

Research article

Open Access

Endemic cryptosporidiosis and exposure to municipal tap water in persons with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS): A case-control study

Tomás J Aragón*^{1,3}, Suzanne Novotny³, Wayne Enanoria^{2,3}, Duc J Vugia^{4,5}, Asheena Khalakdina^{2,3} and Mitchell H Katz^{1,3}

Address: ¹Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, and of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, USA, ²Division of Public Health Biology and Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, California, USA, ³San Francisco Department of Public Health, City and County of San Francisco, USA, ⁴California Emerging Infections Program, Oakland, California, USA and ⁵Division of Communicable Disease Control, California Department of Health Services, Berkeley, California, USA

Email: Tomás J Aragón* - aragon@itsa.ucsf.edu; Suzanne Novotny - slea04@cs.com; Wayne Enanoria - enanoria@uclink.berkeley.edu; Duc J Vugia - dvugia@dhs.ca.gov; Asheena Khalakdina - asheena@socrates.berkeley.edu; Mitchell H Katz - mkatz@sfdph.org

* Corresponding author

Published: 6 January 2003

Received: 29 October 2002

BMC Public Health 2003, 3:2

Accepted: 6 January 2003

This article is available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/3/2>

© 2003 Aragón et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article: verbatim copying and redistribution of this article are permitted in all media for any purpose, provided this notice is preserved along with the article's original URL.

Abstract

Background: In persons with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), *Cryptosporidium parvum* causes a prolonged, severe diarrheal illness to which there is no effective treatment, and the risk of developing cryptosporidiosis from drinking tap water in non-outbreak settings remains uncertain. To test the hypothesis that drinking tap water was associated with developing cryptosporidiosis, we conducted a matched case-control study among persons with AIDS in San Francisco.

Methods: Among patients reported to the San Francisco AIDS Registry from May 1996 through September 1998, we compared patients who developed cryptosporidiosis to those who did not. Cases were individually matched to controls based on age, sex, race/ethnicity, CD4⁺ T lymphocyte count, date of CD4⁺ count, and date of case diagnosis. Population attributable fractions (PAFs) were calculated.

Results: The study consisted of 49 cases and 99 matched controls. In the multivariable analysis with adjustments for confounders, tap water consumption inside and outside the home at the highest exposure categories was associated with the occurrence of cryptosporidiosis (inside the home: odds ratio (OR), 6.76; 95% CI 1.37–33.5, and outside the home: OR 3.16; 95% CI 1.23–8.13). The PAF was 85%; that is, the proportion of cases of cryptosporidiosis in San Francisco AIDS patients attributable to tap water consumption could have been as high as 85%.

Conclusions: Although the results from this observational study cannot be considered definitive, until there is more data, we recommend persons with AIDS, especially those with compromised immune systems, consider avoiding tap water.

Background

Since human infection with *Cryptosporidium parvum* was

first documented in 1976 [1], this protozoan parasite has been recognized worldwide as a major cause of diarrheal

disease in humans [2]. In healthy, immunocompetent persons, *C. parvum* causes a self-limited diarrheal illness (cryptosporidiosis); however, in persons with severe immunosuppression, particularly those infected with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and who have developed acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), the diarrhea can be prolonged, severe, and life-threatening [3,4]. Currently there are no effective chemotherapeutic agents against cryptosporidiosis [5]. *Cryptosporidium* oocysts are found in the feces of infected humans, livestock, and wild animals [6]. Humans acquire the infection through person-to-person or animal-to-person contact, ingestion of fecally-contaminated water or food, or contact with fecally-contaminated environmental surfaces [7].

Cryptosporidium oocysts have an ubiquitous geographic distribution and can be detected in most surface drinking water sources [8]. The oocysts are resistant to environmental degradation [9], survive chlorination water treatment, and are too small (4 to 6 microns) to be removed by conventional water filters [6]. Risk factors for acquiring cryptosporidiosis have been determined primarily in studies of community-wide outbreaks, and drinking water has been implicated as the source of these outbreaks [9–14]. Case-control studies in AIDS patients have also been conducted during outbreaks [12,15], but levels of endemic risk in the United States remain uncertain, even though there is great concern for diarrheal disease associated with drinking water in this population [16,17]. Because defining the risk associated with drinking tap water is particularly important for counseling immunocompromised patients on reducing their risk of cryptosporidiosis, we conducted a case-control study among persons with AIDS in San Francisco to test the hypothesis that the pattern of tap water consumption is associated with the development of endemic cryptosporidiosis.

Methods

Study design

We conducted a matched case-control study. Among patients reported to the San Francisco AIDS Registry from May 1996 through September 1998, we compared patients who developed cryptosporidiosis to those who did not. We evaluated the hypothesis that cryptosporidiosis among AIDS patients would be associated with the pattern of tap water consumption after adjusting for potential confounders in a multivariable conditional logistic regression model. The study was not designed to assess whether point of use filtering, boiling, or other tap water processing among tap water consumers was protective. Therefore, for this study, tap water was defined as municipal water from the faucet whether or not it was filtered, boiled or processed further.

The Committee on Human Research and the Biosafety Committee of the University of California at San Francisco approved this study protocol.

Study subjects

The study population consisted of individuals with an AIDS-defining diagnosis living in San Francisco, California. The San Francisco Department of Public Health (SFDPH) maintains a reporting system on cases of HIV/AIDS residing in San Francisco. Records are regularly updated with CD4⁺ T lymphocyte count and diagnosis of conditions associated with HIV/AIDS, such as cryptosporidiosis. The completeness of reporting AIDS cases in San Francisco has been 97% overall: 100% from hospitals, 96% from clinics, and 70% from private physicians [18].

A case was defined as a resident of San Francisco with AIDS who was reported to the San Francisco Department of Public Health with a laboratory-confirmed, positive stool test for *Cryptosporidium parvum* from May 1996 through September 1998. Cases could have cryptosporidiosis diagnosed as an initial AIDS-defining opportunistic infection or as a subsequent opportunistic infection. A control was defined as any resident of San Francisco who was reported with AIDS to the San Francisco Department of Public Health and did not have a laboratory-confirmed diagnosis of cryptosporidiosis. For cases and controls we collected information on date of birth, race/ethnicity, gender, month and year of the diagnosis of cryptosporidiosis, all CD4⁺ T lymphocyte counts recorded and the date of each test. For each case, we determined the CD4⁺ count closest to the date of cryptosporidiosis diagnosis.

Cases and controls were matched based on five criteria: age (within 5 years), sex, race/ethnicity, CD4⁺ T lymphocyte count (+/- 25 points) and date of CD4⁺ count (within 2 months of the case's CD4⁺ count that was closest to the date of diagnosis), and date of case diagnosis. Controls who were participating in other studies conducted by the San Francisco Department of Public Health were not eligible for this study. In addition, we excluded eligible controls who had a history of psychiatric illness or psychosis. Controls were tested for subclinical disease by testing stool for *Cryptosporidium*. Three documented negative stool samples defined a potential control for this study. No potential controls were positive on stool testing. One to three controls were matched to each case.

The primary health care providers of the cases and controls were asked for permission to solicit the participation of their patients in this study. Cases and controls were initially contacted by phone at home between 9:00 am and 8:00 pm. If three calls were unsuccessful, up to two written solicitations were sent. The questionnaire was

administered by telephone in English or Spanish after verbal consent was obtained. Controls were sent a package containing stool collection vials and instructions for collection and mailing of samples. Controls received a payment of \$50 after SFDPH received written consent. Cases received a payment of \$25 for participation in this study.

Exposure measurement

The questionnaire was designed to assess the relative importance of several risk factors for cryptosporidiosis in the recent past. Cases were asked about water exposures in the four-week period before the onset of diarrhea. Controls were asked about water exposures in the four-week period before the interview. The interviewer requested information about sources of exposure to water. Respondents were asked whether they always, sometimes, or never drank tap water inside and outside the home (such as workplace or restaurants). They were asked to reply (yes or no) to the use of tap water for brushing teeth, washing vegetables, consuming beverages containing ice made from tap water both inside and outside the home. Respondents were asked the type of drinking water consumed while traveling outside San Francisco, whether they used hot tubs, swam in lakes or streams, or had other recreational exposure in the four weeks prior to diarrhea (for cases) or interview (for controls). Our primary exposure variable was the pattern of tap water consumption (always, sometimes, never) whether or not the water was processed further.

We asked about the pattern of bottled water consumption inside and outside the home. Responses to cleaning vegetables with tap water (yes, no) and consumption of unpasteurized milk (yes, no) were also obtained. Other sources of cryptosporidiosis infection were assessed, such as potential exposure to fecal material from sexual behavior, diapers, patient care, or animals. Subjects were asked about recent travel outside of San Francisco.

San Francisco's municipal water system distributes water that is either filtered or unfiltered at the treatment facilities. The water distribution varies both geographically and temporally depending on the operational requirements of the water system. Respondents' residential address was used to determine whether, 4 weeks prior to the case's illness, their residence received municipal water that was either filtered, mixed, or unfiltered. Addresses and interview dates were provided to the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Water Quality Bureau to classify this exposure. This analysis was added after study completion and was not a primary hypothesis.

Statistical analysis

For the sample size calculations we estimated that our study would have required 200 cases and 400 controls to

detect an odds ratio of 3 with a Type I error of 0.05% and 80% power. However, because the number of cases occurring decreased dramatically we extended our study period to accrue more study subjects. At the end of the study we had 49 cases and 99 matched controls.

Bivariate analyses of associations with cryptosporidiosis infection were performed for water exposure variables, fecal exposure variables, and other possible exposures using conditional logistic regression. The matched odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) are reported.

Risk factors for cryptosporidiosis were determined a priori. Composite variables were developed for three major exposure routes (tap water, bottled water, fecal matter). For each we constructed three levels of exposure: lowest, intermediate, and highest. For example, subjects who answered "never" to drinking tap water at home and also answered "never" to drinking tap water outside the home were categorized as "lowest" exposure for the tap water composite variable. Subjects who responded "always" to both questions were classified as "highest" exposure, and a mixed response resulted in an intermediate exposure classification. A similar composite variable was created from bottled water consumed at home and outside the home. A fecal exposure composite variable was created from two questions: sex partner with diarrhea, and touching anything soiled with feces or helping someone with toileting. If respondents answered "yes" to having either exposure, they were classified as having been exposed to fecal material.

A composite variable was created for recreational water exposure, i.e., exposure to pools, lakes, rivers, streams, or oceans. If respondents ingested water from any of these bodies of water or submerged their heads under the water, then they were classified as having been exposed to a recreational body of water that could have put them at risk for cryptosporidiosis.

To examine the independent association between the pattern of tap water consumption and cryptosporidiosis infection, while adjusting for other potential sources of cryptosporidial infection, adjusted, matched odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were calculated using multivariable conditional logistic regression models.

Population attributable fraction

The population attributable fraction (PAF) is the theoretical proportion of disease cases that would have been prevented had a specific exposure never occurred, assuming the exposure is causal [19]. We calculated the proportion of cryptosporidiosis cases theoretically attributable to various water exposures from the results of the multivariable

Table 1: Characteristics of cryptosporidiosis cases and matched controls

| Characteristic | Cases (n = 49) | | Controls (n = 99) | | Total |
|--------------------|----------------|------|-------------------|------|-------|
| | N | (%) | N | (%) | |
| Age (years) | | | | | |
| 20 to 29 | 7 | (14) | 9 | (9) | 16 |
| 30 to 39 | 22 | (45) | 53 | (54) | 75 |
| 40 to 49 | 16 | (33) | 31 | (31) | 47 |
| 50 to 59 | 4 | (8) | 6 | (6) | 10 |
| Gender | | | | | |
| Males | 48 | (98) | 97 | (98) | 145 |
| Females | 1 | (2) | 2 | (2) | 3 |
| Race/ethnicity | | | | | |
| White | 34 | (69) | 70 | (71) | 104 |
| African-American | 6 | (12) | 11 | (11) | 17 |
| Latino | 9 | (18) | 8 | (8) | 27 |
| Other | 0 | (0) | 0 | (0) | 0 |
| Sexual orientation | | | | | |
| Gay/Bisexual | 45 | (92) | 93 | (94) | 138 |
| Heterosexual | 1 | (2) | 6 | (6) | 7 |
| Unknown | 3 | (6) | 0 | (0) | 3 |

conditional logistic regression models using PAF methods for case-control studies [20].

All analyses were conducted in S-Plus [21]. For one bivariate analysis where cells contained zero, unmatched, median-unbiased odds ratios and exact 95% confidence intervals were calculated from the mid-p function as described by Rothman [22].

Results

During the study period, 72 cases from the AIDS Registry were identified for possible inclusion in the study; of these, 3 persons moved out of jurisdiction, 1 was deceased at the time of contact, 5 were ineligible for the study (2 did not have AIDS, 2 did not have cryptosporidiosis, and 1 was diagnosed with cryptosporidiosis outside the study period), 1 was lost to follow-up, 11 did not respond to repeated attempts for recruitment, and 2 individuals refused. The remaining 49 cases constituted the case sample.

Using pairwise matching criteria, 168 eligible controls were identified from the AIDS registry. From these, 10 individuals were not contacted because the primary care provider did not grant permission, 14 individuals moved out of jurisdiction, 1 was deceased, 14 were ineligible, 7 were lost to follow-up, 16 did not respond to repeated attempts to be contacted, 5 were not needed for the study because we had a sufficient number of controls per case, and 2 individuals refused. The remaining 99 controls constituted the control sample.

The demographic characteristics of the cases and controls are shown in Table 1. The study population consisted of

49 cases, each matched by date of case diagnosis, CD4+ count, age, ethnicity, and gender to 1 to 3 controls for a total of 99 controls. Seventy-eight percent of cases and 85% of controls were between the ages 30 to 49. Ninety-eight percent of subjects were male, 70% were white, 11% were African American, and 18% were Latino. Ninety-two percent of cases and 94% of controls were gay/bisexual.

The unadjusted, matched odds ratios for the occurrence of cryptosporidiosis according to exposure to water consumption sources are shown in Table 2. Compared to "never" drinking tap water inside or outside the home (lowest exposure), cryptosporidiosis was associated with the intermediate exposure category of tap water consumption (OR, 7.1; 95% CI, 1.59–31.4) and strongly associated with the highest category of tap water consumption (OR, 24.3; 95% CI, 4.21–139). Exposure to tap water consumption at home accounted for most of this effect (sometimes category: OR, 9.54; 95% CI, 2.06–44.1) and (always category: OR, 9.78; 95% CI, 2.14–44.7). The pattern of always drinking bottled water in the home had a strong negative association with cryptosporidiosis (always category: OR, 0.09; 95% CI, 0.03–0.37). Always drinking bottled water was strongly correlated with not drinking tap water: Of 21 responses of always drinking bottled water in the home, only 6 (9.2%) reported sometimes drinking tap water and 0 (0%) reported always drinking tap water in the home. Of 65 responses of always drinking bottled water outside the home, only 1 (1.5%) reported sometimes drinking tap water and 0 (0%) reported always drinking tap water outside the home.

Table 2: Unadjusted, matched odds ratios for the occurrence of cryptosporidiosis among patients with AIDS according to exposure to drinking water sources

| Variable | Cases (n = 49) | | Controls (n = 99) | | Odds Ratio | 95% CI |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|------|-------------------|------|-------------------|------------|
| | N | (%) | N | (%) | | |
| Tap water at home | | | | | | |
| Never | 2 | (4) | 31 | (31) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Sometimes | 20 | (41) | 30 | (30) | 9.54 | 2.06, 44.1 |
| Always | 27 | (55) | 38 | (38) | 9.78 | 2.14, 44.7 |
| Tap water outside home | | | | | | |
| Never | 29 | (59) | 81 | (82) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Sometimes | 4 | (8) | 8 | (8) | 2.00 | 0.53, 7.50 |
| Always | 16 | (33) | 10 | (10) | 4.20 | 1.76, 10.0 |
| Tap water composite ^a | | | | | | |
| Lowest exposure | 2 | (4) | 29 | (29) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Intermediate exposure | 35 | (71) | 64 | (65) | 7.08 | 1.59, 31.4 |
| Highest exposure | 12 | (24) | 6 | (6) | 24.3 | 4.21, 139 |
| Bottled water at home | | | | | | |
| Never | 25 | (51) | 38 | (38) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Sometimes | 24 | (49) | 40 | (40) | 0.81 | 0.37, 1.76 |
| Always | 0 | (0) | 21 | (21) | 0.09 ^c | 0.03, 0.37 |
| Bottled water outside home | | | | | | |
| Never | 17 | (35) | 36 | (36) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Sometimes | 10 | (20) | 20 | (20) | 0.97 | 0.28, 3.40 |
| Always | 22 | (45) | 43 | (43) | 0.75 | 0.28, 2.06 |
| Bottled water composite ^b | | | | | | |
| Lowest exposure | 11 | (22) | 17 | (17) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Intermediate exposure | 38 | (78) | 70 | (71) | 0.66 | 0.26, 1.68 |
| Highest exposure | 0 | (0) | 12 | (12) | 0.17 ^c | 0.06, 0.83 |
| Filtered municipal water | | | | | | |
| Filtered | 27 | (55) | 51 | (52) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Mixed | 14 | (29) | 37 | (37) | 0.75 | 0.35, 1.58 |
| Unfiltered | 8 | (16) | 11 | (11) | 1.36 | 0.44, 4.16 |

^aLowest = answered *never* consumed tap water both inside and outside the home; Highest = answered *always* consumed tap water both inside and outside the home; Intermediate = all other responses ^bLowest = answered *never* consumed bottled water both inside and outside the home; Highest = answered *always* consumed bottled water both inside and outside the home; Intermediate = all other responses ^cUnmatched, median-unbiased odds ratio estimate and exact 95% confidence intervals calculated directly from the mid-p function based on the hypergeometric model (see methods)

The unadjusted, matched odds ratios for the occurrence of cryptosporidiosis according to exposure to non-drinking water sources are shown in Table 3. Neither sexual activity, sex with a partner with diarrhea, nor travel outside of San Francisco were associated with the development of cryptosporidiosis. Sex with a partner with diarrhea had an elevated, but not statistically significant, measure of association with cryptosporidiosis (OR, 2.00; 95% CI, 0.61–3.30). Having touched anything soiled with feces or helping someone with toileting were not associated with cryptosporidiosis.

Two multivariable conditional logistic regression models are shown in Table 4. Model 1 tested the hypothesis of whether the pattern of tap water consumption inside the home and outside the home were separately associated with cryptosporidiosis after adjusting for potential exposure to fecal material (had sex with partner who had di-

arrhea, cared for person with diarrhea, or touched something soiled with feces from person with diarrhea) and living in a residence supplied with filtered or unfiltered municipal water. In this model the pattern of tap water consumption inside the home was associated with cryptosporidiosis (sometimes category: OR, 7.70; 95% CI, 1.63–36.3, always category: OR, 6.76; 95% CI, 1.37–33.5) as was the pattern of tap water consumption outside the home (always category: OR, 3.16; 95% CI, 1.23–8.13). In model 2, the overall pattern of tap water consumption was strongly associated with cryptosporidiosis with a dose-response relationship (intermediate exposure: OR, 6.92; 95% CI, 1.55–30.9, highest exposure: OR, 23.6; 95% CI, 4.08–137). In both models, cryptosporidiosis was not associated with whether the residence was supplied with filtered or unfiltered municipal tap water.

Table 3: Unadjusted, matched odds ratios for the occurrence of cryptosporidiosis among patients with AIDS according to exposure to non-tap water sources

| Variable | Cases (n = 49) | | Controls (n = 99) | | Odds Ratio | 95% CI |
|---|----------------|------|-------------------|------|------------|------------|
| | N | (%) | N | (%) | | |
| Sex partner | | | | | | |
| No | 14 | (29) | 31 | (31) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Yes | 35 | (71) | 68 | (69) | 1.07 | 0.48, 2.38 |
| Sex partner with diarrhea | | | | | | |
| No | 47 | (96) | 91 | (92) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Yes | 2 | (4) | 8 | (8) | 2.00 | 0.61, 3.30 |
| Touched anything soiled with feces or help someone with toileting | | | | | | |
| No | 44 | (90) | 94 | (95) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Yes | 5 | (10) | 5 | (5) | 1.89 | 0.54, 6.66 |
| Fecal exposure composite ^a | | | | | | |
| No | 42 | (86) | 87 | (88) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Yes | 7 | (14) | 12 | (12) | 1.23 | 0.44, 3.43 |
| Ingested water or submerged head in a body of water | | | | | | |
| No | 42 | (86) | 83 | (84) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Yes | 7 | (14) | 16 | (16) | 1.00 | 0.36, 2.76 |
| Exposed to any animals | | | | | | |
| No | 25 | (51) | 37 | (37) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Yes | 24 | (49) | 62 | (63) | 0.63 | 0.31, 1.29 |
| Traveled outside of San Francisco | | | | | | |
| No | 40 | (82) | 74 | (75) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Yes | 9 | (18) | 25 | (25) | 0.45 | 0.11, 1.93 |

^aHad sex with partner who had diarrhea, cared for person with diarrhea, or touched something soiled with feces from person with diarrhea

Assuming a causal relationship between tap water consumption and the development of cryptosporidiosis in persons with AIDS, the PAF was 85%; that is, the proportion of cases in San Francisco AIDS patients attributable to tap water consumption could have been as high as 85%. The PAF from other potential factors, such as exposure to feces or living at a residence supplied with unfiltered water, was below 1%.

Discussion

This is the first population-based case-control study enrolling incident cases of cryptosporidiosis to evaluate and detect a strong association between an increasing pattern of tap water consumption and the development of cryptosporidiosis among AIDS patients in the United States. Prior studies of cryptosporidiosis in the US among AIDS patients did not interview incident cases, and therefore, often did not have information on individual-level waterborne exposures [3,23–25]. We also detected a strong negative association between the pattern of always drinking bottled water at home and cryptosporidiosis. This can be explained by the finding that persons who reported always drinking bottled water rarely drank tap water. Addi-

tionally, this result suggests drinking bottled water may be a safer alternative to drinking tap water.

San Francisco's municipal water is derived from several sources. Some of these sources filter the water before distribution to city residences [26]. No waterborne outbreak of cryptosporidiosis has ever been detected. This study did not find an association between developing cryptosporidiosis and living in a residence that received filtered compared to unfiltered water, even after adjusting for the pattern of tap water consumption (Table 4). Although this result is consistent with a previous ecologic study [27], suggesting that filtering at the level of a municipal system may not be successful in decreasing cases of cryptosporidiosis, this negative finding must be viewed with caution since our study was not specifically designed nor statistically powered to assess this exposure.

Because this was an observational study, our results do not prove that tap water was the source of cryptosporidial infection. However, the dose-response relationship between the pattern of tap water consumption and cryptosporidiosis makes a causal relationship more likely. Nonetheless, it is possible that residual confounding due

Table 4: Multivariable conditional logistic regression models of cryptosporidiosis among patients with AIDS according to pattern of tap water consumption and adjusted for potential confounders

| Models and variables | Cases (n = 49) | | Controls (n = 99) | | Odds Ratio | 95% CI |
|--|----------------|------|-------------------|------|------------|------------|
| | N | (%) | N | (%) | | |
| Model 1 | | | | | | |
| Tap water at home | | | | | | |
| Never | 2 | (4) | 31 | (31) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Sometimes | 20 | (41) | 30 | (30) | 7.70 | 1.63, 36.3 |
| Always | 27 | (55) | 38 | (38) | 6.76 | 1.37, 33.5 |
| Tap water outside home | | | | | | |
| Never | 29 | (59) | 81 | (82) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Sometimes | 4 | (8) | 8 | (8) | 1.59 | 0.38, 6.73 |
| Always | 16 | (33) | 10 | (10) | 3.16 | 1.23, 8.13 |
| Exposure to fecal material ^a | | | | | | |
| No | 42 | (86) | 87 | (88) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Yes | 7 | (14) | 12 | (12) | 1.03 | 0.32, 3.36 |
| Filtered municipal water | | | | | | |
| Filtered | 27 | (55) | 51 | (52) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Mixed | 14 | (29) | 37 | (37) | 0.77 | 0.35, 1.74 |
| Unfiltered | 11 | (16) | 8 | (11) | 1.19 | 0.34, 4.20 |
| Model 2: Composite water variable | | | | | | |
| Tap water exposure ^b | | | | | | |
| Lowest exposure | 2 | (4) | 29 | (29) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Intermediate exposure | 35 | (71) | 64 | (65) | 6.92 | 1.55, 30.9 |
| Highest exposure | 12 | (24) | 6 | (6) | 23.6 | 4.08, 137 |
| Exposure to fecal material ^a | | | | | | |
| No | 42 | (86) | 87 | (88) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Yes | 7 | (14) | 12 | (12) | 0.96 | 0.30, 3.06 |
| Filtered municipal water | | | | | | |
| Filtered | 27 | (55) | 51 | (52) | 1.00 | Reference |
| Mixed | 14 | (29) | 37 | (37) | 0.81 | 0.37, 1.77 |
| Unfiltered | 11 | (16) | 8 | (11) | 1.23 | 0.38, 4.02 |

^aHad sex with partner who had diarrhea, cared for person with diarrhea, or touched something soiled with feces from person with diarrhea ^bModel 2 tap water composite exposure variable (Lowest = never consumed tap water both inside and outside the home; Highest = always consumed tap water both inside and outside the home)

to measured or unmeasured factors may explain the results. However, statistical adjustment for known confounders did not weaken the association between the pattern of tap water consumption and cryptosporidiosis. The strength of this association would require that unknown or unmeasured confounders have very strong effects [28], which is unlikely given that adjustment for the likely confounders did not change our results.

We also considered the possibility that our finding is due to bias from cases having differential recall of specific water exposures. However, during this period there was a general awareness in the AIDS community of tap water as a potential source of cryptosporidial infection [29]. We believe this prior awareness would have influenced the recall of both cases and controls, thereby reducing any differential recall. Also, there does not appear to be differential recall with respect to sexual and other potential fecal exposures. Therefore, we believe that even if such

a recall bias was operating, it would not account for the large association we detected.

Other routes of fecal contamination were not associated with cryptosporidiosis in the bivariate and multivariable analyses. Sex with a partner with diarrhea was moderately associated in the bivariate analysis (OR, 2.00) but was not statistically significant. Because the incubation period between exposure to *Cryptosporidium* oocysts and symptoms is not well understood in persons with AIDS, it is possible that contact with fecal material which occurred long before the incident diagnosis might be the source of these infections. In favor of this hypothesis is the observation that cryptosporidiosis is more common among gay and bisexual men with AIDS than among other groups with AIDS [30]. Nonetheless, prior sexual practices are unlikely to be associated with current water consumption patterns [29].

The methods we used to calculate the attributable fractions depend on two assumptions: the incidence of disease in the base population is sufficiently small so that the odds ratio provides a satisfactory estimate of the relative risk; and the cases in this study are representative of all cases in the population, thus allowing us to calculate the proportion of cases in each stratum of exposure categories [20]. The annual risk of a cryptosporidiosis diagnosis among AIDS patients during this study period was less than 1% [31,32], sufficiently low so that the odds ratio approximates the relative risk. The study base population, the San Francisco AIDS registry, is representative of AIDS cases in San Francisco [18].

There were several limitations to this study. First, the annual number of cryptosporidiosis cases dropped dramatically during the study period resulting in a much smaller study population than initially planned. The number of cryptosporidiosis cases decreased annually: 126 cases were reported in 1995, 69 in 1996, 38 in 1997, and 32 in 1998 [31]. In the year 2001, only 13 cases of cryptosporidiosis were recorded in the AIDS Registry [33]. Because of the small study size, our ability to more precisely calculate matched adjusted odds ratios for the multivariable model were limited. However, for our primary hypothesis, the association of the pattern of tap water consumption and cryptosporidiosis, the sample size was sufficient to detect the observed large and statistically significant dose-response relationship. Second, cases were asked about potential exposures in the four weeks prior to their illness onset, whereas controls (whose stools tested negative for *Cryptosporidium*) were asked about potential exposures in the four weeks prior to their interview. Because of the lag time between selecting an eligible control and interviewing them, an intercurrent illness could have caused them to lower or increase their tap water consumption in the four weeks prior to their interview, thereby inflating or deflating the association between the pattern of tap water consumption and cryptosporidiosis.

Third, when this study was designed the use of highly active anti-retroviral therapy (HAART) was uncommon (about 5%) and this information was not collected on subjects; however, from 1995 to 1999 the use of HAART increased from 5% to 60% among persons living with AIDS in San Francisco [31]. Although cases and controls were matched on CD4⁺ T lymphocyte count, the exposure to HAART may have been a potential confounder if this exposure was protective against cryptosporidiosis independent of CD4⁺ count and if HAART exposure was correlated with decreased tap water consumption. Fourth, we did not actually measure tap water consumption but rather we asked about the pattern of use (never, sometimes, always) in the four weeks preceding illness (cases) or interview (controls). This assumes that these pattern of

use categories have the same meaning to cases and controls with respect to quantity of water consumed, which may not be the true.

Finally, our matched case-control study was designed to assess the first-level effect of tap water exposure and not the effect of boiling or filtering (although this information was collected from subjects that answered "yes" to consuming tap water). To assess the effects of filtering or boiling would require a case-control analysis among only those that consumed tap water; this was not possible with the pairwise matching and small study size. Additionally, our study underestimates the association between the pattern of tap water consumption and cryptosporidiosis because among those that consumed tap water, some of them also filtered or boiled their tap water which would have mitigated the risk of tap water exposure. Among those that consumed tap water at home sometimes or always (47/49 [96%] of cases and 68/99 [69%] of controls), 20/47 (42%) of cases and 32/68 (47%) of controls filtered their water sometimes or always, and 5/47 (11%) of cases and 10/68 (15%) of controls boiled their water sometimes or always.

In spite of its limitations, our study suggests that the relative risk of cryptosporidiosis among AIDS patients from drinking tap water is high (adjusted OR, 23.6 for highest exposure category). A similar study conducted among immunocompetent persons in the same geographic region found that cryptosporidiosis was only associated with foreign travel but not tap water consumption [34]. This discordance in results supports the observation that host susceptibility, i.e., immune status, is the primary determinant of developing cryptosporidiosis among AIDS patients. Because the relative importance of the risk factors for cryptosporidiosis is different among immunocompetent and immunocompromised persons in the San Francisco Bay Area, public health prevention messages to the two groups must be tailored accordingly.

Conclusions

The public health significance of our study, i.e. a population attributable fraction of 85%, is noteworthy, especially since there are no effective chemotherapeutic agents against cryptosporidiosis [5]. The only effective way to combat cryptosporidiosis among AIDS patients is treatment with HAART [35] because this raises CD4⁺ T lymphocyte count, and AIDS patients with CD4⁺ counts over 200 cells/ml are better able to resist cryptosporidial infection [36]. Although our results cannot be considered definitive, until there is more data, we would recommend persons with AIDS in the San Francisco area, especially those with compromised immune systems, avoid tap water. AIDS patients in other geographic areas may consider avoiding tap water. This is consistent with current Centers

for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) prevention Guidelines that, for a non-outbreak setting, people with HIV disease may consider tap water avoidance or boiling water prior to drinking to reduce the risk of infection with *Cryptosporidium* [17]. Whether it would be better to drink boiled water or bottled water is unknown at this time, although our results suggest that appropriately bottled water may be effective. Prevention of infection, either by avoidance of risky exposures or by improving immune function or both, is critical in this vulnerable population.

Competing interests

None declared.

Authors' contribution

TJA directed the study, and prepared, revised, and finalized the manuscript. SN directed data entry, data cleaning, and preliminary data analyses. AK directed the literature review, and drafted sections of the discussion. WE carried out quality control, procedural documentation, statistical modeling, and PAF calculations under the guidance of TJA. MHK and DJV conceived and designed the study. All authors extensively contributed to manuscript editing and revisions.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Kevin McKinney, Henry Schulz, for their dedicated implementation of the study protocol, and Dr. Fran Taylor for her contributions to the conception and design of the study.

References

- Nime FA, Burek JD, Page DL, Holscher MA and Yardley JH **Acute enterocolitis in a human being infected with the protozoan *Cryptosporidium***. *Gastroenterology* 1976, **70(4)**:592-8
- Casemore DP **Epidemiological aspects of human cryptosporidiosis**. *Epidemiology and Infection* 1990, **104(1)**:1-28
- Colford JM Jr, Tager IB, Hirozawa AM, Lemp GF, Aragon T and Petersen C **Cryptosporidiosis among patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus. Factors related to symptomatic infection and survival**. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1996, **144(9)**:807-16
- Vakil NB, Schwartz SM, Buggy BP, Brummitt CF, Kherallah M, Letzer DM, Gilson IH and Jones PG **Biliary cryptosporidiosis in HIV-infected people after the waterborne outbreak of cryptosporidiosis in Milwaukee**. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1996, **334(1)**:19-23
- Chen XM, Keithly JS, Paya CV and LaRusso NF **Cryptosporidiosis**. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2002, **346(22)**:1723-31
- Fayer R, Morgan U and Upton SJ **Epidemiology of Cryptosporidium: transmission, detection and identification**. *International Journal for Parasitology* 2000, **30(12-13)**:12-13
- Juranek DD **Cryptosporidiosis: sources of infection and guidelines for prevention**. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 1995, **21(Suppl 1)**:S57-61
- Rose JB **Environmental ecology of *Cryptosporidium* and public health implications**. *Annual Review of Public Health* 1997, **18**:135-61
- Hayes EB, Matte TD, O'Brien TR, McKinley TW, Logsdon GS, Rose JB, Ungar BL, Word DM, Pinsky PF and Cummings ML **Large community outbreak of cryptosporidiosis due to contamination of a filtered public water supply**. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1989, **320(21)**:1372-6
- D'Antonio RG, Winn RE, Taylor JP, Gustafson TL, Current WL, Rhodes MM, Gary GW Jr and Zajac RA **A waterborne outbreak of cryptosporidiosis in normal hosts**. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 1985, **103(6 (Pt 1))**:886-8
- Gallagher MM, Herndon JL, Nims LJ, Sterling CR, Grabowski DJ and Hull HF **Cryptosporidiosis and surface water**. *American Journal of Public Health* 1989, **79(1)**:39-42
- Goldstein ST, Juranek DD, Ravenholt O, Hightower AW, Martin DG, Mesnik JL, Griffiths SD, Bryant AJ, Reich RR and Herwaldt BL **Cryptosporidiosis: an outbreak associated with drinking water despite state-of-the-art water treatment**. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 1996, **124(5)**:459-68
- Weinstein P, Macaitis M, Walker C and Cameron S **Cryptosporidial diarrhoea in South Australia. An exploratory case-control study of risk factors for transmission**. *Medical Journal of Australia* 1993, **158(2)**:117-9
- Howe AD, Forster S, Morton S, Marshall R, Osborn KS, Wright P and Hunter PR **Cryptosporidium oocysts in a water supply associated with a cryptosporidiosis outbreak**. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 2002, **8(6)**:619-24
- Osewe P, Addiss DG, Blair KA, Hightower A, Kamb ML and Davis JP **Cryptosporidiosis in Wisconsin: a case-control study of post-outbreak transmission**. *Epidemiology and Infection* 1996, **117(2)**:297-304
- Eisenberg JN, Wade TJ, Charles S, Vu M, Hubbard A, Wright CC, Levy D, Jensen P and Colford JM Jr **Risk factors in HIV-associated diarrhoea: the role of drinking water, medication and immune status**. *Epidemiology and Infection* 2002, **128(1)**:73-81
- Kaplan JE, Masur H and Holmes KK **Guidelines for preventing opportunistic infections among HIV-infected persons – 2002. Recommendations of the U.S. Public Health Service and the Infectious Diseases Society of America**. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2002, **51(RR-8)**:1-52
- Schwarzc SK, Hsu LC, Parisi MK and Katz MH **The impact of the 1993 AIDS case definition on the completeness and timeliness of AIDS surveillance**. *AIDS* 1999, **13(9)**:1109-14
- Rockhill B, Newman B and Weinberg C **Use and misuse of population attributable fractions**. *American Journal of Public Health* 1998, **88(1)**:15-9
- Bruzzi P, Green SB, Byar DP, Brinton LA and Schairer C **Estimating the population attributable risk for multiple risk factors using case-control data**. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1985, **122(5)**:904-14
- S-Plus 6.0** Seattle, Washington, USA, Insightful Corporation 2001, [<http://www.insightful.com>]
- Rothman KJ and Greenland S **Modern Epidemiology** Lippincott-Raven Publishers 1998,
- Khalakdina A, Tabnak F, Sun RK and Colford JM Jr **Race/ethnicity and other risk of factors associated with cryptosporidiosis as an initial AIDS-defining condition in California, 1980–99**. *Epidemiology and Infection* 2001, **127(3)**:535-43
- Sorvillo F, Beall G, Turner PA, Beer VL, Kovacs AA, Kraus P, Masters D and Kerndt PR **Seasonality and factors associated with cryptosporidiosis among individuals with HIV infection**. *Epidemiology and Infection* 1998, **121(1)**:197-204
- Manabe YC, Clark DP, Moore RD, Lumadue JA, Dahlman HR, Belitsos PC, Chaisson RE and Sears CL **Cryptosporidiosis in patients with AIDS: correlates of disease and survival**. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 1998, **27(3)**:536-42
- Finn MJ **Engineering Report: In the matter of the permit for the San Francisco Water System, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco Water System, System Number 3810011**. *City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission* 2001,
- Sorvillo F, Lieb LE, Nahlen B, Miller J, Mascola L and Ash LR **Municipal drinking water and cryptosporidiosis among persons with AIDS in Los Angeles County**. *Epidemiology and Infection* 1994, **113(2)**:313-20
- Hulley SB, Cummings SR, Browner WS and Grady D **Designing Clinical Research: An Epidemiologic Approach** Lippincott Williams & Wilkins Publishers 2001,
- Kim LS, Stansell J, Cello JP and Koch J **Discrepancy between sex- and water-associated risk behaviors for cryptosporidiosis among HIV-infected patients in San Francisco**. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes and Human Retrovirology* 1998, **19(1)**:44-9

30. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention **Surveillance for AIDS-Defining Opportunistic Illnesses, 1992–1997**. *MMWR CDC Surveillance Summaries* 1999, **48(SS-2)**;
31. San Francisco Department of Public Health **HIV/AIDS Epidemiology Annual Report 1999**. *City and County of San Francisco, SFDPH HIV/AIDS Statistics and Epidemiology Section* 2000, [<http://www.wdph.sf.ca.us/PHP/RptsHIV/AIDS/annual99.pdf>]
32. San Francisco Department of Public Health **HIV/AIDS Epidemiology Annual Report 2000**. *City and County of San Francisco, SFDPH HIV/AIDS Statistics and Epidemiology Section* 2001, [<http://www.dph.sf.ca.us/PHP/RptsHIV/AIDS/HIVAIDAnnRpt2000.pdf>]
33. San Francisco Department of Public Health **HIV/AIDS Epidemiology Annual Report 2001**. *City and County of San Francisco, SFDPH HIV/AIDS Statistics and Epidemiology Section* 2002, [<http://www.dph.sf.ca.us/Reports/STD/HIVAIDAnnRpt2001.pdf>]
34. Khalakdina A, Vugia DJ, Nadle J, Rothrock GA and Colford JM Jr **Is Drinking Water A Risk Factor For Endemic Cryptosporidiosis?: A Case-Control Study In The Immunocompetent General Population Of The San Francisco Bay Area**. *Co-Submitted to BMC Public Health*
35. Ives NJ, Gazzard BG and Easterbrook PJ **The changing pattern of AIDS-defining illnesses with the introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in a London clinic**. *Journal of Infection* 2001, **42(2)**:134-9
36. Fayer R **Cryptosporidium and Cryptosporidiosis**. *Revised edition CRC Press* 1997,

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:

<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/3/2/prepub>

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

