Undulated short-tail Deletion Mutation in the Mouse Ablates Pax1 and Leads to Ectopic Activation of Neighboring Nkx2-2 in Domains That Normally Express Pax1

Chikara Kokubu,^{*,1,2} Bettina Wilm,^{*,1,3} Tomoko Kokubu,^{*} Matthias Wahl,^{*} Isabel Rodrigo,^{*} Norio Sakai,^{*} Fabio Santagati,^{*} Yoshihide Hayashizaki,[†] Misao Suzuki,[‡] Ken-ichi Yamamura,[§] Kuniya Abe^{**} and Kenji Imai^{*,4}

*GSF-National Research Center for Environment and Health, Institute of Developmental Genetics, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany, [†]RIKEN Genomic Sciences Center, RIKEN Yokohama Institute, Yokohama 230-0045, Japan, [‡]Center for Animal Resources and Development and [§]Institute of Molecular Embryology and Genetics, Kumamoto University School of Medicine, 862-0976 Kumamoto, Japan and **Technology and Development Team for Mammalian Cellular Dynamics, RIKEN Tsukuba Institute, BioResource Center, Ibaraki 305-0074, Japan

> Manuscript received February 13, 2003 Accepted for publication May 27, 2003

ABSTRACT

Previous studies have indicated that the Undulated short-tail deletion mutation in mouse Pax1 ($Pax1^{U_{hs}}$) not only ablates Pax1, but also disturbs a gene or genes nearby Pax1. However, which gene(s) is involved and how the $Pax1^{U_{hs}}$ phenotype is confined to the Pax1-positive tissues remain unknown. In the present study, we determined the $Pax1^{U_{hs}}$ deletion interval to be 125 kb and characterized genes around Pax1. We show that the $Pax1^{U_{hs}}$ mutation affects four physically linked genes within or near the deletion, including Pax1, Nkx2-2, and their potential antisense genes. Remarkably, Nkx2-2 is ectopically activated in the sclerotome and limb buds of $Pax1^{U_{hs}}$ embryos, both of which normally express Pax1. This result suggests that the $Pax1^{U_{hs}}$ deletion leads to an illegitimate interaction between remotely located Pax1 enhancers and the Nkx2-2 promoter by disrupting an insulation mechanism between Pax1 and Nkx2-2. Furthermore, we show that expression of Bapx1, a downstream target of Pax1, is more strongly affected in $Pax1^{U_{hs}}$ mutants than in Pax1-null mutants, suggesting that the ectopic expression of Nkx2-2 interferes with the Pax1 and a gain-of-function mutation of Nkx2-2 is the molecular basis of the $Pax1^{U_{hs}}$ mutation.

AN allelic series of mutations can provide important tools for understanding gene function and regulation. Earlier studies have demonstrated that three spontaneous mouse mutations constitute an allelic series in *Pax1: undulated (Pax1^{un};* WRIGHT 1947), *undulated-extensive (Pax1^{un-ex};* WALLACE 1980), and *Undulated short-tail (Pax1^{Un-ex};* BLANDOVA and EGOROV 1975). *Pax1* is a member of the Pax gene family, which consists of transcription factors characterized by the presence of a highly conserved DNA-binding domain, the paired box (DAHL *et al.* 1997; MANSOURI *et al.* 1999). Embryonic expression of *Pax1* is detected in the sclerotome of somites from embryonic day (E) 8.5 (DEUTSCH *et al.* 1988; WALLIN *et* al. 1994), the pharyngeal pouch endoderm from E9.5 (WALLIN et al. 1996), and limb buds from E10.0 (TIM-MONS et al. 1994). The recessive Pax1^{un} allele has a point mutation in the paired box (BALLING et al. 1988), while the recessive $Pax1^{un-ex}$ and the semidominant $Pax1^{Un-s}$ alleles carry deletion mutations (WALLIN et al. 1994; DIE-TRICH and GRUSS 1995). In each allele, affected mice display dysmorphologies as developmental defects in the derivatives of embryonic Pax1-positive tissues, including the vertebral column, the shoulder girdle, the sternum (GRÜNEBERG 1950, 1954), and the thymus (DIETRICH and GRUSS 1995; WALLIN et al. 1996). Notably, the three alleles differ significantly in the severity of their phenotypes and in the mode of inheritance, raising a question as to how each mutation correlates with the respective phenotypes. To address this question, we have previously created a targeted null allele of *Pax1* (*Pax1^{null}*) and made a phenotypic comparison between the natural alleles and the Pax1^{null} allele as a reference (WILM et al. 1998). This analysis has demonstrated that Pax1^{un} is a hypomorphic allele, while Pax1^{un-ex} can be regarded as a null allele. In contrast, the $Pax1^{Uns}$ deletion mutation, which removes all exons of Pax1 (DIETRICH and GRUSS 1995; WALLIN et al. 1996), displays a significantly stronger phenotype than *Pax1^{null}* displays,

Sequence data from this article have been deposited with the EMBL/GenBank Data Libraries under accession nos. AF285175, AB0806556, AB080657, and AB080658.

¹These authors contributed equally to this work.

²Present address: Graduate School of Frontier Biosciences, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan.

³Present address: Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Vanderbilt School of Medicine, Nashville, TN 37232.

⁴Corresponding author: GSF-National Research Center for Environment and Health, Institute of Developmental Genetics, Ingolstädter Landstr. 1, D-85764 Neuherberg, Germany. E-mail: imai@gsf.de

indicating that the $Pax1^{Uns}$ mutation affects not only Pax1, but also additional gene(s) within or nearby the deletion interval. Remarkably, despite the potential involvement of additional gene(s) other than Pax1, only the derivatives of embryonic Pax1-positive tissues are affected in $Pax1^{Uns}$ mutant mice. This characteristic feature of $Pax1^{Uns}$ allowed us to propose two different, but not mutually exclusive, hypotheses (WILM *et al.* 1998): First, a gene or genes sharing expression domains with Pax1 are ablated by the $Pax1^{Uns}$ deletion ("co-deletion model"). Second, a gene or genes flanking the $Pax1^{Uns}$ deletion interval come under the influence of regulatory elements of Pax1, thereby being ectopically activated in the natural expression domains of Pax1 ("ectopic activation model").

In the present study, we delineated the molecular basis of the $Pax1^{Uns}$ mutation by determining the $Pax1^{Uns}$ deletion interval and by analyzing genes around Pax1. Our results led us to a conclusion that the $Pax1^{Uns}$ deletion mutation affects physically linked Pax1, Nkx2-2, and their potential antisense genes, leading to a contiguous gene syndrome due to a loss-of-function mutation of Pax1 and a gain-of-function mutation of Nkx2-2.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals: $Pax1^{Uns}$ mutant mice were kindly provided by A. M. Malashenko (Krosnogorsk, Russia). $Pax1^{mull}$ mutant mice (referred to as $Pax1^{mulneu}$ with Mouse Genome Informatics (MGI) accession ID MGI:185756 in Mouse Genome Database) were generated and genotyped as described (WILM *et al.* 1998). These mutant strains have been maintained as congenic lines on the C57BL/6J background.

Bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) library screening: The CitbCj7 mouse BAC library of 129/Sv origin was purchased from Research Genetics (Huntsville, AL). A total of 10 BAC clones were isolated in multiple rounds of screening by hybridization with a 1-kb *Xba*I fragment from intron 2 of *Pax1* (Figure 1) and subsequently with a mixture of two BACend fragments (see below) originating from BAC213 (the T7 end, 5' of *Pax1*) and BAC132 (the T7 end, 3' of *Pax1*). In addition, 8 *Pax1*-positive BAC clones including RP23-224L16 and RP23-382B13 were isolated from the RPCI-23 C57BL/6J library (Research Genetics).

BAC-end cloning: pBeloBAC11-based clones from the CitbCj7 BAC library were digested with *Not*I and *Bg*II, and the resulting fragments in mixture were subcloned into *Not*I-*Bam*HI cleaved pBluescriptII KS (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). BAC-end subclones were identified by Southern hybridization with two *Not*I-*Hin*dIII vector fragments, each of which contained either the T7 or the SP6 priming site and flanked the *Hin*dIII cloning site of pBeloBAC11. Identified BAC-end subclones were sequenced to design PCR primers for sequence-tagged sites (STSs). The primers for the STSs (designated as *D2Neu*#) are as listed (see online supplemental Table 1 available at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/).

BAC transgenesis: pBeloBAC11-based BAC clones were linearized at the cosN site by λ -terminase (Takara, Berkeley, CA). Linear BAC DNA was separated by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), electroeluted from 1% agarose PFGE gel, and used for the production of transgenic mice. Transgenic mice were generated by pronucleus injection of linearized transgene constructs into fertilized eggs that were subsequently transferred into the oviducts of pseudopregnant fosters. The presence of both ends of the BAC transgenes was confirmed by PCR with two primer sets specific for the T7 end (5'-CAATG GAAGTCCGAGCTC-3' and 5'-GTCGACTCTAGAGGATC-3') and for the SP6 end (5'-CCGCTCACAATTCCACACA-3' and 5'-CCGGCAGTTTCTACACAT-3').

Determination of the Paxl^{Uns} deletion interval: To clone a segment flanking the deletion interval from *Pax1^{Un-s}* genomic DNA, a cassette-ligation-mediated PCR method, originally described as a cDNA cloning method by ISEGAWA et al. (1992), was adapted as follows. All primer sequences used for this procedure are as listed (see online supplemental Table 2 available at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/). Two nested forward primers, Un-s1 and Un-s2, were derived from a region downstream of the 3' deletion breakpoint (see RESULTS). EcoRI-digested total genomic DNA (500 ng) from Pax1^{Uns} homozygous animals was ligated to preannealed EcoRI linkers, linker 1 and linker 2 (4 pmol each), which harbored sequences for nested reverse PCR primers, rev1 and rev2. One-tenth of each reaction was incubated for 10 min at 94° and subsequently subjected to the first PCR amplification with $1.1 \text{ mm Mg}(OAc)_2$, 1 M GC-Melt, $1 \times$ reaction buffer, 1/50 Tth polymerase mix (Advantage-GC genomic PCR kit from CLONTECH, Palo Alto, CA), and 10 µM primer mix (Un-s1/rev1) under the following conditions: 4 min 94° (initial denaturing); 35 cycles of 30 sec at 94°, 5 min at 68° (two-step amplification); and 5 min at 68° (final extension). One-fiftieth of the first PCR reaction was diluted 1:10 and subjected to the second PCR amplification using the same conditions with primer combinations (Un-s2/rev2). The resulting PCR fragments were cloned with the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen, San Diego).

Computational analysis of genome sequences: Web-based tools at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), including BLAST programs and the GenBank databases, were used for gene search on genomic sequences. Repetitive sequences were masked by using RepeatMasker (http://ftp.genome.washington.edu/RM/RepeatMasker.html). Genome annotation data were surveyed at the Ensemble Genome Server (http://www.ensembl. org) and the NCBI.

Whole-mount in situ hybridization: For genotyping embryos for this analysis, multiplex PCR amplification was performed with two forward primers (Un-s FW: 5'-AGACATGCCACAGTA TTCCC-3' and Un-s FM: 5'-ACATCCATCCAGAGACATGC-3') and one common reverse primer (Un-s RC: 5'-ATGTCCTAGAG ATCCACAGC-3') in single reactions. This PCR amplification produces two diagnostic products specific for either the Pax1^{Une} (463 bp) or the wild-type (611 bp) allele (see Figure 3D). Mouse Pax1 (NEUBÜSER et al. 1995), Nkx2-2 (PABST et al. 1998), and Bapx1 (LETTICE et al. 2001) RNA probes were labeled with digoxigenin and developed with BM purple (Roche). A probe specific for Pax1 exon 0 was generated from the 465-bp PCR fragment using the following primers: 5'-AACATTAGG GTCCTCCATTCACG-3' and 5'-GCAAAGTGTCTCTTCAACT TTCCG-3'. Whole-mount in situ hybridization was performed according to the protocol of Spörle and Schughart (1998). Control embryos were hybridized with a sense probe to verify the specificity of the antisense probe signal.

RESULTS

Transcription start sites of *Pax1* **and identification of a novel 5' exon:** Alignment of a 17,615-bp genome sequence, including mouse *Pax1* from this study (Gen-Bank accession no. AF285175), with the published cDNA sequence (GenBank accession no. NM_008780) deter-



FIGURE 1.—Genomic organization of mouse Pax1 and its potential antisense gene Aspax1. Exons are numbered (0–5 for Pax1 and 1–2 for Aspax1) and indicated by boxes (open box, UTR; solid box, coding region). The last exons are indicated by the presence of poly(A) signals. Note the alternative usage of exon 1: 1a and 1b (see RESULTS). Transcriptional orientations of Pax1 and Aspax1 are shown by the arrows. The sequenced region (AF285175) is indicated by the solid line. The 1.0-kb XbaI fragment in intron 2 used for BAC library screening is depicted by the shaded bar.

mined the general genomic organization of five exons of mouse Pax1, spanning a 10-kb genome region (Figure 1). Putative transcription start sites of Pax1 were defined by sequencing five Pax1 cDNA clones that were retrieved from the RIKEN full-length enriched cDNA libraries (CARNINCI et al. 2000). In four of them, the 5' untranslated regions (UTRs) extended 66 bases upstream from the published 5' end (NM_008780), leading to the determination of the 5' end of exon 1 (exon 1a in Figure 1; GenBank accession no. AB080656). The remaining clone (RIKEN clone ID 5832428N23; GenBank accession no. AB080657) contained a 5' UTR that was 630 bp longer than the published sequence and included a novel exon (referred to hereafter as exon 0). Exon 0is located ~ 2.5 kb upstream of exon 1 and splices into the inside of exon 1 (exon 1b in Figure 1). Thus this type of *Pax1* transcript lacks the 5' part of the exon 1. The splicing between exons 0 and 1b completely follows the GT-AG rule (MOUNT 1982). Furthermore, expression of this version of Pax1 transcripts was confirmed by whole-mount in situ hybridization with a probe specific for exon 0, showing a pattern identical to that with a conventional *Pax1* probe (data not shown). Thus we conclude that there are two alternative forms of Pax1 transcripts with either of the two transcription start sites: one at the 5' end of exon 0 or the other at the 5' end of exon 1a. Resulting Pax1 transcripts differ in the structure of the 5' UTR, but the coding sequences are identical.

BAC contig map encompassing the *Pax1* **locus:** We established a BAC contig map of ~400 kb encompassing mouse *Pax1* (Figure 2A). Ten clones including five *Pax1*-positive BACs and their overlapping clones were isolated from the CitbCj7 mouse BAC library. Restriction mapping with *Sfi*l digestion and BAC-end STS mapping (see online supplemental Table 1 available at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/) allowed us to determine the relative order and orientation of the BAC clones.

Furthermore, we isolated two additional BAC clones (RP23-224L16 and RP23-382B13) with larger inserts from the RPCI-23 library and added them to this contig map for the subsequent sequence analysis (see below).

Determination of the Pax1^{Uns} deletion interval: In the following descriptions, we refer to the 5' end and the 3' end of a deletion interval as "5' breakpoint" and "3' breakpoint," respectively. We tested several BAC-end fragments as probes for Southern hybridization on Pax1^{Un-s} and wild-type genomic DNA to determine the extent of the Pax1^{Un-s} deletion. Remarkably, an 800-bp PstI fragment from the T7-end clone of BAC132 (10 kb), located ~ 60 kb downstream of *Pax1* (Figure 2), gave no signal on homozygous Pax1^{Un-s} genomic DNA (Figure 3A). This indicates that this region is included in the *Pax1^{Un-s}* deletion interval. On the other hand, an STS marker, D2Neu7, which is also derived from the same BAC132 T7-end clone and located \sim 5 kb downstream of the *PstI* fragment, is present in the $PaxI^{Un-s}$ genome (data not shown). We subcloned a 2.4-kb EcoRI fragment positive for D2Neu7 from the BAC132 T7-end clone. Genomic Southern analysis with this 2.4-kb EcoRI probe demonstrated a rearrangement in the Pax1^{Un-s} genome (Figure 3B), indicating that the 2.4-kb EcoRI fragment included the 3' breakpoint of Pax1^{Un-s}. We determined the sequence of the 2.4-kb fragment and designed the primers Un-s1 and Un-s2 for the cassetteligation-mediated PCR method (see MATERIALS AND METHODS and online supplemental Table 2 available at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/). This method allowed us to isolate a 2.2-kb genomic fragment including segments that flanked the deletion interval from homozygous Pax1^{Un-s} genomic DNA. The sequence comparison of the Pax1^{Un-s}-derived 2.2-kb fragment with the wild-type-derived 2.4-kb EcoRI fragment determined the 3' breakpoint (Figure 3E). For the identification of the 5' breakpoint, we then established the STS marker D2Neu2 (Figure 2A and online supplemental Table 1 available at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/) from a part of the 2.2-kb fragment flanking the deletion interval on the 5' side. We subcloned a D2Neu2-positive 2-kb HindIII fragment from BAC42. Genomic Southern analysis with the 2-kb HindIII fragment as a probe detected a rearrangement in the Pax1^{Un-s} genomic DNA (Figure 3C), indicating that the 2-kb HindIII fragment included the 5' breakpoint. Sequence comparison of the Pax1^{Un-s}-derived 2.2-kb fragment with the wild-typederived 2-kb HindIII fragment determined the 5' breakpoint (Figure 3E). No further alterations such as insertions or inversions were confirmed in the Pax1^{Un-s} mutation by sequence comparison and by Southern analysis. Analysis of mouse Pax1-positive BAC sequences (see below) located the 5' breakpoint 50 kb upstream of exon 1 and the 3' breakpoint 65 kb downstream of exon 5. Consequently, the entire length of the $Pax1^{Un-s}$ deletion interval is ~ 125 kb (Figure 2A). To facilitate the genotyping of the Pax1^{Un-s} mutants, we established a



FIGURE 2.---(A) BAC con-tig map encompassing mouse Pax1. The bold horizontal bars represent 10 BAC clones from the CitbCI7 mouse BAC library. The shaded horizontal bars represent two sequenced BAC clones from the RPCI-23 mouse BAC library. The solid boxes indicate the regions including exons of Pax1, Nkx2-2, or Foxa2. The orientation of the contig is according to the transcriptional orientation of Pax1. Seven STS markers from this study, D2Neu1-D2Neu7, are shown according to their locations and presence on BAC clones (marked by the solid circles). The deletion interval in $Pax1^{Un-s}$ (between D2Neu2 and D2Neu7) is indicated by the bold arrow. SfiI sites within the interval between D2Neu1 and D2Neu7 are included. (B) Genomic organization of mouse Nkx2-2 and its potential antisense gene Asnkx2-2. Exons are numbered (1-2 for Nkx2-2 and 1-6 for Asnkx2-2) and

indicated by boxes: solid ones for *Nkx2-2* and shaded ones for *Asnkx2-2*. The last exons are indicated by the presence of poly(A) signals. Transcriptional orientations are indicated by the arrows above the gene names. The *Asnkx2-2* exons distribute in a 148-kb genome interval. Approximate sizes of large intervals (indicated by gaps) are given in kilobases. Note that the first exon of *Asnkx2-2* overlaps with the second exon of *Nkx2-2* in an antisense orientation and that the last exon of *Asnkx2-2*, located \sim 30 kb upstream of exon 0 of *Pax1*, is included in the 5' part of the *Pax1^{Uns}* deletion interval (bold horizontal bar with an arrow). The STS marker *D2Neu2* defines the 5' breakpoint of the *Pax1^{Uns}* deletion interval.

PCR-based genotyping assay using three specific primers (Figure 3D).

Genes around Pax1: Two overlapping mouse BAC clones, RP23-224L16 (183 kb) and RP23-382B13 (225 kb), encompassing the Pax1 locus were sequenced through the National Institutes of Health (NIH)-funded Genome Sequencing Network (Trans-NIH Mouse Initiative) upon our proposal (Figure 2A; GenBank accession nos. AC087416 and AC087417). By BLAST search we looked for genes and potential genes represented by expressed sequence tags (ESTs) in a 350-kb genomic region covered by the two BAC sequences. Aside from Pax1 itself, we hit one EST cluster showing significant homology to a segment of the genome sequence, which is located 2.3 kb upstream of Pax1 exon 1. This EST cluster consists of seven ESTs (representatively, GenBank accession nos. AI646519, BF019981, and AI608217) derived from three independent cDNA clones (IMAGE: 1226169, 3470654, and 789910). By sequencing the entire insert of these overlapping clones, we obtained a 752-bp consensus sequence (GenBank accession no.

AB080658), which consists of two exons perfectly corresponding to nucleotides 1698–1985 and 2504–2946 of the mouse *Pax1* genome sequence AF285175 (Figure 1). The presence of a poly(A) stretch and the consensus splicing signals GT/AG indicates that the 752-bp fragment is part of a real gene. Notably, this gene overlaps with *Pax1* exon 0 by 183 nucleotides in an antisense orientation (Figure 1). This gene does not appear to have a real open reading frame, because the sequence contains a number of stop codons in all three reading frames. These data suggest that this gene is a noncoding antisense gene for *Pax1* (thus referred to hereafter as *Aspax1*). The complete primary structure and function of *Aspax1* remains to be elucidated.

Extending our gene search, we examined the mouse genome sequence maps (NCBI: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov and EBI: http://www.ensembl.org). We found *Nkx2-2* and *Foxa2* (formerly $Hnf3\beta$) located ~180 kb upstream and 672 kb downstream of *Pax1*, respectively (Figure 2A). According to the annotation for the mouse chromosome 2 genome sequence (NW_000178), there are four (LOC



FIGURE 3.—Analysis and determination of the $Pax1^{Uns}$ deletion interval. (A) Southern blot analysis of the $Pax1^{Uns}$ genome with an 800-bp *Pst*I fragment from the 3' end clone of BAC132 as a probe. Note that the 5.5-kb signal is absent in $Pax1^{Uns}$ homozygote DNA (-/-). (B) Southern blot analysis of the $Pax1^{Uns}$ genome with a 2.4-kb *D2Neu7*-positive *Eco*RI fragment as a probe, which is ~5 kb downstream of the 800-bp *Pst*I segment used as a probe in A. A positive signal in $Pax1^{Uns}$ homozygote DNA is detected at 2.7 kb, which is larger than that in wild-type DNA (2.4 kb). (C) Southern blot analysis of the $Pax1^{Uns}$ genome with a 2.0-kb *D2Neu2*-positive *Hind*III fragment as a probe. Positive signals in $Pax1^{Uns}$ homozygote DNA are detected at 3.6 kb (*Hind*III digest) and 2.7 kb (*Eco*RI digest), which are different in size from those detected in wild-type DNA (2.0 kb and 8.0 kb, respectively). (D) PCR detection of the $Pax1^{Uns}$ allele. M, size marker; C, negative control with water as template. (E) Deletion breakpoints in the $Pax1^{Uns}$ mutation. Forty nucleotides upstream and downstream of the deletion breakpoints are shown in comparison with wild-type sequences. Matched nucleotides are indicated by the vertical bars, and regions flanking the deletion interval are marked by shading. The first and the last nucleotides of the deletion intervals are marked by the angled arrows.

241703, LOC241704, LOC228736, and LOC228737) and six (LOC241705, LOC228740, LOC228742, LOC241706, LOC241707, and LOC241708) potential genes in the intervals between Nkx2-2 and Pax1 and between Pax1 and Foxa2, respectively. Aspax1 is not annotated in NW_000178. These putative genes are proposed as gene models on the basis of computer predictions. However, with the exception of LOC228737, no experimental data or EST evidence support the predictions. By further BLAST analysis, the LOC228737 sequence (XM_149219: 650 bases) turned out to correspond to the 3' part of a full-length RIKEN cDNA sequence (AK045921: 4346 bases). By comparing AK045921 with the genome sequence (NW_000178), we found that the AK045921 gene consisted of six exons, spanning over an \sim 150-kb genome interval between Nkx2-2 and Pax1 (Figure 2B). Interestingly, the 5' part of AK045921 (nucleotides 2-281) overlaps to part of Nkx2-2 (nucleotides 798–1077) of NM_010919) in an antisense orientation (Figure 2B). AK045921 does not appear to contain an open reading frame, as there are a number of stop codons in all three reading frames. Thus, AK045921 may represent a noncoding antisense gene for Nkx2-2 (thus referred to hereafter as Asnkx2-2).

In summary, we found *Nkx2-2* and *Foxa2* as the nearest known genes on either side of *Pax1*. In the interval between *Nkx2-2* and *Pax1*, we confirmed the presence of two genes, *Asnkx2-2* and *Aspax1*, and they may be

noncoding antisense genes for *Nkx2-2* and *Pax1*, respectively. The *Pax1^{Uns}* deletion ablates the last exon of *Asnkx2-2*, at least two known exons of *Aspax1*, and all exons of *Pax1* (Figure 2B).

BAC transgenic rescue: We performed the BAC transgenic rescue experiment with three *Pax1*-positive clones, BAC42 (130 kb), BAC213 (75 kb), and BAC132 (100 kb), which together covered the *Pax1^{Uns}* deletion interval (Figure 2A). We established several BAC transgenic lines (BAC42, four lines; BAC213, one line; BAC132, two lines) and crossed transgenic mice with *Pax1^{Uns}* mice. We could not observe any rescue of the *Pax1^{Uns}* phenotype in the presence of a BAC transgene in heterozygous *Pax1^{Uns}* mice.

Ectopic expression of *Nkx2-2* in *Pax1^{Uns}* mutants: We examined expression of *Nkx2-2* in *Pax1^{Uns}* mutant embryos at E10.5 by whole-mount *in situ* hybridization (Figure 4). *Nkx2-2* is normally expressed in the ventral region of the neural tube adjacent to the floor plate (PRICE *et al.* 1992; Figure 4, A and F). Notably, *Nkx2-2* is ectopically upregulated in the sclerotome compartment of somites and in limb buds of *Pax1^{Uns}* mutant embryos (Figure 4, C, D, H, and I). Normally, *Pax1* is expressed in both of these embryonic tissues (Figure 4, E and J). Expression of *Nkx2-2* in the neural tube is not affected in *Pax1^{Uns}* mutants. Interestingly, ectopic expression of *Nkx2-2* is not detected in the pharyngeal pouch endoderm, another expression domain of *Pax1*.



FIGURE 4.—Ectopic expression of Nkx2-2 in somites and limb buds of Pax1^{Un-s} mutants. Wholemount in situ hybridization shows expression of Nkx2-2 (A-D and F-I) and Pax1 (E and J) in wild-type (A, E, F, and J), *Pax1^{null/null}* (B and G), $Pax1^{U_{n-s}/+}$ (C and H), and $Pax1^{U_{n-s}/U_{n-s}}$ (D and I) embryos at E10.5. (F-J) Transverse views at the level of the upper thoracic region. The red arrowheads in C and D indicate ectopic expression of Nkx2-2 in forelimb buds. The red and black arrowheads in E point to normal Pax1 expression in the forelimb buds and pharyngeal pouch endoderm, respectively.

Since $Pax1^{null}$ homozygous embryos do not exhibit such ectopic expression of Nkx2-2 (Figure 4, B and G), Pax1deficiency itself cannot account for this phenomenon. Comparison of $Pax1^{Un\cdots}$ heterozygotes (Figure 4, C and H) with homozygotes (Figure 4, D and I) demonstrated that the level of Nkx2-2 expression in these ectopic sites increases depending on the copy number of the mutant allele, suggesting that a *cis*-acting mechanism drives this ectopic activation of Nkx2-2. We also examined expression of Foxa2 in $Pax1^{Un\cdots}$ mutant embryos at E10.5, but we could not detect any change in its expression (data not shown).

Downregulation of *Bapx1* in the sclerotome of *Pax1^{Uns}* **mutants:** Another homeobox transcription factor of the NK-2 type, Bapx1 (or Nkx3-2), has been shown to be a major mediator of Shh signaling to induce sclerotome chondrogenesis (MURTAUGH *et al.* 2001). Furthermore, it has been recently identified as a transcriptional target of Pax1 and its paralog Pax9 (RODRIGO *et al.* 2003). The results from these studies together suggest that the main role of Pax1 (and Pax9) in sclerotome development is to activate its downstream effecter *Bapx1*. Thus we examined expression of Bapx1 in $Pax1^{Uns}$ mutant embryos (Figure 5). In targeted $Pax1^{null}$ mutants, Bapx1 expression is either unchanged in heterozygotes or only slightly reduced in homozygotes as a result of compensation by Pax9 (RODRIGO *et al.* 2003). In contrast, in $Pax1^{Uns}$ mutants, expression of Bapx1 is significantly reduced, which is already apparent in $Pax1^{Uns}$ heterozygous mutants (Figure 5B) and is even more prominent in $Pax1^{Uns}$ homozygous mutants (Figure 5C).

DISCUSSION

To explain the characteristic features of the $Pax1^{Uns}$ deletion mutation, we previously proposed two working hypotheses: the co-deletion model and the ectopic activation model (WILM *et al.* 1998). In the present study, we determined the deletion interval and clarified the molecular lesion of the $Pax1^{Uns}$ mutation. Our results indicate that the $Pax1^{Uns}$ mutation affects four genes within or nearby the deletion: Pax1, Nkx2-2, Asnkx2-2, and Aspax1. We have shown that the $Pax1^{Uns}$ deletion ablates all exons of Pax1, the last exon of Asnkx2-2, and



FIGURE 5.—Downregulation of Bapx1 in the sclerotome of $PaxI^{Uns}$ mutants. Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization shows expression of Bapx1 in (A) the control (+/+), (B) heterozygotes (Un-s/+), and (C) homozygotes (Un-s/Un-s) at E10.5. Note that the positive staining in homozygotes is significantly reduced (C). A slight reduction in Bapx1expression is recognized in heterozygotes, especially in the anterior part of the trunk (the cervical-to-thoracic regions; B). at least the last two exons of Aspax1. Furthermore, we have demonstrated that in $PaxI^{Un-s}$ mutants Nkx2-2 is ectopically activated in normally Pax1-positive domains. Thus, the Pax1^{Un-s} mutation leads to both the co-deletion and the ectopic activation of additional genes. Nevertheless, the co-deletion of Asnkx2-2 and Aspax1 may not contribute significantly to the Pax1^{Un-s} phenotype. Asnkx2-2 and Aspax1 are most probably noncoding antisense genes for Nkx2-2 and Pax1, respectively. Noncoding antisense RNA genes are usually involved in post-transcriptional regulation (EDDY 2001; ERDMANN et al. 2001). If this were the case, Asnkx2-2 and Aspax1 would function only in the context of the functions of their respective sense genes. Indeed, Asnkx2-2 is unlikely to have other functions that are not related to Nkx2-2. The knockout allele of Nkx2-2 reported by SUSSEL et al. (1998) was created by deleting both exon 1 and exon 2 of Nkx2-2, the latter of which contained exon 1 of Asnkx2-2 (see Figure 2B). Thus, this strategy inactivates both Nkx2-2 and Asnkx2-2 at the same time. Nevertheless, the observed defects in the knockout mutants are all related to *Nkx2-2*-positive tissues, strongly suggesting that Asnkx2-2 functions in the context of Nkx2-2 or that Asnkx2-2 is dispensable. The disturbance of Asnkx2-2 alone could lead to an enhanced translation of Nkx2-2, but this effect is possible only when Nkx2-2 sense mRNA is present. Therefore, the ectopic expression of Nkx2-2 should play a primary role, and the contribution by the disturbance of Asnkx2-2, if at all, should be secondary. Likewise, the disruption of Aspax1 and Pax1 at the same time may not result in a mutant allele with a more extreme phenotypic effect than that of a Pax1 deficiency. In any event, the functions of Asnkx2-2 and As*pax1* have to be determined in future studies to assess the contributions of these two genes to the Pax1^{Un-s} phenotype. Currently, we are not able to determine their expression profiles by Northern and in situ hybridization analyses, suggesting that they are expressed at low levels and/or that their transcripts are unstable, which is often the case with antisense RNA genes (STORZ 2002). In addition, our result from the BAC transgenic rescue experiment does not support the presence of more genes within the deletion interval that contribute to the

On the other hand, ectopic expression of Nkx2-2 in the somites and limb buds of $Pax1^{Uns}$ mutant embryos is remarkable and strikingly similar to normal expression of Pax1. Thus, this result suggests that *cis*-regulatory elements or enhancers, which normally direct Pax1 expression, still remain in the $Pax1^{Uns}$ genome and drive expression of Nkx2-2 in $Pax1^{Uns}$ mutants. Pax1 and Nkx2-2 show different and discrete expression profiles in the wild-type situation. Therefore, we can assume an insulation mechanism that limits enhancer activities of Pax1 or Nkx2-2 enhancers to their respective genes. We propose a model to explain how the Pax1-like expression of Nkx2-2 in $Pax1^{Uns}$ mutants occurs, as depicted in Figure 6 (insulator model). In this model, the enhancers that direct expression of Pax1

Pax1^{Un-s} phenotype.



FIGURE 6.—A model for the mechanism of ectopic activation of *Nkx2-2* in *Pax1^{Uns}* mutants. Enhancers (e) of *Pax1* expression in the pharyngeal pouch endoderm (pe), somites (s), and limb buds (lb) are indicated by ovals. Note that in this model pe is located inside the *Pax1^{Uns}* deletion, while the s and lb enhancers reside distal to the 3'-deletion breakpoint. In the wild-type situation, a putative *cis*-element or insulator (ins) directs the interaction of the *Pax1* enhancers only with the promoter (p) of *Pax1*, not with the *Nkx2-2* promoter, thereby establishing a boundary between *Pax1* and *Nkx2-2*. The *Pax1^{Uns}* deletion eliminates all exons and the promoter of *Pax1*, together with the pe enhancer and the insulator, thus allowing the s and lb enhancers to interact with the *Nkx2-2* promoter.

in the somites and limb buds are located outside the deletion interval, i.e., >65 kb downstream of Pax1 in the wild-type genome. Consistent with this assumption, we could not see any rescue for the skeletal defects in Pax1^{null} mutants by the BAC transgenes (BAC42, BAC 213, and BAC132; see Figure 2A) in multiple lines, suggesting that the BAC intervals defined by the Pax1-positive BAC clones do not contain *cis*-regulatory elements sufficient for the expression of Pax1 in the sclerotome and the limb buds. Furthermore, in this model we assume that some *cis*-element that defines a boundary between Pax1 and Nkx2-2 is located up to 50 kb upstream of Pax1. Such a cis-element can be regarded as an insulator, as defined in a recent review (WEST et al. 2002). This insulator blocks the action of the Pax1 enhancers on the Nkx2-2 promoter in the normal situation (Figure 6, top). In $Pax1^{Un-s}$ mutants, the insulator is ablated by the deletion, thereby allowing the Pax1 enhancers to illegitimately communicate with the Nkx2-2 promoter (Figure 6, bottom). On the other hand, the absence of ectopic Nkx2-2 in the pharyngeal pouch endoderm of *Pax1^{Un-s}* embryos suggests that the *Pax1* pharyngeal pouch endoderm enhancer is located within the Pax1^{Un-s} deletion interval. Alternative to this insulator model, the insulation mechanism might be established simply by the distance between the two loci. If it is the case, the shortened distance between the Nkx2-2 promoter and the Pax1 enhancers would account for the regulatory interference in the Pax1^{Un-s} allele, although this explanation is inconsistent with a distance-independent manner of enhancer actions (BLACKWOOD and KADO-NAGA 1998). The molecular basis of the gene insulation here remains to be elucidated in future studies.

What could ectopically expressed Nkx2-2 lead to?

Since Nkx2-2 is implicated in the cell-type specification during the development of the central nervous system and pancreatic islets (SUSSEL *et al.* 1998; BRISCOE *et al.* 1999; McMAHON 2000; QI *et al.* 2001; SOULA *et al.* 2001), ectopic expression of *Nkx2-2* might have a potential to change the fate of sclerotomal cells. We tested this possibility by examining expression of *Nkx6-1* as a marker that is often coexpressed by *Nkx2-2*-positive cells in the ventral neural tube (McMAHON 2000) and in the pancreas (SANDER *et al.* 2000), but we could not detect any change in *Nkx6-1* expression (data not shown). Thus it seems unlikely that cells with ectopic expression of *Nkx2-2* in *Pax1^{Uns}* mutants change their fates.

We have demonstrated that Bapx1 (or Nkx3-2) is downregulated more significantly in Pax1^{Un-s} mutants than in Pax1-null mutants. Since Bapx1 is the main effecter of Shh signaling to induce sclerotome chondrogenesis (MURTAUGH et al. 2001), this observation accounts well for the difference in the phenotype severity between the Pax1^{Un-s} and Pax1-null mutations. Bapx1 is a downstream target of Pax1 and Pax9, but Bapx1 expression in Pax1null mutants is only slightly reduced due to the compensation by Pax9 (RODRIGO et al. 2003). Therefore, in addition to the loss of Pax1, there must be an additional mechanism that results in the enhanced downregulation of *Bapx1* in *Pax1^{Un-s}*. We assume that ectopically activated Nkx2-2 may fulfill this role. Bapx1 and Nkx2-2 are NK homeobox transcription factors and share a high degree of structural similarities, including the presence of the TN domain, NK2 homeodomain (characterized by the presence of tyrosine at homeodomain position 54), and NK2-specific domain (NK2-SD; TRIBIOLI et al. 1997). It has been shown that Bapx1 acts as a transcriptional repressor to exert its chondrogenic function in the sclerotome (MURTAUGH et al. 2001). Bapx1 can be converted into a transcriptional activator by appending a strong activator domain from VP16 (designated as Nkx3.2-VP16 in MURTAUGH et al. 2001). When Nkx3.2-VP16 is overexpressed in chick somites, sclerotome chondrogenesis is inhibited (MURTAUGH et al. 2001) and expression of endogenous Bapx1 is downregulated, due to the disturbance of the positive autoregulatory loop that maintains expression of Bapx1 (ZENG et al. 2002). Thus these defects caused by overexpressed Nkx3.2-VP16 in somites mirror what we have demonstrated in *Pax1^{Un-s}* mutants, suggesting the possibility that ectopically expressed Nkx2-2 in the sclerotome may act as a transcriptional activator, thereby interfering with Bapx1 function. Nkx2-2 has a potent activator domain at the C-terminal part, and the NK2-SD domain, presumably together with a cofactor, masks the transcriptional activity of this domain (WATADA et al. 2000). Therefore, under an abnormal condition (*i.e.*, ectopic expression) the activity of the C-terminal domain may not be properly masked by NK2-SD, leading to transcriptional activation by Nkx2-2.

In conclusion, we propose that a combination of the

Pax1 deficiency and the ectopic activation of *Nkx2-2* by the *Pax1* enhancers is the molecular basis of the *Pax1^{Uns}* mutation. This combined molecular defect accounts for the *Pax1^{Uns}* phenotype that is significantly enhanced but is restricted to *Pax1*-positive tissues.

We thank M. Karasawa for her technical advice for the deletion interval analysis and H. Hamada and the members of his laboratory for help with whole-mount *in situ* analysis. We acknowledge E. Knapik and J. Favor for critical reading of the manuscript. We also acknowledge R. Hill for the *Bapx1* probe, the Resource Center of the German Human Genome Project for distributing EST clones, and the University of Oklahoma for BAC sequencing through the NIH-funded Genome Sequencing Network. This work was supported in part by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the GSF-National Research Center for Environment and Health, and in part by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan, with Special Coordinating Funds for Promoting Science and Technology (K.A.).

LITERATURE CITED

- BALLING, R., U. DEUTSCH and P. GRUSS, 1988 *undulated*, a mutation affecting the development of the mouse skeleton, has a point mutation in the paired box of *Pax1*. Cell **55**: 531–535.
- BLACKWOOD, E. M., and J. T. KADONAGA, 1998 Going the distance: a current view of enhancer action. Science **281:** 60–63.
- BLANDOVA, Z. K., and I. EGOROV, 1975 Sut allelic with un. Mouse News Lett. 52: 43.
- BRISCOE, J., L. SUSSEL, P. SERUP, D. HARTIGAN-O'CONNOR, T. M. JESSELL *et al.*, 1999 Homeobox gene Nkx2.2 and specification of neuronal identity by graded Sonic hedgehog signaling. Nature 398: 622–627.
- CARNINCI, P., Y. SHIBATA, N. HAYATSU, Y. SUGAHARA, K. SHIBATA et al., 2000 Normalization and subtraction of cap-trapper-selected cDNAs to prepare full-length cDNA libraries for rapid discovery of new genes. Genome Res. 10: 1617–1630.
- DAHL, E., H. KOSEKI and R. BALLING, 1997 Pax genes and organogenesis. Bioessays 19: 755–765.
- DEUTSCH, U., G. R. DRESSLER and P. GRUSS, 1988 Pax 1, a member of a paired box homologous murine gene family, is expressed in segmented structures during development. Cell **53**: 617–625.
- DIETRICH, S., and P. GRUSS, 1995 *undulated* phenotypes suggest a role of *Pax-1* for the development of vertebral and extravertebral structures. Dev. Biol. **167**: 529–548.
- EDDY, S. R., 2001 Non-coding RNA genes and the modern RNA world. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2: 919–929.
- ERDMANN, V. A., M. Z. BARCISZEWSKA, M. SZYMANSKI, A. HOCHBERG, N. DE GROOT *et al.*, 2001 The non-coding RNAs as riboregulators. Nucleic Acids Res. 29: 189–193.
- GRÜNEBERG, H., 1950 Genetical studies on the skeleton of the mouse. II. Undulated and its "modifiers." J. Genet. 50: 142–173.
- GRÜNEBERG, H., 1954 Genetical studies on the skeleton of the mouse. XII. The development of undulated. J. Genet. 52: 441–455.
- ISEGAWA, Y., J. SHENG, Y. SOKAWA, K. YAMANISHI, O. NAKAGOMI *et al.*, 1992 Selective amplification of cDNA sequence from total RNA by cassette-ligation mediated polymerase chain reaction (PCR): application to sequencing 6.5 kb genome segment of hantavirus strain B-1. Mol. Cell. Probes 6: 467–475.
- LETTICE, L., J. HECKSHER-SORENSEN and R. HILL, 2001 The role of Bapx1 (Nkx3.2) in the development and evolution of the axial skeleton. J. Anat. **199**: 181–187.
- MANSOURI, A., G. GOUDREAU and P. GRUSS, 1999 Pax genes and their role in organogenesis. Cancer Res. **59:** 1707s–1710s.
- McMAHON, A. P., 2000 Neural patterning: the role of Nkx genes in the ventral spinal cord. Genes Dev. **14**: 2261–2264.
- MOUNT, S. M., 1982 A catalogue of splice junction sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 10: 459–472.
- MURTAUGH, L. C., L. ZENG, J. H. CHYUNG and A. B. LASSAR, 2001 The chick transcriptional repressor Nkx3.2 acts downstream of

Shh to promote BMP-dependent axial chondrogenesis. Dev. Cell 1: 411–422.

- NEUBÜSER, A., H. KOSEKI and R. BALLING, 1995 Characterization and developmental expression of Pax9, a paired-box-containing gene related to Pax1. Dev. Biol. **170**: 701–716.
- PABST, O., H. HERBRAND and H. H. ARNOLD, 1998 Nkx2-9 is a novel homeobox transcription factor which demarcates ventral domains in the developing mouse CNS. Mech. Dev. 73: 85–93.
- PRICE, M., D. LAZZARO, T. POHL, M. G. MATTEI, U. RUTHER et al., 1992 Regional expression of the homeobox gene Nkx-2.2 in the developing mammalian forebrain. Neuron 8: 241–255.
- QI, Y., J. CAI, Y. WU, R. WU, J. LEE et al., 2001 Control of oligodendrocyte differentiation by the Nkx2.2 homeodomain transcription factor. Development 128: 2723–2733.
- RODRIGO, I., R. E. HILL, R. BALLING, A. MUNSTERBERG and K. IMAI, 2003 Pax1 and Pax9 activate *Bapx1* to induce chondrogenic differentiation in the sclerotome. Development **130**: 473–482.
- SANDER, M., L. SUSSEL, J. CONNERS, D. SCHEEL, J. KALAMARAS *et al.*, 2000 Homeobox gene Nkx6.1 lies downstream of Nkx2.2 in the major pathway of beta-cell formation in the pancreas. Development **127**: 5533–5540.
- SOULA, C., C. DANESIN, P. KAN, M. GROB, C. PONCET et al., 2001 Distinct sites of origin of oligodendrocytes and somatic motoneurons in the chick spinal cord: oligodendrocytes arise from Nkx2.2expressing progenitors by a Shh-dependent mechanism. Development 128: 1369–1379.
- SPÖRLE, R., and K. SCHUGHART, 1998 Paradox segmentation along inter- and intrasomitic borderlines is followed by dysmorphology of the axial skeleton in the *open brain* (*opb*) mouse mutant. Dev. Genet. **22:** 359–373.
- STORZ, G., 2002 An expanding universe of noncoding RNAs. Science 296: 1260–1263.
- SUSSEL, L., J. KALAMARAS, D. J. HARTIGAN-O'CONNOR, J. J. MENESES, R. A. PEDERSEN *et al.*, 1998 Mice lacking the homeodomain

transcription factor Nkx2.2 have diabetes due to arrested differentiation of pancreatic beta cells. Development **125:** 2213–2221.

- TIMMONS, P. M., J. WALLIN, P. W. RIGBY and R. BALLING, 1994 Expression and function of Pax 1 during development of the pectoral girdle. Development 120: 2773–2785.
- TRIBIOLI, C., M. FRASCH and T. LUFKIN, 1997 Bapx1: an evolutionary conserved homologue of the Drosophila bagpipe homeobox gene is expressed in splanchnic mesoderm and the embryonic skeleton. Mech. Dev. 65: 145–162.
- WALLACE, M. E., 1980 Undulated alleles. Mouse News Lett. 63: 10.
- WALLIN, J., J. WILTING, H. KOSEKI, R. FRITSCH, B. CHRIST *et al.*, 1994 The role of Pax-1 in axial skeleton development. Development 120: 1109–1121.
- WALLIN, J., H. EIBEL, A. NEUBÜSER, J. WILTING, H. KOSEKI *et al.*, 1996 *Pax1* is expressed during development of the thymus epithelium and is required for normal T-cell maturation. Development **122**: 23–30.
- WATADA, H., R. G. MIRMIRA, J. KALAMARAS and M. S. GERMAN, 2000 Intramolecular control of transcriptional activity by the NK2specific domain in NK-2 homeodomain proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 9443–9448.
- WEST, A. G., M. GASZNER and G. FELSENFELD, 2002 Insulators: many functions, many mechanisms. Genes Dev. 16: 271–288.
- WILM, B., E. DAHL, H. PETERS, R. BALLING and K. IMAI, 1998 Targeted disruption of *Pax1* defines its null phenotype and proves haploinsufficiency. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95: 8692–8697.
- WRIGHT, M. E., 1947 Undulated: a new genetic factor in Mus musculus affecting the spine and tail. Heredity 1: 137–141.
- ZENG, L., H. KEMPF, L. C. MURTAUGH, M. E. SATO and A. B. LASSAR, 2002 Shh establishes an Nkx3.2/Sox9 autoregulatory loop that is maintained by BMP signals to induce somitic chondrogenesis. Genes Dev. 16: 1990–2005.

Communicating editor: C. KOZAK