# Cell Size of Alveolar Macrophages: An Interspecies Comparison

# Fritz Krombach,<sup>1</sup> Silvia Münzing,<sup>1</sup> Anne-Marie Allmeling,<sup>1</sup> J. Tilman Gerlach,<sup>1</sup> Jürgen Behr,<sup>2</sup> and Martina Dörger<sup>1</sup>

# <sup>1</sup>Institute for Surgical Research, <sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine I, Klinikum Grosshadern, University of Munich, Munich, Germany

Alveolar macrophages (AM) play a critical role in the removal of inhaled particles or fibers from the lung. Species differences in AM size may affect the number and size range of particles/fibers that can be actually phagocytized and cleared by AM. The purpose of this study was to compare the cell size of rat, hamster, monkey, and human AM by selective flow cytometric analysis of cell volume. Resident AM from CD rats, Syrian golden hamsters, cynomolgus monkeys, and nonsmoking, healthy human volunteers were harvested by standard bronchoalveolar lavage procedures. Morphometric analysis of AM was performed using a flow cytometer that generates volume signals based on the Coulter-type measurement of electrical resistance. We found that hamster and rat AM had diameters of  $13.6 \pm 0.4 \mu m$  (n=8) and  $13.1 \pm 0.2 \mu m$  (n=12), respectively. Comparatively, the AM from monkeys  $(15.3 \pm 0.5 \mu m, n = 7)$  and human volunteers  $(21.2 \pm 0.3 \ \mu m, n = 10)$  were larger than those from rats and hamsters. The AM from humans were significantly larger (p < 0.05) than those from all other species studied, corresponding to a 4-fold larger cell volume of human AM (4990  $\pm$  174  $\mu m^3$ ) compared to hamster (1328  $\pm$  123  $\mu m^3$ ) and rat (1166  $\pm$  42  $\mu$ m<sup>3</sup>) AM. In summary, we have found marked species differences in the cell size of AM. We suggest that the number and size range of particles/fibers that can be phagocytized and cleared by AM may differ among species due to inherent or acquired species differences in AM cell size. — Environ Health Perspect 105(Suppl 5):1261-1263 (1997)

Key words: alveolar macrophages, flow cytometry, cell size, cell volume, cell diameter, species differences, rat, hamster, monkey, human

### Introduction

Alveolar macrophages (AM) are fundamentally involved in mediating the removal of inhaled particles/fibers from the lung. The phagocytosis of fibers that deposit on the alveolar surface by resident AM is one of the major mechanisms by which alveolar epithelial and interstitial cells are protected against the action of fibers. The actual engulfment of fibers by AM is thought to be dependent on fiber size, in particular fiber length (1). Relatively short fibers are suggested to be completely phagocytized by AM, whereas much longer fibers cannot be totally engulfed. Once relatively insoluble fibers have been phagocytized by AM, their removal is thought to be achieved mainly by the translocation of the fiber-containing phagocytes up the mucociliary apparatus (1). However, prolongation of particle clearance can occur through volumetric overload of AM that is, in turn, determined by the normal AM volume (2,3).

Obviously, AM-associated phenomena such as phagocytosis, AM-mediated clearance, and AM overload should be, at least in part, dependent on AM size. We speculate, therefore, that variations in cell size of resident AM among species may result in species differences in the number and size range of particles or fibers that actually can be phagocytized and cleared by AM. Significant differences in AM morphology and cell size among species have already been reported by Haley et al. (4). As the authors indicated, however, the value of their morphometric data is limited because of spreading and flattening artifacts that occur during cytocentrifugation. Thus, the purpose of our study was to quantitate and compare the cell size of resident AM from two rodent species, rat and hamster, and two primate species, monkey and humans, using selective Coulter-type flow cytometric analysis of unfixed cells in suspension.

### Methods

#### Alveolar Macrophage Donors

Resident rodent AM were harvested from 12 male CD rats (Crl:CD(SN)BR; 250-350 g) and 8 Syrian golden hamsters (Lak:LVG(SYR)BR; 120-150 g). The animals were obtained from Charles River (Kisslegg, Germany). They were kept on a 12-hr light/dark cycle in a conventional, nonbarrier rodent housing unit in polycarbonate cages. Water and standard rat/ hamster laboratory diets supplemented with 18,000 IU/kg vitamin A, 1280 IU/kg vitamin D3, and 120 mg/kg vitamin Ē (ssniff, Soest, Germany) were supplied ad libitum. Resident monkey AM were obtained from three male and four female adult cynomolgus monkeys (Macaca fascicularis), weighing 3.5 to 7.0 kg, that were bred and raised at local animal facilities. The animals were kept in stainless steel cages, and primate chop diet (Alma, Kempten, Germany), fresh fruit, and tap water were supplied ad libitum. Resident human AM were obtained from 10 nonsmoking male volunteers (mean age 25.6 ± 1.2 years) living in the vicinity of Munich and who were not undergoing therapy at the time of the study and had no recent history of pulmonary disease.

#### Bronchoalveolar Lavage

Rat and hamster AM were obtained by bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) as described previously (5). Animals were anesthetized by an ip injection of sodium pentobarbital (rat, 30 mg/kg bw; hamster, 24 mg/kg bw). After cannulation of the trachea, the thorax was opened and the lungs were mobilized. The lungs of hamsters were lavaged with ten 5-ml aliquots, and the lungs of rats with ten 10-ml aliquots of sterile, nonpyrogenic phosphate-buffered saline solution (PBS) (Serva, Heidelberg, Germany). Monkey AM were obtained by fiberoptic bronchoscopy and BAL as described previously (6,7). The animals were anesthetized with 15 mg/kg im ketamine hydrochloride (Ketanest, Parke-Davis, Munich, Germany)

This paper is based on a presentation at The Sixth International Meeting on the Toxicology of Natural and Man-Made Fibrous and Non-Fibrous Particles held 15–18 September 1996 in Lake Placid, New York. Manuscript received at *EHP* 26 March 1997; manuscript accepted 1 April 1997.

This work was supported in part by a grant from the European Insulation Manufacturers Association (EURIMA).

Address correspondence to Dr. F. Krombach, Institut für Chirurgische Forschung, Klinikum Grosshadern, Marchioninistr. 15, D-81366 München, Germany. Telephone: 49-89-7095-4403. Fax: 49-89-7095-8897. E-mail: krombach@icf.med.unimuenchen.de

Abbreviations used: AM, alveolar macrophage(s); BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage.

and 2 mg/kg im xylazine (Rompun, Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany). With the animal in supine position, a flexible fiberoptic bronchoscope (BF P10, Olympus, Munich, Germany) was wedged into the intermediate bronchus of the left or the right lung. BAL was performed using five 20-ml aliquots of sterile saline solution that was instilled and withdrawn immediately by hand suction. Human AM were harvested by fiberoptic bronchoscopy with BAL as described previously (8). A flexible fiberoptic bronchoscope was wedged into a subsegmental bronchus of the middle lobe or lingula, and five 20-ml aliquots of sterile saline solution were infused, and aspirated immediately.

#### Processing of Bronchoalveolar Lavage Samples

Processing of BAL samples was identical for each species studied. The pooled samples were centrifuged at  $300 \times g$  for 10 min; the cell pellet was washed twice and resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline. Total cell counts were assessed with a standard hemacytometer (Coulter Electronics, Krefeld, Germany). Air-dried cytocentrifuge smears (500 rpm×5 min) served to identify the cellular populations after staining with May-Grünwald-Giemsa. A total of 300 cells was counted to determine the percentages of alveolar macrophages, lymphocytes, neutrophils, eosinophils, and mast cells. Cell viability was determined by trypan blue exclusion and proved to be greater than 90% for rat, hamster, and monkey AM, and greater than 75% for human AM.

#### Flow Cytometric Analysis of Alveolar Macrophage Cell Size

All morphometric data were obtained using a FACS Analyzer flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Heidelberg, Germany) equipped with an argon laser and a nozzle orifice of 75  $\mu m$  as described previously (9). This flow cytometer generates volume signals based on Coulter-type measurement of electrical resistance (10). These signals are directly proportional to the volume of a particle/cell and its electrical resistance, in contrast to the forward scatter signal of other flow cytometers that is proportional to the cross-sectional area of a particle/cell and to its refractive signal (11) and is considered an unreliable measure for changes in cell volume (12). We calculated morphometric data, such as cell volume and diameter, from selectively gated cells in suspension.

A minimum of  $10^4$  events was collected with an acquisition rate of 150 events/sec for each sample. The AM population was

Table 1. Interspecies comparison of BAL differential cell counts (%).

	Rat, <i>n</i> =12	Hamster, n=8	Monkey, n=7	Human, <i>n</i> =10
AM	96.6 ± 0.7	93.4 ± 1.5	91.4 ± 1.4	92.6 ± 1.9
Lymphocytes	$1.6 \pm 0.4$	1.9 ± 0.6	$4.6 \pm 0.8$	5.0 ± 1.4
Neutrophils	$1.4 \pm 0.5$	3.6 ± 1.1	$0.9 \pm 0.1$	2.1 ± 0.6
Eosinophils	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	1.1 ± 0.7	$1.9 \pm 0.9$	$0.2 \pm 0.2$
Mast cells	0	0	1.9 ± 0.9	0.1 ± 0.1

Values are means ± SEM.

selectively analyzed by electronic gating of volume-side scatter dot plots; data were recorded in list mode on a Consort 30 data handling system and stored for later analysis. To convert the arbitrary units of the Coulter-type volume signals into geometric units, we generated a calibration curve by plotting the known diameters of a set of microsphere samples of five different particle sizes (Flow Cytometry Standards, Research Triangle Park, NC) against the corresponding volume signals.

#### Statistics

Data were expressed as arithmetic means  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM). Multiple group comparisons were performed using Kruskal–Wallis analysis of variance on ranks, followed by Dunn's test for multiple comparisons. A two-tailed *p* value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

# Results

The BAL differential cell counts are summarized in Table 1. In all species studied, the cells recovered by BAL were greater than 90% AM. The low numbers of neutrophils (<4%), eosinophils (<2%), and lymphocytes (<5%) strongly indicate that AM were obtained from healthy, non inflamed lungs in each species studied. The relatively high number of mast cells detected in the BAL from cynomolgus monkeys appears to be a species-specific phenomenon, as reported previously (7,14).

Table 2 summarizes the morphometric data obtained by selective Coulter-type flow cytometric analysis of unfixed cells in suspension. The cell sizes of hamster and rat AM were not statistically different from

 Table 2. Interspecies comparison of alveolar macrophage size.

Species	п	Diameter, µm	Volume, µm <sup>3</sup>
Rat	12	13.1 ± 0.2	1166.0 ± 41.5
Hamster	8	13.6 ± 0.4	1327.8 ± 123.4
Monkey	7	15.5 ± 0.5*	1926.4 ± 193.1*
Human	10	21.2 ± 0.3*#	4989.9 ± 174.0*#

Values are means  $\pm$  SEM. \* $\rho$ <0.05 vs hamster and rat AM. \* $\rho$ <0.05 vs monkey AM.

each other. Comparatively, the AM from monkeys and nonsmoking, healthy human volunteers were significantly larger (p < 0.05) than those from rats and hamsters. The AM from human volunteers were significantly larger (p < 0.05) than those from all other species studied, corresponding to a roughly 4-fold larger cell volume of human AM compared to hamster and rat AM.

#### Discussion

This study was designed to quantitate and to compare the cell size of resident AM from two rodent species, rat and hamster, and two primate species, monkey and humans. A number of reports on the cell volume and diameter of AM from several species, such as mice (4, 13), rats (4,15-20), guinea pigs (21), rabbits (22), dogs (4,23,24), pigs (25), monkeys (4), and humans (4,9,26,27), can be found in the literature. The comparability of the data reported, however, is limited because of variations in the methods applied. Quantitative estimations of cell volume and diameter are strongly dependent on both the methods of cell processing, such as fixation, staining, and cytocentrifugation (4,28), and the morphometric technique used. Based on these considerations, we have decided to perform our studies using resident unstained, unfixed AM in suspension and a flow cytometer that generates volume signals based on the Coulter-type measurement of electrical resistance.

The mean cell volume we have found for rat AM (1166  $\mu$ m<sup>3</sup>) is in excellent agreement with those reported by Lum et al. (1128  $\mu$ m<sup>3</sup>) (17), Dethloff et al. (998)  $\mu$ m<sup>3</sup>) (19), and Strom et al. (1100  $\mu$ m<sup>3</sup>) (18). The mean diameter of rat AM we have calculated here (13.1 µm) is almost identical to that measured by Lum et al.  $(12.9 \ \mu m) \ (17)$ . The mean cell volume  $(1328 \ \mu m^3)$  and diameter  $(13.6 \ \mu m)$  of the second rodent species studied, the hamster, proved to be similar to those measured for rat AM. The AM from the two primate species were significantly larger than those from the two rodent species. The AM from cynomolgus monkeys had a mean diameter of 15.6  $\mu$ m and a mean cell volume of 1926  $\mu$ m<sup>3</sup>. Human AM had a mean diameter of 21.2  $\mu$ m and a mean cell volume of 4990  $\mu$ m<sup>3</sup>. These values are higher than those reported by Crapo et al. (27) but lower than those reported by Haley et al. (4). The much larger diameters measured by Haley et al. for rat (18  $\mu$ m), cynomolgus monkey (23  $\mu$ m), and human AM (26  $\mu$ m) are probably a result of the cell spreading and flattening that occur during cytocentrifugation (4). Our data confirm previous studies on differences in AM size among species. Even though the AM from rats and hamsters were similar in size, the mean size of human AM was statistically greater than that for all other species studied, including nonhuman primates. Moreover, we assume that our quantitative data on AM size obtained by Coulter-type measurements of unfixed cells in suspension more accurately reflect the real dimensions of AM than morphometric analyses of cytospins that result in much larger diameters due to preparation artifacts. The size of AM appears to be one of the limiting factors of AM-associated events such as phagocytosis, AM volumetric overload, dissolution of particles/fibers within AM, and AM-mediated clearance. Therefore, we suggest that species differences exist in the size range of particles/fibers that can be phagocytized, dissolved, and cleared by AM, due to inherent or acquired species differences in AM size.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Lehnert BE, Oberdörster G. Fibers in the lower respiratory tract. In: Fiber Toxicology (Warheit DB, ed). New York: Academic Press, 1993;349–394.
- 2. Morrow PE. Possible mechanisms to explain dust overloading of the lungs. Fundam Appl Toxicol 10:369-384 (1988).
- Oberdörster G, Ferin J, Morrow PE. Volumetric loading of alveolar macrophages (AM): a possible basis for diminished AMmediated particle clearance. Exp Lung Res 18:87–104 (1992).
- Haley PJ, Muggenburg BA, Weissman DN, Bice DE. Comparative morphology and morphometry of alveolar macrophages from six species. Am J Anat 191:401–407 (1991).
- macrophages from six species. Am J Anat 191:401-407 (1991).
  Dörger M, Jesch NK, Rieder G, Hirvonen M-R, Savolainen K, Krombach F, Messmer K. Species differences in nitric oxide formation by rat and hamster alveolar macrophages *in vitro*. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 16:413-420 (1997).
- Hildemann S, Hammer C, Krombach F. Heterogeneity of alveolar macrophages in experimental silicosis. Environ Health Perspect 97:53-57 (1992).
- Krombach F, Fiehl E, Burkhardt D, Rienmüller R, König G, Adelmann-Grill BC, Idel H, Rosenbruch M. Short-term and long-term effects of serial bronchoalveolar lavages in a nonhuman primate model. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 150:153–158 (1994).
- Behr J, Vogelmeier C, Beinert T, Meurer M, Krombach F, König G, Fruhmann G. Significance of bronchoalveolar lavage for evaluation and management of scleroderma lung disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 154:400–406 (1996).
   Krombach F, Gerlach T, Padovan C, Burges A, Behr J, Beinert
- Krombach F, Gerlach T, Padovan C, Burges A, Behr J, Beinert T, Vogelmeier C. Characterization and quantification of alveolar monocytes in patients with chronic inflammatory lung disease. Eur Respir J 9:984–991 (1996).
- Coulter WH. High speed automatic blood cell counter and size analyzer. Proc Natl Electronics Conf 12:1034–1040 (1956).
- Givan A. Flow Cytometry. First Principles. New York:Wiley-Liss, 1992
- Keller HU, Fedier A, Rohner R. Relationship between light scattering in flow cytometry and changes in shape, volume, and actin polymerization in human polymorphonuclear leukocytes. J Leukoc Biol 58:519–525 (1995).
- 13. Nibbering PH, Zomerdijk TP, Corsel-Van-Tilburg AJ, Van-Furth R. Mean cell volume of human blood leucocytes and resident and activated murine macrophages. J Immunol Meth 129:143–145 (1990).
- Knecht EA, Moorman WJ, Clark JC, Lynch DW, Lewis TR. Pulmonary effects of acute vanadium pentoxide inhalation in monkeys. Am Rev Respir Dis 132:1181–1185 (1985).

- 15. Davies P, Sornberger GC, Engel EE, Huber GL. Stereology of lavaged populations of alveolar macrophages: effects of *in vivo* exposure to tobacco smoke. Exp Mol Pathol 29:170-182 (1978).
- Castranova V, Bowman L, Miles PR. Transmembrane potential and ionic content of rat alveolar macrophages. J Cell Physiol 101:471-479 (1979).
- Lum H, Tyler WS, Hyde DM, Plopper CG. Morphometry of in situ and lavaged pulmonary alveolar macrophages from control and ozone-exposed rats. Exp Lung Res 5:61-77 (1983).
- Strom KA. Response of pulmonary cellular defenses to the inhalation of high concentrations of diesel exhaust. J Toxicol Environ Health 13:919-944 (1984).
- 19. Dethloff LA, Lehnert BE. Pulmonary interstitial macrophages: isolation and flow cytometric comparisons with alveolar macrophages and blood monocytes. J Leukoc Biol 43:80–90 (1988).
- DiGregorio KA, Cilento EV, Lantz RC. Heterogeneity in superoxide production as measured by nitro blue tetrazolium reduction from individual PAM. Am J Physiol 260:L464–470 (1991).
- 21. Diamond MS, Holian A. Biochemical properties of macrophage fractions and their relation to the mechanism of superoxide production. FEBS Lett 197:21–26 (1986).
- 22. McVey Ward D, Perou CM, Lloyd M, Kaplan J. "Synchronized" endocytosis and intracellular sorting in alveolar macrophages: the early sorting endosome is a transient organelle. J Cell Biol 129:1229–1240 (1995).
- Rebar AH, DeNicola DB, Muggenburg BA. Bronchopulmonary lavage cytology in the dog: normal findings. Vet Pathol 17:294-304 (1980).
- Garrick RA, Polefka TG, Cua WO, Chinard FP. Water permeability of alveolar macrophages. Am J Physiol 251:C524–528 (1986).
- Zeidler RB, Kim HD. Phagocytosis, chemiluminescence, and cell volume of alveolar macrophages from neonatal and adult pigs. J Leukoc Biol 37:29–43 (1985).
- pigs. J Leukoc Biol 37:29–43 (1985).
  26. Territo MC, Golde DW. The function of alveolar macrophages. J Reticuloendothel Soc 25:111–120 (1979).
- Crapo JD, Young SL, Fram EK, Pinkerton KE, Barry BE, Crapo RO. Morphometric characteristics of cells in the alveolar region of mammalian lungs. Am Rev Respir Dis 128:S42–46 (1983).
- 28. Van Meir F. Planimetry of bronchoalveolar macrophages. Importance of preparation and staining techniques. Anal Quant Cytol Histol 13:261–268 (1991).