# **Identification of Heading Date Quantitative Trait Locus** *Hd6* **and Characterization of Its Epistatic Interactions With** *Hd2* **in Rice Using Advanced Backcross Progeny**

**Toshio Yamamoto,\*,1 Hongxuan Lin,† Takuji Sasaki‡ and Masahiro Yano‡**

\**Institute of the Society for Techno-innovation of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0854, Japan,* † *Bio-oriented Technology Research Advancement Institution, Omiya, Saitama 331-8537, Japan and* ‡ *Department of Molecular Genetics, National Institute of Agrobiological Resources, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8602, Japan*

> Manuscript received July 6, 1999 Accepted for publication October 25, 1999

### ABSTRACT

A backcrossed population (BC4F2) derived from a cross between a *japonica* rice variety, Nipponbare, as the recurrent parent and an *indica* rice variety, Kasalath, as the donor parent showed a long-range variation in days to heading. Quantitative trait loci (QTL) analysis revealed that two QTL, one on chromosome *3*, designated *Hd6*, and another on chromosome *2*, designated *Hd7*, were involved in this variation; and *Hd6* was precisely mapped as a single Mendelian factor by using progeny testing  $(BC_4F_3)$ . The nearly isogenic line with QTL (QTL-NIL) that carries the chromosomal segment from Kasalath for the *Hd6* region in Nipponbare's genetic background was developed by marker-assisted selection. In a day-length treatment test, the QTL-NIL for *Hd6* prominently increased days to heading under a 13.5-hr day length compared with the recurrent parent, Nipponbare, suggesting that *Hd6* controls photoperiod sensitivity. QTL analysis of the  $F_2$  population derived from a cross between the QTL-NILs revealed existence of an epistatic interaction between *Hd2*, which is one of the photoperiod sensitivity genes detected in a previous analysis, and *Hd6.* The day-length treatment tests of these QTL-NILs, including the line introgressing both *Hd2* and *Hd6*, also indicated an epistatic interaction for photoperiod sensitivity between them.

THE genetic analysis of quantitative traits using DNA cal genetics and improvements in analytical software<br>markers is a landmark feature in the field of plant have contributed to solving these problems (Tinker<br>markers is a genetics. Since the first application of DNA markers to and Mather 1995; Chase *et al.* 1997; Nelson 1997). quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping in tomato was Several attempts to identify epistatic interactions reported (Paterson *et al.* 1988), numerous genetic among QTL have been made, including successful stud-<br>studies of quantitative traits have been done in a large is of sovbean (Lark *et al.* 1995) and rice (Li *et al.* 199 number of plant species. Some QTL were suggested to Yu *et al.* 1997). However, confidence in their detection be associated with some major genes previously identi-<br>fied by classical genetic analysis (Beavis *et al.* 1991; Yano or the use of primary segregating populations such as  $F_2$ . fied by classical genetic analysis (Beavis *et al.* 1991; Yano or the use of primary segregating populations such as  $F_z$ , *et al.* 1997). Syntenic relationships in chromosomal constitution involving QTL among plant speci

ies of soybean (Lark *et al.* 1995) and rice (Li *et al.* 1997; ping among different plant species with common DNA<br>markers (Paterson *et al.* 1995).<br>Although QTL analysis gives us much information on<br>plant genetics, it has inherent methodological prob-<br>lems, especially in QTL detection distinguish two QTL detection. First, it is difficult to<br>distinguish two QTL that are tightly linked. Second,<br>which threshold should we use to detect QTL with rela-<br>tively small effect? Third, how do we detect a QTL show-<br> gests that some QTL can be dealt with as Mendelian

netics, National Institute of Agrobiological Resources, 2-1-2 Kannon-<br>
dai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8602, Japan.<br>
E-mail: myano@abr.affrc.go.jp<br>
Langer and cropping seasons. At present,<br>
E-mail: myano@abr.affrc.go.jp<br>
Langer reported in rice, and 13 of them were determined for

*Corresponding author:* Masahiro Yano, Department of Molecular Ge- factors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Present address: Orynova K. K., Toyoda, Iwata, Shizuoka 438-0802, Japan.

1997; Yano *et al.* 1997; Doi *et al.* 1998; S. Y. Lin *et al. for July; and 13 hr, 26 min and 25.2° for August, respectively.*<br>1998: Yiong *et al.* 1999). It is nocessary to clarify the **Linkage mapping and QTL analysis** 

*Hd1–Hd5*, controlling rice heading date in an  $F_2$  popula-<br>tion from a cross between a *japonica* variety, Nipponbare,<br>and an *indica* variety, Kasalath. Another three QTL have The Kosambi function was used to calculate been reported based on the analysis of backcross inbred QTL for heading date were estimated by Mapmaker/QTL 1.1<br>
lines derived from the same cross (Lin *et al.* 1998). (Lincoln *et al.* 1993). Putative QTL were identified lines derived from the same cross (Lin *et al.* 1998). (Lincoln *et al.* 1993). Putative QTL were identified in regions<br>These reports implied that the detected QTL could not<br>explain all of the variation in days to heading heading was observed in a population derived from one **teraction of QTL:** To evaluate the gene action of target QTL,<br>backcrossed plant homozygous for the Nipponbare al a day-length treatment test was done. A QTL-NIL in whi backcrossed plant homozygous for the Nipponbare al-<br>lele in seven of eight known OTL and beterozygous and chromosomal region of the target QTL was homozygous for lele in seven of eight known QTL and heterozygous<br>in the other. To identify genetic factors involving this<br>variation, QTL analysis of heading date in this popula-<br>tion was done. We then tried to confirm this newly<br>tion wer found QTL as a Mendelian factor by the method of fine (10.5, 12.0, 13.5, and 14.5 hr) in growth chambers. The trial found a completely randomized design with two replications mapping used by Yamamoto *et al.* (1998). Subsequently,<br>a day-length treatment test was done by using some<br>combinations of QTL-NILs and their recurrent parent<br>for estimating the gene action of this QTL. We discuss<br>for esti why we could detect this QTL in the backcrossed popula-<br>tion but not in the E, population, and the possibility of M. Yano, unpublished results), seemed to be affected by the

mental material followed Yamamoto *et al.* (1998). An F<sub>1</sub> plant, and the marker locus nearest to it. Averages of days to heading a cross between Nipponbare and Kasalath, was backcrossed in each class of QTL combination we a cross between Nipponbare and Kasalath, was backcrossed in each class of QTL combination<br>with Nipponbare as the male parent. By self-pollinating of GLM Proc (SAS Institute 1989). with Nipponbare as the male parent. By self-pollinating of GLM Proc (SAS Institute 1989).<br>this BC.F. plant, several BC.F. populations were produced. Selected lines of three kinds of QTL-NILs—NIL(Hd2), this  $BC_1F_1$  plant, several  $BC_1F_2$  populations were produced.<br>Suitable BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>2</sub> plants, in which at least five QTL for heading MIL(*target QTL*), and NIL(*Hd2/ target QTL*), in which both Suitable BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>2</sub> plants, in which at least five QTL for heading NIL(*target QTL*), and NIL(*Hd2/target QTL*), in which both 1<br>date (*Hd1–Hd5*: Yano *et al.* 1997) were homozygous for the *Hd2* and the target QTL are in date (*Hd1–Hd5*; Yano *et al.* 1997) were homozygous for the *Hd2* and the target QTL are introgressed—and Nipponbare<br>Nipponbare allele, were selected by whole-genome survey with were cultivated under three day-length cond Nipponbare allele, were selected by whole-genome survey with were cultivated under three day-length conditions (10.5, 12.0,<br>restriction, fragment, length, polymorphism, (RELP), markers and 14.5 hr) in growth chambers. The restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) markers and 14.5 hr) in growth chambers. The days to heading in each<br>and crossed with Nippophare again, Finally, we selected a line were then compared among lines. The same e and crossed with Nipponbare again. Finally, we selected a line were then compared a<br>BC.F. plant (BC.F.-37-7) in which there were introgressed design as above was used.  $BC_4F_1$  plant  $(BC_4F_1-37-7)$ , in which there were introgressed chromosomal segments of parts of chromosomes *2*, *3*, *6*, and *8* from Kasalath (Figure 1).

Self-pollinated progeny  $(BC_4F_2)$  derived from  $BC_4F_1-37-7$  RESULTS  $(n = 100)$  were cultivated in an experimental paddy field at the National Institute of Agrobiological Resources, Tsukuba, **Frequency distribution of days to heading and QTL** days to heading in the progeny lines.  $BC_4F_2$  and  $BC_4F_3$  progeny

localized chromosome (Ichitani *et al.* 1998; Kinoshita were cultivated in the normal growing season according to 1998). On the other hand many reports of OTI man, standard practice. The duration from seeding to heading wa 1998). On the other hand, many reports of QTL map-<br>
ping for heading date in rice by using DNA markers<br>
have also increased in these 5 years (Li *et al.* 1995; H. X.<br>
https://bn. 56 min and 14.3° for April: 14 hr. 4 min a have also increased in these 5 years (Li *et al.* 1995; H. X. kn, 56 min and 14.3° for April; 14 hr, 4 min and 18.7° for<br>Lin *et al.* 1995; Xiao *et al.* 1995, 1996, 1998; Lu *et al.* May; 14 hr, 37 min and 19.8° for June; May; 14 hr, 37 min and 19.8° for June; 14 hr, 17 min and 23.5° for July; and 13 hr, 26 min and 25.2° for August, respectively.

1998; Xiong *et al.* 1999). It is necessary to clarify the<br>relationships among these major genes and QTL, and<br>their biological functions—response to photoperiod<br>and duration of basic vegetative growth.<br>and duration of bas genotypes of these loci in each  $BC_4F_2$  plant were determined Yano *et al.* (1997) has reported five QTL, designated by Southern hybridization analysis following the procedure<br>*H1 Hd5* controlling rice boading date in an E popula of Kurata *et al.* (1994).

control were cultivated under four day-length conditions (10.5, 12.0, 13.5, and 14.5 hr) in growth chambers. The trial

suggested that the response to photoperiod of *Hd2*, a photoperiod sensitivity gene (H. X. Lin, T. Yamamoto, T. Sasaki and tion but not in the  $F_2$  population, and the possibility of<br>epistatic interaction among QTL controlling heading<br>date.<br>date. Self-pollinated progeny of an  $F_1$  plant derived from a cross between NIL(*Hd2*), developed by our group (H. X. Lin, T. Yamamoto, T. Sasaki and M. Yano, unpublished results), and MATERIALS AND METHODS NIL(*target QTL*) were cultivated in the paddy field. Scoring of days to heading and RFLP analysis were done for all segregants. The genotype of each QTL was assigned to the genotype **Experimental materials:** The process of developing experi-<br>ental material followed Yamamot o *et al.* (1998). An F, plant. From the marker locus nearest to it. Averages of days to heading

Japan. Scoring of days to heading (defined as duration from **analysis in the BC4F2 population:** The selected plant, sowing to emergence of the first panicle) and RFLP analysis<br>for heterozygous chromosomal regions were done for all separation of the Nippon-<br>gregants. Then 50 BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>3</sub> progeny of each BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> plant were<br>cultivated in t in each BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> plant was determined from the segregation of homozygous for two of three additional QTL detected days to heading in the progeny lines. BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> and BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>3</sub> progeny in backcross inbred lines of the sam



Figure 1.—Graphical genotype of plant  $BC_4F_1-37-7$ . Black and white regions represent segments of the chromosomes derived from Kasalath and Nipponbare, respectively. Ellipses indicate approximate positions of QTL detected in the  $F_2$  population (Yano *et al.* 1997); triangles indicate three additional QTL detected in a BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>5</sub> population (Lin *et al.* 1998).

1998). A self-pollinated progeny derived from this plant *Hd7*) showing a significant signal was near RFLP marker showed a continuous variation of 22 days' range (Figure C560 on chromosome *2* (Table 1). We thus identified 2). This variation seemed to be later than the variation a QTL, *Hd6*, that had escaped from the QTL detection in Nipponbare. QTL analysis with genotype data of the in a previous F<sub>2</sub>-based analysis by Yano *et al.* (1997) and heterozygous regions of  $BC_4F_1-37-7$  revealed that the confirmed another,  $Hd7$ , which is likely to be the QTL most significant QTL (tentatively designated *Hd6*) was detected by Lin *et al.* (1998). near RFLP marker R3226 on the long arm of chromo- **Fine mapping of** *Hd6***:** In BC4F3 progeny testing, three



Kasalath allele, and  $(\mathbb{Z})$  homozygous for Nipponbare allele, were estimated by BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>3</sub> progeny tests. **Characterization of** *Hd6***:** Figure 4A shows a graphical

erozygous for the other on chromosome *2* (Lin *et al.* some *3* (Table 1). Another QTL (tentatively designated

apparent phenotypes of heading were clearly visible: fixed lines of early heading (20 lines), segregating lines from early to late (52), and fixed lines of late heading (28). These values fit the ratio of single Mendelian segregation ( $\chi^2 = 1.44$ ). The three phenotypes were likely caused by the differences in the *Hd6* genotypes, based on the comparison of explained variances between *Hd6* and *Hd7*, although these two QTL segregated simultaneously in this population. Thus, early fixed lines are likely to be homozygous for the Nipponbare allele at *Hd6*, segregating lines are likely to be heterozygous, and late fixed lines are likely to be homozygous for the Kasalath allele. No recombinant was observed between *Hd6* and Figure 2.—Frequency distribution of days to heading in<br>self-pollinated progeny derived from BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>1</sub>-37-7. Three geno-<br>type classes of *Hd6*, ( $\square$ ) heterozygous, ( $\square$ ) homozygous for<br>Kasalath allele and ( $\boxtimes$ ) homozyg

## **TABLE 1**

**QTL controlling heading date detected in a self-pollinated population derived from BC4F1-37-7**

				Effects on the phenotype			
QTL	Chromosome	<b>NML</b>	LOD			d/a	PVE.
Hd <sub>6</sub>		R3226	19.2	4.8	2.5	0.52	58.7
Hd7	∼	C560	$3.2\,$	2.4	0.1	0.04	13.8

NML, nearest marker locus to the QTL; LOD, log likelihood value calculated by MAPMAKER/QTL vers. 1.1 in the condition of unconstrained genetics; *a*, additive effect on the Kasalath allele on days to heading; *d*, dominant effect of the Kasalath allele; *d*/*a*, degree of dominance; PVE, percentage of total phenotypic variance explained by the QTL.

genotype of NIL(*Hd6*). Table 2 shows days to heading in of both *Hd2* and *Hd6* influenced this variation (data

*Hd6***:**An F<sub>1</sub> hybrid of NIL(*Hd2*) (Figure 4B) and NIL(*Hd6*) static to *Hd6* in the field.<br>was developed to confirm an epistatic interaction between To further confirm the was developed to confirm an epistatic interaction between  $H d2$  and  $H d6$ . A self-pollinated population  $(n = 96)$  of these two QTL, a day-length treatment test in a growth *Hd2* and *Hd6.* A self-pollinated population ( $n = 96$ ) of these two QTL, a day-length treatment test in a growth this  $F_1$  plant showed 27 days' variation in range in days chamber was done with three QTL-NILs: NIL(*Hd2* this F<sub>1</sub> plant showed 27 days' variation in range in days chamber was done with three QTL-NILs: NIL(*Hd2*),<br>to heading. QTL analysis showed that the segregation NIL(*Hd6*), and NIL(*Hd2/Hd6*), which is a QTL-NIL for



map constructed from the F<sub>2</sub> population of Nipponbare and<br>Kasalath (Harushima *et al.* 1998). The right vertical bar reprection by the same as one of three QTL reported by Lin *et* Kasalath (Harushima *et al.* 1998). The right vertical bar repre-<br>sents the linkage map constructed in this study. Map distances sents the linkage map constructed in this study. Map distances *al.* (1998). Judging from its estimated gene effect, *Hd6*<br>(cM) were calculated by the Kosambi function and are shown might account for all of the previously (CM) were calculated by the Kosambi function and are shown<br>on the left of the bar. Names of markers and QTL are shown<br>on the right. The arrow shows the nearest marker loci, which<br>were estimated by Manmaker/OTL from analys were estimated by Mapmaker/QTL from analysis of the  $BC_4F_2$  *population.* 

NIL(*Hd6*) and Nipponbare under different day lengths. not shown). Figure 5 shows differences among mean There was a significant difference in photoperiod sensi- values of days to heading for nine genotype classes. tivity between NIL(*Hd6*) and Nipponbare at 13.5-hr day Under field conditions, a phenotypic difference caused length, suggesting that *Hd6* was the locus controlling by the genotype of *Hd6* was observed when the genotype photoperiod sensitivity and that the Kasalath allele en-<br>of *Hd2* was homozygous for Nipponbare or heterozyphotoperiod sensitivity and that the Kasalath allele en-<br>hanced photoperiod sensitivity.<br>gous, but not when the genotype of *Hd2* was homozygous, but not when the genotype of *Hd2* was homozy-**Evidence for epistatic interaction between** *Hd2* **and** gous for Kasalath. This result suggests that *Hd2* is epi-

> NIL(*Hd6*), and NIL(*Hd2/Hd6*), which is a QTL-NIL for both *Hd2* and *Hd6* (Figure 4C). Table 2 summarizes the responses of days to heading. NIL(*Hd6*) showed responses different from those of Nipponbare (explained in the previous section) and remained unheaded at 14.5-hr day length. Thus, the effect of the Kasalath allele of *Hd6*, increasing days to heading under long day length, was observed in plants homozygous for the Nipponbare allele at *Hd2* but not in those homozygous for the Kasalath allele. These results clearly support an epistatic interaction between *Hd2* and *Hd6.*

### DISCUSSION

Yano *et al.* (1997) indicated that five QTL (*Hd1–Hd5*) cause variation in rice heading date in crosses between Nipponbare and Kasalath. However, they could not explain all of the variation by these five QTL, and discussed the possibilities of both imprecise estimation of gene interaction among them and failure to detect additional QTL. Our study found two additional QTL controlling Figure 3.—Linkage map of chromosome 3, showing the location of Hd6. The left vertical bar indicates an RFLP linkage backcrossed between the same parents (Table 1). The larger one, Hd6, is new; the smaller one, Hd7, is like poccurs on the long arm of chromosome 3 as a single



$$
\mathbf{B}^{\prime}
$$



11  $12$ 



Hd6

Hd<sub>2</sub>



Figure 5.—Differences in mean values for days to heading in nine genotype classes of  $F_2$  segregants derived from the cross combination between NIL(*Hd2*) and NIL(*Hd6*) under field conditions. Each genotype is represented by the two nearest marker loci (C728 for *Hd2* and R2311 for *Hd6*). N, H, and K indicate homozygosity for the Nipponbare allele, heterozygosity, and homozygosity for the Kasalath allele, respectively.

Mendelian factor (Figure 3). It will be possible to use map-based cloning to identify *Hd6.*

**Relationship between** *Hd6* **and previously reported genes (QTL and classical mutants) controlling heading date:** Some QTL on rice chromosome *3* controlling heading date have already been reported (Li *et al.* 1995; H. X. Lin *et al.* 1995; Xiao *et al.* 1995, 1996, 1998; S. Y. Lin *et al.* 1998; Xiong *et al.* 1999). Most were identified by using RFLP markers developed at Cornell University (Causse *et al.* 1994). Harushima *et al.* (1998) clarified the direction of the chromosome arms in a high-density linkage map from the Japanese Rice Genome Research Program by using RFLP markers that had been used to define the direction in the Cornell linkage map (Singh *et al.* 1996). Based on the comparison of these two linkage maps, *Hd6* might be at the same locus as both *dth3-2* and *dth3.1* reported by Xiao *et al.* (1995, 1998). To confirm this possibility, common molecular markers must be used to map both QTL. Including the major photoperiod sensitivity gene reported previously, there are now no more reported genes on the long arm of chromosome *3.*

**Gene action of** *Hd6***:**At 13.5-hr day length in the growth chamber, the difference in days to heading between  $NIL(Hd6)$  and Nipponbare was  $\sim$  23 days (Table 2). Based on the comparison of days to heading in three genotypes Figure 4.—Graphical genotypes of three QTL-NILs. of *Hd6* when *Hd2* was homozygous for Nipponbare (pho-<br>ILL Hd6 (A) and NILLHd2/Hd6 (C) were developed in this toperiod-sensitive allele), the effect of increasing days to NIL(*Hd6*) (A) and NIL(*Hd2/Hd6*) (C) were developed in this deperiod-sensitive allele), the effect of increasing days to study. NIL(*Hd2*/ (B) was developed in our group (H. X. Lin. heading was  $\sim$ 9 days in the field (Fi study. NIL(*Hd2*) (B) was developed in our group (H. X. Lin, heading was  $\sim$ 9 days in the field (Figure 5). These facts T. Yamamoto, T. Sasaki and M. Yano, unpublished results). suggest that *Hd6* was itself the gene wit Ellipses and triangles are as in Figure 1. **Ellipses and triangles are as in Figure 1.** riod sensitivity, even though it had not been detected in the analysis of the  $F_2$  population.

		Difference in days				
QTL-NIL	10.5	12.0	13.5	14.5	to heading $(14.5 - 10.5)$	
Nipponbare	44.3	49.1	75.4	>120.0	>75.7	
Hd2	48.3	60.8		100.3	52.0	
Hd6	45.3	47.4	98.7	>120.0	>74.7	
Hd2, Hd6	51.7	68.3		104.7	53.0	

**Comparison of days to heading of three QTL-NILs and the recurrent parent, Nipponbare, under different day-length conditions**

All plant materials were grown in growth chambers at  $28^{\circ}$  for 12 hr and  $24^{\circ}$  for 12 hr.

**tected in a primary population?** In the  $F_2$  population, bean (Lark *et al.* 1995), sorghum (Y. R. Lin *et al.* 1995), the LOD score of the RFLP marker near *Hd6* was 0.75 and rice (Xiao *et al.* 1995; Li *et al.* 1997; Yano *et al.* (M. Yano, unpublished data). With a score this low, it is 1997; Yu *et al.* 1997)—but successful examples of detecdifficult to predict the existence of a QTL; the empirical tion seem to be relatively few. The more the number threshold level in many reports is 2.0–3.0. Although the of contributing QTL increases, the more difficult it is  $F_2$  study and this study used the same parents, Nippon- to detect significant differences to distinguish individual bare and Kasalath, why did the results differ? Consider- QTL, except by using a huge population size. Yano *et* ing our day-length treatment test of some combinations *al.* (1997) predicted an interaction between *Hd1* and of QTL-NILs, epistatic interaction might be an explana- *Hd2*, the two largest QTL. But the existence of *Hd6* and tion. In the field, days to heading of the segregants its interaction could not be detected in their analysis homozygous for the Kasalath allele of *Hd2* were not population (F<sub>2</sub>). They suggested that many epistatic inaffected by the genotype of *Hd6* (Figure 5). This suggests teractions could exist in so-called minor QTL that are that *Hd6* might influence the expression of photoperiod not detected in the primary population. sensitivity caused by  $H\!d2$ . In the QTL analysis of 186  $F_2$  Thus it is necessary to develop new experimental maplants by Yano *et al.* (1997), the phenotypic difference terials, such as chromosomal substitution lines or NILs, caused by segregation of the chromosomal region, for better understanding of quantitative genetics. As in where *Hd6* is located, was surveyed under the situation the cases of Doebley *et al.* (1995) with teosinte, Eshed of simultaneous segregation of two major photoperiod- and Zamir (1996) or Bernacchi and Tanksley (1997) sensitive QTL, *Hd1* and *Hd2.* This situation could not with tomato, and this study with rice, we can understand secure a large enough population to detect the gene epistatic interactions among QTL three ways: by coneffect of *Hd6*, which shows epistatic interaction. As a structing QTL-NILs for each detected QTL by MAS result, the variance due to the difference in genotypes based on the results of primary QTL analysis, by combinmight not be distinguishable from the variance due to ing QTL by crossing all QTL-NILs, and by comparing the segregation of other QTL and environmental error. each phenotype with each combining QTL genotype. In summary, in QTL analysis of a population in which We have used this strategy and suggest that three photoa QTL with a large effect will segregate, a putative gene period-sensitive QTL, *Hd1*, *Hd2*, and *Hd3*, interacted effect of an epistatic QTL can be recognized only as a with each other. In this sense, it will be necessary to small effect, even if its actual gene effect is large. Tanks- investigate epistatic interactions between *Hd6* and the ley (1993) has discussed this type of risk, where a popu- other photoperiod-sensitive loci, *Hd1* and *Hd3* (H. X.

The importance of evaluation of gene action by QTL-**NILs:** We have clearly shown that *Hd6* shows epistatic We thank Drs. Kouichi Hasegawa and Naoto Nitta for advice and interaction with another photoperiod sensitivity gene, encouragement. We also thank the staff of the Fa interaction with another photoperiod sensitivity gene, encouragement. We also thank the staff of the Farm Management *Hd2* (Figure 5: Table 2). It is generally thought that Division of the National Institute of Agrobiologi *Hd2* (Figure 5; Table 2). It is generally thought that Division of the National Institute of Agrobiological Resources for their particle interaction should be involved in quantitative support on rice plant cultivation. Th epistatic interaction should be involved in quantitative support on rice plant cultivation. This work was supported by funds<br>inheritance. However, because ordinal QTL analyses from the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, an nome simultaneously, it has been difficult to detect an interaction in a specific combination of QTL genotypes. To our knowledge, some researchers have tried to detect Fig. The LITERATURE CITED epistatic interactions by using primary populations— Alpert, K. B., and S. D. Tanksley, 1996 High-resolution mapping such as in maize (Edwards *et al.* 1987; Stuber *et al.* and isolation of a yeast artificial chromosome contig containing

**Why was a QTL having a large gene effect not de-** 1992), tomato (De Vicente and Tanksley 1993), soy-

lation size is small.<br> **Example 19 Example 19** 

- 1991 Quantitative trait loci for plant height in four maize populations and their associations with qualitative loci. Theor. Appl. Lincoln, S., M. Daly and E. S. Lander, 1993 Mapping genes con-
- Bernacchi, D., and S. D. Tanksley, 1997 An interspecific backcross of *Lycopersicon esculentum* 3 *L. hirsutum:* linkage analysis and a QTL bridge, MA.
- Causse, M. A., T. M. Fulton, Y. G. Cho, S. N. Ahn, J. Chunwongse *et al.*, 1994 Saturated molecular map of the rice genome based 145–150.<br>
on an interspecific backcross population. Genetics 138: 1251– Nelson, J. C 1274. analysis and breeding. Mol. Breed. **3:** 239–245.
- program for identifying and testing interactions between pairs of quantitative trait loci. Theor. Appl. Genet. **94:** 724-730.
- De Vicente, M. C., and S. D. Tanksley, 1993 QTL analysis of trans-<br>gressive segregation in an interspecific tomato cross. Genetics
- the origin of maize: evidence for epistasis and the evolution of dominance. Genetics 141: 333-346.
- Doi, K., A. Yoshimura and N. Iwata, 1998 RFLP mapping and SAS Institute, Cary, NC. QTL analysis of heading date and pollen sterility using backcross Singh, K., T. Ishii, A. Parco, N. Huang, D. S. Brar *et al.*, 1996 Cen-
- Dorweiler, J., A. Stec, J. Kermicle and J. Doebley, 1993 *Teosinte*
- Edwards, M. D., C. W. Stuber and J. F. Wendel, 1987 Molecular- molecular markers. Genetics **132:** 823–839. I. Numbers, genomic distribution and types of gene action. Genet- 205–233.
- Eshed, Y., and D. Zamir, 1996 Less-than-additive epistatic interaction of quantitative trait loci in tomato. Genetics **143:** 1807-1817.
- Harushima, Y., M. Yano, A. Shomura, M. Sato, T. Shimano *et al.*, *folium.* Theor. Appl. Genet. **92:** 213–224.<br>1998 A high-density rice genetic linkage map with 2275 markers Tinker, N. A., and D. E. Mather, 1995 MQTL: soft 1998 A high-density rice genetic linkage map with 2275 markers using a single  $F_2$  population. Genetics **148:** 479-494.
- Ichitani, K., Y. Okumoto and T. Tanisaka, 1998 Genetic analyses ments. J. Quant. Trait Loci http://probe.nalusda.gov:<br>of low photoperiod sensitivity of rice cultivars from the northern-orthern-ortherdocs/jqtl/jqtl1995-02/j of low photoperiod sensitivity of rice cultivars from the northern-<br>most regions of Japan. Plant Breed. 117: 543-547.
- Kinoshita, T., 1998 Report of the committee on gene symboliza- major genetic basis of heterosis in rice as revealed by tion, nomenclature and linkage groups. II. Linkage mapping using molecular markers. Genetics 140: 745–7 tion, nomenclature and linkage groups. II. Linkage mapping using mutant genes in rice. Rice Genet. Newsl. **15:** 13-74.
- 883 expressed sequences. Nat. Genet. **8:** 365–372. Appl. Genet. **92:** 230–244.
- constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and rice relative, *Oryza rufipogon.* Genetics **150:** 899–909.
- trait variation at one locus is conditional upon a specific allele *Oryza sativa* and *O. rufipogon.* Theor. Appl. Genet. **98:** 243–251.
- height in cultivated rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Theor. Appl. Genet. **91:** 374-381.
- Li, Z., S. R. M. Pinson, W. D. Park, A. H. Paterson and J. W. Stansel, 1997 Epistasis for three grain yield components in rice. (*Oryza*
- RFLP mapping of major and minor genes for heading date in **95:** 1025-1032.<br>rice. Rice Genet. Newsl. 12: 253-255. *Yu*, S. B., J. X. Li, C. O
- *sativa* L., using backcross inbred lines. Theor. Appl. Genet. **96:**
- *fw2.2*: a major fruit weight quantitative trait locus in tomato. Proc. Lin, Y. R., K. F. Schertz and A. H. Paterson, 1995 Comparative and N. Acad. Sci. USA 93: 15503-15507. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **93:** 15503-15507. **analysis of QTLs affecting plant height and maturity across the Beavis, W. D., D. Grant, M. Albertsen and R. Fincher, Poaceae, in reference to an interspecific sorghum population.** Poaceae, in reference to an interspecific sorghum population.<br>Genetics 141: 391-411.
	- Genet. **83:** 141–145. trolling quantitative traits with Mapmaker/QTL 1.1, Whitehead
	- Lu, C., L. Shen, Z. Tan, Y. Xu, P. He *et al.*, 1997 Comparative 861–877.<br>See, M. A., T. M. Fulton, Y. G. Cho, S. N. Ahn, J. Chunwongse using a doubled-haploid population. Theor. Appl. Genet. 94:
	- on an interspecific backcross population. Genetics **138:** 1251– Nelson, J. C., 1997 QGENE: software for marker-based genomic
		- Paterson, A. H., E. S. Lander, J. D. Hewitt, S. Peterson, S. E.<br>Lincoln et al., 1988 Resolution of quantitative traits into Mendelian factors by using a complete map of restriction fragment length polymorphisms. Nature 335: 721-726.
- gressive segregation in an interspecific tomato cross. Genetics Paterson, A. H., Y. R. Lin, Z. Li, K. F. Schertz, J. F. Doebley *et al.*,<br>1995 Convergence of domestication of cereal crops by indepen-**134:** 585–596. 1995 Convergence of domestication of cereal crops by independent mutations at corresponding genetic loci. Science **269:** 1714–1718.
	- SAS Institute, 1989 *SAS/STAT User's Guide;* Vers. 6, Vol. 2, Ed. 4.
	- population between *Oryza sativa* L. and *Oryza glaberrima* Steud. tromere mapping and orientation of the molecular linkage map-Breed. Sci. **48:** 395–399. ping of rice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **93:** 6163–6168.
	- *glume architecture 1*: a genetic locus controlling a key step in maize E. S. Lander, 1992 Identification of genetic factors contributing evolution. Science **262:** 233–235. to heterosis in a hybrid from two elite maize inbred lines using
		- Tanksley, S. D., 1993 Mapping polygenes. Annu. Rev. Genet. 27:
		- Tanksley, S. D., S. Grandillo, T. M. Fulton, D. Zamir, Y. Eshed<br>*et al.*, 1996 Advanced backcross QTL analysis in a cross between an elite processing line of tomato and its wild relative *L. pimpinelli-folium*. Theor. Appl. Genet. **92:** 213-224.
		- fied composite interval mapping of QTL in multiple environ-<br>ments. J. Quant. Trait Loci http://probe.nalusda.gov.8000/
		- Xiao, J., J. Li, L. Yuan and S. D. Tanksley, 1995 Dominance is the major genetic basis of heterosis in rice as revealed by QTL analysis
- using mutant genes in rice. Rice Genet. Newsl. **15:** 13–74. Xiao, J., J. Li, L. Yuan and S. D. Tanksley, 1996 Identification of QTLs affecting traits of agronomic importance in a recombinant *al.*, 1994 A 300 kilobase interval genetic map of rice including inbred population derived from a subspecific rice cross. Theor.
- Lander, E. S., P. Green, J. Abrahamson, A. Barlow, M. J. Daley Xiao, J., J. Li, S. Grandillo, S. N. Ahn, L. Yuan *et al.*, 1998 Identification of trait-improving quantitative trait loci alleles from a wild
- Xiong, L. Z., K. D. Liu, X. K. Dai, C. G. Xu and Q. Zhang, 1999 Lark, K. G., K. Chase, F. Adler, L. M. Mansur and J. H. Orf, 1995 Identification of genetic factors controlling domestication-Interactions between quantitative trait loci in soybean in which related traits of rice using an  $F_2$  population of a cross between trait variation at one locus is conditional upon a specific allele  $\frac{Oryza \, sativa$  and *O.*
- at another. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **92:** 4656–4660. Yamamoto, T., Y. Kuboki, S. Y. Lin, T. Sasaki and M. Yano, 1998 Li, Z., S. R. M. Pinson, J. W. Stansel and W. D. Park, 1995 Identifi- Fine mapping of quantitative trait loci, *Hd-1*, *Hd-2* and *Hd-3*, cation of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for heading date and plant controlling heading date of rice as single Mendelian factors.<br>
height in cultivated rice (Oryza sativa L.). Theor. Appl. Genet. Theor. Appl. Genet. 97: 37
	- Yano, M., and T. Sasaki, 1997 Genetic and molecular dissection of quantitative traits in rice. Plant. Mol. Biol. **35:** 145–153.
- Yano, M., Y. Harushima, Y. Nagamura, N. Kurata, Y. Minobe *et al.*, *sativa* L.). Genetics **145:** 453–465.<br>Lin, H. X., H. R. Qian, J. Y. Zhuang, J. Lu, Z. M. Xiong *et al.*, 1995 date of rice using a high-density linkage map. Theor. Appl. Genet. date of rice using a high-density linkage map. Theor. Appl. Genet.
- rice. Rice Genet. Newsl. 12: 253-255.<br>
Lin, S. Y., J. X. Li, C. G. Xu, Y. F. Tan, Y. J. Gao et al., 1997 Importance<br>
Lin, S. Y., T. Sasaki and M. Yano, 1998 Mapping quantitative trait<br>
loci controlling seed dormancy and he

Communicating editor: J. A. Birchler