Polymorphic Fibril Formation by Residues 10–40 of the Alzheimer's β -Amyloid Peptide

Anant K. Paravastu, Aneta T. Petkova, and Robert Tycko

Laboratory of Chemical Physics, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland

ABSTRACT We report investigations of the morphology and molecular structure of amyloid fibrils comprised of residues 10–40 of the Alzheimer's β -amyloid peptide (A β_{10-40}), prepared under various solution conditions and degrees of agitation. Omission of residues 1–9 from the full-length Alzheimer's β -amyloid peptide (A β_{1-40}) did not prevent the peptide from forming amyloid fibrils or eliminate fibril polymorphism. These results are consistent with residues 1–9 being disordered in $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils, and show that fibril polymorphism is not a consequence of disorder in residues 1–9. Fibril morphology was analyzed by atomic force and electron microscopy, and secondary structure and inter-side-chain proximity were probed using solid-state NMR. $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils were found to be structurally compatible with A β_{10-40} : A β_{1-40} fibril fragments were used to seed the growth of A β_{10-40} fibrils, with propagation of fibril morphology and molecular structure. In addition, comparison of lyophilized and hydrated fibril samples revealed no effect of hydration on molecular structure, indicating that $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils are unlikely to contain bulk water.

INTRODUCTION

Amyloid fibrils are filamentous protein aggregates that can be formed by a large and diverse class of polypeptides (1–3). Deposition of amyloid fibrils occurs in >20 diseases (4,5), including several that are major public health problems, such as Alzheimer's disease, type 2 diabetes, Parkinson's disease, and transmissible spongiform encephalopathies. Amyloid fibrils are distinguished from other types of protein fibrils by the presence of a cross- β structural motif, established by x-ray fiber diffraction (4). Other aspects of the molecular structures of amyloid fibrils have been largely mysterious until recent studies using solid-state NMR (6–12), electron paramagnetic resonance (13–15), hydrogen exchange (16– 18), and biochemical methods (19–21). Data from these techniques have been used to develop experimentally based structural models for amyloid fibrils (8,10,18,20,22–24), including fibrils formed by the 40-residue β -amyloid peptide associated with Alzheimer's disease $(A\beta_{1-40})$ (8,20).

It has been demonstrated by electron microscopy (EM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM) that a single peptide or protein can form amyloid fibrils with several distinct morphologies (25–27). Recent solid-state NMR and EM studies of $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils have shown that different morphologies have somewhat different underlying molecular structures, that the morphology and molecular structure can be controlled by subtle variations in fibril growth conditions (at fixed temperature, buffer conditions, and peptide concentration), and that both the fibril morphology and the molecular

Submitted October 31, 2005, and accepted for publication January 27, 2006. Address reprint requests to Dr. Robert Tycko, National Insitutes of Health, Bldg. 5, Rm. 112, Bethesda, MD 20892-0520. Tel.: 301-402-8272; Fax: 301-496-0825; E-mail: robertty@mail.nih.gov.

Aneta T. Petkova's present address is Dept. of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida.

2006 by the Biophysical Society

0006-3495/06/06/4618/12 \$2.00 doi: 10.1529/biophysj.105.076927

structure are self-propagating when fibrils are grown from preexisting seeds (9). Structural and morphological variability is most likely the molecular basis for the phenomenon of strains in prion diseases (19,28–31), and may play a role in amyloid diseases (9). It seems likely that different fibril morphologies result from different fibril nucleation events, with particular growth conditions capable of favoring one nucleation event and its subsequent propagation. A detailed understanding of the influence of growth conditions on fibril formation, morphology, and molecular structure has not been achieved, and the full variety of fibrillar structures and morphologies that could be formed from a single peptide sequence is largely unexplored.

In this article, we investigate polymorphism in amyloid fibrils formed by residues 10–40 of the full-length Alzheimer's β -amyloid peptide (A β_{10-40}). A β_{10-40} contains all the structure-forming peptide segments of the full-length sequence, and lacks only the N-terminal segment previously determined to be unstructured in $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrillar assemblies $(6,8,9,11,16,17,32)$. Peptide fragments of $A\beta_{1-40}$ with N-terminal truncations have been shown to form amyloid fibrils (33). Our investigations of $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils were motivated originally by the hypothesis that deletion of the unstructured N-terminal segment might lead to a lower propensity for polymorphism and a higher overall level of structural order (and, hence, sharper lines in solid-state NMR spectra). We present EM, AFM, and solid-state NMR data for $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibril samples grown from unseeded peptide solutions, with variations in the pH and degree of agitation of the solutions. These data show that $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils exhibit polymorphism similar to that previously reported for $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils. Thus, polymorphism is not driven by the presence of the disordered N-terminal segment. We also present EM, AFM, and solidstate NMR data for fibrils grown from an $A\beta_{10-40}$ solution containing sonicated fragments of $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils (i.e., a

cross-seeding experiment). These data show that the morphology and molecular structure of the $A\beta_{1-40}$ seeds are transferred to the $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils, reinforcing existing evidence that the N-terminal segment does not play a role in the fibril structure.

In addition, we describe the effects of hydration on the atomic structures of $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils, as revealed by twodimensional (2D) solid-state ¹³C NMR spectra. At all ¹³Clabeled sites, lyophilized fibrils exhibited chemical shifts in good agreement (within \sim 0.25 ppm) to those of hydrated fibrils, with marginal $(\leq 0.3$ ppm) narrowing of most NMR lines. We therefore conclude that removal of water does not change basic fibril structures. This result shows that previous suggestions that amyloid fibrils may be tubular structures filled with water (34,35) do not apply to $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils and may not apply to fibrils formed by other peptides with similar sequences.

METHODS

Peptide preparation

 $A\beta_{10-40}$ (sequence YEVHHQKLVFFAEDVGSNKGAIIGLMVGGVV) was synthesized by fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl chemistry with H-benzotriazol-1-yl-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate activation, using an Applied Biosystems automated peptide synthesizer (Foster City, CA) and procedures similar to those described previously for $A\beta_{1-40}$ (8,9). Uniformly ¹³C- and ¹⁵N-labeled residues were placed at F19, V24, G25, A30, I31, and L34. These residues were chosen because of the sensitivity of their 13 C NMR chemical shifts to morphological variations in earlier studies of $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils (9). The peptide was purified by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography at 55°C, using a Vydac C18 column (Hesperia, CA) and a water/acetonitrile gradient with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid. The purity of the final product was estimated at $>95\%$, using electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. Amyloid fibrils were formed from aqueous solution at the concentrations and pH listed in Table 1. For the solutions at pH 7.5, the pH was set by a 10-mM NaH₂PO₄/Na₂HPO₄ buffer. The pH 2.76 solution was made acidic by dropwise addition of dilute acetic acid. All solutions also contained 0.01% NaN₃.

Fibril formation

All samples were grown at room temperature from solutions of $A\beta_{10-40}$, dissolved in 50 mL polypropylene tubes (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) by inverting the tubes and vortexing. Complete elimination of visible undissolved peptide also required several minutes of sonication in a bath sonicator (ultrasonic cleaner, Cole-Parmer, Vernon Hills, IL) Protein aggregation was monitored by eye (solution cloudiness) and by AFM (see below).

Fibril formation was allowed to occur under the conditions listed in Table 1. Five $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibril samples were examined by EM and solid-state NMR. A fibril sample was deemed ready for NMR analysis when AFM and EM images showed predominantly long ($>1 \mu$ m) fibrils with few (\lt \sim 5% of visible material) small (5–10 nm) spherical aggregates. Samples 1–4 were grown without seeding. The ''continuously sonicated'' sample (sample 1) was kept in the bath sonicator after peptide dissolution was complete; continued sonication induced a return of cloudiness due to fibril formation within \sim 30 min. The "quiescent" sample (sample 2) was distributed into dialysis tubes after dissolution (1 kDa cutoff, Spectrum Laboratories, Madison, WI), and placed into a bath of pH 7.5 buffer (10 mM phosphate, 0.01% NaN₃). This sample was kept in dialysis tubes for 3 months before EM and NMR analysis. The ''agitated'' samples (samples 3 and 4) were placed horizontally on an orbital shaker platform (VWR, Chester, PA) in their polypropylene tubes immediately after peptide dissolution, and subjected to gyration in the horizontal plane at \sim 1 Hz with a radius of travel of \sim 4 cm. Agitated samples were observed via AFM to be heavily fibrillar within a few days.

Fibrils in sample 5 were created by addition of an $A\beta_{1-40}$ seed solution (5% by number of peptide molecules) into a solution of dissolved $A\beta_{10-40}$ peptide. The $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils were fragmented into seeds \sim 200 nm in length by vigorously sonicating an initially cloudy fibril solution until it was clear (~10 min). The parent $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils were originally grown under "agitated'' conditions; $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils from the same original solution were the subject of previous investigations (9). Upon mixing the seed solution into the $A\beta_{10-40}$ peptide solution (with both solutions initially transparent), the mixture rapidly became cloudy $(\sim1 \text{ min})$, indicating that the seeds induced $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibril formation; this assertion is further supported by AFM, EM, and NMR data discussed below.

Even at lower peptide concentrations than employed previously for $A\beta_{1-}$ $_{40}$ fibril preparations (see Table 1) (8,9), we found it difficult to dissolve the $A\beta_{10-40}$ peptide without the appearance of detectable (via AFM and EM) fibrillar aggregates in solution immediately after dissolution. Based on the density of fibrils in AFM and EM images, we estimate that fibrils that were present immediately after dissolution account for $\langle 0.1\%$ of the A β_{10-40} molecules in solution. Despite this difficulty, $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils formed unintentionally during or before dissolution did not necessarily dominate subsequent fibril growth. For example, AFM images discussed below show that the addition of $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibril seeds dramatically increased the number of fibrillar $A\beta_{10-40}$ aggregates detected shortly afterward, indicating that most $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils grew from the $A\beta_{1-40}$ seeds rather than from preexisting $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils. Furthermore, the effects of solution conditions on EM and NMR data from unseeded fibrils, as discussed below, indicate that initial distribution of peptide aggregates is not the sole determinant of $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibril morphology and structure.

Electron microscopy

EM images were recorded at $26,000 \times$ magnification with a Philips/FEI transmission electron microscope (Amsterdam, The Netherlands) with a Gatan imaging filter (Pleasanton, CA) and a CCD camera. To prepare EM samples, small aliquots (\sim 5 μ l) of A β_{10-40} solutions were diluted 10-fold with deionized water before being deposited onto freshly glow-discharged

TABLE 1 $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibril growth conditions and morphological properties assessed from negative stain transmission EM measurements

Sample	$A\beta_{10-40}$ concentration during growth (M)	pH during growth	Growth conditions	Apparent subunit width (nm)	Observable subunits per fibril	Fibril twist period (nm)
	$200 \mu M$	7.5	Continuously sonicated	Unclear	Unclear	\sim 40
2	75 µM	7.5	Ouiescent	$5 - 6$	$2 - 4$	$50 - 100$
	50 μ M	7.5	Agitated	$5 - 6$	$2 - 7$	$\overline{}$
4	$200 \mu M$	2.76	Agitated		$2 - 10$	
	50 μ M	7.5	Seeded with $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibril fragments	5–6	$2 - 5$	$\qquad \qquad -$

carbon films. The carbon films were supported by lacy Formvar/carbon films on 200-mesh copper grids. Drops of diluted fibril solution, $5 \mu L$ in volume, were allowed to sit for 2 min on the carbon surfaces, and then excess fluid was blotted away. The carbon surfaces were then rinsed by applying $5-\mu L$ drops of deionized water for 1 min to remove any nonfibrillar material such as buffer. Finally, the samples were negatively stained by applying $5 \mu L$ of 1% uranyl acetate for 1 min.

Most of the $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils deposited as dense clumps on the carbon films, making it difficult to evaluate their morphologies using EM. We therefore also obtained EM images of aliquots from fibril solutions that were sonicated for a series of durations near 1 min to break up clumps of fibrils. Morphology distributions within each sample were evaluated by analyzing EM images of fibril fragments from these sonicated aliquots (see below).

Atomic force microscopy

AFM measurements were performed in air on freshly cleaved mica surfaces, using a Veeco Multimode microscope operating in tapping mode with Veeco NanoProbe tips (10-nm nominal tip radius of curvature, Veeco, Woodbury, NY). To promote adhesion of fibrils to the negatively charged mica surfaces, the pH of small aliquots of $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibril solutions was lowered to 2.8 by 10-fold dilution with a dilute acetic acid solution. Acidified solutions were applied to the mica surfaces in $50-\mu l$ drops, allowed to adsorb for 2 min, then allowed to dry in air after removal of excess solution.

Solid-state NMR

For solid-state NMR experiments, fibril solutions were concentrated via ultracentrifugation for 35 min at 175,000 \times g acceleration and subsequent removal of supernatant. In some cases, pelleted fibrils were resuspended in deionized water and then lyophilized. Samples were packed into 3.2 mm Varian magic-angle spinning (MAS) rotors (Varian, San Carlos, CA). For measurements on fully hydrated fibrils, wet ultracentrifuge pellets were sealed into MAS rotors using Krazy glue (gel formula) around the rotor caps. Sample mass was measured before and after NMR experiments to ensure that water had not escaped. Typical NMR rotors contained 3-6 mg of fibrillar material. Lyophilization of fibril samples allowed more material to be placed into the rotor, resulting in higher signal-to-noise ratios in the solid-state NMR spectra.

Solid state NMR spectra were recorded in a 14.1 T magnetic field (^1H) frequency 599.2 MHz, 13C frequency 150.7 MHz). Samples were characterized by two 2D solid-state 13 C NMR techniques. Both techniques employ ¹H-¹³C cross-polarization for signal enhancement and 110 KHz ¹H decoupling with two-pulse phase modulation (36) during the evolution (t_1) and signal-detection (t₂) periods. The techniques differ in the method of ¹³C-¹³C dipolar recoupling during the exchange period between t_1 and t_2 , and thus in the maximum length scales of detected interatomic chemical shift correlations (crosspeaks). The first recoupling method, finite-pulse radiofrequencydriven recoupling (fpRFDR), yields 2D NMR spectra with strong crosspeaks between chemical shifts of directly-bonded 13 C atoms (37). Weaker twobond crosspeaks are also observable. The fpRFDR pulse sequence was implemented with MAS at 20.0 kHz, an exchange period of 3.2 ms (64 rotor periods), and a π pulse width of 15.0 μ s. Each 2D fpRFDR spectrum was the result of 24–36 h of signal averaging. Chemical shift assignments were obtained by tracing the crosspeak connectivity pathways in 2D fpRFDR spectra.

To obtain interatomic correlations that extend to longer length scales, additional 2D solid-state 13C NMR spectra were obtained using radiofrequency-assisted diffusion (RAD, also known as dipolar assisted rotational resonance) (38,39). With a 500-ms exchange period, 2D RAD experiments yielded longer-range correlations, such as those between 13 C atoms on proximate side chains. Of particular interest to the present analysis are correlations between the spectrally isolated (between 140 and 120 ppm) aromatic 13 C atoms on F19 and aliphatic 13 C atoms on other labeled residues. The MAS speed was set to 18.3 KHz (121.5 ppm) to place the aromatic and methyl 13 C signals near rotational resonance while avoiding spectral overlap between aromatic spinning sidebands and methyl peaks. Each 2D RAD spectrum was the result of 24–36 h of signal averaging.

Precise 13 C chemical shift values and 13 C MAS NMR linewidths were determined from 2D fpRFDR spectra by fitting of Gaussian functions to crosspeaks using nonlinear least-squares regression in Mathematica. Asymmetric crosspeaks from some signals were assumed to be representative of multiple structurally distinct sites for a single residue, and were fit to sums of multiple Gaussian functions. Chemical shifts extracted from these fits are reported relative to tetramethylsilane, calibrated with an external adamantane reference.

RESULTS

$A\beta_{10-40}$ forms fibrils with morphologies that depend on growth conditions

As shown in Fig. 1, all fibrils appear to be composed of multiple strands, associated in parallel or twisted around one another. We call these strands subunits, but comment that transmission EM images lack the resolution to resolve β -sheets within a fibril cross section. Subunits observed in transmission EM images therefore do not necessarily correspond to protofilaments, which were defined previously as minimal fibril structural units that could exist in isolation (9). As a basis for comparison, we define morphology based on

FIGURE 1 Transmission EM images of fibril samples, sonicated before deposition onto carbon films. For each sample, a single fibril of the most common morphology is shown at fourfold magnification. Features within magnified fibrils that are interpreted as fibril subunits are marked with dashed lines and arrows.

the set of parameters listed in Table 1, which describe EMderived apparent subunit dimensions and geometry of subunit association. Observed periodic modulation in the total widths of some fibrils is interpreted as twist due to the intertwining of subunits (9,25,26); we also measured consistent modulations in fibril height and width via AFM, as shown in Fig. 2. Subunits within untwisted fibrils tended to be found in larger groups, resulting in a ribbonlike fibrillar appearance. Previous scanning transmission EM measurements have shown that twisted ("quiescent") $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils have protofilaments with three peptide molecules per cross section, whereas untwisted ("agitated") $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils have protofilaments with two peptide molecules per cross section (9).

Each sample exhibited a dominant fibril morphology (a single morphology possessed by the majority of fibrils in a sample), which varied between samples. Due to the tendency of $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils to be found in dense clumps, the dominant morphology was best assessed when clumps were dispersed by sonication for 20–60 s (several minutes for sample 3) before deposition onto carbon surfaces. Without sonication, fibril morphology was clearly visible by EM only in regions outside fibril clumps; these regions were not necessarily representative of the dominant morphologies in the sample. Sonication decreased the apparent morphological heterogeneity in EM images, suggesting a tendency for fibrils with minority morphologies to be excluded from fibril clumps. Fig. 1 shows a transmission EM image of a sonicated aliquot of each sample. Even after sonication, sample 2 exhibited the most evidence of morphological heterogeneity, and contained significant numbers of fibrils with minority morphologies characterized by variable twist periods. For unknown reasons, sample 3 did not show fibrils in EM images without several minutes of sonication. Instead, for unsonicated preparations we detected several deposits on the carbon surface with no discernable structure. As the 2D fpRFDR NMR spectrum (chemical shifts, NMR lineshape sharpness indicating structural order) of sample 3 is consistent with amyloid

FIGURE 2 AFM images of samples 1 (left) and 4 (right), which show fibril morphologies in agreement with EM measurements. AFM images show that sample 1 contains fibrils with a twist period (modulation in height between 6 and 8 nm) of roughly 40 nm. Sample 4 contains a majority of fibrils with heights between 5 and 7 nm and no apparent twist, and a minority population of twisted fibrils.

fibrils, these deposits were most likely strongly-associated fibrils that were only detectable after sonication. For all the samples, sonication disrupted fibril clumps and broke fibrils into fragments between 50 and 300 nm in length. Table 1 summarizes the dominant morphologies in EM images of sonicated aliquots of fibril growth solutions.

$A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils can seed the growth of $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils, with propagation of fibril morphology

Sample 5 was grown from a freshly dissolved $A\beta_{10-40}$ solution to which sonicated fragments of $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils were added. The $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils had been grown with agitation as previously described (9). Addition of $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibril fragments to dissolved $A\beta_{10-40}$ (both solutions initially transparent) induced a rapid fibril growth as judged by the increased turbidity of the mixture. Fig. 3 shows AFM images of aliquots of the $A\beta_{10-40}$ solution extracted immediately before and after addition of the $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibril fragments; both of these aliquots were allowed to sit for 15 min before AFM measurement, allowing some time for fibril seeds to propagate. The AFM data indicate that addition of $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibril fragments produced a rapid increase in the concentration of aggregated $A\beta_{10-40}$. We subsequently observed via EM that these aggregates were fibrils whose predominant fibril morphology matches that of agitated $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils (see Fig. 1 and Table 1) (9). Thus, we find that $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibril fragments seeded the growth of $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils, and that the morphology of the $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibril seeds propagated to the resulting $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils.

Solid-state NMR spectra indicate molecular-level structural variations in A β_{10-40} fibrils

Comparison of 2D fpRFDR NMR spectra from different samples is a means of assessing secondary structures and degrees of structural similarity at the molecular level. As is well

FIGURE 3 AFM images of the sample 5 growth solution, sampled immediately before (left) and after (right) seeding with $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibril fragments. Both solutions were allowed to sit for roughly 15 min before being applied to mica surfaces for AFM measurement. The image on the left indicates the presence of filamentous aggregates in the initial peptide solution, but the larger surface coverage on the right shows that the addition of seeds greatly accelerated peptide aggregation.

25

50

75

100

125

150

175

¹³C NMR Intensity (arb.units)

175

150

¹³C NMR Frequency (ppm)

known (40), 13 C chemical shifts are sensitive to variations in local molecular conformation and structural environment. In particular, we assume β -strand secondary structure to be indicated by a simultaneous shift for the carbonyl and α - and β -carbons of at least 0.5 ppm, negative for the carbonyl and C_{α} resonances and positive for the C_{β} resonance, relative to the same amino acid within a random-coil peptide in aqueous solution (8,9,40). In addition, 13 C MAS NMR linewidths are sensitive to local structural disorder in rigid solids, with greater disorder producing greater inhomogeneous broadening. Rapid molecular motions also affect 13 C MAS NMR linewidths, reducing inhomogeneous broadening through motional averaging.

Fig. 4 shows the full 2D fpRFDR spectrum of sample 5, illustrating the ease of chemical shift assignments and the signal-to-noise ratio of 2D fpRFDR spectra of our $A\beta_{10-40}$ samples. Fig. 5 shows aliphatic regions of the 2D fpRFDR spectra of all the samples, including the $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils used to

G25

 $\overline{\mathscr{O}}$

 16.4 ppm

26.3 ppm

58.9 ppm

72.5 ppm

100 ¹³C NMR Frequency (ppm)

125

131
F19
A30

75

50

25

seed sample 5. Variations of crosspeak positions in these spectra are apparent relative to the " \times " marks, which indicate the crosspeak positions for the $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils. Crosspeak positions were numerically evaluated via nonlinear leastsquares fitting to Gaussian lineshapes. Some peaks (such as the circled V24 C_β -C_y crosspeak) exhibit compound lineshapes that required fitting to the sum of multiple Gaussian functions, indicated by multiple " \times " marks in close proximity. Tables 2 and 3 summarize chemical shifts and linewidths for all 13 C-labeled sites in all samples.

Fig. 5 also reports for each sample the root-mean-squared deviation of $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibril crosspeak positions from the corresponding positions of $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibril crosspeaks. The best agreement with the $A\beta_{1-40}$ spectrum was found in the spectrum of sample 5. This spectroscopic similarity suggests that the molecular structure of the $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils propagated through seeding to the $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils, and is consistent with the propagation of morphology observed via EM. Chemical shifts similar to those of sample 5 and the $A\beta_{1-40}$ sample were also found in the spectrum of sample 3, which was grown under agitated conditions similar to those used originally for the growth of $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils (9). Although samples 3 and 5 and $A\beta_{1-40}$ exhibited similar 2D fpRFDR spectra, samples 1, 2, and 4 each exhibited a unique set of chemical shifts.

Two-dimensional RAD spectra, such as those in Fig. 6, reveal information about tertiary structure within the amyloid fibrils. In the structural model for $A\beta_{1-40}$ amyloid protofilaments developed in 2002 by Petkova et al. (8), each peptide molecule contains two β -strand segments (residues 9–23 and 30–40), separated by a bend or loop segment (residues 24– 29) that allows side chains in the two β -strands to make contact with one another. In this model, side chains of F19 residues are within 10 \AA of side chains of I31. When this model was developed, no direct evidence was available for side-chain contacts in the interior of the protofilament, other than measurements of ${}^{15}N_{}^{13}C$ nuclear magnetic dipoledipole couplings that indicated the presence of a salt bridge between oppositely charged side chains of D23 and K28 (8,9). For all $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibril samples, 2D RAD spectra contain crosspeaks between the spectrally isolated aromatic 13 C signals from the F19 side chain and methyl sites on other labeled residues. This observation, and the measured 13 C chemical shifts of carbonyl and α - and β -carbon sites, which mostly indicate β -strand conformations, are generally consistent with the pattern for peptide secondary structure proposed previously (8).

Crosspeaks between F19 aromatic 13 C signals and 13 C signals from other labeled side chains are described in more detail in the one-dimensional slices of 2D RAD spectra shown in Fig. 7, which are expansions of the aliphatic regions (10–70 ppm). These data indicate sample-dependent variations in specific side-chain proximities to F19. In Fig. 7, the one-dimensional spectra of aliphatic-to-aromatic correlations are divided into two groups, depending on whether

FIGURE 5 Aliphatic regions of 2D fpRFDR spectra for each $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibril sample (samples 2, 4, and 5 were hydrated, the others lyopholized). Also shown is the spectrum of $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils similar to those used to seed sample 5, which included an additional ${}^{13}C/{}^{15}N$ uniformly labeled residue at M35, and hence extra NMR signals at 52.6, 35.1, and 29.9 ppm. The $\cdot x$ " marks indicate crosspeak positions for the $A\beta_{1-40}$ spectrum, and are included as a reference in all spectra. The number in the lower right of each spectrum is the root-mean-squared deviation of peak positions (ppm) from those of corresponding $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibril signals, calculated for the aliphatic region of the 2D fpRFDR spectrum. The circled V24 C_{β} - C_{γ} crosspeaks exhibit significant variability between samples, and are also a good point of comparison. Aside from differences in signal averaging time, all spectra were acquired under identical experimental conditions.

the F19 side chain was close to I31 or L34. The most notable difference between spectra in Fig. 7 is in the breadth of the peak near 25 ppm. The spectra on the left (samples 1, 2, and 4) show a narrow peak centered just below 26 ppm, whereas the spectra on the right (samples 3, 5, and $A\beta_{1-40}$) show a broad peak between 22 and 26 ppm. The data on the left indicate proximity between F19 atomatic carbons and the I31 C_{γ} , with additional correlations to I31 α , β , and δ carbons near 59, 38, 14, and 12 ppm, respectively. For the spectra on the right side of Fig. 7 (A β_{1-40} fibrils and A β_{10-40} samples 3 and 5), the broad peak between 22 and 26 ppm is due to overlapping contacts to L34 γ and δ carbons, indicating proximity between F19 and L34 side chains. In these samples, correlations between F19 aromatic carbons and the α and β carbons on L34 are not visible outside the noise. The lack of F19 contacts to α and β carbons of L34 is consistent with the low intensity of the contact to L34 C_{γ} and C_{δ} , given that the latter peak is due to contributions from three L34 carbon atoms. The distinct visibility of every F19–I31 contact for the data on the left side of Fig. 7 suggests that F19 may be closer to I31 in samples 1, 2, and 4 than to L34 in samples 3, 5, and $A\beta_{1-40}$.

Aromatic contacts from sample 5 (Fig. 7, right) contain weak I31 signals. Since these I31 peaks are shifted from the strongest I31 signals in the 2D fpRFDR specta, they are likely to represent minority fibril structures. Sample 2 shows a slight broadening of the aromatic contact near 26 ppm that could indicate a minority structure with F19–L34 proximity.

Hydration does not affect $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibril structure

The spectra from samples 2, 4, and 5 in Fig. 5 were obtained from hydrated fibrils, i.e., ultracentrifuge pellets placed directly into MAS NMR rotors. The remaining spectra are from lyophilized fibril samples. Fig. 8 compares the aliphatic

regions of 2D fpRFDR spectra from sample 5, in both lyophilized and hydrated states. We observed no effect (estimated error \sim 0.25 ppm) of lyophilization on the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts, indicating that lyophilization induces no detectable structural changes in $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils. Hahn echo measurements of 13 C spin-spin relaxation showed little effect of hydration on carbonyl and C_{α} relaxation times (hydrated fibrils: carbonyl $T_2 = 9.1 \pm 0.2$ ms, $C_\alpha T_2 = 3.4 \pm 0.4$ ms; lyophilized fibrils: carbonyl $T_2 = 9.7 \pm 0.5$ ms, $C_\alpha T_2 = 3.4$ \pm 0.4 ms), suggesting that hydration has little effect on backbone mobility. Lyophilization did result in broadening of methyl carbon 13 C NMR lines by 1–2 ppm, and a marginal broadening of ~ 0.3 ppm of other lines. The significant narrowing of methyl 13 C NMR lines results in the appearance of additional two-bond crosspeaks in the 2D fpRFDR spectrum of hydrated fibrils in Fig. 8. Despite the narrower NMR lines from hydrated samples, NMR experiments on hydrated fibrils required more signal averaging due to the presence of less material in the NMR rotor. We also compared NMR measurements of hydrated and lyophilized fibrils from samples 2 and 4, and obtained similar results (not shown).

DISCUSSION

Analysis of structural variations

The NMR chemical shifts (Table 2) and 2D RAD contacts (Fig. 7) from all $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibril samples are consistent with the structural motif (two β -strand regions brought into proximity by a ''bend'' or ''loop'' region) proposed in the previous structural model for $A\beta_{1-40}$ amyloid protofilaments (8), but the data also indicate significant structural deviations within this motif. The chemical shifts of carbonyl and α - and β -carbons from F19, A30, I31, and L34 were found to be mostly consistent with β -strand backbone conformations

Values in parentheses indicate more NMR lines than corresponding 13C-labeled sites, suggesting the presence of multiple structures within a sample, and are reported in order of decreasing peak intensity. The parenthetical ''h'' indicates that values were obtained from 2D fpRFDR spectra of hydrated samples. Chemical shifts marked with asterisks are not consistent with a β -strand conformation (β -strand conformations are indicated by deviations of at least 0.5 ppm from the random-coil shifts, negative for CO and C_a and positive for C_β). Random coil values are taken from Wishart et al. (45), adjusted to the tetramethylsilane reference by subtraction of 1.7 ppm.

(as an exception, some I31 C_{α} signals were less shifted from the random coil value than expected). V24 and G25 carbonyl and α - and β -carbon signals were not generally consistent with β -strand conformations, and showed larger variations in chemical shift from sample to sample; these residues may be in the non- β -strand "bend" or "loop" region predicted by the structural model. Away from the peptide backbone, the largest sample-dependent deviations in chemical shift were observed for the methyl carbons of L34 and I31 (L34 C_{δ} , I31 C_{γ} , and C_{δ} ; these signals are likely to depend more on environment than on backbone conformation. Each spectrum in Fig. 5 is most easily distinguished from the others by the compound lineshape of the V24 C_{β} -C_y crosspeak and the positions of the I31 and L34 methyl carbons.

Long-range correlations probed through 2D RAD experiments indicate variations in side-chain contacts within the fibrillar hydrophobic core (Figs. 6 and 7). Within the folded β -strand structural motif for A β amyloid fibrils, F19 is part of the β -sheet formed by residues 9–23, and L34 and I31 are on opposite faces of the β -sheet formed by residues 30–40. The $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibril samples exhibiting F19–I31 proximity may possess structures that are consistent with the previous

Residue	Sample	$_{\rm CO}$	\mathbf{C}_α	C_β	C_γ	C_δ
	$\mathbf{1}$	1.6	2.0	2.8	2.1	$1.4\,$
	2(h)	(1.1, 2.2)	(0.9, 1.05)	(1.2, 2.2)	(1.6, 1.6)	1.9
	3	2.2	2.1	(1.9, 1.4)	1.7	$2.5\,$
F19	4(h)	1.4	1.2	1.4	$2.0\,$	3.0
	5(h)	1.3	2.2	2.9	(1.3, 1.6)	1.3
	$A\beta_{1-40}$	3.3	2.2	2.6	(1.6, 2.6)	2.1
	$\mathbf{1}$	$3.0\,$	(1.8, 2.2)	(2.4, 2.2)	(3.2, 4.1)	
	2(h)	1.4	(1.1, 1.0)	(1.7, 2.5)	(1.5, 1.8)	
	3	3.5	1.6	(2.6, 1.9)	3.8, 3.1	
V24	4(h)	1.8	0.9	1.3	2.0	
	5(h)	1.5	1.9	(1.8, 1.5)	(1.1, 1.3, 3.4)	
	$A\beta_{1-40}$	2.2	1.4	(1.3, 1.2, 4.4)	(1.3, 1.2, 3.3)	
	$\mathbf{1}$	$3.0\,$	5.6			
	2(h)	1.7	1.3			
	3	2.1	2.0			
G25	4(h)	$2.0\,$	1.2			
	5(h)	1.9	1.6			
	$A\beta_{1-40}$	1.7	1.6			
	$\mathbf{1}$	1.6	1.6	2.7		
	2(h)	(1.2, 1.5)	(1.5, 1.0)	(2.9, 1.6)		
	3	2.6	2.3	(2.8, 1.7)		
A30	4(h)	1.6	1.1	$2.0\,$		
	5(h)	$1.8\,$	1.5	1.6		
	$A\beta_{1-40}$	$2.0\,$	(1.6, 1.6)	(1.0, 7.6, 3.2)		
	$\mathbf{1}$	1.1	1.6	1.7	1.5, 1.9	2.5
	2(h)	(1.4, 2.8)	(0.8, 1.4)	(1.0, 1.2)	1.5, 1.2	(1.2, 2.3)
	3	1.4	2.3	(1.4, 2.9)	$2.0\,$	(2.1, 1.7)
I31					3.5	
	4(h)	1.5	1.0	1.4	1.1, 1.4	1.1
	5(h)	1.4	1.6	2.1	(1.9, 1.4), (1.0, 2.3)	(1.5, 2.0)
	$A\beta_{1-40}$	1.7	1.7	(1.3, 2.4)	(1.2, 2.3), (1.4, 3.3)	(1.1, 2.6)
	$\mathbf{1}$	1.1	1.6	1.8	1.9	5.1, 1.2
	2(h)	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.0	0.7, 2.8
	3	2.4	2.0	2.8	2.7	2.7, 1.0
L34	4(h)	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1, 1.1
	5(h)	2.1	$1.5\,$	$2.0\,$	1.9	1.8, 2.6
	$A\beta_{1-40}$	2.1	1.7	2.6	2.1	1.5, 2.5

TABLE 3 Linewidths (full width at half maximum, in ppm) for all ¹³C-labeled sites in all samples

The parenthetical ''h'' indicates that values were obtained from 2D fpRFDR spectra of hydrated samples. Values enclosed in parentheses indicate more NMR lines than corresponding ¹³C-labeled sites, suggesting the presence of multiple structures within a sample, and are reported in order of decreasing peak intensity.

structural model, which predicts that both side chains will be within the hydrophobic core (8). In contrast, proximity between F19 and L34 detected in other $A\beta_{10-40}$ samples requires a reversal of the orientation of the β -sheet formed by residues 30–40 relative to that of the β -sheet formed by residues 9–23, such that L34 rather than I31 is within the hydrophobic core. Such a large variability in molecular structure between samples illustrates the nonspecific nature of the hydrophobic interactions that stabilize fibrillar assemblies. This observed variability is consistent with the findings of Shivaprasad and Wetzel, who used cysteine double mutants of $A\beta_{1-40}$ to show that the ability to form amyloid fibrils was eliminated neigher by cross-linking between residues 17 and 35 nor by cross-linking between residues 17 and 34 (20).

The 2D fpRFDR and 2D RAD NMR data together indicate that some sets of samples possessed similar molecular structures, whereas other samples possessed unique molecular structures. Sample 5 showed the best spectral similarity to $A\beta_{1-40}$ (from which it was seeded) in terms of 2D fpRFDR-derived peak positions and lineshapes, as well as 2D RAD aromatic contacts (F19–L34). Some spectral differences, such as sharpening of the A30 C_{α} -C_{β} crosspeak into a symmetric peak could be explained by sharpening of NMR lines via hydration of sample 5. Sample 3 also exhibited similar chemical shifts and 2D RAD aromatic contacts to sample 5 and $A\beta_{1-40}$, suggesting that seeding is not a requirement for formation of $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils of this structure. In contrast to the samples showing F19–L34 side-chain proximity, the samples 1, 2, and 4 each showed different 2D fpRFDR spectra, and must each represent a unique molecular structure. Sample 4 is easily distinguished from the other samples through the V24 signals, which are consistent with β -strand secondary structure. This difference in the conformation of the turn region is likely due to protonation of the E22 side chain ($pK_a \approx 4.4$ in unstructured peptides) at low pH (see Table 1). Sample 2, which was grown under 25

50 75

100

125

150

175

¹³C NMR frequency (ppm)

NMR Intensity

(arb.units)

175 150 125 100 75

FIGURE 6 2D RAD spectra from samples 1 (right) and 5 (left). Below each spectrum is a horizontal cross section at the frequency of maximal aromatic intensity. The peaks in these cross sections marked by arrows indicate sample-dependent proximities between side chains of F19 and other isotopically labeled residues. Spectra were acquired at a 150.7 MHz 13 C NMR frequency, with an 18.3-kHz MAS frequency and a 500-ms exchange period.

¹³C NMR frequency (ppm)

Optime Elin

50 25 175 150 125 100 75

0342: 82

50 25

quiescent conditions, exhibited the most disorder in the 2D fpRFDR NMR spectrum, with the lowest signal-to-noise ratios and the greatest tendency to show multiple NMR signals for single labeled sites (see Tables 2 and 3).

FIGURE 7 One-dimensional (sum of horizontal and vertical) slices through 2D RAD spectra. The top spectra on the left and right are slices through the I31 and L34 C_{α} peak positions, respectively. All other spectra are slices through the frequencies of maximal aromatic intensity (see Fig. 6), for samples 1, 2, and 4 on the left, and $A\beta_{1-40}$ and samples 3 and 5 on the right. Spectra on the left show F19–I31 correlations, whereas those on the right show F19–L34 correlations. The vertical lines indicate peak positions for I31 or L34 13C-labeled sites, which vary between samples. Unmarked peaks near 48 ppm, 42 ppm, and 20 ppm are assigned to carbonyl MAS sidebands, F19 β -carbons, and A30 methyl carbons, respectively. Aside from differences in signal averaging time, all spectra were acquired under identical conditions.

FIGURE 8 Aliphatic regions of 2D fpRFDR spectra for sample 5 in lyophilized form (left) and in fully hydrated form (ultracentrifuge pellet, right). Below are horizontal slices at the specified NMR frequencies.

Variations in molecular structure were accompanied by variations in fibril morphology observed by EM (Fig. 1). Previous work suggests that amyloid fibrils that exhibit similar morphologies may have similar underlying atomic structures (9). The untwisted dominant fibril morphology of sample 5 is consistent with that of the "agitated" AB_{1-40} fibrils with which growth of sample 5 was seeded (9); underlying atomic-level structural similarity was confirmed through 2D fpRFDR NMR spectra. Other samples with a similar untwisted dominant morphology were samples 3 and 4. Sample 4 exhibited NMR chemical shifts similar to those seen in sample 5, but sample 3 did not. One morphological parameter distinguishing different untwisted fibrils is the degree of lateral association of multiple subunits per fibril (see Table 1). Predominantly twisted fibrils were observed in samples 1 and 2, each of which showed a distinct set of NMR chemical shifts. Different twisted fibril morphologies can be distinguished through differences in twist period and the width of subunits. The greatest variety of chemical shifts and fibril morphologies within a single sample was observed in sample 2, suggesting that quiescent conditions promote the propagation of multiple types of fibrils.

Insights into fibril formation processes

A solution of mature amyloid fibrils is the product of three general processes influencing fibril formation. First, fibril formation requires that dissolved peptide molecules initially aggregate into critical nuclei (41). These critical nuclei must then grow into fibrils by addition of new peptide molecules. As fibril growth continues, kinetics could accelerate if propagating amyloid fibrils were to break apart and increase the number of sites available for addition of new peptide (42).

The variety of fibrillar atomic structures and morphologies measured here is evidence that critical nuclei can be formed with numerous molecular structures. The specific fibril structure and morphology that eventually dominates a solution is likely to depend on the effects of solution environment on nucleus formation and propagation.

The influence of subtle environmental factors on fibrillar growth, structure, and morphology can be easily seen through the effects of solution agitation (e.g., shaking or sonication) during fibril formation. In unseeded fibril preparations, agitation accelerated growth kinetics and favored the dominance of a single fibril type. The most highly disordered sample analyzed here, sample 2, was grown under quiescent conditions. This sample exhibited a variety of fibril morphologies (variable twist periods) when probed by EM, and the greatest disorder in the NMR spectra (multiple NMR signals for each of many 13 C labeled sites; see Table 2). Though fibril growth kinetics were not quantitatively monitored, periodic AFM and EM measurement of sample 2 after peptide dissolution indicated that fibrils in this solution grew slowly over months. In contrast, sample 1, which was sonicated continuously for several minutes, was found to be heavily fibrillar immediately after sonication. Samples 3 and 4, which were grown on an orbital shaker, developed mature fibrils within a few days. All agitated samples showed more structural order (via NMR) and less morphological heterogeneity (via EM) than the quiescent fibrils.

Several factors could account for the effect of solution agitation on fibril morphology. Increased possibility for interactions with the walls of the container or with the airwater interface through increased solution surface area and enhanced molecular transport could have promoted alternative pathways for fibril nucleation. In previous studies of $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils (9), pretreatment of $A\beta_{1-40}$ with hexafluoroisopropanol or sodium hydroxide did not affect the dependence of fibril morphology on agitation. Since we did not employ such methods for dissociating preformed peptide aggregates in our studies of $A\beta_{10-40}$, it is possible that all observed fibrils propagated from different fibril nuclei initially present upon solution preparation. During subsequent fibril propagation, shear stresses induced by agitation provided a means of breaking apart growing fibrils; agitation could thus have preferentially accelerated growth of fibrils that fragmented more easily. A better understanding of fibril nucleation and growth would require techniques to evaluate initial distributions of fibril seed structures, and structuresensitive measurements of growth kinetics.

$A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils are not water-filled

The absence of 13 C NMR chemical shift differences between fully hydrated and lyophilized $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils (observed from samples 2, 4, and 5) is strong evidence that bulk water does not play a significant role in β -amyloid fibril structures. It appears unlikely that these fibrils are water-filled nano-

tubes, as suggested by Perutz et al. (34). Removal of bulk water did not produce detectable changes in peptide backbone or side-chain conformations. The only detected effect of lyophilization was the broadening of some 13 C NMR lines, particularly from side-chain methyl carbons, which we attribute to reduced motional narrowing and hence increased inhomogeneous broadening in the lyophilized state. The lack of bulk water in the $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibril structure may be due to the hydrophobic nature of the majority of fibril-stabilizing interactions; the fibrils analyzed by Perutz et. al. are believed to be stabilized by glutamine polar zippers (34) and may thus be more likely to include bulk water, but Chan et al. have shown that lyophilization also does not affect NMR chemical shifts in fibrils formed by residues 10–39 of the Ure2p yeast prion protein, which has a sequence rich in asparagine and glutamine residues (43).

General implications

The data presented above extend our understanding of amyloid fibril formation by the Alzheimer's β -amyloid peptide in several ways: 1), The EM, AFM, and solid-state NMR data clearly show that the $A\beta_{10-40}$ sequence is capable of forming amyloid fibrils with multiple morphologies and molecular structures, as has been shown previously for the full-length $A\beta_{1-40}$ sequence. Polymorphism in $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils is therefore not attributable to the N-terminal segment, which is the most disordered segment in the fibrils (8,32). Instead, polymorphism in $A\beta_{1-40}$ and $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibrils is a property of the more structurally ordered part of the sequence, most likely reflecting multiple alternatives for the packing and interactions of side chains within the core of the fibril with similar free energies. 2), Variations in contacts between the F19 side chain and aliphatic side chains in residues 30–40, revealed by the 2D RAD spectra, support the idea that polymorphism results at least in part from variations in interactions between side chains at the interfaces between β -sheets. The F19–L34 contacts observed in samples 3 and 5 are consistent with β -sheet contacts identified in the crosslinking studies of Wetzel and co-workers (20), but the F19 I31 contacts observed in samples 1, 2, and 4 indicate an alternative set of β -sheet contacts. 3), Although ¹³C NMR linewidths for certain $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibril samples are slightly reduced relative to linewidths for $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrils, the differences are small. Thus, although one of the original motivations for the studies discussed above was to produce betterordered fibrils with sharper solid-state NMR signals, we find that the degree of residual structural disorder in residues 10– 40 is not strongly affected by the presence or absence of the disordered N-terminal segment. The main effect of deleting the N-terminal segment in our experiments is to reduce the initial solubility of $A\beta_{10-40}$ relative to that of $A\beta_{1-40}$. It has been shown that amyloid plaques in human Alzheimer's disease contain β -amyloid peptides with N-terminal truncations (44). Although it is not known whether N-terminal

truncation occurs before or after plaque formation, the possibility exists that N-terminal truncation by proteases accelerates plaque formation in Alzheimer's disease (4). The ability of $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibril fragments to act as seeds for $A\beta_{10-40}$ fibril growth, with preservation of fibril morphology and molecular structure, is consistent with residues 1–9 being disordered and positioned outside the fibril core. Moreover, the seeding ability seems to indicate that residues 1–9 are disordered even at the ends of $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibril fragments, where the peptide conformations and intermolecular interactions may be different from those in the interior. If residues 1–9 were part of an ordered structure at the fibril fragment ends, then binding of $A\beta_{10-40}$ molecules to the ends, which is presumably a prerequisite for seeded fibril growth, might be energetically or kinetically unfavorable.

We thank Dr. Wai-Ming Yau for assistance with peptide synthesis and purification and Dr. Richard D. Leapman for assistance with electron microscopy.

This work was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases of the National Institutes of Health.

REFERENCES

- 1. Booth, D. R., M. Sunde, V. Bellotti, C. V. Robinson, W. L. Hutchinson, P. E. Fraser, P. N. Hawkins, C. M. Dobson, S. E. Radford, C. C. Blake, and A. M. Pepys. 1997. Instability, unfolding and aggregation of human lysozyme variants underlying amyloid fibrillogenesis. Nature. 385:787–793.
- 2. Chiti, F., P. Webster, N. Taddei, A. Clark, M. Stefani, G. Ramponi, and C. M. Dobson. 1999. Designing conditions for in vitro formation of amyloid protofilaments and fibrils. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 96: 3590–3594.
- 3. Dobson, C. M. 2003. Protein folding and misfolding. Nature. 426:884– 890.
- 4. Sunde, M., and C. C. F. Blake. 1998. From the globular to the fibrous state: protein structure and structural conversion in amyloid formation. Q. Rev. Biophys. 31:1–39.
- 5. Sipe, J. D. 1992. Amyloidosis. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 61:947–975.
- 6. Balbach, J. J., A. T. Petkova, N. A. Oyler, O. N. Antzutkin, D. J. Gordon, S. C. Meredith, and R. Tycko. 2002. Supramolecular structure in full-length Alzheimer's β -amyloid fibrils: evidence for a parallel β -sheet organization from solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance. Biophys. J. 83:1205–1216.
- 7. Balbach, J. J., Y. Ishii, O. N. Antzutkin, R. D. Leapman, N. W. Rizzo, F. Dyda, J. Reed, and R. Tycko. 2000. Amyloid fibril formation by $A\beta_{16-22}$, a seven-residue fragment of the Alzheimer's β -amyloid peptide, and structural characterization by solid state NMR. Biochemistry. 39:13748–13759.
- 8. Petkova, A. T., Y. Ishii, J. J. Balbach, O. N. Antzutkin, R. D. Leapman, F. Delaglio, and R. Tycko. 2002. A structural model for Alzheimer's β -amyloid fibrils based on experimental constraints from solid state NMR. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 99:16742–16747.
- 9. Petkova, A. T., R. D. Leapman, Z. H. Guo, W. M. Yau, M. P. Mattson, and R. Tycko. 2005. Self-propagating, molecular-level polymorphism in Alzheimer's β -amyloid fibrils. Science. 307:262-265.
- 10. Jaroniec, C. P., C. E. MacPhee, V. S. Bajaj, M. T. McMahon, C. M. Dobson, and R. G. Griffin. 2004. High-resolution molecular structure of a peptide in an amyloid fibril determined by magic angle spinning NMR spectroscopy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 101:711–716.
- 11. Antzutkin, O. N., J. J. Balbach, R. D. Leapman, N. W. Rizzo, J. Reed, and R. Tycko. 2000. Multiple quantum solid-state NMR indicates a parallel, not antiparallel, organization of β -sheets in Alzheimer's b-amyloid fibrils. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 97:13045–13050.
- 12. Petkova, A. T., G. Buntkowsky, F. Dyda, R. D. Leapman, W. M. Yau, and R. Tycko. 2004. Solid state NMR reveals a pH-dependent antiparallel β -sheet registry in fibrils formed by a β -amyloid peptide. J. Mol. Biol. 335:247–260.
- 13. Torok, M., S. Milton, R. Kayed, P. Wu, T. McIntire, C. G. Glabe, and R. Langen. 2002. Structural and dynamic features of Alzheimer's $A\beta$ peptide in amyloid fibrils studied by site-directed spin labeling. J. Biol. Chem. 277:40810–40815.
- 14. Der-Sarkissian, A., C. C. Jao, J. Chen, and R. Langen. 2003. Structural organization of α -synuclein fibrils studied by site-directed spin labeling. J. Biol. Chem. 278:37530–37535.
- 15. Jayasinghe, S. A., and R. Langen. 2004. Identifying structural features of fibrillar islet amyloid polypeptide using site-directed spin labeling. J. Biol. Chem. 279:48420–48425.
- 16. Whittemore, N. A., R. Mishra, I. Kheterpal, A. D. Williams, R. Wetzel, and E. H. Serpersu. 2005. Hydrogen-deuterium (H/D) exchange mapping of $A\beta_{1-40}$ amyloid fibril secondary structure using nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Biochemistry. 44:4434–4441.
- 17. Wang, S. S. S., S. A. Tobler, T. A. Good, and E. J. Fernandez. 2003. Hydrogen exchange-mass spectrometry analysis of β -amyloid peptide structure. Biochemistry. 42:9507–9514.
- 18. Ritter, C., M. L. Maddelein, A. B. Siemer, T. Luhrs, M. Ernst, B. H. Meier, S. J. Saupe, and R. Riek. 2005. Correlation of structural elements and infectivity of the HET-s prion. Nature. 435:844–848.
- 19. Williams, A. D., E. Portelius, I. Kheterpal, J. T. Guo, K. D. Cook, Y. Xu, and R. Wetzel. 2004. Mapping $A\beta$ amyloid fibril secondary structure using scanning proline mutagenesis. J. Mol. Biol. 335:833– 842.
- 20. Shivaprasad, S., and R. Wetzel. 2004. An intersheet packing interaction in \overrightarrow{AB} fibrils mapped by disulfide cross-linking. *Biochemistry*. 43:15310–15317.
- 21. Gordon, D. J., J. J. Balbach, R. Tycko, and S. C. Meredith. 2004. Increasing the amphiphilicity of an amyloidogenic peptide changes the β -sheet structure in the fibrils from antiparallel to parallel. *Biophys. J.* 86:428–434.
- 22. Lansbury, P. T., P. R. Costa, J. M. Griffiths, E. J. Simon, M. Auger, K. J. Halverson, D. A. Kocisko, Z. S. Hendsch, T. T. Ashburn, R. G. S. Spencer, B. Tidor, and R. G. Griffin. 1995. Structural model for the β -amyloid fibril based on interstrand alignment of an antiparallel-sheet comprising a C-terminal peptide. Nat. Struct. Biol. 2:990–998.
- 23. Burkoth, T. S., T. L. S. Benzinger, V. Urban, D. M. Morgan, D. M. Gregory, P. Thiyagarajan, R. E. Botto, S. C. Meredith, and D. G. Lynn. 2000. Structure of the β -amyloid_(10–35) fibril. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 122:7883–7889.
- 24. Kammerer, R. A., D. Kostrewa, J. Zurdo, A. Detken, C. Garcia-Echeverria, J. D. Green, S. A. Muller, B. H. Meier, F. K. Winkler, C. M. Dobson, and M. O. Steinmetz. 2004. Exploring amyloid formation by a de novo design. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 101:4435–4440.
- 25. Goldsbury, C. S., S. Wirtz, S. A. Muller, S. Sunderji, P. Wicki, U. Aebi, and P. Frey. 2000. Studies on the *in vitro* assembly of $A\beta$ 1–40: implications for the search for $A\beta$ fibril formation inhibitors. J. Struct. Biol. 130:217–231.
- 26. Jimenez, J. L., E. J. Nettleton, M. Bouchard, C. V. Robinson, C. M. Dobson, and H. R. Saibil. 2002. The protofilament structure of insulin amyloid fibrils. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 99:9196–9201.
- 27. Harper, J. D., S. S. Wong, C. M. Lieber, and P. T. Lansbury. 1997. Observation of metastable $A\beta$ amyloid protofibrils by atomic force microscopy. Chem. Biol. 4:119–125.
- 28. Bessen, R. A., and R. F. Marsh. 1992. Biochemical and physical properties of the prion protein from 2 strains of the transmissible mink encephalopathy agent. J. Virol. 66:2096–2101.
- 29. Telling, G. C., P. Parchi, S. J. DeArmond, P. Cortelli, P. Montagna, R. Gabizon, J. Mastrianni, E. Lugaresi, P. Gambetti, and S. B. Prusiner.

1996. Evidence for the conformation of the pathologic isoform of the prion protein enciphering and propagating prion diversity. Science. 274:2079–2082.

- 30. Safar, J., H. Wille, V. Itrri, D. Groth, H. Serban, M. Torchia, F. E. Cohen, and S. B. Prusiner. 1998. Eight prion strains have PrPSc molecules with different conformations. Nat. Med. 4:1157–1165.
- 31. Chien, P., and J. S. Weissman. 2001. Conformational diversity in a yeast prion dictates its seeding specificity. Nature. 410:223–227.
- 32. Kheterpal, I., A. Williams, C. Murphy, B. Bledsoe, and R. Wetzel. 2001. Structural features of the $A\beta$ amyloid fibril elucidated by limited proteolysis. Biochemistry. 40:11757–11767.
- 33. Hilbich, C., B. Kisterswoike, J. Reed, C. L. Masters, and K. Beyreuther. 1991. Aggregation and secondary structure of synthetic amyloid β A4 peptides of Alzheimer's. J. Mol. Biol. 218:149-163.
- 34. Perutz, M. F., J. T. Finch, J. Berriman, and A. Lesk. 2002. Amyloid fibers are water-filled nanotubes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 99:5591– 5595.
- 35. Kishimoto, A., K. Hasegawa, H. Suzuki, H. Taguchi, K. Namba, and M. Yoshida. 2004. β -Helix is a likely core structure of yeast prion Sup35 amyloid fibers. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 315:739–745.
- 36. Bennett, A. E., C. M. Rienstra, M. Auger, K. V. Lakshmi, and R. G. Griffin. 1995. Heteronuclear decoupling in rotating solids. J. Chem. Phys. 103:6951–6958.
- 37. Ishii, Y. 2001. ${}^{13}C_{-}{}^{13}C$ dipolar recoupling under very fast magic angle spinning in solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance: applications to distance measurements, spectral assignments, and high-throughput secondary-structure determination. J. Chem. Phys. 114:8473–8483.
- 38. Morcombe, C. R., V. Gaponenko, R. A. Byrd, and K. W. Zilm. 2004. Diluting abundant spins by isotope edited radio frequency field assisted diffusion. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126:7196–7197.
- 39. Takegoshi, K., S. Nakamura, and T. Terao. 2001. ¹³C-¹H dipolarassisted rotational resonance in magic-angle spinning NMR. Chem. Phys. Lett. 344:631–637.
- 40. Wishart, D. S., B. D. Sykes, and F. M. Richards. 1991. Relationship between nuclear magnetic resonance chemical shift and protein secondary structure. J. Mol. Biol. 222:311–333.
- 41. Lomakin, A., D. B. Teplow, D. A. Kirschner, and G. B. Benedek. 1997. Kinetic theory of fibrillogenesis of amyloid β -protein. *Proc.* Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 94:7942–7947.
- 42. Collins, S. R., A. Douglass, R. D. Vale, and J. S. Weissman. 2004. Mechanism of prion propagation: amyloid growth occurs by monomer addition. PLoS Biol. 2:1582–1590.
- 43. Chan, J. C. C., N. A. Oyler, W. M. Yau, and R. Tycko. 2005. Parallel β -sheets and polar zippers in amyloid fibrils formed by residues 10–39 of the yeast prion protein Ure2p. Biochemistry. 44:10669–10680.
- 44. Roher, A. E., J. D. Lowenson, S. Clarke, C. Wolkow, R. Wang, R. J. Cotter, I. M. Reardon, H. A. Zurcher-Neely, R. L. Heinrikson, and M. J. Ball. 1993. Structural alterations in the peptide backbone of β -amyloid core protein may account for its deposition and stability in Alzheimer's disease. J. Biol. Chem. 268:3072–3083.
- 45. Wishart, D. S., C. G. Bigam, A. Holm, R. S. Hodges, and B. D. Sykes. 1995. ¹H, ¹³C and ¹⁵N random coil NMR chemical shifts of the common amino acids. I. Investigations of nearest-neighbor effects. J. Biomol. NMR. 5:67–81.