		-
		-
- FEBS Lett. 427: 330–336.

Makamura M. Horti, K. Kuma, N. Yokoyama et al., Freenotors: from importin to export

1998 A novel centrosonal protein, RanBPM, when overex.

1998 A novel centrosonal protein, RanBPM, when overex.
	-
- and enhances the GEF activity of RCC1. EMBO J. 15: 5595-5605. Thang, B., and Y. Zheng, 1998 Negative regulation of Rho family
Noguchi, E., N. Hayashi, N. Nakashima and T. Nishimoto, 1997 (TPases Cdc42 and Rac2 by homodimer