Letter to the Editor

Trans-silencing by P Elements Inserted in Subtelomeric Heterochromatin Involves the Drosophila Polycomb Group Gene, Enhancer of Zeste

Donald C. Rio

Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720-3204

Manuscript received May 12, 1999

Accepted for publication May 17, 1999

REGARDING the article that Siobhan Roche and I published in the August 1998 issue of Genetics (vol. 149, pp. 1839–1855): In the course of trying to extend the observations in the article, we have found that we cannot reproduce the results concerning the role of the polycomb group gene, *Enhancer of zeste* [E(z)], in the *trans*-silencing of P-element transgenes by P elements in subtelomeric heterochromatin. [We have not retested the effect of the E(z) alleles on repression of gonadal dysgenic (GD) sterility.] We have spent the past 6 months doing controls, repeating crosses and checking, remaking, or getting new copies of stocks used in these studies. Outlined below, in detail, is a summary of our findings since the article was published.

In our original article, seven different alleles of E(z) were tested for their effects on *trans*-silencing of *P*-element transgenes. We observed a loss of *trans*-silencing in the presence of four different E(z) alleles.

- 1. The original observation that E(z) is required for the *trans*-silencing of *P*-element transgenes was made with the $E(z)^{61}$ allele. For this experiment, the $E(z)^{61}$ allele (which is marked with the recessive *ebony* mutation) was crossed into an Lk-P(1A); +/TM3 background and black-bodied progeny were selected. The presence of the Lk-P(1A) P elements was confirmed by DNA blot hybridization (Southern blotting). The $E(z)^{61}$ allele no longer affects the *trans*-silencing of an *hsp83*-IVS3-*LacZ* reporter transgene. The assumption now is that there was originally a modifier mutation present in the stock that contributed to the loss of *trans*-silencing and that has since (over the past 2 years) been lost from the stock.
- 2. The second set of data in which we observed a loss of *trans*-silencing involved three additional E(z) alleles $[E(z)^{28}, E(z)^{32}, \text{ and } E(z)^{60}]$. For these tests, the E(z) alleles were crossed into an Lk-P(1A); CxD/TM3 back-

ground. The *Lk-P*(1A); *CxD/TM3* strain was generated by crossing the CxD mutation in to the Lk-P(1A); + / TM3 background and was shown to repress P-element transposition as effectively as the Lk-P(1A); + / TM3 strain. We first used the Lk-P(1A); CxD/ TM3 strain to test the effect of the polycomb allele, Pc16, on trans-silencing and did not observe a derepression of the reporter transgene. However, the three additional E(z) alleles that affected *trans*-silencing were also tested in the *Lk-P*(1A); *CxD/TM3* background. We have tried unsuccessfully for the last year to repeat these results and made the unfortunate discovery that over time, the Lk-P(1A) P elements became unstable in the Lk-P(1A); CxD/TM3 strain and its $E(z)^-$ derivatives. This effect seems to be peculiar to this stock, since normally the *Lk-P*(1A) *P* elements are extremely stable. While we had tested for the presence of the Lk-P(1A) P elements in the Lk-P(1A)P(1A); $E(z)^{-}/TM3$ strains by Southern blotting at the time the genetic experiments were performed, subsequent PCR analysis of single flies from samples frozen at that time (8/96) indicated that approximately half of the individuals in the population had lost the *Lk-P*(1A) *P* elements. This *P*-element instability explains the apparent loss of trans-silencing that was observed in 1995–96 and reported in the article.

3. Finally, the reported observation that P-element enhancer traps at 1A or 100F also exhibit trans-silencing is correct, but the observation that the $E(z)^{32}$ allele reduces this effect cannot be repeated. We know that our inability to repeat these results cannot be due to instability of the P-element enhancer traps but may also be a result of background modifiers or changes in the strength of the trans-silencing, which we had observed before.

Communicating editor: M. J. Simmons

Address for correspondence: Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, 401 Barker Hall, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3204. E-mail: don_rio@uclink4.berkely.edu