# The Mitotic DNA Damage Checkpoint Proteins Rad17 and Rad24 Are Required for Repair of Double-Strand Breaks During Meiosis in Yeast

Miki Shinohara,\*<sup>,†</sup> Kazuko Sakai,\* Tomoko Ogawa<sup>‡</sup> and Akira Shinohara<sup>\*,§,1</sup>

\*Department of Biology, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, 560-0043 Japan, <sup>†</sup>Research Institute for Radiation Biology and Medicine, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, 734-8553 Japan, <sup>‡</sup>Iwate College for Nursing, Iwate, 020-0151 Japan and <sup>§</sup>Precursory Research for Embryonic Science and Technology, JST, Toyonaka, Osaka, 560-0043 Japan

> Manuscript received January 17, 2003 Accepted for publication March 14, 2003

#### ABSTRACT

We show here that deletion of the DNA damage checkpoint genes RAD17 and RAD24 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae delays repair of meiotic double-strand breaks (DSBs) and results in an altered ratio of crossoverto-noncrossover products. These mutations also decrease the colocalization of immunostaining foci of the RecA homologs Rad51 and Dmc1 and cause a delay in the disappearance of Rad51 foci, but not of Dmc1. These observations imply that RAD17 and RAD24 promote efficient repair of meiotic DSBs by facilitating proper assembly of the meiotic recombination complex containing Rad51. Consistent with this proposal, extra copies of RAD51 and RAD54 substantially suppress not only the spore inviability of the rad24 mutant, but also the  $\gamma$ -ray sensitivity of the mutant. Unexpectedly, the entry into meiosis I (metaphase I) is delayed in the checkpoint single mutants compared to wild type. The control of the cell cycle in response to meiotic DSBs is also discussed.

M<sup>EIOSIS</sup> generates gametes by halving the diploid genome. This process is accomplished by two successive rounds of chromosome segregation, which follow a single round of DNA replication. Reciprocal crossover recombination, together with sister chromatid cohesion, provides physical connections that facilitate proper segregation of homologous chromosomes at the first meiotic division.

In the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the DNA events of meiotic recombination have been defined in some detail. Recombination is initiated by doublestrand breaks (DSBs), the ends of which are resected to produce 3'-single-strand tails (ROEDER 1997; KEENEY 2001). Intermediates are differentiated into two types: those that will ultimately form crossovers and those that will not, i.e., noncrossovers. The crossover/noncrossover differentiation process is thought to occur at a very early stage, as one end of a processed DSB becomes engaged with homologous sequences on a nonsister chromosome in a nascent joint molecule intermediate. Extensive strand-exchange ensues to form a displacement-loop intermediate known as a single-end invasion (SEI; HUNTER and KLECKNER 2001). Then, new DNA synthesis and interaction of the second DSB end forms a joint molecule structure called a double-Holliday junction (dHJ; SCHWACHA and KLECKNER 1994, 1995). Both SEIs and dHJs are thought to be specific to the crossover pathway (Allers and LICHTEN 2001; HUNTER and KLECKNER 2001). Noncrossovers presumably arise via intermediates that are less readily detectable, *e.g.*, are less stable and/or more transient (ALLERS and LICHTEN 2001; HUNTER and KLECKNER 2001).

In yeast, meiotic recombination involves many different proteins (ROEDER 1997). Two RecA homologs, Rad51 and Dmc1, play a critical role in strand invasion and exchange of single-strand DNA (ssDNA) with the homologous double-strand DNA (dsDNA; BISHOP *et al.* 1992; SHINOHARA *et al.* 1992). Rad51 is necessary for both mitotic and meiotic recombination, but Dmc1 is specific to meiosis. Rad51 and Dmc1 occur together on meiotic chromosomes, and the complex can be seen by immunostaining (BISHOP 1994; DRESSER *et al.* 1997; SHINOHARA *et al.* 2000). Rad51 and Dmc1 cooperate both in the formation of crossovers and in the control of recombination (SHINOHARA *et al.* 2003).

In mitosis, checkpoint proteins sense DNA damage and link repair with cell cycle progression (WEINERT 1998; ZHOU and ELLEDGE 2000). These proteins can detect one or a few DSBs in the genome (SANDELL and ZAKIAN 1993; LEE *et al.* 1998). A number of the proteins have been identified in budding yeast, and they are highly conserved from yeast to human. For instance, in yeast, Rad24 forms a complex with RFC2/3/4/5 and recruits a PCNA-like complex, Rad17-Mec3-Ddc1, onto chromatin (ZHOU and ELLEDGE 2000). This recruitment activates a key protein kinase, Mec1/Esr1, which binds directly to the site of DNA damage (KONDO *et al.* 2001; MELO *et al.* 2001). Activated Mec1/Esr1 triggers a kinase cascade, which delays the cell cycle and induces a transcriptional response to DNA damage.

In meiosis, the checkpoint proteins are also required.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: Department of Biology, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, 1-1 Machikaneyama, Toyonaka, Osaka, 560-0043 Japan. E-mail: ashino@bio.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

#### TABLE 1

Strain and plasmid list

	Genotype	Reference		
	Strains			
NKY1551	MATa/α ho::LYS2/" ura3/" leu2::hisG/" lys2/" his4X-LEU2-BamHI-URA3/his4B-LEU2 arg4-nsp/arg4-bgl	STORLAZZI et al. (1996)		
MSY717	A derivative of NKY1551 with rad24::LEU2/"	This study		
MSY587	A derivative of NKY1551 with <i>rad17::hisG/"</i>	This study		
MSY833	MATa ho::LYS2 ura3 leu2::hisG trp1::hisG lys2	This study		
MSY966	MATa ho::LYS2 ura3 leu2::hisG trp1::hisG lys2 rad24::LEU2	This study		
MSY968	MATa ho::LYS2 ura3 leu2::hisG trp1::hisG lys2 rad24::LEU2	This study		
KSY170	MAT <b>a</b> /α ho::LYS2/" ura3/" leu2::hisG/" lys2/" his4X-LEU2-BamHI-URA3/his4B-LEU2 arg4-nsp/arg4-bgl trp1::hisG/" spo11-Y135F::kanMX4/"	Сна et al. (2000)		
	Plasmids			
pRS424	2µ TRP1	Christianson <i>et al.</i> (1992)		
pWL5	pRS416 with RAD24 URA3 ARS-CEN	T. Weinert		
pMS48	YEplac195 with RAD51	This study		
pMS117	YEplac195 with RAD54	This study		
pMS180	pRS424 with <i>RED1</i>	This study		
pMS181	pRS424 with MEK1/MRE4	This study		
pMS300	YEplac195 with TID1/RDH54	This study		

Some checkpoint mutations suppress the meiotic prophase arrest induced by abnormal recombination and chromosome synapsis in mutants such as *dmc1*, *hop2*, and *zip1* (LYDALL *et al.* 1996; LEU *et al.* 1998; SAN-SEG-UNDO and ROEDER 1999; HONG and ROEDER 2002). These studies have established the concept of the pachytene checkpoint, which is believed to coordinate recombination, and possibly chromosome synapsis, with progression of meiosis (ROEDER and BAILIS 2000).

At the pachytene checkpoint, Rad24-RFC and Rad17-Mec3-Ddc1 complexes recognize incomplete recombination and activate the Mec1/Esr1 kinase, as in mitosis (BAILIS and ROEDER 2000; HONG and ROEDER 2002). This phosphorylates a meiosis-specific kinase, Mek1/ Mre4. Activated Mek1/Mre4 in turn promotes the phosphorylation of a meiosis-specific chromosomal protein, Red1 (BAILIS and ROEDER 2000; HONG and ROEDER 2002). Dephosphorylation of Red1 by Glc7 plays a critical role in the exit from pachytene (BAILIS and ROEDER 2000), although the role of Glc7 itself in meiosis is controversial (TACHIKAWA et al. 2001). In mitosis, Mec1/Esr1 also phosphorylates the Rad53 kinase, which is homologous to Mek1/Mre4, but Rad53 itself is not required for meiosis (ROEDER and BAILIS 2000). Interestingly, in mitosis, a few DSBs are sufficient to delay or arrest the cell cycle. In meiosis >200 DSBs are formed per nucleus, but the checkpoints have not yet been studied in detail.

In meiosis, the DNA damage checkpoint proteins also determine gamete viability. This is inferred because spore viability is reduced in some checkpoint single mutants of budding yeast (LYDALL *et al.* 1996). In fruit flies, a mutant of the *mei-41* gene, the homolog of yeast Mec1/Esr1, also reduces crossover frequencies (BAKER and CARPENTER 1972; CARPENTER 1979). Similarly, the *mec1/esr1* mutation decreases the frequencies of crossovers when assayed by forcing the meiotic mutant cells into mitotic growth (KATO and OGAWA 1994). Furthermore, some checkpoint single mutants show increased ectopic recombination, which is an exchange between nonallelic sites on nonsister chromosomes (GRUSHCOW *et al.* 1999). The checkpoint mutations also increase meiotic recombination between sister chromosomes (THOMP-SON and STAHL 1999), but the exact role of these proteins in meiosis remains unclear.

In this report, we show that the *rad17* and *rad24* checkpoint mutants are defective in the repair of meiotic DSBs. These mutants also affect formation of Rad51 and Dmc1 complexes on chromosomes. We also found a genetic interaction between *RAD51* and *RAD24* in both meiosis and mitosis. These findings suggest that Rad24 and Rad17 are involved in the strand invasion and exchange steps of meiotic recombination. In addition, we unexpectedly found that in the mutants meiosis proceeds more slowly than usual. The control of the cell cycle in response to DSBs is also discussed.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Strains:** All strains were derivatives of rapidly sporulating yeast SK-1 and are listed in Table 1. The *spo11-Y135F* mutant strains are generous gifts from Scott Keeney.

**Plasmids:** See Table 1. To construct a high-copy *RAD51* plasmid, pMS48, a 3.7-kb *Bam*HI fragment containing the *RAD51* gene was inserted into the *Bam*HI site of YEplac195 (GIETZ and SUGINO 1988). For pMS117, a *PstI-Eco*RI fragment containing the *RAD54* gene was inserted into the *PstI and Eco*RI sites of YEplac195. pMS181 was constructed by inserting

a *Bam*HI-*Pst*I fragment containing the *MRE4/MEK1* gene into the *Bam*HI and *Pst*I sites of pRS424 (CHRISTIANSON *et al.* 1992). pMS155 was made by inserting a *XhoI-Xba*I fragment containing *DMC1* into the *Xho*I and *Xba*I sites of pRS314. pMS300 was constructed by cloning a PCR-amplified fragment containing *TID1/RDH54* (nos. 382564–386130 of chromosome *II* in the YPD database) into the *Bam*HI and *Xba*I site of YEplac195. pMS180 was made by inserting a PCR-amplified fragment (nos. 669869–672932 of chromosome *XII*) containing the *RED1* gene into the *Bam*HI and *Eco*RI sites of pRS424. The sequences of oligonucleotides for PCR amplification are available upon request.

Physical analysis of genomic DNAs: Meiotic time course experiments were carried out as described (CAO et al. 1990; M. SHINOHARA et al. 1997). Presporulation culture was carried out in special presporulation medium for 16 hr. The cells were collected, washed, and resuspended in sporulation medium (SPM) to initiate meiosis. Aliquots of cells were withdrawn and genomic DNAs were prepared as described (M. SHINOHARA et al. 1997). For DSB analysis, genomic DNAs were digested with PstI and subjected to electrophoresis in a 0.8% agarose gel for 24 hr at 10 V/cm. For crossover analysis, DNAs were cut with XhoI and analyzed on a 0.6% agarose gel for 48 hr. For crossover/noncrossover and heteroduplex analysis, DNAs were digested with XhoI and MluI and with XhoI, BamHI, and MluI, respectively, and analyzed on a 0.6% agarose gel for 48 hr. DNAs were transferred onto a nylon membrane (Hybond N; Amersham, Buckinghamshire, UK) by capillary transfer and analyzed by Southern hybridization. Blots were visualized and quantified using a phosphorimager, BAS2000 (Fuji). Probes were pNKY155 (STORLAZZI et al. 1995) for crossover/ noncrossover and heteroduplex assays and pNKY291 for DSB and crossover assays. The amounts of crossover and noncrossover heteroduplexes were <0.5% of total DNA, which made it difficult to accurately compare absolute amounts of the products. The ratio of crossover-to-noncrossover heteroduplexes is a more accurate measurement for the comparison, since the amount of total DNA could be ignored.

**Cytology:** To determine the frequency and kinetics of meiotic division, cells were fixed with 70% ethanol and frozen at  $-20^{\circ}$ , stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), and then observed and counted under a fluorescent microscope. At least 200 nuclei were assessed. Spindles were examined by staining with rat antitubulin (YOL1/57; Sera Lab) as described previously (KAISER *et al.* 1994).

Meiotic chromosome spreads were prepared as described (BISHOP 1994; SHINOHARA et al. 2000). Meiotic cells were spheroplasted and surface spread on glass slides in the presence of detergent (lipsol) and fixative (4% paraformaldehyde). After drying, nuclei were immunostained as described previously (SHINOHARA et al. 2000). The slides were incubated simultaneously with guinea pig anti-Rad51 and rabbit anti-Dmc1 overnight at 4°, followed by incubation with secondary antibodies for 2 hr at 4°. Epifluorescence microscopy was carried out using Olympus BX51 or Zeiss Axiovert 135M. Images were captured with a cooled charge-couple device digital camera (Cool Snap; Photometrix) and processed using IP lab (Solution Systems) and Photoshop (Adobe) software. For triple staining, filter exchange was carried out using an automatic filter wheel (Roper Japan). The absence of offset between each filter was assessed using 500-nm microsphere beads with multiple colors (TetraSpeck microspheres; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). There was little offset of images by exchanging filters. Foci were scored, and colocalization frequency was determined as previously described (PADMORE et al. 1991; Shinohara et al. 2000).

**Determination of γ-ray sensitivity:** Three individual transformants were analyzed for their ability to repair γ-ray damage.

The strains were pregrown in synthetic medium lacking tryptophan or uracil (SC-Trp or SC-Ura) overnight. After sixfold dilution, the cells were grown for 3 hr and irradiated with  $\gamma$ -ray using a SHIMADZU Isotron RTGS-21 (Shimadzu, Tokyo). After serial dilution, cells were plated on SC-Trp or SC-Ura plates and incubated for 4 days. The numbers of colonies on the plates were counted.

### RESULTS

Meiotic cell cycle progression of rad17 and rad24 mutants: In mutants such as *dmc1*, *zip1*, and *hop2*, abnormal meiotic recombination arrests the cell cycle, but mutations in the DNA damage checkpoint genes can suppress this delay (LYDALL et al. 1996; LEU and ROEDER 1999; BAILIS and ROEDER 2000). However, the effect on meiosis of mutations in individual checkpoint genes has not been analyzed in detail. We therefore studied the timing of meiotic divisions I (MI) and II (MII) in mutants such as rad17 and rad24, since the mutants show the worst spore viability among checkpoint mutants (LYDALL et al. 1996; LEU et al. 1998; SAN-SEGUNDO and ROEDER 1999; HONG and ROEDER 2002), and compared each of them to wild-type cells. To assess cell cycle progression, cells were stained with DAPI, and DAPI-staining spots were counted. In this study, MI and MII are defined as cells with more than two (two, three, and four) and more than three (three and four) DAPI-staining spots, respectively, and thus score cells after anaphase I and anaphase II. We also studied the spo11-Y135F mutant, which is defective in the formation of meiotic DSBs but does not affect the progression of premeiotic S-phase (CHA et al. 2000).

As reported, *spo11-Y135F* mutant cells enter MI 1 hr earlier than wild type (data not shown). Surprisingly, the *rad24* and *rad17* mutants show a substantial delay (0.9 and 1.0 hr, respectively; Student's *t*-test, P < 0.001) in entry into MI (Figure 1, A and E). In addition, in the checkpoint mutants,  $\sim 20-30\%$  of the cells did not enter MII (Figure 1B). The delay in the *rad17* mutant was reported previously, although neither mentioned nor analyzed statistically (GRUSHCOW *et al.* 1999). These results are somewhat unexpected, considering the role of *RAD17* and *RAD24*: if checkpoint proteins monitor meiotic DSBs and delay cell cycle as in mitosis, then mutants would be predicted to enter divisions earlier than wild-type cells.

We confirmed the delay by analyzing the timing of spindle elongation (Figure 1, C–E). Meiotic cells were stained with antitubulin antibody and cells containing short spindles were counted. When cells enter the metaphase of MI, short spindles are formed, and these spindles elongate slightly before the nuclear division. Compared to wild type, in the *spo11-Y135F* mutant, elongation occurs 0.7 hr earlier, while in the *rad17* and *rad24* mutants, elongation occurs ~1 hr later. These findings confirm that meiotic prophase progression is slower in *rad17* and *rad24* than in wild type.

The delay of cell cycle progression seen in *rad17* and *rad24* is not due to a prolonged premeiotic S-phase. Analysis of DNA contents by fluorescence-activated cell sorter indicates little delay (K. SAKAI and A. SHINOHARA, unpublished results). Consistent with this, the checkpoint mutant with the *spo11-Y135F* mutation shows kinetics of meiosis I and II similar to those of the *spo11-Y135F* mutation (K. SAKAI and A. SHINOHARA, unpublished results), implying that the defect in the delay is dependent on *SPO11* function, and possibly on DSB formation. Furthermore, the meiotic DSBs form at the same time as they do in the wild type (see below), suggesting normal S-phase progression.

**Repair of meiotic DSBs is impaired in the** *rad17* **and** *rad24* **mutants:** The spore inviability cannot be explained by the known role of the checkpoint genes in meiosis. We therefore used Southern blotting to analyze the formation and repair of meiotic DSBs at a well-



known recombination hot spot, HIS4-LEU2 (CAO et al. 1990). After a 3-hr incubation in SPM, wild-type cells gave two bands at this locus, implying the formation of a break. The bands disappeared after a 7-hr incubation (Figure 2, B and C), indicating that the break had been turned over. In the rad17 and rad24 mutants, the bands formed at the same time as they did in wild type, but their disappearance was delayed (compare blots at 6 and 7 hr in mutants and wild type). In addition, the bands in the mutants are much more heterogeneous than those in wild type. LYDALL et al. (1996) showed the formation of 3'-OH ssDNA of DSB ends. The defect is common to mutants that are defective in strand exchange, e.g., dmc1, rad51 (BISHOP et al. 1992; A. SHINO-HARA et al. 1992, 1997; SCHWACHA and KLECKNER 1997). Furthermore, rad50S rad24 double mutants accumulate an amount of DSBs similar to that of the rad50S single mutant (A. SHINOHARA and M. SHINOHARA, unpublished results), indicating that the checkpoint mutations do not affect the formation of meiotic DSBs. These results suggest that the rad17 and rad24 mutants are defective in the conversion of meiotic DSBs into the next recombination intermediate.

The *rad17* and *rad24* mutants reduce the formation of crossover and noncrossover intermediates: Since DSB turnover is delayed, we were interested in looking at the formation of crossover and noncrossover heteroduplexes at the *HIS4-LEU2* recombination hotspot (Figure 2A). The analytical method was originally developed by Kleckner and her colleagues (STORLAZZI *et al.* 1995). Parental chromosomes contain a unique restriction site (*MluI* or *Bam*HI) near the site of the DSB (DSB I). In addition, a polymorphism at *XhoI* restriction sites can distinguish crossover molecules from parental molecules. Thus, after the digestion of genomic DNAs with

FIGURE 1.-Meiotic cell cycle progression of mutants in single checkpoint genes. (A and B) Cells incubated with sporulation medium were collected at different time points and scored for meiotic division by staining with DAPI. Nuclei with more than two and three or four DAPI-staining bodies are plotted in A and B, respectively. More than 200 cells were counted for each time point. Time "0" is the time when cells were transferred into SPM.  $\bigcirc$ , wild type (NKY1551);  $\triangle$ , rad24 (MSY717); ▲, rad17 (MSY587). (C and D) Cells were stained with antitubulin antibody, cells with elongated spindles were counted, and the percentages of the cells were plotted (C). More than 200 cells were counted for each time point. Cumulative curves (D) were calculated from noncumulative curves in C, as described in PADMORE *et al.* (1991). O, wild type (NKY1551); ●, *spo11-Y135F* (KSY170); △, *rad24* (MSY717); ▲, rad17 (MSY587). (E) Time of entry into MI (anaphase I), when 50% of cells enter into MI, was determined from several independent experiments of DAPI and antitubulin staining as described above (A and D). Confidence intervals indicate  $\pm$  values for 95% confidence in the mean values given. One-way Student's t-tests were carried out to obtain Pvalues for each mutant against wild type. Similar results were obtained by a one-way ANOVA test (data not shown).





FIGURE 2.—DSB repair and recombinant formation in checkpoint mutants. (A) Schematic drawing of the *HIS4-LEU2* recombination hotspot. B, *Bam*HI; M, *Mlu*I; P, *Pst*I; X, *Xho*I. (B and C) DSB and its repair in the checkpoint mutants. Genomic DNAs from cells harvested at different times after the induction of meiosis were analyzed for DSB (B) and quantified (C). (C)  $\bigcirc$ , wild type (NKY1551);  $\triangle$ , *rad24* (MSY717);  $\blacktriangle$ , *rad17* (MSY587). (D) The formation of heteroduplex in various mutants. Genomic DNAs from cells harvested at different times after the induction of meiosis were analyzed for heteroduplex. P1 and P2 are parental DNAs. HD1 and HD3 are noncrossover structures while HD2 (contains two bands) is a crossover structure. ER1 and ER2 are products of ectopic recombination. Wild type, NKY1551; *rad24*, MSY717; *rad17*, MSY587. (E) The ratios of amounts of noncrossover heteroduplexes (HD1 plus HD3) to that of crossover HD (HD2) at 10 hr were calculated. We show the ratios of the products, which give more accurate measurement than the amounts, since the amount of each product is <0.5% of total DNAs. The average ratios for three independent experiments are shown.

*Bam*HI, *Mhu*I, and *Xho*I, DNA molecules containing a heteroduplex migrate more slowly than parental molecules. Furthermore, crossover and noncrossover molecules containing the heteroduplex exhibit a unique mobility.

In wild type, as shown previously, both crossover and noncrossover heteroduplexes were formed simultaneously (Figure 2D). They started to appear at 5 hr and accumulated during further incubation. However, in *rad17* and *rad24* mutants, crossover and noncrossover heteroduplexes appeared 2 hr later (compare blots at 5 and 7 hr in mutants and wild type). Furthermore, the mutants showed extra bands, which are consistent with intrachromosomal ectopic recombination between *LEU2* of the *HIS4-LEU2* locus and the *leu2::hisG* locus (GRUSH-cow *et al.* 1999). The mutants also showed lower amounts of crossover and noncrossover heteroduplexes relative to wild type, with noncrossover heteroduplexes. The ratio of noncrossover-to-crossover heteroduplex in wild type, *rad17*, and *rad24* mutants is 1.6, 1.1, and 1.1, respectively (Figure 2E).

In parallel, we analyzed the formation of crossover and noncrossover products by digesting DNAs with *MluI* and *XhoI*, which distinguish crossover and noncrossover irrespective of the presence or absence of heteroduplex. The amount of crossover recombinants in the mutants was reduced to 70% in wild type (data not shown). The results were similar to those discussed above. In summary, in the *rad17* and *rad24* mutants: (1) recombinants with or without heteroduplex form later (GRUSH-COW *et al.* 1999); (2) there are fewer total recombinants (GRUSHCOW *et al.* 1999); (3) the ratio of crossover to noncrossover is altered (Figure 2E) because noncrossovers are preferentially reduced; and (4) there is a concomitant increase in ectopic recombination (GRUSH-COW *et al.* 1999).

**Formation of Rad51 and Dmc1 foci and their colocalization in the** *rad17* **and** *rad24* **mutants:** The accumulation of DSBs is reminiscent of the phenotypes of mutants defective in strand exchange, such as *rad51*. It would therefore be interesting to know whether the checkpoint mutants also affect the assembly and disassembly of Rad51 and Dmc1 complexes. Rad51 and Dmc1 colocalize on meiotic chromosomes as punctate staining called foci, and the colocalization of the two proteins is genetically controlled (BISHOP 1994; SHINOHARA *et al.* 2000). We therefore prepared meiotic chromosome spreads, stained them with anti-Rad51 and anti-Dmc1 antibodies simultaneously, and counted foci under an epifluorescence microscope (Figure 3).

First, we analyzed the distribution of Rad51 and Dmc1 separately. We counted nuclei containing Rad51 foci and nuclei with Dmc1 foci, to define the assembly and disassembly phases of each type of molecule (Figure 3, B and C). In wild type, as reported previously (SHINO-HARA *et al.* 2000), both focus-positive nuclei show very similar kinetics, indicating that both proteins load onto chromosomes at the same time. However, the coordination is compromised in *rad17* and *rad24*. The life span of Dmc1-positive nuclei is slightly longer (0.2–0.4 hr), but the life span of Rad51-positive nuclei is extended by 1.5 hr (Table 2). Consistent with this, we found nuclei with only Rad51 foci (Figure 3A, xv), which are not detected in wild type. Thus, in the checkpoint mutants, Rad51 foci outnumber Dmc1 foci later in meiosis.

Next, we analyzed colocalization of Rad51 and Dmc1 foci after a 3-hr incubation, when most of the *rad17* and

rad24 mutant cells are in the assembly phase. In wild type, 76% of the foci contained both Rad51 and Dmc1, as previously reported (SHINOHARA et al. 2000), whereas in the rad17 and rad24 mutants, only 52 and 43% of the foci contained both molecules (Table 2). Interestingly, the checkpoint mutants show more nuclei with a side-by-side configuration of Rad51-Dmc1 (Figures 3A, xiii and xiv), which is rarely found in wild type. This shows that the rad17 and rad24 mutants are partially defective for the colocalization of Rad51 and Dmc1. In addition, the total number of foci containing either Rad51 or Dmc1 in the mutants is the same or slightly lower than in wild type (Table 2 and M. SHINOHARA, unpublished results). This suggests that assembly of Rad51 and/or Dmc1 is slightly defective in the mutants, given that the turnover of the foci is delayed in the mutants; e.g., the number of the foci should be higher in the mutants than in wild type, as in the tid1/rdh54mutant (SHINOHARA et al. 2000). Thus, both RAD17 and RAD24 are required for the proper assembly/disassembly of the RecA homologs, particularly Rad51, on meiotic chromosomes.

A high copy of RAD51 and RAD54 partially suppresses spore inviability of the rad24 mutant: The results described above suggest that Rad24 acts after DSB formation. We therefore studied genetic interactions between RAD24 and various recombination genes, particularly ones engaged in strand invasion and exchange. Extra copies of RAD51, RAD54, and TID1/RDH54 were introduced into the rad24 mutant cells to check whether they could restore spore viability. A substantial increase in spore viability was observed when RAD51 was overexpressed (from 26.2% with vector alone to 40.5%; Table 3). RAD51 also increased the fraction of asci containing four viable spores from 7.3 to 18%. These differences are statistically significant (Mann-Whitney's U-test, P <0.001). The overexpression of RAD54 also significantly increases spore viabilities of the rad24 and fractions of four-viable spores per tetrad. In addition, a high copy of TID1/RDH54 substantially decreases the spore inviability of the rad24 mutant. We also tested a high copy number of RED1 and MEK1/MRE4, which are downstream targets of Rad24 in the pachytene checkpoint (BAILIS and ROEDER 2000; HONG and ROEDER 2002), but neither could suppress the inviability of rad24. These results support the idea that RAD24 works with RAD51, RAD54, and TID1/RDH54 during meiotic recombination.

A high copy number of *RAD51* and *RAD54* substantially suppresses the *rad24* mutant's sensitivity to  $\gamma$ -rays in mitosis: The above result prompted us to test whether a high copy of *RAD51* and *RAD54* could suppress the defects of checkpoint mutants in mitosis. Compared to wild-type cells, the *rad24* mutant is sensitive to ionizing radiation, presumably because it is unable to repair damage. We therefore created *rad24* cells containing various high-copy-number plasmids, irradiated them with various doses of  $\gamma$ -rays, and measured cell survival. As shown



FIGURE 3.-Colocalization of Rad51 and Dmc1 on meiotic chromosomes in rad17 and rad24 mutants. (A) Immunolocalization: nuclear spreads of wild type (NKY1551), rad17 (MSY587), and rad24 mutants (MSY717) were stained with anti-Rad51 and anti-Dmc1 and then with the secondary antibodies. (i-iv) Wild type; (v-viii) rad24; (ix-xii) rad17. Rad51 (green, ii, vi, and x) and Dmc1 (red, iv, viii, and xii) were pseudocolored. Nuclei with a side-by-side configuration of Rad51 and Dmc1 foci in rad17 (xiii) and rad24 (xiv) mutants are shown. Nuclei with only Rad51 foci in the rad24 are shown in xv. Bar, 2 µm. (B) Noncumulative analysis of Rad51- and Dmc1-focus positive stages. The fractions of nuclei containing more than five Rad51 or more than five Dmc1 foci were counted at each time. At least 100 nuclei were counted at each point. □, Rad51-focus positive nuclei; •, Dmc1-focus positive nuclei. (C) Cumulative analysis of Rad51- and Dmc1focus positive stage, based on the previous figure and analyzed as described in SHINOHARA et al. (2000). O, entry of Rad51-focus positive nuclei; ●, exit of Rad51-focus positive nuclei;  $\triangle$ , entry of Dmc1 focus-positive nuclei;  $\blacktriangle$ , exit of Dmc1-focus positive nuclei.

in Figure 4A, a high copy of both *RAD51* and *RAD54* partially suppresses sensitivity to radiation, while a vector alone has no effect. This indicates that an increased dosage of *RAD51* and *RAD54* suppresses *rad24*'s effect. The overexpression of *RAD51* or *RAD54* does not increase the resistance of wild-type haploid cells (Figure 4B). In addition, the overexpression of *TID1/RDH54* has an opposite effect: it increases  $\gamma$ -ray sensitivity of the *rad24* mutant significantly and that of the wild type slightly. Thus, the positive effect of *TID1/RDH54* overex-

pression on the *rad24* is specific to meiosis, consistent with a much more critical role of the gene in meiosis than in mitosis (M. SHINOHARA *et al.* 1997).

# DISCUSSION

*RAD17* and *RAD24* are required for normal meiotic recombination: Previous genetic analyses suggest that DNA damage checkpoint proteins identified in mitosis are also involved in meiotic recombination (see Intro-

## TABLE 2

Double-staining analysis of Rad51 and Dmc1 foci in the DNA damage checkpoint mutants

Strains <sup>a</sup>	Focus analysis: No. of foci per nucleus at 3 hr <sup>b</sup>			% of RD	Stage analysis				
					Time of entry <sup>c</sup> (hr)		Life span <sup>d</sup> (hr)		
	RD co-foci	R foci	D foci	co-foci	R <sup>+</sup> stage	D <sup>+</sup> stage	R <sup>+</sup> stage	D <sup>+</sup> stage	
Wild type	67	10	11	76 (10)	2.3	2.3	1.8	2.2	
rad24 rad17	43 29	22 26	17 13	52 (8) 43	2.6 2.5	2.6 2.5	3.3 3.3	$\begin{array}{c} 2.6 \\ 2.4 \end{array}$	

<sup>a</sup> The wild type, rad17, and rad24 mutants were NKY1551, MSY587, and MSY717, respectively.

<sup>b</sup>For each strain, at least 100 nuclei unselected meiotic nuclei at 3 hr were counted. In the case of wild type and the *rad24* mutant, three independent experiments were carried out and the averages are shown. Standard deviation is indicated in parentheses. RD co-foci, Rad51-Dmc1 co-foci; R foci, Rad51-only foci; D foci, Dmc1-only foci.

<sup>c</sup>Time of entry at which 50% of nuclei had entered the focus-positive stage was determined from the cumulative curves in Figure 3C. R<sup>+</sup> stage, Rad51 focus-positive stage; D<sup>+</sup>stage, Dmc1 focus-positive stage.

<sup>a</sup> Life spans of focus-positive stages are determined from the noncumulative curves in Figure 3B.

duction). However, it was not clear which biochemical process these checkpoint proteins perform. Here, we report that mutants of two DNA damage checkpoint genes, RAD17 and RAD24, are partially defective in the repair of meiotic DSBs, as well as in the formation of crossover and noncrossover products. The mutants are also deficient in coordinating Rad51 and Dmc1 complex formation and share some similarity of meiotic phenotypes with mutants of TID1/RDH54 and RAD51, which play a direct role in meiotic recombination, e.g., strand invasion/exchange. The rad51 and tid1/rdh54 mutants accumulate DSBs with more resected ends and form reduced amounts of crossover (A. SHINOHARA et al. 1997; M. SHINOHARA et al. 1997). The tid1/rdh54 mutant reduces colocalization of Rad51 and Dmc1 (SHINOHARA et al. 2000). In addition, similar to checkpoint mutants,

the *tid1/rdh54* mutant shows increased ectopic recombination (M. SHINOHARA and A. SHINOHARA, unpublished results). Taken together, these results strongly suggest that Rad17 and Rad24 are involved in strand invasion and exchange (see below).

The *rad17* and *rad24* mutations affect not only the coordination of Rad51 and Dmc1 complexes on DNA, but also the disassembly of Rad51 complexes, suggesting that Rad17 and Rad24 are likely to work together with Rad51 during meiosis. This idea is further strengthened by the fact that an increased dosage of *RAD51* and *RAD54* substantially improves spore viability of the *rad24* mutant. Interestingly, a high copy of the *TID1/RDH54* also increases spore viability of the *rad24* mutant, but its effect is specific to meiosis. Tid1/Rdh54, which interacts with both Rad51 and Dmc1, plays a more critical role

in recombination in melosis and mitosis								
	Overall spore viability <sup>a</sup> (%)	Viable spores per ascus <sup>b</sup>						
Plasmid		4	3	2	1	0	<i>P</i> value	
Vector	26.2	19	22	43	46	130	_	
$RAD24^{\circ}$	86.5*	189	36	16	4	15	P < 0.001	
RAD51	40.5*	48	31	46	44	91	P < 0.001	
RAD54	40.8*	51	22	60	34	93	P < 0.001	
TID1/RDH54	35.3*	37	27	53	32	111	0.013	
RED1	26.9	21	24	50	24	141	0.86	
MEK1/MRE4	26.1	24	22	44	21	149	0.51	

TABLE 3

Spore viability of the *rad24* mutant containing plasmids with various genes involved in recombination in meiosis and mitosis

\*Values were significantly different from that for the vector control; in all of these cases P < 0.001.

<sup>*a*</sup> The *rad24* haploid (MSY966) with various plasmids was mated with MSY968 for 6 hr and then transferred onto a sporulation plate. After a 48-hr incubation, 260 asci were dissected, and spore viability and distribution of tetrad types were measured. For viability comparisons, raw data of each transformant were compared to those for the vector plasmid control alone to obtain *P* values (chi-square test).

<sup>b</sup> The numbers of asci with 4, 3, 2, 1, and 0 viable spores are shown. Total 260 asci were dissected. The distribution of the number of each class in the transformant was compared to that in the strain with the vector alone to obtain *P* values (Mann-Whitney's *U*-test).

<sup>c</sup> A low copy number of *RAD24*.

in meiosis than in mitosis (M. SHINOHARA *et al.* 1997). We propose that during meiosis, the Rad24-RFC complex and the PCNA-like complex containing Rad17 collaborate with Rad51 (and Rad54 and Tid1/Rdh54) and, possibly, facilitate Rad51-filament formation to promote proper DSB repair.

The high-copy suppression of low spore viability of the rad24 mutant by the recombination genes instead could be explained by the overexpression of recombination genes activating a second recombination pathway, which is independent of *RAD24* function. The overexpression of *RAD54* suppresses the defects in the *dmc1* null mutant by bypassing to a second recombination pathway, which does not occur in wild type (BISHOP *et al.* 1999).

Although checkpoint proteins are likely to play a direct role in meiotic recombination, we cannot eliminate indirect pathways. For example, a downstream target protein belonging to a checkpoint pathway that depends upon Rad17 and Rad24 appears to modulate meiotic recombination. The downstream target(s) could be proteins involved in the repair of DSBs. Obvious candidates are Rad51 or Rad51-accessory proteins. In mitosis, one of the Rad51-binding proteins, Rad55, is phosphorylated in response to DNA damage (BASH-KIROV et al. 2000). Rad55 forms a complex with Rad57 and promotes proper assembly of Rad51 filaments on ssDNA (Sung 1997). Rad55 may also be involved in meiosis, as the rad55 mutant partially delays DSB repair, decreases crossover formation, and is defective in the formation of Rad51 foci (SCHWACHA and KLECKNER 1997; GASIOR et al. 1998). However, Rad55 phosphorylation depends on Rad53 and Dun1 kinases, neither of which is required during meiosis (ROEDER and BAILIS 2000).

During meiosis, Rad17 and Rad24 activate a meiosisspecific kinase, Mek1/Mre4, which in turn appears to phosphorylate Red1, a meiosis-specific chromosome component (BAILIS and ROEDER 1998; DE LOS SANTOS and HOLLINGSWORTH 1999). The Mek1/Mre4-Red1 pathway is proposed to mediate the pachytene checkpoint (ROEDER and BAILIS 2000). In the mitotic DNA damage checkpoint, the overexpression of Rad53, a paralogue of Mek1/Mre4 (BAILIS and ROEDER 2000; ROEDER and BAILIS 2000), can suppress the mitotic defect in mutants of upstream checkpoint genes (SANCHEZ et al. 1996; SUGIMOTO et al. 1996). On the other hand, a high copy number of Mek1/Mre4 does not suppress meiotic defects in the rad24 mutant (Table 3). This argues against a function for the checkpoint proteins in signaling during meiosis.

The roles of checkpoint proteins in meiotic recombination: Given the structural analogy between the RFC-PCNA complex and the Rad24-Rad17 complex, Rad17 and Rad24 are likely to bind to a D-loop structure such as SEI formed during meiotic recombination and to



FIGURE 4.—Radiation sensitivity of *rad24* with increased dosage of various recombination genes. (A) *rad24* mutant haploids (MSY966) transformed with various high-copy-number plasmids were irradiated with various doses of  $\gamma$ -ray and plated on SC plates lacking tryptophan or uracil. After a 4-day incubation, colonies were counted. The data are an average of three independent experiments. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.  $\bigcirc$ , *RAD24* (a low-copy plasmid);  $\bigcirc$ , vector alone;  $\triangle$ , *RAD51*;  $\blacktriangle$ , *RAD54*;  $\Box$ , *TID1/RDH54*. (B) Wild-type cells (MSY833) transformed with various high-copy-number plasmids were irradiated with various doses of  $\gamma$ -rays.  $\bigcirc$ , vector alone;  $\triangle$ , *RAD51*;  $\bigstar$ , *RAD54*;  $\Box$ , *TID1/RDH54*.

stabilize it by preventing the 3'-OH strand from dissociating from the duplex.

Alternatively, although not exclusively, the checkpoint proteins might prevent the invading end in the SEI from being extended by DNA polymerase(s) before the second end interacts with the same dsDNA. In this scenario, checkpoint proteins might monitor recombination events and cause both ends of a DSB to interact with the same dsDNA. This idea is similar to GRUSHCOW *et al.*'s (1999) original proposal: in checkpoint mutants, the coordination of DSB ends is disrupted. This is consistent with our observation that the colocalization of Rad51 and Dmc1 is disrupted in the *rad17* and *rad24* mutants. We previously suggested that Rad51 forms a complex on one end of the DSB, and Dmc1 forms an independent complex on the other end of the DSB (SHINOHARA *et al.* 2000). The checkpoint proteins might coordinate assembly of recombination complexes on the DSB ends.

What couples meiotic recombination with meiotic cell cycle progression? The pachytene checkpoint is proposed to inhibit meiotic cell cycle progression in response to incomplete meiotic recombination and chromosome synapsis (ROEDER and BAILIS 2000). This has been inferred from the analysis of abnormal meiosis induced by a class of mutants, e.g., dmc1, zip1, and hop2. These mutants arrest or delay meiotic prophase, but this arrest is alleviated by mutations in some mitotic DNA damage checkpoint genes, suggesting that the checkpoint genes might also act in meiosis as in mitosis. However, meiosis involves at least 200 intrinsic DSBs and the cell cycle control might be quite different. Here, we suggest that in meiosis DSBs are not monitored solely by the mitosis checkpoint proteins Rad17 and Rad24, as rad17 or rad24 delay the entry into MI relative to wild type. If these genes were involved in the checkpoint control of meiosis, the mutations should abolish the checkpoint and cause either no delay or, possibly, acceleration. Alternatively, in meiosis, the defect in these mutants might trigger some other checkpoint response controlling the cell cycle. Previous analyses of meiotic prophase arrest by rad50S have shown that a second checkpoint response operates to monitor unprocessed DSBs. This arrest requires the Mec1/Esr1 homolog, Tell, and Rad9 (Usui et al. 2001). However, Tell is unlikely to play a role in the delay seen in the checkpoint mutants studied here, since the tell rad24 double mutant shows meiotic cell cycle progression similar to the rad24 single mutant (A. SHINOHARA and M. SHINOHARA, unpublished results). Furthermore, the Tell-dependent rad50S checkpoint still requires RAD24 function (Usur et al. 2001).

Although meiotic cells certainly monitor DSBs, the DNA damage checkpoint genes identified in mitosis may not be involved. The link between DNA damage and control of timing in meiosis is complex. Mutants such as *spol1* and *rec104*, which are deficient in the formation of meiotic DSBs, enter MI earlier than wild-type cells, arguing for monitoring. On the other hand, the *mei4* mutant, which is also deficient in the formation of the DSBs, enters MI at the same time as wild type, which is different from the other early recombination mutants (GALBRAITH *et al.* 1997; JIAO *et al.* 1999). Furthermore, the induction of a single DSB into a *rec104* mutant does not delay the first division (JIAO *et al.* 1999), suggesting that the cell cycle is normally slow enough that DSBs can be repaired. Here, we showed that single

checkpoint mutants enter MI later than wild type. If the DNA damage checkpoint proteins normally monitor 200 meiotic DSBs, checkpoint mutants should enter into MI earlier than wild type, similar to the *spol1* mutant, but clearly this does not happen. In mitosis, checkpoint proteins are usually very sensitive to strand breaks. One irreparable DSB is sufficient to delay the cell cycle, and two irreparable DSBs are sufficient to arrest it (SANDELL and ZAKIAN 1993; LEE *et al.* 1998). Thus, these suggest that DNA damage checkpoint genes are not required for the meiotic cell cycle control at least in wild-type meiosis.

In yeasts as well as in fruit flies and nematodes, the combination of a mitotic checkpoint mutant with meiotic recombination-deficient mutants accumulating abnormal recombination intermediates has been used to analyze the checkpoint in meiosis (GHABRIAL and SCHÜPBACH 1999; GARTNER *et al.* 2000; SHIMADA *et al.* 2002). However, as described in this article, the *SPO11*-dependent delay in entry into meiosis I is independent of mitotic DNA damage checkpoint proteins in wild-type cells. Therefore, the concept of the pachytene checkpoint in wild-type meiosis should be treated cautiously.

We thank D. Bishop for sharing unpublished results. We are grateful to Neil Hunter and Valentin Boerner for helpful discussion and critical reading of the manuscript. We also thank Doug Bishop, Scott Keeney, Nancy Kleckner, and Ted Weinert for strains and plasmids. This work was supported by grants from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan, priority area (to A.S. and T.O.), Hayashi Memorial Foundation (to M.S.), and the Human Frontier Science program (to A.S.).

## LITERATURE CITED

- ALLERS, T., and M. LICHTEN, 2001 Differential timing and control of noncrossover and crossover recombination during meiosis. Cell 106: 47–57.
- BAILIS, J. M., and G. S. ROEDER, 1998 Synaptonemal complex morphogenesis and sister-chromatid cohesion require Mek1-dependent phosphorylation of a meiotic chromosomal protein. Genes Dev. 12: 3551–3563.
- BAILIS, J. M., and G. S. ROEDER, 2000 Pachytene exit controlled by reversal of Mek1-dependent phosphorylation. Cell 101: 211–221.
- BAKER, B. S., and A. T. CARPENTER, 1972 Genetic analysis of sex chromosomal meiotic mutants in *Drosophilia melanogaster*. Genetics 71: 255–286.
- BASHKIROV, V. I., J. S. KING, E. V. BASHKIROVA, J. SCHMUCKLI-MAURER and W. D. HEYER, 2000 DNA repair protein Rad55 is a terminal substrate of the DNA damage checkpoints. Mol. Cell. Biol. **20**: 4393–4404.
- BISHOP, D. K., 1994 RecA homologs Dmc1 and Rad51 interact to form multiple nuclear complexes prior to meiotic chromosome synapsis. Cell **79**: 1081–1092.
- BISHOP, D. K., D. PARK, L. XU and N. KLECKNER, 1992 DMC1: a meiosis-specific yeast homolog of *E. coli recA* required for recombination, synaptonemal complex formation, and cell cycle progression. Cell 69: 439–456.
- BISHOP, D. K., Y. NIKOLSKI, J. OSHIRO, J. CHON, M. SHINOHARA et al., 1999 High copy number suppression of the meiotic arrest caused by a *dmc1* mutation: *REC114* imposes an early recombination block and *RAD54* promotes a *DMC1*-independent pathway. Genes Cells 4: 425–444.
- CAO, L., E. ALANI and N. KLECKNER, 1990 A pathway for generation

and processing of double-strand breaks during meiotic recombination in S. cerevisiae. Cell **61**: 1089–1101.

- CARPENTER, A. T., 1979 Recombination nodules and synaptonemal complex in recombination-defective females of Drosophila melanogaster. Chromosoma 75: 259–292.
- CHA, R. S., B. M. WEINER, S. KEENEY, J. DEKKER and N. KLECKNER, 2000 Progression of meiotic DNA replication is modulated by interchromosomal interaction proteins, negatively by Spollp and positively by Rec8p. Genes Dev. **14**: 493–503.
- CHRISTIANSON, T. W., R. S. SIKORSKI, M. DANTE, J. H. SHERO and P. HIETER, 1992 Multifunctional yeast high-copy-number shuttle vectors. Gene 110: 119–122.
- DE LOS SANTOS, T., and N. M. HOLLINGSWORTH, 1999 Red1p, a *MEK1*-dependent phosphoprotein that physically interacts with Hop1p during meiosis in yeast. J. Biol. Chem. **274:** 1783–1790.
- DRESSER, M. E., D. J. EWING, M. N. CONRAD, A. M. DOMINGUEZ, R. BARSTEAD et al., 1997 DMCI functions in a Saccharomyces cerevisiae meiotic pathway that is largely independent of the RAD51 pathway. Genetics 147: 533–544.
- GALBRAITH, A. M., S. A. BULLARD, K. JIAO, J. J. NAU and R. E. MALONE, 1997 Recombination and the progression of meiosis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 146: 481–489.
- GARTNER, A., S. MILSTEIN, S. AHMED, J. HODGKIN and M. O. HENGART-NER, 2000 A conserved checkpoint pathway mediates DNA damage–induced apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in C. elegans. Mol. Cell 5: 435–443.
- GASIOR, S. L., A. K. WONG, Y. KORA, A. SHINOHARA and D. K. BISHOP, 1998 Rad52 associates with RPA and functions with Rad55 and Rad57 to assemble meiotic recombination complexes. Genes Dev. 12: 2208–2221.
- GHABRIAL, A., and T. SCHUPBACH, 1999 Activation of a meiotic checkpoint regulates translation of Gurken during Drosophila oogenesis. Nat. Cell Biol. 1: 354–357.
- GIETZ, R. D., and A. SUGINO, 1988 New yeast-*Escherichia coli* shuttle vectors constructed with in vitro mutagenized yeast genes lacking six-base pair restriction sites. Gene 74: 527–534.
- GRUSHCOW, J. M., T. M. HOLZEN, K. J. PARK, T. WEINERT, M. LICHTEN et al., 1999 Saccharomyces cerevisiae checkpoint genes MEC1, RAD17 and RAD24 are required for normal meiotic recombination partner choice. Genetics 153: 607–620.
- HONG, E. J., and G. S. ROEDER, 2002 A role for Ddc1 in signaling meiotic double-strand breaks at the pachytene checkpoint. Genes Dev. 16: 363–376.
- HUNTER, N., and N. KLECKNER, 2001 The single-end invasion: an asymmetric intermediate at the double-strand break to double-Holliday junction transition of meiotic recombination. Cell **106**: 59–70.
- JIAO, K., S. A. BULLARD, L. SALEM and R. E. MALONE, 1999 Coordination of the initiation of recombination and the reductional division in meiosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics **152**: 117–128.
- KAISER, C., S. MICHAELIS and A. MITCHELL, 1994 Methods in Yeast Genetics: A Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Course Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- KATO, R., and H. OGAWA, 1994 An essential gene, *ESR1*, is required for mitotic cell growth, DNA repair and meiotic recombination in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Nucleic Acids Res. 22: 3104–3112.
- KEENEY, S., 2001 Mechanism and control of meiotic recombination initiation. Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. 52: 1–53.
- KONDO, T., T. WAKAYAMA, T. NAIKI, K. MATSUMOTO and K. SUGIMOTO, 2001 Recruitment of Mec1 and Ddc1 checkpoint proteins to double-strand breaks through distinct mechanisms. Science 294: 867–870.
- LEE, S. E., J. K. MOORE, A. HOLMES, K. UMEZU, R. D. KOLODNER *et al.*, 1998 Saccharomyces Ku70, mre11/rad50 and RPA proteins regulate adaptation to G2/M arrest after DNA damage. Cell 94: 399–409.
- LEU, J. Y., and G. S. ROEDER, 1999 The pachytene checkpoint in S. cerevisiae depends on Swel-mediated phosphorylation of the cyclin-dependent kinase Cdc28. Mol. Cell **4**: 805–814.
- LEU, J. Y., P. R. CHUA and G. S. ROEDER, 1998 The meiosis-specific Hop2 protein of S. cerevisiae ensures synapsis between homologous chromosomes. Cell 94: 375–386.
- LYDALL, D., Y. NIKOLSKY, D. K. BISHOP and T. WEINERT, 1996 A meiotic recombination checkpoint controlled by mitotic checkpoint genes. Nature **383**: 840–843.

- MELO, J. A., J. COHEN and D. P. TOCZYSKI, 2001 Two checkpoint complexes are independently recruited to sites of DNA damage *in vivo*. Genes Dev. **15:** 2809–2821.
- PADMORE, R., L. CAO and N. KLECKNER, 1991 Temporal comparison of recombination and synaptonemal complex formation during meiosis in S. cerevisiae. Cell 66: 1239–1256.
- ROEDER, G. S., 1997 Meiotic chromosomes: it takes two to tango. Genes Dev. 11: 2600–2621.
- ROEDER, G. S., and J. M. BAILIS, 2000 The pachytene checkpoint. Trends Genet. 16: 395–403.
- SANCHEZ, Y., B. A. DESANY, W. J. JONES, Q. LIU, B. WANG et al., 1996 Regulation of RAD53 by the ATM-like kinases MEC1 and TEL1 in yeast cell cycle checkpoint pathways. Science 271: 357–360.
- SANDELL, L. L., and V. A. ZAKIAN, 1993 Loss of a yeast telomere: arrest, recovery, and chromosome loss. Cell **75**: 729–739.
- SAN-SEGUNDO, P. A., and G. S. ROEDER, 1999 Pch2 links chromatin silencing to meiotic checkpoint control. Cell 97: 313–324.
- SCHWACHA, A., and N. KLECKNER, 1994 Identification of joint molecules that form frequently between homologs but rarely between sister chromatids during yeast meiosis. Cell **76:** 51–63.
- SCHWACHA, A., and N. KLECKNER, 1995 Identification of double Holliday junctions as intermediates in meiotic recombination. Cell 83: 783–791.
- SCHWACHA, A., and N. KLECKNER, 1997 Interhomolog bias during meiotic recombination: meiotic functions promote a highly differentiated interhomolog-only pathway. Cell **90**: 1123–1135.
- SHIMADA, M., K. NABESHIMA, T. TOUGAN and H. NOJIMA, 2002 The meiotic recombination checkpoint is regulated by checkpoint rad(+) genes in fission yeast. EMBO J. 21: 2807–2818.
- SHINOHARA, A., H. OGAWA and T. OGAWA, 1992 Rad51 protein involved in repair and recombination in S. cerevisiae is a RecAlike protein. Cell 69: 457–470.
- SHINOHARA, A., S. GASIOR, T. OGAWA, N. KLECKNER and D. K. BISHOP, 1997 Saccharomyces cerevisiae recA homologues RAD51 and DMC1 have both distinct and overlapping roles in meiotic recombination. Genes Cells 2: 615–629.
- SHINOHARA, M., E. SHITA-YAMAGUCHI, J. M. BUERSTEDDE, H. SHINA-GAWA, H. OGAWA et al., 1997 Characterization of the roles of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae RAD54 gene and a homologue of RAD54, RDH54/TID1, in mitosis and meiosis. Genetics 147: 1545–1556.
- SHINOHARA, M., S. L. GASIOR, D. K. BISHOP and A. SHINOHARA, 2000 Tid1/Rdh54 promotes colocalization of rad51 and dmc1 during meiotic recombination. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 10814– 10819.
- SHINOHARA, M., K. SAKAI, A. SHINOHARA and D. K. BISHOP, 2003 Crossover interference in *Saccharomyces cerevisiase* requires a *TID1/RDH54* and *DMC1*-dependent pathway. Genetics 163: 1273–1286.
- STORLAZZI, A., L. XU, L. CAO and N. KLECKNER, 1995 Crossover and noncrossover recombination during meiosis: timing and pathway relationships. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92: 8512–8516.
- SUGIMOTO, K., T. SHIMOMURA, K. HASHIMOTO, H. ARAKI, A. SUGINO et al., 1996 Rfc5, a small subunit of replication factor C complex, couples DNA replication and mitosis in budding yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93: 7048–7052.
- SUNG, P., 1997 Yeast Rad55 and Rad57 proteins form a heterodimer that functions with replication protein A to promote DNA strand exchange by Rad51 recombinase. Genes Dev. 11: 1111–1121.
- TACHIKAWA, H., A. BLOECHER, K. TATCHELL and A. M. NEIMAN, 2001 A Gip1p-Glc7p phosphatase complex regulates septin organization and spore wall formation. J. Cell Biol. 155: 797–808.
- THOMPSON, D. A., and F. W. STAHL, 1999 Genetic control of recombination partner preference in yeast meiosis: isolation and characterization of mutants elevated for meiotic unequal sister-chromatid recombination. Genetics 153: 621–641.
- USUI, T., H. OGAWA and J. H. PETRINI, 2001 A DNA damage response pathway controlled by Tell and the Mrell complex. Mol. Cell **7:** 1255–1266.
- WEINERT, T., 1998 DNA damage and checkpoint pathways: molecular anatomy and interactions with repair. Cell **94**: 555–558.
- ZHOU, B. B., and S. J. ELLEDGE, 2000 The DNA damage response: putting checkpoints in perspective. Nature **408**: 433–439.

Communicating editor: M. E. ZOLAN