Identification of Francisella tularensis Genes Affected by Iron Limitation†

Kaiping Deng, Robert J. Blick, Wei Liu, and Eric J. Hansen*

Department of Microbiology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas 75390-9048

Received 6 December 2005/Returned for modification 17 January 2006/Accepted 1 May 2006

Cells of an attenuated live vaccine strain (LVS) of F. tularensis grown under iron-restricted conditions were found to contain increased quantities of several proteins relative to cells of this same strain grown under iron-replete conditions. Mass spectrometric analysis identified two of these proteins as IgIC and PdpB, both of which are encoded by genes located in a previously identified pathogenicity island in F. tularensis LVS. Regions with homology to the consensus Fur box sequence were located immediately in front of the iglC and pdpB open reading frames (ORFs), and in silico analysis of the F. tularensis Schu4 genome detected a number of predicted 5' untranslated regions that contained putative Fur boxes. The putative Fur box preceding Francisella iron-regulated gene A (figA) had the highest degree of identity with the consensus Fur box sequence. DNA microarray analysis showed that nearly 80 of the genes in the F. tularensis LVS genome were up- or down-regulated at least twofold under iron-restricted growth conditions. When tested for possible siderophore production by means of the Chrome Azurol S assay, a wild-type F. novicida strain produced a large reaction zone whereas its figA mutant produced very little reactivity in this assay. In addition, a cross-feeding experiment demonstrated that this siderophore-like activity produced by the wild-type F. novicida strain could enhance the ability of the F. novicida figA mutant to grow under iron-restricted conditions. This study provides the first identification of iron-regulated genes in F. tularensis LVS and evidence for the production of a siderophore-like molecule by F. novicida.

Francisella tularensis is a gram-negative coccobacillus that causes tularemia in both humans and animals (28, 33, 40). F. tularensis subspecies tularensis (type A) and F. tularensis subspecies holarctica (type B) are highly infectious for humans. Inoculation or inhalation of as few as 10 CFU of F. tularensis type A (i.e., F. tularensis Schu4) may cause disease in humans (37, 38). Because of its extremely high infectivity, this bacterium is listed as a class A biological warfare agent (7, 28). Type B strains of F. tularensis are less virulent than type A strains for higher mammals and typically cause nonfatal disease in humans. An attenuated live vaccine strain (LVS) of F. tularensis which was derived from a virulent type B strain by passage in vitro (37, 38) has been widely used in studies of *F. tularensis*. Francisella novicida, which is closely related to F. tularensis (12, 17), is highly virulent in mice but has relatively low virulence for humans (28). F. novicida is more easily manipulated genetically and has less fastidious growth requirements than F. tularensis strains (13). Recently, F. novicida was used to identify and characterize a pathogenicity island involved in intracellular growth (27). In addition, the MglA protein was shown to be involved in the regulation of bacterial gene products necessary for intracellular growth of this pathogen (21, 36). With these few exceptions, however, little is known about the F. tularensis gene products involved in virulence.

For several decades, investigators have been aware of the importance of iron in microbial physiology (4). In this context, the human body provides an environment of extreme iron limitation from the standpoint of the bacterium because free iron is not normally present in the bloodstream or tissues (42). As a consequence, successful commensal organisms and pathogens express high-affinity iron uptake systems by which they can obtain iron in vivo. Bacterial genes that encode factors involved in the iron acquisition process in vivo are considered virulence factors and have been subjected to intensive study. However, compared with the well-documented iron acquisition systems of other facultative intracellular pathogens, including *Legionella pneumophila* (8), *Yersinia pestis* (32), and *Mycobacterium* species (34), virtually nothing is known about how *F*. *tularensis* acquires iron from its mammalian hosts.

In a study of the effect of stress conditions on the virulence of F. tularensis LVS, it was reported that a few cell envelope proteins appeared to be up-regulated when iron was limiting (2). However, these proteins were not identified and there have been no subsequent reports on this subject to date. In the present study, we used two different methods to identify F. tularensis LVS genes whose expression was up-regulated during growth under iron-restricted conditions. Francisella ironregulated gene A (figA) was among those most highly expressed under these conditions and was shown, by mutant analysis and cross-feeding experiments, to encode a protein necessary for the synthesis and/or secretion of a siderophorelike activity by F. novicida U112.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and culture media. *F. tularensis* LVS no. 11 (11) and *F. novicida* U112 (27) have been described previously. These strains and the others used in this study are listed in Table 1. The basal medium for these studies was Mueller-Hinton agar (Difco, Detroit, MI) supplemented with 2% (vol/vol) IsoVitaleX (Becton-Dickinson, Sparks, MD), 0.1% (wt/vol) glucose, and 1% (vol/vol) fetal bovine serum (FBS); this medium was designated MH–. For routine cultivation of *Francisella* strains, ferric pyrophosphate (Fe-PP_i) was added to MH– to a final concentration of 250 µg/ml (330 µM); the resultant medium was designated MH+. For iron-restricted growth, deferoxamine mesylate (DF; Desferal; Novartis, East Hanover, NJ) was added to MH– to a final

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Microbiology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Boulevard, Dallas, TX 75390-9048. Phone: (214) 648-5974. Fax: (214) 648-5905. E-mail: eric.hansen@utsouthwestern.edu.

[†]Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://iai .asm.org/.

Strain or plasmid	Strain or plasmid Genotype or description	
<i>E. coli</i> strains		
DH5a	Host strain for cloning experiments	34
HB101	Host strain essential for propagating plasmids carrying mutated <i>Francisella</i> genes used for electroporation of <i>Francisella</i>	34
Francisella tularensis strains		
LVS no. 11	F. tularensis LVS	10
LVS figA::np-kan	Mutant of LVS with promoterless kan cartridge inserted in figA gene	This study
LVS figA::np-kan(pKD107)	LVS <i>figA::np-kan</i> containing pKD107 with <i>F. novicida</i> U112 <i>figA</i> gene; expresses FigA protein	This study
Francisella novicida strains		
U112	Wild-type strain of F. novicida	26
U112 figA::np-kan	Mutant of U112 with promoterless kan cartridge inserted in figA gene	This study
U112 figA::np-kan(pKD107)	U112 <i>figA</i> :: <i>np-kan</i> containing pKD107 with <i>F. novicida</i> U112 <i>figA</i> gene; expresses FigA protein	This study
U112 figA::np-kan(pKD108)	U112 figA::np-kan containing pKD108 with F. tularensis LVS figA gene; expresses FigA protein	This study
U112 figA::np-kan(pFNLTP-CAT)	U112 figA::np-kan containing vector pFNLTP-CAT	This study
Plasmids		
pACYC184	Broad-host-range cloning vector; Chlor ^r Tet ^r	New England Biolabs
pKD101	pACYC184 with 2.2-kb fragment containing <i>figA</i> flanking regions	This study
pKD101-np-kan	pKD101 with promoterless kan cartridge inserted into KpnI site	This study
pFNLTP6	Shuttle vector for <i>Francisella</i> ; Kan ^r Amp ^r	24
pKD105	pFNLTP6 with F. tularensis LVS figA gene inserted into BamHI site	This study
pKD108	pKD105 with $\Delta Ecat$ cartridge inserted into XhoI site; this vector backbone containing the $\Delta Ecat$ cartridge is the same as that of pFNLTP-CAT	This study
pFNLTP-CAT	pFNLTP6 with $\Delta E cat$ cartridge inserted in XhoI site; Kan ^r Amp ^r Chlor ^r	This study
pKD107	pFNLTP-CAT carrying F. novicida U112 figA gene	This study

TABLE 1.	Bacterial	strains	and t	plasmids	used	in	this	study
----------	-----------	---------	-------	----------	------	----	------	-------

concentration of 100 μ M; this medium was designated MH–/DF. Broth-based media of all three types lacked FBS. All strains were routinely grown at 37°C in an atmosphere of 95% air–5% CO₂ or in broth at 37°C with aeration.

Growth of *F. tularensis* LVS under iron-replete and iron-restricted conditions. *F. tularensis* LVS was streaked from a frozen stock onto MH+ agar and grown at 37°C for 24 h. For iron-replete growth, bacteria from this agar plate were inoculated into 1 ml of MH+ broth and grown at 37°C overnight. This overnight broth culture was then used to inoculate 10 ml of MH+ broth to an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.05. For iron-restricted growth, the overnight culture was obtained by inoculating the bacteria from the aforementioned agar plate into 1 ml of MH– broth to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.05. For iron add-back experiments, an overnight culture of the *F. tularensis* LVS in MH– medium was used to inoculate 20 ml of MH–/DF broth to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.05. When the culture reached an OD₆₀₀ of 0.4, the culture was divided into two cultures of 10 ml each. Fe-PP_i was added to one culture, whereas an equivalent volume of sterile H₂O was added to the other culture. Both cultures were then grown as described above.

Preparation of whole-cell lysates. *F. tularensis* LVS or *F. novicida* U112 cells grown on MH+ plates were inoculated into 5 ml of MH+ or MH-/DF medium for iron-replete or iron-restricted growth, respectively. After overnight incubation, the cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5,800 \times *g* for 10 min at 4°C. The cell pellet was resuspended in 3 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)/g (wet weight) of cells. A portion of 3×-concentrated sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) sample buffer (30) equivalent to half the volume of these suspended cells was then added, and the samples were heated at 100°C for 5 min. Western blot analysis was performed as previously described (10), with polyclonal antisera to various *F. tularensis* antigens; these antisera were diluted 1:3,000.

Preparation of cell envelopes of *F. tularensis* **LVS.** Cultures (100 ml) of the *F. tularensis* **LVS** were grown into stationary phase under iron-replete or iron-restricted conditions. After harvesting of the cells by centrifugation, the cells were washed twice in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and disrupted by sonication. Unbroken cells and cell debris were removed by centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatant fluid was then subjected to centrifugation at 150,000 × g for 1 h at 4°C. The resultant cell envelope pellet was washed twice with PBS and resuspended in 0.5 ml PBS. The protein concentration of the cell

envelope preparation was determined by the Bradford method with the Protein Assay reagent (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), and approximately $20 \ \mu g$ of protein was loaded in each well for SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE).

Protein identification. Proteins in cell envelope preparations were resolved by SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue. Selected protein bands were excised and identified by nano-high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry performed by the Protein Chemistry Technology Center at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center.

Generation of polyclonal mouse antibodies against *F. tularensis* proteins. The predicted amino acid sequences of the *F. tularensis* Schu4 FigA and PdpB proteins were derived from the genome of this organism (19). A 21-amino-acid (aa) sequence (KDNNPQINHDDWQQFEYELDN) from FigA and a 24-aa sequence (KLQHFYGEITKLNKQNNTNDQNDK) from PdpB were synthe-sized with a cysteine residue added to the N terminus of each peptide. These peptides were covalently coupled to Imject maleimide-activated mariculture keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH; Pierce, Rockford, IL) and used to immunize mice.

Nucleotide sequence analysis. PCR was used to amplify a 7-kb fragment from *F. novicida* that contained the *fur* gene and the *figABCD* gene cluster. Nucleotide sequence analysis of this DNA fragment showed that these five open reading frames (ORFs) from *F. novicida* (GenBank accession number DQ497185) had 97 to 100% identity with the same ORFs from both *F. tularensis* LVS and *F. tularensis* Schu4. The nucleotide sequences of these ORFs from the latter two strains are available from GenBank.

RNA isolation from *F. tularensis* **LVS.** *F. tularensis* LVS cells were grown under both iron-replete and iron-restricted conditions into the early logarithmic phase (OD₆₀₀, ~0.3). The cultures were harvested by centrifugation at 6,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. Total RNA was isolated with the RNeasy Midi kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA) by following the manufacturer's protocol. During RNA extraction, treatment with the RNease-free DNase set (QIAGEN) was used to remove any DNA contamination. RNA concentration was determined by spectrophotometry (OD₂₆₀), and RNA integrity was verified by agarose gel electrophoresis.

DNA microarray development. Preliminary annotation of the incomplete *F*. *tularensis* Schu4 genome was accomplished with a combination of automated ORF prediction and homology searching. The collection of 48 contigs in the

August 2002 release of the F. tularensis Schu4 genome was obtained from http: //artedi.ebc.uu.se/Projects/Francisella/. The Glimmer 2.02 software was obtained from The Institute for Genomic Research and was used to predict most of the ORFs in the incomplete genome (9). Because Glimmer requires a complete, intact genome, the 48 contigs from the incomplete genome were concatenated into one contiguous sequence. The sequence 5'-TTAACTAACTAG-3', which contains stop codons in all six reading frames, was inserted between contigs to prevent Glimmer from erroneously predicting false ORFs that spanned contig junctions. Glimmer was then used to predict putative ORFs (encoding proteins containing at least 50 aa) in this contiguous sequence. 2,051 putative ORFs were predicted and were translated with the standard genetic code. Seventy-mer oligonucleotides designed to anneal specifically to a region within the 5' 70% of each of these 2,051 annotated ORFs were synthesized by QIAGEN and spotted in triplicate on Corning UltraGapII slides by Microarrays, Inc. (Nashville, TN). Subsequent to the preparation of these microarray slides, the definitive annotation of the F. tularensis Schu4 genome was published (19) and this more recent annotation was used in this study to identify relevant ORFs.

DNA microarray analysis. Genome-directed primers (3) were designed to anneal within the 3' 30% of each ORF. These 47 genome-directed primers were synthesized by QIAGEN and used to prime the mRNA in total RNA preparations for cDNA synthesis. Equal quantities of total RNA from cells grown under iron-replete and iron-restricted conditions were used to generate cDNA with the CyScribe Post-Labeling kit (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ). After reverse transcription, residual RNA was removed by alkaline treatment, followed by neutralization. The cDNAs were purified with a QIAquick gel extraction kit (QIAGEN). For each DNA microarray slide, one sample was labeled with Cy3-dCTP while another sample was labeled with Cy5-dCTP. Dye swap experiments were performed for each pair of samples to compensate for the different labeling efficiencies of Cy3 and Cy5. The labeling mixtures were cleaned by using YM-30 Microcon centrifugal filter devices (Millipore, Bedford, MA). Equal amounts of labeled cDNA from cells grown under both conditions were used to hybridize microarray slides in the hybridization buffer provided with the CyScribe Post-Labeling kit. Hybridization was carried out at 50°C for 16 h in the dark. After hybridization, the slides were washed in saline-sodium phosphate-EDTA buffer and scanned with GenePix scanner 4100A and GenePix Pro 5.0 software (Axon Instruments Inc., Union City, CA). Data from three independent RNA preparations hybridized on a total of seven slides were normalized and then analyzed with Acuity 4.0 software (Axon). Genes that were differentially regulated more than twofold were considered to be differentially transcribed. Selected results from these analyses are contained in Table 2.

Quantitative real-time reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR). Twenty-one genes were selected for analysis of their transcription levels by qRT-PCR. For each of the genes tested, primers (Table 3) were designed with Primer Express software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Total RNA was isolated from F. tularensis LVS cells grown under iron-replete and iron-restricted conditions as described above. To remove possible DNA contamination, an additional step of DNase treatment of the RNA samples was performed with the MessageClean kit (GeneHunter, Nashville, TN). Equal quantities of RNA from cells grown under both conditions were used in qRT-PCRs with SYBR Green PCR Master Mixture (Applied Biosystems) and MultiScribe reverse transcriptase (Applied Biosystems). Each reaction was carried out with a 25-µl volume containing 50% (vol/vol) SYBR master mix, the two oligonucleotide primers at a final concentration of 50 nM each, and 10 ng of RNA. PCR amplification was accomplished with a model 7500 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). The relative levels of gene expression were calculated with 7500 System SDS software (Applied Biosystems) by the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method. The F. tularensis LVS fopA gene was chosen as an internal control because this gene apparently did not alter its level of expression between iron-replete and iron-restricted growth conditions as measured by DNA microarray and Western blot analyses (data not shown). Both no-reverse transcriptase reaction mixtures and no-template reaction mixtures were always included as negative controls.

RT-PCR. RT-PCR was performed with the SuperScript III kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Total RNA was isolated from *F. tularensis* LVS cells grown in iron-replete medium as described above. RNA (1 μ g) was incubated with SuperScript III reverse transcriptase and appropriate oligonucleotide primers. PCR amplification with *Taq* DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) was carried out at temperatures of 52°C for annealing and 72°C for extension.

Construction of figA mutants of F**.** *tularensis* **LVS and** F **.** *novicida* **U112.** All of the oligonucleotide primers used in this study were derived from the F **.** *tularensis* Schu4 genome (19). An ~1.0-kb fragment corresponding to the 5' upstream region of the F **.** *tularensis* LVS *figA* gene was PCR amplified from chromosomal DNA with Pfu DNA polymerase (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA), primer 1 (5'-GCG)

GATCCGCGCCAACAATCACTGATAAAAC-3'), and primer 2 (5'-GGTACC GCATGCATGCATTTTAAAATCCCTACATGATAATG-3'). Another ~1.2-kb fragment corresponding to the 3' downstream region of the *F. tularensis* LVS *figA* gene was amplified with primer 3 (5'-ATGCATGCATGCGGTACCGTAAAAG GTGAAATACACCAAAG-3') and primer 4 (5'-GCGGATCCCCAAAGGTAA CTCCAAGTATG-3'). Primers 2 and 3 shared an 18-nucleotide (nt) complementary sequence (in bold) at their 5' ends, and a KpnI site (bold and underlined) was contained in these sequences. One-microliter portions of the two PCR amplicons were then mixed and used as the template in a bridging PCR (i.e., PCR sewing) (24, 29) with primers 1 and 4. This second PCR generated an ~2.2-kb product corresponding to the 5' and 3' flanking regions of the *figA* ORF with a KpnI site in the middle of this amplicon and BamHI sites (underlined in ligated into BamHI-cut pACYC184 (New England Biolabs) to obtain pKD101.

A promoterless 850-bp kanamycin resistance cartridge (np-kan) (26) was amplified with Pfu DNA polymerase together with primer 5 (5'-ATAGGTACCG GGTGACTAACTA GGAGG-3') and primer 6 (5'-ATAGGTACCGGGTCGC ATTATTCCCTCCA-3') (KpnI sites underlined). The np-kan amplicon was digested with KpnI and ligated with KpnI-digested pKD101 to obtain pKD101np-kan. This plasmid was used to transform Escherichia coli HB101 (35); plasmid purified from this recombinant strain by means of a QIAGEN Midi-prep kit was used to electroporate F. tularensis LVS. For electroporation, cell paste was scraped from two confluent MH+ agar plates and washed three times in 50 ml of 0.5 M sucrose by centrifugation at 5,800 \times g for 10 min at 10°C. The washed cells were resuspended in 250 µl of 0.5 M sucrose and divided into individual 80-µl portions. A 7-µg portion of pKD101-np-kan (in a 10-µl volume) was added to an 80-µl portion of these cells, and the mixture was incubated at room temperature for 10 min. The mixture was then transferred into an electroporation cuvette (1-mm electrode gap) and electroporated at 2.0 kV, 10 µF, and 600 Ω. A 200-µl portion of MH+ medium was added to the cuvette, and the entire content of the cuvette was spread onto a chocolate II agar (CA II) plate (Becton Dickinson), which was incubated at 37°C in 95% air–5% CO_2 for 6 h. Next, growth on this CA II plate was scraped into 500 μl MH- medium and the surface of the CA II plate was washed once with another 500-ul portion of this medium. The liquid was pooled and spread onto two MH- plates containing kanamycin (15 µg/ml) and incubated as described above for 36 to 48 h. Kanamycin-resistant colonies were patched onto MH-/DF agar, and figA mutants were identified by colony blot analysis with mouse antiserum to FigA. For construction of an F. novicida U112 figA mutant, the method used was the same as that described above, with two exceptions; the electroporated cells were incubated on the CA II agar plate for only 3 h, and the concentration of kanamycin for selection on MH- agar plates was increased to 30 µg/ml.

Colony blot analysis. F. tularensis LVS or F. novicida U112 colonies grown on MH- plates were patched onto MH-/DF plates. After incubation for 24 h at 37°C, the patches were blotted with a sterile nitrocellulose membrane (Schleicher & Schuell, Keene, NH). The membrane was then placed for 5 min on Whatman filter paper that had been saturated with 20% (wt/vol) SDS, transferred to another filter paper saturated with denaturing solution (0.5 M NaOH, 1.5 M NaCl), incubated for 5 min, and finally placed on a filter paper saturated with neutralizing solution (1.3 M NaCl, 0.3 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) for 5 min. After drying at room temperature for 30 min, the membrane was gently agitated in PBS with 0.05% (vol/vol) Tween 20 (PBST) for 5 min with one change of PBST buffer. Acetic acid (0.1 N) was used to wash the membrane twice to remove residual agar and cell debris. Residual acid was removed by washing with PBST twice, and the membrane was blocked with 3% (wt/vol) skim milk in PBST for 1 h. Mouse antiserum to FigA was used as the primary antibody, and horseradish peroxidaseconjugated goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin G was used as the secondary antibody. Final color development was accomplished by using the 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine liquid substrate system (Sigma) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Complementation analysis. The primers used to PCR amplify a 2.9-kb fragment of *F. tularensis* LVS chromosomal DNA containing the *figA* ORF and some flanking DNA were primer 7 (5'-CG<u>GGATCC</u>TTAATCAGTTTGAGTCAGC AGG-3') and primer 8 (5'-CG<u>GGATCC</u>TAACTAAGTAATGTGGCTAAAA CACC-3'). Both primers contained a BamHI site (underlined) at their 5' ends, and the PCR amplicon was ligated into the BamHI site in the vector pFNLTP6 (25) to obtain pKD105. A chloramphenicol resistance cassette ($\Delta Ecat$) (15) was then ligated into the XhoI site of this plasmid to obtain pKD108. Digestion of this plasmid with BamHI yielded three fragments; these were the vector backbone, the *cat* cartridge, and the cloned *F. tularensis* LVS DNA insert. The gel-purified *cat* cartridge and vector backbone were religated to yield pFNLTP-CAT. The primers used to PCR amplify a 3.2-kb fragment containing the *F*.

TABLE 2. F. tularensis LVS genes whose expression was maximally altered by growth under iron-restricted conditions^a

Genes up-regulated under iron-restricted growth conditionsHypothetical protein (FigA)19.7029cDiaminoprimelate decarboxylase (FigC)15.1326cHypothetical protein (FigD)14.7828cHypothetical protein (FigD)14.7828cHypothetical protein (FigD)9.781565cGlycoxyl hydrolase, family 3, pseudogene4.081702Conserved hypothetical protein3.621701Hypothetical protein3.621707Conserved hypothetical protein3.291714cIntracellular growth locus, subunit A (IgIA)3.26383Hypothetical protein3.291709Conserved hypothetical protein3.09980Aminotransferase, class II3081711cIntracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID)3.061703Conserved hypothetical protein3.011704Conserved hypothetical protein3.011705Conserved hypothetical protein3.011706Conserved hypothetical protein2.991717Major facilitator superfamily transport protein2.991717Major facilitator superfamily transport protein3.321712Haloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein0.321714Amino acid permease0.391715ABC transporter, GroEL0.431716Haloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein0.321716Haloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein0.421718Hajor fac	F. tularensis Schu4 ORF no.	Gene identity or function	Avg fold difference ^t
growth conditions Hypothetical protein (FigA) 19.70 2% Diaminopimelate decarboxylase (FigC) 15.13 26c Hypothetical protein (FigB) 9.78 28c Hypothetical protein (FigB) 9.78 28c Glocosyl hypothetical protein 3.87 1701 Hypothetical protein 3.62 1701 Hypothetical protein 3.62 1701 Hypothetical protein 3.62 1707 Conserved hypothetical protein 3.29 1714c Outer membrane protein 3.29 174c Intracellular growth locus, subunit A (IgIA) 3.26 633 Hypothetical protein 3.09 980 Aminotransferase, class II 3.08 1710 Conserved hypothetical protein 3.01 1700 Conserved hypothetical protein 3.01 1703 Conserved hypothetical protein 2.99 1717 Major facilitator superfamily transport protein 2.99 1717 Major facilitator superfamily transport protein 2.99 1717 Ma	Genes up-regulated under iron-restricted		
29cHypothetical protein (Fig.C)19.7027cDiaminopinelate decarboxylase (Fig.C)15.1326cHypothetical protein (Fig.D)14.7828cHypothetical protein (Fig.D)9.781565cGlycoxyl hydrolase, family 3, pseudogene4.081702Conserved hypothetical protein3.871701Hypothetical protein3.621707Conserved hypothetical protein3.201714cIntracellular growth locus, subunit A (IglA)3.26383Hypothetical protein3.191709Conserved hypothetical protein3.09980Hypothetical protein3.09980Aminotransferase, class II3.081711cIntracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID)3.061703Conserved hypothetical protein3.011706Conserved hypothetical protein2.981712cIntracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID)3.061712aIntracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC)2.96Genes down-regulated under iron-restricted growth conditions2.981214cAnino acid permease0.39265ABC transporter, membrane protein0.32266Chaperone protein, GroEL0.444098Adenosylmethionine-3-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase0.4441695Chaperone protein, GroEL0.4341695Chaperone protein, GroEL0.49374305 ribosomal protein 1.230.49375S05 ribosomal protein 1.240.49<	growth conditions		10.70
2/cDiaminopinetiate decarboxylase (rigc.)15.15 $2c$ Hypotheticial protein (FigD)14.78 $2k$ Hypothetical protein (FigB)9.78 $2k$ Geosenved hypothetical protein3.87 701 Hypothetical protein3.62 1707 Conserved hypothetical protein3.62 1707 Conserved hypothetical protein3.29 $1714c$ Unter membrane protein3.29 $1714c$ Intracellular growth locus, subunit A (IgIA)3.26 383 Hypothetical protein3.19 1709 Conserved hypothetical protein3.09 980 Aminotransferase, class II3.08 $1711c$ Intracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID)3.06 1703 Conserved hypothetical protein3.01 1700 Conserved hypothetical protein2.99 1717 Major facilitator superfamily transport protein2.98 $1712c$ Intracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID)3.06 1700 Conserved hypothetical protein2.99 1717 Major facilitator superfamily transport protein2.98 $1712c$ Intracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC)2.96 255 ABC transporter, membrane protein0.42 1246 Chaperone protein, DnaK (heat shock protein family 70)0.43 1696 Chaperone protein, GroEL0.44 938 Adenoxylmethionine-8-amino-7-xxxnonanaota aminotransferase0.46 311 30S ribosomal protein0.41 1696 Chaperone protein,	29c	Hypothetical protein (FigA)	19.70
2ccHypothetical protein (FigD) 41.8 2ccHypothetical protein (FigB) 9.78 1565cGlycosyl hydrolase, family 3, pseudogene 4.08 1702Conserved hypothetical protein 3.87 1701Hypothetical protein 3.62 1707Conserved hypothetical protein 3.41 1542cOuter membrane protein 3.29 1714cIntracellular growth locus, subunit A (IgIA) 3.26 383Hypothetical protein 3.09 1709Conserved hypothetical protein 3.09 1709Conserved hypothetical protein 3.09 1709Conserved hypothetical protein 3.09 1700Conserved hypothetical protein 3.09 1701Intracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgD) 3.06 1703Conserved hypothetical protein 2.99 1717Major facilitator superfamily transport protein 2.99 1717Major facilitator superfamily transport protein 2.98 1712cIntracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC) 2.96 Genes down-regulated under iron-restrictedgrowth locus, subunit C (IgIC) 2.96 1724Haloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein 0.32 265ABC transporter, membrane protein 0.32 265ABC transporter, membrane protein 0.43 1696Chaperone protein, GroEL 0.43 1696Chaperone protein, GroEL 0.44 1695Chaperone protein fora (S3 0.47 172Hypothetical prote	2/c	Diaminopimelate decarboxylase (FigC)	15.13
2scHypothetical protein (FigB)9,781565cGlycosyl Hydrolase, family 3, pseudogene4.081702Conserved hypothetical protein3.871701Hypothetical protein3.621707Conserved hypothetical protein3.29174cOuter membrane protein3.29174cIntracellular growth locus, subunit A (IgIA)3.26383Hypothetical protein3.19709Conserved hypothetical protein3.09980Aninotransferase, class II3.08171cIntracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID)3.061703Conserved hypothetical protein2.991706Conserved hypothetical protein2.991717Major facilitator superfamily transport protein2.981712Intracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC)2.96Genes down-regulated under iron-restrictedgrowth locus, subunit C (IgIC)2.96265ABC transporter, membrane protein0.421269cChaperone protein, GroEL0.431694Chaperone protein GroES0.44495Chaperone protein GroES0.44498Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase0.46311305 ribosomal protein GroES0.47172Hypothetical rotein Irol0.431695Chaperone protein GroES0.44498Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase0.46313305 ribosomal protein GroS0.47172Hypothetica	26c	Hypothetical protein (FigD)	14.78
150cGrycosyl hydrolase, tamily 3, pseudogene4,081702Conserved hypothetical protein3,871701Hypothetical protein3,621707Conserved hypothetical protein3,291714cIntracellular growth locus, subunit A (IglA)3,266833Hypothetical protein3,29979Hypothetical protein3,09980Aminotransferase, class II3,081711cIntracellular growth locus, subunit D (IglD)3,061703Conserved hypothetical protein3,011706Conserved hypothetical protein2,991717Major facilitator superfamily transport protein2,991717Major facilitator superfamily transport protein2,991716Intracellular growth locus, subunit C (IglC)2,96Genes down-regulated under iron-restrictedgrowth locus, subunit C (IglC)2,96Genes down-regulated under iron-restricted10,3281cAmino acid permease0,39265ABC transporter, membrane protein0,42124cHaloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein0,431696Chaperone protein, GroEL0,431695Chaperone protein GroES0,441695ABC transporter, Samino-7-exononanoate aminotransferase0,46311306 ribosomal protein S30,47172Hypothetical protein GroES0,49326506 ribosomal protein S30,47173305 ribosomal protein L230,49326	28c	Hypothetical protein (FigB)	9.78
102Conserved hypothetical protein3.821701Hypothetical protein3.621707Conserved hypothetical protein3.291714cIntracellular growth locus, subunit A (IgIA)3.26383Hypothetical protein3.291714cIntracellular growth locus, subunit A (IgIA)3.26383Hypothetical protein3.191709Conserved hypothetical protein3.09980Aminotransferase, class II3.081711cIntracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID)3.061703Conserved hypothetical protein2.991706Conserved hypothetical protein2.991707Major facilitator superfamily transport protein2.981712cIntracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC)2.96Genes down-regulated under iron-restrictedgrowth locus, subunit C (IgIC)2.96growth conditions2.982.981712cHaloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein0.32881cAmino acid permease0.39265ABC transporter, membrane protein0.421626cChaperone protein, GroEL0.431696Chaperone protein GroES0.441637Jos ribosomal protein S30.47172Hypothetical protein GroES0.441634Adenosylimethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase0.49314305 ribosomal protein S30.47325Si ribosomal protein S30.47326Si ribosomal protein S3 </td <td>15650</td> <td>Glycosyl hydrolase, family 3, pseudogene</td> <td>4.08</td>	15650	Glycosyl hydrolase, family 3, pseudogene	4.08
1/01 Hypothetical protein 3.62 1/707 Conserved hypothetical protein 3.29 1/714c Intracellular growth locus, subunit A (IgIA) 3.26 3/83 Hypothetical protein 3.29 989 Hypothetical protein 3.09 980 Aminotransferase, class II 3.08 1711c Intracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID) 3.06 1703 Conserved hypothetical protein 3.01 1700 Conserved hypothetical protein 3.01 1700 Conserved hypothetical protein 3.01 1700 Conserved hypothetical protein 2.99 1717 Major facilitator superfamily transport protein 2.99 1712c Intracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC) 2.98 1712c Intracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC) 2.98 1712c Haloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein 0.32 1214c Haloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein 0.42 1269c Chaperone protein, GroEL 0.43 1696 Chaperone protein, GroEL 0.44 1696 Chaperone protein foreES	1702	Conserved hypothetical protein	3.87
107Conserved hypothetical protein3.411542cOuter membrane protein3.291714cIntracellular growth locus, subunit A (IgIA)3.26383Hypothetical protein3.191709Conserved hypothetical protein3.09980Aminotransferase, class II3.081711cIntracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID)3.061703Conserved hypothetical protein3.011704Conserved hypothetical protein3.011705Conserved hypothetical protein3.011706Conserved hypothetical protein2.991717Major facilitator superfamily transport protein2.981712cIntracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC)2.96Genes down-regulated under iron-restrictedgrowth locus, subunit C (IgIC)2.96growth conditions1214cHaloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein0.321214cHaloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein0.42126%Chaperone protein, GroEL0.431696Chaperone protein GroEL0.431624cHypothetical protein S0.44172Hypothetical protein S30.47172Hypothetical more -samino-7-xononanoate aminotransferase0.49311308 ribosomal protein L20.49326508 ribosomal protein L20.49327508 ribosomal protein L20.49326508 ribosomal protein L30.47327508 ribosomal protein L30.49<	1701	Hypothetical protein	3.62
1342cOuter memorane protein 3.25 3714cIntracellular growth locus, subunit A (IgIA) 3.26 383Hypothetical protein 3.22 989Hypothetical protein 3.09 980Aminotransferase, class II 3.09 980Intracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID) 3.06 1703Conserved hypothetical protein 3.01 1706Conserved hypothetical protein 3.01 1707Conserved hypothetical protein 2.99 1716Intracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgIC) 2.99 1717Major facilitator superfamily transport protein 2.99 1712cIntracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC) 2.96 Genes down-regulated under iron-restrictedgrowth conditions 2.92 1214eHaloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein 0.32 265ABC transporter, membrane protein 0.42 1269cChaperone protein, GroEL 0.43 1696Chaperone protein GroES 0.44 488Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase 0.46 311305 ribosomal protein L3 0.47 327505 ribosomal protein L2 0.49 328505 ribosomal protein L2 0.49 326505 ribosomal protein L3 0.47 327505 ribosomal protein L4 0.50 1048cHypothetical protein L4 0.50 1048cHypothetical protein L3 0.49 326505 ribosomal protein L4 0.50 104	1/0/	Conserved hypothetical protein	3.41
11/4c Intracellular growth locus, subunit A (IgIA) 3.20 383 Hypothetical protein 3.19 1709 Conserved hypothetical protein 3.09 980 Aminotransferase, class II 3.08 1711c Intracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID) 3.06 1703 Conserved hypothetical protein 3.01 1700 Conserved hypothetical protein 3.01 1706 Conserved hypothetical protein 2.99 1716 Intracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC) 2.96 Genes down-regulated under iron-restricted growth locus, suberit C (IgIC) 2.96 3.3 1712c Intracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC) 2.96 Genes down-regulated under iron-restricted growth locus, subunit C (IgIC) 2.96 3.3 1214c Haloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein 0.32 81c Amino acid permease 0.39 265 ABC transporter, membrane protein family 70) 0.43 1696 Chaperone protein, GroEL 0.44 1695 Chaperone protein GroES 0.44 938 Adenosylmethionime-8-aminotransferase 0.46	1542c	Outer membrane protein	3.29
383Hypothetical protein 3.22 989Hypothetical protein 3.09 980Aminotransferase, class II 3.09 980Intracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID) 3.06 1711cIntracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID) 3.06 1703Conserved hypothetical protein 3.01 1700Conserved hypothetical protein 2.99 1706Conserved hypothetical protein 2.99 1717Major facilitator superfamily transport protein 2.98 1712cIntracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC) 2.96 Genes down-regulated under iron-restricted growth conditionsHaloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein 0.32 265ABC transporter, membrane protein 0.42 1269cChaperone protein, DnaK (heat shock protein family 70) 0.43 1624cHypothetical protein GroES 0.44 988Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase 0.46 331308 ribosomal protein S3 0.47 172Hypothetical protein L23 0.49 325508 ribosomal protein L2 0.49 326508 ribosomal protein L3 0.49 327508 ribosomal protein L3 0.50 328508 ribosomal protein L3 0.50 326508 ribosomal protein L3 0.49 326508 ribosomal protein L3 0.49 326508 ribosomal protein L3 0.51 326508 ribosomal protein L3 0.51 326508 ribosomal protein	1/14c	Intracellular growth locus, subunit A (IgIA)	3.26
989Hypothetical protein3.191709Conserved hypothetical protein3.09980Aminotransferase, class II3.081711cIntracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID)3.061703Conserved hypothetical protein3.011700Conserved hypothetical protein2.991706Conserved hypothetical protein2.991717Major facilitator superfamily transport protein2.981712cIntracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC)2.96Genes down-regulated under iron-restricted3.01growth conditions1214cHaloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein0.32881cAmino acid permease0.39265ABC transporter, membrane protein family 70)0.431696Chaperone protein, DnaK (heat shock protein family 70)0.431695Chaperone protein GroEL0.441695Chaperone protein GroES0.44331305 ribosomal protein S30.47172Hypothetical protein I230.49328505 ribosomal protein L230.49328508 ribosomal protein L20.49326508 ribosomal protein L30.49327508 ribosomal protein L30.49328508 ribosomal protein L30.50326508 ribosomal protein L30.50326508 ribosomal protein L30.50326508 ribosomal protein L30.50326508 ribosomal protein L30.50326<	383	Hypothetical protein	3.22
109Conserved hypothetical protein 3.09 980Aminotransferase, class II 3.08 1711cIntracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID) 3.06 1703Conserved hypothetical protein 3.01 1700Conserved hypothetical protein 2.99 1706Conserved hypothetical protein 2.99 1717Major facilitator superfamily transport protein 2.98 1712cIntracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC) 2.96 Genes down-regulated under iron-restricted 1112 1112 growth conditions $1214c$ Haloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein 0.32 881cAmino acid permease 0.39 265ABC transporter, membrane protein family 70) 0.43 1696Chaperone protein, GroEL 0.44 1695Chaperone protein GroES 0.44 1695Chaperone protein GroES 0.44 1695Chaperone protein GroES 0.44 172Hypothetical protein S3 0.47 172Hypothetical membrane protein, fargment 0.48 32750S ribosomal protein I23 0.49 32850S ribosomal protein I2 0.49 32850S ribosomal protein I2 0.49 32650S ribosomal protein I2 0.49 32750S ribosomal protein I2 0.49 32850S ribosomal protein I2 0.49 32650S ribosomal protein I2 0.49 32750S ribosomal protein I2 0.49 32850S ribosomal pr	989	Hypothetical protein	3.19
980Ammotransferase, class II 3.08 1711cIntracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID) 3.06 1703Conserved hypothetical protein 3.01 1700Conserved hypothetical protein 2.99 1716Conserved hypothetical protein 2.99 1717Major facilitator superfamily transport protein 2.98 1712cIntracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC) 2.96 Genes down-regulated under iron-restricted growth conditions 2.98 1214cHaloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein 0.32 881cAmino acid permease 0.39 265ABC transporter, membrane protein 0.42 1269cChaperone protein, DnaK (heat shock protein family 70) 0.43 1696Chaperone protein GroEL 0.43 1695Chaperone protein GroES 0.44 1695Adenosylmethicnine-8-amino-7-oxononato at aminotransferase 0.44 33130S ribosomal protein L23 0.49 32850S ribosomal protein L23 0.49 32850S ribosomal protein L2 0.49 32650S ribosomal protein L2 0.49 32650S ribosomal protein L3 0.50 32650S ribosomal protein L3 0.50 32650S ribosomal protein L3 0.50 32650S ribosomal protein L3 0.49 32750S ribosomal protein L3 0.49 32850S ribosomal protein L3 0.50 32650S ribosomal protein L4 0.50 32650	1709	Conserved hypothetical protein	3.09
1711cIntracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID) 3.00 1703Conserved hypothetical protein 3.01 1700Conserved hypothetical protein 2.99 1706Conserved hypothetical protein 2.99 1717Major facilitator superfamily transport protein 2.98 1712cIntracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC) 2.96 Genes down-regulated under iron-restricted growth conditionsHaloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein 0.32 265ABC transporter, membrane protein 0.42 266Chaperone protein, GroEL 0.43 1696Chaperone protein, GroEL 0.43 1624cHypothetical protein 0.44 1695Chaperone protein, GroES 0.44 3130S ribosomal protein S3 0.47 172Hypothetical membrane protein, fragment 0.49 32350S ribosomal protein L2 0.49 32450S ribosomal protein L2 0.49 32550S ribosomal protein L2 0.49 32650S ribosomal protein L2 0.49 32750S ribosomal protein L2 0.49 32850S ribosomal protein L2 0.49 32650S ribosomal protein L1 0.50 32650S ribosomal protein L1 0.50 32650S ribosomal protein L2 0.49 33650S ribosomal protein L3 0.50 33930S ribosomal protein S8 0.52	980	Aminotransferase, class II	3.08
1703Conserved hypothetical protein 3.01 1700Conserved hypothetical protein (PdpB)2.991706Conserved hypothetical protein (PdpB)2.991717Major facilitator superfamily transport protein2.981712cIntracellular growth locus, subunit C (IglC)2.96Genes down-regulated under iron-restricted growth conditionsHaloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein0.32881cAmino acid permease0.39265ABC transporter, membrane protein0.421269cChaperone protein, GroEL0.431696Chaperone protein, GroEL0.44938Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase0.44938Adenosylmethionine 8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase0.4493814- α -Glucan branching enzyme0.4932750S ribosomal protein S30.47172Hypothetical membrane protein, fragment0.4832750S ribosomal protein L230.49413c1.4- α -Glucan branching enzyme0.49414050S ribosomal protein L40.5032650S ribosomal protein L40.5032650S ribosomal protein L40.5032650S ribosomal protein L10.5032650S ribosomal protein L40.5032650S ribosomal protein L40.5032650S ribosomal protein L40.5032650S ribosomal protein L40.5032650S ribosomal protein L40.5051 <t< td=""><td>1/11c</td><td>Intracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID)</td><td>3.06</td></t<>	1/11c	Intracellular growth locus, subunit D (IgID)	3.06
1700Conserved hypothetical protein (PdpB)2.991706Conserved hypothetical protein2.991717Major facilitator superfamily transport protein2.981712cIntracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC)2.96Genes down-regulated under iron-restricted growth conditions1214cHaloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein0.32881cAmino acid permease0.39265ABC transporter, membrane protein0.421269cChaperone protein, Dnak (heat shock protein family 70)0.431696Chaperone protein GroEL0.441695Chaperone protein GroES0.44938Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase0.46331305 ribosomal protein L230.49413c1.4 α -Glucan branching enzyme0.49428505 ribosomal protein L20.49328505 ribosomal protein L230.49326505 ribosomal protein L30.50326505 ribosomal protein L30.50326505 ribosomal protein L30.50326505 ribosomal protein L40.50326605605 ribosomal protein L30.51256ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein0.51551Conserved hypothetical protein0.51551Sonsomal protein Family protein, pseudogene0.52599ZIP metal transporter family protein, pseudogene0.52339305 ribosomal protein S80.52	1703	Conserved hypothetical protein	3.01
$1/06$ Conserved hypothetical protein2.99 1717 Major facilitator superfamily transport protein2.98 $1712c$ Intracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC)2.96Genes down-regulated under iron-restricted growth conditions1214cHaloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein0.32 $1214c$ Haloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein0.32 $881c$ Amino acid permease0.39 265 ABC transporter, membrane protein0.42 $1269c$ Chaperone protein, GroEL0.43 $1624c$ Hypothetical protein0.44 1695 Chaperone protein GroES0.44 311 30S ribosomal protein S30.47 327 S0S ribosomal protein L230.49 $413c$ $1,4-\alpha$ -Glucan branching enzyme0.49 410 50S ribosomal protein L110.50 326 50S ribosomal protein L20.49 440 50S ribosomal protein L20.49 140 50S ribosomal protein L30.59 326 50S ribosomal protein L30.50 326 50S ribosomal protein L30.50 326 50S ribosomal protein L30.50 326 50S ribosomal protein L30.51 266 ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein0.51 266 ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein0.51 339 30S ribosomal protein S80.52	1700	Conserved hypothetical protein (PdpB)	2.99
1717Major facilitator superfamily transport protein2.981712cIntracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC)2.96Genes down-regulated under iron-restricted growth conditions1214cHaloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein0.32881cAmino acid permease0.39265ABC transporter, membrane protein0.421269cChaperone protein, DnaK (heat shock protein family 70)0.431696Chaperone protein GroEL0.441695Chaperone protein GroES0.44938Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase0.4633130S ribosomal protein S30.47172Hypothetical membrane protein, fragment0.4832750S ribosomal protein L20.4932850S ribosomal protein L20.4932650S ribosomal protein L20.4932650S ribosomal protein L20.4932850S ribosomal protein L20.4932650S ribosomal protein L10.5032650S ribosomal protein L10.5132650S ribosomal protein S30.5133930S ribosomal protein S80.52 <td>1706</td> <td>Conserved hypothetical protein</td> <td>2.99</td>	1706	Conserved hypothetical protein	2.99
1712cIntracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC)2.96Genes down-regulated under iron-restricted growth conditionsHaloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein0.321214cAmino acid permease0.39265ABC transporter, membrane protein0.421269cChaperone protein, OroEL0.431696Chaperone protein GroES0.441695Chaperone protein GroES0.44938Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase0.46331305 ribosomal protein L230.49172Hypothetical membrane protein L230.49140508 ribosomal protein L10.50326508 ribosomal protein L10.502656051.4~Clucan branching enzyme0.49140508 ribosomal protein L10.50266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein0.51266ABC transporter family protein, pseudogene0.52339308 ribosomal protein S80.52	1717	Major facilitator superfamily transport protein	2.98
Genes down-regulated under iron-restricted growth conditions1214cHaloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein0.32881cAmino acid permease0.39265ABC transporter, membrane protein0.421269cChaperone protein, DnaK (heat shock protein family 70)0.431696Chaperone protein, GroEL0.431695Chaperone protein GroES0.44938Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase0.4633130S ribosomal protein S30.47172Hypothetical membrane protein, fragment0.48413c1,4- α -Glucan branching enzyme0.49413c50S ribosomal protein L20.4932850S ribosomal protein L110.501048cHypothetical protein0.51266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein0.51266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein0.51551Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene0.5233930S ribosomal protein S80.52	1/12c	Intracellular growth locus, subunit C (IgIC)	2.96
growth conditions1214cHaloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein 0.32 1214cAmino acid permease 0.39 265ABC transporter, membrane protein 0.42 1269cChaperone protein, DnaK (heat shock protein family 70) 0.43 1696Chaperone protein, GroEL 0.43 1624cHypothetical protein 0.44 938Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase 0.46 33130S ribosomal protein S3 0.47 172Hypothetical membrane protein, fragment 0.48 413c 1.4α -Glucan branching enzyme 0.49 413c 1.4α -Glucan branching enzyme 0.49 12650S ribosomal protein L2 0.49 169560606050S ribosomal protein L3 0.50 750S ribosomal protein L3 0.50 6050S ribosomal protein L2 0.49 5160606050505050518060605160706053993070605393070706033930707070	Genes down-regulated under iron-restricted		
1214cHaloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein0.32881cAmino acid permease0.39265ABC transporter, membrane protein0.421269cChaperone protein, DnaK (heat shock protein family 70)0.431696Chaperone protein, GroEL0.431624cHypothetical protein0.441695Chaperone protein GroES0.44938Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase0.4633130S ribosomal protein S30.47172Hypothetical membrane protein, fragment0.49413c1.4-a-Glucan branching enzyme0.49413c50S ribosomal protein L20.4932650S ribosomal protein L10.5032650S ribosomal protein L40.501048cHypothetical protein0.51266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein0.51551Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene0.5233930S ribosomal protein S80.52	growth conditions		
881cAmino acid permease 0.39 265ABC transporter, membrane protein 0.42 1269cChaperone protein, DnaK (heat shock protein family 70) 0.43 1696Chaperone protein, GroEL 0.43 1624cHypothetical protein 0.44 1695Chaperone protein GroES 0.44 938Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase 0.46 33130S ribosomal protein S3 0.47 172Hypothetical membrane protein, fragment 0.49 32850S ribosomal protein L23 0.49 32850S ribosomal protein L1 0.50 32650S ribosomal protein L1 0.50 32650S ribosomal protein L4 0.50 1048cHypothetical protein 0.51 266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein 0.51 551Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene 0.52 33930S ribosomal protein S8 0.52	1214c	Haloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase family protein	0.32
265ABC transporter, membrane protein 0.42 1269cChaperone protein, DnaK (heat shock protein family 70) 0.43 1696Chaperone protein, GroEL 0.43 1624cHypothetical protein 0.44 938Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase 0.44 938Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase 0.46 33130S ribosomal protein S3 0.47 172Hypothetical membrane protein, fragment 0.48 32750S ribosomal protein L23 0.49 413c $1.4-\alpha$ -Glucan branching enzyme 0.49 14050S ribosomal protein L1 0.50 32650S ribosomal protein L4 0.50 1048cHypothetical protein 0.51 266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein 0.51 551Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene 0.52 33930S ribosomal protein S8 0.52	881c	Amino acid permease	0.39
1269cChaperone protein, DnaK (heat shock protein family 70) 0.43 1696Chaperone protein, GroEL 0.43 1624cHypothetical protein 0.44 1695Chaperone protein GroES 0.44 938Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase 0.46 33130S ribosomal protein S3 0.47 172Hypothetical membrane protein, fragment 0.48 32750S ribosomal protein L23 0.49 413c $1,4-\alpha$ -Glucan branching enzyme 0.49 32850S ribosomal protein L1 0.50 32650S ribosomal protein L4 0.50 1048cHypothetical protein 0.51 266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein 0.51 251Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene 0.52 33930S ribosomal protein S8 0.52	265	ABC transporter, membrane protein	0.42
1696Chaperone protein, GroEL 0.43 1624cHypothetical protein 0.44 1695Chaperone protein GroES 0.44 938Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase 0.46 33130S ribosomal protein S3 0.47 172Hypothetical membrane protein, fragment 0.48 32750S ribosomal protein L23 0.49 413c $1,4-\alpha$ -Glucan branching enzyme 0.49 32850S ribosomal protein L2 0.49 14050S ribosomal protein L11 0.50 32650S ribosomal protein L4 0.50 1048cHypothetical protein 0.51 266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein 0.51 551Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene 0.52 999cZIP metal transporter family protein, pseudogene 0.52 33930S ribosomal protein S8 0.52	1269c	Chaperone protein, DnaK (heat shock protein family 70)	0.43
1624cHypothetical protein 0.44 1695Chaperone protein GroES 0.44 938Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase 0.46 33130S ribosomal protein S3 0.47 172Hypothetical membrane protein, fragment 0.48 32750S ribosomal protein L23 0.49 413c $1,4-\alpha$ -Glucan branching enzyme 0.49 32850S ribosomal protein L2 0.49 14050S ribosomal protein L11 0.50 32650S ribosomal protein L4 0.50 1048cHypothetical protein 0.51 266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein 0.51 551Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene 0.52 999cZIP metal transporter family protein, pseudogene 0.52 33930S ribosomal protein S8 0.52	1696	Chaperone protein, GroEL	0.43
1695Chaperone protein GroES 0.44 938Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase 0.46 33130S ribosomal protein S3 0.47 172Hypothetical membrane protein, fragment 0.48 32750S ribosomal protein L23 0.49 413c $1,4-\alpha$ -Glucan branching enzyme 0.49 32850S ribosomal protein L2 0.49 14050S ribosomal protein L1 0.50 32650S ribosomal protein L4 0.50 1048cHypothetical protein 0.51 266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein 0.51 551Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene 0.52 33930S ribosomal protein S8 0.52	1624c	Hypothetical protein	0.44
938Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase 0.46 33130S ribosomal protein S3 0.47 172Hypothetical membrane protein, fragment 0.48 32750S ribosomal protein L23 0.49 413c $1,4-\alpha$ -Glucan branching enzyme 0.49 32850S ribosomal protein L1 0.50 14050S ribosomal protein L1 0.50 32650S ribosomal protein L4 0.50 1048cHypothetical protein 0.51 266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein 0.51 551Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene 0.52 999cZIP metal transporter family protein, pseudogene 0.52 33930S ribosomal protein S8 0.52	1695	Chaperone protein GroES	0.44
33130S ribosomal protein S3 0.47 172Hypothetical membrane protein, fragment 0.48 32750S ribosomal protein L23 0.49 413c $1,4-\alpha$ -Glucan branching enzyme 0.49 32850S ribosomal protein L2 0.49 14050S ribosomal protein L1 0.50 32650S ribosomal protein L4 0.50 1048cHypothetical protein 0.51 266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein 0.51 551Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene 0.52 999c30S ribosomal protein S8 0.52	938	Adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransferase	0.46
172Hypothetical membrane protein, fragment 0.48 32750S ribosomal protein L23 0.49 413c $1,4-\alpha$ -Glucan branching enzyme 0.49 32850S ribosomal protein L2 0.49 14050S ribosomal protein L1 0.50 32650S ribosomal protein L4 0.50 1048cHypothetical protein 0.51 266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein 0.51 551Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene 0.52 999c2IP metal transporter family protein S8 0.52	331	30S ribosomal protein S3	0.47
327 $50S$ ribosomal protein L23 0.49 $413c$ $1,4-\alpha$ -Glucan branching enzyme 0.49 328 $50S$ ribosomal protein L2 0.49 140 $50S$ ribosomal protein L1 0.50 326 $50S$ ribosomal protein L4 0.50 $1048c$ Hypothetical protein 0.51 266 ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein 0.51 551 Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene 0.52 $999c$ ZIP metal transporter family protein, s8 0.52	172	Hypothetical membrane protein, fragment	0.48
413c $1,4-\alpha$ -Glucan branching enzyme 0.49 32850S ribosomal protein L2 0.49 14050S ribosomal protein L11 0.50 32650S ribosomal protein L4 0.50 1048cHypothetical protein 0.51 266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein 0.51 551Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene 0.52 999cZIP metal transporter family protein, pseudogene 0.52 33930S ribosomal protein S8 0.52	327	50S ribosomal protein L23	0.49
32850S ribosomal protein L20.4914050S ribosomal protein L110.5032650S ribosomal protein L40.501048cHypothetical protein0.51266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein0.51551Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene0.52999cZIP metal transporter family protein, pseudogene0.5233930S ribosomal protein S80.52	413c	1,4-α-Glucan branching enzyme	0.49
14050S ribosomal protein L110.5032650S ribosomal protein L40.501048cHypothetical protein0.51266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein0.51551Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene0.52999cZIP metal transporter family protein, pseudogene0.5233930S ribosomal protein S80.52	328	50S ribosomal protein L2	0.49
32650S ribosomal protein L40.501048cHypothetical protein0.51266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein0.51551Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene0.52999cZIP metal transporter family protein, pseudogene0.5233930S ribosomal protein S80.52	140	50S ribosomal protein L11	0.50
1048cHypothetical protein0.51266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein0.51551Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene0.52999cZIP metal transporter family protein, pseudogene0.5233930S ribosomal protein S80.52	326	50S ribosomal protein L4	0.50
266ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein0.51551Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene0.52999cZIP metal transporter family protein, pseudogene0.5233930S ribosomal protein S80.52	1048c	Hypothetical protein	0.51
551Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene0.52999cZIP metal transporter family protein, pseudogene0.5233930S ribosomal protein S80.52	266	ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein	0.51
999cZIP metal transporter family protein, pseudogene0.5233930S ribosomal protein S80.52	551	Conserved hypothetical protein, pseudogene	0.52
339 30S ribosomal protein S8 0.52	999c	ZIP metal transporter family protein, pseudogene	0.52
	339	30S ribosomal protein S8	0.52

^a For detailed DNA microarray data, see Table S1 in the supplemental material.

^b The average fold difference indicates the gene expression level under iron-restricted conditions relative to that obtained under iron-replete conditions.

novicida U112 *figA* ORF and some flanking DNA were primer 9 (5'-CA<u>GCTA</u><u>GC</u>TGTTGAGATACTTAATTTATTTG-3') and primer 10 (5'-CT<u>GCTAGCC</u>AAAGGACCTATAGCGATAC-3'). Both primers contained an NheI site (underlined) at the 5' end, and the PCR amplicon was cloned into this site in pFNLTP-CAT to construct pKD107. Both pKD107 and pKD108 were purified from *E. coli* DH5 α and used to electroporate *F. novicida* U112 as described above. The desired transformants were selected with kanamycin (30 µg/ml) on MH+ plates. Plasmids pKD107 and pKD108 purified from *F. novicida* U112 *were* then used to electroporate the *F. novicida* U112 *figA::np-kan* mutant. Plasmid pKD107 purified from *E. coli* DH5 α mutant. Transformants were selected with chloram-phenicol (10 µg/ml) on MH+ plates.

Chrome Azurol S (CAS) assay. CAS/CDM- plates were prepared by incorporating CAS/Fe(III)/hexadecyltrimethylammonium (HDTMA) into Chamberlain's chemically defined medium (6) lacking $FeSO_4$ (CDM-). Briefly, 250 ml of sterile CAS-HDTMA solution was prepared as described by Payne (31). Four grams of the amino acid mixture (6) was mixed with 20 mg of spermine phosphate and dissolved in 150 ml of H₂O. This solution was extracted with 150 ml of 3% (wt/vol) hydroquinoline in chloroform to remove contaminating iron. Traces of residual hydroquinoline were removed by another extraction with an equal volume of chloroform. Thiamine-HCl, L-calcium pantothenate, glucose, MgSO₄, KH₂PO₄, K₂HPO₄, and NaCl were added to this deferrated amino acid solution to the final concentrations described (6). The pH of this CDM – solution was adjusted to 6.2 to 6.4 before the volume was brought to 250 ml with H₂O. The CDM – solution was then filter sterilized and warmed to 55°C. A 250-ml portion of 2% (wt/vol) agar (in water) was sterilized and cooled to 55°C. This agar solution, the CDM – solution, and 50 ml of CAS-HDTMA were mixed gently and dispensed into petri dishes. *F. tularensis* LVS and *F. novicida* U112 strains were inoculated onto the CAS-CDM – plates and incubated as described dabove for either 2 to 5 days (for *F. tularensis* LVS) or overnight (for *F. novicida* U112). Siderophore (enterobactin)-producing *E. coli* strain W3110N and its enterobactin-deficient *entF* mutant were used as positive and negative controls, respectively, in the CAS assay.

Cross-feeding experiment. F. novicida U112 and its figA mutant U112 figA::np-kan were streaked in parallel in various combinations onto both a CAS/

Schu4 ORF no.

26c

29c

27c

28c

 651^{c}

1712c

403

1699

1780

651^c

664c

583

700

445

881c

50

140

350

1695

326

Proton-dependent oligopeptide family protein

Histidine decarboxylase

Amino acid permease

Outer membrane-associated protein

ABC transporter, ATP-binding, pseudogene

DNA-directed RNA polymerase, alpha subunit

Conserved hypothetical protein

Translation initiation factor IF2

50S ribosomal protein L11

Chaperone protein, GroES

Dutative function	Fold difference ^b		$-DT DCD$ $-rimon rate (51, 21)^d$	
Putative function	Microarray	qRT-PCR	qR1-PCR primer pair (5 –5)	
Hypothetical protein (FigD)	14.8	13.4	F: AAGCCTAATGGTAGCTGGTGAATC R: TTTTGGTGAGACTCCGTAAGTTTTT	
Hypothetical protein (FigA)	19.7	24.7	F: GGCTACTAAAGACAAAACACTAATGCC R: CAGACTCAGGCGATACTGTTCTTG	
Diaminopimelate decarboxylase (FigC)	15.1	13.0	F: GGTTTAGCAGGAATAAGCCCTACTC R: TTGTCGCGGCATATAGTTCTAAATC	
Hypothetical protein (FigB)	9.9	19.1	F: GAGTTTTTTAGCTCAGACCCGATAATC R: ATCGGCGCTGAAAATAGCA	
Proton-dependent oligopeptide family protein	2.4	0.6	F: CTCGCAAAAAAGACATTAGTATAAAATTAAAT R: AAGTTGCAAATTGTCCCCAAA	
Intracellular growth locus, subunit c (IglC)	3.0	2.6	F: AAAAAGGAGAATGATTATGAGTGAGATG R: TGCAGTAGGATCAGTTCTCACATG	
Peptide deformylase	2.8	3.2	F: CAGCTATAATATTTCAGCATGAATTTAATCA R: AGCAAATTTAGCTTGTAGTTCTTCGTT	
Conserved hypothetical protein (PdpA)	2.6	2.6	F: TGAGTTAATTTCAAACTCTGCCATATC R: GTTTGGGTATATGCCATTTCACAG	
Putative transposase	1.5	1.1	F: AAGAACCGGAGATTATAGTTCAAAGC R: AAATACTGTTCAATCAATGTTTTATCGG	

1.3

1.0

0.9

0.8

0.9

0.4

0.6

0.5

0.7

0.4

TABLE 3. qRT-PCR	analysis o	of gene	expression ^a
------------------	------------	---------	-------------------------

0.7	F: CGTTGATAGTAAAACTATTGGTTTTGCA R: GGAAGAGCAACCACTTGATTTAATAGA
1.1	F: CATTTGATGGTGCTTTTCTACCG

	K: ATATICCIGAAGGCAICGGAITAC	
10	F: CAAATCTAGCAGGTCAAGCAACAG	

R: AACACTTGCTTGAACATTTCTAGATAGTTC

- 0.6 F: AAAATGGTGTTCGCCTTTTGG R: CCTTCGACATCATCTTTGTAGTCAAC
- 1.2 F: TTAGAAGAGTTTGTTGGAGGATATGATG R: ATTTTTCGACAACTCACTGTTATTTTTAGT
- 0.6 F: CCAAGGTTCAGAAATTATCGGACTA R: GTGCTTAGCGATTCTTGGCAT
- 0.8 F: TTGGCGCGTATTCTGTTAAGAC R: GCTCGCATTGAAGTAAAAGCCT
- 0.8 F: ACGCCACCTGCTTCTTACTTAATT R: CGCACGAGTTATAGTTCCAACAA
- 0.7 F: TTGACAAACCAAAGAGCATTTAGC R: TCTCAAGCTCGGTTGGTATCG
- 0.5 F: GCTCAAGAGAAACCTAGCCAAGG R: ACATCCATAGGTAGCGTAGTGCC
- 50S ribosomal protein L4 F: AGTTTCTGGTGGCGGTGC 0.5 0.7 R: GGTGAACGGATAGTACCCGCT

1696 Chaperone protein, GroEL 0.4 0.7 F: TTCAAAGACAGCGGATGTTGC R: GCGACAGCTTTTAGACCCTCTG

^a qRT-PCR for each gene was performed with two individually prepared RNA samples, each in triplicate. ^b The fold difference indicates the gene expression level under iron-restricted conditions relative to that obtained under iron-replete conditions.

^c ORF 651 in the F. tularensis Schu4 genome was found to be fragmented into two separate ORFs in the F. tularensis LVS genome by the deletion of a single nucleotide.

^d F, forward; R, reverse.



FIG. 1. Growth of *F. tularensis* LVS under iron-replete and ironrestricted conditions. *F. tularensis* LVS was grown overnight on an MH+ agar plate. For iron-replete growth (filled circles), bacteria from the plate were inoculated into 1 ml of MH+ broth and grown at 37°C overnight. This overnight culture was then used to inoculate 10 ml MH+ broth to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.05. For iron-restricted growth, the overnight culture was obtained by inoculating the bacteria from the plate into 1 ml MH– broth. This overnight culture was used to inoculate 20 ml of MH–/DF broth. When the culture reached an OD₆₀₀ of 0.4, the 20-ml MH–/DF culture was divided into two cultures of 10 ml each. Fe-PP_i was added to a final concentration of 330 μ M in one culture (filled triangles), whereas an equivalent volume of sterile H₂O was added to the other culture (open triangles).

CDM- plate and a CDM+ plate which was supplemented with FeSO₄ (2 μ g/ml) (6). These plates were incubated at 37°C for 36 h.

Intracellular growth assay. Wild-type and mutant bacterial strains were used to infect mouse macrophage cell line J774A.1 (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA) at a multiplicity of infection of ~10. Briefly, approximately 1×10^5 J774A.1 cells were seeded into the wells of a 12-well tissue culture plate containing Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Cellgro-Mediatech, Inc., Herndon, VA) supplemented with 4 mM GlutaMax (Invitrogen), 10% (vol/vol) heatinactivated FBS (HyClone, Logan, UT), and 1 mM sodium pyruvate 24 h before infection. Bacteria grown in MH- broth to an OD_{600} of 0.3 were washed with this growth medium three times and added to these monolayers (which were ~75% confluent) in triplicate. After 1 h incubation at 37°C in an atmosphere of 95% air-5% CO2, the monolayers were washed three times with tissue culture medium, gentamicin was added to a final concentration of 100 µg/ml, and the plate was incubated for 30 min to kill extracellular bacteria. After gentamicin treatment, the monolavers were washed and the macrophages were incubated for 2 to 48 h. At various time points, the tissue culture medium was removed, the monolayers were washed once with tissue culture medium, and then 0.5% (wt/ vol) octyl-\beta-D-glucopyranoside in PBS was added to lyse the macrophages. These lysates were plated onto MH+ agar to determine the number of bacteria recovered from the macrophages. The F. novicida U112 iglC mutant KKF24 $(\Delta iglC::ermC)$, which is deficient in the ability to grow intracellularly (20), was used as a negative control in these assays.

Accession number for DNA microarray data. The raw data from the DNA microarray experiments described here (Table 2) are located at the National Center for Biotechnology Information's GEO database under series accession number GSE3622.

RESULTS

Growth of *F. tularensis* LVS under iron-replete and ironrestricted conditions. To study iron-related gene regulation in *F. tularensis* LVS, it was necessary to determine growth conditions under which iron was limiting. The iron chelator DF was used to bind free ferric ions in the growth medium. In initial experiments, it was observed that *F. tularensis* LVS cells grown on MH+, when washed and inoculated into MH-/DF, con-



FIG. 2. Identification of *F. tularensis* LVS proteins with increased expression under iron-restricted conditions. *F. tularensis* LVS cells were grown to stationary phase under both iron-replete (lane 1) and iron-restricted (lane 2) conditions. Cell envelopes and whole-cell lysates were prepared as described in Materials and Methods. (A) Proteins in cell envelopes were resolved by SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue. Molecular mass standards are present in the far left lane. The black arrow indicates the IglC protein, and the white arrow indicates the PdpB protein. Proteins in cell envelopes (B) or in whole-cell lysates (C) were resolved by SDS-PAGE, electrophoretically transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, and probed in a Western blot analysis with polyclonal mouse antiserum to PdpB (B) or FigA (C).



FIG. 3. Putative Fur boxes associated with the *F. tularensis* Schu4 pdpB, iglC, and *figA* ORFs. The ClustalW alignment was accomplished with the MacVector 6.5.3 software. The consensus Fur box is outlined. Identical bases in each pair are underlined and bold; nonidentity is indicated by italics.

tinued to grow normally (data not shown). However, if these cells were first grown overnight in MH- and then subcultured into MH-/DF, both the rate and extent of growth were severely limited (Fig. 1). Confirmation that this growth restriction was iron specific was obtained by adding iron to the MH-/DF medium and showing that both the rate and extent of growth were similar to those obtained in MH+ (Fig. 1). This latter experiment was necessary because it has been reported that DF can bind other metal ions in addition to iron (5).

Cell envelope protein profiles of F. tularensis LVS grown under iron-replete and iron-restricted conditions. To identify proteins whose expression was increased under iron-restricted conditions, SDS-PAGE was used to resolve proteins in cell envelopes prepared from bacteria grown under both iron-replete (Fig. 2A, lane 1) and iron-restricted (Fig. 2A, lane 2) conditions. Two proteins, with apparent sizes of approximately 23 kDa and 140 kDa, were more abundant in envelopes from cells grown under iron-restricted conditions (Fig. 2A, lane 2, black arrow and white arrow, respectively). Mass spectrometric analysis identified the 23-kDa protein as IgIC and the 140-kDa protein as PdpB. Both the *iglC* and *pdpB* genes are located in the previously identified pathogenicity island of F. tularensis (27). Mouse antiserum raised against a PdpB peptide-KLH conjugate was used in a Western blot analysis to probe these same sets of cell envelopes, and it was found that these PdpBreactive antibodies bound a 140-kDa protein in the iron-restricted sample (Fig. 2B, lane 2) and were unreactive with the iron-replete sample (Fig. 2B, lane 1).

Identification of putative Fur boxes in *F. tularensis.* Transcription of bacterial genes involved in iron acquisition is usually controlled by the ferric uptake regulator (Fur) protein, which binds ferrous ion and then itself binds to a DNA regulatory sequence (i.e., the Fur box), causing repression of transcription. The typical Fur box is located immediately upstream



FIG. 4. F. tularensis Schu4 figA and adjacent ORFs. (A) Schematic drawing. (B) RT-PCR analysis of possible transcriptional linkage between figA and adjacent ORFs. RT-PCR was carried out as described in Materials and Methods, with oligonucleotide primer pairs spanning intergenic regions 1 (5'-GTGCTGAAATGATTGACTATAGTCTC-3' and 5'-CT TGTCATTGTTTATATTGTAGAAGAATA-3'), 2 (5'-AGTGCGATA AAGATGACATGC-3' and 5'-TAGCTGCTATGATTATAAGC-3'), 3 (5'-TATCTATTTGACCTTAAATC-3' and 5'-TGAATAATTGTTTCA ATTTG-3'), and 4 (5'-TCAATACCAAGTTCTCAGAG-3' and 5'-AC CTAAAAACCATGTTAAAAG-3'), respectively. Lanes 1, 4, 7, and 10, PCR products derived from F. tularensis LVS chromosomal DNA. The sizes of these PCR products are 547 bp (lane 1), 135 bp (lane 4), 120 bp (lane 7), and 121 bp (lane 10). Lanes 2, 5, 8, and 11, RT-PCR negative controls lacking reverse transcriptase. Lanes 3, 6, 9, and 12, products obtained when these same primers were used in an RT-PCR with F. tularensis LVS total RNA. Size markers are present on the left side of panel B.

from the iron-regulated ORF, and a 19-nt consensus Fur box has been defined (Fig. 3) (22). When the *iglC* and *pdpB* genes of F. tularensis Schu4 (19) were examined, sequences with similarity to the consensus Fur box were found (11/19 matches for *iglC* and 12/19 matches for *pdpB*) (Fig. 3). In addition, when the F. tularensis Schu4 genome was searched for consensus Fur box sequences, a number of ORFs were identified that had putative 5' untranslated regions with possible Fur boxes (data not shown). The gene whose putative Fur box had the highest level of identity (17/19 matches) with this consensus sequence was figA. Interestingly, this same region contained a second putative Fur box with a slightly lower degree of identity with the consensus sequence (Fig. 3). The figA gene is located 253 nt downstream from the fur gene in the F. tularensis Schu4 genome (Fig. 4A) and encodes a predicted 74-kDa protein with 36% identity to the predicted FrgA protein (accession number NP 819459.1) from Coxiella burnetii and 34% identity to the LbtA (1) and FrgA (16) proteins from L. pneumophila. Expression of both of these Legionella proteins has been shown to be regulated by iron (1), and an *lbtA* mutant is deficient in expression of the Legionella siderophore legiobactin (1). Regulation of expression of the F. tularensis LVS FigA protein by iron availability was confirmed by the finding that mouse antibodies raised against a FigA peptide-KLH conjugate bound a 74-kDa protein in a whole-cell lysate of iron-restricted cells (Fig. 2C, lane 2) but did not react with an equivalent whole-cell lysate of iron-replete cells (Fig. 2C, lane 1).

DNA microarray analysis of *F. tularensis* **LVS gene expression in response to iron availability.** Having both established conditions for iron-restricted growth and identified several genes which appear to be iron regulated, DNA microarray analysis was used to provide a more comprehensive picture of the effect of iron limitation on gene expression by *F. tularensis* LVS. These DNA microarrays contained probes derived from the nucleotide sequence of the *F. tularensis* Schu4 genome (19). Approximately 80 of the *F. tularensis* LVS genes were found to be either up- or down-regulated at least twofold by iron limitation (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Forty of the genes most affected by iron limitation, either positively or negatively, are listed in Table 2.

Among these genes, figA was found to be up-regulated nearly 20-fold by iron limitation. In the F. tularensis Schu4 genome, figA is located just downstream from the predicted fur gene and 5' to a set of three consecutive ORFs (figB, figC, and figD; Fig. 4A) whose expression was also up-regulated as determined by DNA microarray analysis (Table 2). The predicted protein encoded by figB in the Schu4 genome is listed as a hypothetical protein and is 31% identical to a multidrug resistance efflux pump from L. pneumophila (accession number YP_095354.1). The predicted protein encoded by the Schu4 figC gene is described as a diaminopimelate decarboxylase and has 31% identity with a diaminopimelate decarboxylase from Magnetospirillum magnetotacticum (accession number ZP 00054090.1). The protein encoded by the Schu4 figD ORF is annotated as a hypothetical protein and is 38% identical to a drug resistance transporter from L. pneumophila (accession number YP 095353.1). RT-PCR was used to determine possible transcriptional linkage of these five ORFs. RNA was isolated from the F. tularensis LVS grown in MH+ medium and reverse transcribed with four pairs of oligonucleotide primers designed to span the four intergenic regions (Fig. 4A). Positive RT-PCRs were observed for all four sets of primers (Fig. 4B), indicating that these five ORFs are likely transcribed together to yield a polycistronic mRNA in F. tularensis LVS.

Validation of DNA microarray results. The qRT-PCR method was used to validate the DNA microarray data in Table 2. Transcript levels were determined for 21 genes, including 9 whose expression, as measured by DNA microarray analysis, appeared to be up-regulated, 8 with apparent down-regulation, and 4 whose expression appeared relatively unaffected by growth under iron-limiting conditions. For most of the genes tested, the values obtained by qRT-PCR (Table 3) correlated well ($R^2 = 0.94$) with those obtained from DNA microarray analysis (Table 2).

Generation of *figA* mutants of the *F. tularensis* LVS and *F. novicida* U112. Expression of the *figA* gene was shown to be substantially increased under iron-restricted growth conditions by both DNA microarray analysis and qRT-PCR. To determine the relevance of the FigA protein to iron acquisition, *figA* deletion mutants were constructed in both *F. tularensis* LVS and *F. novicida* U112. PCR was used to amplify the *F. tularensis* LVS chromosomal DNA region immediately upstream from the *figA* gene and, separately, a small portion of the 3' end of the *figA* gene and some flanking DNA (Fig. 5A). A promoterless *kan* cartridge (26) was ligated between these two fragments to create pKD101-*np-kan* as described in Materials and Methods. This plasmid was used to electroporate both *F.*



FIG. 5. Construction and characterization of figA mutants. (A) Schematic of PCRs used to amplify and sew flanking regions of the F. tularensis LVS figA gene. The promoterless kan cartridge was ligated into this cloned PCR product at the KpnI site. (B) PCR products obtained from the chromosomal DNAs of wild-type and figA mutant strains with oligonucleotide primers (described in Results) binding 1.5 kb from the 5' and 3' ends of the figA gene. Lane 1, wild-type F. tularensis LVS; lane 2, F. tularensis LVS figA::np-kan; lane 3, wild-type F. novicida U112; lane 4, F. novicida U112 figA::np-kan. The positions of 4.0-kb and 5.0-kb size markers are indicated on the left. (C) PCR products obtained from chromosomal DNAs of wild-type and mutant strains with primers binding within the kan cartridge; lanes are the same as in panel B. The position of an 850-bp size marker is shown on the left. (D) Western blot analysis of whole-cell lysates of these wildtype and mutant strains grown in MH-/DF broth. Lanes 1 to 4 contain whole-cell lysates of the same strains as in panel B; lane 5 contains a whole-cell lysate of F. novicida U112 figA::np-kan (pKD107). The primary antibody was mouse FigA antiserum. (E) Western blot analysis of these same lysates with rat polyclonal F. tularensis LVS FopA antiserum (i.e., a loading control).

tularensis LVS and *F. novicida* U112, and kanamycin-resistant transformants were tested by colony blot analysis with the mouse antiserum to FigA. Chromosomal DNA was purified from putative *figA* mutants and tested in PCR with oligonucleotide primers 5'-GCAAAGAGTCCCAGCAACAATAA G-3' and 5'-GAATAATAGTGTTTAGAGATTGAG-3'

(which bind outside of the chromosomal DNA insert in pKD101) (Fig. 5B). A second PCR was performed with primers for the *kan* cartridge to confirm its presence in these two mutants, designated *F. tularensis* LVS *figA::np-kan* and *F. novicida* U112 *figA::np-kan* (Fig. 5C). Finally, Western blot analysis was used to confirm that these two mutants did not express FigA (Fig. 5D).

Assessment of potential siderophore production by Francisella species. The predicted FigA proteins of *F. tularensis* strains Schu4 and LVS have 34% identity with the *L. pneumophila* LbtA protein, which has been shown to be necessary for siderophore production by this pathogen (1). Although siderophore production has not been reported to date for *F. tularensis*, it was appropriate to test whether these *figA* mutants differed from their parent strains in the production of possible siderophore activity. To accomplish this, the CAS assay was used with CDM- agar plates. The CAS assay is a universal method to detect siderophores independent of their structure (39).

The CAS/CDM- agar plates were tested first with a wellstudied E. coli strain and its mutant deficient in enterobactin synthesis. E. coli W3110N produces the siderophore enterobactin, which results in removal of Fe³⁺ from the CAS/Fe(III)/ HDTMA complex and release of the free (orange) dye. Orange halos were present around each colony of E. coli W3110N grown on CAS/CDM- agar (data not shown). In contrast, the entF mutant of W3110N did not show any siderophore activity (data not shown). This CAS/CDM- medium was shown to be iron limiting for growth of F. tularensis LVS (data not shown). When wild-type F. tularensis LVS was grown on this medium, a modest orange zone appeared around the bacterial growth after 2 to 5 days (Fig. 6A, sector 1). The F. tularensis LVS *figA::np-kan* mutant formed an orange zone that appeared to be only slightly smaller (Fig. 6A, sector 2). In contrast, a much larger orange zone formed around F. novicida U112 (Fig. 6B, sector 1) after 24 h of growth and its figA mutant produced little or no orange zone (Fig. 6B, sector 2).

Complementation of the F. novicida U112 figA mutant. The significant difference in CAS test results obtained with the wild-type F. novicida U112 strain and its figA mutant facilitated subsequent complementation analysis. This was necessary to eliminate the possibility that an unlinked secondary mutation was responsible for the phenotype of the F. novicida figA mutant in the CAS assay. To accomplish this, the wild-type F. novicida figA gene was cloned into the pFNLTP-CAT vector to construct pKD107 in E. coli DH5a as described in Materials and Methods. Several attempts involving electroporation of E. coli-derived pKD107 into F. novicida U112 figA::np-kan, followed by chloramphenicol selection, were unsuccessful, so this E. coli-derived plasmid was used to electroporate the F. novi*cida* U112 wild-type strain, followed by selection with kanamycin for plasmid-containing transformants. (Kanamycin cannot be used to select this plasmid in this kanamycin-resistant mutant.) When pKD107 propagated in F. novicida U112 was used to electroporate the F. novicida U112 figA::np-kan mutant, chloramphenicol-resistant transformants containing this plasmid were obtained. This same mutant was transformed with the vector pFNLTP-CAT (propagated first in F. novicida U112). When introduced into F. novicida U112 figA::np-kan, the wild-type F. novicida figA gene in trans restored both ex-





(B)



FIG. 6. CAS assay. Wild-type *F. tularensis* LVS and *F. novicida* U112 and mutant and complemented mutant strains of both were grown on CAS/CDM– agar plates. (A) Wild-type *F. tularensis* LVS (sector 1) and *F. tularensis* LVS *figA::np-kan* (sector 2) grown for 5 days. (B) Wild-type *F. novicida* U112 (sector 1), *F. novicida* U112 *figA::np-kan* (sector 2), *F. novicida* U112 *figA::np-kan*(pKD107) (sector 3), *F. novicida* U112 *figA::np-kan*(pFNLTP-CAT) (sector 4), and *F. novicida* U112 *figA::np-kan*(pKD108) (sector 5) grown for 24 h.

pression of the FigA protein (Fig. 5D) and production of a large orange zone in the CAS assay (Fig. 6B, sector 3). Similarly, the presence of the wild-type *F. tularensis* LVS *figA* gene (in pKD108) in this *F. novicida figA* mutant also resulted in the production of a readily detectable orange zone in the CAS assay (Fig. 6B, sector 5). The presence of only the pFNLTP-CAT vector in this same mutant did not affect the phenotype of this strain in the CAS assay (Fig. 6B, sector 4).

Effect of the *figA* mutation on extracellular growth. To address whether inactivation of the *figA* gene in *F. tularensis* LVS



FIG. 7. Extracellular and intracellular growth of wild-type and mutant strains of *F. tularensis* LVS and *F. novicida* U112. For extracellular growth (A and B), bacteria were grown in both iron-replete (filled symbols) and iron-restricted (open symbols) media. The wild-type *F. tularensis* LVS strain (panel A, circles), its *figA* mutant (A, triangles), the wild-type *F. novicida* strain (B, circles), and its *figA* mutant (B, triangles) were grown as described in Materials and Methods. To assess intracellular growth (C and D), these same strains were grown in the macrophage cell line J774A.1 as described in Materials and Methods. (C) Wild-type *F. tularensis* LVS (filled columns) and its *figA* mutant (open columns). (D) Wild-type *F. novicida* (filled columns) and its *figA* mutant (open columns). These data are the means from two independent experiments. In panel D, the difference between the *F. novicida* wild-type and mutant strains was significant only at 24 h postinfection (asterisk; P = 0.0096, Student's *t* test).

and F. novicida U112 had any effect on extracellular growth, the figA mutants were compared with their respective parent strains for the ability to grow in broth medium under ironreplete and iron-restricted conditions. Chelation of free iron in MH-/DF medium was shown to inhibit growth of the F. novicida U112 wild-type strain (data not shown). However, unlike F. tularensis LVS, which had to be prestarved for iron to obtain iron-restricted growth, F. novicida U112 was more sensitive to iron limitation and did not require prestarvation in MHmedium prior to inoculation into MH-/DF. As shown in Fig. 7A and B, respectively, the F. tularensis LVS and F. novicida U112 wild-type strains and their respective figA mutants grew at similar rates and to the same extent in iron-replete medium. Similarly, both wild-type strains and their figA mutants exhibited the same degree of growth inhibition in MH-/DF medium.

When the *F. novicida* wild-type strain and its *figA* mutant, which exhibited the greatest difference in the CAS assay (Fig. 6), were inoculated separately onto CAS/CDM- agar, only the

wild-type strain exhibited abundant growth (data not shown). To determine whether the siderophore-like activity secreted by the *F. novicida* wild-type strain could enhance the ability of its *figA* mutant to grow under these iron-restricted conditions, a cross-feeding experiment was performed. When *F. novicida* U112 *figA::np-kan* (Fig. 8A, streaks 3 and 4) was streaked in parallel on a CAS/CDM- plate, this mutant grew very poorly compared to this same mutant (Fig. 8B, streaks 3 and 4) streaked in parallel on CDM+ medium. In contrast, when this *figA* mutant (Fig. 8A, streak 2) was streaked alongside the *F. novicida* wild-type strain (Fig. 8A, streak 1), this mutant apparently grew as well as the wild-type strain. These results indicated that the siderophore-like activity evidenced by *F. novicida* U112 in the CAS assay was able to enhance the growth of its *figA* mutant under iron-restricted conditions.

Effect of the *figA* **mutation on intracellular growth.** The intracellular growth abilities of these wild-type and mutant strains were compared in mouse macrophage cell line J774A.1. Over the 48-h experimental period postinfection, there was no



FIG. 8. Cross-feeding of the *F. novicida figA* mutant by the *F. novicida* U112 wild-type (w.t.) strain. The *F. novicida* U112 wild-type strain (streak 1) and its *figA* mutant (streaks 2, 3, and 4) were inoculated onto both CAS/CDM- (A) and CDM+ (B) agar plates and grown for 36 h.

significant difference between wild-type *F. tularensis* LVS and its *figA* mutant (Fig. 7C). In contrast, the *F. novicida* U112 *figA* mutant appeared to grow less well in these macrophages although the difference was statistically significant only at the 24-h time point (Fig. 7D).

DISCUSSION

In marked contrast to the abundance of information about iron acquisition by both enteric and nonenteric gram-negative pathogens, the data available concerning iron uptake by F. tularensis are rudimentary at best. Moreover, much of the published data on this subject date back more than 4 decades. Studies by early workers indicated that in vitro growth of small inocula of the virulent SCHU-S4 strain of F. tularensis was stimulated by ferric chloride, ferric sulfate (41), and two ferric hydroxamates, ferrichrome and ferrioxamine B (14). In addition, F. tularensis has been shown to produce a growth-stimulating compound whose function could be at least partially replaced by iron salts or siderophores (14). This latter finding raised the possibility that F. tularensis could produce some type of siderophore. Other facultative intracellular pathogens, including Y. pestis (32) and Mycobacterium species (18), have been shown to produce siderophores. Moreover, L. pneumo*phila*, long thought to be unable to produce a siderophore, was recently shown to release an iron chelator into culture supernatant fluid under certain growth conditions (23) and gene products involved in the expression of this siderophore have been identified (1).

In the present study, a combination of genomic screening, DNA microarray technology, and mutant analysis was used to identify *F. tularensis* genes encoding products whose expression was affected by iron limitation. Prior to this study, there was a single published report in which a rifampin-resistant mutant of the *F. tularensis* LVS was grown under conditions of limited iron availability (2). These authors subjected this organism to a number of stresses, including increased temperature, low pH,

and iron limitation, independently. SDS-PAGE analysis of cell envelopes obtained from these bacteria grown under ironreplete and iron-restricted conditions showed apparent increases in the expression of a few proteins, but these proteins were not identified (2).

To obtain conditions under which iron was the limiting factor for growth, F. tularensis LVS had to be starved for iron by growth in MH- broth overnight prior to inoculation into MH-/DF medium. Interestingly, prestarvation of F. novicida was not necessary to achieve iron-limited growth, a result which suggests that these two Francisella species may have different iron storage capabilities. Under iron-limiting conditions, a few F. tularensis LVS proteins detectable in cell envelope preparations were apparently up-regulated and at least two of these (IglC and PdpB) were identified by mass spectrometry. Examination of the nucleotide sequence of the iglC and pdpB genes in the F. tularensis Schu4 genome (19) revealed the presence of putative Fur boxes immediately upstream of both of these ORFs (Fig. 3). Both *iglC* and *pdpB* are located in possible operons (*iglABCD* and *pdpAB*, respectively) in the Schu4 genome, and examination of the nucleotide sequences immediately upstream from both iglA and pdpA also showed the presence of putative Fur boxes (data not shown). When the consensus Fur box sequence was used to search the entire Schu4 genome, the putative Fur box that had the highest degree of identity (17/19) with the consensus sequence was located in front of the figA gene and overlapped with a second predicted Fur box. In L. pneumophila, the iron-regulated gene *lbtA*, which encodes a protein that may be functionally similar to FigA, also has two overlapping Fur boxes (1).

Subsequent DNA microarray analysis confirmed that expression of the *figA* gene, as well as that of about 80 other *F*. *tularensis* LVS ORFs, was up- or down-regulated at least two-fold by growth under iron-restricted conditions (Table S1 in the supplemental material). These iron-regulated ORFs included both the *iglC* and *pdpB* genes previously shown by protein analysis to be up-regulated under these growth condi-

tions (Fig. 2). Genes that were repressed under iron starvation were mainly those involved in protein synthesis (Table 2). These DNA microarray data were verified for several ORFs by the use of qRT-PCR (Table 3). The genes located in the recently identified F. tularensis pathogenicity island involved in intracellular growth (27), including pdpA, pdpB, pdpC, iglA, *iglB*, *iglC*, and *iglD*, were all up-regulated at least twofold under iron-restricted conditions (Table S1 in the supplemental material). It is possible that, under this iron-restricted growth condition in vitro, the bacteria may sense an environment similar to that encountered within macrophages. The relatively low concentrations of iron encountered in the host cell may function as a signal to the bacterium to increase the production of virulence or survival factors that would be beneficial in this location. It must be noted that MgIA has been shown to be a transcriptional activator for these genes (21), and additional studies are necessary to determine the relative importance of iron limitation in affecting expression of these genes.

Among the genes that were up-regulated by iron restriction, the tightly linked *figABCD* genes had the greatest increases in expression as assessed by both DNA microarray and qRT-PCR analyses. The *figA* gene was found to be located \sim 250 nt downstream from the predicted *fur* gene in the Schu4 genome. The *figABCD* ORFs, together with the predicted *fur* gene, likely constitute an operon on the basis of RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 4). Interestingly, expression of the *fur* gene was apparently not affected substantially by iron limitation (Table S1 in the supplemental material). Exactly how *fur* and these other four genes are differentially regulated by iron restriction remains to be determined.

F. tularensis LVS and F. novicida U112 figA mutants were constructed with a kanamycin resistance cartridge (26) designed to prevent or minimize polar effects on downstream ORFs. Under iron-restricted conditions in broth, these two figA mutants grew at a rate and to a final density that were similar, if not identical, to those obtained with the respective wild-type parent strains (Fig. 7). The intracellular growth ability of the F. tularensis LVS figA mutant was the same as that of its parent wild-type strain, whereas the figA mutant of F. novicida seemed to be slightly deficient in the ability to grow in macrophages (Fig. 7). Further investigation of these mutants did reveal a striking difference between the F. novicida U112 figA mutant and its wild-type parent strain in an assay originally designed to detect siderophore production by enteric bacteria (31). Interestingly, an L. pneumophila mutant unable to express the LbtA protein, which resembles FigA, was also deficient in siderophore production as assessed in a CAS-based assay (1). A difference between the F. tularensis LVS wild-type strain and its figA mutant (Fig. 6A) was detected in the CAS assay but was very modest compared to that observed with the F. novicida wild-type-mutant pair. This latter pair of strains was also used in a cross-feeding experiment (Fig. 8) which demonstrated that the siderophore-like activity released by F. novicida in the CAS assay could enhance the growth of the F. novicida figA mutant under these iron-restricted conditions on CAS/CDM- agar.

The role of the FigA protein in the CAS plate phenotype was confirmed by the use of complementation analysis (Fig. 6B). When the cloned *F. novicida figA* gene in pKD107 was expressed in the *F. tularensis* LVS *figA* mutant, this comple-

mentation in *trans* did not increase the reactivity of this mutant in the CAS assay even though the FigA protein could be detected by Western blot analysis (data not shown). However, when we cloned and expressed the wild-type *F. tularensis* LVS *figA* gene in the *F. novicida figA* mutant, this recombinant did express a readily detectable reaction zone on this same medium (Fig. 6B). Taken together, these results raise the possibility that the very weak reactivity of the wild-type *F. tularensis* LVS in the CAS assay is the result of a secondary mutation elsewhere in the genome that affects the synthesis or release of the siderophore-like activity and is not caused by a nonfunctional *F. tularensis* LVS FigA protein. It is also noteworthy that expression of the cloned *F. novicida figA* gene in *F. novicida* was subject to regulation by iron availability (data not shown).

This study provides the first identification of iron-regulated genes in *F. tularensis* LVS and evidence for the production of a siderophore-like molecule by *F. novicida* U112. Whether this siderophore-like molecule, the FigA protein, or both are necessary for virulence expression by *F. tularensis* remains to be determined.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by U.S. Public Health Service grant PO1 AI55637.

We thank David Rasko for assistance with bioinformatics, Patrick Conley and Michael Norgard for providing rat antiserum to the FopA protein, Wei Wang for assistance with DNA microarray analysis, Simon Daefler for instruction in the use of the macrophage infection assay, Bruce Green for supplying the $\Delta Ecat$ cartridge, Shelley Payne for providing *E. coli* W3110N and its *entF* mutant, Thomas Zahrt for providing plasmid pFNLTP6, and Karl Klose for providing the *F. novicida* U112 *iglC* mutant.

REFERENCES

- Allard, K. A., V. K. Viswanathan, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2006. *lbtA* and *lbtB* are required for production of the *Legionella pneumophila* siderophore legiobactin. J. Bacteriol. 188:1351–1363.
- Bhatnagar, N. B., K. L. Elkins, and A. H. Fortier. 1995. Heat stress alters the virulence of a rifampin-resistant mutant of *Francisella tularensis* LVS. Infect. Immun. 63:154–159.
- Blick, R. J., A. T. Revel, and E. J. Hansen. 2003. FindGDPs: identification of primers for labeling microbial transcriptomes for DNA microarray analysis. Bioinformatics 19:1718–1719.
- Braun, V. 2001. Iron uptake mechanisms and their regulation in pathogenic bacteria. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 291:67–79.
- Brown, D. M., V. Stone, P. Findlay, W. MacNee, and K. Donaldson. 2000. Increased inflammation and intracellular calcium caused by ultrafine carbon black is independent of transition metals or other soluble components. Occup. Environ. Med. 57:685–691.
- Chamberlain, R. E. 1965. Evaluation of live tularemia vaccine prepared in a chemically defined medium. Appl. Microbiol. 13:232–235.
- Cronquist, S. D. 2004. Tularemia: the disease and the weapon. Dermatol. Clin. 22:313–320.
- Crosa, J. H., A. R. Mey, and S. M. Payne. 2004. Iron transport in bacteria. ASM Press, Washington, D.C.
- Delcher, A. L., D. Harmon, S. Kasif, O. White, and S. L. Salzberg. 1999. Improved microbial gene identification with GLIMMER. Nucleic Acids Res. 27:4636–4641.
- Deng, K., J. L. Latimer, D. A. Lewis, and E. J. Hansen. 2001. Investigation of the interaction among the components of the cytolethal distending toxin of *Haemophilus ducrevi*. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 285:609–615.
- Elkins, K. L., S. C. Cowley, and C. M. Bosio. 2003. Innate and adaptive immune responses to an intracellular bacterium, Francisella tularensis live vaccine strain. Microbes Infect. 5:135–142.
- Forsman, M., G. Sandstrom, and A. Sjostedt. 1994. Analysis of 16S ribosomal DNA sequences of *Francisella* strains and utilization for determination of the phylogeny of the genus and for identification of strains by PCR. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 44:38–46.
- Gray, C. G., S. C. Cowley, K. K. Cheung, and F. E. Nano. 2002. The identification of five genetic loci of *Francisella novicida* associated with intracellular growth. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 215:53–56.
- 14. Halmann, M., and J. Mager. 1967. An endogenously produced substance

essential for growth initiation of *Pasteurella tularensis*. J. Gen. Microbiol. **49**:461–468.

- Hansen, E. J., J. L. Latimer, S. E. Thomas, M. Helminen, W. L. Albritton, and J. D. Radolf. 1992. Use of electroporation to construct isogenic mutants of *Haemophilus ducreyi*. J. Bacteriol. 174:5442–5449.
- Hickey, E. K., and N. P. Cianciotto. 1997. An iron- and fur-repressed Legionella pneumophila gene that promotes intracellular infection and encodes a protein with similarity to the Escherichia coli aerobactin synthetases. Infect. Immun. 65:133–143.
- Hollis, D. G., R. E. Weaver, A. G. Steigerwalt, J. D. Wenger, C. W. Moss, and D. J. Brenner. 1989. *Francisella philomiragia* comb. nov. (formerly *Yersinia philomiragia*) and *Francisella tularensis* biogroup novicida (formerly *Francisella novicida*) associated with human disease. J. Clin. Microbiol. 27:1601– 1608.
- Lane, S. J., P. S. Marshall, R. J. Upton, C. Ratledge, and M. Ewing. 1995. Novel extracellular mycobactins, the carboxymycobactins from *Mycobacterium avium*. Tetrahedron Lett. 36:4129–4132.
- Larsson, P., P. C. Oyston, P. Chain, M. C. Chu, M. Duffield, H. H. Fuxelius, E. Garcia, G. Halltorp, D. Johansson, K. E. Isherwood, P. D. Karp, E. Larsson, Y. Liu, S. Michell, J. Prior, R. Prior, S. Malfatti, A. Sjostedt, K. Svensson, N. Thompson, L. Vergez, J. K. Wagg, B. W. Wren, L. E. Lindler, S. G. Andersson, M. Forsman, and R. W. Titball. 2005. The complete genome sequence of *Francisella tularensis*, the causative agent of tularemia. Nat. Genet. 37:153–159.
- Lauriano, C. M., J. R. Barker, F. E. Nano, B. P. Arulanandam, and K. E. Klose. 2003. Allelic exchange in *Francisella tularensis* using PCR products. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 229:195–202.
- Lauriano, C. M., J. R. Barker, S. S. Yoon, F. E. Nano, B. P. Arulanandam, D. J. Hassett, and K. E. Klose. 2004. MgIA regulates transcription of virulence factors necessary for *Francisella tularensis* intraamoebae and intramacrophage survival. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101:4246–4249.
- Lavrrar, J. L., and M. A. McIntosh. 2003. Architecture of a fur binding site: a comparative analysis. J. Bacteriol. 185:2194–2202.
- Liles, M. R., T. A. Scheel, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2000. Discovery of a nonclassical siderophore, legiobactin, produced by strains of *Legionella pneumophila*. J. Bacteriol. 182:749–757.
- Liu, S., D. S. Thaler, and A. Libchaber. 2002. Signal and noise in bridging PCR. BMC Biotechnol. 2:13.
- Maier, T. M., A. Havig, M. Casey, F. E. Nano, D. W. Frank, and T. C. Zahrt. 2004. Construction and characterization of a highly efficient *Francisella* shuttle plasmid. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **70**:7511–7519.
- Menard, R., P. J. Sansonetti, and C. Parsot. 1993. Nonpolar mutagenesis of the *ipa* genes defines IpaB, IpaC, and IpaD as effectors of *Shigella flexneri* entry into epithelial cells. J. Bacteriol. 175:5899–5906.
- 27. Nano, F. E., N. Zhang, S. C. Cowley, K. E. Klose, K. K. Cheung, M. J.

Editor: J. L. Flynn

Roberts, J. S. Ludu, G. W. Letendre, A. I. Meierovics, G. Stephens, and K. L. Elkins. 2004. A *Francisella tularensis* pathogenicity island required for intramacrophage growth. J. Bacteriol. 186:6430–6436.

- Oyston, P. C., A. Sjostedt, and R. W. Titball. 2004. Tularaemia: bioterrorism defence renews interest in *Francisella tularensis*. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2:967– 978.
- Paabo, S., D. M. Irwin, and A. C. Wilson. 1990. DNA damage promotes jumping between templates during enzymatic amplification. J. Biol. Chem. 265:4718–4721.
- Patrick, C. C., A. Kimura, M. A. Jackson, L. Hermanstorfer, A. Hood, G. H. McCracken, Jr., and E. J. Hansen. 1987. Antigenic characterization of the oligosaccharide portion of the lipooligosaccharide of nontypable *Haemophilus influenzae*. Infect. Immun. 55:2902–2911.
- Payne, S. M. 1994. Detection, isolation, and characterization of siderophores. Methods Enzymol. 235:329–344.
- Perry, R. D., P. B. Balbo, H. A. Jones, J. D. Fetherston, and E. DeMoll. 1999. Yersiniabactin from *Yersinia pestis*: biochemical characterization of the siderophore and its role in iron transport and regulation. Microbiology 145(Pt. 5):1181–1190.
- Petersen, J. M., and M. E. Schriefer. 2005. Tularemia: emergence/re-emergence. Vet. Res. 36:455–467.
- Rodriguez, G. M., and I. Smith. 2003. Mechanisms of iron regulation in mycobacteria: role in physiology and virulence. Mol. Microbiol. 47:1485– 1494.
- Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 36. Santic, M., M. Molmeret, K. E. Klose, S. Jones, and Y. A. Kwaik. 2005. The *Francisella tularensis* pathogenicity island protein IgIC and its regulator MgIA are essential for modulating phagosome biogenesis and subsequent bacterial escape into the cytoplasm. Cell. Microbiol. 7:969–979.
- Saslaw, S., H. T. Eigelsbach, J. A. Prior, H. E. Wilson, and S. Carhart. 1961. Tularemia vaccine study. II. Respiratory challenge. Arch. Intern. Med. 107: 134–146.
- Saslaw, S., H. T. Eigelsbach, H. E. Wilson, J. A. Prior, and S. Carhart. 1961. Tularemia vaccine study. I. Intracutaneous challenge. Arch. Intern. Med. 107:121–133.
- Schwyn, B., and J. B. Neilands. 1987. Universal chemical assay for the detection and determination of siderophores. Anal. Biochem. 160:47–56.
- Sjostedt, A. 2005. Intracellular survival mechanisms of *Francisella tularensis*, a stealth pathogen. Microbes. Infect. 8:561–567.
- Tresselt, H. B., and M. K. Ward. 1964. Blood-free medium for the rapid growth of *Pasteurella tularensis*. Appl. Microbiol. 12:504–507.
- Weinberg, E. D. 1993. The iron-withholding defense system. ASM News 59:559–562.