Estradiol rapidly inhibits soluble guanylyl cyclase expression in rat uterus

Joshua S. Krumenacker, Salman M. Hyder, and Ferid Murad[†]

Department of Integrative Biology and Pharmacology and Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of Texas Medical School, 6431 Fannin Street, Houston, TX 77030

Contributed by Ferid Murad, November 17, 2000

Previous reports that investigated the regulation of the NO/ soluble guanylyl cyclase (sGC)/cGMP pathway by estrogenic compounds have focused primarily on the levels of NO, NO-producing enzymes, and cGMP in various tissues. In this study, we demonstrate that 17 β -estradiol (E2) regulates the α_1 and β_1 subunits of the NO receptor, sGC, at the mRNA and protein levels in rat uterus. Using real-time quantitative PCR, we found that within 1 h of in vivo E2 administration to rats, sGC mRNA levels begin to diminish. After 3 h, there is a maximal diminution of sGC mRNA expression (sGC α_1 10% and sGC β_1 33% of untreated). This effect was blocked by the estrogen receptor antagonist, ICI 182,780, indicating that estrogen receptor is required. The effect of E2 also was observed in vitro with incubations of uterine tissue, indicating that the response does not depend on the secondary release of other hormones or factors from other tissues. Puromycin did not block the effect, suggesting the effects occur because of preexisting factors in uterine tissues and do not require new protein synthesis. Using immunoblot analysis, we found that sGC protein levels also were reduced by E2 over a similar time course as the sGC mRNA. We conclude that sGC plays a vital role in the NO/sGC/cGMP regulatory pathway during conditions of elevated estrogen levels in the rat uterus as a result of the reduction of sGC expression.

nitric oxide | gene regulation | steroid hormone

The steroid hormone 17β -estradiol (E2) exhibits dramatic effects in the uterus with respect to hyperemia, gene expression, and proliferation of the endometrium. It is clear that there is a wide array of changes in the expression of multiple genes and biochemical processes within the uterus after E2 exposure. However, as a whole, it is unclear how these responses mediate the hyperemic and subsequent proliferative response of the uterine tissue.

To understand how these processes are regulated is essential, because normal physiological, pathological, and pharmacological estrogenic responses are common. For instance, the levels of estrogen are tightly regulated and mediate uterine quiescence and initiation of labor during pregnancy (1). In addition, estrogen levels fluctuate during the estrous cycle of mammals and the menstrual cycle of primates, which mediate the endometrial growth cycle (2). Postmenopausal women frequently are administered estrogen supplements in hormone replacement therapy regimens to reduce the loss of bone mass associated with menopause. Furthermore, use of the anti-estrogen, tamoxifen, for treating breast cancer results in paradoxical estrogenic effects in the uterus (3). Finally, both men and women are exposed to low levels of phyto-estrogens on a daily basis, dependent on dietary and environmental intake (4).

Reports indicate that estrogens regulate the NO/soluble guanylyl cyclase (sGC)/cGMP cell signaling pathway and the levels of NO and the second messenger, cGMP, in many tissues, including the uterus. Recent and past studies have investigated the levels of the various players within this pathway in the uterus, in hopes of understanding how they participate in the abovementioned physiological and pathological states. For example, after E2 administration and during elevated estrogen levels during pregnancy, the enzymes that produce NO are activated in the uterus (1, 5-7). In addition, levels of cGMP either rise or fall in response to E2, depending on the experimental conditions and/or length of administration or stage of pregnancy or estrous cycle (1, 8-10).

sGC is a heme-containing cytosolic cGMP-generating receptor. Many of the NO-dependent cell signaling events that regulate important physiological processes are mediated through activation of sGC. NO is synthesized by the three isoforms of NO synthases (nNOS, iNOS, eNOS, or NOS 1, 2, and 3, respectively; ref. 11). NO then can diffuse freely and bind to sGC, enhancing the production of cGMP and subsequently stimulating cGMP targets, such as cGMP-dependent protein kinases, cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases, and ion channels (12–14).

sGC consists of two subunits, α and β , which make up the active heterodimeric enzyme (15). At least two mammalian isoforms for each subunit (α_1/α_2 and β_1/β_2) have been identified and are expressed in a tissue-specific manner (16). Both isoforms for each subunit have been cloned and sequenced in human, rat, and bovine (17–21). The α_1/β_1 sGC heterodimer appears to be the most abundantly expressed and widely distributed sGC holoenzyme. Previously, we cloned and sequenced the mouse sGC α_1 and β_1 isoforms and subsequently determined their genomic organization and chromosomal localization in an effort to help us elucidate how sGC is regulated (22).

Earlier studies have neglected to examine the regulation of the NO receptor, sGC, at the mRNA and protein levels in response to E2 in the uterus. In this report, we used immature rats to determine whether E2 regulates the mRNA and protein levels of the sGC subunits. We report that E2 has a rapid and profound effect on uterine sGC α_1 and β_1 isoforms in this model system, which is well characterized to study estrogenic regulation of gene expression *in vivo*.

Materials and Methods

Animals and Treatments. Immature female Sprague–Dawley rats (21 days old, 40–45 g; Sasco, Omaha, NE) were either nonovariectomized, purchased ovariectomized, or ovariectomized on arrival; and ovariectomized animals were allowed to recover 4 days before treatments. Animals were given tap water and fed Purina rat chow (no. 5001) ad libitum, with a 12:12 h light/dark cycle. All procedures were approved by the University of Texas– Houston Health Science Center Animal Welfare Committee and are in accordance with the guidelines of the National Institutes of Health.

Animals were injected s.c. (as described previously) in the periscapular region with various hormones (23). Unless other-

Abbreviations: E2, 17β -estradiol; sGC, soluble guanylyl cyclase; Q-PCR, quantitative PCR; ER, estrogen receptor.

[†]To whom reprint requests should be addressed. E-mail: Ferid.Murad@uth.tmc.edu.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

wise indicated (dose–response), the dose of E2 was 40 μ g/kg body weight, whereas the doses of other hormones were as follows: dexamethasone, 600 μ g/kg; 5 α -dihydrotestosterone, 400 μ g/kg; and progesterone, 40 mg/kg (Sigma). For other experiments, animals were treated i.p. (as described previously) with either ICI 182,780 (2 mg/kg; Tocris Neuramin, Bristol, U.K.) or puromycin (100 mg/kg; Sigma) 30 min before the administration of 40 μ g/kg E2 (23, 24).

Determination of Uterine sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA Levels in Vitro. Animals were killed and uterine tissues were immediately removed and placed into glass vials containing 1 ml of pregassed (95% O₂/5% CO₂ at 37°C) phenol red-free DMEM/F-12 medium (GIBCO; supplemented with 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin) either with or without 10 nM E2. All vials then were gassed with 95% O₂/5% CO₂, sealed, and incubated for 3 h in a 37°C shaking water bath.

RNA Preparations. Tissues were removed from killed animals or glass vials and immediately homogenized in Ultra Spec RNA Isolation Solution (Biotecx Laboratories, Houston) to obtain total RNA preparations. After isolation, total RNA from tissues was spectrophotometrically quantified at 260 nm. For Northern analysis, total RNA from three individual animals was pooled and subjected to $poly(A)^+$ mRNA purification by using a $poly(A)^+$ purification kit (Dynal, Great Neck, NY).

Preparation of Tissue Homogenates for Immunoblot Analysis. Tissues were removed from killed, nonovariectomized animals and placed immediately into liquid nitrogen and subsequently stored at -80° C until used (not more than 1 wk). Tissues were homogenized in buffer containing 50 mM triethanolamine (pH 7.4), 50 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM cGMP, 1 mM PMSF, and 5 μ g/ml each of pepstatin A, leupeptin, aprotinin, and chymostatin. Homogenates then were sonicated and spun at 15,000 × g for 15 min. The supernatant then was spun for 1 h at 100,000 × g, and the soluble fraction was used in the immunoblot analysis.

Real-Time Reverse Transcriptase-PCR Analysis. To determine sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA levels, the use of an α_1 and β_1 rat isoformspecific fluorescent detected, real-time quantitative PCR (Q-PCR) technique was used, as originally described by Gibson et al. (25). In brief, 100 ng total RNA extracted from rat tissues was used in a reverse transcriptase reaction by using Superscript reverse transcriptase (GIBCO/BRL) with a specific oligonucleotide primer corresponding to the complementary sequence of α_1 or β_1 sGC mRNA (sGC α_1 , 5'-ACACAATATGCATCTC-CGATGG-3'; sGC β_1 , 5'-CCCGTGGAAACTGATGTCAA-3'). For the PCR, the negative-strand oligonucleotides remained the same, and the positive-strand oligonucleotides were: 5'-GCTCTCTATACTCGCTTTGACCAA-3' for the α_1 subunit and 5'-CGGGACCTAGTAGTCACGCA-3' for the β_1 subunit. A third, fluorescent-labeled, oligonucleotide probe that anneals within the amplicon for each assay also was used (5'-CCACCTTGTAGACATCCAGCTCTCCACA-3' for the α_1 assay and 5'-ACAGAGTGCTCCCCAGCTCCAG-3' for the β_1 assay). Detection of the dequenched probe was achieved by using an Applied Biosystems Prism 7700 Sequence Detector (Perkin-Elmer). The data were analyzed by using the SEQUENCE DETEC-TOR software. Because each assay crosses an intron/exon boundary, the possibility of chromosomal DNA artifacts in the PCR is virtually eliminated. However, tubes containing no RNA template during the reverse transcriptase reaction and tubes containing no Superscript enzyme were consistently assayed in parallel to control for DNA and buffer contamination, respectively.

Relative levels of sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA were reported after

normalization to 36b4 mRNA, also detected by real-time Q-PCR. Levels of 36b4 message were not significantly altered by any treatment reported here.

Northern Blot Analysis. Samples of $poly(A)^+$ RNA were denatured for 10 min at 60°C in RNA loading buffer and subsequently separated on a 1% agarose denaturing gel. One microgram of poly(A)⁺ RNA was loaded per lane. After transferring to Duralon-UV membrane (Stratagene), membranes were prehybridized for 2 h in 50% formamide, 0.5% SDS, $6 \times$ SSC, $5 \times$ Denhardt's solution, 0.6% dextran sulfate, and 50 μ g/ml yeast tRNA solution. Membranes were first hybridized with a random primed-generated ³²P-dCTP-labeled probe using a random primed DNA labeling kit (Roche Molecular Biochemicals) and a 1.3-kb cDNA from sGC α_1 as a template. Blots were hybridized for 16 h at 46°C and washed twice in buffer 1 ($2 \times$ SSC, 0.5% SDS) then twice in buffer 2 $(1 \times SSC, 0.1\% SDS)$ and exposed to x-ray film (Kodak). For sGC β_1 , blots were stripped and reprobed in the exact manner, using a probe generated from a 1.9-kb cDNA for sGC β_1 . To correct for internal variation in loading, blots also were hybridized by using a ³²P-labeled cDNA to glyceraldehyde-3phosphate dehydrogenase (Ambion, Austin, TX).

Immunoblot Analysis. Total protein in the $100,000 \times g$ supernatants was determined by using Bradford reagent (Bio-Rad). Fifty micrograms of total protein from each sample was boiled for 8 min in Laemmli sample buffer and fractioned on 10% SDS gels. Resolved proteins were transferred to poly(vinylidene difluoride) membranes (Bio-Rad) and blocked overnight in 5% nonfat dry milk. Membranes then were incubated with a mouse monoclonal anti-sGC α antibody (H6) (26, 27), and sGC α protein was detected by using the ECL detection system (Amersham Pharmacia). Protein bands were analyzed by densitometry and presented as percent of control, using the densitometric values.

Data Analysis. Statistical analysis of the E2 time course (Fig. 1*A*) was performed by ANOVA followed by the Scheffé post hoc test for comparison between groups (* indicates significant difference compared with control animals at the 0.05 level). All other statistical analyses were done by using a *t* test (* indicates significance from control, P < 0.01; # indicates significance from *). Where applicable, the results are presented as means \pm SEM.

Results

E2 Rapidly Decreases Both sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA. We first determined the influence of a physiological dose of E2 on mRNA expression levels of sGC α_1 and β_1 using real-time Q-PCR (see *Materials and Methods*). As shown in Fig. 1*A*, *in vivo* administration of E2 to ovariectomized animals resulted in a rapid decrease of sGC α_1 mRNA in the uterus. The effects were seen as early as 1 h after administration (30% decrease), and reached the lowest level at 3 h (90% decrease). Over the 24-h time course, the levels of sGC α_1 mRNA began to reaccumulate, but only reached approximately 42% of control values.

Similar to the effects on sGC α_1 mRNA levels, uterine sGC β_1 mRNA levels also were reduced by *in vivo* E2 administration. Within 1 h, sGC β_1 levels were diminished by almost 50%, and by 3 h to 34% of control levels. Unlike sGC α_1 , sGC β_1 levels returned back to control steady-state levels within 24 h after E2 injection (Fig. 1.4).

We also determined the effect of E2 on uterine sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA levels in immature nonovariectomized animals. Three hours after the *in vivo* administration of E2 to nonovariectomized animals, sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA levels were reduced to 15% and 32% of control values, respectively (data not shown). These results indicate that sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA are similarly



B





Fig. 1. E2 rapidly diminishes sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA expression in the rat uterus. Ovariectomized rats were administered E2 (40 μ g/kg body weight, single injection) and killed over a time course. Uterine sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA expression was determined by real-time Q-PCR (0–24 h) (A) and Northern blot (at 3 h) (B). Mean values \pm SEM (n = 3) in A were normalized to 36b4 and graphed as percent of control (Con, Time 0 h). (C) The actual quantitation of sGC α_1 and β_1 transcript levels relative to 36b4 transcript under E2-stimulated and non-stimulated conditions as determined by real-time Q-PCR.

regulated in ovariectomized and nonovariectomized immature animals.

The reduction of sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA levels in the rat uterus after 3 h of E2 *in vivo* treatment is further illustrated in Fig. 1*B* using Northern blot analysis. Transcripts of sGC α_1 (5.2 kb) and β_1 (4.2 kb) were detected as stated in *Materials and Methods*. The sGC α_1 transcript is evident in control animals and virtually disappears after 3 h of E2 treatment. The dramatic reduction of sGC β_1 transcript after 3 h of E2 administration also is shown.



Fig. 2. Dose-dependent reduction of sGC α_1 and β_1 transcripts by E2. Increasing doses of E2 were administered to ovariectomized animals that were killed after 3 h. Uterine sGC mRNA levels were determined by real-time Q-PCR. Mean values \pm SEM (Con, n = 6; all doses, n = 3) were normalized to 36b4 and graphed as percent of control.

The glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase transcript was detected to control for equal loading of samples. Fig. 1*C* illustrates the actual quantitative levels of sGC transcripts relative to the control housekeeping gene, 36b4, in 100 ng total uterine RNA under control (untreated) and 3-h E2-treated animals using real-time Q-PCR.

E2-Mediated sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA Inhibition Is Dose-Dependent and Is Specific to Estrogen Receptor (ER) Activation. To confirm that the E2 reduction of uterine sGC mRNA depended on ER activation, we used three methods: (*i*) dose response, (*ii*) ER antagonist, and (*iii*) nonestrogenic steroid hormones. First, we determined the dose-response curve for E2 reduction of sGC mRNAs. Increasing doses of E2 were injected into ovariectomized rats, and uterine sGC α_1 and β_1 levels were determined after 3 h. As shown in Fig. 2, at a dose of 4 μ g/kg, maximal reductions of both sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA levels were observed.

Some animals also were pretreated with the pure ER antagonist, ICI 182,780 (2 mg/kg), 30 min before E2 injection (40 μ g/kg). Fig. 3 demonstrates that competitive inhibition of E2 binding to ER by ICI 182,780 blocks the effects of E2 on the mRNA of both sGC α_1 and β_1 subunits in uterine tissue. There



Fig. 3. ICI 182,780 blocks the E2-mediated diminution of uterine sGC mRNA expression. Ovariectomized rats were treated with the pure ER antagonist, ICI 182,780, 30 min before the administration of E2 (40 μ g/kg body weight). Animals were killed after 3 h, and sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA levels were determined by real-time Q-PCR. Mean values \pm SEM (Con, n = 6; E2, n = 9; E2 + ICI, n = 6; ICI, n = 8) were normalized to 36b4 and graphed as percent of control.



Fig. 4. Uterine sGC mRNA regulation exhibits steroid-hormone specificity. Ovariectomized animals were administered either E2 (40 μ g/kg), progesterone (Prog, 40 mg/kg), 5 α -dihydrotestosterone (DHT, 400 μ g/kg), or dexamethasone (DEX, 600 μ g/kg). Animals then were killed after 3 h and uterine sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA levels were determined by real-time Q-PCR. Mean values \pm SEM (n = 3) were normalized to 36b4 and graphed as percent of control.

was no effect of the ICI compound on the expression of these RNAs when administered alone (Fig. 3).

Finally, the effects of progesterone, 5α -dihydrotestosterone, and dexamethasone on uterine sGC mRNA levels were determined. None of the nonestrogenic steroid hormones used resulted in any significant changes in sGC mRNA levels (Fig. 4). Taken together, these results indicate that the E2-mediated effects on sGC at 3 h are specifically estrogenic and require ER activation.

Puromycin Does Not Block E2-Mediated Effects on sGC mRNA Levels. To determine whether the E2 effects on sGC mRNA levels in the uterus required the synthesis of new proteins, we used the protein synthesis inhibitor puromycin (100 mg/kg). At this dose, puromycin has been repeatedly shown to be effective at blocking new protein synthesis in both uterine and liver tissues (28–30). Puromycin was unable to block the inhibitory effect of E2 on sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA levels. Furthermore, puromycin alone had no effect on sGC α_1 or β_1 transcripts (Fig. 5). Therefore, basal expression and E2 inhibition of sGC mRNA levels occur in the



Fig. 5. E2 inhibition of uterine sGC mRNA levels occurs independently of new protein synthesis. Ovariectomized rats were pretreated with puromycin (30 min) and subsequently administered E2 (40 μ g/kg). Animals were killed 3 h after the administration of E2, and sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA levels were determined by real-time Q-PCR. Mean values \pm SEM (n = 3) were normalized to 36b4 and graphed as percent of control.



Fig. 6. E2 inhibits uterine sGC mRNA levels *in vitro*. Uteri were excised from ovariectomized animals and incubated *in vitro* for 3 h in the presence or absence of E2 (10 nM). Transcript levels for sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA were determined by real-time Q-PCR. Mean values \pm SEM (n = 4) were normalized to 36b4 and graphed as percent of control.

absence of any newly synthesized protein during the 3-h incubation period.

E2 Inhibits sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA *in Vitro*. To determine whether the E2-mediated effects on sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA levels occurred independent of factors released from other tissues, we treated uterine tissues *in vitro* with E2 (10 nM) after removing them from the intact animals. After 3 h of *in vitro* incubation with E2, uterine sGC α_1 mRNA levels were reduced by 33% and sGC β_1 by 50% when compared with tissues incubated in the absence of E2 (Fig. 6). Apparently because of nonoptimal conditions, the levels of *in vitro* control sGC mRNA levels were only 31% and 35% of *in vivo* control levels for α_1 and β_1 , respectively (data not shown). Nevertheless, a direct effect by E2 to diminish sGC mRNA expression was observed *in vitro*.

E2 Decreases sGC Protein Levels. Using immunoblot analysis, we determined that E2 also results in decreased sGC protein. Fig. 7*A* demonstrates the effect of *in vivo* administration of E2 to immature nonovariectomized animals on sGC α protein levels in the uterus. Overall, E2 caused uterine sGC α protein levels to diminish to approximately 30–35% of control levels between 3 and 6 h after injection. By 12–18 h after E2 administration, the sGC protein levels returned to approximately 80% of the untreated animals (Fig. 7*B*).

Discussion

The female reproductive tissues respond dramatically to endocrine factors, specifically the ovarian steroid hormones. In this regard, estrogens and progesterone exhibit profound physiological effects in uterine tissue with respect to growth, vascularization, and gene expression. These steroid hormones also are associated with pathological states such as uterine cancers. However, the exact molecular mechanism(s) that regulate steroid hormone-mediated uterine physiological and pathological processes are not known.

The results presented here illustrate that E2 regulates both the α_1 and β_1 subunits of uterine sGC by reducing their mRNA levels within 1–3 h after *in vivo* injection to immature rats. Significant decreases were observed at the earliest time tested (1 h). The fact that the ER antagonist, ICI 182,780, blocks this response suggests that the inhibition is an ER-mediated effect. Furthermore, this effect only occurred after the *in vivo* administration of E2, and not in response to the other nonestrogenic hormones progesterone, 5α -dihydrotestosterone, and dexamethasone. In



Fig. 7. E2 leads to the reduction of sGC protein levels in rat uterus. Nonovariectomized rats were administered E2 (40 μ g/kg) and killed over a time course. Uterine sGC α protein levels were determined by immunoblotting. (*A*) A representative blot from one experiment. (*B*) The average densitometric values from five independent experiments \pm SEM graphed as percent of control.

addition, this rapid response appears to be specific to uterine tissue, because lung, liver, and vascular tissue were all unresponsive to the E2 effects at times (3 h) when uterine sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA was virtually abolished (data not shown). Taken together, E2 rapidly and specifically down-regulates sGC mRNA expression *in vivo* in the rat uterus.

We also demonstrate here that sGC α protein levels are reduced in the uterus of immature animals after *in vivo* E2 administration. The decrease in sGC protein levels likely occurs as a result of the reduction of the mRNA levels, because the time course of sGC protein reduction appears to follow subsequent to the mRNA.

In an effort to help elucidate the mechanism that mediates the effect of E2 on sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA in the uterus, we used the protein synthesis inhibitor puromycin to determine whether the synthesis of new protein was necessary. Preadministration of puromycin had no effect on the inhibition of the sGC transcripts by E2, indicating that newly synthesized proteins are not responsible for the loss of sGC mRNA levels, and likely occur because of preexisting factors in uterine tissue. Puromycin alone had no effect on these transcripts, indicating that, under these conditions (estrogen deficiency), basal mRNA expression for sGC α_1 and β_1 does not require *de novo* protein synthesis.

Previously, it has been demonstrated that both transcriptional as well as nontranscriptional mechanisms mediate E2 repression of gene expression. For instance, Pastori and coworkers (31) described that the stabilization of the transcript encoding vitellogenin was increased after exposure to E2 in the popular *Xenopus* model. In addition, over a similar time period, cytoplasmic levels of fibrinogen disappeared because of a decrease in the stability of its message.

The possibility remains that E2 also represses the transcriptional activity of the genes responsible for the expression of the sGC subunits. Recently, Stoner et al. (32) demonstrated that the vascular endothelial growth factor transcript is rapidly reduced by E2 through repression of transcription in a cell culture model. E2 has been shown to repress the transcription of other genes through ER activation and may involve coactivators/repressors or the inhibition of transcription factors (33–36). These particular studies, however, targeted the more classical steroid-action time frame over many hours or days of treatment. We have shown that the E2 effects on sGC mRNA levels are very rapid, and even at 24 h post-E2 injection the levels of sGC α_1 remain lower by approximately 50%. We are unsure whether this trend is caused by the metabolism of E2 because only one injection of E2 was administered. It would be interesting to determine whether the maintained reduction of sGC α_1 mRNA levels after 24 h (50%) is a result of a direct mechanism similar to the classical genomic pathway stated above. In this regard, sGC α_1 and β_1 may be regulated independently, as we also suggested previously, despite their chromosomal proximity (22). Because the levels of sGC mRNA diminish so rapidly in response to E2 in rat uterus, it is likely that certain preexisting factors (e.g., ribonucleases or transcription factors) are responsible for the effects we have reported. Alternatively, the results also may reflect differences in the rate of turnover of sGC messages.

Recent and past evidence also has suggested that various responses to E2 may be because of a nonclassical, cell-surface E2 receptor, particularly rapid responses such as elevated cGMP levels and ion channel regulation of cell permeability. Some reports describe the activation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathway and cGMP accumulation within minutes of stimulation by a membrane-impermeable form of estrogen in human vascular endothelial cells (37–41). This also could help explain the rapid effects by E2 in rat uterus on sGC mRNA. Notably, nerve growth factor-mediated decreases of sGC mRNA in PC-12 cells depend on the activation of the upstream activator of the MAPK pathway, Ras (42).

In the immature rat uterus, it is understood that the majority of rapid E2 responses on gene expression occur through the stimulation of the ER α . The recently discovered beta receptor $(ER\beta)$ is present at very low levels in the uterus, and it is not clear whether functional levels of ER β protein are present (43–45). Zhong and coworkers (41) also have demonstrated that nongenomic effects of E2 occur through ER α stimulation. Therefore, we speculate that the effects on sGC mRNA levels are likely caused by direct stimulation of ER α in the rat uterus. We have not ruled out the possibility of a classical endocrine or paracrine effect initiated by E2 that could lead to the release of a small peptide or polypeptide growth factor that may activate a cell signaling cascade in the uterine tissue, resulting in sGC mRNA reduction. However, our results demonstrate that E2 leads to the reduction of sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA *in vitro*, indicating that this response does not depend on the secondary release of other hormones or factors from other tissues.

It is important to note that α_2 and β_2 isoforms of sGC also exist. In fact, the sGC α_2 subunit mRNA has been shown to be abundant in the uterus (16). Our study specifically examined the regulation of sGC α_1 and β_1 .

Our results are also interesting in the broad realm of E2mediated gene expression. To our knowledge, we have reported the most rapid negatively regulated gene by E2 in a mammalian system thus far, which could elucidate a mechanism for E2mediated gene regulation. As more genes are reported to be negatively regulated by E2, common mechanisms such as sequence-specific repressor sites or E2-activated ribonucleases may become more apparent. We have demonstrated here that ER activation leads to the rapid regulation of both sGC α_1 and β_1 mRNA and sGC protein in the rat uterus after *in vivo* E2 administration to an animal model, and we believe it plays an important role in E2-mediated uterine physiology. The long-term goal of our study is to understand how steroid hormones exhibit their effects on sGC mRNA at the molecular level, which will allow us to discover targets for the modulation and prevention/treatment of various physiological and pathological processes and diseases.

- Yallampalli, C., Byam-Smith, M., Nelson, S. O. & Garfield, R. E. (1994) Endocrinology 134, 1971–1974.
- Brenner, R. M. & Slayden, O. D. (1994) in *The Physiology of Reproduction*, eds. Knobil, E. & Neill, J. D. (Raven, New York), pp. 541–569.
- 3. Burger, H. G. (2000) Horm. Res. 53, 25-29.
- Hyder, S. M., Kirkland, J. L., Loose-Mitchell, D. S., Makela, S. & Stancel, G. M. (1999) in *Endocrine Disruptors*, ed. Naz, R. K. (CRC, Boca Raton, FL), pp. 166–186.
- Weiner, C. P., Lizasoain, I., Baylis, S. A., Knowles, R. G., Charles, I. G. & Moncada, S. (1994) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91, 5212–5216.
- Weiner, C. P., Knowles, R. G., Nelson, S. E. & Stegink, L. D. (1994) Endocrinology 135, 2473–2478.
- Riemer, R. K., Buscher, C., Bansal, R. K., Black, S. M., He, Y. & Natuzzi, E. S. (1997) *Am. J. Physiol.* 272, E1008–E1015.
- 8. Flandroy, L. & Galand, P. (1978) J. Cyclic Nucleotide Res. 4, 145-158.
- Kuehl, F. A., Jr., Ham, E. A., Zanetti, M. E., Sanford, C. H., Nicol, S. E. & Goldberg, N. D. (1974) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 71, 1866–1870.
- 10. Vesely, D. L. & Hill, D. E. (1980) Endocrinology 107, 2104-2109.
- 11. Murad, F. (1998) Recent Prog. Horm. Res. 53, 43-59.
- 12. Francis, S. H. & Corbin, J. D. (1999) Crit. Rev. Clin. Lab. Sci. 36, 275-328.
- Juilfs, D. M., Soderling, S., Burns, F. & Beavo, J. A. (1999) Rev. Physiol. Biochem. Pharmacol. 135, 67–104.
- Biel, M., Zong, X., Ludwig, A., Sautter, A. & Hofmann, F. (1999) *Rev. Physiol. Biochem. Pharmacol.* 135, 151–171.
- Kamisaki, Y., Saheki, S., Nakane, M., Palmieri, J. A., Kuno, T., Chang, B. Y., Waldman, S. A. & Murad, F. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261, 7236–7241.
- Budworth, J., Meillerais, S., Charles, I. & Powell, K. (1999) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 263, 696–701.
- Nakane, M., Saheki, S., Kuno, T., Ishii, K. & Murad, F. (1988) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 157, 1139–1147.
- Nakane, M., Arai, K., Saheki, S., Kuno, T., Buechler, W. & Murad, F. (1990) J. Biol. Chem. 265, 16841–16845.
- 19. Giuili, G., Scholl, U., Bulle, F. & Guellaen, G. (1992) FEBS Lett. 304, 83-88.
- Koesling, D., Herz, J., Gausepohl, H., Niroomand, F., Hinsch, K. D., Mulsch, A., Bohme, E., Schultz, G. & Frank, R. (1988) FEBS Lett. 239, 29–34.
- Koesling, D., Harteneck, C., Humbert, P., Bosserhoff, A., Frank, R., Schultz, G. & Bohme, E. (1990) FEBS Lett. 266, 128–132.
- Sharina, I. G., Krumenacker, J. S., Martin, E. & Murad, F. (2000) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 10878–10883. (First Published September 12, 2000; 10.1073/pnas.190331697)

We thank Connie Chiappetta for her assistance in handling the animals. This study was supported in part by funding from the G. Harold and Leila Mathers Foundation, the John S. Dunn Foundation, the Welch Foundation, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the U.S. Department of Defense, and the University of Texas–Houston. J.S.K. is supported by the Rosalie B. Hite predoctoral fellowship from the University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, S.M.H. by National Institutes of Health Grant HD-08615, and F.M. by an endowed chair from the John S. Dunn Foundation and the Regents of the University of Texas.

- Hyder, S. M., Stancel, G. M., Chiappetta, C., Murthy, L., Boettger-Tong, H. L. & Makela, S. (1996) *Cancer Res.* 56, 3954–3960.
- Hyder, S. M., Chiappetta, C., Murthy, L. & Stancel, G. M. (1997) Cancer Res. 57, 2547–2549.
- Gibson, U. E. M., Heid, C. A. & Williams, P. M. (1996) Genome Res. 6, 995–1001.
- Lewicki, J. A., Brandwein, H. J., Waldman, S. A. & Murad, F. (1980) J. Cyclic Nucleotide Res. 6, 283–296.
- Brandwein, H., Lewicki, J. & Murad, F. (1981) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 4241–4245.
- Loose-Mitchell, D. S., Chiappetta, C. & Stancel, G. M. (1988) *Mol. Endocrinol.* 2, 946–951.
- 29. DeAngelo, A. B. & Gorski, J. (1970) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 66, 693-700.
- Nelson, K., Cimbala, M. A. & Hanson, R. W. (1980) J. Biol. Chem. 255, 8500–8515.
- Pastori, R. L., Moskaitis, J. E., Smith, L. H., Jr., & Schoenberg, D. R. (1990) Biochemistry 29, 2599–2605.
- Stoner, M., Wang, F., Wormke, M., Nguyen, T., Samudio, I., Vyhlidal, C., Marme, D., Finkenzeller, G. & Safe, S. (2000) J. Biol. Chem. 275, 22769–22779.
- An, J., Ribeiro, R. C. J., Webb, P., Gustafsson, J.-A., Kushner, P. J., Baxter, J. D. & Leitman, D. C. (1999) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 96, 15161–15166.
- Roy, D., Angelini, N. L. & Belsham, D. D. (1999) Endocrinology 140, 5045–5053.
- 35. Ray, P., Ghosh, S. K., Zhang, D. H. & Ray, A. (1997) FEBS Lett. 409, 79-85.
- 36. Blobel, G. A., Sieff, C. A. & Orkin, S. H. (1995) Mol. Cell. Biol. 15, 3147-3153.
- Razandi, M., Pedram, A., Greene, G. L. & Levin, E. R. (1999) *Mol. Endocrinol.* 13, 307–319.
- Russel, K. S., Haynes, M. P., Sinha, D., Clerisme, E. & Bender, J. R. (2000) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 5930–5935.
- 39. Pietras, R. J. & Szego, C. M. (1977) Nature (London) 265, 69-72.
- 40. Gorodeski, G. I. (2000) Endocrinology 141, 1658-1666.
- Zhong, C., Yuhanna, I. S., Galcheva-Gargova, Z., Karas, R. H., Mendelsohn, M. E. & Shaul, P. W. (1999) *J. Clin. Invest.* **103**, 401–406.
- 42. Liu, H., Force, T. & Bloch, K. D. (1997) J. Biol. Chem. 272, 6038-6043.
- Wang, H., Masironi, B., Eriksson, H. & Sahlin, L. (1999) Biol. Reprod. 61, 955–964.
- Shughrue, P. J., Lane, M. V., Scrimo, P. J. & Merchenthaler, I. (1998) Steroids 63, 498–504.
- Couse, J. F., Lindzey, J., Grandien, K., Gustafsson, J. A. & Korach, K. S. (1997) *Endocrinology* 138, 4613–4621.