

Codes of ethics and other illusions

Eike-Henner Kluge, PhD

Periodically, the CMA reviews and updates its Code of Ethics. The association, in consultation with its affiliate societies, has just begun this process again. Given the code's significance, it is important to understand what it really is and to beware of the illusions physicians can harbour about it. Otherwise, this exercise of revising and updating may be misunderstood.

The CMA code is nothing more than a guide to the ethical behaviour of physicians. It is not and should not be seen as the final word from on high. The code does not cover all areas of ethical concern and therefore does not automatically give an appropriate answer to every ethical question a physician might have.

And rightly so. The assumption that the code should function in this way involves several widespread and comfortable illusions. The first is that the code actually captures the ethics of the medical profession.

There is some truth to this, but only a little. The code is merely a series of propositions agreed to and passed by the CMA's General Council. The fact that the council passed them makes them neither ethically ap-

propriate nor defensible. Although the code's clauses may start out based on fundamental ethical principles, when they come before General Council they are at the mercy of considerations that have little to do with ethics. Issues such as politics, self-interest, the fear of public perception and even personal career considerations help determine the code's ultimate shape.

**The CMA code is
nothing more than a
guide to the ethical
behaviour of
physicians. It is not
and should not be seen
as the final word from
on high.**

As well, it is not necessarily true that when the clauses are first formulated they are based on fundamental ethical principles. For example, I know of no ethical principle that would justify the clause "Honour your profession and its traditions."¹ On the other hand, while "Teach and be taught"² can be deduced from an ethical principle, that principle is not fundamental.

It is important to raise these

issues because the illusion that a code of ethics captures the ethics of the medical profession is dangerous. It leaves physicians with the comfortable belief that because something is in the code, it is ethically acceptable. This is false.

For example, it is ethically false that "An ethical physician shall, except in an emergency, have the right to refuse to accept a patient."³ Although most modern medical associations have something like this in their codes, traditional ones such as the Hippocratic Oath and the Code of Maimonides contain nothing like it, and with good reason. The ethics of the profession is one of service to humanity, not of personal convenience or preference.

As well, this clause does not acknowledge that medicine is a service-provider monopoly. Therefore, the right of refusing service, which belongs to trades or nonmonopolistic professions, simply does not apply.

Codes of ethics also pose a threat because they become substitutes for ethical reasoning. Their existence encourages physicians who are faced with ethical problems simply to go to the code, look up the appropriate heading and read off the answer. Codes are not encyclopedias. They are maps, and even at their ideal best can give only a general indication of where to look for an answer. Each situation is different and

Eike-Henner Kluge, formerly the CMA's director of medical ethics and legal affairs, is a professor with the Department of Philosophy at the University of Victoria, British Columbia.

each requires independent consideration and evaluation.

The belief that a code of ethics captures the medical profession's ethics also leads to the illusion that ethical matters can be settled by appealing to it. This is dangerous, because instead of suggesting that physicians learn how to reason from fundamental ethical principles, it encourages them to stay at the ethical surface and never really investigate why something that follows from a particular clause in the code actually is — or should be — ethically appropriate. When a situation arises that is not covered by the code the physician will be stumped, sometimes with disastrous consequences.

The belief is also dangerous because it makes physicians dependent on their ability to interpret. Instead of learning how to reason from ethical principles, they learn how to interpret what is written. As well, it encourages doctors to think that if something is not explicitly mentioned in the code, it must be ethically acceptable. Nothing could be further from the truth.

For example, the CMA Code of Ethics says nothing about dis-

crimination on the basis of sexual orientation or age, or about signing preferential leases from companies that own a pharmacy in the building in which the physician has his or her office. The list of things that some physicians consider acceptable because they are not forbidden in the code is long indeed.

The most dangerous illusion may be that being taught a code of ethics is being taught ethics. It fosters the impression that ethics is segregated into distinct areas — there is ethics for nurses, for physicians, for lawyers, for everyone. This obscures the fundamental fact that ethics is the same for all, no matter what profession or walk of life. What differs are the situations in which people find themselves, not the principles that should govern their response.

To teach ethics it is necessary to teach the fundamental principles, not the clauses that derive from them. A failure to see this places medicine in an ethical ghetto and makes physicians lose moral contact with the rest of the world. The Ontario case of *Malette v. Shulman*,⁴ which dealt with the treatment of a Jehovah's Wit-

ness patient, and the New Brunswick case of *McInerney v. MacDonald*,⁵ which dealt with patient records, are two good examples of what the law thinks of this.

The final illusion is that the physician who follows a code of ethics is therefore ethical. A code does provide for consistency, but being consistent and being ethical are not necessarily the same thing.

Nothing that I have said in any way detracts from the importance of the CMA Code of Ethics, or from the importance of reviewing and rewriting it. What I have tried to do is put the code and the exercise of rewriting it into a proper light. The code is a guide and as such it is useful. However, it is no substitute for careful ethical consideration based on the fundamental ethical principles of society itself.

References

1. *Code of Ethics*, principle I, Can Med Assoc, Ottawa, 1990
2. *Idem*: principle V
3. *Idem*: clause 12
4. *Malette v Shulman*, [1990] 72 OR (2d) 417 (Ont CA)
5. *McInerney v MacDonald*, [1990] NBJ no 106, action no 74/89/CA (NBCA)

Angina Relief: STAT

Indications: The management and treatment of acute attacks of angina pectoris. **Contraindications:** 1. Severe anemia. 2. Glaucoma. 3. Increased intracranial pressure. 4. Myocardial infarction. 5. Hypotension. 6. Known hypersensitivity to nitroglycerin or previous idiosyncratic reaction to organic nitrates. **Warnings:** Nitroglycerin use in patients with congestive heart failure or with acute myocardial infarction requires careful clinical and/or hemodynamic monitoring. **Precautions:** Headaches or symptoms of hypotension, such as weakness or dizziness, particularly when arising suddenly from a recumbent position, may be due to overdosage. When they occur, the dose or frequency should be reduced. Nitroglycerin, a potent vasodilator, causes a slight decrease in mean blood pressure (approximately 10-15 mm Hg) in some patients when used in therapeutic dosages. Exercise caution in patients who are prone to, or who might be affected by hypotension. Alcohol may enhance sensitivity to the hypotensive effects of nitrates. Tolerance to this drug and cross-tolerance to other nitrates or nitrites may occur. Physical dependence has also been described. With the chronic use of nitrates, there have been reports of anginal attacks being more easily provoked as well as reports of rebound in hemodynamic effects, occurring soon after nitrate withdrawal. Animal reproduction studies have not been done with NITROLINGUAL SPRAY®. As with all medication, nitroglycerin should only be given to a pregnant woman if clearly indicated, because it is not known if nitroglycerin is excreted in human milk. Exercise caution when administering to a nursing mother. Safety and effectiveness in children has not been established. **Adverse Effects:** Use has been associated with headache, faintness, giddiness, lightheadedness, pallor, feeling cold, numbness of the legs. Nitroglycerin may also cause flushing, tachycardia, nausea, vomiting, restlessness, retrosternal discomfort, postural hypotension or dermatitis. An occasional individual may exhibit marked sensitivity to the hypotensive effects of nitrates. Clinically significant methemoglobinemia is rare at conventional doses, but may occur especially in patients with genetic hemoglobin abnormalities. **Dosage and Administration: Not for inhalation.** Each metered dose contains 0.4 mg nitroglycerin in an aromatized solution. Upon initiating therapy, especially when changing from another form of nitroglycerin administration, patients should be followed closely in order to determine the minimal effective dose for each patient. With the onset of an acute attack of angina pectoris, 1 or 2 metered doses (0.4 or 0.8 mg of nitroglycerin), as determined by experience, may be administered onto or under the tongue, **without inhaling.** The optimal dose may be repeated twice at 5-10 minute intervals. Dosage must be individualized and should be sufficient to provide relief without producing untoward reactions. During administration the patient should be at rest, ideally in the sitting position, and the canister kept vertical with the nozzle head up. The opening should be kept as close to the mouth as possible. Patients should familiarize themselves with the position of the spray orifice, identified by the finger rest on top of the valve, in order to facilitate administration at night. **Availability:** Supplied in aerosol bottles delivering 200 metered doses of 0.4 mg each, in an aromatized oily solution. Non-flammable, non-toxic propellant. **Special Caution: do not expose the aerosol unit to temperatures above 49°C and do not open. Product monograph available on request.**

References: 1. Wight LJ et al. Experience with NITROLINGUAL SPRAY in general practice. *BJCP* 1990; 44 (2): 55-7. 2. Vandenberg MJ et al. Sublingual nitroglycerin or spray in the treatment of angina. *BJCP* 1986; 40: 524-527

Nitrolingual

SPRAY

(0.4 mg nitroglycerin per metered dose)

Made in Germany by: G. Pohl-Boskamp GmbH and Co.

RHÔNE-POULENC RORER

RHÔNE-POULENC RORER CANADA INC. MONTREAL

PAAB

® Registered Trademark