Effects of Light on the Microcystin Content of *Microcystis* Strain PCC 7806

Claudia Wiedner,^{1*} Petra M. Visser,¹ Jutta Fastner,² James S. Metcalf,³ Geoffrey A. Codd, 3 and Luuc R. Mur¹

*Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, Aquatic Microbiology, University of Amsterdam, 1018 VW Amsterdam, The Netherlands*¹ *; Federal Environmental Agency, 14195 Berlin, Germany*² *; and School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 4HN, United Kingdom*³

Received 12 July 2002/Accepted 3 December 2002

Many cyanobacteria produce microcystins, hepatotoxic cyclic heptapeptides that can affect animals and humans. The effects of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) on microcystin production by *Microcystis* **strain PCC 7806 were studied in continuous cultures.** *Microcystis* **strain PCC 7806 was grown under PAR** intensities between 10 and 403 μ mol of photons m^{-2} s⁻¹ on a light-dark rhythm of 12 h -12 h. The microcystin **concentration per cell, per unit biovolume and protein, was estimated under steady-state and transient-state conditions and on a diurnal timescale. The cellular microcystin content varied between 34.5 and 81.4 fg cell**-**1 and was significantly positively correlated with growth rate under PAR-limited growth but not under PARsaturated growth. Microcystin production and PAR showed a significant positive correlation under PARlimited growth and a significant negative correlation under PAR-saturated growth. The microcystin concentration, as a ratio with respect to biovolume and protein, correlated neither with growth rate nor with PAR. Adaptation of microcystin production to a higher irradiance during transient states lasted for 5 days. During** the period of illumination at a PAR of 10 and 40 μ mol of photons m^{-2} s⁻¹, the intracellular microcystin **content increased to values 10 to 20% higher than those at the end of the dark period. Extracellular (dissolved)** microcystin concentrations were 20 times higher at 40 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹ than at 10 μ mol of photons **m**-**² s**-**¹ and did not change significantly during the light-dark cycles at both irradiances. In summary, our results showed a positive effect of PAR on microcystin production and content of** *Microcystis* **strain PCC 7806 up to the point where the maximum growth rate is reached, while at higher irradiances the microcystin production is inhibited.**

Cyanobacteria produce a wide range of bioactive and toxic substances (cyanotoxins), which can affect both animals and humans (3). Microcystins are the most widespread of the cyanobacterial toxins. They are hepatotoxic cyclic heptapeptides (1), with over 75 natural structural variants (24, 28), and are potent and specific inhibitors of protein phosphatases (17). Microcystins can be produced by *Microcystis* spp. (1), *Anabaena* spp. (12), *Nostoc* spp. (20), and *Planktothrix* spp. (16). Populations of these species are known to include both microcystin-producing and -nonproducing strains (25, 26, 33). Whether microcystins have a function in any physiological processes in the producer cells, or beyond at the ecological level for those species, is unknown so far. Case studies of the population dynamics of microcystin-producing cyanobacteria in relation to microcystin concentrations in water bodies have shown that changes in microcystin concentrations cannot be explained by changes in population density alone (5, 10, 36). Environmental factors may affect microcystin concentrations in two principal ways: by regulating the abundance of microcystin-producing strains within a population and by regulating microcystin production by the toxigenic strains. In culture experiments with single strains of different cyanobacteria, effects of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR); (2, 8, 27, 30), pH

(10), iron (31), phosphorus (21), and nitrogen (14, 22), as well as interactive effects of phosphorus and nitrogen (34), on microcystin content have been found. Microcystin production was found to be positively correlated with growth rate under nitrogen (14, 22) and phosphorus (21) limitation, while such correlations were not reported for the other growth factors. Overall, there is no uniform pattern in the regulation mechanism of microcystin content and production that can be concluded from these studies. In fact, inconsistent results for effects of the same parameter were reported. As for PAR, it was found that microcystin content was enhanced with increasing irradiance (30) and with decreasing irradiance (27) and that it was not affected by PAR (2). These apparent contradictions may be due to a great extent to differences in methodology, since different species and strains with different microcystin variants were studied in batch, semibatch, or continuous cultures. Microcystin concentrations were determined using the mouse bioasay, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, protein phosphatase inhibition assays, or high-performance liquid chromatography analysis, and the concentrations were expressed as ratio of toxins to biovolume, protein, dry weight, chlorophyll *a*, or cell number, but few studies reported on microcystin content in relation to growth determinants. To date, there is no satisfactory understanding of the influence of PAR on microcystin production and content in cyanobacteria.

We have therefore carried out a comprehensive study of the effects of PAR on the growth and microcystin content of *Microcystis* strain PCC 7806 in continuous cultures. Variation in

^{*} Corresponding author. Present address: Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Alte Fischerhütte 2, 16775 Neuglobsow, Germany. Phone: 49 3308269963. Fax: 49 3308269917. Email: c.wiedner@igb-berlin.de.

FIG. 1. Growth rate of *Microcystis* strain PCC7806 (A) and microcystin content per cell (B), per unit biovolume (C), and per unit protein (D) in relation to PAR. Mean values of five measurements under steady-state conditions of each irradiance are shown (error bars denote the standard deviation).

microcystin content and production was determined under steady-state conditions over a wide range of irradiances from PAR-limited to PAR-saturated growth conditions. Times for adaptation of microcystin production to changes in mean daily irradiance were estimated under transient-state conditions, and for the first time, diurnal changes in microcystin content under steady-state conditions were studied.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Continuous cultures. Unicellular cultures of *Microcystis* strain PCC 7806 were grown in continuous-culture systems to determine the microcystin content and growth of this strain under PAR limitation and saturation. Each culture system consisted of a flat glass culture vessel 27 by 18 by 5 cm, with a volume of 1.65 liters. The culture vessels were custom-made and are described in detail by Matthijs et al. (18). A glass cooling vessel of the same dimensions but thinner (1 cm) was positioned between the culture vessel and the light sources, which were white fluorescent tubes (Philips PL-L 24W/840/4P). Four of the 29-cm-long tubes were arranged parallel to each other so that they covered the front of a culture

vessel. PAR was measured using a LI-COR quantum photometer (LI 250). The incoming irradiance, I_{in} , was measured at the back of the cooling vessel, and the outgoing light intensity, I_{out} , was measured at the back of the culture vessel (each at 10 points). The mean PAR, I , in the culture vessel was calculated as $I = (I_{\text{in}})$ I_{out} /ln (*I*_{in} - *I*_{out}). Irradiance was varied by placing neutral-density filters on the back of the cooling vessel and adjusting the culture vessel at different distances from the light source. Other light sources were excluded by placing each culture system in a separate black box. Cultures were grown on a light-dark rhythm of 12 h-12 h at 22°C. They were supplied with nutrient-saturated mineral medium (O2-medium [32]). Medium flow was regulated with Gilson peristaltic pumps. The cultures were sparged with filtered air at 100 to 150 liters h^{-1} to provide mixing. By adding $CO₂$ to the aeration system, cultures were provided with sufficient $CO₂$. The $CO₂$ pool was estimated indirectly by frequent measurements of the pH, which was adjusted in the range of pH 8.0 to 8.6. Cultures were run as turbidostats. The optical density of the culture at 750 nm was adjusted to 0.12 by regulating the medium flow. The flow rate was determined daily by measuring the medium inflow. The dilution rate (*D*) is a function of flow rate (*f*) and culture volume (*V*): $D = f/V$. Under steady-state conditions, the dilution rate is equivalent to the growth rate, μ . Steady-state conditions were established under PAR from 10 to 403 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹.

Sampling, cell counts, and protein analysis. During each steady state, the cultures were sampled on five individual days, 2 h after the beginning of the light period. Under transient-state conditions after increasing irradiance from 13 to 29 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and from 63 to 126 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹, the cultures were sampled daily until they reached steady-state conditions in order to estimate the adaptation time of the microcystin production to changes in irradiance. Diurnal changes in microcystin content were studied under steady-state conditions at irradiances of 10 and 40 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹. At both irradiances, intracellular microcystin concentrations per cell and per unit biovolume and extracellular microcystin concentrations were measured on three different days. Sampling was started at the end of the dark period on each occasion. After the lights were switched on, samples were taken at 15-min intervals during the first 2 h in the light and subsequently at 2-h intervals. Aliquots of all samples were analyzed in triplicate for cell number, mean cell diameter, biovolume, protein concentration, and microcystin content. Cell number, mean cell diameter, and biovolume were measured with a cell counter (Casy 1 TTC, Schärfe System). For protein analysis, 5 ml of culture was centrifuged (10 min at 2,800 \times *g*), the pellet was lyophilized and analysis was performed by the method of Lowry et al. (15).

Microcystin extraction. Aliquots of 12 ml were filtered through glass microfiber filters (Whatman GF/C; 25 mm in diameter), which were placed in 2-ml vials, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, lyophilized, and stored at -20° C. From the samples of the diurnal study, the extracellular (dissolved) microcystin concentration was also analyzed from 2-ml aliquots of the filtrate, which was treated in the same way as the filters described above. According to Fastner et al. (4), microcystins were extracted with 75% (vol/vol) aqueous methanol. Each sample was extracted four times with 1.5 ml of 75% methanol. Cell disruption and filter dispersal were carried out with silica beads (0.5 mm in diameter) in a Mini-Beadbeater (Biospec Products, Bartlesville, Okla.) and additionally by ultrasonication for 5 min. Afterwards, samples were shaken for 30 min and centrifuged. The supernatants were pooled and blown to dryness with nitrogen.

Microcystin analysis. Extracts were dissolved in 50% (vol/vol) aqueous methanol. Microcystins were analyzed by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography with photodiode array detection using the following Kontron instruments: two model 422 pumps, an M 491 mixer, a 560 autosampler and a 440 photodiode array detector. Extracts were separated on a LiChrospher 100 ODS 5-m LiChorCART 250-4 cartridge system (Merck), using a gradient of 30 to 70% aqueous acetonitrile (with 0.05% [vol/vol] trifluoroacetic acid) at a flow of 1 ml min⁻¹ (13). Microcystins were identified by their characteristic UV spectra (13) and quantified using an external gravimetric standard of microcystin-LR (University of Dundee). For structural elucidation of microcystins, peaks showing characteristic UV spectra were collected manually and analyzed by matrixassisted laser desorption time-of-flight mass spectrometry as detailed previously (6). Extracellular microcystin concentrations were analyzed using an enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (19).

Data analysis. Statistical analysis of data was performed with SPSS for Windows (SPSS Inc.).

RESULTS

Growth under steady-state conditions. The relationship between the growth rate of *Microcystis* strain PCC 7806 and PAR

FIG. 2. Microcystin content of *Microcystis* strain PCC7806 in relation to growth rate (A) and microcystin production in relation to PAR (B). Mean values of five measurements under steady-state conditions of each irradiance are shown (error bars denote the standard deviation).

is described by a saturation curve (Fig. 1A). Growth was limited by PAR below 80 µmol of photons m^{-2} s⁻¹. Higher PAR had no effect on growth rate, which remained constant between 80 and 403 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹.

Microcystin content and production. *Microcystis* strain PCC 7806 contained the microcystin variants MC-LR and [D-Asp³] MC-LR. Total microcystin content per cell, biovolume, and protein increased steeply with increasing PAR (Fig. 1B to D). The maximum microcystin content per cell was measured at a PAR of 126 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹. Maximum microcystin concentrations per unit biovolume and unit protein were estimated at a PAR of 40 µmol of photons m^{-2} s⁻¹. With further increasing irradiance, microcystin concentrations relative to cell number and protein concentration declined to values between the minimum estimated at the lowest irradiance and the maximum values. The microcystin concentration per unit biovolume reached minimum values at the highest PAR. The intracellular microcystin content showed a significant linear positive correlation with growth rate under PAR-limited growth conditions but was not correlated with growth rate under PAR-saturated growth conditions (Fig. 2A). No correlations were found between growth rate and microcystin concentration expressed per unit biovolume or protein. Microcystin production and PAR showed a significant positive correlation under PAR-limited growth conditions and a significant negative correlation under PAR-saturated growth conditions (Fig. 2B). The cell volume and protein content of *Microcystis* strain PCC 7806 increased with increasing PAR (Table 1).

During the two investigated transient states, the intracellular microcystin content increased rapidly after PAR was increased over a period of 5 days and remained stable thereafter, when the culture reached steady-state conditions (Fig. 3).

On a diurnal timescale under steady-state conditions at irradiances of 10 and 40 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹, the intracellular microcystin content increased during the first 4 h of the light period (Fig. 4A and B). After that, it remained elevated by 10 to 20% compared to the end of the dark period. In addition, at 40 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹, a maximum in intracellular microcystin content occurred 45 min after the beginning of the light period. Although the microcystin concentration at 45 min did not significantly differ from the values at 30 and 60 min, this maximum was measured on all three individual days.

Extracellular (dissolved) microcystin concentrations did not change significantly during the light-dark cycle at both irradiances. The mean concentration of dissolved microcystin, 16.73 μ g liter⁻¹ at 40 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹, was 20 times higher than that, 0.83 μ g liter⁻¹, at 10 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹. These concentrations are very low compared to the total microcystin concentrations (intra- plus extracellular), to which they contributed 2.47 and 0.22% at 10 and 40 μ mol of photons m^{-2} s⁻¹, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Our results clearly demonstrate that PAR affects the cellular production and content of microcystin in *Microcystis* strain PCC7806. Two trends were distinguished: (i) increasing the irradiance had a positive effect on microcystin production, resulting in an increased cellular microcystin content until the maximum growth rate was reached at 80 μ mol of photons m⁻² s^{-1} , and (ii) further increasing the irradiance led to a decline in microcystin production and in the cellular microcystin content. Thus, saturating irradiances suppressed microcystin production and caused a decrease in cellular microcystin content, although irradiances of up to 402 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹ showed no inhibitory effects on the growth rate. Hitherto, the effects of PAR on the microcystin content of cyanobacteria have been studied only under growth-limited conditions. In agreement with our findings, Utkilen and Gjølme (30) found an increase in microcystin concentration per unit protein in *Microcystis aeruginosa* CYA 228/1 continuous cultures when irradiance was increased from 20 to 75 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹. However, for *Planktothrix agardhii*, Sivonen (27) reported a higher microcystin concentration per unit dry weight at lower (12 and 24 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹) than at higher (50 and 95 µmol of photons m^{-2} s⁻¹) irradiances. These findings need

FIG. 3. Changes in the cellular microcystin content of *Microcystis* strain PCC7806 under transient states between 13 and 29 µmol of photons m^{-2} s⁻¹ and between 63 and 126 µmol photons m^{-2} s⁻¹ (error bars denote the standard deviation of the five measurements during steady states before and after transient state).

not necessarily be conflicting, considering the present results on the different effects of irradiance on microcystin production under PAR-limited and -saturated growth conditions, together with the fact that *P. agardhii* is a low-light-adapted species which can reach maximal growth rates at an irradiance of only 50 µmol of photons m^{-2} s⁻¹ (7). Sivonen (27) did not report growth rates, but the negative effect of irradiance on the microcystin content of *P. agardhii* at 50 and 95 μ mol of photons m^{-2} s⁻¹ would be consistent with our findings if the maximum growth rate was reached at an irradiance below 50 μ mol of photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$. In contrast to our findings, Böttcher et al. (2) found no changes in the microcystin content per unit biovolume or per cell of *M. aeruginosa* HUB 5-2-4 when grown in continuous cultures at growth-limiting irradiances from 5 to 75 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹. However, they found a higher microcystin content per unit dry weight above 20μ mol of photons m^{-2} s⁻¹. The finding by Böttcher et al. (2) on the unchanged microcystin-content-to-biovolume ratio also disagrees with the results of Hesse and Kohl (8), who, for the same strain (HUB

TABLE 1. Variation of cell volume and protein content of *Microcystis* strain PCC 7806 at different irradiances

PAR (μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	Cell vol $(\mu m^3)^a$	Protein content $(\text{pg cell}^{-1})^a$
10	112.2 ± 4.3	5.1 ± 0.3
14	144.5 ± 2.4	5.0 ± 0.6
20	165.8 ± 16.9	4.7 ± 1.1
29	154.2 ± 21.8	5.3 ± 0.3
42	191.2 ± 10.1	5.2 ± 0.8
63	211.4 ± 13.6	5.9 ± 0.6
126	259.1 ± 13.7	6.8 ± 0.9
150	270.5 ± 6.2	6.9 ± 0.5
248	265.0 ± 9.0	6.7 ± 1.0
255	270.5 ± 6.2	6.1 ± 0.4
403	268.2 ± 11.5	6.2 ± 0.5

 a Mean values of five measurements during steady state \pm standard deviation.

5-2-4) in semicontinuous cultures, found an increase in microcystin content per unit biovolume from 45μ mol of photons m^{-2} s⁻¹ compared to 15 µmol of photons m^{-2} s⁻¹ and an estimated maximum growth rate at 50 μ mol of photons m⁻² s^{-1} . In addition, Hesse and Kohl (8) measured a decrease in the microcystin-LR content of *M. aeruginosa* W334, with a maximum growth rate at 80 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹, but increases in microcystin-LR and -YR for *M. aeruginosa* W368, with a maximum growth rate at 100 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹. These findings could indicate diverse effects of PAR on the microcystin content of *Microcystis* depending on the strain and on the microcystin variant. However, Hesse and Kohl (8) estimated the microcystin content only at four irradiances in a range from 15 to 125 μ mol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹ with three *Microcystis* spp. strains that differed in their PAR demands for optimal growth, so that different trends under light-limited and -saturated growth conditions cannot be elucidated from their data. We suggest that the apparently inconsistent outcomes of these different studies are more likely to be due to differences in culture methods and the expression of cellular microcystin contents as ratios to a variety of different cell parameters.

Our data clearly show that both cellular biovolume and protein content in *Microcystis* strain PCC 7806 were themselves affected by irradiance during growth. Consequently, the ratios of microcystin concentration to biovolume, protein, and cell number differed over the studied range of PAR. Entirely opposite conclusions can be drawn from our data on diurnal changes in microcystin concentrations: a positive effect of PAR on microcystin production can be concluded on the basis of the increase in the cellular microcystin content during the light period. On the other hand, the decreasing microcystin concentrations per unit biovolume would suggest a negative effect of PAR on microcystin production. Similar findings on the variation in cell volume and cellular protein content were also made with *M. aeruginosa* MASH 01-A19 in nitrogen-limited

FIG. 4. Diurnal changes in the microcystin content of *Microcystis* strain PCC7806 per cell (A and B) and per unit biovolume (C and D) as well as the extracellular microcystin concentration (E and F) measured under steady-state conditions at 10 (A, C, and E) and 40 (B, D, and F) μ mol of photons m^{-2} s⁻¹. Mean values from three individual days are shown (error bars denote standard deviation).

cultures by Long et al. (14), who additionally found variations in cellular chlorophyll *a* content and dry weight. We agree with Long et al. (14) that microcystin concentrations should be determined as a ratio per cell, since potentially confusing interpretations can arise from determining the microcystin content as a ratio to cell components.

The changes in cellular microcystin content in our experiments ranged from 34.5 to 81.4 fg cell^{-1}; thus, cell quotas in relation to irradiance varied by a factor of 2.4. Similar findings of 1.5- to 3-fold changes in the cellular microcystin content of different cyanobacteria were found under a variety of growth conditions (2, 8, 14, 21, 27, 30). Thus, microcystin production appears to be constitutive in the cyanobacteria investigated. Our findings support the suggestions that microcystin-producing strains always contain a minimum but do not exceed a maximum cellular microcystin content (14) and that toxigenic strains remain so under a variety of growth conditions (28).

Our results on the positive correlation between microcystin production and irradiance, as well as between the cellular microcystin content and growth rate under PAR-limited growth, agree with findings from studies on nutrient limitations. Positive correlations between growth rate and microcystin production were found under limitation of nitrogen (14, 22) and phosphorus (21). In addition, our results demonstrate that under PAR-saturated growth, the cellular microcystin content is not correlated with growth rate and the microcystin production is negatively correlated with irradiance. Thus, the microcystin content cannot in general be predicted by growth rate, as concluded earlier (14, 22). Of interest at this point are the findings that the growth rates of *Microcystis* strain PCC7806 and its *mcyB* mutant, which is deficient in microcystin biosynthesis, were similar under different irradiances and, consequently, that microcystins are not essential for growth (9). This conclusion is strengthened by our findings that there is no overall correlation between *Microcystis* strain PCC7806 growth rate and microcystin content.

Our data on diurnal changes show that the intracellular microcystin content increased by between 10 and 20% during the light period. These changes are small compared to those in microcystin content measured at various steady states over the whole range of PAR. Thus, for understanding the causes of the variation in microcystin concentration in natural waters, shorttime changes in irradiance are of minor importance. However, our data do show that PAR affects short-term changes (minutes to hours) in microcystin production and suggest, from the shape of the plot (Fig. 4), that the regulation of these shortterm responses might occur at the enzyme level. However, the longer-term changes in microcystin production in response to changes in the mean diurnal irradiance (Fig. 3), as measured under transient-state conditions, might be more likely to be due to changes at the genetic level. In fact, Kaebernick et al. (11) found an enhanced transcriptional response of the microcystin biosynthesis gene cluster of *Microcystis* strain PCC7806 in batch cultures which were exposed to high light levels after low-light adaptation. No information is available on the regulation at the molecular level of other processes in the biosynthesis of microcystins, including the translation of the mRNA and the kinetics of microcystin synthetase, and their impact on short-time and long-term changes in the microcystin content of cyanobacteria. However, our findings on short-term and longtime effects of PAR on the microcystin content of *Microcystis* strain PCC 7806 suggest that PAR is involved in the regulation of different processes in microcystin biosynthesis.

PAR was also found to affect extracellular dissolved microcystin concentrations. In contrast to the intracellular microcystin content, the extracellular microcystin concentration remained constant during the light-dark cycle. On average, extracellular concentrations were 20 times higher at 40 μ mol of photons m^{-2} s⁻¹ than at 10 μ mol of photons m^{-2} s⁻¹. It remains to be determined whether this results from increased extracellular release of microcystin by intact cells with a higher microcystin content and production or from increased cell lysis at higher irradiances. The extracellular microcystin concentrations at both irradiances accounted for only 2.47 and 0.22% of the total microcystin concentrations. Similarly, low relative proportions of extracellular microcystins were reported from other culture experiments (2, 23) and field studies (29, 36, 37). Higher concentrations of extracellular microcystin were measured only in very dense cultures (10, 23) or in natural waters after the breakdown of microcystin-producing cyanobacterial populations (35).

Finally, we conclude from our results that PAR has a positive effect on microcystin production and content in *Microcystis* strain PCC 7806 up to the point where the maximum growth rate is reached and that higher levels of PAR inhibit microcystin production. We suggest that PAR is involved in the regulation of microcystin biosynthesis in different processes that remain to be elucidated.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the TMR programme TOPIC (FMRX CT98-0246) and by CYANOTOX (ENV4-CT98-0802) within the Fourth Framework Programme of the European Union.

We thank Eveline Schnelder and Hans Balke for technical assistance.

REFERENCES

1. **Botes, D. P., A. A. Tuinman, P. L. Wessels, C. C. Viljoen, H. Kruger, D. H. Williams, S. Santikarn, R. J. Smith, and S. J. Hamond.** 1984. The structure of cyanoginosin-LA, a cyclic heptapeptide toxin from the cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa.* J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 **1984:**2311–2318.

- 2. **Bo¨ttcher, G., I. Chorus, S. Ewald, T. Hintze, and N. Walz.** 2001. Light limited growth and microcystin content of *Planktothrix agardhii* and *Microcystis aeruginosa* in turbidostats, p. 115–133. *In* I. Chorus (ed.), Cyanotoxins: occurrence, causes, consequences. Springer-Verlag KG, Berlin, Germany.
- 3. **Carmichael, W. W., and I. R. Falconer.** 1993. Diseases related to freshwater blue-green algal toxins, and control measures, p. 197–209. *In* I. R. Falconer (ed.), Algal toxins in seafood and drinking water. Academic Press, Ltd., London, England.
- 4. **Fastner, J., I. Flieger, and U. Neumann.** 1998. Optimised extraction of microcystins from field samples—a comparison of different solvents and procedures. Water Res. **32:**3177–3181.
- 5. **Fastner, J., M. Erhard, W. W. Carmichael, F. Sun, K. L. Rinehart, H.** Rönicke, and I. Chorus. 1999. Characterization and diversity of microcystins in natural blooms and strains of the genera *Microcystis* and *Planktothrix* from German freshwaters. Arch. Hydrobiol. **145:**147–163.
- 6. Fastner, J., M. Erhard, and H. von Döhren. 2001. Determination of oligopeptide diversity within a natural population of *Microcystis* spp. (cyanobacteria) by typing single colonies by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionizationtime of flight mass spectrometry. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **67:**5069–5076.
- 7. **Foy, R. H.** 1983. Interaction of temperature and light on the growth rates of two planktonic *Oscillatoria* species under a short photoperiod regime. Br. Phycol. J. **18:**267–273.
- 8. **Hesse, K., and J.-G. Kohl.** 2001. Effects of light and nutrient supply on growth and microcystin content of different strains of *Microcystis aeruginosa*, p. 152–158. *In* I. Chorus (ed.), Cyanotoxins: occurrence, causes, consequences. Springer-Verlag KG, Berlin, Germany.
- 9. Hesse, K., E. Dittmann, and T. Börner. 2001. Consequences of impaired microcystin production for light-dependent growth and pigmentation of *Microcystis aeruginosa* PCC 7806. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. **37:**39–43.
- 10. **Jähnichen, S., T. Petzoldt, and J. Benndorf.** 2001. Evidence for control of microcystin dynamics in Bautzen Reservoir (Germany) by cyanobacterial population growth rates and dissolved inorganic carbon. Arch. Hydrobiol. **150:**177–196.
- 11. Kaebernick, M., B. A. Neilan, T. Börner, and E. Dittmann. 2000. Light and the transcriptional response of the microcystin biosynthesis gene cluster. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **66:**3387–3392.
- 12. **Krishnamurthy, T., W. W. Carmichael, and E. W. Sarver.** 1986. Toxic peptides from freshwater cyanobacteria (blue-green algae). I. Isolation, purification, and characterization of peptides from *Microcystis aeruginosa* and *Anabaena flos-aquae.* Toxicon **24:**433–439.
- 13. **Lawton, L. A., C. Edwards, and G. A. Codd.** 1994. Extraction and highperformance liquid chromatographic method for the determination of microcystins in raw and treated waters. Analyst **119:**1525–1530.
- 14. **Long, B. M., G. J. Jones, and P. T. Orr.** 2001. Cellular microcystin content in N-limited *Microcystis aeruginosa* can be predicted from growth rate. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **67:**278–283.
- 15. **Lowry, O. H., N. J. Rosebrough, A. L. Farr, and R. J. Randall.** 1951. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. **193:**265–275.
- 16. **Luukkainen, R., K. Sivonen, M. Namikoshi, M. Fa¨rdig, K. L. Rinehart, and S. Niemela.** 1993. Isolation and identification of eight microcystins from thirteen *Oscillatoria agardhii* strains and structure of a new microcystin. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **59:**2204–2209.
- 17. **MacKintosh, C., K. A. Beattie, S. Klumpp, P. Cohen, and G. A. Codd.** 1990. Cyanobacterial microcystin-LR is a potent and specific inhibitor of protein phosphatases 1 and 2A from both mammals and higher plants. FEBS Lett. **264:**187–192.
- 18. **Matthijs, H. C. P., H. Balke, U. M. Hes, B. M. A. Kroon, L. R. Mur, and R. A. Binot.** 1996. Application of light-emitting diodes in bioreactors: flashing light effects and energy economy in algal culture (*Chlorella pyrenoidosa*). Biotechnol. Bioeng. **50:**98–107.
- 19. **Metcalf, J. S., S. G. Bell, and G. A. Codd.** 2000. Production of novel polyclonal antibodies against the cyanobacterial toxin microcystin-LR and their application for the detection and quantification of microcystins and nodularin. Water Res. **34:**2761–2769.
- 20. **Namikoshi, M., K. L. Rinehart, R. Sakai, K. Sivonen, and W. W. Carmichael.** 1990. Structures of three new cyclic heptapeptide hepatotoxins produced by the cyanobacterium (blue-green alga) *Nostoc* sp. strain 152. J. Org. Chem. **55:**6135–6139.
- 21. **Oh, H.-M., S. J. Lee, M.-H. Jang, and B.-D. Yoon.** 2000. Microcystin production by *Microcystis aeruginosa* in a phosphorous-limited chemostat. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **66:**176–179.
- 22. **Orr, P. T., and G. J. Jones.** 1998. Relationship between microcystin production and cell division rates in nitrogen-limited *Microcystis aeruginosa* cultures. Limnol. Oceanogr. **43:**1604–1614.
- 23. Rapala, J., K. Sivonen, C. Lyra, and S. I. Niemelä. 1997. Variation of microcystins, cyanobacterial hepatotoxins, in *Anabaena* spp. as a function of growth stimuli. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **67:**2206–2212.
- 24. **Rinehart, K. L., M. Namikoshi, and B. W. Choi.** 1994. Structure and biosynthesis of toxins from blue-green algae (cyanobacteria). J. Appl. Phycol. **6:**159–176.
- 25. **Rohrlack, T., M. Henning, and J.-G. Kohl.** 2001. Isolation and characterization of colony-forming *Microcystis aeruginosa* strains, p. 152–158. *In* I. Chorus (ed.), Cyanotoxins: occurrence, causes, consequences. Springer-Verlag KG, Berlin, Germany.
- 26. **Shirai, M., A. Ohtake, T. Sano, S. Matsumoto, T. Sakamoto, A. Sato, T. Aida, K. Harada, T. Shimada, M. Suzuki, and M. Nakano.** 1991. Toxicity and toxins of natural blooms and isolated strains of *Microcystis* spp. (Cyanobacteria) and improved procedure for purification of cultures. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **57:**1241–1245.
- 27. **Sivonen, K.** 1990. Effect of light, temperature, nitrate, orthophosphate, and bacteria on growth of and hepatotoxin production by *Oscillatoria agardhii* strains. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **56:**2658–2666.
- 28. **Sivonen, K., and G. Jones.** 1999. Cyanobacterial toxins, p. 41–111. *In* I. Chorus and J. Bartram (ed.), Toxic cyanobacteria in water—a guide to their public health consequences, monitoring and management. E. & F. N. Spon, London, England.
- 29. **Ueno, Y., S. Nagata, T. Tsutsumi, A. Hasewaga, F. Yoshida, M. Suttajit, D.** Mebs, M. Pütsch and V. M. Vasconcelos. 1996. Survey of microcystins in environmental water by a highly sensitive immunoassay based on monoclonal antibody. Nat. Toxins **4:**271–276.
- 30. **Utkilen, H., and N. Gjølme.** 1992. Toxin production by *Microcystis aeruginosa*

as a function of light in continuous cultures and its ecological significance. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **58:**1321–1325.

- 31. **Utkilen, H., and N. Gjølme.** 1995. Iron-stimulated toxin production in *Microcystis aeruginosa.* Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **61:**797–800.
- 32. **Van Liere, L., and L. R. Mur.** 1978. Light-limited cultures of the blue-green alga *Oscillatoria agardhii.* Mitt. Int. Ver. Limnol. **21:**158–167.
- 33. **Vezie, C., L. Brient, K. Sivonen, G. Bertru, J. C. Lefeuvre, and M. Salkinoja-Salonen.** 1998. Variation of microcystin content of cyanobacterial blooms and isolated strains in Lake Grand-Lieu (France). Microb. Ecol. **35:**126–135.
- 34. **Vezie, C., J. Rapala, J. Vaitomaa, J. Seitsonen, and K. Sivonen.** 2002. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth of toxic and nontoxic *Microcystis* strains and on intracellular microcystin concentrations. Microb. Ecol. **43:** 443–454.
- 35. **Watanabe, M. F., K. Tsuji, Y. Watanabe, K. Harada and M. Suzuki.** 1992. Release of heptapeptide toxins (microcystin) during the decomposition process of *Microcystis aeruginosa.* Nat. Toxins **1:**48–53.
- 36. **Welker, M., C. Steinberg, and G. Jones.** 2001. Release and persistence of microcystins in natural waters, p. 83–101. *In* I. Chorus (ed.), Cyanotoxins: occurrence, causes, consequences. Springer-Verlag KG, Berlin, Germany.
- 37. **Wiedner, C., B. Nixdorf, R. Heinze, B. Wirsing, U. Neumann and J. Weckesser.** 2002. Regulation of cyanobacteria and microcystin dynamics in polymictic shallow lakes. Arch. Hydrobiol. **155:**383–400.