The Berkeley Drosophila Genome Project Gene Disruption Project: Single *P***-Element Insertions Mutating 25% of Vital Drosophila Genes**

Allan C. Spradling,* Dianne Stern,* Amy Beaton,† E. Jay Rhem,† Todd Laverty,† Nicole Mozden,* Sima Misra† and Gerald M. Rubin†

**Department of Embryology, Howard Hughes Medical Institute Research Laboratories, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Baltimore, Maryland 21210 and* † *Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Howard Hughes Medical Institute Research Laboratories, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720*

> Manuscript received January 29, 1999 Accepted for publication April 26, 1999

ABSTRACT

A fundamental goal of genetics and functional genomics is to identify and mutate every gene in model organisms such as *Drosophila melanogaster.* The Berkeley Drosophila Genome Project (BDGP) gene disruption project generates single *P*-element insertion strains that each mutate unique genomic open reading frames. Such strains strongly facilitate further genetic and molecular studies of the disrupted loci, but it has remained unclear if *P* elements can be used to mutate all Drosophila genes. We now report that the primary collection has grown to contain 1045 strains that disrupt more than 25% of the estimated 3600 Drosophila genes that are essential for adult viability. Of these *P* insertions, 67% have been verified by genetic tests to cause the associated recessive mutant phenotypes, and the validity of most of the remaining lines is predicted on statistical grounds. Sequences flanking >920 insertions have been determined to exactly position them in the genome and to identify 376 potentially affected transcripts from collections of EST sequences. Strains in the BDGP collection are available from the Bloomington Stock Center and have already assisted the research community in characterizing >250 Drosophila genes. The likely identity of 131 additional genes in the collection is reported here. Our results show that Drosophila genes have a wide range of sensitivity to inactivation by *P* elements, and provide a rationale for greatly expanding the BDGP primary collection based entirely on insertion site sequencing. We predict that this approach can bring .85% of all Drosophila open reading frames under experimental control.

THE nucleotide sequences of several complex eukar-

yotic genomes, including those of *Caenorhabditis ele* tions of gene knockouts would provide a vital resource
 ns, Drosophila melanogaster, Arabidopsis thaliana, Mus fo *gans*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Mus* for gene-based approaches to biological research. *musculus*, and *Homo sapiens*, are virtually complete or Insertional mutagenesis provides a highly advantascheduled for completion during the next several years geous strategy for constructing mutations in advance (Collins *et al.* 1998; Meinke *et al.* 1998). Large-scale throughout entire genomes, because it simplifies the sequencing of human and model organism genomes, problem of determining which genes have been discDNAs, and expressed sequence tags (ESTs) is identi-
fying tens of thousands of genes about which little is carried out in bacteria (Kleckner *et al.* 1977), veast known. Obtaining mutations in these loci on chromo-
somes free of additional lesions is essential for their
(Sundaresan *et al.* 1995; Bhatt *et al.* 1996; Smith *et* somes free of additional lesions is essential for their (Sundaresan *et al.* 1995; Bhatt *et al.* 1996; Smith *et* functions to be deduced using model organisms. How- *al.* 1996), *C. elegans* (Plasterk 1993; Korswagen *et al.* ever, mutations in particular open reading frames must 1996), Drosophila (Cooley *et al.* 1988; Rørth 1996), still usually be obtained in piecemeal fashion, by productionally appropriated all 1996 and still usually be obtained in piecemeal fashion, by produc- zebrafish (Allende *et al.* 1996; Gaiano *et al.* 1996), and ing specifically tailored gene knockouts or by identifying mice (Jaenisch 1988; Gossler *et al.* 1989; Wurst *et al.*

tions of gene knockouts would provide a vital resource

carried out in bacteria (Kleckner *et al.* 1977), yeast the desired strains within large, randomly mutagenized
collections. Both approaches remain slow and uncer-
tain. These problems could be circumvented by con-
structing complete mutation libraries, whose strains into a comp all genes. High throughput methods must be developed and used to identify screen products that contain single Corresponding author: Allan C. Spradling, Howard Hughes Medical insertions located within distinct genes, because strains

Institution of Washington, 115 W. University Pkwy., Baltimore, MD

21210. E-mail: spradling@mail1.c gene function. Consequently, it remains uncertain

whether it is possible in practice to construct a complete promised to indicate the feasibility of eventually using library of mutations using this approach. *Pelement insertional mutagenesis to disrupt all Dro-*

Among model multicellular eukaryotes, insertional sophila genes. mutagenesis has been used for genetic analysis and func- The seven initial collections have now been analyzed, tional genomics most extensively in Drosophila. Low- and we document here a library of strains that disrupts multiplicity mutageneses using engineered *P* elements \sim 2000 different genes. A total of 450 of the strains have been carried out frequently (Cooley *et al.* 1988; mutate genes that have been described previously in Bellen *et al.* 1989; Bier *et al.* 1989; Berg and Spradling Drosophila or represent novel loci defined by homology 1991; Karpen and Spradling 1992; Gaul *et al.* 1992; to well-studied genes in other organisms. These associa-Törok *et al.* 1993; Chang *et al.* 1993; Erdelyi *et al.* tions were made with the assistance of researchers 1995; Rørth 1996; Deak *et al.* 1997; Sozen *et al.* 1997; throughout the Drosophila community who have used Rørth *et al.* 1998). While the raw strain collections the collection to help characterize >250 genes, and produced in such studies are highly redundant and con- through the efforts of the BDGP project, including 138 tain lines with multiple mutations, they provide ideal new gene-mutation links reported here. Another 135 starting material for constructing a genome-wide muta- disrupted genes are associated only with EST sequences tion library. In 1993, the Berkeley Drosophila Genome that predict novel proteins or products related to pro-Project (BDGP) gathered \sim 3900 lines associated with teins of unknown function in other organisms. An addimutant phenotypes (mostly lethality) from seven exist- tional 138 lines are inserted within sequenced regions ing raw collections and began to construct a gene disrup- containing candidate open reading frames (ORFs). tion library for use by the Drosophila research commu-
Thus, >700 of the 1045 mutant strains already link nity (Spradling *et al.* 1995). The Drosophila genome mutant phenotypes with specific open reading frames, is thought to contain \sim 3600 vital genes (Miklos and and the remaining lines only await completion of the Rubin 1996), so the project had the potential to encom- genomic DNA sequence. The \sim 1000 genes already reppass a substantial fraction of genes that can mutate to resented in the library constitute \sim 25% of all Drosopha phenotype recognizable in large laboratory screens ila genes readily defined by mutation, and specify more (primarily lethality). In contrast, the total number of gene-mutation links than are currently available in other genes is thought to be about three times larger (Miklos model multicellular eukaryotes. Most important, on the and Rubin 1996). The analysis of these collections also basis of this work we have developed a new program

	Mutational saturation												
Times hit (n)					Chromosome 3 $N = 483$, 613 $\lambda = 0.241$, $N = 111$, Chromosome 2 $N = 577$, genes in Df ^a $\lambda = 0.558^b$ 115 $\lambda = 1.51^c$ $P = 0.0714^d$ genes in Df ^a $\lambda = 0.600^b$ 110 $\lambda = 2.08^c$ $P = 0.0374^d$		680 $\lambda = 0.331$, $N = 202$,						
Ω		276	507	0.0		317	502	0.0					
	154	154	154	0.0	190	190	190	0.17					
2	43	43	43.0	0.17	57	57	56.5	0.64					
	16	8.0	15.7	0.49	19	11.4	23.6	1.6					
4	6		5.6	1.01		1.7	11.0	2.9					
5		0.1		1.7		0.2	4.5	4.3					
$6 - 12$	14		0.52	13.6			2.1	25					
>12			0.0	0.94			0.0						

TABLE 1 Mutational saturation

^a The number of genes located within available deficiencies on the indicated chromosome that were hit one, two, three, etc., times by verified single insertions within the strains analyzed. The deficiencies remove \sim 60% of chromosomes 2 and 3 .

b The predicted values from a model of a single mutability class (*N*, number of genes; λ, Poisson parameter). The fit to the observed data is poor: for just $n = 1-5$, $\chi^2 = 270$, $P \ll 0.001$ (chromosome 3) and $\chi^2 = 263$, $P \ll 0.001$ (chromosome 2). After correcting for the contributions from hotspots according to columns 5 and 9, the corresponding values still fit poorly: $\chi^2 = 20$, *P* \leq 0.001 (chromosome *3*) and χ^2 = 36, *P* \leq 0.001 (chromosome *2*).

^c The number of genes hit one to five times predicted by the sum of two Poisson distributions that model the coldspot and warmspot classes. For both mutability classes the first number corresponds to the total number of genes and the second number to the Poisson parameter λ . The parameters were determined using an Excel spreadsheet that allowed the distributions to be modeled using numerical methods. Genes hit >5 times are strong hotspots that are not modeled by the two predicted mutability classes. The fits after correcting for contributions from hotspots according to columns 5 and 9, for $n = 1-5$ are quite good: χ^2 0.81, $P \ge 0.05$ (chromosome *3*) and $\chi^2 = 3.8$, $P \ge 0.05$ (chromosome *2*).

^d The estimated number of genes hit 1–5 times contributed by hotspot genes. Hotspot genes hit between 6 and 12 times were assumed to be a uniform class and modeled using a binominal distribution. There were 14 such loci on chromosome *3*, while on chromosome *2* there were 25 loci, after subtracting the 2 loci in this category contributed by warmspot genes.

TABLE 2

The results of analyzing lines from seven single *P*-element autosomal insertion screens are summarized, grouped by chromosome (*II* and *III*). *raw*, number of starting lines; Localized, number of lines whose *P* insertions were localized by *in situ* hybridization; single, number of lines with a single *P* insertion; in Df, number of lines whose insertion fell within the cytogenetic boundaries of an available valid deficiency; Group, number of different complementation groups; Primary, number of lines in the primary collection. Note: The distribution of lines to the primary collection from the different screens is essentially arbitrary and was determined by the relative time each screen was analyzed as indicated by the order in which the screens are listed in the table. Genes, estimated number of different genes disrupted; % in Df verified, fraction of lines in valid deficiencies that were verified; Screen efficiency, the fraction of raw lines in a screen that are verifiable single insertions. Efficiency was calculated using percentage verified in Df to estimate the fraction of single insert lines outside of Dfs that would have been verified. The formula is eff = [verified + (% in Df verified) [not in Df and single insert]]/raw.

*^a*1, Cooley *et al.* (1988); 2, Karpen and Spradling (1992); 3, Gaul *et al.* (1992); 4, Bier *et al.* (1989); 5, M. Scott and M. Fuller, personal communication; 6, Törok *et al.* (1993); 7, Chang *et al.* (1993).

Drosophila strains: Flies were grown on standard corn
meal/agar media (Ashburner 1990) at 22°. Approximately
3900 lethal, semilethal, sterile, semisterile, or visible lines (Table 2) were collected from seven *P*-element et al. 1988; Bier *et al.* 1989; Gaul *et al.* 1992; Karpen and indicates the chromosome and phenotypic effect of their sin-
Spradling 1992; Chang *et al.* 1993; Törok *et al.* 1993; M. gle Pelement insertion. For example, Scott and M. Fuller, unpublished results) as described

(Spradling *et al.* 1995). Three different *P*-element vectors lethal), "fs(3)" (female sterile or strong semisterile), "ms(3)"

were used: PZ[rv] (Ml odzik *et al.* were used: PZ[ry] (Mlodzik *et al.* 1990), Plac W (Bier *et al.* (male sterile or strong semisterile), "v(3)" (visible), or "n(3)"
1989), or Puc-hsneo (Steller and Pirrotta 1986). About (no obvious phenotype). Semilethal a 1989), or Puc-hsneo (Steller and Pirrotta 1986). About (no obvious phenotype). Semilethal and semisterile mutations
40% of the starting lines were marked with *rosy*⁺ and 60% were utilized only if they were strong enough 40% of the starting lines were marked with *rosy*⁺ and 60% were utilized only if they were strong enough to score in with *white*⁺. The Gaul *et al.* (1992) collection was stained complementation tests. Only the effect with *white*⁺. The Gaul *et al.* (1992) collection was stained complementation tests. Only the effect of the *P* insertion, for enhancer trap patterns in third instar larval eve-antenna not of any secondary mutations on for enhancer trap patterns in third instar larval eye-antenna ind of any secondary mutations on the same chromosome,
imaginal discs, and only lines showing expression were ana imbether present initially or acquired later, imaginal discs, and only lines showing expression were analyzed further. Lines from the Törok *et al.* (1993) screen that the prefix. The phenotypic prefix is followed by a unique share the first three numbers in their designator (see *Nomencla-* designator to distinguish individual lines and to preserve the

to disrupt the remaining genes while the Drosophila *ture*) were obtained from the same parents and may derive

strategy of the same parents and may derive from premeiotic clusters. When two or more such lines were genome sequence is being completed and annotated
(see Table 1).
One was retained and the other(s) was treated as a duplicate(s). Many lines containing multiple insertions from this screen WATERIALS AND METHODS were discarded prior to localization because they exhibited a
diagnostic strong eye coloration.
Deficiency strains were obtained from the Bloomington

TABLE 3

Deficiency stocks

		48. <i>Df(2R)pk78k</i>	42E3-43C3
1. Df(2L)net-PMF	21A1;21B7-8	49. Df(2R)cn9	42E1-7;44C1-2
2. $Df(2L)$ al	21B8-C1;21C8	50. Df(2R)ST1	43B3-4-43E18
3. $Df(2L)$ ast $2a$	21D1-2;22B2-3	51. <i>Df(2R)CA53</i>	43E6;44B6
4. $Df(2L)dp-79b$	22A2-3;22D5-22E1	52. Df(2R)H3C1	43F1-9;44D3-8
$5.$ $Df(2L)DTD2$	22D5;23B1-2	53. Df(2R)44CE	44C1-2;44D2-4
6. $Df(2L)C144$	22F3-4;23C3-5	54. <i>Df(2R)Np3</i>	44D2-E1;45B8-C1
$7. \; Df(2L)JS17$	23C1-2;23E1-2	55. <i>Df(2R)Np1</i>	44F2-3;45C5-6
8. $In(2LR)DTD16[L]DTD42[R]$	23C;23E3-6	56. <i>Df(2R)Np4</i>	44F8-9;45C1
$9. \; Df(2L)$ ed-dp	24C3:25A2	57. Df(2R)Np5	44F10;45D9-45E1
10. Df(2L)ed1	24A3;24D4	58. In(2R)G63[L]w45-73n[R]	45B1;45D1 ^b
11. Df(2L)tkv2	25D2-4;25E1	59. <i>Df(2R)X1</i>	46C1-2;47A1
12. $Df(2L)c1-h3$	25D2-3;26B2-5	60. $Df(2R)X3$	46C1-2;46E1-2
13. Df(2L)GpdhA	25E1;26A8-9	61. $Df(2R)12$	46E1-F11;47A13-B14
14. Df(2L)E110	25F3-26A1;26D3-11	62. Df(2R)Stan2	46F1;47B9
15. Df(2L)Dwee-&dgr5	27A1-2:28A1-6	63. <i>Df(2R)E3363</i>	47A3:47E1->
16. $Df(2L)spd[i2]$	27C1;28A1	64. <i>Df(2R)en-SFX31</i>	48A1;48B5
17. $Df(2L)Dwee[wo5]$	27C2-3;27C4-5	65. $In(2R)$ vg[W]	48A1-2;49D1-7
18. Df(2L)J-H	27C7-9;28B3-4	66. <i>Df(2R)vg135</i>	49A1-13;49E1-2
19. Df(2L)spd	27E1-8;28C1-6	67. $Df(2R)$ vg-B	49D3-4;50A2
21. Df(2L)XE-2750	28B2;28D3	68. Df(2R)CX1	49D1;50D1
23. Df(2L)TE29Aa-11	28E4-7;29B2-29C1	69. Df(2R)50C-101	50C12-D1;50D1-7
24. Df(2L)N22-14	29C1-2;30C8-9;30D1-2;31A1-2	70. <i>Df(2R)50C-38</i>	50C20-23;50D4-D7
25. Df(2L)N22-5		$71.$ <i>Df(2R)trix</i>	
26. Df(2L)30A-C	29C3-5;30C8-9	72. Df(2R)03072	51A2;51B6
	30A3-6;30C5		51A5;51C1
27. Df(2L)J2	31B1-5;32A1-2	73. $Df(2R)Jp1$	51C3;52F8-9
28. <i>Df(2L)Prl</i>	32F1-3;33F1-2	$74. \; Df(2R)Jp4$	51F13;52F8-9
29. Df(2L)prd1.7	33B2,3-34A1,2	75. Df(2R)Jp5	52A13-14;52F10-11
30. Df(2L)b84h50	34D4;35C1-2	76. Df(2R)KL32	52D1;52E2-5
31. $In(2L)75c$	35A2;35D4-D7	78. <i>Df(2R)Pcl7B</i>	54E8-F1;55B9-C1
32. Df(2L)A48	35B3;35D5-7	79. Df(2R)RM2-1	54F2;56A1
33. Df(2L)r10	35D1-2;36A7	80. Df(2R)PC4	55A1;55F1-3
34. Df(2L)cact-255rv64	35F6-12;36D1-3	81. Df(2R)PC29	55C1-2;56B1-2
35. Df(2L)H20	36A8-9;36F1	82. Df(2R)P34	55E6-55F3;56C1-11
36. <i>Df(2L)VA18</i>	36D1;37C2-5	83. <i>Df(2R)017</i>	56F5;56F15
37. Df(2L)TW50	36E4-36F1;38A6-7	84. <i>Df(2R)exu1</i>	57A2;57B1
38. Df(2L)TW161	38A6;40A4-40B1	85. Df(2R)Pu-D17	57B5;58B12
39. Df(2L)TW84	37F5-38A1;39D3-E1	86. Df(2R)XE3030	57C2;58C1-7
40. Df(2L)TW65	38A1;39F1	87. Df(2R)02311	58D2;58E1
		88. Df(2R)59AD	59A1-A3;59D1-D4
		89. In(2R)bw[VDe2L]Px[Kr]	59D6,E1-60C,D
41. Df(2R)M41A4	h44-46;42A2	90. $Df(2R)$ or-BR11	59F6;60B1 >
42. Df(2R)nap1	41D2-41E1;42B1-3	91. Df(2R)bw-S46	59D8;60A8-16
43. Df(2R)nap2	41F4-9;43A1	92. $In(2LR)Px[4]$	60C5-C6;60D1
44. Df(2R)cn88b	42A2-19;42E1-7	93. <i>Df(2R)Px2</i>	60C6;60D11
45. Df(2R)nap16	42A1-2;44B1-44C1	94. Df(2R)M60E	60E5-9;60E11
46. <i>Df(2R)42</i>	42C3;42D2	95. Df(2R)Kr10	60F1:F5
47. In(2R)pk78s	42C7;43F8;59F5-8		

(continued)

("*neo63*"); from Karpen and Spradling (1992), lines retain their original names ("06253"); from Bier *et al.* (1989), the letter "j" precedes the original name (*i.e.*, "*5C2*" becomes and a zero added after the number (*i.e.*, "*534R*L" becomes "*j5C2*"); from Gaul *et al.* (1992), the letter "r" is contained "*L5340*"). While the phenotypic prefix may rarely be changed within the original name (*i.e.*, " $rJ713$ "); from Törok *et al.* to reflect new information about the effect of the *P* insertion, (1993), the letter "k" precedes the original name and the slash the designator is invariant. Thus, $l(2)06253$ and $n(2)06253$

original names of the lines. Designators for lines from Cooley is omitted (*i.e.*, "133/45" becomes "*k13345*"); from the Scott *et al.* (1988) take the form "*nee*" and a 1-3 digit number and Fuller screen, the letter "s" *and Fuller screen, the letter "s" precedes the original name (i.e., "1629" becomes "s1629")*; and for regular names from Chang *et al.* (1993), the L is moved to the start, the R omitted, and a zero added after the number (*i.e.*, "534RL" becomes

The names and cytogenetic breakpoints of the deficiency chromosomes used for genetic verification as summarized in Tables 4 and 5.

^a This stock was assumed to be *Df(2L)ast2* rather than *Df(2L)ast1* as originally labeled, based on its complementation behavior; see FBab0001693.

^b Breakpoints based on this study; no information available from FlyBase.

refer to a single BDGP strain, whose P insertion was initially $\frac{1}{2}$ s4771 that is allelic to *kismet* (*kis*) is designated *kis^{s4771}*. thought to cause lethality, but was subsequently shown to Again, because it is the designator that is presented in allele
cause no obvious phenotype. Because phenotypic prefixes can tables, it is wise to search FlyBase wit change, it is wise to search Internet databases using the desig-

nator. Periodically updated information on the BDGP strains
 Localization of inserts by in situ **hybridization:** P elements nator. Periodically updated information on the BDGP strains can be obtained by searching the BDGP website at http:// www.fruitfly.org/p_disrupt/, or from FlyBase (the Drosophila somes as described previously (Spradling *et al.* 1995); see
database project) at http://flybase.bio.indiana.edu/transposons/ also http://www.fruitfly.org/method fbinsquery.hform. these localizations are available at http://www.fruitfly.org/

designator as the allele superscript. For example, because $strain 1(2)k10325$ is part of the complementation group whose primary strain is l(2)03350 defining a new gene, its mutation l(2)04208 (*Eif4A*), l(2)02657 (*wg*), l(2)00255 (*bun*), l(2)00642 is designated *l(2)03350^{k10325}*. The Pelement mutation in strain (*lola*), and 1(2)03505 (*mam*). The insertion(s) in lines that

tables, it is wise to search FlyBase with the wild-carded desig-

were localized by *in situ* hybridization to polytene chromoalso http://www.fruitfly.org/methods. Digitized images of *Gene names:* Symbols for Drosophila gene names are as given p_disrupt/. A few lines were localized by others; these were by FlyBase. For potentially novel loci defined only by a BDGP assumed to be less accurate and are given only to a polytene insertion strain, the name of the primary strain constitutes lettered section, rather than a range of specific bands. To the provisional gene name, in accordance with FlyBase rules. reduce the number of *in situ* localizations, many alleles of Allele names for all the mutations are represented using the seven known hotspots were removed from for all the mutations are represented from the Torok *et al.*
(1993) collection by complementing each starting strain with the following tester loci: 1(2)07815 (*kis*), l(2)01209 (*vkg*), failed to complement were not localized, and they are not Plasmids containing the 5' P element and flanking genomic included in the tabulation of hotspot allele numbers. Conse- sequences were rescued from many strains. Prior to rescue, quently, the allele numbers for these loci are lower than would the line was expanded, and 40–100 adult flies were collected

carried out among single-insertion lines whose insertions were grinding buffer, then one cycle of freeze-thaw, followed by a localized within six to eight polytene bands of each other. A 20-min incubation at 70°. Subsequently, residual proteins and two-stage strategy was used to limit the number of crosses SDS were removed by addition of potassium acetate (KOAc) and to minimize redundancy. Each line was first crossed to and incubation on ice for 30 min. The supernatant obtained representative of any locus within range having multiple al- after removal of particulate matter was ethanol precipitated leles. Lines failing to complement were identified as additional to recover genomic DNA. Finally, the samples were treated alleles and eliminated from further crosses. Lines not allelic with RNase A at 37° for 2 hr. to such local "hotspots" were subsequently crossed to represen- For plasmid rescue, a sample of genomic DNA equivalent tatives of the other complementation groups within the rele- to two to four flies was digested with an appropriate restriction vant zone. As soon as two complementation groups were enzyme (*e.g.*, *Xba*I for the PZ lines), then ligated at low DNA joined, it was assumed that their behavior was uniform, and concentration to circularize the restriction fragments. Subsefew additional crosses between the subgroups were carried quently, DH10B cells were transformed by electroporation. out. Generally this strategy worked well. However, in a small The resulting colonies had acquired the circularized restricnumber of cases, incomplete or inconsistent complementa-
tion fragment containing the selectable marker, the bacterial tion behavior was observed due to localization errors larger origin of replication, one *P*-element inverted repeat, and a than four to eight bands, to intergenic complementation, to variable amount of flanking genomic DNA. For each rescue, semilethality, to inadvertent selection of a rearranged allele four to six transformants were screened by DNA miniprep as the representative allele, to stock instability, or to errors and restriction digestion. In cases where at least three of the in obtaining or recording complementation data. Problem four (or five of the six) transformants exhibited identical patcomplementation groups were reanalyzed on a case-by-case terns, a plasmid was chosen for sequencing that represented

crossed to deficiencies (see Table 3) to verify that the *P* inser- more than one plasmid form by *in situ* hybridization. These tion caused the recessive phenotype. In 1717 single-insert plasmids were sequenced directly using a primer designed strains, the cytogenetic locus of the *P* element clearly fell to the *P*-element inverted repeat. The success rate in this within the boundaries of existing deficiency (Df) chromo- procedure was \sim 80%. somes (Table 2). An uncertainty of four to six bands in the The remaining lines were analyzed by recovering a smaller cytogenetic breakpoints was assumed, and the previous results amount of DNA using inverse PCR according to the method of complementation tests with verified lines in the region were of J. Rehm (http://www.fruitfly.org/methods/). This method also considered (see Spradling *et al.* 1995). Complementa- was successfully adapted to a 96-well format where the success tion with deficiencies that unequivocally remove the *P* inser- rate in obtaining 25 bp or more of flanking sequences has tion site was taken as proof that the P element did not cause been $>85\%$. the associated phenotype. Failure to complement indicated **Association with ESTs:** BDGP is generating a collection of that the strain was "verified." While lines with secondary muta- 80,000 Drosophila EST sequences with support from Howard tions closely linked to the *P* insertion might be erroneously Hughes Medical Institute (accessible at http://www.fruitfly. verified by this procedure, further molecular and genetic anal- org/EST/). During the preparation of this article, \sim 48,000 yses suggest that the frequency of such errors is small. The ESTs were available for comparison. Each flanking sequence results of the complementation and verification crosses are was searched against this EST database, matches validated by summarized in Tables 2, 4, and 5. The data are also available inspection, and the position of the *P* insertion relative to the on the BDGP website (http://www.fruitfly.org/p_disrupt/). EST-homologous portion of the flanking sequence deter-

insertion sites to nearby ESTs, transcripts, and predicted genes Tables 4 and 5. Only ESTs that were located within \sim 100 bp is expected to significantly change the way decisions to retain of the *P* element are reported; more distant sequence matches or discard lines are made. Except within the Adh region (Ash- might represent adjacent transcripts and were not included burner *et al.* 1999), we retained insertions only if they caused in the tables. or were likely to cause a detectable mutant phenotype. How- **Stock distribution:** To hasten the availability of the gene ever, in the future, as genomic sequences become more highly disruptions, verified lines from the primary collection were annotated, it will increasingly be possible to select strains solely sent to the Bloomington Stock Center in several batches beginon the basis of whether they are likely to disrupt a novel ORF, ning in 1993; the number of strains reached 700 by late in regardless of whether a recessive phenotype can be observed. 1994. All 1052 primary collection strains have been available In a few cases reported here, viable insertions reside near or from the Bloomington Stock Center since October 1997. Rewithin novel transcripts recognized by nucleotide sequence. serve alleles are maintained at the Carnegie Institution (chro-The prefixes of these lines were changed to n(2) or n(3) to mosome *3*) or at Berkeley (chromosome *2*), and have also indicate the absence of a scorable phenotype. Only within been available on request since 1993. Information about stocks the Adh region, where sequence annotation is now extensive is updated periodically on the BDGP website and strains found
(Ashburner *et al.* 1999), did a significant fraction of the to be inappropriate are removed from the (Ashburner *et al.* 1999), did a significant fraction of the retained lines lack strong phenotypes. Center. Information derived from further study of any of the

one or both ends of most *P*-element insertions in the primary sponding author's e-mail address. collection were determined by one or both of two methods. **Statistical analysis of saturation:** Previous attempts to esti-

have otherwise been the case. $\qquad \qquad \text{and frozen at } -20^{\circ}$. The plasmid rescue procedure (based on **Complementation testing:** Complementation crosses were Hamilton *et al.* 1991) entails macerating 30–40 flies in a

basis and the source of the contradiction resolved. the major class. Occasionally, the appropriate plasmid was **Verification:** Strains from the primary collection were identified from a transformation experiment that yielded

The availability of DNA sequence information that can link mined. The names of ESTs with strong matches are given in

Flanking sequence determination: Flanking sequences from BDGP stocks is welcome and should be forwarded to the corre-

TABLE 4

Chromosome 2 stocks

Strain	Site		Alleles Gene	Non- comp		Comp Sequence Verified? Reserve			EST	Reference
1(2) k01206	21A1-4				$\mathbf{1}$					
1(2)04207	21B2-3			1			Ver			
1(2)03350	21B4-6	4		$\mathbf{1}$		G00736	Ver	$1(2)$ k13601		
1(2)07812	21B4-6	27	kis	$\mathbf{1}$	2	AQ073293	Ver	l(2)k13416		Verheyen et al.
l(2)k01901	21B4-6		Rpp30	$\mathbf{1}$		AQ034135	Ver		HL08073	(1996) This study
$1(2)$ k07612	21B4-6	4		$\mathbf{1}$			Ver	1(2) k07721		
1(2) k06805	21B4-6				1	AQ025806				
$1(2)$ k08102 21B4-6					$\mathbf{1}$	AQ025855				
n(2)k10237 21B4-6		2			$\mathbf{1}$	AQ025931	Ver	$1(2)$ k16510		
$1(2)$ k14504 21B7-8		$\boldsymbol{2}$	U2af38		1,2	AQ026079	Ver	1(2)06751	LD07472	Rudner et al.
										(1996)
$l(2)k11324$ 21C1-2					2	AQ025961				
1(2)06694	21C1-2		α -Adaptin	2	1,3	G00611	Ver		LD01019	Gonzal ez-Gaitan
										and Jackle
										(1997)
$1(2)$ k16513	21C1-2		<i>RpI135</i>	$\boldsymbol{2}$		AQ034040	Ver			This study
$1(2)$ k16213	21C ₂ -3		Tb11	$\boldsymbol{2}$		AQ026103	Ver		LD32876	This study
1(2)01270	21C4-5	5	e _X	$\boldsymbol{2}$	3	AQ073263	Ver	$1(2)$ k12913		This study
1(2)05142	21C5-6			\overline{c}		G00608	Ver			
1(2) k06506	21C4-5				$\boldsymbol{2}$	AQ025798				
1(2) k07005	21C4-5	2			$\boldsymbol{2}$	AQ034156	Ver	1(2) k08218		
1(2) k08915	21C5-6				$\boldsymbol{2}$	AQ025875				
1(2)02858	21C6-7 21C6-7	2	Iwr		1,2,3 2,3	AQ025601 G00739		1(2)01519	GM08125	FBrf0101086
1(2)05486 $1(2)$ k13714	21C7-8				2,3	AQ026066	Ver			
1(2)05341	21C7-D1		Gsc		2,3	AQ025636	Ver			Hahn and Jackle
										(1996)
1(2)01855	21D1-2			3		AQ073266	Ver			
ms(2)06619 21D1-4			hsp60B	3		AQ026417	Ver		GH05807	This study
1(2)04723	21D3-4	3	dock	3		G01444	Ver	l(2)k13421		Garrity et al.
										(1996)
1(2) k05428	21D4-E1	3	dbe	3		AQ025761	Ver	1(2) k00108	LD22189	This study
1(2)07056	21D2,3	10	\boldsymbol{S}	3		G00465	Ver	1(2) k09530		Kania et al. (1995)
1(2)06955	21F1-2	2		3		G00453	Ver	$1(2)$ k01217		
1(2)10685	21F1-2	3		3	4	G00626	Ver	1(2) k00420	GM06352	
1(2) k00619	21F1-2		Dcap	3		AQ034171	Ver		LD18894	This study
$1(2)$ k 11704	22A3-4		RFeSP	3,4		AQ034029	Ver		HL02717	This study
1(2)04111	22A5-6	4		3,4		G00530	Ver	$1(2)$ k13009		
n(2)k09624 22B1-2					3.4	AQ025901				
n(2)k07918 22B6-7 n(2)k09932 22C1-2			GlyP		3,4	AQ025849			GM02594	This study
		$\boldsymbol{2}$			3,4	AQ025915		1(2) k08027	LD15963 LD23816	
$1(2)$ s5379 v(2)03953	22D3-4 22D1-2			$\bf 5$	4,5 3,4	AQ026151 AQ025619	Ver Ver			This study
1(2) k08232	22E1-2		aop Rab5	$\bf 5$	3	AQ025862	Ver		LD03788	This study
1(2)00231	22E2-3			$\bf 5$	4	AQ073257	Ver			
1(2) 10638	22F1-4		dpp	$\mathbf 5$		G00760	Ver	1(2) k17036		Twombly et al.
										(1996)
1(2) k05909	23B1-2			5,6		AQ025774	Ver			
$1(2)$ k16525	23B1-2			6			Ver			
1(2)03575	23B5-6	2	oho23B	6	5,7	AQ025612	Ver	1(2) k16814		GM13392 Törok et al. (1993)
1(2)00632	23C1-2	2		6,7		AQ025583	Ver	1(2) k05431		
1(2)01361	23D1-2	4	toc	7,8	6	G01437	Ver	1(2) k08224	LD27161	This study
1(2) k00237	23D3-4	3	Mad	7,8	6	AQ034169	Ver	1(2) k05807	LD03112	This study
l(2)k10101	23F3-4		Pdsw		7,8	AQ025920			GM03559	This study
1(2) k07736	23F5-6		Phas1		8	AQ025845			HL08053	This study

TABLE 4 (Continued)

Strain	Site		Alleles Gene	Non- comp	Comp	Sequence Verified? Reserve			EST	Reference
1(2)01863	24A1-2	$\boldsymbol{2}$	odd		8,10		Ver	$l(2)$ rF111	GH01449	Rauskolb et al. (1995)
1(2)06860 $v(2)k08012$ 24A1-2	24A1-2	5	for Dot	10		AQ073291 AQ025853	Ver Ver	$1(2)$ k04703	LD08322	This study Rodriguez et al. (1996)
$1(2)$ k08617	24C1-2 24C8-9		bowl		10 10	AQ025865			GH05923	This study
$1(2)$ k16918 1(2)05965	24C8-D1		slp1	9,10		AQ026119 AQ025644	Ver			Park et al. (1996)
$1(2)$ k01102 24D3-4 1(2)01085 $1(2)$ k08903 $1(2)$ k 10004 1(2) k10217	24E1-2 24F1-2 25B1-2 25B1-2	$\boldsymbol{2}$		10 9 9	10	AQ034173 G00578 AQ034018 AQ025918 AQ025930	Ver Ver Ver	$1(2)$ k14703	GM09285 HL01565	
1(2)05714 1(2)01209	25B4-6 25C1-2	13	vkg		9 11,12	AQ025642 AQ073262	Ver	1(2) k00236	LD03394	Yasothornsrikul <i>et al.</i> (1997)
1(2) k00405 1(2) k09003 $1(2)$ k 10127 $1(2)$ k11206	25C1-2 25C1-2 25C1-2 25C5-6	6 3	Cg25C $eIF-3$		11,12 11,12 11,12	AQ025687 AQ025877 AQ025926 AQ025954	Ver Ver	1(2) k03009 $1(2)$ k16615	GM04010 LD05962	This study This study
$1(2)$ k01302 1(2)04415	25D1-2 25D1-2		tkv	11 11,12	12	AQ025703 AQ073280	Ver Ver		LD23535	George and
										Terracol (1997)
$1(2)$ k05901 25D4-5		4	vri	$12\,$		AQ034151	Ver	1(2) k09602		George and Terracol (1997)
1(2)03771 l(2)k11511	25D4-6 25E5-6	3	Lam	11 13	13,14 11,14	AQ025616 AQ034028	Ver Ver	1(2)04643	LD10531	Petersen et al.
$1(2)$ k06502 25F3-4 ms(2)04875 26A1-9		$\overline{4}$	ifc	12,14 13		AQ025796	Ver Ver	1(2)02839	LD16669	(1997) Endo et al.
$1(2)$ k13321	26A5-6	13	chi	13		AQ026056	Ver	fs(2)01320	LD08034	(1996) Cooley et al. (1992)
1(2) 10424 1(2)02439	26A8-9 26B1-2	$\boldsymbol{2}$ 11	e IF-4a	13 12,13,14		G01406 G01428	Ver Ver	1(2) k06801 $1(2)$ k01501		Dorn <i>et al.</i> (1993)
1(2) 10642	26B8-9	5	$Kr-h$			12,13,14 G00625	Ver	$1(2)$ k04411		Roch et al. (1998), this study
l(2)k13720 26C2-3 $1(2)$ k07502b 26D1-2 $1(2)$ k09923 26D1-2 $1(2)$ k04917 $1(2)$ k14206	26D6-8 26F3-5	3 5			14 14 14 15,17	AQ026067 AQ025833 AQ034023 AQ025751 AQ026076	Ver Ver	$1(2)$ k09847 $1(2)$ k05435	HL02956	
fs(2)01355	27A	3	cup				Ver	fs(2)06890		Keyes and Spradling (1997)
l(2)k00605 27A1-2 l(2)k13315 $1(2)$ k04223	27B1-2 27B1-2			15 15	15	AQ025688 AQ026055	Ver Ver		GM14348	
1(2)03300 1(2) k09022	27B4-C1 27C1-2		Rca1	15 15	17,18	AQ025609 16,17,18 AQ025880	Ver Ver		LD13031	Dong <i>et al.</i> (1997)
1(2) k00230 1(2)02647	27C4-5 27C4-5	6	Hrb27C	17 15, 16, 17	16,18 18	AQ025682 AQ073272	Ver Ver	1(2) k02814		Campbell <i>et al.</i> (1995)
$1(2)$ k10617 27C6-8		2	Coprox	16	17,18	AQ025939	Ver	l(2)k11018	LD07292	This study

BDGP Gene Disruption Project 143

TABLE 4 (Continued)

TABLE 4 (Continued)

TABLE 4 (Continued)

Strain	Site	Alleles	Gene	Non-		Sequence Verified? Reserve			EST	Reference
				comp	Comp					
1(2) k09514 1(2)02502	44C1-2 44C1-2	3	Drs1 pnut	53	45,52,53 45,49,51	AQ025895 AQ073270	Ver	1(2) rN498	GM05306 LD33747	This study Neufeld and Rubin (1994)
l(2)k03110	44C1-2	$\sqrt{3}$		53	45,52,54	AQ034140	Ver	1(2) k04002		
fs(2)02465	44D		ptc				Ver			Forbes et al. (1996)
1(2) k08904	44D4-5			52,53,54		AQ034019	Ver			
1(2) k02507	44D5-6	3	rubr	52,53,54		AQ025710	Ver	$l(2)$ rH075		Kania <i>et al.</i> (1995)
1(2)s1878	44D5-6	$\sqrt{2}$		53	52,54		Ver	$1(2)$ rN173		
1(2)05847	44E1-2	$\bf 5$		53,54	$52\,$	G00494	Ver	$1(2)$ k10313		
1(2) k02107	44E1-2			54			Ver			
$1(2)$ k05304	44F1-2			53,54			Ver			
l(2) k04913	44F1-2			54	52,53,55-57 AQ025750		Ver			
1(2)03996	44F3-4					AQ025620				
$1(2)$ k08017	44F3-4		Ggamma1	54	53,55,56	AQ034009	Ver		LD03453	This study
l(2)k16109	44F3-4	2	Dmn	54	55, 56, 57	AQ026100	Ver	l(2)k16218	LD07994	This study
l(2)k16912	44F11-12	$\boldsymbol{2}$	babo	54,55,56	53,57	AQ034042	Ver	$1(2)$ k07737		Brummel (1999)
1(2)03697	45A4-8			54,56,57	${\bf 58}$	AQ025614	Ver		LD13319	
1(2) k00116	45A4-8	$\boldsymbol{2}$		56	58	AQ025679	Ver	1(2) k00413		
l(2)k11201 n(2)k04512 45B1-2	45B1-2			54,58		AQ025953 AQ025744	Ver		HL05962	
1(2)k13412 45B1-2				54, 57, 58	56 56	AQ026058	Ver			
1(2)06736	45C1-2			54, 57, 58	56	AQ025657	Ver			
$1(2)$ k05611b 45C1-2				54,57	56		Ver			
$v(2)$ rG232	45C3-4	2		55,57			Ver	v(2) k11209		
1(2)03659	45D1-2		hspr	57,58	54,55,56	AQ025613	Ver		LD06376	This study
$1(2)$ k16806	45D4-5			57	54-56,58	AQ026117	Ver			Zhang et al. (1997)
$1(2)$ k09507	45D4-5	3	wun	57	55,56	AQ025894	Ver	l(2)k10201	GH02203	Zhang et al. (1997)
1(2)03497	45D4-5				56, 57, 58	AQ025611				
l(2)k12402	45D4-5				57	AQ026044				
1(2)06424	45D5-6				56, 57, 58					
l(2) k01301	45F1-2					AQ025702				
1(2) k08914	45F1-2				57					
l(2)k10213	45F1-2					AQ025929				
l(2)k17035	45F1-2									
1(2) k09501	45F4-5					AQ025893				
1(2)02353	46A1-2	8			56,57	G00598	Ver	1(2) k00604		
1(2)03405	46A1-2	9	Uba1		60	G00562	Ver	$1(2)$ s3484	LD20374	This study
1(2)04454	46B1-2	3	dap		55-60	AQ073282	Ver	$1(2)$ k07309	LD11071	de Nooij et al. (1996)
$1(2)$ k03111	46B1-2	$\overline{2}$			59,60	AQ025719	Ver	1(2) k02003		
1(2) k09221	46B1-2					AQ025891				
1(2) k05420	46B4-5					AQ025758				Kania et al. (1995)
ms(2)05704 46C1-12			Ft1			AQ026413			HL08032	This study
1(2) k07237	46C1-2				59,60	AQ025824			GH07336	
$1(2)$ k08816 v(2)k06408	46C1-2 46C7-8			59	59,60	AQ025872 AQ025793	Ver			
$1(2)$ k08601	46C6-8			${\bf 59}$	60	AQ034016	Ver			
1(2)03775	46D1-2	2	Vcp	59,60		AQ025617	Ver	$1(2)$ k15502	LD15631	This study
$1(2)$ k13906	46D1-2			59,60		AQ034032	Ver		GH12681	
$1(2)$ k07103	46E4-8		14-3-3zeta	59	60,61	AQ025662	Ver			Kockel et al. (1997)
1(2)06339	46F1-2		Pfk	59	61	AQ025651	Ver		HL03554	This study
1(2) k03610	46F1-2	2		59	62	AQ025727	Ver	1(2) k03703		
1(2) k04308	46F1-2			59	61,62	AQ025742	Ver			
$1(2)$ k16104	46F1-2			59	62		Ver			
l(2)k10308	46F5-6	4	<i>Hr46</i>	59,61		AQ025932	Ver	l(2) k01017		Rottgen et al.
										(1998)
$1(2)$ k07703	46F9-10		Syb	59,61,62		Ver				This study
1(2) k05201	47A3-5			61,62	${\bf 59}$	AQ025753	Ver		LP04652	
1(2)00642	47A11-12	24	lola	62,63		AQ073258	Ver	1(2) k09901		FBrf0086256

BDGP Gene Disruption Project 149

TABLE 4 (Continued)

TABLE 4 (Continued)

BDGP Gene Disruption Project 151

TABLE 4 (Continued)

TABLE 4 (Continued)

Second chromosome stocks from the primary collection are listed in the estimated physical order of their *P*-element insertions along the chromosome. For each strain the table gives the following information: name (Strain), cytogenetic location of *P* insertion (Site), number of alleles characterized among starting strains (Alleles), the disrupted gene (Gene) if known, deficiency chromosomes from Table 2 that fail to complement the strain (Noncomp), deficiency chromosomes from Table 2 that complement the strain (Comp), the accession number of the genomic sequence flanking the insertion (Sequence), whether the *P* insertion has been Verified genetically to cause the associated mutant phenotype—Ver = Yes (Verified?)—the name of an allelic strain that was saved (Reserve), the BDGP identifier of an EST associated with the insertion (EST), a reference in which the strain was used to characterize the disrupted locus (Reference).

^a Accession numbers that derive from a nonprimary allele.

TABLE 5

Chromosome 3 stocks

				Non-						
Strain	Site	Alleles	Gene	comp	Comp	Sequence Verified? Reserve			EST	Reference
1(3) L5150	61A1-3				$\boldsymbol{2}$					
1(3)06240	61B1-2	$\boldsymbol{2}$			$\boldsymbol{2}$		Ver	1(3) rH321		
1(3) 10512	61C1-2		trh		1,2	AQ034076	Ver			Issac and Andrew
										(1996), Wilk et al.
										(1996)
$l(3)$ neo1	61C1-9									
1(3)05967	61C7-8	3		1,2		AQ034068	Ver	1(3)06318		
1(3) L1170	61C7-8			2		AQ026239	Ver			Demakov et al.
										(1993)
$1(3)$ L3130	61C7-8			2		AQ026252	Ver			
1(3)00835	61D1-2			1,2		AQ034043	Ver		LD03158	
1(3)04322	61D1-2	5	emc	1,2		G01462	Ver	$l(3)$ j $4E11$		Rottgen et al.
										(1998)
1(3)07012	61F3-4	3	Klp61F	2,3		AQ026225	Ver	1(3)06345	LD15641	Heck et al. (1993)
1(3) L2049	61F3-4	$\boldsymbol{2}$ $\overline{5}$		$\boldsymbol{2}$		AQ026247	Ver	$1(3)$ L3879		
1(3)02640 $1(3)$ j $4A6$	61F6-7 61F6-7		ND-AcC	2,3 2,3		G00701 AQ026317	Ver Ver	$1(3)$ j $4E3$		This study
$l(3)$ neo 5	62A1-12			2		AQ026347	Ver			
ms(3)02509 62A3-4			cue			AQ026213			LD11871	Castrillon et al.
										(1993)
1(3)02104	62A4-5				4,5,6					
$l(3)$ neo 7	62B1-12		I(3)62Be	4,5	6		Ver			Sliter et al. (1989)
1(3)04276	62B4-5			4,5	2	AQ026196	Ver			
$l(3)$ j $1D7$	62C1-2	2		4,5		AQ026295	Ver	1(3)04860	LD07388	
$l(3)$ r $L182$	62C3-4			4,6	7	AQ026367	Ver			
1(3) L1910	62C1-3			6	4	AQ02646	Ver			
$l(3)$ j $1E2$	62E5-8		Nik	5		AQ026296	Ver		LD34191	This study
1(3)06946	62E6-7	8	msn	5	6	G00763	Ver	1(3)06286		Treisman et al.
										(1997a)
$l(3)$ neo 8	62F1-6				5,6,7	AQ026353				
ms(3)08445 63A1-B										Castrillon et al.
										(1993)
1(3)06803	63A3-4				7				HL01251	
$1(3)$ j5C2	63B7-8		Hsp83	7	20	AQ026323	Ver		GH03850	van der Straten
										et al. (1997)
1(3) L7160	63C1-2			7			Ver			
1(3)01029	63B10-11			7			Ver			
1(3) L3659	63D1-2			7 8	8	AQ026254 G01167	Ver Ver			
1(3)05634 1(3) L1459	63F5-6 64A4-5		Ubi-p63E		9 9	AQ026243			GH05622 LD03011	This study
l(3) rG166	64A4-5			$\boldsymbol{9}$		AQ026355	Ver			
1(3)09291	64B5-6			$\boldsymbol{9}$	10		Ver			
1(3)04556	64C1-2		Rpd3	9	10	G00703	Ver		LD06915	This study
1(3)01418	64C9-10		Srp54	9,10		AQ034047	Ver		GM09489	This study
1(3)06524	64D3-4			10	9	AQ034069	Ver		CK02636	
fs(3)07084	64E8-12		p70s6K	10		AQ025577	Ver		GH02870	U66562
1(3)02331	64E8-12			10		AQ034051	Ver			
1(3)01640	64E8-9			10		AQ034048	Ver		LD20747	
1(3) 10567	64F1-3	2	vn	10		AQ034078	Ver	$1(3)$ rF264		Yarnitzky et al.
										(1997)
1(3)04026	65A5-6			10		AQ034060	Ver		GM12884	
1(3)02094	65A7-9	2		10		G01461	Ver	1(3)03042	LD03346	
1(3)06811	65A10-11			10		AQ073334	Ver			
1(3) L3999	65A10-11			10		AQ026259	Ver			
$l(3)$ r $P047$	65A10-11			10		AQ073359	Ver			
1(3)03844	65C1-2		mdm	10		AQ034059				Hong and Hashi-
										moto (1995)
1(3)L4060	65D3-4					AQ026261				

BDGP Gene Disruption Project 155

TABLE 5 (Continued)

TABLE 5 (Continued)

Strain	Site	Alleles	Gene	Non-	Comp	Sequence Verified? Reserve			EST	Reference
				comp						
1(3)00543	70B1-3 70B7-C1				19,20,21 $20\,$	AQ026158				
$1(3)$ L5212 1(3)05871	70C1-2				20,21,22	AQ026268 AQ026210			GM14474	
$1(3)$ s4868	70C1-2				20	AQ026396				
1(3)s3635	70C4-6					AQ026395				
1(3)00082	70C12-13	$\boldsymbol{2}$		20,21	22	G00462	Ver	1(3)06704		
1(3)07621	70D1-2			21	22,23	AQ026227	Ver			
1(3)00208	70D1-2	3	dev	21,22		G00614	Ver	$l(3)$ j $2e11$		FBrf0075380
1(3)02402	70D1-2		$I(3)$ 70Da	21,22		AQ034052	Ver	$1(3)$ s4868		FBti0004865
fs(3)02024	70D4-6	7	stwl	21,22,23		AQ025573	Ver	fs(3)03217	LD09806	Clark and McKearin
				$22\,$	$21\,$		Ver			(1996)
$1(3)$ L0499 1(3)00564	70D1-2 70E1-2	$\mathbf{2}$	PpsM	22,23	21	AQ026235 AQ026159	Ver	1(3)04680	GM03113	This study
$1(3)$ s2325	70F1-4		Trl	23		AQ026386	Ver			This study
$1(3)$ j $2A2$	71B4-5			23		AQ026300	Ver			
1(3)s2172	71B4-5			23		AQ034107	Ver			
1(3)03576	71D1-2		CrebA	23,24		G00569	Ver			Andrew et al.
										(1997)
1(3)s1754	71D1-2			24	$23\,$	AQ026378	Ver		GM05443	
$1(3)$ j $6B9$	71E1-2			24		AQ026329	Ver		LD04071	
1(3)03802	72D1-2	3	$I(3)$ 72Dd	24	$25\,$	AQ034058	Ver	$l(3)$ j $3B4$		FBti0005488
$1(3)$ j5C8	72D1-2		th	24	$25\,$	AQ034091	Ver		LP01716	Hay et al. (1995)
1(3)s1939	72D8-9		SsR&bgr	24	$25\,$	AQ026382	Ver		LD10457	This study
$1(3)$ j5A4	72D10-11		I(3)72Dn	24		AQ034087	Ver			FBrf0082216
$1(3)$ s3123	72E1-2			24,25		AQ026393	Ver			
ms(3)03957 72D1-12				25	24	AQ026428	Ver			Castrillon et al. (1993)
1(3)05845	73A1-2	6	gil	25, 26		AQ073330	Ver	$l(3)$ j5E11	GH06179	Okano et al. (1992), Freeman et al. (1992)
$1(3)$ j $10E8$	73A1-2			25,26		AQ034081	Ver			
1(3)02540	73A9-10		$I(3)$ 73Ah	25, 26		AQ034054	Ver			This study
$l(3)$ neo 20	73B1-7			26	27	AQ073353	Ver			Nozaki et al. (1996)
1(3)00274	73B1-2		M ₀₂₅	26,27		AQ026154	Ver		LD09950	Nozaki et al. (1996)
1(3)04674	73B1-2		Abl	27		AQ026201	Ver		GH09917	This study
1(3)02281	73B5-6	8		27		AQ026179	Ver	1(3)01895	LD17363	
1(3) 10547	73D1-2	$\boldsymbol{2}$	Int ₆	27	26	AQ073349	Ver	$1(3)$ j $9E8$	LD13907	This study
1(3)04069	73D3-4			26, 27		AQ073320	Ver			
1(3)s1629	73D3-6			27		AQ026377	Ver		LD04071	
1(3)01658	74B1-2	$\boldsymbol{2}$		$\sqrt{27}$	$26\,$	G00742	Ver	$l(3)$ j $2B12$		
1(3)00073	74C1-2	$\boldsymbol{2}$	ttv	$\sqrt{27}$		AQ026152	Ver	1(3)02619	CK00510	Bellaiche et al. (1998)
1(3)02619	74C1-2	$\boldsymbol{2}$		27		AQ026182	Ver	$1(3)$ j $2E3$		
$l(3)$ j $11B2$	74D3-5	$\overline{2}$		27		AQ034082	Ver	$1(3)$ j $3E5$	LD13506	
$l(3)$ neo 24	74F1-A1		Eip74EF	27		AQ034100	Ver		LP01488	Fletcher and Thummel (1995)
1(3)07041	75B1-2	22	Eip75B	$\rm 28$	27, 29	AQ073339	Ver	1(3) 03247		This study
$l(3)$ r $L061$	75B1-2			28	$\rm 29$	AQ026365	Ver			
1(3)05014	75C1-2		W (hid)	28,29,30	29	AQ026203	Ver			Grether et al. (1995)
1(3)02069	75C3-4	4		$30\,$		G00744	Ver	$1(3)$ j $9A6$	LD08565	
$l(3)$ neo 26	75C1-7		Cat		28,30	AQ026340			GM06015	This study
1(3)03649	75D4-5		ftz-f1	29	30		Ver			Yu et al. (1997)
1(3)00864	75E1-2	$\overline{2}$		29		AQ026162	Ver	$1(3)$ rG084		
$1(3)$ j $4E6$	75E3-5				29	AQ026321			LD10629	
$l(3)$ neo 27 1(3)06945	76A1-10 76A3-4					AQ026341 AQ026224			LD20394	
										$($ <i>continued</i> $\big)$

TABLE 5 (Continued)

TABLE 5 (Continued)

TABLE 5 (Continued)

TABLE 5 (Continued)

Strain	Site		Alleles Gene	Non- comp	Comp	Sequence Verified? Reserve			EST	Reference
$l(3)$ j $12B4$	96C8-9					AQ026285				
$1(3)$ rJ880	96D1-2				60	AQ026359				
$l(3)$ rQ197	96F1-2			61	60	AQ026376	Ver			
$l(3)$ j $7B3$	97B8-9			61,62		AQ034098	Ver			
ms(3)03445 97D1-15			Rb97D	62		AQ026425	Ver			Karsch-Mizrachi and Haynes (1993)
$1(3)$ rK344	97D1-2					AQ026361				
1(3)05146	97D3-6	$\mathbf{2}$	H2AvD	62		AQ026206	Ver	1(3) L1602	LD15832	This study
n(3)03884	97D6-9				62	AQ026192				
$l(3)$ r $L203$	98B1-2					AQ026368			LD13603	Murphy et al. (1995)
ms(3)06302 98B1-8						AQ026433				
1(3)06487	98C3-4					AQ026217				
$1(3)$ s2976	98E1-2				63	AQ026392				
$1(3)$ s2784	98F1-2			63		AQ026390	Ver			
1(3)01705	98F4-5	$\mathbf{2}$	Doa	63			Ver	1(3)04743		FBti0005439
1(3)04708	99A1-2			63	64	AQ034064	Ver			
1(3)06743	99A4-5			64	64	G00628	Ver			
1(3)01235	99A5-6	10	stg	64	63	G00587	Ver	l(3)j1D3		Edgar and O'Far- rell (1989)
$1(3)$ L6241	99A5-6				64	AQ026271	Ver			
1(3)05218	99B7-10				64					
1(3)06734	99B8-10				64					
1(3)05884	99C1-2		ncd			AQ026211			LD12267	This study
$1(3)$ s2222	99D1-2					AQ026384				
$l(3)$ j $11B7$	99E1-2					AQ026284				
1(3)00035	99F1-2	14	Fer2LCH			AQ073305	Ver	$1(3)$ j $2A3$	LD10239	B. Dunkov (personal
1(3)00451 $l(3)$ j $2D5$	99F1-2 99F1-2	4	Fer1HCH			AQ026156 AQ026307	Ver	$1(3)$ j $10B4$	LD16801	communication) This study
$1(3)$ j8B9	99F8-9					AQ026333				
1(3)00848	99F10-11	3				AQ034044	Ver	1(3)02288	LD07593	This study
1(3)00865	100A1-2		spdo zfh1		65	AQ073307			LD15891	Justice et al. (1995)
1(3)s2500	100A5-6				65	AQ026387				
1(3)07028	100B1-2				65	AQ073337				
1(3)03670	100B1-2			65		G00590	Ver		LD05921	
$l(3)$ j $3B9$	100B2-4	$\mathbf{2}$	$\frac{d}{dt}$	65		AQ026312	Ver	$1(3)$ rK215		LD04938 Kloss et al. (1998)
1(3)00720	100B5-7			65		AQ073306	Ver			
fs(3)06936	100C		Gprk2			AQ025576	Ver		LD33670	Schneider and Spradling (1997)
$1(3)$ rM731	100C1-2			65	66	AQ073358	Ver			
1(3)02667	100D1-2	11	ttk	66,67	65	AQ073312	Ver	$1(3)$ j $2A1$		Xiont and Mon- tell (1993)
$1(3)$ j $2A4$	100E1-2	$\mathbf{2}$	awd	67		AQ026301	Ver		GM07644	This study
1(3)s1921	100E1-2			67		AQ026380	Ver		LD09536	
ms(3)10515 100E1-3		$\mathbf{2}^-$	heph	67		AQ026438	Ver	ms(3)07446		Castrillon et al. (1993)
ms(3)07570 100E1-F5			mod	67		AQ026436	Ver		GM04021	This study
1(3)03429	100F1-5	3		67		G00761	Ver	$1(3)$ j $11B9$		
1(3) L1022	100F1-2				67	AQ026238			LD11808	
$1(3)$ L7321	100F1-2				67	AQ026280			HL05832	
v(3)03847	100F4-5				67	AQ026191				

Third chromosome stocks from the primary collection are listed in the estimated physical order of their *P*-element insertions along the chromosome. For each strain the table gives the following information: name (Strain), cytogenetic location of *P* insertion (Site), number of alleles characterized among starting strains (Alleles), the disrupted gene (Gene), if known, deficiency chromosomes from Table 2 that fail to complement the strain (Noncomp), deficiency chromosomes from Table 2 that complement the strain (Comp), the accession number of the genomic sequence flanking the insertion (Sequence), whether the *P* insertion has been Verified genetically to cause the associated mutant phenotype—Ver = yes (Verified?)—the name of an allelic strain that was saved (Reserve), the BDGP identifier of an EST assocaited with the insertion (EST), and a reference in which the strain was used to characterize the disrupted locus (Reference). Accession numbers marked with an asterisk derive from a nonprimary allele.

quately characterized data sets. We focused on the 737 inde-
pendent lines from chromosome *2* and 535 independent lines from chromosome 3 that contain a single verified *P* insertion lying clearly within the validated deficiencies used in the verification analysis. Within this group, for a known number of total lines (transposition events), the number of genes mu- RESULTS tated and how many times each was hit should have been
determined with complete accuracy. Because the deficiencies
included a majority of chromosome 2 and 3 genes (60.3 and from \sim 3900 starting lines that had been produ included a majority of chromosome ℓ and ℓ genes (60.3 and from \sim 3900 starting lines that had been produced in 62.0%, respectively), and should be distributed effectively at seven separate single P-element mutage 62.0%, respectively), and should be distributed effectively at

five times and that 18 were previously discussed hotspot loci
hit six or more times (Table 1). Despite the small number of *in situ* hybridization to polytene chromosomes at high hit six or more times (Table 1). Despite the small number of hotspot loci, they accounted for 204 of the 535 insertions

(38%). First, we attempted to fit the data to a Poisson distribu-

tion, ignoring for the moment the obvious presence of hotspot

genes. The best distribution (three times, only 1.1 (instead of 6) hit four times, and 0.1 (4) sequencing DNA flanking the insertions and cominstead of 5 hit five times ($\chi^2 = 270$, $P \le 0.001$). To determine paring it to EST and genomic sequence databases if the observed "excess" of genes hit three to five times was (Spradling *et al.* 1995). Single-insert-b if the observed "excess" of genes hit three to five times was
caused by the statistical tail from the hotspot loci, we used a
binomial distribution to model their contribution (Table 1).
The distribution used maximizes the genes to the classes of genes hit 3–5 times, while yielding the observed number of genes hit 6–12 times. Despite this, the testing, the project was designed initially to focus on results reveal that there are too few hotspot genes to account
for the excess of genes hit 3–5 times (Table 1; $\chi^2 = 20$, $P \ll$ visible phenotype.
0.001).
Consequently, a class of genes of intermediate mutability
 $\chi^2 =$

must exist (warmspot genes). To estimate the size of this class, each line was cytogenetically localized by *in situ* hybrid-
we fit the data for genes hit one to five times on the assumption ization as described previousl we fit the data for genes hit one to five times on the assumption of two mutability classes, warmspot and coldspot genes. Postu-
lating 115 "warmspot" genes ($\lambda = 1.51$) and 613 "coldspot" localized from each screen is given in Table 2. Highly lating 115 "warmspot" genes ($\lambda = 0.241$) produced a good fit to the data (Table 1;
 $\chi^2 = 0.81$, $P \gg 0.05$). Extrapolating the warmspot and coldspot

data to the entire chromosome and adding the whole chromo-

some hot some hotspot data, the following was predicted for the third of each localization were digitized and stored (http://
chromosome: 27 hotspot loci + 115/0.603 = 191 warmspot www.fruitfly.org/p_disrupt/). Based on these resu chromosome: 27 hotspot loci + $115/0.603 = 191$ warmspot

(Table 1). Hotspot insertions accounted for 288 of these lines als and methods) and .900 lines were eliminated (39%). Again, at least two general classes of mutability were because they contained two or more insertions or a required to fit the data from non-hotspot lines, even after rearrangement on the mutation-hearing chromosome required to fit the data from non-hotspot lines, even after rearrangement on the mutation-bearing chromosome.
correcting for the contribution of hotspot genes (Table 1; A total of 2695 independently derived strains bearin $x = 30, t \le 0.001$. Because we expected genes on the second
and third chromosomes to have the same average mutabilities,
we reasoned that the Poisson parameters for chromosome 2 on intact chromosomes were retained. The P-e warmspot and coldspot loci should correspond to parameters of the corresponding chromosome 3 genes corrected for the listed in Tables 4 and 5.
more extensive mutagenesis that was carried out on chromo-**Identifying allelic** more extensive mutagenesis that was carried out on chromo-**Identifying allelic mutations:** Complementation some 2. The relative fraction of independent single-insert lines some z. The relative fraction of independent single-finer times

analyzed on chromosome 2 compared to chromosome 3 was
 $737/535 = 1.38$. Multiplying the warmspot and coldspot class

Poisson parameters determined for chrom gave the expected values on chromosome $2(\lambda = 2.08$ and tween the reported positions of insertions that is re-0.331). Values of 110 warmspot and 680 coldspot loci on quired to ensure they are not allelic depends critically

mate the saturation behavior of *P* elements have utilized inade-
quately characterized data sets. We focused on the 737 inde- (Table 1; $\chi^2 = 3.8$, $P \gg 0.05$). Thus, chromosome *2* is predicted to house 47 hotspot genes, $110/0.62 = 177$ warmspot genes and $680/0.62 = 1097$ coldspot genes.

random, this sample should accurately represent all insertions (Table 2). Each starting line contained one (or a few) that cause a phenotype. that cause a phenotype.

Focusing on the less-mutagenized chromosome first, we de-

termined that 154 of the 535 third chromosome genes had

been hit once, 43 twice, 16 three times, 6 four times, and 5

five times and that

loci + 613/0.603 = 1017 coldspot loci.
We next considered the second chromosome and found
that 737 independent verified lines defined 190 genes that
had been hit once, 57 twice, 19 three times, 17 four times, 5
five times,

on the accuracy of the *in situ* localization. We comple- of verified lines arising from each screen is calculated mentation tested lines when the distance between their by restricting our analysis to those lines whose insertions elements was six to eight bands or less. This should have clearly fall within the boundaries of valid deficiencies been sufficient to eliminate errors even in cytogeneti- and hence can be reliably tested (Table 2, "in Df"). This cally difficult regions, because the divergence in the subgroup represents $>60\%$ of all the lines and should reported positions of allelic insertions averaged less be representative of each screen as a whole. The propor than one band (Spradling *et al.* 1995). The molecular tion of lines that were verified ranges from 48–88% analyses reported below provide further independent among the seven screens. Assuming that insertions fallanalyses reported below provide further independent among the seven screens. Assuming that insertions fall-
verification that allelic lines were not missed due to a ling outside the deficiencies are as likely to be valid verification that allelic lines were not missed due to ing outside the deficiencies are as likely to be valid
localization errors.

The complementation analysis provided considerable unverified primary collection lines from each starting insight into the frequency with which individual loci screen that are likely to be valid. After making this final insight into the frequency with which individual loci screen that are likely to be valid. After making this final are mutated by P elements (see Tables 4 and 5). In correction, the final number of different genes disare mutated by *P* elements (see Tables 4 and 5). In correction, the final number of different genes disparticular, 74 complementation groups on the auparticular, 74 complementation groups on the au-
to be 953 (Table 2) Using this information we also

deficiency chromosomes whose cytogenetically deter-
mined breakpoints (Table 3) indicated that they might
lack the disrupted gene Crosses were scored based on the primary collection lines was needed to lack the disrupted gene. Crosses were scored based on
the presumed phenotype of the insertion (Tables 4 and
5, "Df comp" and "Df noncomp"). Lines that failed to
complete the verification process and to begin associat-
5, " was observed, the line was discarded if its insertion tational screening using accurately positioned starting
clearly fell within the deficiency boundaries: otherwise strains (Spradling *et al.* 1995). Consequently, we atclearly fell within the deficiency boundaries; otherwise strains (Spradling *et al.* 1995). Consequently, we at-
it was retained but remained unverified. These two tests. tempted to recover genomic DNA adjacent to the 5'. it was retained but remained unverified. These two tests, tempted to recover genomic DNA adjacent to the 5', ϵ combined with further verification based on the analysis ϵ 3', or both sides of the P element from every combined with further verification based on the analysis $3'$, or both sides of the *P* element from every remaining
of flanking DNA sequences as described below, allowed candidate primary collection line following complet of flanking DNA sequences as described below, allowed candidate primary collection line following completion
the total number of lines in the primary collection to of the genetic verification tests. Both plasmid rescue the total number of lines in the primary collection to of the genetic verification tests. Both plasmid rescue
be reduced to 1045, of which 725 (69%) are verified and inverse PCR were used. A single sequencing run be reduced to 1045, of which 725 $(69%)$ are verified. (see Table 2). Of these lines, 93% disrupt vital genes, was carried out beginning at the insertion site of all while most of the remainder cause male or female sterility. The phenotype and verification status of each line spite a shorter average amount of sequence recovered, are shown in Tables 4 and 5. inverse PCR was successful at a slightly higher average

lines that remain in the library. First, the overall fraction a 96-well format that allowed lines to be analyzed more

be representative of each screen as a whole. The proporcalization errors.
The complementation analysis provided considerable and unverified primary collection lines from each starting

toosmes were identified that are hotspots for Pelement

insertion with between 6 and 37 alleles each. Because

of the size of our data set, these loci likely comprise

interior of the size of our data set, these loci likel

We can estimate the approximate number of bogus frequency (85% *vs.* 80%) and could be carried out in

rapidly. If both a 5' and 3' sequence was obtained, the quence was sought. These searches provided a wide two runs were merged in a single contig. variety of valuable information. They confirmed most

compared among themselves as an additional verifica- additional characterized Drosophila genes disrupted by tion test. We wished to eliminate lines whose insertions strains in the collection, and molecularly positioned the were very close together but that behaved genetically insertion sites within all these loci. Of the additional like separate genes. Such lines are likely to be produced Drosophila genes, 55 had previously been characterized when chromosomes bearing nonallelic background mu- only at the molecular level (Table 6). tations acquire insertions within the same nonvital gene. Further links to well-characterized genes were dis-The genetic behavior of the resulting strains will cause covered by associating the insertions with Drosophila them to survive into the primary collection if their inser- transcripts defined by EST sequencing. About 48,000 tions lie outside existing deficiencies. On the other Drosophila EST sequences were available for these comhand, we did not want to eliminate valid insertions in parisons. A total of 376 insertions were located close to adjacent genes. Consequently, in the absence of addi- or within an EST sequence, usually near the 5' end (see tional information, nonallelic insertions separated by Tables 4 and 5). Mutation-causing *P* elements are known 100 bp or more were assumed to represent distinct to preferentially cluster in the 5' region of the affected genes. When the separation was ≤ 100 bp, usually only genes (see Spradling *et al.* 1995), a tendency that probone (if verified) or neither line was retained in the ably increases the chance of recovering overlaps beprimary collection. Rarely, this might have led to the tween the short flanking sequences and 5' ESTs. For loss of valid lines, for example, in cases of overlapping each line with a matching EST, the relevant "clot" (congenes or intragenic complementation, but it allowed sensus sequence of overlapping ESTs) sequence was us to discard nearly 100 questionable strains for the conceptually translated and used to search protein datacollection. bases. These comparisons associated 76 more primary

primary collection provides an opportunity to link (cDNA) sequences for some of these genes (Table 7). ila research community has extensively utilized many (Tables 4 and 5). lines from the primary collection (and the precursor Although the insertions in the remaining lines were raw collections). Publications describing at least 250 not associated with a well-characterized gene or orthe collection (see Tables 4 and 5, "References"). In predicted transcripts and ORFs. The sequence compari-

tene location of the *P* element in each of the 286 lines were localized within sequenced portions of the Drowhose flanking sequences matched genomic sequence sophila genome. Bioinformatic analyses of the se-

The sequences flanking the insertions were initially of the 250 published gene assignments, identified many

After completing these tests 1045 lines remained in collection lines with previously undescribed Drosophila the primary collection. Flanking sequence information genes encoding proteins related to characterized genes has been obtained from 921 of the lines in this final from other species (Table 7). These new Drosophila group (88%). Accession numbers for each strain are genes have been named on the basis of the name of listed in Tables 4 and 5 ("Sequence"). These sequences, their ortholog. Genes are listed in Table 7 only if there including the position of the insertion, are listed on the is a strong match within the region of overlap and if a BDGP website (http://www.fruitfly.org/p_disrupt/). study of the ortholog's properties has been published. **Associating primary collection lines with genes:** The BDGP has determined complete complementary DNA \sim 1000 Drosophila genes with a genetic phenotype. Be- The approaches described so far linked 450 primary cause these strains and genetic data have been publicly collection lines with known Drosophila genes or with available from the inception of the project, the Drosoph- orthologs of characterized genes in other organisms

different Drosophila genes have employed strains from tholog, it was still possible to link many of them with many cases, the *P*-element disruption strain played a sons associated the insertions in 135 additional lines major role in the initial characterization of the gene in with ESTs whose clots either predicted novel proteins question. **our matched proteins conceptually encoded by ESTs or** matched proteins conceptually encoded by ESTs or To identify as many additional genes as possible the ORFs from other organisms. BLAST reports of these *P*-element flanks were searched against all Drosophila searches, including periodic updates, are available by sequences in GenBank and \sim 26 Mb of genomic sequence searching the BDGP website using the appropriate EST (most searches are current as of December 1998). To (Tables 4 and 5). Finally, the insertions within 138 of test the accuracy of flanking sequence recovery, the poly- the remaining lines not associated with genes or ESTs determined by BDGP was compared to the indepen- quences flanking these insertions reveal candidate dently mapped polytene location of the corresponding ORFs, although such studies have not yet been carried P1 clones. Only a few discrepancies resulted, presum- out systematically. In sum, therefore, 706 of the 1045 ably due to the rare recovery of sequence from a cryptic primary collection strains (67%) already link known or *P* element, and in these cases a correct flanking se- candidate genes with mutant phenotypes. It should be

TABLE 6

New gene-mutant associations

The table lists new gene-mutant associations defined by the primary collection. Most are Drosophila genes (Gene) known previously from molecular data only (see sequence Accession). In each case, the name of the primary collection strain that disrupts the gene (Strain) and the cytogenetic location of its *P*-element insertion (Site) are given. Where no accession is listed, either the gene was previously known by mutation only, and the primary collection strain (Strain) indicated the nature of its encoded product, or no accession was available. New associations in the Adh region are omitted; see Ashburner *et al.* (1999).

TABLE 7

TABLE 7

New Drosophila genes (Gene) orthologous to previously studied genes (Accession) from the indicated species (A, *A. sativa*; B, *B. tarus*; C, *C. elegans*; D, *C. lupus*; E, *D. melanogaster*; H, *H. sapiens*; I, *B. mori*; M, *M. musculans*; L, *K. lactis*; N, *Manduca*; O, *S. scrofa*; R, *R. norveigicus*; S, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*; P, *S. pombe*; Y, *D. hydei*). In each case, the name of the primary collection strain that disrupts the gene (Strain) and the cytogenetic location of its *P*-element insertion (Site) is given. Accession numbers for genes whose cDNAs have been completely sequenced by BDGP and deposited in GenBank are also listed (cDNA).

possible to make most of the remaining gene-mutant pathways. In addition, disruptions were obtained in 46% associations by the time genome and EST sequencing of autosomal posterior group genes, 31% of trithorax nears completion. and Polycomb group genes, but only 14% of ribosomal

of most genes that are hotspots for *P*-element insertion reflect more than the research priorities of the Drosophon the autosomes (Tables 4 and 5, "Alleles"). We ila research community. searched for common properties that might explain Not all insertion sites were associated within proteintheir efficiency as *P*-element insertional targets. Hotspot coding genes. One *P* element was located within a 5S genes are not associated with generally high transcrip- rDNA repeat and four interrupted tRNA clusters. Nine tion levels, because only 30% of the genes in the primary lines, two of which disrupt the genes *Distal-less* and *fruit*collection with more than five alleles have an associated *less*, were found by sequence analysis to contain inser-EST sequence, compared to 36% for the collection as tions within the LTR sequence of a Drosophila retroa whole. Hotspot genes might be those actively tran- transposon related to the yoyo element of the scribed in premeiotic germline cells, where *P* elements Mediterranean fruit fly *Ceratitis capitata* (Zhou and usually transpose; however, the few genes in the collec- Haymer 1997; see also FBgn0021759). The abundance tion whose transcripts are abundant in early germ cells, of this element was low overall and all the insertions including *vasa*, *bam*, and *hsp83*, were each hit only once. clustered in a small part of the LTR, a likely hotspot. Indeed, our comparisons uncovered no common bio-
Two other multicopy target sites were the telomere assological features such as size, location, or regulation that ciated sequence (TAS) element, with six insertions, and might explain why hotspot genes are highly susceptible the hoppel element, with one insertion. Both elements to *P*-element insertion. have been shown previously to be frequent targets of

volved in the EGFR, *dpp*, *ras*, *wg*, *hh*, or *N* signaling flanks of vital genes in the strains used.

P-element selectivity: This study reveals the identity protein genes. It remains unclear if these differences

We also considered whether strong preferences exist *P*-element insertion (Karpen and Spradling 1992; for insertion within certain classes of genes among all Zhang and Spradling 1995; D. Stewart and A. those disrupted in the collection. The primary collec- Spradling, personal communication). Because most intion includes an estimated 30% of readily mutable au- sertions within repetitive sequences would not be extosomal genes. Genes involved in signal transduction pected to disrupt vital functions, these observations were usually well represented, because the collection probably reflect which repetitive target sequences are mutates \sim 50% of all autosomal genes known to be in-
frequently located within the introns or immediate **Modeling mutational saturation:** The gene disruption *P*-element behavior. The third chromosome is predicted

from the collections whose insertions lie within the insertion. boundaries of validated deficiencies. Within this group, for a known number of total lines (transposition events),
the number of genes mutated and how many times each DISCUSSION was hit has been determined with complete accuracy. **Collections of gene disruptions as tools for functional** should be distributed effectively at random, this sample major multicellular model organisms and to isolate the

project provides a much larger and better-characterized to contain 27 hotspot loci $+$ 191 warmspot loci $+$ 1017 data set than has been previously available for analyzing coldspot loci, while the second chromosome should the site specificity of *P*-element transposition. This is house 47 hotspot genes $+$ 177 warmspot genes $+$ 1097 an important question for determining the appropriate coldspot genes. Despite accounting for only 17% of all strategy to expand the collection. The insertional speci- genes, the 368 warmspot and 74 hotspot genes account ficity of *P* elements must be extremely broad to achieve for \sim 70% of all transposition events. As a result, virtually complete or nearly complete coverage of all Drosophila all the hotspot loci and 80–90% of the warmspot loci genes. In contrast, previous studies inferred that a sig- have already been defined by strains in the primary nificant percentage of Drosophila genes, perhaps as collection. On the other hand, only 22–28% of the great as 50%, are refractory to mutation using *P* ele- coldspot loci have so far been disrupted. However, asments (see Kidwell 1986; Törok *et al.* 1993). If true, suming that there are 1400 vital loci per major autothis would imply that a different method of mutagenesis some (Miklos and Rubin 1996), and considering that is needed to complete the gene disruption project 93% of the disruptions in our collection are of vital (Spradling *et al.* 1995). However, these conclusions genes, then the model predicts that at least 2556 \times remain highly uncertain, because previous studies of $0.93/2800 = 85\%$ of vital genes can eventually be musaturation behavior utilized raw collections of unverified tated using P elements. Thus, the existence of the hotlines that differ in *P*-element content and did not correct spot and warmspot genes is the reason that mutational for locus-specific differences in mutagenesis rates. The saturation proceeds more slowly than expected on the total number of different genes mutagenized clearly basis of a single class Poisson analysis, but the final rises more slowly than expected by assuming that nearly level of saturation is higher than previously appreciated. all genes are equally susceptible to *P*-element insertion. Indeed, if gene mutabilities actually vary more broadly However, this observation alone cannot distinguish be- than three discrete classes, as seems likely, the true level tween the presence of genes refractory to *P*-element of saturation will exceed 85%. There is no reason to insertion and the presence of gene classes that differ suspect that *P*-element insertional preferences differ besignificantly in *P*-element mutability. Fortunately, the tween vital and nonvital genes, so the conclusions drawn very information gathered to build the primary collec- here should apply to Drosophila genes generally. These tion also allows one to more accurately deduce the satu- results suggest that a much larger fraction of Drosophila ration behavior of *P* elements. The genes than previously supposed, at least 85% and possi-We focused on the large subset of the *P*-element lines bly 100%, are susceptible to inactivation by *P*-element

Because the deficiencies included a majority of chromo- **genomics:** It is now possible in theory to mutate virtually some *2* and *3* genes (60.3 and 62.0%, respectively), and any gene that has been molecularly identified in the should be distributed effectively at random, this sample maior multicellular model organisms and to isolate th mutant allele on a standard genetic background free of phenotype. When we analyzed the distribution of inser- secondary lesions. In practice, obtaining mutants retional mutations among this set of genes, it was clear mains a time-consuming task that constitutes the largest that the data did not fit a simple Poisson distribution current impediment to progress in understanding gene
(see materials and methods; Table 1). The most obvi-function *in vivo.* While it has become widely accepted function *in vivo*. While it has become widely accepted ous problem was the hotspot loci. On chromosomes 2 that gene sequence and structure can be more effiand 3, just 18 or 32 loci account for 38 or 39% of all ciently analyzed on a genome-wide scale, a similar coninsertions, respectively. However, even after subtracting sensus on the value of whole genome gene disruption the contribution of these hotspot loci, the distribution has been slow to develop. As a result, linking genes of gene mutabilities remained skewed (see materials with mutations remains a cottage industry pursued by and methods; Table 1). Consequently, a class of warm- individual laboratories. The work reported here has spot genes was inferred whose mutability is intermediate been motivated by the belief that complete gene mutabetween the hotspot loci and the large group of low tion libraries are feasible and have the potential to mutability coldspot genes. Assuming the existence of greatly accelerate the rate at which gene function can be three major mutability classes allowed a good fit to the analyzed. We feel that whole genome mutant collections data. belong together with complete genome and cDNA se-This model provides several useful insights into quences as essential tools for future biological research.

The BDGP gene disruption library represents a sig- and excise at multiple sites over several germ cell divinificant step toward the ultimate goal of stockpiling an sion cycles. However, our results imply that the rate of identified mutation in every Drosophila transcription transposition and amount of secondary damage are not unit. The current collection of single *P*-element inser- always correlated and are not simply a function of the tions provides a particularly useful type of link between *P* elements used (Table 2). Both Bier *et al.* (1989) and the genetic and molecular properties of \sim 1000 different Törok *et al.* (1993) employed the PlacW and Δ 2-3 *P* autosomal genes that can mutate to a readily recogniz- elements but obtained very different frequencies of mulable phenotype. This is more than the number of genes tiple insert lines, rates of background mutation, and that have been characterized at both the genetic and overall screen efficiencies. In contrast, the screen of molecular levels in any of the other widely used model Cooley *et al.* (1988) using PUChsneo and a weak mobimulticellular eukaryotes, including Arabadopsis, *C. ele-* lizing *P* element exhibited a low transposition rate but *gans*, zebrafish, or mice, and exceeds the number of still gave an efficiency of only \sim 50%. Consequently, our gene-mutation links known in humans. As a reflection results suggest that currently unidentified factors in the of its utility, lines from the BDGP collection have been genetic backgrounds used for *P*-element mutagenesis utilized in publications characterizing more than 250 affect the prevalence of damage at chromosomal sites different genes since 1988 (Tables 4 and 5). that do not retain *P*-element sequences. Unfortunately,

nome is believed to house \sim 12,000 genes (Miklos and The number of new lines that needs to be character-Rubin 1996), the current primary collection is still far ized to substantially complete the gene disruption projfrom complete. Two basic approaches can be consid- ect can be estimated from our analysis of saturation. ered for expanding its coverage. A targeted strategy The genome contains \sim 3600 vital genes, at least 3100 would avoid reisolating new mutations in genes that of which fall into the coldspot class. Statistically, twice have already been disrupted in the existing collection this number of insertions, 6200, must be recovered in or by individual Drosophila researchers. A general strat- this class of genes to achieve 87% saturation. Because egy for identifying mutations in any gene encoding a only 30% of raw insertions target the coldspot class, and protein that can be detected with a specific antiserum because the best screens produce only 85% verified has been developed (Dolph *et al.* 1992). However, a single insert lines, achieving 87% saturation would resubstantial number of genes that express proteins only quire the isolation and analysis of $6200/(0.3 \times 0.85)$ = at low levels may be refractory to disruption by this 24,300 autosomal insertions associated with phenotypes. approach. Consequently, continuing the insertional This represents about six times as many lines as were mutagenesis strategy used previously in some form ap- analyzed in the current project. pears to be the most promising approach to completing **A molecular strategy for finishing the mutation li**the collection. **brary:** Even a project of this size is feasible, although a

tions that have already been constructed since the proj- estimated two-thirds of all genes that do not mutate to collection of Törok *et al.* (1993) on chromosome 2, but structure. With large amounts of genomic and EST sechromosome lines to >600 and equalize the saturation strategy based entirely on molecular mapping is becomlevels of the two major autosomes. ing feasible. A new generation of *P*-element misexpres-

screens. A major variable in the generation of single use with this approach. These insertions not only can *P*-element-induced mutations is the wide variation in disrupt genes but also are frequently able to program screen efficiency that is documented here (Table 2). the controlled misexpression of the affected protein. One factor that can affect screen efficiency is the overall This option should accelerate the collection of funcrate of *P* transposition. High transposition rates like tional information, especially on the many genes whose those in the screen of Törok *et al.* (1993) produce an loss does not produce an immediately recognizable pheexcess of lines with more than one *P*-element insertion notype. ($>23\%$ in this case). High transposition rates probably We propose to inaugurate a phase two gene disrupalso cause secondary mutations as elements transpose tion project whose goal would be to disrupt all Drosoph-

Expanding the collection: Because the Drosophila ge-
the nature of these factors remains poorly understood.

Significant improvements are possible in the short very large effort would be required. However, a continuterm by incorporating several new collections of inser- ation of the current approach would not address the ect was initiated (Erdelyi *et al.* 1995; Deak *et al.* 1997; a readily detectable phenotype in genetic screens. To Rørth *et al.* 1998). The third chromosome collection obtain *P*-element insertions that disrupt such genes, it described by Deak *et al.* (1997) is similar in size to the will be necessary to look directly for changes in their preliminary estimates by the authors indicate a higher quences becoming available and a strong commitment screen efficiency. Incorporating these lines into the ex- to completing the Drosophila genome sequence within isting collection should increase the number of third 1–3 years (Collins *et al.* 1998; Venter *et al.* 1998), a It will also be of value to carry out new mutagenesis sion vectors (Rørth 1996) are attractive candidates for

ila genes, regardless of phenotype. Flanking DNA will around the 5' region of genes (Spradling *et al.* 1995; sulting EST and cDNA sequences, gene predictions, efficiency.

production of more than one distinct *P*-element flank- analyzed.

ila genes are predicted to fall into the coldspot class, no longer limit the progress of research. assuming that the P-element mutability of all genes is BDGP acknowledges all those researchers who participated in consimilar to that of vital genes. Therefore, if 30% of new structing the strains that were used in this project. These include L.

insertions fall in the coldspot class as in the case with Ackerman, M. Alvarado, S. Barbel, C insertions fall in the coldspot class as in the case with
lethal insertions, and 95% of raw lines contain only one
lethal insertions, and 95% of raw lines contain only one
R. Glaser, E. Grell, B. Harkins, M. Heck, L. Higgi insertion, then $2 \times 11,000/(0.3 \times 0.95) = 77,000$ lines G. Karpen, R. Kelley, I. Kiss, A. Laughon, K. Lee, L. Lee, G. Mardon, would be required for 87% saturation. However, two K. McCall, D. McKearin, C. Montell, D. Montell, T. Overbode, B.
Conservations suggest that some unselected insertions will Price, J. Riesgo, M. Scott, S. Shepherd, R. Smit observations suggest that some unselected insertions will Price, J. Riesgo, M. Scott, S. Shepherd, R. Smith, D. Thompson, T.

fail to disrupt any gene, increasing the total number of Wasserman, and L. Yue. We are also grat lines that will need to be analyzed. First, *P* elements are in the course of this study communicated complementation results attracted to at least some repetitive sequences such as and other information on specific *P* el yoyo, TAS, and hoppel, which are often located at non-
mutagenic sites within the genome. The fraction of in-
sertions that land in such sites might be significant.
sertions that land in such sites might be significant.
Eu Second, P insertions that cause phenotypes cluster Dunkov (99F) contributed and confirmed results in the cytogenetic

be recovered from a large number of raw insertion lines data not shown). Previously, insertions located too far and sequenced, much as was done with the primary upstream from transcription start sites, or at nonmutacollection lines in the current collection. The short se- genic sites within large introns, have been edited out quences obtained will allow most new insertions to be by the requirement for a phenotypic effect. In phase precisely positioned on the genomic sequence. Con- two, they would be recovered and analyzed, lowering

ORF homologies, and other relevant data in the vicinity The relative fraction of unselected insertions that disof the insertion sites will allow rapid predictions as to rupt genes can be estimated, however. If all insertions whether each new insertion is likely to disrupt or misex- mutated genes, then 33% of new transpositions should press an ORF not currently represented in the collec- cause a recognizable phenotype, because about onetion. Lines that do not appear to do so would be quickly third of genes are thought to mutate in this manner. discarded. Recently, this strategy has received a valuable Instead, only \sim 15% of raw insertions recovered on clean test within the fully sequenced 2.9-Mb Adh region (Ash- chromosomes cause a recognizable phenotype (see citaburner *et al.* 1999). By mapping all available *P* elements tions in Table 2). Consequently, as many as 77,000/ onto the genomic DNA sequence, not just those causing $0.5 = 154,000$ insertions might need to be screened phenotypes as described here, the number of gene- to obtain 87% saturation across all Drosophila genes. mutation links was increased substantially (see Table 4). However, in practice, this may be an overestimate. *P* The phase two strategy has several distinct advantages. elements can be excised imprecisely to generate dele-First, it broadens the project to include all Drosophila tions adjacent to the insertion site. Because of the large genes. In addition, it greatly simplifies the work required number of mapped insertions that will be available by to characterize new candidate lines, compensating in the time phase two is only partially complete, a strategy part for the much larger number of lines that will need in which some genes are disrupted by excising nearby to be analyzed. Polytene localizations are unnecessary, nonmutagenic insertions might substantially reduce the because multiinsert lines can be detected through their final number of strains that need to be generated and

ing sequence. Balancing most of the newly mutagenized \overline{A} gene disruption library represents a fundamental chromosomes is not required. Genetic complementa- and indispensable resource for analyzing gene function tion is not necessary, because redundant lines can in- on a genome-wide scale. The BDGP gene disruption creasingly be identified on the basis of their location. project has already accelerated studies of Drosophila However, there are several requirements for success. gene function and is likely to be even more valuable as First, the Drosophila genome sequence must be com- coverage increases. A pilot screen for phase two has pleted in a timely manner. Second, semiautomated already been completed in collaboration with several methods for recovering and sequencing flanking DNA laboratories (Rørth *et al.* 1998). A total of 2400 lines segments must be further improved. Finally, bioinfor-

from this project have been mapped and initially anamatic tools to assist decision making about line retention lyzed (BDGP, unpublished results; see http://www.fruitfly. must be developed. $org/bfd/$. We believe that researchers using Drosoph-We can calculate the approximate number of lines ila (and other model multicellular organisms) are rapthat will need to be analyzed during the phase two idly approaching an era where obtaining mutations, the project. About 11,000 of the estimated 12,000 Drosoph- basic tools for understanding gene function *in vivo*, will

and other information on specific *P*-element strains. In particular, John Roote and Paul Lasko shared complementation data for $2L$

regions indicated. We thank A. deGrey for assistance in analyzing L. Raftery, J. L. Wrana and M. B. O'Connor, 1999 The Dro-
chromosome 2 data. This work was supported by a genome center sophila activin receptor baboon sign chromosome 2 data. This work was supported by a genome center sophila activin receptor baboon signals through dSmad2 and
controls cell proliferation but not patterning during larval devel-
controls cell proliferation but n grant (P50NIHHG750) from the National Institutes of Health. A.C.S. controls cell proliferation but not patterning during larval devel-
and G.M.R. are Howard Hughes Medical Institute Investigators. Burns, N., B. Grimwade, P

LITERATURE CITED

- fies two novel genes, *pescadillo* and *dead eye*, essential for embryonic development. Genes Dev. **10:** 3141-3155.
- the gene encoding the *Drosophila melanogaster* homologue of sele-
nophosphate synthetase, is involved in imaginal disc morphogen-
esis. Mol. Gen. Genet. 257: 113–123.
Castrill on. D. H., P. Gonczy. R. Rawson. C. G. Eberha
-
- Arora, K., H. Dai, S. G. Kazuko, J. Jamal, M. B. O'Connor et al.,

1995 The Drosophila schumer gene acts in the Dpp TGF-beta lates Rasmediated signaling in Drosophila. Genes Dev. 11:1132-

1995 The Drosophila schumer, M.,
-
-
-
-
- specific transmembrane proteins expressed from invertebrates profilin required for intercellular cytoplasm transmembrane proteins expressed from invertebrates profilin required for intercellular cytoplasm transport during through mammals with an essential function in oogenesis. J. Mol.
Biol. **251:** 41-49.
- Baumgartner, S., J. T. Littleton, K. Broadie, M. A. Bhat, R. eages and regulates gene expression in the Harbecke et al. 1996 A Drosophila neurexin is required for nervous system. Development 116: 943-952. Harbecke *et al.*, 1996 A Drosophila neurexin is required for septate junction and blood-nerve barrier formation and function.
- Begemann, G., A. M. Michon, L. van der Voorn, R. Wepf and M.
Ml odzik, 1995 The Drosophila orphan nuclear receptor Seven-
- Bellaiche, Y., I. The and N. Perrimon, 1998 Tout velu is a Drosoph and ecdysone-regulated gene expression during Drosophila meta-
ila homologue of the putative tumour suppressor EXT-1 and is
needed for Hh diffusion. Nature
-
-
-
-
- with a P-lacZ vector. Genes Dev. 3: 1273–1287.

lianne G. L. A. de la Concha J. A. Campos-Ortega J. Y. Jan Dolph, P. J., R. Ranganathan, N. J. Colley, R. W. Hardy, M.
- encodes a novel protein and is expressed in precursors of larval **prote**
2nd adult nouvors, EMBO J **10**: 2075–2083 and adult neurons. EMBO J. **10:** 2975-2983. Braun, A., J. A. Hoffmann and M. Meister, 1997 Drosophila immu-
- nity: analysis of larval hemocytes by P-element-mediated enhancer trap. Genetics 147: 623-634.
- Brummel, T., S. Abdollah, T. E. Haerry, M. J. Shimell, J. Merriam, Dorn, R., J. Szidonya, G. Korge, M. Sehnert, H. Taubert *et al.*,

- Finberg *et al.*, 1994 Large-scale analysis of gene expression, protein localization, and gene disruption in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.*
- Campbell, G., H. Goring, T. Lin, E. P. Spana, S. Anderson *et al.*, Allende, M. L., A. Amsterdam, T. Becker, K. Kawakami, H. Gaia- 1994 RK2, a glial-specific homeodomain protein required for noand et al., 1996 Insertional mutagenesis in zebrafish identi- embryonic nerve cord condensation a embryonic nerve cord condensation and viability in Drosophila.
Development **120:** 2957–2966.
- development. Genes Dev. **10:** 3141–3155. Campbell, S. D., F. Sprenger, B. A. Edgar and P. H. O'Farrell, Alsina, B., F. Serras, J. Baguna and M. Corominas, 1998 *patufet*, 1995 Drosophila Wee1 kinase rescues fission yeast f
- esis. Mol. Gen. Genet. 257: 113-123.

Andrew, D. J., A. Baig, P. Bhanot, S. M. Smolik and K. D. Hender-

son, 1997 The Drosophila dCREB-A gene is required for dorsal/

son, 1997 The Drosophila dCREB-A gene is required for ventral patterning of the larval cuticle. Development **124:** 181–
193. Genetics **135:** 489–505.
Arora, K., H. Dai, S. G. Kazuko, J. Jamal, M. B. O'Connor *et al.*, C., and G. M. Rubin, 1997 14-3-3 epsilon positively regu-
	-
	-
	-
	-
- new pair-rule gene. EMBO J. 13: 3728–3740.
Baumgartner, S., D. Martin, R. Chiquet-Ehrismann, J. Sutton, **239:** 1121–1128.
A. Desai *et al.*, 1995 The HEM proteins: a novel family of tissue. Cool ey, L., E. Verheyen and K.
	- A. Desai *et al.*, 1995 The HEM proteins: a novel family of tissue-
specific transmembrane proteins expressed from invertebrates profilin required for intercellular cytoplasm transport during
		- Cui, X., and C. Q. Doe, 1992 *ming* is expressed in neuroblast sublin-
eages and regulates gene expression in the Drosophila central
	- septate junction and blood-nerve barrier formation and function. Davies, S. A., S. F. Goodwin, D. C. Kelly, Z. Wang, M. A. Sozen *et* al., 1996 Analysis and inactivation of *vha55*, the gene encoding the vacuolar ATPase B-subunit in *Drosophila melanogaster* reveals a larval lethal phenotype. J. Biol. Chem. 271: 30677-30684.
	- up requires the Ras pathway for its function in photoreceptor D'Avino, P. P., and C. S. Thummel, 1998 *crooked legs* encodes a
- needed for Hh diffusion. Nature 3944: S5-88.

Peak, P., M. M. Omar, R. D. Saunders, M. Pal, O. Komonyi et al.,

Peak, P., M. M. Omar, R. D. Saunders, M. Pal, O. Komonyi et al.,

Peak, P., M. M. Omar, R. D. Saunders, M. Pa
	-
	-
	-
- Boulianne, G. L., A. de la Concha, J. A. Campos-Ortega, L. Y. Jan Dolph, P. J., R. Ranganathan, N. J. Colley, R. W. Hardy, M.
Socolich et al., 1992 Arrestin function in inactivation of G and Y. N. Jan, 1991 The Drosophila neurogenic gene *neuralized* Socolich *et al.*, 1992 Arrestin function in inactivation of G
	- Braun, M. A., M. H. Zavitz, B. J. Thomas, M. Lin, S. Campbell *et al.*, 1997 Control of G1 in the developing Drosophila eye: *rcal* regulates *Cyclin A.* Genes Dev. 11: 94-105.
	-

1993 Transposon-induced dominant enhancer mutations of po- is a maternal enhancer of *decapentaplegic* and encodes a new sition-effect variegation in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics **133:** member of $279-290$. 1345-1363. $279-290.$ 1345–1363.

- 1997 Genetic separation of the neural and cuticular patterning
- Duronio, R. J., P. H. O'Farrell, J. E. Xie, A. Brook and N. Dyson,
- codes a protein required for meiotic cell division: an analysis of
- Eberhart, C. G., J. Z. Maines and S. A. Wasserman, 1996 Meiotic Gossler, A., A. L. Joyner, J. Rossant and W. C. Skarnes, 1989 Azoospermia. Nature **381:** 783–785. developmentally regulated genes. Science **244:** 463–465.
-
- Eldon, E., S. Kooyer, D. D'Evelyn, M. Dunman, P. Lawinger *et al.*, **124:** 125–132.
- Endo, K., T. Akiyama, S. Kobayashi and M. Okada, 1996 *Degenerative spermatocyte*, a novel gene encoding a transmembrane protein Guillemin, K., J. Groppe, K. Ducker, R. Treisman, E. Hafen *et al.*, required for the initiation of meiosis in Drosophila spermatogen-
1996 The *pruned* ge
- Erdelyi, M., A. M. Michon, A. Guichet, J. B. Glotzer and A. Eph- branching of the tracheal system. Development **122:** 1353–1362. russi, 1995 Requirement for Drosophila cytoplasmic tropomyosin in *oskar* mRNA localization. Nature 377: 524-527.
- Eulenberg, K. G., and R. Schuh, 1997 The *tracheae* defective gene encodes a bZIP protein that controls tracheal cell movement 3573.
- Feng, Y., L. Huynh, K. Takeyasu and D. M. Fambrough, 1997 The neural development but not in body in body in body but not in body in bo Drosophila Na,K-ATPase alpha-subunit gene: gene structure, pro-
moter function and analysis of a cold-sensitive recessive-lethal
- Fernandez, R., D. Tabarini, N. Azpiazu and M. Frasch, 1995 The Drosophila insulin receptor homolog: a gene essential for embry- 2735.
- Fletcher, J. C., and C. S. Thummel, 1995 The Drosophila *E74* gene *gaster.* Genetics **149:** 217–231. of ecdysone-regulated genes at the onset of metamorphosis. De-
- Forbes, A. J., A. C. Spradling, P. W. Ingham and H. Lin, 1996 The and bristle morphology, role of segment polarity genes during early oogenesis in Drosoph Genetics 150: 1527-1537. role of segment polarity genes during early oogenesis in Drosoph-
ila. Development 122: 3283-3294.
- 1997 Genetic analysis of stomatogastric nervous system development in Drosophila using enhancer trap lines. Dev. Biol. **186:** Heberlein, U., T. Wolff and G. M. Rubin, 1993 The TGFbeta
- Freeman, M., C. Klambt, C. S. Goodman and G. M. Rubin, 1992 The *argos* gene encodes a diffusible factor that regulates cell fate ina. Cell **75:** 913–926. decisions in the Drosophila eye. Cell **69:** 963–975. Heck, M. M. S., A. Pereira, P. Pesavento, Y. Yannoni, A. C.
- Frommer, G., G. Vorbruggen, G. Pasca, H. Jaeckle and T. Volk, Spradling *et al.*, 1993 The kinesin-like protein KLP61F is essen-
1996 Epidermal egr-like zinc finger protein of Drosophila par- tial for mitosis in Drosophila
- Gaiano, N., A. Amsterdam, K. Kawakami, M. Allende, T. Becker *et* al., 1996 Insertional mutagenesis and rapid cloning of essential
- Garraway, L. A., L. R. Tosi, Y. Wang, J. B. Moore, D. E. Dobson
- 1996 Drosophila photoreceptor axon guidance and targeting requires the dreadlocks SH2/SH3 adapter protein. Cell 85: 639–
- Gaul, U., G. Mardon and G. M. Rubin, 1992 A putative Ras GTPase protein similar to the mammalian STATs. Cell **84:** 411–419.
- Gellon, G., K. W. Harding, N. McGinnis, M. M. Martin and W. family of proteins. Biol. Chem. Hoppe-Seyler **378:** 1177–1181. ment. Development 124: 3321-3331.

- Duman-Scheel, M., X. Li, I. Orlov, M. Noll and N. H. Patel, Gigliotti, S., G. Callaini, S. Andone, M. G. Riparbelli, R. Perrnas-
1997 Genetic separation of the neural and cuticular patterning Alonso *et al.*, 1998 *Nup154* functions of *gooseberry.* Development 124: 2855–2865. for male and female gametogenesis is related to the *nup155* onio, R. J., P. H. O'Farrell, J. E. Xie, A. Brook and N. Dyson, vertebrate nucleoporin gene. J. Cell Biol.
- 1995 The transcription factor E2F is required for S phase during Gillespie, D. E., and C. A. Berg, 1995 *Homeless* is required for RNA localization in Drosophila oogenesis and encodes a new member Eberhart, C. G., and S. A. Wasserman, 1995 The *pelota* locus en- of the DE-H family of RNA-dependent ATPases. Genes Dev. **9:**
	- G2/M arrest in Drosophila spermatogenesis. Development **121:** Gonzalez-Gaitan, M., and H. Jackle, 1997 Role of Drosophila 3477–3486. alpha-adaptin in presynaptic vesicle recycling. Cell **88:** 767–776.
	- cell cycle requirement for a fly homologue of human Deleted in Mouse embryonic stem cells and reported constructs to detect
Azoospermia. Nature 381: 783-785.
Azoospermia. Nature 381: 783-785.
	- Goto, S., and S. Hayashi, 1997 Specification of the embryonic limb divisions patterns in the Drosophila embryo. Cell **57:** 177–187. primordium by graded activity of Decapentaplegic. Development
	- 1994 The Drosophila *18-wheeler* is required for morphogenesis Grether, M. E., J. M. Abrams, J. Agapite, K. White and H. Steller, 1995 The *head involution defective* gene of *Drosophila melanogaster* functions in programmed cell death. Genes Dev. 9: 1694–1708.
	- required for the initiation of meiosis in Drosophila spermatogen-

	esis. Mol. Gen. Genet. 253: 157-165.

	factor and regulates cytoplasmic outgrowth during terminal factor and regulates cytoplasmic outgrowth during terminal branching of the tracheal system. Development **122:** 1353-1362.
		- gene modulates Wingless signaling and encodes an enzyme in-
volved in polysaccharide biosynthesis. Development 124: 3565-
	- during Drosophila embryogenesis. EMBO J. **16:** 7156–7165. Hahn, M., and H. Jackle, 1996 Drosophila *goosecoid* participates in
	- Hamilton, B. A., M. J. Palazzolo, J. H. Chang, K. VijayRaghavan, mutation. Genes Funct. 1: 99-117.

	andez, R., D. Tabarini, N. Azpiazu and M. Frasch, 1995 The insertions into cloned genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88: 2731-
	- Harvie, P. D., M. Filippova and P. J. Bryant, 1998 Genes expressed signaling potential. EMBO J. **14:** 3373–3384. in the ring gland, the major endocrine organ of *Drosophila melano-*
	- is required for the proper stage- and tissue-specific transcription

	of ecdysone-regulated genes at the onset of metamorphosis. De-

	et al., 1998 skittles, a Drosophila phosphatidylinositol 4-phosvelopment **121:** 1411–1421. phate 5-kinase, is required for cell viability, germline development
- Hay, B. A., D. A. Wassarman and G. M. Rubin, 1995 Drosophila Forjanic, J. P., C. K. Chen, H. Jackle and M. Gonzalez-Gaitan, homologs of baculovirus inhibitor of apoptosis proteins function
1997 Genetic analysis of stomatogastric nervous system develop to block cell death. Cell 83: 1
	- 139–154. homolog *dpp* and the segment polarity gene *hedgehog* are required
		- tial for mitosis in Drosophila. J. Cell Biol. 123: 665-679.
	- ticipates in myotube guidance. EMBO J. 15: 1642–1649. Hong, C. C., and C. Hashimoto, 1995 An unusual mosaic protein
Ino, N., A. Amsterdam, K. Kawakami, M. Allende, T. Becker *et* with a protease domain, encoded by the *nud in defining embryonic dorsoventral polarity in Drosophila. Cell*
82: 785-794. genes in zebrafish. Nature **383:** 829–832. **82:** 785–794.
	- *et al.*, 1997 Insertional mutagenesis by a modified in vitro Ty1 encodes a nuclear BTB-domain-containing protein required early transposition system. Gene 198: 27-35. in oogenesis. Development **122:** 1859–1871.
- Garrity, P. A., Y. Rao, I. Salecker, J. McGlade, T. Pawson *et al.*, Hoshizaki, D. K., 1994 *Kruppel* expression during postembryonic 1996 Drosophila photoreceptor axon guidance and targeting development of Drosophila. Dev
	- Hou, X. S., M. B. Melnick and N. Perrimon, 1996 *marelle* acts 650. downstream of the *Drosophila* HOP/JAK kinase and encodes a
	- activating protein acts as a negative regulator of signaling by the Iliopoulos, I., I. Torok and B. M. Mechler, 1997 The *DnaJ60* gene of *Drosophila melanogaster* encodes a new member of the DnaJ
	- Isaac, D. D., and D. J. Andrew, 1996 Tubulogenesis in Drosophila: meotic function identifies novel genes required for head develop- a requirement for the *trachealess* gene product. Genes Dev. **10:**
- George, H., and R. Terracol, 1997 The vrille gene of Drosophila Ito, H., K. Fujitani, K. Usui, K. Shimizu-Nishikawa, S. Tanaka *et*

mutation in the sex-determination gene *fruitless* that encodes a zinc finger protein with a BTB domain. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

Jaenisch, R., 1988 Transgenic animals. Science **240:** 1468–1474.

- less signalling pathways by the F-box/WD40-repeat protein slimb.
- Justice, R. W., O. Zilian, D. F. Woods, M. Noll and P. J. Bryant, 1995 The Drosophila tumor suppressor gene *warts* encodes a in Drosophila limb patterning. Nature **373:** 711–715. homolog of human myotonic dystrophy kinase and is required
- Kania, A., A. Salzberg, M. Bhat, D. D'Evelyn, Y. He *et al.*, 1995 family. Cell **80:** 899–908.
P-element mutations affecting embryonic peripheral nervous sys-
Levine, A., A. Bashan-Ahren 1678. rule gene. Cell **77:** 587–598.
- Karpen, G. H., and A. C. Spradling, 1992 Analysis of subtelomeric Lin, H., and A. C. Spradling, 1993 Germline stem cell division and
heterochromatin in a Drosophila minichromosome by single P egg chamber development in tra heterochromatin in a Drosophila minichromosome by single *P* egg chamber developme
element insertional mutagenesis. Genetics 132: 737-753. Dev. Biol. 159: 140-152.
- element insertional mutagenesis. Genetics 132: 737-753.
Karsch-Mizrachi, I., and S. R. Haynes, 1993 The Rb97D gene genesis in Drosophila. Nucleic Acids Res. 21: 2229–2235. the Drosophila ovary. Development 124: 2463–2476.
Kauffmann, R. C., S. Li, P. A. Gallagher, J. Zhang and R. W. Lin, W. H., L. H. Huang, J. Y. Yeh, J. Hoheisel, H. Le
- Carthew, 1996 Ras1 signaling and transcriptional competence in the R7 cell of Drosophila. Genes Dev. $10: 2167-2178$.
- Kelley, R. L., 1993 Initial organization of the Drosophila dorsoven-
tral axis depends on an RNA-binding protein encoded by the moter region. J. Biol. Chem. 270: 25150-25158. tral axis depends on an RNA-binding protein encoded by the
- *fs(2)cup* interacts with *otu* to define a cytoplasmic pathway re- mesoderm. Mech. Dev. **72:** 65–75. quired for the structure and function of germ-line chromosomes. Mancebo, R., P. C. Lo and S. M. Mount, 1990 Structure and expres-
- Kidwell, M., 1986 P-M mutagenesis, pp. 59-81 in *Drosophila: A Practical Approach*, edited by D. B. Roberts. IRL, Oxford. 2502.
- Kleckner, N., J. Roth and D. Botstein, 1977 Genetic engineering Mardon, G., N. M. Solomon and G. M. Rubin, 1994 *dachshund*
- Kloss, B., J. L. Price, L. Saez, J. Blau, A. Rothenfluh *et al.*, 1998 Martin-Blanco, E., and A. A. Garcia-Bellido, 1996 Mutations in
- Knoblich, J. A., K. Sauer, L. Jones, H. Richardson, R. Saint *et al.*, 1994 Cyclin E controls S phase progression and its down-
 Mathies, L. D., S. Kerridge and M. P. Scott, 1994 Role of the
 teashirt gene in Drosophila midgut morphogenesis: secreted proregulation during Drosophila embryogenesis is required for the arrest of cell proliferation. Cell 77: 107-120.
- Kockel, L., G. Vorbruggen, H. Jackle, M. Mlodzik and D. Boh-
- Kolhekar, A. S., M. S. Roberts, N. Jiang, R. C. Johnson, R. E. Mains.
- Kolodkin, A. L., D. J. Matthes and C. S. Goodman, 1993 The Neuron **9:** 789–803.
- Korswagen, H. C., R. M. Durbin, M. T. Smits and R. H. Plasterk, Genes Dev. **4:** 2242–2251. *rhabditis elegans* as markers for gene mapping. Proc. Natl. Acad. *Sci.* USA 93: 14680-14685.
- Kozlova, T., G. V. Pokholkova, G. Tzertzinis, J. D. Sutherland, Genes Dev. 12: 2932–2942.
I. F. Zhimulev *et al.*, 1998 Drosophila *hormone receptor 38* func-Miklos, G. L., and G. M. Rul
- Kussel, P., and M. Frasch, 1995 Pendulin, a Drosophila protein Mlodzik, M., Y. Hiromi, U. Weber, C. S. Goodman and G. M. Rubin, with cell cycle-dependent nuclear localization, is required for 1990 The Drosophila seven-up g with cell cycle-dependent nuclear localization, is required for
- Lantz, V., L. Ambrosio and P. Schedl, 1992 The Drosophila *orb* **60:** 211–224. gene is predicted to encode sex-specific germline RNA-binding bryos. Development **115:** 75–88. cnc. Development **121:** 237–247.
-

al., 1996 Sexual orientation in Drosophila is altered by the satori of Drosophila cyclin A during embryonic cell cycle progression.

Tell 56: 957-968.

- Lekven, A. C., U. Tepass, M. Keshmeshian and V. Hartenstein, USA **93:** 9687–9692. 1998 *faint sausage* encodes a novel extracellular protein of the Jiang, J., and G. Struhl, 1998 Regulation of Hedgehog and Wing-
less signalling pathways by the F-box/WD40-repeat protein slimb. nervous system. Development 125: 2747-2758.
	- Nature **391:** 493–496. Lepage, T., S. M. Cohen, F. J. Diaz-Benjumea and S. M. Parkhurst,
	- for the control of cell shape and proliferation. Genes Dev. **9:** Drosophila Dpp signaling is mediated by the *punt* gene product: 534–546. a dual ligand-binding type II receptor of the TGF beta receptor
	- P-element mutations affecting embryonic peripheral nervous sys-

	Levine, A., A. Bashan-Ahrend, O. Budai-Hadrian, D. Gartenberg,

	S. Menasherow et al., 1994 Odd Oz a novel Drosophila pair S. Menasherow *et al.*, 1994 *Odd Oz*: a novel Drosophila pair
		-
	- sch-Mizrachi, I., and S. R. Haynes, 1993 The Rb97D gene Lin, H., and A. C. Spradling, 1997 A novel group of *pumilio* muta-
encodes a potential RNA-binding protein required for spermato-
ions affects the asymmetric divisio tions affects the asymmetric division of germline stem cells in
		- Lin, W. H., L. H. Huang, J. Y. Yeh, J. Hoheisel, H. Lehrach *et al.*, 1995 Expression of a Drosophila GATA transcription factor in multiple tissues in the developing embryos: identification of ho-
mozygous lethal mutants with P-element insertion at the pro-
- *squid* gene. Genes Dev. 7: 948–960. Lo, P. C. H., and M. Frasch, 1998 *bagpipe*-dependent expression
Keyes, L. N., and A. C. Spradling, 1997 The Drosophila gene of *vimar*, a novel armadillo-repeats gene, in Drosophila vi of vimar, a novel armadillo-repeats gene, in Drosophila visceral
	- Development **124:** 1419–1431. sion of the *Drosophila melanogaster* gene for the U1 small nuclear
	- in vivo using translocatable drug-resistance elements. New meth- encodes a nuclear protein required for normal eye and leg development in Drosophila. Development 120: 3473-3486.
	- The Drosophila clock gene double-time encodes a protein closely the *rotated abdomen* locus affect muscle development and reveal related to human casein kinase Iepsilon. Cell **94:** 97–107. an intrinsic asymmetry in Drosophila. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA
		- teins mediate the action of homeotic genes. Development **120:** 2799–2809.
	- mann, 1997 Requirement for Drosophila 14-3-3 zeta in Raf-depen-Meinke, D. W., J. M. Cherry, C. Dean, S. D. Rounsley and M. dent photoreceptor development. Genes Dev. 11: 1140-1147. Koornneef, 1998 Arabidopsis thaliana: a m dent photoreceptor development. Genes Dev. 11: 1140-1147. Koornneef, 1998 *Arabidopsis thalian*
hekar, A. S., M. S. Roberts, N. Jiang, R. C. Johnson, R. E. Mains nome analysis. Science 282: 662-682.
	- *et al.*, 1997 Neuropeptide amidation in Drosophila: separate Mellerick, D. M., J. A. Kassis, S. D. Zhang and W. F. Odenwald, genes encode the two enzymes catalyzing amidation. J. Neurosci. 1992 Castor encodes a novel zinc 1992 *Castor* encodes a novel zinc finger protein required for **17:** 1363–1376. the development of a subset of CNS neurons in Drosophila.
	- *semaphorin* genes encode a family of transmembrane and secreted McKearin, D., and A. C. Spradling, 1990 *Bag-of-marbles*: a Drosophila gene required to initiate both male and female gametogenesis.
		- McKim, K. S., and A. Hayashi-Hagihara, 1998 *mei-W68* in *Drosoph-*
 ila melanogaster encodes a Spo11 homolog: evidence that the mechanism for initiating meiotic recombination is conserved.
	- I. F. Zhimulev *et al.*, 1998 Drosophila *hormone receptor 38* func- Miklos, G. L., and G. M. Rubin, 1996 The role of the genome project in determining gene function: insights from model organ-
	- ics **149:** 1465–1475. isms. Cell **86:** 521–529. normal cell proliferation. J. Cell Biol. **129:** 1491–1507. receptor gene superfamily, controls photoreceptor cell fates. Cell
	- proteins and has localized transcripts in ovaries and early em- of Drosophila head segment identity by the bZIP homeotic gene
	- Montell, D. J., P. Rørth and A. C. Spradling, 1992 *slow border cells*, and localized transcription suggest a role in positional signaling a locus required for a developmentally regulated cell migration for products of the segmentation gene *hedgehog.* Cell **71:** 33–50. during oogenesis, encodes Drosophila C/EBP. Cell **71:** 51–62.
- Lehner, C. F., and P. H. O'Farrell, 1989 Expression and function Murphy, A. M., T. Lee, C. M. Andrews, B. Z. Shilo and D. J. Montell,

migration. Development **121:** 2255-2263.

- onic development of the nervous system in Drosophila. Develop- 829.
-
- Neufeld, T. P., A. H. Tang and G. M. Rubin, 1998 A genetic screen to identify components of the *sina* signaling pathway in Drosophila eye development. Genetics **148:** 277–286. mitosis, and segmentation. Dev. Biol. **191:** 284–296.
-
- 101–104.
Nozaki, M., Y. Onishi, S. Togashi and H. Miyamoto, 1996 Molecu-
lar characterization of the Drosophila Mo25 gene, which is con-
lar characterization of the Drosophila Mo25 gene, which is con-
Russel 1, S. R. H., G
-
- O'Neil I, E. M., I. Rebay, R. Tjian and G. M. Rubin, 1994 The imaginal discs of Drosophila. Genome 41: 7-13.
activities of two Ets-related transcription factors required for Drosophila. Salzberg, A., K. Golden, R. Bodmer a
-
- activities of two Eisr-leaded transcription factors required for Dro
sophila eye development are modulated by the Ras/MAPK path
way. Cell 78: 137-147.
Park, M. G. J. D. Axel rod and R. Bodhmer, 1996
Park, M. K. Golden, R.
-
-
- tradenticle determines segmental identities throughout Drosoph-
ila development. Development **121:** 3663–3673. **144:** 1545–1557.
orn K P H Thelen A M Michelson and R Reuter. 1996 Schulze, K. L., K. Broadie, M. S. Perin and
- mission. Cell **80:** 311–320.

The Shake Conservation of G. E. F. Casares, H. D. Ryoo, M. Abu-Shaar and R. S. Siegel, V., T. A. Jongens, L. Y. Jan and Y. N. Jan, 1993 *pipsqueak*,
- mothorax, which encodes an extradenticle-related homeodomain protein. Cell 91: 171–183.

egg chamber. Development 119: 1187–1202.

tenhouse. K. R.. and C. A. Berg. 1995 Mutations in the Drosoph-Simon, M. A., G. S. Dodson and G. M. Rubin, 1993 An SH3-SH2-
- Rittenhouse, K. R., and C. A. Berg, 1995 Mutations in the Drosoph-
- 1998 Screening of larval/pupal P-element induced lethals on novel kinesin-related protein in the Hedgeholm in the S
the second chromosome in *Drosophila melanogaster* clonal analysis Cell **90:** 235–245. the second chromosome in *Drosophila melanogaster*: clonal analysis and morphology of imaginal discs. Mol. Gen. Genet. **257:** 103– Sliter, T. J., V. C. Henrich, R. L. Tucker and L. I. Gilbert, 1989
- Rodriguez, A., Z. Zhou, M. L. Tang, S. Meller, J. Chen *et al.*, 1996 gion (62B3-4 to 62D3-4) in *Drosophila melanogast*
Identification of immune system and response genes, and novel recessive lethal mutations. Genetics 12 Identification of immune system and response genes, and novel recessive lethal mutations. Genetics 123: 327–336.
mutations causing melanotic tumor formation in *Drosophila mela* Smith, D., Y. Yanai, Y. G. Lie, S. Ishiguro, mutations causing melanotic tumor formation in *Drosophila mela-* nogaster. Genetics 143: 929-940.
- Rooke, J., D. Pan, T. Xu and G. M. Rubin, 1996 KUZ, a conserved
-
- Rørth, P., K. Szabo, A. Bailey, T. Laverty, J. Rehm *et al.*, 1998 ment **124:** 4769–4779.
- 1995 The breathless FGF receptor homolog, a downstream tar- Rottgen, G., T. Wagner and G. Hinz, 1998 A genetic screen for get of Drosophila C/EBP in the developmental control of cell elements of the network that regulates neurogenesis in Drosoph-
ila. Mol. Gen. Genet. 257: 442-451.
- Nakato, H., T. A. Futch and S. B. Selleck, 1995 The *division* Roulier, E. M., S. Panzer and S. K. Beckendorf, 1998 The Tec29 tyrosine kinase is required during Drosophila embryogenesis and teoglycan required for cell division patterning during postembry- interacts with Src64 in ring canal development. Mol. Cell **6:** 819–
- ment 121: 3687–3702.
Ruberte, E., T. Marty, D. Nellen, M. Affolter and K. Basler,
Neufeld, T. P., and G. M. Rubin, 1994 The Drosophila *peanut* gene 1995 An absolute requirement for both the Type II and Type Neufeld, T. P., and G. M. Rubin, 1994 The Drosophila *peanut* gene 1995 An absolute requirement for both the Type II and Type I receptors, punt and thick veins, for Dpp signaling in vivo. Cell putative bud neck filament proteins. Cell 77: 371–379.

feld, T. P., A. H. Tang and G. M. Rubin, 1998 A genetic screen Ruden, D. M., W. Cui, V. Sollars and M. A. Alterman, 1997 Dro
	- to identify components of the *sina* signaling pathway in Drosoph- sophila kinesin-like protein (Klp38B) functions during meiosis,
	- u, Y., H. Zhang and M. Levine, 1998 Interaction of short-range Rudner, D. Z., R. Kanaar, K. S. Breger and D. C. Rio, 1996 Muta-
The short short and R. K. S. Breger and D. C. Rio, 1996 Mutarepressors with Drosophila CtBP in the embryo. Science **280:** tions in the small subunit of the Drosophila U2AF splicing factor
- served among Drosophila, mouse, and yeast. DNA Cell Biol. 15:

505–509.

2005–609. In Prosophila Eip78C gene is not

2015–609. In the Society of Drosophila Fig. Cenetics

2014: 159–170.

2014: 159–170.

2014: 159–170.

201
	- *et al.*, 1992 Regulation of Drosophila neural development by a
putative secreted protein. Differentiation 52: 1-11. **Example 1998** insertion lines expressed during pattern respecification in the
		-
		-
		-
		-
		-
- Schnorr, J. D., and C. A. Berg, 1996 Differential activity of Ras1
Rauskolb, C., K. M. Smith, M. Peifer and E. Wieschaus, 1995 Ex-
tradenticle determines segmental identities throughout Dresonh during patterning of the Dro
- Schulze, K. L., K. Broadie, M. S. Perin and H. J. Bellen, 1995 Ge- Rehorn, K. P., H. Thelen, A. M. Michelson and R. Reuter, 1996 A molecular aspect of hematopoiesis and endoderm development
common to vertebrates and Drosophila. Development 122: 4023-
demonstrate its role in nonneuronal secretion and neurotrans-
- Rieckhof, G. E., F. Casares, H. D. Ryoo, M. Abu-Shaar and R. S. Siegel, V., T. A. Jongens, L. Y. Jan and Y. N. Jan, 1993 *pipsqueak*, Mann 1993 *pipsqueak*, and R. S. and R Mann, 1997 Nuclear translocation of extradenticle requires ho-
mothorax, which encodes an extradenticle-related homeodo-
wasa level and germ cell-somatic cell interaction in the developing
	- ila gene *bullwinkle* cause the formation of abnormal eggshell SH3 protein is required for p21Ras1 activation and binds to structures and bicaudal embryos. Development 121: 3023-3033.
- structures and bicaudal embryos. Development **121:** 3023–3033. sevenless and Sos proteins in vitro. Cell **73:** 169–177.
h. E., E. Serras, E. J. Cifuentes, M. Corominas, B. Alsina *et al.* Sisson, J. C., K. S. Ho, K. Suyama Roch, F., F. Serras, F. J. Cifuentes, M. Corominas, B. Alsina *et al.*, Sisson, J. C., K. S. Ho, K. Suyama and M. P. Scott, 1997 Costal2, a
	- 112. The genetics of the *Dras3-Roughened-ecdysoneless* chromosomal re-

	112. The genetics of the *Dras3-Roughened-ecdysoneless* chromosomal re-

	112. The genetics of the *Dras3-Roughened-ecdysoneless* chromosomal re-
		- Characterization and mapping of Ds-GUS-T-DNA lines for targeted insertional mutagenesis. Plant J. 10: 721-732.
	- metalloprotease-disintegrin protein with two roles in Drosophila Song, Z., K. McCall and H. Steller, 1997 DCP-1, a Drosophila cell
death protease essential for development. Science 275: 536–540. death protease essential for development. Science 275: 536-540.
- Rørth, P., 1996 A modular misexpression screen in Drosophila Sotillos, S., F. Roch and S. Campuzano, 1997 The metalloprodetecting tissue-specific phenotypes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA tease-disintegrin Kuzbanian participates in Notch activation dur-
193: 12418-12422. The speed of Drosophila imaginal discs. Developing growth and patterning of Drosophila imaginal discs. Develop-
	- Sozen, M. A., J. D. Armstrong, M. Yang, K. Kaiser and J. A. T. Dow, **125:** 1049–1057. 1997 Functional domains are specified to single-cell resolution

5212. sophila oogenesis. Development **122:** 1555–1565.

- Spradling, A. C., D. M. Stern, I. Kiss, J. Foote, T. Laverty *et* Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **92:** 10824–10830. 360.
- and functions in tissue morphogenesis and movement during to pattern duplication in the pattern of Drosophila development. Development 124: 4583-4594. Drosophila development. Development **124:** 4583–4594. **7:** 429–440.
- Steller, H., and V. Pirrotta, 1986 P transposons controlled by the heat shock promoter. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6: 1640–1649.
- Stroumbakis, N. D., Z. Li and P. P. Tolias, 1996 A homolog of required for processes of dynamic epithelial cell rear
human transcription factor NF-X1 encoded by the Drosophila in the Drosophila embryo. Genes Dev. 10: 659–6 human transcription factor NF-X1 encoded by the Drosophila in the Drosophila embryo. Genes Dev. 10: 659–671.

shuttle craft gene is required in the embryonic central nervous van der Straten, A., C. Rommel, B. Dickson and E *shuttle craft* gene is required in the embryonic central nervous system. Mol. Cell. Biol. 16: 192-201.
- Strutt, D. I., U. Weber and M. Mlodzik, 1997 The role of RhoA signalling in Drosophila. EMBO J. **16:** 1961–1969.
- Sullivan, W., P. Fogarty and W. E. Theurkauf, 1993 Mutations gene of Drosophila affects larv
affecting the cytoskeletal organization of syncytial Drosophila release. Curr. Biol. 7: 500–509. affecting the cytoskeletal organization of syncytial Drosophila
- Sundaresan, V., P. Springer, T. Volpe, S. Haward, J. D. G. Jones, Sunth *et al.*, 1998 Shota
C. Dean, H. Ma and R. Martienssen, 1995, Patterns of gene. Science 280: 1540-1542.
- sors of activated Notch in Drosophila. Genetics **144:** 1127–1141. Taylor, C. A., K. N. Stanley and A. D. Shirras, 1997 The Orct
- ${geno of Drosophila melanogaster codes for a putative organic
\n 201: 69-74.
\n 201: 69-74.
\n 202: 69-74.
\n 203: 69-74.
\n 204: 60-74.
\n 205: 69-74.
\n 206: 60-74.
\n 207: 60-74.
\n 208: 60-74.
\n 209: 60-74.
\n 200: 60-74.
\n 200: 60-74.
\n 201: 6$
-
-
-
-
- cell proliferation in Drosophila. Genes Dev. **11:** 213–225. 2700.
- Isman, J. E., and G. M. Rubin, 1996 Targets of glass regulation Yasothornsrikul, S., W. J. Davis, G. Cramer, D. A. Kimbrell and
C. R. Dearol f. 1997 viking: dentification and characterization
- 1995a Cell proliferation and DNA replication defects in a Dro- Yu, Y., W. Li, K. Su, M. Yussa, W. Han *et al.*, 1997 The nuclear
- Treisman, J. E., Z. C. Lai and G. M. Rubin, 1995b Shortsighted acts domain protein Ftz. Nature **385:** 552–555.
- Gene **186:** 119–125. a homolog of adducin. Genes Dev. **6:** 2443–2454.
- and has homology to the Bright family of DNA-binding proteins. 2,000 genes in mouse embryonic stem cells. Nature **392:** 608–611.
- Christian *et al.*, 1997 *Daughters against dpp* modulates *dpp* or-ganizing activity in Drosophila wing development. Nature 389:
- Twombly, V., R. K. Blackman, H. Jin, J. M. Graff, R. W. Padgett cells. Nature **385:** 64–67.

in a Drosophila epithelium. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **94:** 5207– *et al.*, 1996 The TGF-beta signaling pathway is essential for Dro-

- *al.*, 1995 Gene disruptions using P transposable elements: an 1989 *numb*, a gene required in determination of cell fate during integral component of the Drosophila genome project. Proc. sensory organ formation in Drosoph sensory organ formation in Drosophila embryos. Cell 58: 349–
- Stark, K. A., G. H. Yee, C. E. Roote, E. L. Williams, S. Zusman *et* Uemura, T., K. Shiomi, S. Togashi and M. Takeichi, 1993 Mutation *al.*, 1997 A novel alpha integrin subunit associates with betaPS of *twins* encoding a regulator of protein Phosphatase 2A leads and functions in tissue morphogenesis and movement during to pattern duplication in Drosophi
	- Takeichi, 1996 Zygotic Drosophila E-cadherin expression is
required for processes of dynamic epithelial cell rearrangements
	- The heat shock protein 83 (Hsp83) is required for Raf-mediated signalling in Drosophila. EMBO J. 16: 1961–1969.
	- Vankatesh, K., and G. Hasan, 1997 Disruption of the IP3 receptor in tissue polarity and Frizzled signalling. Nature **387:** 292–295.
	- embryos. Development **118:** 1245–1254.

	daresan. V., P. Springer, T. Volpe, S. Haward, J. D. G. Jones. Smith *et al.*, 1998 Shotgun sequencing of the human genome.
	- C. Dean, H. Ma and R. Martienssen, 1995 Patterns of gene and particular action in plant development revealed by enhancer trap and gene and science 280: 1540–1542.

	Trap transposable elements. Genes Dev. 9: 1797–1810. The O
		-
		-
		-
		-
- Vassarman *et al.*, 1995 KSR, a novel protein kinase required

for RAS signal transduction. Cell 83: 879–888.

Therrien, M., A. M. Wong and G. Montell, The risk of RAS signal transduction. Cell 83: 879–888.

Therrien, M.,
- binding multidomain protein required for RAS signaling. Cell Xue, F., and L. Cooley, 1993 *kelch* encodes a component of intercel-

95: 343–353. lular bridges in Drosophila egg chambers. Cell 72: 681–693.

Törok, T., T. Ti
- Torok, T., T. Tick, M. Alvarado and I. Kiss, 1993 *P-lacW* inser-

tional mutagenesis on the second chromosome of *Drosophila mela*
 nogaster: isolation of lethals with different overgrowth phenotypes.

Genetics 135: 71–
	- ok, T., P. D. Harvie, M. Buratovich and P. J. Bryant, 1997 Yarnitzky, T., L. Min and T. Volk, 1997 The Drosophila neuregu-
The product of *proliferation disrupter* is concentrated at centro-
meres and required for mitotic
- in the Drosophila eye disc. Mech. Dev. 56: 17–24.

Treisman, J. E., P. J. Follette, P. H. O'Farrell and G. M. Rubin,

1995a Cell proliferation and DNA replication defects in a Drocentry Mullette, N. Su. M. Yussa. W. Han *e*
	- hormone receptor Ftz-F1 is a cofactor for the Drosophila homeo-
- in the *decapentaplegic* pathway in Drosophila eye development and Yu, H. H., H. H. Araj, S A. Ralls and A. L. Kolodkin, 1998 The has homology to a mouse TGF-beta-responsive gene. Develop- transmembrane Semaphorin Sema I is required in Drosophila for
1**21:** 2835–2845. ment 1**21:** 2835–2845. ment **121:** 2835–2845. embryonic motor and CNS axon guidance. Neuron **20:** 207–220.
	- Treisman, J. E., N. Ito and G. M. Rubin, 1997a *misshapen* encodes Yue, L., and A. C. Spradling, 1992 *hu-li tai shao*, a gene required a protein kinase involved in cell shape control in Drosophila. for ring canal formation during Drosophila oogenesis, encodes
Gene 186: 119-125.
	- Zambrowicz, B. P., G. A. Friedrich, E. C. Buxton, S. L. Lilleberg, antagonizes *wingless* signaling during Drosophila development C. Person *et al.*, 1998 Disruption and sequence identification of
- Zhang, P., and A. C. Spradling, 1995 The Drosophila salivary gland Tsuneizumi, K., T. Nakayama, Y. Kamoshida, T. B. Kornberg, J. L. chromocenter contains highly polytenized subdomains of mitotic Christian et al., 1997 Daughters against dpp modulates dpp or heterochromatin. Genetics 139: 6
	- ganizing activity in Drosophila wing development. Nature **389:** Zhang, N., J. Zhang, K. J. Purcell, Y. Cheng and K. Howard, 1997 The Drosophila protein Wunen repels migrating germ
- Zhang, B., Y. H. Koh, R. B. Beckstead, V. Budnik, B. Ganetzky *et* zur Lage, P., A. D. Shrimpton, A. J. Flavell, T. F. Mackay and
- Zhou, Q., and D. S. Haymer, 1997 Molecular structure of yoyo, a gypsy-like retrotransposon from the mediterranean fruit fly, Communicating editor: R. S. Hawley *Ceratitis capitata.* Genetica **101:** 167–178.
- *al.*, 1998 Synaptic vesicle size and number are regulated by a A. J. Brown, 1997 Genetic and molecular analysis of *smooth*, clathrin adaptor protein required for endocytosis. Neuron **21:** a quantitative trait locus affecting bristle number in *Drosophila* 1465–1475. *melanogaster.* Genetics **146:** 607–618.